

LAND AND LABOR.

A GREAT DEMONSTRATION IN CINCINNATI.

Music Hall Crowded by Cheering Thousands—Speech by Henry George—The Land Doctrine Warmly Applauded—Inquirers Answered.

Following immediately on the New York election of last November, the labor leaders of Cincinnati determined on independent political action in that city. Correspondence was opened with the central committee, 28 Cooper union, of which John McEakin is chairman, and as a result an earnest agitation and propaganda was entered upon. An initiatory meeting was held at the residence of Frank Moore, at which members of the Cincinnati Central labor union and leading Knights of Labor were present, and it was there determined to bring the subject of separate political action before the respective organizations represented. A few days later the first Henry George club of Cincinnati was organized by a group of labor reformers, among them Dr. Alfred S. Houghton, Frank Moore, Dr. David DeBeck and Mr. E. A. Weier of the Cincinnati Zeitung.

Acting in unison, the club thus formed, together with the Central labor union and the Knights of Labor, entered promptly on the work of detailed organization, which resulted in a labor convention held in Workmen's hall, at which the Clarendon hall platform was unanimously adopted, word for word. It was decided to form land and labor clubs by wards, each member joining to sign a pledge that he thereby severed his connection with all existing political parties, and gave in his adhesion to the united labor party. Some of the ward clubs then formed rolled up a membership running from 100 to 200, the largest. Active spirits in this work were C. S. Walker, O. A. Sussner, R. DeBeck, R. Houghton, P. R. Farley, J. Lugovsky, J. Nolan, A. Donnelly, Henry Thoebe, F. Hayslip, Perry Holland, Frank Young, Secretary Ramsey, John Wynn, E. A. Weier, Harry Ordgen, Wm. T. Ogden, P. F. Fitzpatrick, and D. H. Drake. The party thus established, was strong in numbers and earnest in purpose, and at once challenged the attention of people and politicians. It is safe to say that in no city in the Union is there a more perfect organization of the labor element—of those who work with hand and brain—than in Cincinnati.

At the invitation of the executive committee Henry George lectured on Monday evening in the great Music hall, a crowd of ten and twenty-five cents being made for admission. The Cincinnati Enquirer of Tuesday gives the following account of it:

A cheer that was renewed again and again resounded through Music hall at eight o'clock last evening and then rolled out of the vast building and spread away for squares on every side.

It was such a cheer as Music hall hasn't known since it held the national democratic convention and thrilled with wave after wave of applause on the nomination of the superb Hancock nearly seven years ago.

The cause of this tumultuous outburst was the appearance on the platform of a small, square-shouldered, compactly built man with a pair of keen, grey eyes, a full sandy beard, and a bald head. He wore a lay-down collar, with a black bow, and a neat-fitting dark suit of the Prince Albert order.

That man was Henry George. He came in escorted by a committee of four, headed by Dr. DeBeck. The committee escorted him to a chair directly behind the speaker's stand, with the usual equipment of a pitcher of ice-water and a goblet.

The front of the platform was decorated with American flags, while across the front of the great auditorium at the rear of the stage was suspended a banner bearing this inscription:

The heavens, even the heavens, are the Lord's; but the earth hath He given to the children of men.

As the land reformer sat there where the committee placed him, awaiting the opening of the exercises, he looked upon a remarkable audience.

It was a great, a monster, audience. It filled every seat in the body of the house, every seat in the first gallery, half the seats in the upper gallery, and crowded every aisle.

It was an enthusiastic, appreciative and earnest audience as ever greeted a public speaker in Cincinnati. Mechanics and workmen in their Sunday clothes, looking sleek and spruce from the refreshing effects of the soap and water that had just removed the grime and dust of the shop and factory, predominated; but there were many among these also whose finer attire and softer hands marked them as business and professional men. There were many ladies in the audience.

Rarely has a speaker received closer attention. Rarely has a speaker's words been more eagerly drunk in.

Clustered about George on the platform were many of the prominent delegates to the labor convention and others identified with the labor movement, among them Leo Miller of Chicago, Joel J. Hoyt of Jamestown, N. Y.; J. S. Carroll, Richmond, N. Y.; Dr. Munson, Indianapolis; Dr. Fitzpatrick, Harry Ogden, W. T. Ogden, W. H. Foote, W. Frank Smith, A. C. Cosner, T. F. Fitzpatrick, Henry Hilliard, F. F. Verg, John McGeeney of Xenia, Ohio; C. S. Walker, D. H. Drake, Chas. F. Peckinay, Ed. W. Harris, J. E. McDonald of Springfield, Samuel Crocker, B. E. Kiss, Medicine Lodge, Kan.; D. J. Cole, Hutchinson, Kan.; W. M. Smith, Ed. Hitchens, Charles W. Coleman, John Frederick, H. G. Petterson and D. J. Rowan. The following members of the Henry George club of Cincinnati also occupied seats on the platform: President Houghton, Secretary Ramsey, C. S. Walker, Frank Moore, John Wynn, F. Hayslip, Perry Holland, Henry Thoebe, Dr. DeBeck, J. Lugovsky, J. Nolan and A. Donnelly.

Dr. DeBeck made a few initiatory remarks, which were received with warmest demonstrations of endorsement. Mr. C. S. Walker, chairman of the meeting, then introduced Mr. George. The audience went wild again. For over five minutes hallow after hallow of applause rolled and roared through the vast hall.

Mr. George began his remarks in a low, conversational tone of voice, but before he had reached the end of his second sentence he warmed up to his subject, and his voice filled the great house. He is a pleasing speaker, clear, distinct and deliberate. As he spoke he paced the platform to and fro, sometimes with his hands clasped before him, sometimes with them folded behind his back. His gestures were few, but graceful.

He spoke as follows:

"Dr. DeBeck, in opening the meeting, addressed himself to ladies and fellow citizens. Let me say what I know he intended by addressing myself to my fellow citizens, whether they be women or whether they be men. [Applause.] As Dr. DeBeck has said, we do know what we want; we want the earth! [Great laughter and applause.] We want the earth, not for ourselves alone, but we want the earth for all men. What this movement seeks is the distribution of the great truth that the

earth was created for all the children of men. [Applause.] Our chairman is right; we are beginning a struggle greater and broader than those that went before, and I congratulate you, men of the land and labor clubs of Cincinnati, who, in the west, have been first to unfurl the banner of the new and greater struggle for human rights. [Applause.] When the last long, bitter contest was over and chattel slavery was gone in the United States forever, some one said to James Redpath: 'Now that you have abolished slavery, what next do you propose to abolish?' Quick as a flash Redpath answered: 'The next thing we propose to abolish is poverty.' [Applause.] 'I did not then know,' said Redpath, 'how poverty was to be abolished; but I did know that it ought to be abolished.' But now James Redpath does know not only that poverty ought to be abolished, but how it can be abolished, and he stands with us on this platform of the land for the people, demanding with us that equality of human rights to the use of the earth that, once gained, will end poverty.

"In proclaiming this central truth we set our feet upon a rock. No matter what to-day or to-morrow may bring forth, no matter how the next campaign or the campaign after that goes, they who stand on the side of truth are sure of ultimate victory. The stars in their courses fight for them. [Applause.] All the high impulses of the human heart, all the great deeds and names of the past, all the throbbing aspirations for the future are on their side, and succeed they must. When a great truth fairly comes into discussion, that which is opposed to it is doomed. And the great truth which we assert is now so well to the front that it cannot be ignored. In the great campaign that we are beginning we clasp hands with our brethren of Ireland, of England, of Scotland, and of continental Europe, taking our part in a world-wide struggle that can end only in victory. [Applause.] Let politicians make platforms to catch votes. For us there is but one thing to do, and that is to proclaim the truth and to stand for the right, never fearing that in God's own time the truth will triumph.

"All over the country, all over the world, there is a deep and deepening dissatisfaction with social conditions—a vague groping after something that will put an end to the injustice which the masses feel. This movement of ours must go on gathering strength, for we have set our faces in the right road. As our chairman has said, we know what we want and how to get it. We in New York and you here in Cincinnati, in proclaiming that the great end for which the working masses must struggle is the resumption of natural rights in the land—strike at the heart of all the perplexing social difficulties of our day. Not that there are not to-day in society many other things that are wrong besides the nature of land, but that this is the fundamental wrong from which these minor wrongs proceed, which must be righted before those can be righted.

"Throughout the civilized world we see to-day phenomena of the same kind. In spite of the great advance in the power of producing wealth; in spite of a production of wealth unprecedented in all ages gone before, there is want and suffering, and the great mass of mankind, in spite of all that invention and discovery has done to increase the power of human hands to satisfy human wants, are compelled to work for a bare living, and to expend their labor in producing wealth for themselves and find themselves deprived of the opportunity, and in our system of the division of labor, when one man who would like to be at work finds no opportunity, some other man is compelled to stand idle. So the stagnation runs all through, one industry after another.

"There are unquestionably many things that are wrong in our society to-day, but this great fundamental wrong is in itself sufficient to account for the existence of poverty in the midst of abundant wealth. Under any state of things, in any condition of society where a great class of people were deprived of the natural opportunity for the exertion of labor, where they had no right whatever to the land on which they lived, where they were compelled from day to day and week to week to purchase the right of living, there must be poverty, there must be that unjust distribution of wealth which piles up wealth in the hands of men who do not labor and compels those who do labor to take the very smallest remuneration.

"If you have any difficulty as to what is the trouble in the United States with our railroads and our machinery of production, go over into Ireland. Go into County Mayo or the Scottish Islands. There you will see society in the most primitive conditions; women using the spinning-wheel and the hand-loom, and men working yet with the same agricultural instruments that our forefathers used centuries ago. They work hard, yet why are they poor? There can be no question about the reason there. Those people are poor because all they can make, save a bare living in the best of times, is taken from them by the owners of the land. They live in hovels. And it is not because they are lazy, nor won't produce more than enough to enable them to live in the hovels. Every once in a while you will come upon a magnificent mansion and splendid grounds. The man who lives in that house when he is in the country—for the best part of his time he lives in London or in Paris—does no work at all. He prides himself upon the fact that his ancestors for generations never did a stroke of work. The man who does the work have a bare living, having to pay the taxes and the surplus for the right to work on the land, and the right to make nature produce upon the soil of labor.

"Yet he, the idler, lives in luxury, because as owner of the land he has power to compel the people who do the work to give up to him all their work produces, save the barest living, for the privilege of working on his land—for the privilege of living in his world.

"And this fundamental injustice, which in the simplest industrial conditions operates to divide men into the rich and the poor, to give wealth to those who do nothing to produce it, and to rob the producer of the just fruits of his toil, operates in the same way in more complex industrial conditions. No matter how complex those conditions, man is yet a land animal, and can only live on land and from land, and all his production is yet the changing in place or form of natural elements—the union of labor with land. And in the primary inequality resulting from the fact that some men are compelled to pay other men for the privilege of living and working is the source of that monstrous inequality which has already in our new country brought forth the millionaire on the one side and the tramp on the other, and which makes every addition to the power of production widen the gulf between rich and poor.

"Wealth is produced by work. When a man enjoys a great income, when he can get a fine house and autos and carriages, and all that house and autos and carriages, and all that he can produce, without doing any thing himself, it must be at the expense of those who do work. Look at the enormous incomes in New York that are drawn by the owners of the soil. Where do they come from? They come from the laborer. Look at these English dukes and lords that are coming over here and buying great tracts of our western land. Do you suppose they want that land? They don't want to come over here and fill it, they don't want to carry away their property, they don't want to live on the land. They want the rent, that is the produce of labor, that the

ownership of that land will give them when American citizens have to pay them a price for the privilege of using it. Mere land has no value. It is not land we are selling them. It is the power to appropriate the produce of American laborers yet to be. [Applause.]

"Look in which direction you may and you will see the evil effects of attaching to land the same individual rights of property that justly attach to things produced by human labor. Go into the city of New York, the greatest of American cities, and see how people are crowded together there under conditions which deny light and air sufficient to maintain bodily health, crowded together under conditions which inevitably degrade the health of the soul. And what is the reason of that? People are crowded so in New York that little children die like flies in summer. It is not because there are not land enough. Half the area of New York is yet unutilized. Why do people not go upon that vacant land and build houses? Simply because the land is held by dogs in the manager, who have no use for it at the present time and are simply holding it in order to get a high price for it. There is plenty of land around New York on which men who cannot find employment from an employer might find work for themselves. But if they were to attempt to thus go to work for themselves they would at once be warned off. And though they might travel a thousand miles, they would find the same difficulty. Everywhere unused natural opportunities for the employment of labor, but everywhere the dog-in-the-manger who will not let them use what he is making no use of, unless they can pay him a black-mail price or mortgage their labor for years. And so they turn back to swell the crowds that fill the cities, competing with each other for opportunities to get the wages of some good man, so they become beggars, paupers, tramps.

"This is the cause that is bringing on this new republic, on this virgin continent, all the curses that afflict Europe. The canker that ate out the heart of Italy is beginning to eat out the heart of the great republic of the west. Labor-saving machinery and all discovery and inventions that have so increased the power of men to labor seem, instead of improving the condition of the mere laborer and raising wages, to have made these conditions worse for labor-saving machinery, no matter how far it can go, cannot do anything for the mere laborer in a country where the land is all monopolized. Take such a country as Ireland. Let the sun be more genial, the climate more favorable, who would reap the benefit? The landlord. Given a country in which one class of people own all the land, and you may imagine labor-saving invention and discovery to go so far that labor would be entirely unnecessary—no matter if wealth could be produced without labor, we can not imagine it possible to produce wealth on land until we can imagine something to be produced out of nothing. Land would still be necessary, and the result would be that owners of land could obtain all the wealth the land was able to produce without giving anything to the mere laborer, and the man who was simply a laborer would simply become a pauper. And under these conditions, no matter if wealth rained down from Heaven, it could not benefit the laborer.

"Our friend Dr. DeBeck has spoken of the fact that his is in New York—no, not in New York. It is the old fire. It is the torch that was raised here in America in the Declaration of Independence! [Applause.] What we stand for is the equal and inalienable rights of men. The truth we proclaim is the truth proclaimed by our forefathers; the truth that God has created men free and equal, and has endowed them with certain inalienable rights. It is the equal right to live and the equal right to labor that we contend for. [Applause.]

"We contend that every child that comes into the world become seized with the right to an equal right to the use of the land, while he lives. We contend, as Thomas Jefferson said, that the land belongs in usufruct to the living, and not to the dead.

"We hold in full to the right of property—aye, to the sacred right of property. That which a man makes, that which his labor produces, is his against all the world, to use and sell, to give, to bequeath, to do whatsoever he pleases with it, so long as with it he does no injury to any one else. And as necessary to secure this just right of property we contend that no one can secure such right to the earth and have the privilege of compelling others to give up to him the produce of their labor for the privilege of living and working. [Applause.]

"Now, how do we propose to carry out this principle? If it is a moral truth—and I think no one will deny that it is a moral truth that all men are the creatures of the common Creator, and have an equal right to the materials of this earth during their continuance upon it—it that be a truth, then there must be a way to carry it out that will accord with the rights of justice. That will be to have one, and that will be entirely practical. Don't believe them when anybody says to you a thing is right or just, but it is impracticable. This is not that kind of a world. That which is just, that which is right, always is practicable. [Applause.]

"Let me declare plainly and distinctly, for this is a point on which there is much misapprehension and misrepresentation, that we do not propose to have the state take the land from its present owners and divide it into lots, and we simply propose to make such a change in our fiscal system as will shift the burden of taxation from labor and the products of labor to land values—the value attaching to land, irrespective of the improvements upon it; the value attaching to land, not by reason of what the occupier has done, but by reason of the growth of the community. We propose to reach by this easy and gradual change the end at which we aim, and that end is that the man who enjoys the privilege of having a piece of land that the growth of the community has made valuable, shall pay to the community what the special privilege is worth, and thus all citizens be placed upon an equal footing. When this is done, or even as we approach it, it will become unprofitable for anybody to hold land without using it, in the expectation of growing rich by the value which attaches to it from the growth of the community. Land will become profitable only to those who will use it. Thus the dog-in-the-manger will be choked off, and from the vacant lots of our eastern cities to the great tracts held on speculation in the far west, opportunities for employment will be thrown open to labor and forestalling be prevented. [Great applause.]

Mr. George went on at length to show the stupidity of our present mode of taxation in repressing the production of wealth and putting a line upon thrift and industry, and pointed out the fraud and corruption to which this inevitably leads. He showed that a tax on land values could be collected more easily, more certainly, and with less evasion and corruption than any other tax, and would in no wise repress industry or lessen the value of land to the user. He ridiculed the idea that this was a scheme for exempting the rich from taxation, asking, if that were so, why the rich so bitterly opposed it, and why it was that when the organized workmen of New York made the main plank in their platform that they went up from the rich that society was in danger, and nothing that money could

do was left undone to beat them at the polls. The men who profited, or imagined they profited, by the present unjust distribution of wealth knew, he said, that this simple measure struck at the very heart of great fortunes, and that it was revolutionary in the best sense, since it would revolutionize the system that made labor a drug in the market and forced men to beg for employment.

He then went at some length into a discussion of the charge made that the concentration of all taxation upon land values would injure the farmers, declaring on the contrary that there was no class of the community that would profit more by it than the working farmers. 'Is the condition of the American farmer now so good,' asked Mr. George, 'that he should fear to examine any proposition for a radical change in the present system? On the contrary, he is the man on whom, under our system, taxation falls with unsparring severity. A farmer goes upon a piece of land, and by his labor makes himself a home. Although it may never have been used before, and there are around it thousands of acres still unused, he is forced to pay for it a price which involves the labor of years, and in many cases to give a mortgage upon his labor which it will take him years to pay off, if he ever does succeed in paying it off. In the mean time our system of indirect taxation adds to the cost of everything he produces, without adding to the price of anything he has to sell. He breaks up the land and sows a crop; he builds a house and a barn, plants an orchard and produces wealth by his labor where none was produced before. Down comes a tax-gatherer and taxes him for all this, and not merely this, but as is universal throughout the United States, he is taxed on the value of his land far more than the mere speculator is taxed on land just as good in every respect, but which he is holding unused. The man who tills his own fields is a laborer rather than a landowner, and it is to his interest that the burden of taxation should be taken off of labor and put upon land values. The effect of this would be to relieve farmers from taxation. It would be to take the weight of taxation off the country districts and to place it upon the great land values of the towns and of those mineral districts to which the demands of an increasing population give value. And since the result would be to destroy the speculative value of land, where land of the same quality was yet unused, the farmer, under the system which we propose, would have no tax whatever to pay, whether direct or indirect.

"And the general effect upon the distribution of population would be most important and most beneficial. Our present system, which allows a man to grow rich by merely appropriating land and holding it, crowds people together in the cities and under separates them in the country. Men aim not at getting what land they want to use, but at getting all they can hold. Under a system of taxation which did away with all temptation to hold land idle for the sake of its increase in value there would be more elbow-room in the cities and better settled neighborhoods in the country. One of the terrible facts which show the direction in which we are drifting under our present system is the rapid increase of insanity, and it is among the farming population that the increase in insanity is most marked. This is due to the hard and dreary life of the farmer and the farmer's wife, to the absence of the society and social enjoyments which a better distribution of population would secure. And, further, the fact is that the American farmer, of whom so much has been said, is, under the pressure of the present system, already beginning to disappear. The last census showed that one-quarter of the farmers were already tenants, and this proportion is steadily increasing. A great part of those who are the nominal owners of their farms were only the nominal owners. They are being eaten out with mortgages. The history of the world shows that where private property in land exists the ownership of soil must concentrate, and the farming class become mere tenants and laborers with a rapidly proportioned to the material progress of the country and the introduction of labor-saving machinery. At the accession of James II. it is stated by Macaulay, the majority of English farmers were the owners of the acres they tilled; but for a long time past the farmer who owned his own farm has been a curiosity in England, and the degraded English agricultural laborer represents the class whom we have been accustomed to boast of as the independent American farmer. A movement to assert the rights of all men to their native soil cannot harm the working farmer. It can only benefit him, and it will all classes of laborers. The only men who can be injured by it are those whose interests as landowners pure and simple are greater than all their other interests; and while such men, and they are yet few in the United States, might relatively be losers, they, too, would absolutely gain; for the destruction of monopoly, which now prevents men from employing their own labor and brings about the one-sided competition which constantly tends to force wages to the starvation point, would abolish poverty and the fear of poverty, and would so enormously increase the production of wealth and so equalize its distribution, that the humblest and poorest could feel sure of securing all that was necessary to a comfortable and independent existence, without hard toil, and would exempt them from the anxieties which now beset all classes. The men who are now striving and straining to heap up wealth in order to ward off the danger of poverty from themselves and their children, would be far more secure in a state of society in which no one need fear poverty, and would well afford to buy entrance to it, if that were necessary, by giving up all their present possessions.

Mr. George closed by declaring that while it was impossible in an hour or two to answer all the objections that would arise in the minds of those who had not thought much upon the subject, he was certain that, as they did think upon it, the proposition to concentrate all taxation upon land values would meet more and more commend itself, and he then invited questions from the audience.

The opportunity afforded was eagerly taken advantage of, and one question after another was promptly answered by Mr. George to the great satisfaction of the audience until the lateness of the hour compelled the chairman to bring the meeting to a close.

The Foundation Principle.
MERIDEN, Conn., Feb. 15.—To take all the taxes, direct or indirect, that now lie like a heavy weight upon agriculture and manufactures and put them on the value of land is the only real remedy of the labor troubles. With but this one plank the labor platform would, in my opinion, be complete. The more a man studies the land question the more convinced will be that this must be the foundation principle. The other things which we now are struggling so hard for, such as shorter hours, higher wages and the abolition of child labor will come as a matter of course if we once assert the common right to land. It will not give employment to all who are willing to work? Will it not make present owners of land use it themselves or give it up to those who will use it? Will it not give a boom to business all over the country? Labor organizations would then be able to help themselves in many other ways. The labor club here is "spreading the light."

CHARLES JENSEN.

ROTTEN ALBANY.

GAMBLING HOUSES OPENLY CONDUCTED—FREQUENTED BY LEGISLATORS.

No Probability That Any Bills in the Interest of Labor Will be Passed—A Laborer's Life Not Worth More Than \$5,000—Inadequate Factory Inspection.

ALBANY, Feb. 24.—This is one of the best towns for easy and accessible gambling in the state, though it is not for the legislators and the crowd that follow in their train three-fourths of the gambling houses would have to close for lack of customers. The influence of the assembly on the gambling houses is shown by their location. Most of the assemblymen who come up here for boodle live in the Delavan house, and in going to or coming from the capitol they go straight up the hill. On that street there are four gambling houses within a minute of the hotel, two of them occupying prominent corners; and on the side streets, a few steps out of the direct line, there are as many more. These places are not run as in New York, with barred doors, guards, peepholes and elaborate preparations to secure secrecy and safety. They are open as a restaurant or a saloon. You have only to open the door and walk in. A stranger with a trained ear would note from the street the sound of clicking chips and the clattering of the balls around the roulette tables. It is does not take long for the New York assemblymen to find them out, and that is one reason why the assemblymen need so much money to run on during the session; why so many Delavan house board bills are unpaid, and why the opinion that a new assemblyman usually has of the dullness of Albany is changed the first time he is let in to a game more open than anything in New York. Perhaps the fact that the winnings of the gambling-house keepers come chiefly from outsiders reconciles the Albany authorities to allowing the games to continue in their present open condition. It is certain that tens of thousands of dollars which otherwise would be scattered over the state from Brooklyn to Buffalo are retained in this city through these few faro banks.

Most of the legislators have the gambling instinct. Those who are too bright to play in an open gambling house start poker rooms for the benefit of their fellow members. Several inhabitants of the Delavan house play poker for recreation, and make more out of it in the course of the session than they do from their salaries. Orders for advance pay, for stationery and for mileage are all receivable. It is hard on the new country members who may have been poker experts in their rural towns to run against a New York professional assemblyman, but country members must be taught that New York city would just as lief have a professional gambler to represent it at Albany as any other professional savior of society. One of the best poker players in the delegation is Charles Smith of the Eighth New York district. It is said of him that he would accumulate wealth should he stick to poker and leave faro alone.

As the session goes on the improbability of anything being done for the interest of the workingman is changing to certainty. The general appropriation bill is in, and the usual sums are parcelled out to favored officials and institutions. About \$11,000 are offered for factory inspectors, about one-tenth enough to provide for the proper doing of the work, while six lawyers have just been hired for a political investigation, whose fees would be more than sufficient for the paying of the needed inspectors.

The difference is that it is only the workingmen who are interested in having the factory laws enforced, while there's "politics" in a big investigation. It is a repetition of the coal pool Hogeboom investigation, which has become a by-word. The agents of the corporations had to do something about the coal strike, so they sent a packed committee to investigate. They feel that a sop to labor will be a fine thing, so they provide for a handful of factory inspectors just enough to make a pretense of doing something.

Another bill is the sum to increase from \$5,000 to \$10,000 the sum a man's family can recover from a railroad or other corporation, if he is killed through the company's fault. There is no reason in the world why the sum should be limited at all. Is the value of a man's life limited? Are the love his family have for him and his support of other objects of commerce and traffic, and the high valuation of which wise legislators have set the limit of \$5,000? Yet even the effort to raise the limit to \$10,000 has failed. A bill was offered, reported, favorably considered, and might have passed, but railroad specialist Cole seized it, and chiding the Assembly for even thinking to pass such a bill, had it sent back to committee.

There have been so many cases of corporations forcibly annihilating useful and needed measures that an eight page paper set in solid type would not contain the language of the proposed bills. The railroad commissioners prepared a set of bills which they sent to the legislature. These bills were as mild and soft as they could be made, yet the railroads would allow no interference, and complacent railroad committees have put the grave clothes on the anti-discrimination and other bills. While legislators limit the value of a man's life, they refuse to limit the issue of a company's watered stock, and a bill to prevent it, offered by a country member, was snatched off the floor of the assembly, never even coming to a vote. On the other hand, a bill to allow railroads to charge extra fare is being whooped through, while the same assembly refuses to put a workman on an equality with a horse or piece of machinery by allowing him damages if he is injured through the carelessness or negligence of the foreman of the man for whom he works.

The New York papers have been printing voluminous reports about Senator Vedder's taxation and retrenchment committee and their scheme to tax brokers' transactions. The committee is simply "on the strike," with Chairman Vedder in command. Last year he went on a striking-trip to New York, and when the brokers had been "seen" he rested. This year he is harassing all the exchanges again for a like purpose. His bill proposes to tax the sales in amounts of over one hundred dollars of everything except real estate. Of course the consumer would pay it in the end, and an extra burden would be taken from the landlord to lay it on the laborer. The majority of the committee are Vedder, Cable Road Traphagen and Snowball Plunkitt. Taxation and retrenchment is a name that fits them. Amasa J. Parker, jr., an honest senator, resigned from the committee when he found what Vedder and his associates were up to, so that he might not be smeared by their transactions.

JOHN COMMONWEALTH.

Doubts of Labor's Success.

Pittsburg Commercial Gazette.

All the indications point to the organization of a national labor party in time to take part in the next presidential election, but whether the party will ever become an important factor in politics is another matter.

CINCINNATI CONFERENCE

ABOUT THREE HUNDRED DELEGATES IN ATTENDANCE.

A Two Days' Session—The Officers Chosen—A Platform Adopted Recommending Numerous Reforms in the Laws.

CINCINNATI, Feb. 23.—The committee of thirteen organizers of the National industrial conference, after a session yesterday morning at the Burnet house, issued tickets of admission to the floor of Music hall to the chairman of delegations. The states represented by the tickets issued on these credentials were as follows: Alabama 1, Arkansas 8, Colorado 1, Dakota 1, Illinois 65, Iowa 35, Indiana 70, Kansas 30, Massachusetts 42, Michigan 70, Mississippi 41, Missouri 47, Nebraska 10, New Hampshire 1, New Jersey 1, New York 13, North Carolina 1, Ohio 73, Pennsylvania 20, Tennessee 2, Texas 10, West Virginia 10, Wisconsin 12, Rhode Island 2, Indiana 77. Total, 429.

At 2 o'clock Chairman B. S. Heath of the national committee called the conference to order. There were about 350 persons in a hall having a capacity of 5,000. A score of persons looked down from the gallery, but before the close of the session this number had swelled to 300. The roll call showed that there were present about 300 delegates. The largest delegations were from the tier of northern states nearest Cincinnati—Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Iowa and Missouri.

There were ten ladies in the delegation, among whom were Miss Marion Todd of Battle Creek, Mich.; Mrs. Heath of Chicago and Mrs. Severance of Milwaukee.

Richard Trevellick was elected temporary chairman, and on taking the chair he introduced Rev. M. C. Lockwood of the First Baptist church of Cincinnati, who, after a brief prayer, welcomed the delegates on behalf of the committee of arrangements. The rest of the afternoon's session was taken up in the selection of committees on credentials, organization, rules and order of business and platform.

When the convention reassembled at 7 o'clock there were not more than two hundred delegates in the hall, and about an equal number of spectators were in the gallery. A letter was read from Gen. J. B. Weaver, Iowa, the greenback leader, declaring that the platform should be brief and unambiguous, and relate chiefly to land, labor and transportation. Mr. H. H. Haaf of Henry county, Illinois, took the floor, and said he came as a delegate from a conference of prohibitionists, and proposed a temperance plank for the platform.

Mr. L. B. Weller, chairman of the committee on permanent organization, reported the following permanent officers:

President—A. J. Streator of Illinois.

Vice-Presidents—J. W. Trevellick, Michigan; J. J. Woodard, Indiana; C. Cunningham, Arkansas; R. R. Buchanan, Colorado; A. J. Martin, Connecticut; E. J. Curtis, Idaho; M. J. Kane, Iowa; N. M. Lorin, Indian Territory; J. H. Allen, Indiana; P. P. Elder, Kansas; S. Seay, Kentucky; Wm. Murray, Massachusetts; M. B. Ely, Mississippi; D. N. Thompson, Missouri; J. T. Chamberlin, Nebraska; W. G. Brown, New Hampshire; O. Preston, New York; John R. Winston, North Carolina; John Seitz, Ohio; E. W. Pike, Oregon; J. P. Lane, Pennsylvania; J. R. Miles, Tennessee; Holmes W. Merton, Rhode Island; R. J. Sledge, Texas; James N. Laidley, West Virginia; Dr. Juliet Severance, Wisconsin; Geo. V. Smith, Wyoming Territory; Lee Crandall, District of Columbia; J. O. Dean, Dakota Territory.

Secretary—M. D. Shaw, Missouri.

Assistant Secretary—D. P. Bliss, Massachusetts.

Reading clerk—Geo. D. Lennon, New York. Mr. Power of Indiana, asked permission to read a memorial from two thousand Union soldiers of his state asking the convention to pass a resolution favoring the pensioning of every honorably discharged Union soldier of the late war.

After addresses by Mrs. Marion Todd of Michigan and several other delegates the convention adjourned at 10:45 o'clock.

This morning the time of the conference was taken up by general speech making, the committee on platform not having its report ready.

Mr. Samuel Crocker of Kansas explained the Oklahoma movement, and presented a resolution censuring the national administration for its course in relation to this question, and asking congress for the immediate passage of the Oklahoma bill now before it. George L. Jones of Wisconsin spoke in favor of a graduated income tax. Speeches were also made by Dr. Juliet Severance of Wisconsin, ex-Congressman B. S. Taylor of Pennsylvania, Moses Smyth of Indiana, who opposed the Oklahoma resolution, and thought that the convention had been called for broader purposes; and Jesse Harper of Illinois, who dwelt upon the wrongs caused by the stock watering of railroad and telegraph monopolies.

In the afternoon the platform was adopted, as follows:

The delegates of various industrial and reform political organizations have assembled from thirty-one states and territories on this anniversary of the birth of "The Father of his Country," to view the situation of public affairs and advise proper action. A general discontent prevails on the part of the wealth producers. Farmers are suffering from a poverty which has forced most of them to mortgage their estates, and prices of products are so low as to offer no relief except through bankruptcy. Laborers, relying on greater bread and greater dependence, strikes are resorted to without bringing relief, because of the inability of employers in many cases to pay living wages while more and more are driven into the streets. Business men find collections almost impossible. Meantime, hundreds of millions of idle public money which is needed for relief is locked up in the United States treasury in grim mockery of the distress. Land monopoly flourishes as never before, and more and more owners of the soil are daily becoming tenants. Great transportation corporations still succeed in extorting their profits upon watered stock through unjust charges. The United States Senate has become an open scandal, its seats being purchased by the rich in defiance of the popular will. A trifling fisheries dispute is seized upon as an excuse for squandering public money upon unnecessary military preparations, which are designed to breed a spirit of war, to ape European despots, and to keep the treasury without paying the public debt. Under these and other alarming conditions, we appeal to the people of this whole country to come out of old party organizations whose indifference to the public welfare is responsible for this distress, and help us to organize a new political party, not sectional but national, whose members shall be called commoners; whose object shall be to repeal all class laws in favor of the rich and to relieve the distress of our industries by establishing the following principles:

Every human being possesses a natural, inalienable right to sufficient land for self support, and we desire to secure to every industrious citizen a home, as the highest result of free institutions. To this end we demand a graduated land tax on all large estates, especially those held for speculative or tenant purposes; the reclamation of all unearned land grants; the immediate opening of Oklahoma to homestead settlement; the purchase of all unoccupied Indian lands and the settlement of the various tribes upon lands in severalty; also, law preventing corporations

from acquiring real estate beyond the requirements of their business and alien ownership of land. The systems of irrigation in states and territories where necessary shall be under such public control as shall secure the free and equitable use of the waters and franchise to the people.

The means of communication and transportation should be owned and controlled by the people, as is the United States postal system, and equitable rates everywhere established.

A national monetary system should be established in the interest of the producer instead of the speculator and usurer, by which a circulating medium in necessary quantities and full legal tender shall be issued directly to the people without the intervention of banks, or loaned to citizens upon ample security at a low rate of interest to relieve them from the extortions of usury and enable them to control the money supply. Postal savings banks should be established, and we have free coinage of gold, we should have free coinage of silver. We demand the prompt payment of the national debt and condemn the further issue of interest-bearing bonds, either by the national government, or by states, territories, counties or municipalities.

Arbitration should take the place of strikes and other injurious methods of settling labor disputes; the letting of convict labor to contractors be prohibited; the contract be abolished in public works; the hours of labor in industrial establishments be reduced, compensation with the increase of production in labor of labor saving machinery; employees be protected from bodily injury; equal pay be given for equal work for both sexes, and labor, agricultural and co-operative associations be fostered and incorporated by law. The foundation of a republic is the intelligence of its citizens, and children who are driven into workshops, mines and factories are deprived of education, which should be secured to all by proper legislation. We desire to see labor organization extend throughout the civilized countries, until it shall be impossible for despots to oppress the workmen of one country in war against their brothers of another country.

In appreciation of the services of United States soldiers and sailors, we demand for them justice before charity. The purposely depreciated money paid them during the war should be made equal in value to the gold paid the bondholder. The soldier was promised coin or its equivalent, and paid in depreciated paper. The bondholder loaned the government depreciated paper and contracted to take it back, but was paid in gold.

A graduated income tax is the most equitable system of taxation, placing the burden of government on those who can best afford to pay, instead of laying it on the farmers and producers and exempting millionaire bondholders and corporations.

The capture of the United States senate by millionaires and tools of corporations, who have no sympathy with free institutions, threatens the very existence of the republic. We demand a constitutional amendment making United States senators elective by a direct vote of the people.

Every nation and nation should be passed as shall actually exclude from America the Mongolian slave and Asiatic competition. The employment of bodies of armed men by private corporations should be prohibited.

The right to vote is inherent in citizenship irrespective of sex. Excessive wealth resulting in luxury and idleness on the one hand, and excessive toil and poverty on the other, lead to intemperance and vice. The measures of reform here demanded will prove to be the scientific solution of the temperance question.

The committee on platform proposed as the name of the new party "The Commoners," this was amended to "The Union party," but after decided opposition and considerable debate it was again amended as "The Union labor party."

When the land plank came up for discussion Mr. Williams of Missouri moved to amend by striking out the section relating to the Indian lands, but the amendment was lost. Leo Miller of Illinois moved to amend by adding "that those land values which result from the growth of the community belong of right to the people and should by them be appropriated and applied for purposes of general benefit." The amendment was voted down. Mr. Betz of Cincinnati moved to reconsider, and the motion was carried. Mr. Miller asked for two minutes to defend his amendment, and was almost howled down. The chairman decided that under the rules he must be allowed to speak. Mr. Miller spoke in favor of taking the "unearned increment by means of land taxation." The amendment was again lost, the majority against it being very large.

Sealskin Scaques for Workmen's Wives.

Charles E. Wheeler in the Toledo Blade.

There is, it seems to me, a mine of truth in the observation of Buckle, that no men ever yet exercised power for any considerable time but exercised it to the injury of the powerless. It means much, and all history emphasizes its truth. In our own day the natural play of competitive forces is substantially lost, and combinations, pools, syndicates, etc., have been established, and it would seem to be a line to which workmen are forced by unfeeling employers, a subsistence line it may be called, passing over which discontent so deep is created in the breast of the workman that strikes and riots ensue, and while the abolition of drink might for a time work an increase in the savings of thousands, yet I see nothing to prevent the exercise of this power of money and combination, and a removal of the subsistence line a little farther back. In other words, cunning and cupidity would seize upon the advantage, and the seal scaques, which Mr. Murphy says that workmen might have for the 52 weeks of the year for \$100,000,000 now spent annually for drink, would be quite as rare as ever.

Farmers and the Land Tax.

The Ludington (Mich.) Democrat quotes with pleasure a recent editorial article in the New York Sun, declaring that "the one subject of taxation about which there cannot be any deception is the land," and urging that taxation be concentrated in land. The Democrat declares that this is the George doctrine, and says:

The farmer will at first thought believe that such a system of taxation would bear unjustly on his already overburdened shoulders. A moment's reflection, however, will convince him that such is not the case. Under such a system he would not be compelled to pay indirectly an average tax of about forty-two per cent on all that he bought in the market. If by extraordinary energy and prudence he possessed good buildings, he would not be taxed for these qualifications. If he chose to have good stock he wouldn't be compelled to pay tribute for the privilege. In a word, he would only be compelled to pay taxes on natural resources and not on the creation of his labor. So in reality he wouldn't be taxed as much as he now is directly and indirectly.

Miners' Wages.

Correspondence Wilkesbarre, Pa., Sunday Leader.

I can say from actual knowledge that the average earnings of first-class outside men at one of the largest mines in the entire valley was not quite \$5.20 per week during 1886. There were just 172 days worked last year at the mine, and this at \$1.50 per day made \$258.50. Deduct therefrom \$75 for house rent and fuel and \$10 for board, and the remainder will be found to be for the 52 weeks of the year \$133.50, or less than \$3.75 per week to feed and clothe a family. And yet these are the first-class outside men, loaders, platform men, etc. The others get \$1.25 and \$1, and some as low as 80 cents per day worked. How can men manage to live at all on 72 days' work—and that is all the work they could get to do last year—passes common.

THE MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND.

Rapid Spread of New Ideas in the Old World—Landlordism Doomed.

LONDON, Feb. 25.—English newspapers which represent the feeling of a large majority of the intelligent community show the cause of all the trouble in Ireland to be exactions and oppressions of the landlords. The Irish are blamed for being a troublesome people to govern, but any people would have a perfect right to trouble their governors if they were oppressed as the Irish have been for generations. A dog would be excused for stealing butchers' meat if he were starved, or for biting the man that kicked him; but the Irish only get hard names when they object to the perpetuity of a system of legalized oppression and robbery devised by landlord parliaments.

Landlordism is severely criticised on all hands and "vested rights" closely inquired into. Last evening day surprised us by the growth of radical opinion on the land question and the natural rights of man. Until recently all Englishmen accepted the word "law" as being synonymous with justice and right; but now they are clamoring on all sides for a repeal of laws which were evidently made in the grossest and most inexcusable ignorance, or else by a lot of knaves who wished to get rich without work at the public expense, and enacted laws to that end. It has been the custom of English statesmen to sneer at the "corruption of American legislation" and the venality of those men who accept salary as members of our American congress. While there may be exceptional cases of a betrayal of trust on the part of American congressmen, the annals of history can show no parallel to the class legislation of England. The neglect to compensate members of parliament for time given to the public business makes it impossible for any but rich men to accept such offices; therefore, parliament is mostly composed of landlords, capitalists, railroad men and lawyers, who vote for all sorts of monopolies, and who make the laws so intricate that the simplest business affairs constantly require the intervention of a solicitor with heavy bill of costs. Legal expenses in this country are much higher than in the states and thus the rights of the poor may be defied by the rich.

We are much surprised that the machinery of the Roman Catholic church should be set in motion against the interests of the very great majority of its members, whom we all know to be mostly among the laboring classes of America. Most of the Roman Catholics there are engaged in individual occupations, and would, therefore, find it greatly to their interest to have taxation removed from the shoulders of their industry, which is seriously burdened thereby. Comparatively few of them are land owners, and most of those who own land work upon it and put improvements upon it, for which latter they are heavily taxed; while at the same time capitalist landowners hold great tracts of unimproved land upon which they will not work nor allow any one else to work, because the annual increase in value greatly exceeds the annual taxation. Catholic emigrants in America have paid millions upon millions of pounds to landlords of America in the shape of ground rents or capital, sums of money representing ground values, and it will certainly be to the injury of the industry of Catholic to cease paying tribute to a class of persons who charge as much for God's works as if they had made the earth, air, water and themselves.

It is becoming obvious to the thinking people of all countries that payments made for land or for the use of land are of the nature of a tribute and not for a value received, as is the case when any product of human industry is exchanged for money. Landlords receive ground rents, payments for land and royalties upon the output of mines, not for any services they render, but only because they industrially neglected to take state-earned increment, or ground rent, for public purposes, which should be done, as such values are created solely by the growth of prosperity of the public. Although such payments to individuals are essentially of the nature of a tribute, they would cease to be a tribute if paid to the public treasury for public expenses, because they justly belong to the public, being caused by the necessities of humanity for earth, air and water, without which the human race must perish.

Land Monopoly Must Go.

ROME, Ga., Feb. 21.—In this country the principles advocated by THE STANDARD have come to stay, and the progress of the nineteenth century will compel their solution. The old question of Israel's prophet, "What doth the Lord, thy God, require of thee but to do justice, love mercy, and walk humbly?" has come round to be settled—settled by mind and not by muscle, by force and not by fraud. Land monopoly must go. Land by the thousands of acres, where freemen ought to dwell, must no longer be withheld from cultivation. Sixty-five children in every one hundred under five years of age must not be permitted to die. Room must be made for air and sunshine, jail-houses to the contrary, notwithstanding.

AUGUSTUS R. WRIGHT.

Good Work in Indiana.

VINCENNES, Ind., Feb. 21.—An auspicious beginning was yesterday made in the land and labor movement in this section by the formation of a land and labor club in this city. About twenty-five persons signed the declaration yesterday, and it is believed that within the next three months at least 300 members will be upon the rolls. The following officers were elected: President, Wm. D. Robinson; vice-president, Daniel M. Lynch; secretary, Dr. J. A. Randolph; corresponding secretary, Warren W. Bailey; treasurer, C. F. Schultz; representative, Hon. Samuel W. Williams. Three or four ringing land reform speeches were made, eliciting hearty applause. A land and labor reform candidate will probably be put in the field in the May election.

WARREN WORTH BAILEY.

The Monopoly of Boston's Streets.

Boston Correspondence Springfield Republican.

The movements toward consolidation of the street car system which have advanced considerably during the progress of these strikes have caused more comment, perhaps, and attracted more attention than the strikes themselves. It is evident that this season new street railroad schemes which have been for some time developing will be considerably advanced, and that before long new systems will be introduced which will revolutionize the street car service of the city and suburbs, and it is in anticipation of these possible changes that these movements toward consolidation of the existing companies are making. The present are to be regarded as preliminary skirmishes, to be followed by the real battle for street rights. It is apparent that the street car service is being taken over by the electric, are nearer adoption than any of the elevated road projects which have been started, and it is possible that the former will first be introduced on Beacon street, the trunk line of the city, and then on the other tracks, the proposition to put a line here and there to the western suburbs being this year very strongly backed, and having support which last year it lacked.

WASHINGTON.

NOTHING BUT APPROPRIATION BILLS CAN BE PASSED.

The Fortification Folly—No Chance for Land Reform—Wall Street and the Treasury—No General Dissolution of the Cabinet Probable.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23.—As the session draws to a close it becomes more and more apparent that little can be done beyond passing the necessary appropriation bills. Only eight days more of the session remain, and practically little more than six working days. Only one or two of the general appropriation bills have become a law, and three important bills—the legislative and executive, the army bill and the general deficiency—had not been acted on, as the house adjourns at 1 o'clock to-day. In the construction of these bills in committee, changes in form and substance have been contemplated, and after being discussed and adopted by the house have to be sent for consideration to the senate. To do so thoroughly or intelligently is, of course, out of the question, but they will be hurried through before the adjournment in some shape. To-day the fisheries bill was before the house, and some measure may possibly be agreed on. It was rumored that a report had been agreed on with regard to the fortification bill. The senate bills for the manufacture of large guns, ironclads and marine defenses do not appear to be reaching a stage in the house that would be likely to secure their passage. All measures for land reform, or to secure a correction of the abuses of the present system even, are relegated to the next year and the next congress. A thorough overhauling of the accounts of the great Pacific railway corporations is not very imminent, for although both houses and both parties profess to be zealous in that direction, they take good care not to agree upon any measures that are likely to be searching and conclusive. While the thousand and more bills on the calendar may be considered as practically dead, a few measures outside the appropriation bills are likely to consume some of the little time left to either house. The question as to passing the dependent pension bill, the president's objections to the contrary notwithstanding, will come up. In the house, where it must first be voted on, the result is considered very uncertain. Efforts will also be made to force or drag in after some fashion the defense bills, but on these the result is quite as doubtful. No tariff or revenue changes will be made, and no financial measure of any importance. This may be considered a correct summary of the forty-ninth congress.

It is greatly to be regretted that the lines of parties and of public men in this congress should not have been more clearly marked. On hardly any of the great practical questions before the country do the two great parties divide their votes, and the country takes issue. There is not a great question in finance which has been agitated before congress or the committees which has been an issue between republicans and democrats. Wall street seems to have the grateful deference of the prominent men of both parties. So completely have the banking and stock jobbing interests been in the habit of shaping all money questions in their own interests, that ambitious public men can hardly be found to lift their voices against them. The present administration has been as completely in their hands as those that have preceded it. No practical candidate in either party dares to bring his tongue against it. It is openly talked and thoroughly understood here that on a presidential issue Wall street will control the state of New York, and that with the present political divisions, or divisions of sentiment, real or imaginary, between the northern and southern states, no man can be elected president who cannot carry the state of New York. Whether this general supposition be a truth or a fallacy, its influence over politicians cannot be denied. All through the west, and in many parts of the middle states, the people are weary of the moneyed interests of the country arousing a deep feeling of indignation. The action, or rather non-action, of this congress will hardly tend to soothe this ruffled spirit. Both parties seem instinctively to shrink from important measures. Some little side bill, or temporary expedient, that may seem to offer the means to one party to make the other appear in the wrong, is eagerly grasped at. The chief aim seems to be to make capital out of one another, and that, too, when both parties shrink from committing themselves to anything. They resolutely refuse to hold a meeting, and devastating a country, and only taking care to keep out of each other's way.

The resignation of Secretary Manning brings up the old story of a cabinet crisis. The president is certainly in a quandary about his secretary of the treasury. He certainly ought to be a man whose national character and marked ability would give strength and dignity to an otherwise rather weak cabinet. Mr. Cleveland dared not appoint Mr. Thurman. The philosopher with the bandanna would throw Mr. Wall street into convulsions. Mr. Carlisle has been talked of, and his appointment would, in some respects, not be so objectionable to New York interests. He is a free trader, but then he was a member of the silver league, and favored the retention of the legal tender notes already afloat. It is possible that he may have repudiated these heresies, but Wall street would probably prefer to have him bleached in chloride of lime for a while. Morrison would probably be more acceptable to Wall street, but he is hardly the man they want, and although he is likely to be out of a job by the 4th of March, he is hardly likely to get this one. Frank Harsh is as bad, or even worse than Carlisle. In this dilemma the president has hardly any recourse save to appoint a pliant subordinate like Fairchild, who would no doubt like to get the place, and would just suit Wall street; or Mr. Cleveland might select some of his own political friends from the state of New York, whose appointment would strengthen him at home, and who would be entirely satisfactory to the varied interests.

As to the report that several other members of the cabinet were going out, that is hardly likely. They certainly will not if they can help it. The two weakest specimens are certainly Vilas and Garland. The latter survived all the pan electric scandals, and is even likely to survive the fact that every judicial opinion he has delivered has been incessantly rejected by the department to which it has been sent. Not that they were very judicious, but a cabinet that can get along without a legal adviser is happily circumstanced.

A democratic paper here, discussing the rumor that the postmaster general's retirement, says: "Mr. Vilas is going to be a power in the democratic party for a good while, and he is going to be an important person in the contest in many ways. One reason why he is not likely to be snuffed out so very early is that presently he is going to be one of the wealthiest men in the northwest. Mr. Vilas is an owner of a huge 'stake' in the great Gogebie iron range in Wisconsin. The most remarkable mineral development in the country is going on in that locality. Mr. Vilas and his partner were the owners of a very large tract of this property, which has been placed in the hands of a syndicate with millions of capital. Mr. Vilas is to receive a royalty on every ton of ore that is ever taken out of the numerous mines that are rapidly being started there. His income from this source is likely to make him many times a millionaire."

This is rather funny Democratic doctrine.

but may possibly be true. It is, indeed, hardly likely that this administration intends to call in the aid of great statesmen to cheer or enliven the closing portion of its term.

W. P. A.

"THE FIGHT IN THE DARK."

Lecture by Henry George at Newburg, New York.

NEWBURG, N. Y., Feb. 23.—Henry George lectured at the Columbia rink Friday night on "The Fight in the Dark." For two hours before the meeting and for some time after it commenced a terrific storm of wind and rain passed over the town, but more than three hundred people, including clergymen, lawyers, bank and municipal officers, merchants and mechanics, came out to hear the lecture, of which the Newburg News, our vigorous labor paper, publishes a fine report. Mr. George spoke of strikes as a fight in the dark, which hurt friends as well as foes. He agreed with the capitalist press that every man has a right to work or not as he pleases, and that no organization or set of men has a right to prevent other men from working if they want to; but under present conditions it is only by organizations of workmen under discipline like that of an army that anything can be gained for laborers. In the recent strikes in New York the employers drew men from the country to take the place of the strikers. There is a constant pressure for employment, and every strike is like going against the tide. Why is it, he asked, that in a country like this there is surplus labor? It is monopolization of the land by the few. An unemployed man in New York who attempted to erect a house for himself on a vacant lot would be driven off. If he attempted to employ himself on unused land in agricultural districts he would not have to go far to find the unused land, but would be warned off by some one who would say: "That is my land; you cannot go to work unless you buy it of me," and the man would have to mortgage his labor for a long time for the privilege of employing himself.

The lecturer spoke of inventions as not having benefited workers. The condition of the lower class was better in ruder times. Then there was no fear about getting a living, unless there was an actual famine; but even when granaries were filled to bursting men and women are dying of starvation. The reason for this is that men have been disinherited. If wealth rained down from Heaven like manna workmen would not be benefited. It would go to the landlords, except a little for gathering it up and storing it. The earth spouts oil, but it belongs to the owner of the land. There are great bodies of coal, but according to present theories Providence intended them for Frank B. Gowen and the Reading railroad company. This coal and oil belongs to the whole people. Those who claim it do not work and take it out of the earth; they do nothing, while other people pay them for the privilege of getting it out.

Mr. George concluded by saying that he would turn the fight in the dark into a fight in the light. He would strike at the great central wrong.

J. J. Mullen, Joseph Martin and others asked questions, which were answered by the lecturer.

PROTECTING A MONOPOLY.

News That Does Not Reach the Public, but Which Should Interest It Deeply.

There is hardly a word nowadays in the newspapers about the bills before congress relating to government telegraphy or putting an end to the monopoly of the Western Union company. There seems to be only the feeblest interest in the subject. There is silence in regard to it so far as nearly every great daily newspaper is concerned.

Six weeks ago the organ of the operating telegraphers, the *Electric Age*, called attention to "the most important measure relating to the telegraphic service that congress has ever had before it." The bill was reported in the house on Dec. 22 by Mr. Warner of Ohio, from the committee on post offices and post roads. It provides that all subsidized railroads shall "construct and operate for railroad, government, commercial and all other purposes, telegraph lines, and exercise by themselves alone all the telegraph franchises conferred upon and obligations assumed by them under the granting acts," and that whenever any telegraph company shall extend its line to the eastern terminus, or to any station or office belonging to any one of the said railroad companies, it shall have the right to connect with the telegraph line of the railroad company for the prompt exchange of telegraphic communication between the two companies. So well known an authority in telegraph matters as Mr. J. C. Hueston, commenting on this bill in the *Electric Age*, says that even the daily newspapers that briefly mentioned the bill entirely missed its real purpose, which is to break down the Western Union monopoly of the telegraph lines on the subsidized Pacific railroads. These lines are now practically surrendered to the Western Union. If all the larger companies are put in connection with them on equal terms, the Western Union's monopoly in the west will be at an end, the supreme advantage it now holds over its competitors will be set aside and its collapse as a monopoly will be certain.

Why is not the country rejoicing over such a prospect? Why has not this news been quickly conveyed to every paper in the land? The answer is that the Western Union company, the Associated Press and the great daily newspapers of the cities have interests in common that are closer to each other than are the interests of the people. The organization of the telegraphers has asked the management of each of the daily papers of New York to give prominent mention of the bill in question, knowing that the newspapers of the rest of the country would follow the example of the metropolitan press. Only two New York papers, however—the *Evening Post* and the *Herald*—have deemed it worth while to respond. "Close contracts and cheap special rates with the Western Union," says the *Electric Age*, "have closed the mouths of some of the ardent anti-monopolist and anti-Gould professors of journalism." Mr. Warner's bill has been smothered by a "conspiracy of silence."

Goats and Such.

Mr. Luxton's goat parable is intended as a denuder of my timber. However, he unfortunately translated it from the wrong language. My understanding is that Bob Clive's white billies are still battering the piebalds and blacks into the river. Nor do I know any language that would have suited better than the Hindoo; certainly not those spoken on the Bosphorus and the Nile, nor yet those heard along the Mississippi and the Amazon. Benevolent theories may be blown large and luminous; but, like soap bubbles, they generally burst at the point when their producer gazes widest at their beauty, their waste gases mingling with the hindoo air, and their gaudy envelope resolving into its invisible elements.

Waco, Tex., Feb. 18.

Well Put.

Rochester Post-Express.

The best thing said about the extravagance of congress was said yesterday by Senator Vest of Missouri, who declared that if the session were to last two weeks longer the forty-ninth congress would put Aladdin's lamp in the hands of a receiver."

THE NEW PARTY.

THE ORGANIZATION SPREADING THROUGH THE COUNTRY.

The Movement in New York State—Action in Chicago—Sound Doctrine in Pittsburg—Correspondence of the Central Committee.

A land and labor club has been formed at Middletown, N. Y. It has a large membership, and holds weekly meetings. Charles H. Fuller of the Olney & Fuller drug company, is president, and John Rogers, a shoemaker, is secretary. A large meeting was held in the place recently under the auspices of the Knights of Labor, and James P. Kohler of this city made an able address on the land question, which was attentively listened to.

At a recent mass meeting of the labor party in Chicago resolutions were adopted amid great enthusiasm, declaring that labor can hope for no relief from either the republican or the democratic party; denouncing those parties as hopelessly corrupt, and maintaining that trades unions must unite for independent political action if workmen hope to better their condition and enforce respect for the demands. Two speakers denounced Mayor Harrison as the enemy of the workmen, and charged him with laying wires to capture the labor vote.

The workmen of Pittsburg recently organized "the commoners party" and sent delegates to the Cincinnati convention. They adopted the following platform to be presented to that convention: "The shifting of taxation until land values bear all taxes, and then an increase of taxation until all economic rent is appropriated to public use; control by the general government of railroads and telegraphs; laws to prevent all speculation on margins; the abolition of internal revenues; a tax on all incomes over \$5,000; shortening the hours of labor."

Below are given a few short extracts from the correspondence of the central committee, 28 Cooper Union:

Frank Horton, Burlington, Kan.—The tracts you publish are the very best things of the kind I ever saw, and certainly there could not be a much better kind than that which, if put in execution, would secure real freedom for the laboring millions. I guess I have come by the principles I uphold naturally enough. Peter Cooper was a relative of mine. Our assembly here is growing very rapidly.

O. S. W. Frincke, Denver, Col.—Local Carpenters' Union No. 55 have resolved to procure a quantity of your tracts. Please give us the Clarendon hall platform in both English and German. Our next election will occur in April, and the land and labor club here will call a meeting of delegates from all workmen some time this week. We propose to nominate a ticket of our own, independently of both the old parties.

Chas. F. Kipp, Columbus, O.—We shall perhaps send a delegate to the Cincinnati conference, but we shall never endorse the platform they formulate if it is a whit less radical on the land question than the Clarendon hall platform, which, for the precision of its statement of social and political evils and of the remedies therefor, stands without a rival.

J. J. Sullivan, New Orleans.—The good work is progressing finely here. We shall, in a few weeks, have clubs organized in every precinct of this city.

John A. Roost, Holland, Mich.—At last we are organized here, and there is complete harmony in the ranks. The universal feeling is that we have no further use for either of the "grand old parties." "By the grace of God" we have in this country no king or queen masquerading under these very words, yet hitherto we have had, through the blindness of partisan feeling, something nearly as bad. The old parties have been kept together, one of them by raising the "bloody shirt" in place of the flag of our country, and the other through the cohesive power of appetite for public plunder. The present conditions of this country are driven by necessity into undermining another. "A poor man that oppresseth the poor is like the sweeping rain that leaveth no food." We must abolish the many vested wrongs, and this can only be done through political action. Judge James G. Maguire, San Francisco, Cal.—Our movement in this state is meeting with a most favorable reception. I have appointed thirteen members of a state central committee, under whose management the organization of the state will practically commence about March 1, at which time we expect to hold a mass meeting in this city for the purpose of formally launching the movement. Immediately thereafter we shall hold similar meetings in Oakland, Sacramento and San Jose, and afterwards we shall bring together the land reformers of the smaller towns. Our land and labor club meets every Thursday evening for public discussion, and for the reading each night of a chapter from "Progress and Poverty." The general and growing desire among the people to hear the land question discussed gives promise of great results from our contemplated propaganda.

The New Party's Name.

BROOKLYN, Feb. 21.—I believe, and I speak from practical experience acquired as a warm adherent of the new party, that changing its name from the labor party to some more comprehensive one would be immediately followed by large numbers of additional followers. What name more suitable for us than "anti-monopolists," since the purpose of our organization is to overthrow monopoly? It is all well enough to say that the word "laborer" is used in its higher and scientific sense, and comprises "all who work with land or brain." I can assure you that most men whom I have met (outside of "organized labor" and trades unions) speak and think of the constituents of the new party as "they," and feel as if they never could say "we." The name gives them this impression. They read the words; instead of labor party they see it as the (central) labor (union) party. It implies a class movement to those not immediately connected with organized labor, whereas the name anti-monopolist party really implies a party or movement of the whole people waging war against all monopoly of the people's privileges and rights. C. E. R.

Another Party Name.

St. Paul, Minn., Feb. 15.—The new party will be truly national in principle, and why should it not be national in name? As a humble member of the rank and file of that party, I protest against the name, progressive democracy. Let democrats continue voting for Andrew Jackson; let republicans go on voting to abolish slavery and paralyze the somewhat elastic spinal column of the wicked rebellion; but in my judgment the time has come when every man who believes that the heavers of wood and drawers of water—the vulgar, common herd—have some rights which law makers are bound to respect, should cut loose from both these parties at once and forever. Let us repudiate the methods and principles—or, rather, the utter lack of principle—of both, and every vestige of the name of each. Let us organize a new party; one that shall be fully abreast of the times in which we live, and yet thoroughly loyal to the principles of nature, eternal justice, in their application to the science of civil government. I move that we call ourselves *nationalists* and our party the *national party*.

The letter is simply a string of platitudes, and does not contain a single explicit answer to Mr. Doubleday's inquiries. It is chiefly remarkable for its amusing claim that the labor question is a local one, and for its declaration that the republican party first made it honorable to labor."

THE STANDARD.

HENRY GEORGE, Editor and Proprietor.

Published weekly at
25 ANN STREET, NEW YORK.

TERMS, POSTAGE FREE:
One year, \$2.50; six months, \$1.50; single copies, 5 cents.
Entered at the post office, New York, as second class matter.

Communications and contributions are invited, and will be attentively considered. Manuscripts not found suitable for publication will be returned if sufficient stamps are sent for return postage. No notice will be taken of anonymous communications.

Contributions and letters on editorial matters should be addressed to THE EDITOR OF THE STANDARD, and all communications on business to the PUBLISHER OF THE STANDARD.

THE STANDARD wants an agent to secure subscribers at every post office in the United States, to whom liberal terms will be given.

THE STANDARD is for sale by newsdealers throughout the United States. Persons who may be unable to obtain it will confer a favor on the publisher by notifying him promptly.

Sample copies sent free on application.

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 1887.

THE COMING PARTY.

The conference which met at Cincinnati this week for the purpose of forming a new party was a sort of omnium gathering of all shades of "reformers," deriving what little representative character it had from the delegates of western green-backers and farmers' associations. There were some few representatives of isolated assemblies of the Knights of Labor, but the great bodies of organized workmen, the central labor unions of the large cities and, with one or two local exceptions, the branches of the united labor party and the land and labor clubs now forming throughout the country refused to have anything to do with it. Some idea of what the conference included is indicated by the fact that the representatives of New York consisted of W. A. Carver, Dr. Ferdinand Seeger and George D. Lennon. In New York these three names would not be taken as representing three votes.

Even if the positively repellent "labor politicians" were kept out, any such attempt to make a political party by calling together all sorts of incongruous elements and endeavoring out of their diverse opinions to arrive at some common basis on which they can all stand, is doomed in advance to failure. Each endeavor to get its own views into the platform, and each in order to do so has to submit to some compromises. The consequence is a platform which embraces pretty much everything and means nothing, which neither provokes opposition nor arouses support. Political parties are not manufactured in this way. They grow out of some widely felt demand, the progress of some definite opinion; and they present, for the time being at least, some one definite issue which men, whatever their other opinions, are impelled either to support or to oppose. There has been for some time past in the United States enough discontent with existing parties to give rise to a new party; but the difficulty has been that the discontent has been vague, and those who felt it have not been united either as to the cause of the evils they felt or as to the method to be adopted for their cure. The Knights of Labor alone are strong enough in numbers to give birth to a political party which would soon compel the reconstruction of political lines; but as shown by the platforms adopted at the conventions, the Knights of Labor have not known what they wanted politically, and a congress or a legislature selected by the order would at once have split into opposing factions when confronted with any of the vital questions of legislation.

But through all the chaos of conflicting opinions an idea has been making its way, around which it is possible for a great party to crystallize, and the nucleus of that party, at least, has already begun to form. At the last municipal election the workmen of New York, instead of presenting, as had former labor parties, a platform embracing everything in general and nothing in particular, had the good sense to bring the land question to the front, and subordinate everything else to the demand that the monopoly of natural opportunities should be broken up by concentrating taxation on the value of land. The opposition that this at once aroused showed that they had struck the keynote, and in spite of stronger efforts to defeat them than had ever been aroused by a labor party before, they evolved a strength and polled a vote which at once rescued their movement from the contempt with which politicians had learned to regard labor movements. Movements of the same kind have already begun in other cities, and the general discussion of the land question now going on all over the country indicates that it is the issue upon which the next real political battle is to be fought. When a great fundamental question like this comes to the front minor questions must for the time sink out of sight. Many of them are in reality involved in the larger question, and from the position a man takes on the greater, his position on the lesser may be implied, but it is on the single issue that the battle is joined.

In striking contrast with this conference of men who as a body "wanted to find out what they wanted," was the great audience of over five thousand that filled the Cincinnati Music Hall on the night before the conference met to listen to an exposition of the aims of the Cincinnati land and labor clubs. As Dr. De Beck said in his opening address, "We know what we want, and we know how we want to get it." It is the men who "know what they want" who make parties.

How rapidly the land question is coming to the front is, however, shown by the platform of the conference itself, where it is given the place of importance in the first resolution, and where something more than a glimpse of the great central truth, over

which our political contests are soon to rage is shown by the declaration that "every human being possesses a natural, inalienable right to sufficient land for self-support."

What the conference proposes as means "to this end" are ridiculously inadequate, but the mere acceptance in any form of the truth that all men have rights to land will lead in time to the acceptance of adequate measures for giving it practical effect.

The time has now come for an active propaganda on behalf of the doctrine of equal rights in land. Mr. John McMackin, chairman of the central committee appointed by the Cooper Union mass meeting of November 6th, and who is also chairman of the general committee of the united labor party of New York, purposes from now on to devote such time as he can spare from his local duties to the work of organizing clubs throughout the state of New York, and will begin the work next week.

As a further means of assisting the propaganda, THE STANDARD will next week begin the issue of a series of tracts, which will be furnished in quantities at nominal prices.

A DANGEROUS CONSPIRACY.

There is grave reason to suspect that there is a disposition to prevent the assembling of a constitutional convention this year. Gov. Hill, in his speech at the Brooklyn dinner on Tuesday evening, declared that the republican majority in the legislature had attempted to force on him for acceptance a bill which provides that in republican constituencies the representation shall be two for the majority and one for the minority, while in democratic districts the representation of the majority and minority shall be equal. He plainly intimated that he would sign no such measure. Of course public opinion would condemn the attempt of the legislature to force such a bill as he describes upon him, but both the governor and the legislature should understand that this is not a matter about which they can afford to disagree in the present state of public feeling.

The people of New York have demanded this convention, and there is no mistaking the emphasis of their demand. Certainly the politicians did not urge the people to such action. The simple duty enjoined by the constitution of providing for a vote on the question was performed by the legislature with manifest reluctance, and it is more than probable that the failure to make any provision for printing ballots was intentional. Nevertheless the people found ballots—Mr. Joseph Pulitzer, of the *World*, being public spirited enough to do at the expense of printing them—and 574,993 votes were cast for the convention, giving in its favor a majority of 395,450 of the whole vote polled for judge of the court of appeals, and a majority of 544,327 of the votes cast for or against a convention. There is nothing left for the governor and legislature to do but to obey this mandate, and any failure on their part will be a lawless and revolutionary defiance of the people's will, tending to destroy the very foundations of society and civil order. Should those who make and execute the law become wilful law breakers, with what reason can we expect the obedience of others to law? The governor and legislature can and will fight for political advantage, but any final failure to agree on a reasonable measure will involve them both in a common condemnation from which they can never escape.

Nor will a pretence of inability to agree and an attempt by each to throw the blame on the other avail them. There is a strong suspicion of a deliberate conspiracy to defeat the people's expressed will. The Albany correspondent of the *Evening Telegram* distinctly charges that such a conspiracy exists. He declares that it includes both democrats and republicans, and that it is backed by the railroad lobby, the street railway ring and the gas monopoly. He further intimates that prominent newspapers are aiding the desperate scheme. These are serious charges. If those implicated are guilty they are simply anarchists, defying the supreme authority and breaking down all respect for law and all claim of authority to obedience or recognition. Are they true? So far as they include the governor and the legislature there can be but one effective denial, and that is agreement on a bill. Failure to do this will arouse the wrath of an outraged and injured people whose lawful mandate will have been criminally defied and disobeyed.

If there is a conspiracy to defeat the will of the people in the matter of a constitutional convention, there is every probability that, besides the corporations, there is in it the fine Roman hand of Archbishop Corrigan, and it may be that it is his influence with the managers of the corrupt democratic rings to which the inception of this bold attempt is due. That he did secretly exert himself to defeat at the polls the call for the convention we have several times specifically charged, and this he has not ventured authoritatively to deny. It would be quite consistent with the character of the man and the methods of the school of clerical politicians to which he belongs that, having failed to defeat the constitutional convention before the people, he should now be scheming to defeat it in the legislature.

A correspondent in New Jersey sends us a copy of the marked ballots which were in 1875 given out in the Catholic churches of Bishop Corrigan's New Jersey diocese, and a Catholic priest in the interior of New York, who as a Catholic declares himself deeply indignant at the manner in which the ecclesiastical authorities are attempting to interfere in politics, asks us to reprint the secret circular which Archbishop Corrigan, then bishop of Newark, sent to the clergy of his diocese, and which was brought to light in the manner we have already detailed by some of his indignant

priests, who though not daring openly to express their feelings were deeply outraged by what they deemed the prostitution of religious authority. We comply with our reverend friend's request, and re-publish the letter:

NEWARK, Sept. 3, 1875.
Reverend and Dear Sir—Having taken legal advice, I am informed that by the new constitutional amendments clerical property is liable to taxation. This would involve so heavy an additional burden to the diocese that I feel it my duty to recommend you to INSTRUCT your people to strike out the objectionable clause, or, better still, to make assurance doubly sure, let them strike out the whole ballot.

It is not enough to abstain from voting; let them vote, and vote against the amendment.

Very truly yours,
MICHAEL, Bishop of Newark.

P. S.—Remember that our people must cancel by pen or pencil the whole ballot and then vote it thus canceled, in order to protest against injustice.

Remember also that the special election in regard to these constitutional amendments will take place next Tuesday, Sept. 7.

Observe the phraseology. The bishop, with the absolute power of removal and promotion in his hands, recommends to his priests to INSTRUCT their people how they must vote on a most important constitutional amendment. This is the power which Archbishop Corrigan uses, as he claims, at the behest of Italian cardinals.

A WAIL FROM RENTERS.

A Pittsburg paper prints "A wail from the renters," because of the extortionate advance in house hire in that city. It declares that "rents have been increased in all directions, upon the theory that property owners want a share in the prosperity that is hovering over Pittsburg," and says that "houses costing not more than \$3,000 are held at rents averaging \$50 per month."

This is simply what might have been expected. The utilization of natural gas has not made bricks and mortar any more valuable in Pittsburg, but it has made land more valuable. The confident expectation of the future growth of Pittsburg, the knowledge that this will enable the owners of land to get higher prices for its use, causes the landlords of Pittsburg to unite, since by combination in marking up the prices of their lots they can compel the payment of higher rents.

But there is an easy way out of the difficulty if the people of Pittsburg would but see it. There are thousands of vacant lots in Pittsburg, many of them owned by people who have done nothing whatever toward building up the great industries to which the city owes its growth and wealth.

There are plenty of men who would be glad to erect needed dwellings on these lots for the use of themselves and others, could they but gain access to the land. Suppose the people of Pittsburg should exempt from taxation all buildings and improvements and collect what revenue they need from a tax on the value of land, irrespective of improvements—what would be the result?

First, it would cease to be so profitable to hold vacant lots, and owners would have to build upon them or sell to those who would do so. Second, the exemption of buildings from taxation would stimulate building and reduce house rents. Third, the city treasury would receive a substantial increase of revenue that would enable it to extend its streets, thereby widening the area of accessible ground for residential purposes, and thus prevent future growth of population from causing a recurrence of the crowding process that has produced this "wail from the renters." Fourth, the people of Pittsburg, as a whole, would receive some benefit from the liberal bounty of nature in furnishing a cheap and good fuel.

Some of the Pittsburg papers profess to be greatly alarmed at the growth of the land doctrine in their city. Is there anything dreadful in a policy of taxation that would put money in the city treasury, insure comfortable homes at fair house hire to the busy men whose labor makes the city's prosperity, and be opposed by no one except those who fatten on the labor and enterprise of others while doing nothing themselves? Are the drones of more account than the workers in the eyes of the Pittsburg editors? Now that the smoke that once enveloped their city has cleared away, they ought to be able to see more clearly. There are few places where the transfer of all taxation to land values would have so prompt an effect in promoting enterprise and relieving labor as in Pittsburg; and there are few parts of the world where the unlocking of natural resources would stimulate such a marvelous growth as in the wonderfully favored state of Pennsylvania. If the people of the Keystone state would only consider what freedom would do for them they would quickly give up their devotion to their miserable fetish of "protection."

OUR PRISON SYSTEM.

The torturing of convicts in the Southern prison of Indiana is an illustration of the extent to which brutality in prison management may go with impunity. Less than three months ago the prison directors, in their report to the governor of Indiana, complimented the warden "for the efficient manner" in which he had performed his duties, the deputy and his assistants for "maintaining order and discipline" among the prisoners, and the chaplains for their vigilance "in watching over the spiritual as well as the temporal welfare of the inmates." And now it comes out that the warden was frequently so drunk when convicts were reported to him for discipline that he left their punishment to the discretion of the guards; that excruciating tortures were inflicted for trivial infractions of the rules, and often for none at all, and that the prison was so filthy that a member of the investigating committee declared the Black hole of Calcutta a pleasant resort in comparison.

The inhuman management of this prison might never have been exposed but for a heavy shortage in the prison accounts. Investigation of this led to the discovery that convicts with accumulated earnings were frequently punished by deductions

and from this led to disclosure of the tortures. That similar barbarities are practised in prisons where defalcations have not occurred to expose them is something more than an inference. The constant complaints of prisoners that fall on a deaf public ear are not always prompted by malice; they are often the pleas of helpless, friendless men, who have been cruelly and wantonly tortured by officials within whose almost irresponsible power they were placed. In this state corporal punishment is forbidden by law, yet there is good reason to suspect that it is secretly administered, and the warden at Sing Sing confessedly uses and openly recommends what he calls "the weighing machine," an instrument of bodily torture for which he claims the attorney general's approval. From other prisons and other states complaints which demand more careful investigation than a wining-and-dining board of prison directors are apt to give are frequent. These complaints do not relate to punishments alone, but to bribery, favoritism and subtle modes of oppression as well.

The truth is that the whole prison system is wrong. Save the momentary pang, a man might better be hung than buried alive in one of our prisons under the penal system that now prevails. It works not for reform but for revenge, and embodies all the inhumanities of slavery without its responsibilities or interests.

THE GERMAN ELECTION.

By the unscrupulous exercise of the utmost power of the "strongest" government in the world, by the influence of a war scare and the aid of the pope, Bismarck has carried his septennate. But the victory is one that indicates the certain doom of the empire. The great fact which the election brings out is the growth of socialism in Germany. Although owing to the distribution of seats, or, as we should call it, to the gerrymandering of representation, the socialists do not get their fair proportion of members, they have, in spite of the repressive measures of the government, a most tremendous moral victory. In 1884 they polled 700,000 votes; in the recent election this total was increased to 1,200,000. How long will it be at this rate of increase before Germany will be overwhelmingly socialist, and the German emperors and princes will be forced to seek the retirement of foreign shores?

Whatever he may think of socialist theories every true lover of peace and freedom throughout the world will rejoice at the steady march of German socialism. Its triumph means the dethronement of kings, the disbandment of armies, the healing of national feuds, and the turning of energies now devoted to repression and destruction to the improvement of the condition of mankind.

Another thing of good augury that the German election shows is the manly way in which a great body of the Catholic electors have resented the interference of Rome. The more these German Catholics reflect upon the manner in which the papal authorities have endeavored to sell them out to their persecutor, the man of blood and iron, in return for his proposed "mediation" in Italy the clearer are they likely to see the danger of permitting foreign ecclesiastical interference in politics, and the firmer will they plant themselves upon the Irish platform, "As much religion as you like from Rome, but no politics."

DOWNSIDE UP.

Mr. Hewitt is evidently determined to keep on "saving society" even if the size of the job compels him to leave official duties to Mayor Beekman. He has taken the opportunity of an invitation to dine with a social society of southern men to send them a letter, in which the skeleton of southern slavery is trotted out into their feast, for the purpose of affording him an excuse to denounce the labor associations of the north; and in response to another invitation to dine with a company of Brooklyn democrats he sent them a letter on the same subject, which they deemed in such bad taste that they would not read it.

Mr. Hewitt is a queer example of intellectual topsy-turviness. He is not without intelligence, and some of his utterances even suggest the possibility that he might become a statesman were it not for his faculty of seeing things upside down. In his southern letter he says: "Where labor is free, and the citizen may dispose of his time and effort as he sees fit, progress, even where natural resources are few, is inevitable." Again, he attributes the former prosperity of the north to "the personal right of each man to employ himself according to his own will," and declares that "when men who are seeking work find that there is only one condition upon which they can get it, they must surrender their private views and personal independence to the necessities of the case." "The sacrifice having been made," he continues, "the power of the leaders becomes absolute."

Here is a curious example of how Mr. Hewitt, intellectually speaking, stands on his head to take a survey of the world, and mistakes the branches of trees for their roots. Himself a great monopolizer of the opportunities which nature offers to labor, he talks, and with evident sincerity, as though the only obstacle to the freedom of the citizen to employ himself came from labor societies and "walking delegates."

It is quite true, as Mr. Hewitt says, that where labor is free and each citizen may dispose of his time and effort as he sees fit progress is inevitable, "even where natural resources are few;" but progress is not possible where all natural resources are in the hands of others, and the laborer cannot employ his time and effort without the consent of those who monopolize such resources. This it is that compels men to surrender their private views and their personal independence. This is the sacrifice that being once made gives absolute power, not to the leaders of the workingmen in their struggle against

it, but to the corporations and individuals who control that without which men can neither labor nor live.

Unfortunately Mr. Hewitt's case is probably hopeless, but he can rest assured that the great masses of his fellow citizens are at last waking up to the fact that the first essential of all freedom is the freedom to make a living, and that they intend in a better way than his to "save society" from the real dangers which lie in topsy-turvy fashion perceives. They propose breaking up the monopolies which are the real cause of labor troubles, by so using the power of taxation as to make such appropriators of nature's bounties as he is let go their hold.

In the meantime, Mr. Hewitt's notion that it is the mission of the democratic party to suppress labor movements is suggestively characteristic of the sort of democracy of which he has been so long a bright, particular star. But the true democracy is even now beginning to muster its forces. That is what is the matter with Mr. Hewitt.

CONSTITUTIONAL PRECEDENTS.

Lillie Devereux Blake, president of the New York State Woman Suffrage association, in an address to the legislature, asking that women citizens be admitted to vote for delegates to the constitutional convention, shows that there is abundant precedent for granting the petition. The legislature of 1801, in calling the convention of that year, disregarded the freehold qualification for voters under the old constitution, and called on all free male citizens of the state of the age of twenty-one years and upward to join in choosing delegates to the convention. The convention thus chosen re-established the former qualification for voters, but the legislature of 1821 again disregarded the restriction, and called those not interested in it to participate in the choice of delegates, and the convention widened the franchise so as to permanently include in the body of legal voters all of the classes that had participated in electing its members. Thus we see that in each case the legislature took the initiative in extending the suffrage.

Similar action does not appear to have been taken in 1846 and 1867, but the conventions themselves took even more radical action. That of 1846 extended the suffrage to all white men and to colored men possessed of \$250 freehold, while that of 1867 established manhood suffrage. These precedents would warrant the coming convention in submitting the new constitution to all of the citizens of the state without regard to sex; but the earlier precedents would justify this legislature in calling on the men and women of the state to join in choosing delegates to the convention.

It is difficult to see how even the enemies of woman suffrage could object to this. We all claim that governments derive their only just powers from the consent of the governed. The women are among the governed, and their assent is therefore essential under our theory. It is assumed that they do assent, but it can do no harm to ask them to say so for themselves once in twenty years. If, as many say, the great majority of women do not want to vote, all they need do to assist having that duty thrust upon them will be to assist in electing delegates opposed to woman suffrage. If, on the other hand, they really wish to vote, the opportunity will be afforded them to make that fact manifest.

The treasury is overflowing with silver dollars and new vaults must be built for storage. Miners on the Pacific slope are digging holes in the ground to get raw silver out, while the government is digging holes in the ground at Washington to put coined silver in. The metal might as well, for all the good it does, be left where nature placed it. It might better be molded into a monument for Grant or a statue of liberty. But, say the financial schemers, silver certificates would have to be withdrawn. No. They would not be silver certificates, it is true; but let them be called "rag baby" certificates, or faith certificates, or not certificates at all. So long as the law gave to them the power of canceling they would be just as good money as they are now. As it is, they do not represent a dollar intrinsically, for a silver dollar does not contain a dollar's worth of silver. But the silver dollar will by force of law cancel a dollar's worth of debt, and therefore the silver certificate is good money—an effective medium of exchange and convenient measure of value. If the law can turn three-quarters of a dollar of silver into a dollar of money by making it a legal tender for debt, it can by the same token turn a piece of paper into whatever sum of money it may stamp upon its face. Then let the silver loose. Melt it into teapots. Work it up into match cases. Send it back to the mines and bury it where the holes are already dug. Make social ballast of it, to keep society from getting too heavy. Do anything with it that will make it useful or ornamental, or if useless, then inexpensive; and give us legal tender certificates. If these certificates must have a silver basis, let them be based on the mines instead of the vaults.

Mr. LEE, the president of the Brooklyn democratic club, which entertained Governor Hill the other evening, speaking to a *Sun* reporter about Mr. Hewitt's suppressed letter, said: "I did not think the letter would be well received by those who were present. It contained allusions to Henry George, who, it stated, had fallen from the ladder he had climbed. It also stated that Dr. McGlynn's boom had become paralyzed." Mr. Hewitt's letters suggest, for all the world, Dickens' pathetic picture of Mr. Dick. Try as he would, poor Mr. Dick couldn't keep King Charles' head out of his numerous memorials.

SMALLEY'S brief visit to this country did not cure him of his torism. He sneers at the Scotch members who air their country's grievances in parliament, and is especially severe on Peter Esslemann, "an ambitious Aberdeenshire dry goods merchant," who champions the cause of the Scotch lease holders, and wants the agricultural leases revised because the prices of produce have fallen. "This," says Mr. Smalley, with undisguised contempt, "is a good illustration of the extent to which men's business consciences have been debauched by the doctrines lately preached." The correspondent

rejoices because parliament sat heavily on "this naive proposal for allowing poor people to break the law because they are poor." This anglicized American manifestly thinks that if men cannot get enough out of the land to enable them to live and pay their landlords they ought to surrender it to deer or grouse. This is not an uncommon opinion among those Englishmen with whom Mr. Smalley most delights to associate.

PUBLIC sentiment is at last awakened to the danger of an organization like Pinkerton's hiring army, and over the country there is a demand for its suppression, which promises to result in stringent laws against it in at least some of the states. It is astonishing that the presence and development of this private military organization should have been so long tolerated. Last summer it murdered unoffending citizens in St. Louis, and nothing was done about it. A few weeks ago it murdered a child in Jersey City, and a class press characterized the event as—"unfortunate." Such indifference to outrages like these is significant. It means that the Pinkerton troops, ready for hire to whoever will buy, supplies a want of the privileged classes. Pinkerton is a private detective. His services are to be had for money. For that he will track a fugitive, set a decoy, invade the privacy of homes and associations, or organize and discipline an army. His price is so much per man. The men he provides are necessarily gathered from a mercenary and conscienceless element. Indifference to human suffering and even to human life, seems an essential qualification for service under him. His army is uniformed, equipped, drilled and officered as if for public service. Its members, when "on duty," are commissioned as peace officers, and appear in the character of public servants. Yet they are at all times private retainers. The men obey their officers, the officers obey Pinkerton, and Pinkerton obeys his client. This is the worst than medieval institution that is taking root now and here. The privileged classes, not satisfied with a police force manipulated by their favored dependents and parasites, an obedient regular army, with officers trained from youth in the prejudices of caste as well as in the arts of war, laws flavored with the class despotism of England's most barbarous period, and courts organized to prevent justice, must have a private army of mercenaries who make a living by civil warfare. This want of theirs Pinkerton supplies. Stamp it out!

If there were any sincerity in the clamor for cost defenses a halt would be called by the recent letter of Admiral Porter to a member of congress. The admiral declares that there are European ironclads which could today anchor off Coney Island and shell all of Staten Island, Brooklyn and New York City for a quarter of a mile above the City Hall. This being the case money spent in fortifying the lower bay and Sandy Hook would simply be wasted. Such an argument would be conclusive but for the fact that the coast defense shriekers are anxious for the expenditure of the money, whether the government obtains anything for it or not, and want a big military establishment, not to defend us against foreign enemies, but to help secure "strong government" at home.

The New York *Sun* quotes with triumph Dietrich Knickerbocker's account of Governor Peter Stuyvesant's preparations for the defense of New York nearly two centuries ago. "Peter," says Knickerbocker, "was strongly bigoted to certain obsolete maxims of the old school; among which he firmly believed that to render a country respected abroad it was necessary to make it formidable at home, and that a nation should place its reliance for peace and security more upon its own strength than on the justice or good will of its neighbors." This is rather a remarkable confession for the *Sun*. Here at the close of the nineteenth century that paper is the loud exponent of an idea that had begun to be obsolete and antiquated in the early part of the eighteenth century. Stuyvesant put up his defences. Why not rebuild them? They would be just as useful five years hence against the projectiles of that time as would those that the iron ring now desires to erect at the expense of the American people.

The *Star* has reached the sage conclusion that the Philadelphia workmen failed to give Mr. Phillips a larger vote for mayor because they take no interest in abstractions and are "interested only about getting fair wages to support their families through this winter." Their indifference as to all future winters is not explained. Now that the Philadelphia workmen have continued one of the old parties in power, does the *Star* think that they have thereby made it sure that they will get "fair wages to support their families through this winter?"

It is said that a Kentucky farmer has trained seven large monkeys to work in his hemp fields, and to break and prepare hemp for the market. He says they do more work than negroes, and it only costs a fourth as much to maintain them. The monkeys come from South Africa, and other farmers are preparing to import the animals. The negroes, who are thus put into a position in which they must choose between monkey diet and starvation, are reported to have held a meeting at which they resolved to boycott the beasts with shotguns. We give this story for what it is worth, merely remarking that if it be true, this Kentucky farmer has found the solution of the labor question from the standpoint of many employers, who will insist that the first duty of the state is to protect the monkeys from assault, and preserve their right to labor where they please.

ASSEMBLYMAN KRUSE has introduced a bill at Albany to punish all persons who bore for and find natural gas by fining them \$500 for every ten days they allow the gas to be wasted. Where are the "saviors of society?" If the man who bores owns the ground, and the hole in the ground, he owns the natural gas; and what right has the legislature to punish him for wasting his own property? Mr. Kruse menaces society. Next we shall hear of his introducing a bill to make natural gas, hole, ground and all, public property.

ONE of the republican members of the New Jersey legislature who has refused to vote for the return of Mr. Sewell to the United States senate is spoken of by the New York *Sun* as "Mr. Corbin, who is an able man with an idea that he has a special mission to smash corporate domination in the politics of the state." In the days when the *Sun* was gaining instead of losing circulation it did not thus sneer at men who took the part of the people against corporations.

Where Speculators Are Putting Their Money.

Toledo Blade.
The speculative interest in the great money centers of the country has largely withdrawn from transactions in stocks and bonds, and gone into real estate in the south and west, notably the former.

QUERIES AND ANSWERS.

Small Foreign Immigration be Prohibited?

LANSING, N. Y., Feb. 14.—It is well known that immigration from abroad is enormous and that 90 per cent of the immigrants have not a dollar. Last year the average was over 1,000 per day. Just think of 1,000 people coming from foreign countries looking for work in our already overstocked country! It is estimated that there are 1,000,000 idle men in the United States now. What do we want of any more? An immigrant landing here without friends jumps at any wages he can get, even if he undermines a citizen. There ought to be laws to prohibit such immigration. A restriction of some kind, for instance the possession of a stipulated sum of money, say \$500, should be imposed. Not \$200 as a fee for entrance, but the immigrant should possess that amount as a condition of entrance. By this method we should bring money into the country.

I have noticed that at the time our country was entering a prosperous era immigration was greatest. It is impossible for the United States to furnish employment for the whole world, but that is just what we are doing. Organized labor will never be able to accomplish anything so long as corporations can open the flood gates and let in pauper foreign labor to take the place of our own workers.

GEORGE B. GOWEY.

Your proposition is good protection doctrine, and should find earnest advocates in the Tribune and the Sun. But think a moment. Is our country overstocked with workers? That cannot truthfully be said while building lots are vacant and people need houses; while coal beds are unopened and people shiver for want of fuel; while millions of acres are untitled and people are in want of food. It is not our country that is overstocked with workers, but the people who own our country.

It is quite possible for the United States to furnish employment for the whole world. Tear down the parchment wall of title deeds that towers between the workers and the idle land of our country, and see what work, and what profitable work, there will be for everybody.

You are right in saying that immigrants without funds jump at any wages they can get and undermine citizens. But it is not the fault of the immigrant; it is the fault of the law that enables men with money in their pocket and speculation in their eye to wall in opportunities to work. This limits the demand for workers to the wants of an employing class, and keeps up a supply of men without work who, by bidding against those that have it, drag wages down. Penniless immigrants reinforce the army of the unemployed, and make the competition keener.

To keep them out of the country would slightly and temporarily modify competition, but nothing more. It would make organized labor stronger in a strike, but not much. With over a million men in the country looking for a job, employers have a labor supply to draw from, which is effectual enough in the process of beating down wages. A million laborers more than employers need will reduce wages to the minimum, land being held as private property, just as certainly, though not so soon, as twenty millions.

The idea of interfering with the freedom of laborers, either by such a restriction as you propose, or by a tariff on foreign products, or by taxing products of any kind, is all wrong. What is needed is a removal—not a multiplication—of restrictions. Make foreign products free by abolishing the tariff; make domestic products free by abolishing the taxes on them; and, above all, make raw material free by taxing land values until no one will appropriate land that he does not use, and all seeming necessity for keeping people out of the country or bringing money in will vanish.

Trying to Solve the Problem.

BALTIMORE, Feb. 11.—In the reading room of one of our hotels a party of gentlemen were discussing the New York strike. Dr. McGlynn and THE STANDARD. "The way to solve this question of 'The Land for the People,'" said one, "is for every state to tax vacant land owned by individuals living within the state at three or four times the rate paid by those who use their land. This would force owners to sell to those desiring homes. Taxes on non-resident owners should be fully double those on resident owners, and vacant lands owned by corporations in or out of the state should be taxed in a similar manner. Agricultural lands should be divided into plots of eighty acres each, and no individual or corporation should be permitted to own in fee simple over one such plot, unless willing to pay the rate fixed on non-resident property. This would at once compel the owners of large estates to sell and force millions of acres upon the market. There would be no wrong done to any man; millions of happy homes would be planted everywhere; tramps and strikes would be unknown; the land would belong to the people."

The ideas expressed seemed novel to me, and I record them for THE STANDARD.

WALTER WHITE.

The gentleman you quote hears the ringing of the bell, but doesn't know where the steeple is. There is no reason for taxing vacant land more than improved land of the same value, nor for taxing non-resident more than resident land-holders, or corporations more than individuals, except as there is a difference in the value of their holdings. A uniform *ad valorem* land tax would force unused lands into market more surely than would a tax that discriminated against corporations and non-residents. Nor is the plan of dividing agricultural lands into small holdings a good one. It would interfere with farming on a large scale. The gentleman you quote evidently intended by this, however, to secure a homestead to the farmer, for he would allow large holdings, provided the owner paid full tax on all in excess of eighty acres. But no real necessity for homestead privileges would exist if all land were taxed its full rental value. There would then be all around us land substantially free for occupancy and use.

The Rent of Houses.

BUFFALO, N. Y., Jan. 24.—When all taxation is thrown on land values, how is house rent to be prevented from rising accordingly.

C. SWENSON.

This question, which is frequently asked, is due to ignoring an elementary distinction—that between monopoly prices and competitive prices.

Land commands a monopoly price because it cannot be supplied by labor. Its owner can and does demand for it all the occupier will give rather than take up with a poorer situation. But houses command only a competitive price, because labor can reproduce them at will.

Now a tax upon a monopoly price comes out of the price, while a tax upon a competitive price is added to it. Land values, therefore, are taken from land rents, while house taxes are added to house rents, the former being paid by the landlord and the latter by the tenant. If all taxes were placed on land values ground rents would not rise in consequence. They could

not. They are already as high as "the traffic will bear." But house rents would be less, at least to the extent of the tax removed from the house. If a piece of land is worth \$100 a year, its value will not be increased by an additional tax. The landlord will have to be satisfied with \$100 or leave it vacant; nor will he be obliged to take less than \$100 if his tax is reduced. But a tax on a house increases its value because labor will not reproduce houses unless the consumer pay the tax. Houses might be taxed so high that few more houses would be built, but you cannot tax land values high enough to lessen in the least the supply of land. The effect of exclusively taxing land values would be to reduce ground rents by making a glut in the land market. The effect of removing taxes from houses would be to decrease house rent by encouraging house building. In no way could this tendency be overcome. Hence, it would be impossible for house rent to rise with the tax on land values. It would fall.

Two Cases of Possible Hardship.

NEW YORK, Feb. 12.—I own a frame house and lot. I worked hard to clear off the mortgage. Now I am old and cannot work much. The property, when fully rented, brings in \$900 a year. If I paid eight per cent taxes on the value of the land, which is \$8,000 on my block, my tax would be \$720, a. I would have but little left to live on in my old days. I have a neighbor, a poor widow, with two children, who owns a frame house on which there is a mortgage. She manages to make a living out of it and bring her children up well. But suppose all taxes were placed on land values, what would become of this sickly woman?

LABORER.

Your case and that of the widow are the same. You are dependent on account of your age, and she on account of her health and sex and the children she is rearing, and both of you are mainly supported by ground rents, paid by tenants to whom you give no equivalent, the ground they occupy being by gift of the Creator as much theirs as yours. To tax you \$720 would leave you but \$180, which probably is a fair return as things go now for your capital—the house and for your work and expense, caring for it and collecting rents. What right have you to more from the people who live in your house? You plead dependence, but why should these people be burdened with your support? Is it because you in the past exchanged your labor for a title deed to that lot, whereby you are able now to force them to work for you? That reasoning, if valid, would justify slavery if the master were a poor man who worked until he had enough to buy a "likely nigger" to work for him. It is precisely the kind of reasoning that was used against abolition thirty years ago. Cases like yours are always invited by privileged classes when their privilege is assailed. Such cases, by exciting emotion, make reason blind and tip the scales of justice. Great general wrongs are of late forgotten, if sympathy can be aroused for forcible instances of hardship.

But there need be no hardship in either case you mention. Both you and the widow should, not as a charity, but as a right, be well supported out of that fund which belongs to all—the rental value of land. You are now supported by a few people upon whom you have no special claim; you would then be supported by all the people, upon whom you have a just claim, as an equal owner with them of the land out of which they produce. Such support would not be humiliating. It would not be alms. If superannuated judges can, without loss of self-respect, enjoy pensions on account of services for which they have been already paid, superannuated laborers and helpless widows ought to feel no shame in taking dividends from common property to the value of which their services in the past and their very presence now contribute.

Do not be misled by the cavillings of the selfish that are echoed in your letter. Small land owners are to the privileged classes what the horse in Esop's fable was to the man. Without their support, and they really support rather than profit from the system, the great monopolists who in idleness themselves fatten upon the industry of others would soon go to the wall.

Security of Private Property.

DETROIT, Mich., Feb. 11.—In advocating the gospel of the land for the people I am frequently met with the notion, especially in farming communities, that it is proposed to make the products of labor public property and deprive a man of his earnings. This is an ignorant notion, to be sure, but for that reason it should be constantly refuted.

S. G. HOWELL.

Instead of making labor products public property, it is proposed to make them more secure as private property than they are now. We would not only recognize individual ownership in every product of labor, from a sheaf of grain to a farm house and from a flatboat to a steamship, but we would also remove from it every form of tax. In principle there is no difference between taking part of a product for public use, as by our system of taxation we do now, and taking the whole. The right to do one involves a right to do the other. But we deny both the right and the expediency of doing either.

By shifting all taxes to land values we make taxes a compensation to the public for appropriating public property. No man can produce land—it is a creation of nature; therefore no man can claim ownership of land. Nor does any one man create land values; they are created by the demand of the community. Both land and land values then are public property, and when an individual converts them to his own use, he should pay the public for his privilege. Such payments would make a revenue fund that would lift the whole burden of taxation from the producer; he would pay ground rent only, whereas now he must pay ground rent and taxes too. It would do more. It would bring vacant land into use and make it easy for any man wanting work to find it ready at hand at wages measured by his earnings instead of by competition among laborers for a job.

Any man who uses his land can well afford to have land values made the sole basis of taxation. The extent that his direct tax was thereby increased would be more than offset by the abolition of other taxes, the increase of his wages if he worked, and the improved state of society in which he lived. The farmer would be especially benefited; for besides his higher wages and the removal of tariff taxes, he would pay less direct taxes than now. This would be so with every farmer whose land was worth less than his improvements, stock and produce; and how many farmers are there whose land is worth more?

MUSIC IN SCHOOLS.

False Methods Due to Evil Influences in Politics.

BROOKLYN, Jan. 16.—No one who is at all familiar with the politics of New York and Brooklyn will wonder at the strong statements made by Prof. Jerome Hopkins in THE STANDARD upon the method of teaching mu-

sic prevalent in our common schools. The appointment of teachers is but a part of the ring system that has dominated these cities under the two great parties for many years, and until that rule can be broken no great improvement may be looked for. The situation here recalls that which obtained in England until within the last few years, and the vast change for the better that has taken place in musical education may well inspire every reformer with the hope that the coming change in American politics may reach the public schools and deliver them from ring rule. The history of the great musical reform in England is especially interesting to all who sympathize with the labor movement here. That reform had its rise and achieved its first triumphs in the ranks of the workmen. Its early days were marked by incessant struggle against caste, professional opposition, aristocratic patronage and government official authority. I had the honor of taking part in that struggle, and have lived to see results successful far beyond my wildest anticipations. Fostered in the homes of the poor, in the ragged schools, in the hands of hope and in classes connected with schools, lyceums, mechanics' institutes and other popular institutions, reform grew until it reached the aristocracy itself, compelling the recognition that was denied to its earlier and more modest achievements.

Then the labor of building up a true and simple system of music teaching went on until the structure was completed and was recognized by eminent musicians and educators as the best method of teaching music that the world has seen. This could never have been possible under such a system as governs our common schools in New York and Brooklyn. It is notorious that very little is accomplished in teaching the pupils to read music at sight. Well may Professor Hopkins say: "The way in which notation singing is taught in most public schools is a delusion to the public, a fraud on the taxpayers, and a snare to the pupils. Everybody conversant with our established politics knows well that political influence lies at the bottom of all that makes such an alarming statement true, and the labor party should not lose sight of this fact when they are considering the matter."

One would be better off than another. The former were better housed and clothed, though the latter were by no means poor, for each head of family owned his house and garden, a pig or two, and perhaps a cow and a horse. Still, these were called poor by those who, through their energy and perseverance, were better off. They had no such word as tramp in their vocabulary. I never once during my stay among them saw or heard of a person begging. Why should they? Every family might have a house and garden of their own, and if through laziness they would not earn sufficient to sustain life they would have to starve. But I never heard of a death by starvation, and such a thing as begging was never thought of by either sex. They, however, had a fund for their old and infirm, which was supplemented yearly by the government in money and a few necessities, such as blankets, etc., but this was in accordance with their treaty rights. I never heard of crime, and the only disorderliness I saw was four or five cases of drunkenness. A policeman was kept in the village, and the chief duties he had to perform were to prevent liquor from being brought in and to protect the community from being robbed by those who lived just outside of the reserve.

It must be borne in mind that, although these people are called Indians, there was in fact but one real Indian in the reserve, and when going to and from chapel on Sunday they would be taken for French Canadians; for having for about 100 years lived only nine miles from a large city, owing to intermarriage and the mingling of the male portion of the population with their white brethren, there is at the present time scarcely a shade difference in civilization. In fact, the poorest class of these people is far above the poorest class in our big cities, who will neglect what they had been born Indians. The cost to the state of New York for 1885 was \$3,055,826 to afford relief for 127,430 paupers and temporary relief to 57,888 in addition. In the several county poorhouses there were 16,057 males and 9,350 females on December 1, 1885.

THE HOLY BULL.

Why the Fruiteers of Nagpoor Censured to Pay Tribute.

From the Hindoo.

In a little street in Nagpoor a small company of fruiterers earned a meager subsistence by disposing of their wares to pedestrians.

Contrary to the general rule, these fruit sellers gave the place of prominence to the poorest fruit, and many intending purchasers passed on without buying on account of this peculiarity.

Now, the reason why the good fruit was thus kept in the background was that an exceedingly white and glossy bull, pronounced by the Hindus particularly holy and an especial favorite of Deity, daily wended his way among the fruit stalls and quietly devoured such fruits thereon as caught his fancy.

When the poor fruit vendors counted up their earnings at eventide, they always found that the sacred animal had lessened their gains by his levies at least one-tenth, and sometimes as much as one-half.

But they had never interfered with the holy bull in the exercise of his divine rights. One day, however, a poor man, who had been notified that he must pay his rent that day or his family would be homeless, and who was happy in the consciousness that a large bunch of bananas which lay on his stand, and which was ordered for a wealthy European, would bring enough to pay his indebtedness, was horrified to see the holy bull approaching.

Frenzied with anger, he forgot the divine character of the animal, and as the bull stretched out his neck to appropriate the cherished bananas, the fruiterer dealt him a heavy blow with a stick on the nose.

Amazed beyond all manner of things at this reception, the bull wheeled around and rushed like the wind toward the outskirts of Nagpoor.

The other fruit sellers were horrified at the sacrilege. They expected to see their comrade stricken dead for the insult to the favorite of Deity.

But when night came and the fruiterer, instead of being a corpse, was not only in robust health, but also in the best of spirits and himself wealthier by the bull's omitted exactions, they came to the conclusion that the bull was no more an especial protegee of Deity than they.

And when the next day's sun illumined the fruiterers' quarter in Nagpoor, it revealed an extra ornament on every stand in the shape of a stout and lengthy truncheon.

W. L. LUTEX.

PRESS OPINIONS.

The senate has no time to order an investigation of the Pacific railroad companies, but it has abundance of time to amuse the jingoes with magnificent schemes of appropriations for war cruisers and coast fortifications.—[Philadelphia Record.]

Iron making in the south flourishes in spite of the tariff, and it would have flourished long ago if the tariff had not built up Pennsylvania at the expense of the south.—[Louisville Courier-Journal.]

Simply because the Scottish tenants have borne unjust exactions patiently, and no strong public opinion has been formed in their favor, parliament thinks it can safely ignore them and study nothing but its own pocket interest. The crofters should imitate their Irish brethren, form a national league and agitate, agitate, agitate.—[Brooklyn Standard.]

Nominating as their candidate for mayor a proved corruptionist, and a republican at that, the democrats of Philadelphia marched under his lead to certain defeat and to undoubted dishonor. It would be interesting to know how far the influence of the Randall law and the necessities went toward giving the democratic party in Philadelphia a notorious republican office trafficker as its candidate for mayor.—[Chicago Herald.]

"A small-sized gunboat could steam up to within firing distance of Chicago and do immense damage." That is the kind of nonsense the New York Herald is daily publishing in order to create a panic. As a matter of fact, no "small-sized gunboat" could do anything of the kind. A gunboat has a long and tortuous route to travel before getting in front of Chicago, and she would be [sic] Paul Pioneer Press

LAND HELD IN COMMON.

A Tribe of Iroquois Indians Who Do Not Know What Starvation Means.

MERIDEN, Conn., Feb. 19.—Not quite three years ago I was employed as a surveyor on an Indian reservation, distant about nine miles from Montreal, Canada. Caughnawaga, the name of the reservation village, is situated on the south bank of the River St. Lawrence, and directly opposite "LaChine," a place well known to many thousands of American tourists, who arrive from Montreal to take steamboat to run the "LaChine rapids," an excitement which very few pleasure seekers to this part of Canada neglect. The River St. Lawrence forms the north boundary of the reserve, and the village is almost 200 acres in extent, inclosed by a fence. "About one-quarter of the area of the village is taken up by houses and gardens attached, and the other three-quarters is held in 'common,' wherein whoever of the inhabitants chooses to erect a house may do so. Outside the village fence was the land held by the community for agricultural purposes. This also was fenced in, and the reserve contained about 30,000 acres, the whole of which was held as common property.

Several who devoted their time principally to agriculture lived outside the village on the land which they had improved, and were in all respects similar to American farmers. When a man came of age and thought he would like to cultivate a piece of land, he went outside the village, selected a piece, which he improved, and these improvements he called his farm. Whether he had any improvements in or not, they were his, and he was allowed to enjoy the fruits of his labor by the remainder of the community. The total population was about 1,800, engaged in different pursuits, such as agriculture, fishing, rafting, lumber storing, etc., or they hired themselves to whomsoever should require their services. The women, however, employed themselves principally in bead work.

Now, the difference between the thrifty and unthrifty was plainly distinguishable, for every man having an equal chance, and other things being equal, if every one worked at one would be better off than another. The former were better housed and clothed, though the latter were by no means poor, for each head of family owned his house and garden, a pig or two, and perhaps a cow and a horse. Still, these were called poor by those who, through their energy and perseverance, were better off. They had no such word as tramp in their vocabulary.

I never once during my stay among them saw or heard of a person begging. Why should they? Every family might have a house and garden of their own, and if through laziness they would not earn sufficient to sustain life they would have to starve. But I never heard of a death by starvation, and such a thing as begging was never thought of by either sex. They, however, had a fund for their old and infirm, which was supplemented yearly by the government in money and a few necessities, such as blankets, etc., but this was in accordance with their treaty rights. I never heard of crime, and the only disorderliness I saw was four or five cases of drunkenness. A policeman was kept in the village, and the chief duties he had to perform were to prevent liquor from being brought in and to protect the community from being robbed by those who lived just outside of the reserve.

It must be borne in mind that, although these people are called Indians, there was in fact but one real Indian in the reserve, and when going to and from chapel on Sunday they would be taken for French Canadians; for having for about 100 years lived only nine miles from a large city, owing to intermarriage and the mingling of the male portion of the population with their white brethren, there is at the present time scarcely a shade difference in civilization. In fact, the poorest class of these people is far above the poorest class in our big cities, who will neglect what they had been born Indians. The cost to the state of New York for 1885 was \$3,055,826 to afford relief for 127,430 paupers and temporary relief to 57,888 in addition. In the several county poorhouses there were 16,057 males and 9,350 females on December 1, 1885.

GIVE MEN THEIR BIRTHRIGHT.

Then There Will Be No Strikes, and Peace and Plenty Will Reign.

BROOKLYN, Feb. 14.—There is no man with a spark of humanity in him who does not heartily sympathize with the efforts of the coal handlers to wring a tithe of justice from the rapacious coal kings, or whose admiration is not excited by the generous sympathy and heroic self-denial exhibited by workmen employed in other industries in their endeavor to further the cause of their struggling brothers.

Strikes are a tax on production, and, in a normal condition of society, how such things could occur is inconceivable. Even in the existing state of society, that so much of all that is good and noble in human nature should be thus expended in efforts to gain what, at most, must be only a temporary amelioration of the toilers' condition might seem deplorable but for the extenuating fact that, aside from being a health curbing upon the greed of grasping employers, strikes are the most potent teachers of the truth that they are not a remedy, but a palliative for the evils that prey on the workingman.

Besides this, they keep the patient, self-sufficient, well-to-do, awake to the fact that something is wrong, and they put workmen in fighting trim for the great battle that sooner or later must be fought at the polls. The toilers are well aware of the fact that they can get no permanent relief from strikes, but they are also cognizant of the fact that to sit still and peaceably submit to be trampled under foot would be just what their taskmasters want. It would be amusing, were it not so tiresome, to hear editors of so-called progressive newspapers seriously prattling about boards of arbitration and similar nostrums. Surely these men are blind or will not see. A serious organic derangement of the human system cannot be cured by the application of a bit of court plaster; neither can you eradicate a deadly disease from the body politic by temporizing. By drastic medicines and heroic treatment only can you expect to effect a cure. The disease that is preying on the body politic is the outcome of a false and unjust system of taxation sanctioned by pernicious and inequitable laws. Abolish this system, and you at once place the burden of taxation where it is right, belongs, and just and impartial laws that will recognize each man's right to enjoy his just share of the material means of subsistence which the Almighty gave in common to us all, and the unnatural spectacle of poor starving toilers scrambling for a chance to work from daylight till dark for what their taskmasters think is enough to barely keep body and soul together will at once disappear.

Brothers, as this can be done through the ballot box, and done it must be if you desire to free your children from the galling yoke of servitude that you are now compelled to wear; but you must not expect any assistance from the old political parties, union with either of which would be suicide. Your number is legion, your organization is good, and there is nothing within the bounds of reason that you cannot do if you address yourselves properly to the task.

Stand together, men, and be counted. If you manfully put your shoulders to the wheel, the result will be a surprise even to yourselves.

OWEN FITZGERALD.

Little Martin Craghan.

By Zazel Barnes Gustafson.

The brave boy, only ten years old, whose fate is the subject of the following verses, was murdered by the mining system. He was employed in one of the Pittsburg mines. When the shaft caught fire, with a commotion, sought to escape. Suddenly he remembered that some men, who were busy in a further chamber of the mine, must be unaware of their danger. There was but one outlet, but one chance. He left both to his fate, and darted back into the mine. He hoped for time to warn the men, and yet make good his own escape; but he knew well his frightful risk and accepted it. He reached the men, warned them, and fled back to the shaft to find that hope, only too slender before, was now absolutely gone. He turned and hurried through the galleries once more, that he might die with them for whom he gave his life. They had builded with desperate haste a wall between them and the deadly gases and vapors which rolled thickening toward them. Even then their chance of surviving was a slight one. To let him in was to admit certain death; so they refused his prayer. They heard him sob and wail faintly away. He was afterwards found quite dead, a little blood beside him, on which with a piece of chalk he had, in dying, feebly written the names of loved ones.

A child looks up the ragged shaft—

A boy whose meager frame

Shrinks as he hears the roaring draught

That feeds the eager flame.

He has a single chance; he stakes

Of life show death at bay.

One moment, then his comrades takes

The hope he casts away.

For while his trembling hand is raised,

And while his sweet eyes shine,

There swells above the love of life

The rush of love divine—

The thought of those unwarned, to whom

Death steals along the mine.

While he speeds the darkness way,

Hope paints upon his face.

Soft visions of the light of day;

Paints scenes of birds he hears;

In summer breeze his tangled curls

Are blown about his ears.

He sees the men; he warns, and now,

His duty bravely done,

Sweet hope may paint the fairest scene

That spreads beneath the sun.

Back to the burning shaft he flies;

There bounding pulses fail;

The light forsakes his lifted eyes;

The glowing cheek is pale.

With wheeling, whirling, hungry flame,

The seething shaft is rife;

Where solid chains drip liquid fire,

What chance for human life!

To die with these he hoped to save,

Back, back, through heat and gloom,

To find a wall, and death and he

Shut in the larger tomb.

He pleaded to be taken in

As closer rolled the smoke;

In deathful vapors they could hear

His pitious accents chosen for their doom.

And they, with shaking voice, refused,

And then the young heart broke.

Oh love of life! God made it strong,

And knows no close it presses.

And death to those who love life least

Is scarce a welcome guest.

One thought of the poor wife, whose head

Last night lay on his breast;

A quiver runs through lips that mourn

By children's lips caressed.

These things the sweet, strong thoughts of

home,

Though but a wretched place,

To which the sad-eyed miners come,

With labor's lagged pace,

Remembered in the cavern gloom,

Illume the haggard face.

Illumed their faces, steeled each heart;

O God, what mysteries

Of brave and base make sun and part

Of human histories!

What will not thy poor creatures do

To buy an hour of breath?

Song of the English Lower Classes.

By Ernest Jones.
We plow and sow—we're so very, very low,
That we delve in the dirty clay,
Till we bless the plain with the golden grain,
And the valleys with the fragrant hay.
Our place is low—we're so very, very low,
That we dwell at the landlord's feet;
We're not too low to the grain to sow,
But too low to tread the bread to eat.
Down, down, we go—we're so very, very low,
To the heel of the deep sunk mines;
But we hail the prodigious gains that glow,
When the crown of a despotic shines;
And when the crown of a despotic shines,
Fresh loads he deigns to lay;
We're far too low to vote the tax,
But not too low to pay.
We're low, we're low—mere rabble we know,
But at our plow and harrow.
The mold at the lordling's feet will grow
Into palace, and church, and tower.
Then prostrate fall in the rich man's hall,
And cringe at the rich man's door.
We're not too low to build the wall,
But too low to tread the floor.
We're low, we're low—we're very, very low,
That we dwell at the landlord's feet;
The silken flow and the robes that glow,
Round the limbs of the sons of pride.
And what we get, and what we give,
We know, and we know our share;
We're not too low to die to weave,
But too low to die to wear.
We're low, we're low—we're very, very low,
And yet when the trumpet rings,
The thrust of a poor man's arm will go
Through the heart of the proudest king.
We're low, we're low—our place we know,
We're only the rank and file;
We're not too low to kill the foe,
But too low to touch the spoil.

MOLLIE GORDON'S STORY.

We hadn't had any forewoman up at the factory for a month or more, an' Elam, he come to me that mornin' an' he says:
"Mollie, it's your turn to broom up the packin' room, so step out lively; an' don't sweep the square corners round, neither, for she'll be here to-morrow."

Now, Elam he hadn't any right to boss; he was only a packer himself; but it always did him a heap of good to tantalize me, somehow; an' it did seem as if I had more talk with him than with any other man in the place, not exceptin' Nathan Jones, who run the big Corliss engine, an' who walked home with me every night. He wouldn't say as much in a month as Elam would in half a day; but then Elam couldn't hold a candle to him for quiet, mainly ways.

I took my broom an' followed him to the packin' room, which was his room, an' I made the soap dust fly right an' left, for there wasn't a soul there but him an' me—the other men was all upstairs with Jesse, the foreman, makin' ready for the next lot of soap—an' while he was nappin' up boxes I says:

"How come you to be so bossy? Who told you she'd be here to-morrow?"

"I heard young Barton tell Jesse that the firm was goin' to send her up from the down town office on account of her excellent executive abilities, an' of course 'her' means a new forewoman."

"An' what does 'executive ability' mean?" says I, restin' a minute on the broom. I mistrusted that it wasn't anythin' favorable to us girls, for we never had a forewoman that we didn't hate."

"It means," says Elam, a-laughin' hard, "that she's olderly, an' wears specs, an' carries a very sharp lead pencil, an' is very bossy, an' is always a writin' notes to the firm. It means that she is very stiff in the back, an' has a 'what-do-you-know-about-it-anyhow-you-ignoramus' sort of a way of speakin'. But that ain't all, neither."

"What more?" says I, tryin' hard not to break out with my quick temper; "anythin' else?"

"I heard young Barton say it was merely an experiment, an' she was anxious to come, though she had never been in contact with girls like you, an' before, bein' of a different class, so Mollie, out of kindness to you I'm preparin' you for a change, an' advise you to wear your Sunday clothes an' Sunday manners to-morrow."

I tried hard not to mind, but I noticed one thing particular about Elam: he had the power to show you, if you didn't know before, just how much badness was in you; your temper might be as clear as a pond in the mornin' till he come along fishin' an' muddyin' the waters, an' he was sure to find somethin' dead or somethin' ugly in it which he held up in the sunshine to make it worse. I wiped my face, for sweepin' was warm work, an' I says:

"Then, bein' of a different class—one of them 'betters' what we are told to order our selves lowly an' reverently to—she'd do well to keep out of this?" an' I went on "broomin'," but Elam he was for what he called "remonstratin'."

"What can you do? She will sit on a high stool in the office with a silk dress on an' a watch an' chain, an' she'll look down on you 'uns filin' in on Friday nights to be paid; an' a pretty set you are in your calico wrappers an' aprons an' sunbonnets; but what'll you do?"

"She'd better not 'a' been born to no such vain expectations," says I, an' I could hear my own eyes snap.

"If you disobey her openly you'll get bounced," says he.
Now, I wasn't afraid of no bounce, as he called fearin' open levity an' envelope on pay-night an' findin' a notice that your services was no longer required, for it takes time to learn our business. The floors are slippery as clear ice, an' it takes sight of foot to walk on 'em an' carry soap all day from the stampin' machine to the wrappin' tables. The pounds are soft an' warm an' burn the skin off your fingers with caustic soda, an' it takes sight of hand to wrap four pounds every five minutes an' it don't right. It ain't easy to learn, an' it ain't nice when you have learned it, an' as for pastin' labels on thousands of wooden boxes, well, you ought to see me when I'm done. Paste's like molasses; it gets all over every-where, try as you can be careful. As for lickin' waters all day, which we have to do when we wrap toilet soap, I never heard no one praise it as either healthy or desirable, though I was used to it. An' yet it wasn't so much skill as the endurance of horses that was wanted, an' Barton an' company wasn't a-bouncin' us for her, an' I told Elam so.

"That's true enough," says he, "an' you girls can be mighty disagreeable in other ways than barefaced disobeyin'. I've seen you, myself, sweepin' soap-dust in a person's hair an' eyes, no matter which way the wind blows, an' it's worse than pepper or the inflowin' soap-dust, an' it ain't nice to have you dabbin' up agen a body's good clothes with your dirty calicoes, if it is accidental; nor to have you starrin', or makin' remarks, or growin' deaf all of a sudden, when it suits you not to hear. You may be a belle, Mollie, an' the beauty of the place, but I never did see anybody uglier than you can be in your manners when you try."

I knew he was a fishin' an' a makin' waters none too clear muddier than ever; but I couldn't hold my tongue to save my life, an' I says:

"Land's sake, Elam Sanders! I believe you'd rather pick at me than eat?"
"An' I'm unazain' fond of a good dinner, too. I don't know of anythin' in life I like better unless, as you say, it might be you?" an' he went on nappin' in his cool, exasperatin' way, an' I couldn't tell no how, by his face, whether he was in fun or in earnest. To think I had given him a chance to speak so, an' Nathan don't twenty yards away. I looked

through the open door; but I was glad I didn't see him, so I cried as scornful as possible:

"It's a big compliment to tell me you like me as much as you do your victims—if you had to do without one or other, though—"

"I'd do without you, Mollie," says he, interruptin'; he was never much for politeness.

"I couldn't tell a lie, even for love, nor do without victims neither."

"In olden times there was men who'd not only do 'bout' victims, but through fire and water, too, for love's sake, but I guess you was made different," says I.

"That was what was called chivalry, but ain't fast-fadeable any more, Mollie, an' fire an' water's gone out entirely. My! why milk an' water in a two foot stream would be too strong nowadays, an' girls is plenty!" and then he laughed.

"There's men an' men," says I, looking toward the engine most expressively for him to understand, "an' you'll wait long before you'll have to scorch your boots or wet 'em, either, for I couldn't bear to think women was held cheaper than they used to be, an' yet I didn't know but it was the truth."

"Still," says Elam, "I think a good bit of you, too, Mollie, though you are so fond of fittin' an' fittin'; an' I thought his voice was a trifle loud, an' his tone more aggravatin' than usual; but just then a bit of thistle-down floated in at the door, an' I blew it off my hand for contempt, sayin':

"Well, here's my love to you!" and away it flew past him an' out the window into the sunshine. He laughed long an' he laughed loud, but I didn't know why till I turned around and saw Nathan's face, stern as death's, behind his engine. It went through me like a knife that he was not only disapprovin', but misunderstandin', an' thinkin' I was blowin' kisses off my finger-tips to Elam. I wouldn't 'a' cared so much but the last forewoman, just before she left, charged me with fittin' an' I couldn't chose no better time than when he was by to hear, an' he undertook, in private, to speak to me of it as if he felt there was truth in it. It wasn't true. I had only laughed when other girls laughed, an' it didn't please me none to tell to have Nathan talk to me about it; but I made up my mind secretly that I'd be more careful, an' now here he was lookin' at me like that! Tears rushed to my eyes, an' blood to my cheeks, I was so ashamed; and Elam he says:

"I think you ain't as hard as a stone, but I've killed two birds with one blow, Mollie, an' away he walks an' leaves me there to finish sweepin'."

I tossed my head to hide my hurt, an' I broomed away, an' when I was done at last an' went back to the wrappin' table where the other girls were I never felt the burnin' lye eatin' my finger into holes, though every pound was dotted with little blood spots, like I had pricked myself with a dozen needles.

When the twelve o'clock whistle blew I took my basket an' set down by an open window, which Nathan always had to pass on his way home to dinner, an' which he never did pass without lookin' in an' smilin' at me; but to-day he strode by frownin' an' stern an' took no notice of me, an' that minute the sun went under a cloud an' my bread tasted like dust an' ashes. I was the only soul in the place who did not go home to dinner, for I lived too far to be back in time, an' I never felt the time so long an' lonesome before. What with Elam's talk an' taunts, an' Nathan's frown, an' my own discontent, I began to feel fougher, an' commoner, an' meaner than I ever felt before, though I hadn't lived eighteen years in the world yet. Life wouldn't be easier to-morrow neither with the forewoman who was comin' to teach me to look down on myself still more, an' I began to hate her before I laid eyes on her. Lookin' back an' recollectin' I never got good, nor help, nor kindness from any of 'my betters,' an' I couldn't expect different from her. I lived an' worked in that part of the city where there's foundries, rope-walks, stocking-weaving, woolen mills an' our own soap factory, an' I was shut up from the seven o'clock whistle in the mornin' till the six o'clock whistle at night, an' I didn't often meet the people who don't work, but when I did how did they act? Did they look indifferent an' pass on? Did they smile friendly an' keep still? No, but they turned an' stared, haughty eyes on me as if I was a curiosity with horns, an' said:

"These are the mill girls an' factory hands—rough crowd, very; have a hard name." An' so we not only do the diggin' an' delvin', but are branded besides. We run out at nights, they say, that's one of their objections to us; an' we're free with the tongue an' loud of voice, that's another. An' yet it is themselves mostly that make the conditions of our factory life, an' is there a soul livin' who wouldn't run out at night when hard fate claimed every hour of daylight? Breathe steam an' smoke an' pisoned air from sunrise to sunset, an' what will be so sweet as to get out at night, under the heavens, when the stars are shinin' and the cool air blowin'?

We have our human side, our lovin' an' hatin' to do, an' there's no other time for it—somebody else layin' claim to our best hours—an' offenses comin' an' wrong doin'; but it does say too, woe unto him by whom the offense cometh. I've read that somewhere. We are loud in the voice, there's no denyin', but it's not so much bad manners as tryin' constant to be heard above the roar of machinery; an' if we're free with the tongue it is because we find out early that there's nobody to stand up an' fight for us but ourselves; an' if there ever was what Elam called chivalry in the world, so much as noticed us—not to my knowledge.

I sat there a thinkin' till my thoughts grew so bitter that I couldn't bear 'em, so I leaned on my elbows out the window to be within speakin' distance of any one who came along, when who should I see but a slim, dark-eyed, pleasant-faced young lady lookin' up an' down the streets; an' as five of them met on that one corner she seemed to be takin' her choice. She looked up at me an' said smilin':

"I think I must be lost!" She had a fresh pretty chintz dress on, an' her long brown curls was tied by a ribbon of the colored ribbon; she was certainly a stranger in our neighborhood. I asked her who she was lookin' for, an' she said Jessie Black, Mr. Barton's foreman.

"Why, this is the place," says I; "there's Barton's name on the tall chimney, but Jesse he's gone to dinner an' the office door is locked," an' I came to the conclusion that she was Jesse's sweetheart, she looked so disappointed. However, with that notion in my head, I asked her if she'd come in an' sit on a box to rest, an' she said, an' I don't know how it was, but one thing led to another, an' before she'd been there ten minutes I was tellin' her all I knowed. She was very interested about soap-makin', for the girls an' Elam, an' the boys, an' Nathan, an' presently she says:

"The best, for from what you say he must have high ideas of duty and of honor."

I had been praisin' him some, an' tellin' how he tended his engine an' never passed it without lookin', and sayin' that if I'd 'a' been his girl I'd 'a' been jealous of it, though he himself called it "her." Then, with her eyes rovin' around careless, she asked me if he was young an' good lookin'.

"Yes, he's young, an' the other girls say he's good lookin'; but I don't speak to him myself, for I felt I couldn't get over the slight he gave me, an' now."

"How low one can be mistaken," she cried; "I thought he must be a very dear friend of yours," an' then an' there I told her about the forewoman, an' Nathan's believin' her, an' Elam's tricks, an' my heart grew lighter, though she was a stranger, for she never took her eyes off me, nor spoke till I was done, then she said:

"Mollie, I believe you, an' I'm sure it will be made right yet between you and Nathan if you are patient and don't talk too much to

Elam. As for the new forewoman and her specs, don't you hate her till you've tried her; promise me that, Mollie"—her bright eyes: "an' dancin' and shinin' like two stars. Her better advantages will have done but little for her if they have not taught her how to help a suffering sister?" an' she took my hand when the whistle blew, an' bid me good-by, an' Elam an' the girls all saw her do so, too, before she went into the office with Jesse, who seemed to know her.

At the rest of the day Elam he kept hangin' around, an' an' whenever he caught my eye he'd wink in the most abominable manner; but I kept on never mindin', an' feelin' better, I told the other girls all about the new tyrant who was comin' to-morrow, an' as the words passed along the room, some addin' an' some subtractin', accordin' to fancy, she soon grew to be a regular Jezebel, an' we laid plans to pay torment for torment and torture for torture, an' could scarcely wait for the mornin'.

When it did come I shall never forget my astonishment, for there, standin' by Jesse, with her big, dark eyes an' the cherry ribbons on her hair, was the girl who had opened my heart to me, an' her name was Miss Lucy—Lucy Burton. The girls looked first at me an' then at her, an' the amazement on their faces beat all I ever did see; nor it wasn't lost on Elam, neither.

"So she stole a march on you?" laughed he, at my elbow, where he always seemed to be, somehow. "She's a beauty, too, with them curls; I saw Nathan admirin' them when Jesse was showin' her over the factory before you girls come this mornin'," an' then he left.

I was angry with him for lyin' to me, an' there was only one thing to be done. I must gather up the stones I had prepared to fling at her to make her way harder, an' as I had been loveliest an' kindest agen her so I must try to undo the mischief I had done, an' I succeeded so well that Elam, who loves to set people by the ears an' laugh at their folly, was a disappointed man. Before the week was out Miss Lucy knew we was her friends, an' every girl in the factory was a tyin' her apron on the left side, an' a wearin' cherry ribbon on her hair, as she did, which was a delicate way we had of showin' our approval, though it looked kind of funny, too, when you viewed the effect down a long room, as I saw it. We had quietness an' peace, an' order, brought about by a girl like ourselves, with different bringin' up, of course, an' more advantages, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle half hour, but stayin' in grace to smile on us as a sister might, an' tenderness enough to tie up our bleedin' fingers in old linen, an' think a rest was good for us sometimes. She come to me one day at dinner hour, for she brought her dinner, too, though she had to eat it in the office, an' she had a bright roll of calico bits, an' she says:

"Mollie, I'm going to divide these pieces between the girls, so that each one can have a quilt made for her wedding. When those wretched low 'waits' come they seem to get into noise and mischief, not because they are bad, but because they have nothing to do, so these says you may sew in any idle
