



Annual Cost of Ownership

By [Dr. Mason Gaffney]

Article citation:

[Gaffney, Mason]. "Annual Cost of Ownership." N.d. Study guide.

Fair Use Disclaimer

The Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, a non profit private foundation, is publishing this article, at no cost, pursuant to the Fair Use provisions of Section 107 of the Copyright Act which states that under certain circumstances, the fair use of a copyrighted material for purposes of criticism, comment, teaching, scholarship and research is not an infringement of copyright. If you have any concerns about the publication of this article, please contact us at info@schalkenbach.org.

The Robert Schalkenbach Foundation's Digital Collections and Archives is an extension of the Robert Schalkenbach Foundation's Engel Georgist Library. It collects, organizes, preserves, and provides free online access to researchers and the general public of Georgist literature including books, newspapers, pamphlets, scholarly articles and archival materials.

RSF is particularly proud to offer free online access to the works of the late Georgist scholar Mason Gaffney, made possible through the generosity of the Danica Foundation and with the consent of the Mason and Letitia Gaffney Trust.

Robert Schalkenbach Foundation: <https://schalkenbach.org/>

Engel Georgist Library: <https://www.librarycat.org/lib/EngelGeorgistLibrary>

RSF Digital Collections and Archives: <https://schalkenbach.starter1ua.preservica.com/>

Annual Cost of Ownership

$$a = [P - RI^{-n}] \cdot IPF = P \cdot IPF - R \cdot SFF$$

$$a/P = IPF - [R/P] \cdot SFF$$

Table 1: Values of a/P for $i = .06$

n	$R/P =$	0.0	.2	.4	.6	1.0	2.0	4.0
4		.289	.243	.198	.152	.06	-.168	-.625
8		.161	.141	.121	.100	.06	-.041	-.243
16		.099	.091	.083	.076	.06	.021	-.057
32		.071	.069	.067	.064	.06	.049	.027

Major points from Table 1:

1. When $R=0$, $a/P = IPF$
2. When $R=P$, $a/P = i$
3. For high n , a/P not very sensitive to R ;
for low n , a/P is very sensitive to R .
4. For high R , a/P even becomes negative, except for highest n .
5. Table lacks values for negative R ; Tables 2&3 remedy this.

Let's try another value of i , and note the difference it makes.

Table 2: Values of a/P for $i=.03$

n	$R/P =$	-2.0	-1.0	0.0	.4	1.0	2.0
4		.747	.508	.269	.173	.03	-.209
8		.367	.254	.142	.097	.03	-.083
16		.179	.130	.080	.060	.03	-.019
32		.087	.068	.049	.041	.03	.011

Major new points comparing Table 2 with Table 1:

1. a/P is insensitive to i when $n=4$ and R is low.
2. a/P is very sensitive to i when $n=32$ and R is high. For example, when $R/P = 2.0$, a/P falls from .049 to .011. .011 is just 22% of .049.

Now let us compare values over a wider range of interest rates.

Table 3: Values of a/P for $n=32$

i	$R/P =$	-2.0	-1.0	0.0	.4	1.0	2.0
.03		.087	.068	.049	.041	.03	.011
.06		.093	.082	.071	.067	.06	.049
.12		.130	.126	.123	.122	.120	.116
.18		.183	.182	.181	.181	.18	.179

1. For $i=.18$, and $R/P=2$, a is 16 times higher than for $i=.03$. Advantage of having funds at lower i is overwhelming.
2. For $i=.18$, R/P doesn't matter; for $i=.03$, it means a lot.