

THE STANDARD

HENRY GEORGE, EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.

NO. 70-V

III, NO. 18.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MAY 5, 1888.

PRICE FIVE CENTS

CONT.

Tariff Debate.
A Plan for Protection.
The National Tax Reform Association.
The Single Tax League.
Big Pay for Little Work.
Progress at the Antipodes.
Gillard College.
Society Notes.
Foreign Notes.
What Will the Harvest Be?
Stress Which Show the Wind.
These Tin Plates Again.
Musical Home Rule.
An American Election.
Eyes and Things.
The Chicago Conference.
The Protectionist View of It.
Pen, Pencil and Scissors.
God (a poem).
My Butcher Woman and My Grocery Man.
The Laws in Pennsylvania.
The Boot and Shoe Industry.
The Boating of the Drums.
The Church and the World (poem).
Captain Kidd.
Mrs. Sherwood's Latest.
New Application of Electricity.
American Sailors.
"Confiscation."

TARIFF DEBATE.

The debate on the Mills bill is going along bravely in the house, democrats and republicans speaking alternately. Or rather, it should be said free traders and protectionists alternate, for it is evident in the house, as through the country, that political distinctions that for some time have meant nothing are passing away, and that the real political contest this year is to be between protection and free trade. Mr. Foran of Ohio is the first so-called democrat to break away in the debate from the tariff policy of his party. His place in the coming campaign will, of course, be with Blaine.

The protected trusts and combines are more and more alarmed. The fact that the Mills bill is in reality more protective than the highest protective measure before the war passes for nothing with them—even with those of them that it leaves for the moment untouched. They realize that the attack upon their privilege of robbing their fellow citizens is just as deadly as though the bill proposed to sweep away every protective duty at one blow. Nor does the protectionist ornamentation which the president thought it prudent to drape around the essential free trade parts of his message get him any more consideration than if he had left it out. "As well might the surgeon, having announced his intention to remove the heart of the patient, seek to allay his fears by the assurance that he would not disturb his circulation or impair his physical energies," snorted Mr. Burroughs of Michigan. "The passage of this bill will mean the death knell of protection," said Mr. Goff of West Virginia. And so it will. Not that its provisions really go to the heart of protection, but that they will break the protective line—the combination based on the principle, "You help me rob the people and I'll help you." From steel rails to peanuts there is not a single protected interest that would not be eager to abolish all other protective duties if it could be assured that the duty which enables it to tax American citizens could only be maintained. Even the peanut "industry" knows that if all other duties except that of one cent per pound on peanuts in the shell and one cent and a half a pound on peanuts out of the shell were abolished American children and American theatergoers could buy more peanuts, while the money the peanut growers sold their peanuts for would buy more of everything else. But each protected industry knows that it is only one in a line of bricks stood on end.

Talking together some time before the president's message about the evident rise, especially among workingmen, of an anti-protectionist spirit, a Pennsylvania iron millionaire said finally to a Detroit man, who has made a number of millions in grabbing land and selling stumps. "Well, if the worst comes to the worst, we can throw over the lumber duty as a tub to the free trade whale."

"Will you?" retorted the Michiganander. "When you do, that tub will be looped with Pennsylvania iron."

Mr. Goff of West Virginia declared, however, that he was grateful to the president, and in his gratitude we who want the death knell of protection sounded as soon as possible, can cordially concur. The president's message, said Mr. Goff, has made it impossible for democratic speakers in the next campaign to go through Pennsylvania and West Virginia claiming that Cleveland is as good a protectionist as Blaine. He is right. The days of double dealing on the tariff question are over, and the Randalls and the Danas must soon betake themselves where they belong. And the tariff discussion in the house shows as yet no disposition on the part of democrats to shirk the issue. All the democratic speeches yet made, except that of Mr. Foran, who was outspoken on the other side, have followed the keynote struck by Mills and have gone straight for the vicious principle of protection without hesitation or equivocation. It is not little details of "tariff tinkering" that are being debated in the house, but a great principle—restriction versus liberty—protection against free trade. And so must it be before the people. Let the politician who is

not ready to go heartily with one side betake himself to the other. The democratic party has rallied to the true democratic standard unfurled by Cleveland, and has burned its ships.

"I do not intend that this issue shall be dodged or evaded in the coming canvass, at least not in Indiana," said Senator Voorhees last week. "While these halls are vocal from day to day, and from week to week, with eulogies on the protection afforded to labor by the present tariff, yet the hard, clear, bold, determined fact that labor has no protection at all under the present system of tariff taxation confronts each successive speaker, and mocks, derides and stares him out of countenance."

This is the spirit that is everywhere rising in the democratic ranks, and this is the spirit that will give victory.

The New York Sun, the ablest of all the Blaine journals, but which is just now appropriately printed on very blue paper, "views with regret" the enthusiastic endorsement which Cleveland is receiving from the organizations of his party all over the country and the certainty of his nomination by acclamation at St. Louis, and attributes it all to patronage and the prospect of patronage. The truth is that the democratic party has at last found a leader bold enough to proclaim democratic principle. For years the democracy has been a mere party of opposition, with no better rallying cry than "turn the rascals out." Now, under Cleveland's leadership it is starting forward on an aggressive campaign. And if the party crows and camp followers discern the omens of victory it is because they see that this aggressive policy is bringing to Mr. Cleveland the enthusiastic support of masses of men who feel for the first time the joy of contest for a real principle. Had the democratic leaders had the courage and the honesty to make an aggressive fight when the cry of danger to protection was raised in 1880, Hancock would have been president of the United States.

One hundred iron and steel workers of Pittsburg were to go to Washington this week to work with members against the Mills bill. Their expenses are, of course, paid by the steel combine, which, with the millions of blackmail that the tariff enables it to wring annually from the American people, could easily afford to send a thousand.

But even if these steel and iron workers represented themselves—even if the tariff put into the pockets of the workers, instead of into the pockets of employers like Mr. Andrew Carnegie, whatever profit is gained by making the American people pay far more for steel and iron than they ought to pay, they would deserve no respect or consideration.

For think of the callous impudence really involved in a lot of steel and iron workers going to congress to ask that a tax shall be kept up on the whole people to maintain their wages. Are steel and iron workers any better than wood workers, or brick workers, or dirt workers, or any other workers, that the wages of other workers should be diminished to increase their wages?

Yet this is what in a case the steel and iron workers would be asking. What they are asking, as a matter of fact, is more preposterous still. They are asking that all workers shall be taxed, in order, not that the proceeds shall be paid to them to increase their wages—but that the proceeds shall be paid to such men as Andrew Carnegie, in the chance that he, as a matter of sheer benevolence, may increase their wages. In truth, what they are asking is even worse than this. They are asking that the steel and iron combines shall themselves have power to levy the tax upon the whole people, on the chance that this combine may let them have some of the crumbs.

Money is the mere flux and counter of exchanges. Men really work, not for money, but for the things which the money they may in the first place get for their work enables them to procure—for food, clothing, shelter and the thousand and one articles of necessity and convenience for which, through this intermediary of money, their labor is exchanged. And wages are just as effectually reduced by increasing the cost of these things as by a reduction in money wages. It is characteristic, moreover, of all indirect taxation, and especially of the indirect taxation which, like that of our protective tariff, has for its prime object the increase of prices, that it falls ultimately with greatest weight upon the poorer class—the working class. Thus what in reality these iron and steel workers are asking is that the wages of all workers shall be reduced in order that the profits of Andrew Carnegie et al. may be increased. This is what in their ignorance and selfishness they call legislation for the benefit of American labor.

But the laws of competition with which the steel and iron workers at least must be acquainted make it impossible that they should by any taxing of labor increase their wages. Even if the benefits of the net sums wrested from the rest of the

American people by taxes which make iron and steel artificially dear in the United States went to the workers in the steel and iron industries and not to their employers, the competition of labor from less favored industries would soon so reduce their wages that what they got would not exceed what could be earned by labor in other vocations requiring equal skill and application. What then can they hope for from taxing the people of the United States for the benefit of a combination of employers? While these employers have been rolling up their millions, the steel and iron workers, in order to get what they consider half decent wages, have been forced to combine and to carry on long and bitter strikes. At this very time Andrew Carnegie, after his great mill at Braddock has lain idle for months, has started it up again with non-union men, guarded by Pinkerton detectives, and the "protected" steel and iron workers formerly in his employ are left to take their chances for work among the unprotected masses.

Andrew Carnegie and his fellow employers are not to be blamed. In all the long roll of manifold millionaires who by the operation of our laws have been created there is no more generous man than Andrew Carnegie. Pittsburg, New York, Edinburgh, and his little native town in Scotland have all received from him splendid donations, and his money flows freely to help causes in which he is interested. But he doesn't, as a matter of business, pay more money for what he can get for less money, which is the very thing that the taxes that these steel and iron workers want to keep up compel the American people to do. Nor, no matter how much it hurts the people generally, no matter how much it represses other industries, would the tariff on steel and iron do Mr. Carnegie and his fellow steel and iron masters any permanent good did they not by combination and in other ways secure monopolies which enable them to restrict the manufacture of steel and iron in the United States, and thus secure protection, not merely against foreign industry, but against home industry as well.

Whoever else may profit by protective taxes, labor cannot profit. The whole benefit of protection goes ultimately to monopoly. What labor needs is freedom.

The value of protectionist facts and figures is well illustrated by the following preposterous statement made by Mr. Osborne of Pennsylvania in the tariff debate:

A careful compilation of the statistics furnished by our consular and other authentic reports, recently furnished by Mr. J. H. Walker of Massachusetts, show that the fair average difference in the cost of the yearly supplies of a family of four persons in Italy, Belgium, France, Germany or England, and a like family in the United States is about \$14, including tariff duties. These supplies include twenty-one different articles which enter into the consumption of the humblest American household. The wage earning is computed on the basis of two workers—a man and woman, or a man and boy—to each family. The wage rate in each country is the other factor in the problem. What is the result?

This table shows that a laborer's family of four persons, with two workers, can not possibly save in Germany over \$11.70; in Belgium, \$4; in France, \$7.90; in England, \$12; in America, \$54, in a whole year.

A weaver's family can save in Germany nothing; in Belgium, \$70.50; in France \$144; in England, \$294; in America, \$784.

Take the highest paid workers, it shows that the possible savings of the family of a locomotive engineer in Germany are \$450.33; in Belgium, \$488; in France, \$710.40; in England, \$432.40; in America, \$1,334; and the possible savings of carpenters, blacksmiths, tin smiths, etc., range between these extremes in each of the countries named.

It is evident that Mrs. Harris (for it is clearly she who gets up protectionist statistics under the non de plume of J. H. Walker), is getting ready to strike hands with Elliott F. Shepard in his efforts to boom Chauncey M. Depew for president, for it was he who first pointed out the giant power of locomotive engineers' savings.

Mr. O'Neill, another Pennsylvania protectionist who indulged in the same kind of spread eagle laudation of the magnificent prosperity of the American workingman under the protective tariff, also quoted some figures. The report of the Massachusetts bureau of labor statistics shows, according to him, that while the expense of maintaining a weaver's family of five in Lancashire, England, amounted to \$6.73½ per week, the cost in Massachusetts was only \$7.99½, a difference of \$1.26, whereas the wages paid in Massachusetts would give the family an income of \$10.30 as against only \$6.96 in England. This magnificent net difference of \$2.08 per week Mr. O'Neill attributed entirely to protection to American industry. Unfortunately for his own case, his speech, as printed in the Congressional Record, gives the full statement of the Massachusetts bureau of labor statistics. This is the statement as to wages:

The Massachusetts weaver earns per week, \$5.64
Two children in weaver room, each average per week \$2.33..... 4.66
Total income per week of the family..... \$10.30
The Lancashire weaver earns per week..... 5.78
Two children in weaver room, each average per week, 84 cents..... 1.68
Total income per week of the family..... 6.96
Excess of weekly income in Massachusetts..... \$3.34
From this it will be seen that the differ-

ence in the earnings of the family is almost entirely due to the labor of the children, who, under the factory laws of England, are not permitted to work as long as in Massachusetts. The highly protected Massachusetts weaver gets on this showing only thirty-six cents more per week than the "pauper" English weaver, which would leave him, according to the table of necessary expenses just \$1.26 per week worse off than the "pauper" Englishmen, were it not for the longer toll of his children. But this table of expenses is made up solely of food and an allowance for rent, and does not embrace clothing, or any of the other items which are much cheaper in England than they are in this country.

The real truth is, as has been stated officially by the Massachusetts bureau of labor statistics, and is a matter of common notoriety, that in the highly protected industries of Massachusetts the family of the highly protected American laborer could not live were it not for the work done by women and children, while in the whole civilized world it is doubtful if there is to be found a condition of labor really nearer to pauperism than in the highly protected industries of Pennsylvania.

During the debate Mr. Ford of Michigan produced the following suggestive table, compiled by Mr. Philpot of Iowa. It is a list of some of the protected trusts, with a statement of the percentage of protective duty they enjoy, the percentage of bonus which this is calculated to permit them to collect from their fellow citizens, and the whole amount they pay for labor in each \$100 of product:

Name of Trust.	Duties percent	Profit by duties	Whole expense for labor in \$100 of product.
Salt trust.....	50	83	46
Earthenware trust.....	25	36	26
Refrigerator trust.....	45	42	42
Plow steel trust.....	45	33	33
General steel trust.....	45	33	33
Salt trust.....	45	33	33
General iron trust.....	45	33	33
Copper trust.....	45	33	33
Zinc trust.....	45	33	33
Tin trust.....	45	33	33
Lead trust.....	45	33	33
Glass trust.....	45	33	33
Soup trust.....	45	33	33
Lined oil trust.....	45	33	33
Rubber shoe trust.....	25	20	20
Envelope trust.....	25	20	20
Paper bag trust.....	25	20	20
Condit trust.....	25	20	20
Average.....	30	30	30

Mr. Russell of Massachusetts made some telling points in the house debate. He declared that the prosperity of the great boot and shoe industry of his district had been much stimulated by the repeal of the duty on hides, and that if all tariff duties were abolished, including the thirty per cent duty on boots and shoes, the American boot and shoe industry could not merely hold its own against all the world, but enormously expand. Mr. Russell gave a striking instance of the greater efficiency of American labor than European labor. He said the proprietors of an automatic sewing and heel machine invented in Massachusetts a few years since took out letters patent in Europe as well as in the United States, and instead of selling the machines, leased them for a royalty on each boot or shoe sewed on them, the number being indicated by a self-registering device. Mr. Russell continued:

After that machine was started in this country they went over to Europe with it and introduced it into the great boot and shoe manufacturing establishments not only of Great Britain, but also of Germany, and at the end of the year they were very much surprised to find that their royalties from the machines in use in England reached only 47 per cent of what they collected in the state of Massachusetts. They were alarmed and suspicious. They knew that from the accurate construction of the machine and the certainty of its registering power it could not tell any lie about its own work, so they sent over one of the ablest men in Massachusetts in the examination of patent matters to investigate.

He came back and told them that they were getting an honest return from the foreign boot and shoe manufacturers, and that the explanation was that the best labor of England could not produce with those machines more than forty-seven per cent of the amount of work that was produced by the Massachusetts operatives upon the same machine. That meant that the American mechanic, with his enterprise and his ambition, standing at those machines, worked more hours a day at a greater rate of speed than did the "pauper labor," as it is called, of Great Britain; it meant that the Englishman quit work on Saturday afternoon and did not come back to work until Tuesday morning; it meant that he would not work as many hours or stand to his work as well as the Massachusetts workman, and there is the whole difference between "pauper labor" and free labor.

I spoke for free trade last Friday night at Brockton, one of the shoe towns of Massachusetts, and found the people there waking up fast on the subject. On Saturday night I spoke in Tremont temple, Boston. A gentleman in the Tremont temple audience asked me how, if protection was the robbery and fraud I said it was, that we of the north, after putting on ourselves such a high protective tariff, were enabled to conquer the free trade south. I replied that it was because, while we protected ourselves by a highly protective tariff, we at the same time protected the south a good deal better; that we bought and built ships, put fighting men aboard of them, and sent them down to cruise off every southern harbor, and thus fully protected the home markets of our southern brethren. One of the Boston papers says that this was flippant. It was not. But for our blockade of

the southern ports the north would certainly have had a much harder and much longer job in conquering the south. And what we do to our enemies in time of war in blockading their ports and preventing goods from entering, is just what protectionists tell us we ought to do to ourselves in time of peace. The difference between a blockading squadron and a tariff is merely one of degree.

HENRY GEORGE

Representative Nelson of Minnesota may not be one of the thirteen single tax men who are said to be in congress, but he uses single tax spectacles to look through the fallacy that protection makes high wages. High wages in this country, he says, are not due to protection, but to the fertile and cheap lands open to settlement here which make wages tend to equal the amount obtainable from such lands. That is it, exactly. Cheap land makes high wages, and the cheaper the land the higher the wages. If we had but one tax, that on land values, all unused land would be cheap, and accordingly all wages would be high. Add to this exemption from all taxation on products which the single tax involves, and wages would be higher yet. It is true, as Mr. Nelson says, that wages tend to equal the amount obtainable from cheap land open to settlement; but the amount obtainable from cheap land is less than it would be if the land were cheaper and less than it would be if the amount obtainable were not burdened with taxes.

It is said of one Giles Williams, a Wall street broker who recently died, that years ago he bought a square of ground in the center of Chicago for \$800, which he sold subsequently for \$40,000. He was very proud of his bargain, as well he might have been, for his franchise to tax the people of Chicago had multiplied nearly seventy times. But if he were alive now he might not be so proud. He might want to be kicked for not reading "Progress and Poverty" before he sold. That same land is worth \$18,000,000, or four hundred and fifty times as much as he sold it for. And yet all that land value, from nothing up to \$18,000,000, is nothing in the world but the necessities of the people of Chicago capitalized.

This is the sort of thing the Mail and Express has brought upon itself by publishing a suggestion that we ought to encourage trade with the Argentine republic by readjusting the duty on wool. We clip it from one of those delightful "tariff talks" in the Press:

"One of the loudest complaints of the free traders," said Mr. Moore to the Press tariff talker, "is that they are prohibited by reason of the high wages which the protective system gives rise to from entering into competition with England and other European countries for the South American trade. They are not content with the absolute control of the home market, the best in the world. They are willing to risk that and everything else for a chance to get into the South American market. But I've little patience with the duces; have you?"

Fancy calling Elliott F. Shepard a dunce! A man who can quote a fresh text out of the bible every day in the year!

The course of democratic leaders in congress indicates that they will not shirk the tariff issue in the campaign; but even if the party should try to avoid tariff discussion the republicans will not let them. In this city arrangements are already making for protection meetings, and while protection democrats are openly going over to the republican party, republicans are warning the democratic party that it cannot again claim to be a protection party. The mills of the gods will be too much this year for wire pullers and platform makers.

Whenever in the interest of all the people some benevolent "dreamer" proposes something which will diminish values that are unjustly vested in a privileged few, the welkin is made to ring with discordant cries of "confiscation! confiscation!" But when, in the interest of a privileged few, "practical statesmen" propose to tax away the earnings of the people the cry of confiscation, like the voice of the turtle, is heard no more in the land. The commissioners of the district of Columbia recommend a tunnel under a portion of West Washington to carry the waters of Rock creek, the small stream that now separates Georgetown from Washington, into the Potomac, and to fill in the bed of the creek so as to make about fifty blocks of building lots where now there is a useless stream. This is excellent. But who is to pay for it? Since the owners of the worthless creek will be greatly enriched by the result, it would seem that they ought to bear the expense. But that would not seem in accordance with precedent. Therefore congress is called upon to disburse \$600,000 for the project. Clearly it makes a difference whether we tax land owners for the benefit of the public or the public for the benefit of land owners. The one is "confiscation," while the other is "local improvement."

There is a way of rolling a little ball in the hand so as to cause the sensation of two or three rolling balls. Children often amuse each other with the trick. To make the deception complete the subject of the experiment must close his eyes

and be ignorant of the number of balls he is rolling. In that case he is likely to declare that there are several balls, and, if he does not know the trick, certain to say there is more than one.

The Rev. Washington Gladden has made himself the subject of an experiment like this. Wishing to inform the readers of the Chautauquan of the causes of poverty, he made careful preparations by closing his eyes and acquiring a supply of ignorance both elaborate and complex, and then, as it were, began to roll the balls. This qualified him to announce dogmatically that there is no panacea for poverty, and to describe a variety of causes in which it originates. Among these causes—these balls he thought he was rolling in his hand, when in fact he was rolling but one—he named laziness, waste, mismanagement, extravagance, injurious indulgence and absence of resolute purpose. But toward the last, as his fingers moved more slowly, and his eyes opened, the sensation of several balls so distinct before, began to die out, and he found that there was only one ball after all; it was the remedy for poverty, which he described as "individual effort under equal laws." He was too tired then, however, to discuss that remedy, or we might have found that even the Rev. Washington Gladden believes in a panacea for poverty. It is indeed individual effort under equal laws that must cure poverty if it is ever cured. But experience tells us that we are not now lacking in individual effort. Go where poverty is most distressing, and there you will find individual effort doing its work. Experience also tells us that we are lacking in equal laws, and the unequal law which is the key stone of the whole structure of unequal laws, is that which empowers some men to withhold from others their share of the common inheritance. When laws permit some to draw incomes from the granting of privileges to use the earth and compel others to pay for such privileges or die, these laws are unequal laws, and no amount of individual effort can neutralize their poverty producing effects, for when one individual acquires a privilege under them he crowds other individuals out.

John Jarrett has returned from the thicket of the tariff fight and reports that all is well for the industries of the country. We should like to think so, but we fear very much that it isn't. Never since the war has there been such a determined, systematic and unscrupulous assault upon the tariff as is now progressing, and this fact should not be forgotten for a moment by the friends of our industries.—Pittsburg Commercial Gazette.

This is the same John Jarrett who testified before the United States senate committee on education and labor that no matter how much the price of iron might rise the manufacturers would take all the benefit of the advance. "The wages of labor," said Mr. Jarrett, "can only be maintained at a living standard by the workmen belonging to labor organizations." Now he reports "that all is well for the industries of the country." Of course what he means is that the labor organizations are flourishing. Either that, or—well, of course that's what he means.

He Did Not Raise Her Rent.

Detroit Free Press.
"Madam," said the landlord of a Park street house as he called the other morning, "I have come to tell you that—"
"That you are going to lift the rent five dollars per month?" she interrupted.
"Well, yes. Rents have sharply advanced, you know."
"Why, we won't pay it."
"No, I suppose not, and you will move the 1st of May."
"Yes, sir; and meanwhile, you can put a sign on the house. I shall be only too happy to show people through."
"Indeed, but you are very kind."
"Oh, no, I ain't. Our cat died this morning, and I'll chuck her body into a barrel down cellar and tell everybody that we are going to move on account of sewer gas."
"Oh! What?"
"And it ain't enough I'll get another, and also add an old cockish."
"Madame, do you like the house?"
"Fairly well."
"And is the present rent satisfactory?"
"Perfectly so."
"Then stay for another year. I prefer to keep a good tenant even if I don't get quite so much rent. Good day, madame, and if you want any repairs made please send me word."

Striking a Deadly Blow at the Fishing Industry.

New York Sun.
President Cleveland has interfered in the matter of the wholesale violations of the law forbidding the importation of foreign labor under contract in New England. About two weeks ago he wrote to United States District Attorney Galvin, saying:
"Information has reached the treasury department that a large number of foreigners have been brought into the state of Massachusetts under contract for American labor. I am aware that many of these persons have, through the care of the officials, been returned to the country from which they came. I therefore enjoin on you the duty of a prompt investigation of these cases, and request that observation of these cases, and request that you confer with the collectors of the ports of Boston and Gloucester, that prompt and effective measures may be taken."

Figures Made to Order.

Congressman Browne's (Protection) Speech.
Well, I have the figures of Mr. Henry A. Brown, an ex-treasury expert, who has made these calculations in the interest of maintaining a protective duty on sugar. I am not responsible for his figures, nor for the figures the gentleman refers to. I find that figures are a very convenient thing. We make them in tariff discussions to supply the home demand. (Laughter.)

A PLEA FOR PROTECTION.

The Secretary of the Tariff Committee of the National Iron Roofing Manufacturers' Association Tells Why He Wants the Duty on Tin Plates Doubled.

WASHINGTON, D. C., April 20.—Your criticism of April 14 on the protest made by the National Iron Roofing Manufacturers' Association against placing tin plates on the free list as suggested by the Mills tariff bill is more calculated to deceive than instruct by its attractive expression. The salient and vital points at issue, raised by me as well as those taken by Mr. Niedringhaus, you cover up by denials and glittering generalities, leaving the facts as they were. The association's asking for an equality of duties in the sheet metal schedule, you misinterpret as a plea for high protection. The present duty of one cent per pound on tin plates is an incongruity in the tariff—tin plates being assessed a less percentage of ad valorem rates than pig or scrap iron, and less than one-half the percentage placed on the sheet iron from which it is made—ninety-five to ninety-eight per cent of which composes its chief value. You seem to think that it is funny, that covering this iron with tin or tinning should lower the value, considering the labor and material employed. Others have thought so too; but when it is known that tin plates pay one cent per pound duty, and the iron from which they are made nearly twice as much, it needs no painful effort to arrive at a conclusion. Now your method would be to lower the duty on sheet iron and reconstruct the whole metal tariff in conformity with an incongruity, and if this cannot be done to permit the inconsistency to continue, to the injury of other metal industries and the hopelessness of ever making tin plates here—every pound of which robs our workmen of so much of the product of their labor. You would go farther. You would favor knocking off the one cent per pound duty on tin plates—give them free importation—still further widening the incongruity, and permit their free introduction to displace domestic sheet iron as stove pipes, coal hods, etc., and destroy our American industry of sheet iron roofing and siding, which some value about one-third of the present product of the mill. Admit tin plates free and our sheet mills would quench their fires and stop operations.

Sheet iron roofing and siding is made from plain black sheet iron, coated with a mixture of linseed oil and iron oxide, for durability. It is purely an American industry, developed during the past twenty years, and competes with tin plates as roofing. Under the present tariff law this black iron is assessed over 75 per cent duty, and tin plates about 22 per cent. Should tin plates enter free of duty, this industry, which consumes 50,000 tons of sheet iron annually, will be destroyed. Not only this: The output of the sheet mills will be lessened one-third by the destruction of this specialty.

It will do more. It will destroy the sheet iron and steel industry, that enters consumption as stove pipes, coal hods, bread pans, etc., by importing the black plates with the thinnest possible coating of tin that will permit them to pass customs. This would mean that our sheet iron and steel would be made abroad. Our labor could not stand the labor on the other side. If it could we would continue manufacturing. Notwithstanding your characterization of Mr. Niedringhaus's opinion on this point, it is true that in so far as sheet iron is concerned labor does not receive in Europe more than one-third the price per ton that our mill owners pay, and that the proportion of labor in making the sheet iron that we use is nearly one-half of the market price, taking it from the pig to the finished product. The difference between the cost of labor of producing a ton of sheet iron and its market price is principally expended for pig iron, scrap iron, freight, insurance, fuel and the usual cost of operation, breakages and delays, leaving a small percentage for the maker. There are certain laws that govern trade that are inevitable. If it is denied that labor receives the reward named, and if the manufacturer of sheet iron amasses such enormous profits, why does not capital rush to enter where such large percentages are obtained? Why are so many mills idle? Why are so many wrecked and their machinery sold for one-fourth to one-fifth of its value?

What percentage of profit would the free trader allow the manufacturer of sheet iron on his costly plant? Would you allow him the same percentage as the importer of tin plates? If you will do that, the mill owners will contract their entire output for said deliveries. Try them. There is no trust or combine in the sheet iron and steel business; and if there were, what effect would it have other than a proper regulation of prices, preventing disasters following bankruptcy. More disturbances of trade have followed in the wake of wreckers in business pursuing a course of false economy and selling below cost than have ever been the result of an even and healthy uniformity of prices. The notion is false that trusts or combines secure permanent monopoly and its consequent hardships to the people. Should trusts or combines create enormous profits, how long would they hold them against new capital entering the lists and reducing prices to a proper level, or probably worse still, inflating and over-producing, to the detriment of the combine? Merchants have too much diplomacy to invite competition in that way. Hence, the reason that others will enter and claim the business is a sufficient guarantee against monopoly at enormous profits.

As you seem tender about paying the extra two cents for tin plates here, we will relieve your apprehension by stating that one-fourth of one per cent is all we will charge you on tin cans (that will last you several years) for costs increased by the customs in order to provide \$15,000,000 annual wages for our workmen in making tin plates here—each such time as home competition will reduce the price—when coupled with the reduction of wages that the free trader desires.

Please reply to the following: Do you desire free importation of tin plates if it necessitates the lowering of wages here to the same level as across the water?

Can you point a way by which we can secure a share of the free traders' foreign trade without a uniformity of wages between here and England?

Are you willing that such uniformity should exist?

In what do our exports consist aside from the products of the soil and special articles, many of which are patented?

What is to prevent exportations if made low enough here?

How does the tariff interfere?

If wages were the same as those abroad, how would the tariff line interfere? That only interferes with importations.

Will you not find that the cruel land hold-iron ore and coal is cheaper here in the hills than in Europe, and that the excessive cost commences with the labor? For which we are thankful, as we do not want our workmen reduced to the same position of labor slavery as that abroad.

You may reply that you propose to reduce the laborer's current expenses by low prices. What percentage of reduction will these ex-

penses be compared to the present and future wage scale? Do you propose to carry the reduction equal? C. A. SCOTT.

THE NATIONAL TAX REFORM ASSOCIATION.

A Colorado Branch Formed and a Memorial to the Legislature Being Circulated.

DENVER, Col.—The Colorado branch of the national tax reform association has been organized in this state, with headquarters at Denver. The following gentlemen constitute the executive committee: C. G. Buck, president; G. H. Phelps, secretary, and H. C. Dillon, treasurer. C. G. Buck is vice-president, and general manager of the Baker coal-mining company. H. C. Dillon is one of the most prominent attorneys of the state and is widely known throughout the west. G. H. Phelps is connected with the coal department of the new Denver, Texas and Gulf railroad and has been an earnest worker in the cause of labor for years.

The following memorial adopted by this branch of the association, while substantially similar to that now being circulated in Texas, has been formulated so as to cover more specifically the mining, irrigation and grazing interests of Colorado:

To the Seventh Session of the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: The undersigned, citizens of the state of Colorado, including democrats, republicans, prohibitionists and independent voters, without regard to political parties or platforms, respectfully petition your honorable body to submit to the vote of the people, an act amending article X of the constitution of the state, so as to make said article X conform in letter and spirit to the requirements herein set forth, to wit: Improvements on land, personal property, and all products of human industry, including horses, cattle, sheep, and all other domestic animals, and all tools, implements and machinery, shall be exempt from taxation. And all taxes shall be levied on relative land values only. And all land shall be taxed according to its full cash value. The word "improvements," as herein used, shall be construed to include any product obtained by the application to land of human industry, such as from the breaking up of the wild sod, and from clearing land and reducing it to cultivated soil, as well as the construction of structures, fences, reservoirs, canals and ditches.

It is urged in favor of this amendment: First.—That its adoption, while increasing the tax on vacant lands held for speculative purposes, will reduce taxes on farms and homesteads from twenty-five to seventy-five per cent, without in any way increasing the burden of indirect taxation.

Second.—The farmers, merchants, mechanics and manufacturers will not be compelled to pay for the privilege of using land and thereby enhancing the prosperity of a community from two to five times as much in taxes as land speculators and monopolists are required to pay for the privilege of holding land idle. New principles of political ideas, are the angels which stir the stagnant waters of the political pool and restore its healing virtue; by their agitation they bring new men to the surface and destroy the power of the self-seekers who rule and riot in control when only the spoils of office and self aggrandizement are the objects of political action.

The constitution of the league explains the method adopted. It will be seen that the chief reliance is upon the personal work of members. These organizers themselves into neighborhood groups, which will pick the means which seem best to them to work with upon the people whom they can most easily reach. It is left to the executive committee to formulate a plan by which the central organization can best aid the groups in their work, and to suggest methods of working when called upon by the groups. It is expected that the groups will find social influences available, where they are so situated that they can meet at the homes of their members and invite strangers to be present, and that they will use tracts, THE STANDARD and other available literature to supplement their personal influence and conviction. The groups form nuclei in their localities by means of which public meetings can be held to hear lectures and addresses according to their own plans or such as may be formulated by the executive committee. Occasional large meetings or anniversary gatherings of members may be held, somewhat after the plan of those of the anti-slavery society, which did such effective service in the struggle for the emancipation of the slaves.

The constitution is as follows: NAME. The name of this association is the "Single Tax League."

OBJECT. Its object is to teach the necessity for abolishing all taxation upon the products of trade and industry, and the raising of the public revenue from that value attaching to land from the increase of population and the advance of civilization.

METHOD. Its method of work consists chiefly of the formation of small neighborhood groups, which carry on the work of the league by such means as they deem best. The purposes of the league are purely educational, and its participation in the use of its name, in political action is prohibited.

MEMBERSHIP. Any person subscribing to the principles herein set forth will be admitted to membership unless the application be rejected by the executive committee.

DUES. The yearly dues of members are one dollar, payable in advance.

MEETINGS. The annual meeting for the election of an executive committee and other business is held on the second Wednesday in April. Special meetings may be called by the executive committee at any time.

GOVERNMENT. The management of the league's affairs is vested in the executive committee, which consists of nine members, who hold their office for one year, or until their successors are elected. They have power to adopt by-laws for their own government; to elect such officers as they deem necessary; to fill vacancies in their own number caused by death or resignation; to appoint a nominating committee of five (outside of their own number) who one month before the annual meeting shall place in nomination the name of eighteen members as candidates for the executive committee, and any member whose nomination is requested by five per cent of the entire membership shall be added to the nominations of the committee. The executive committee shall be elected by ballot.

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. This constitution is subject to amendment at any annual meeting by a majority of those voting, or at any special meeting called for the purpose by the executive committee. Proposed amendments are to be submitted in printed form to every member one month before said meeting, and ballots delivered to the executive committee either by mail or in person will be duly accounted.

Of the executive committee of nine, the league has so far elected the following five members: Read Gordon, J. R. Dunlap, L. O. MacDaniel, J. L. Dunham and Benjamin Crner, leaving four members to be selected from the future year by the league.

The executive committee has appointed Benjamin Crner its clerk, who has consented to serve in that capacity for the time being, file may be addressed, care of THE STANDARD, 12 Union square, east, or at 6 Harrison street, New York city.

It should be explained that the executive

THE SINGLE TAX LEAGUE.

A New Organization Formed for Purely Educational Work.

A movement which has been quietly on foot in this city during the past two months has now come to the light in the organization of the Single Tax league. The gentlemen who have aided and promoted the movement are: Henry George, Hugh O. Pentecost, Louis F. Post, Edward J. Shiriver, William McCabe, Read Gordon, Charles M. Hibbard, L. O. MacDaniel, G. St. J. Leavens, James L. Dunham, John R. Dunlap, William Garsuch, Martin Battle, Dr. Walter Mendelson, Antonio M. Molina, W. O. Eastlake, A. W. Eastlake, Jerome O'Neill, Thomas L. McCready, W. B. Scott, Henry George, Jr., P. V. Jones, Walter Carr, A. J. Steers and Benjamin Crner.

The league is formed to be what the anti-poverty society of this city was originally intended to be, purely educational and non-political in its methods of work.

Recent events have confirmed the views of many, probably the majority of men and women in our cause, that the progress of our ideas would not be best promoted by an independent party movement, and that the money and effort which would be spent in such a movement would be productive of better results if used in enlightening the people in the principles which we advocate without asking them to dissolve their present political affiliations.

The argument is, in brief, that the majority of the people, of all political parties, are honest and desirous of ascertaining and establishing sound principles in the administration of public affairs, and that if they are it is through ignorance. Of ignorance, knowledge is the cure, and if we can succeed in convincing the people that our views are true, and that their adoption would promote the public welfare, we need trouble ourselves little about the organization of the name of the party which will be the instrumentality in giving the will of the people effect in law. They have the power and will find the means. It should, therefore, be our care "how the people think" they will care for the voting themselves.

It has been very common on the part of speakers and writers in our cause to allude to the old parties as corrupt. But this stigma properly applies to the machinery of those parties, and not to the American people as a whole, who, speaking broadly, are members of one or another of them. It is of the nature of political parties to become corrupt in their machinery, and this corruption grows fat when there is no vital principle agitating the public mind. New principles, new political ideas, are the angels which stir the stagnant waters of the political pool and restore its healing virtue; by their agitation they bring new men to the surface and destroy the power of the self-seekers who rule and riot in control when only the spoils of office and self aggrandizement are the objects of political action.

The constitution of the league explains the method adopted. It will be seen that the chief reliance is upon the personal work of members. These organizers themselves into neighborhood groups, which will pick the means which seem best to them to work with upon the people whom they can most easily reach. It is left to the executive committee to formulate a plan by which the central organization can best aid the groups in their work, and to suggest methods of working when called upon by the groups. It is expected that the groups will find social influences available, where they are so situated that they can meet at the homes of their members and invite strangers to be present, and that they will use tracts, THE STANDARD and other available literature to supplement their personal influence and conviction. The groups form nuclei in their localities by means of which public meetings can be held to hear lectures and addresses according to their own plans or such as may be formulated by the executive committee. Occasional large meetings or anniversary gatherings of members may be held, somewhat after the plan of those of the anti-slavery society, which did such effective service in the struggle for the emancipation of the slaves.

The constitution is as follows: NAME. The name of this association is the "Single Tax League."

OBJECT. Its object is to teach the necessity for abolishing all taxation upon the products of trade and industry, and the raising of the public revenue from that value attaching to land from the increase of population and the advance of civilization.

METHOD. Its method of work consists chiefly of the formation of small neighborhood groups, which carry on the work of the league by such means as they deem best. The purposes of the league are purely educational, and its participation in the use of its name, in political action is prohibited.

MEMBERSHIP. Any person subscribing to the principles herein set forth will be admitted to membership unless the application be rejected by the executive committee.

DUES. The yearly dues of members are one dollar, payable in advance.

MEETINGS. The annual meeting for the election of an executive committee and other business is held on the second Wednesday in April. Special meetings may be called by the executive committee at any time.

GOVERNMENT. The management of the league's affairs is vested in the executive committee, which consists of nine members, who hold their office for one year, or until their successors are elected. They have power to adopt by-laws for their own government; to elect such officers as they deem necessary; to fill vacancies in their own number caused by death or resignation; to appoint a nominating committee of five (outside of their own number) who one month before the annual meeting shall place in nomination the name of eighteen members as candidates for the executive committee, and any member whose nomination is requested by five per cent of the entire membership shall be added to the nominations of the committee. The executive committee shall be elected by ballot.

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. This constitution is subject to amendment at any annual meeting by a majority of those voting, or at any special meeting called for the purpose by the executive committee. Proposed amendments are to be submitted in printed form to every member one month before said meeting, and ballots delivered to the executive committee either by mail or in person will be duly accounted.

Of the executive committee of nine, the league has so far elected the following five members: Read Gordon, J. R. Dunlap, L. O. MacDaniel, J. L. Dunham and Benjamin Crner, leaving four members to be selected from the future year by the league.

The executive committee has appointed Benjamin Crner its clerk, who has consented to serve in that capacity for the time being, file may be addressed, care of THE STANDARD, 12 Union square, east, or at 6 Harrison street, New York city.

It should be explained that the executive

committee has as yet seen no occasion for any other officer than a clerk, and that it has placed upon him the duties usually devolving upon all of the officers of such organizations. BENJ. CRNER, Clerk.

BIG PAY FOR LITTLE WORK.

The Officials of the House of Lords—Who They Are and What Wages They Get.

It costs nearly £220,000 a year to run the English house of lords. This does not include the keep of the lords—though one might think it was extravagantly sufficient for it—but only the charges for the officials who have to be in attendance, or play they are in attendance—it's all the same—when the hereditary legislators of Britain transact their business. The house of lords comes high, but the British taxpayer has to have it. The empire would go down in chaos if a sacreligious hand were laid upon the house of lords.

To begin with, there is the clerk of the parliament, who gets £12,500 a year, and £2,500 extra for house rent. He isn't a real clerk, of course, being a relative of Lord Cranbrook, and so he has an assistant clerk, who gets £9,000. This assistant is a high toned individual, too, though not so sublime a creature as the head clerk. So he only gets a salary and no house, but to make up for it he isn't required to do any work. What little work there is to do is supposed to be attended to by a reading clerk at £6,000, a chief clerk at £6,000, a senior clerk at £5,000, another clerk at £4,000, another at £4,500, another at £4,000, another at £3,500, another at £3,000, another at £2,500, another at £2,000, another at £1,500, another at £1,000, another at £500, another at £400, another at £300, another at £200, another at £100, another at £50, another at £25, another at £12 1/2, another at £6 1/4, another at £3 1/8, another at £1 7/8, another at £9/16, another at £4 1/16, another at £2 1/32, another at £1 1/64, another at £1/32, another at £1/64, another at £1/128, another at £1/256, another at £1/512, another at £1/1024, another at £1/2048, another at £1/4096, another at £1/8192, another at £1/16384, another at £1/32768, another at £1/65536, another at £1/131072, another at £1/262144, another at £1/524288, another at £1/1048576, another at £1/2097152, another at £1/4194304, another at £1/8388608, another at £1/16777216, another at £1/33554432, another at £1/67108864, another at £1/134217728, another at £1/268435456, another at £1/536870912, another at £1/1073741824, another at £1/2147483648, another at £1/4294967296, another at £1/8589934592, another at £1/17179869184, another at £1/34359738368, another at £1/68719476736, another at £1/137438953472, another at £1/274877906944, another at £1/549755813888, another at £1/1099511627776, another at £1/2199023255552, another at £1/4398046511104, another at £1/8796093022208, another at £1/17592186044416, another at £1/35184372088832, another at £1/70368744177664, another at £1/140737488355328, another at £1/281474976710656, another at £1/562949953421312, another at £1/1125899906842624, another at £1/2251799813685248, another at £1/4503599627370496, another at £1/9007199254740992, another at £1/18014398509481984, another at £1/36028797018963968, another at £1/72057594037927936, another at £1/144115188075855872, another at £1/288230376151711744, another at £1/576460752303423488, another at £1/1152921504606846976, another at £1/2305843009213693952, another at £1/4611686018427387904, another at £1/9223372036854775808, another at £1/18446744073709551616, another at £1/36893488147419103232, another at £1/73786976294838206464, another at £1/147573952589676412928, another at £1/295147905179352825856, another at £1/590295810358705651712, another at £1/1180591620717411303424, another at £1/2361183241434822606848, another at £1/4722366482869645213696, another at £1/9444732965739290427392, another at £1/18889465931478580854784, another at £1/37778931862957161709568, another at £1/75557863725914323419136, another at £1/151115727451828646838272, another at £1/302231454903657293676544, another at £1/604462909807314587353088, another at £1/1208925819614629174706176, another at £1/2417851639229258349412352, another at £1/4835703278458516698824704, another at £1/9671406556917033397649408, another at £1/19342813113834066795298816, another at £1/38685626227668133590597632, another at £1/77371252455336267181195264, another at £1/154742504910672534362390528, another at £1/309485009821345068724781056, another at £1/618970019642690137449562112, another at £1/1237940039285380274899124224, another at £1/2475880078570760549798248448, another at £1/4951760157141521099596496896, another at £1/9903520314283042199192993792, another at £1/19807040628566084398385987584, another at £1/39614081257132168796771975168, another at £1/79228162514264337593543950336, another at £1/158456325028528675187087900672, another at £1/316912650057057350374175801344, another at £1/633825300114114700748351602688, another at £1/1267650600228229401496703205376, another at £1/2535301200456458802993406410752, another at £1/5070602400912917605986812821504, another at £1/10141204801825835211973625643008, another at £1/20282409603651670423947251286016, another at £1/40564819207303340847894502572032, another at £1/81129638414606681695789005144064, another at £1/162259276829213363391778010288128, another at £1/324518553658426726783556020576256, another at £1/649037107316853453567112041152512, another at £1/1298074214633706907134224082305024, another at £1/2596148429267413814268448164610048, another at £1/5192296858534827628536896329220096, another at £1/10384593717069655257073792658440192, another at £1/20769187434139310514147585316880384, another at £1/41538374868278621028295170633760768, another at £1/83076749736557242056590341267521536, another at £1/166153499473114484113180682535043072, another at £1/332306998946228968226361365070086144, another at £1/664613997892457936452722730140172288, another at £1/1329227995784915872905445460280344576, another at £1/2658455991569831745810890920560689152, another at £1/5316911983139663491621781841121378304, another at £1/10633823966279326983243563682242756608, another at £1/21267647932558653966487127364485513216, another at £1/42535295865117307932974254728971026432, another at £1/85070591730234615865948509457942052864, another at £1/170141183460469231731897018915884105728, another at £1/340282366920938463463794037831768211456, another at £1/680564733841876926927588075663536422912, another at £1/1361129467683753853855176151327072845824, another at £1/2722258935367507707710352302654145691648, another at £1/5444517870735015415420704605308291383296, another at £1/10889035741470030830841409210616582766592, another at £1/21778071482940061661682818421233165533184, another at £1/43556142965880123323365636842466331066368, another at £1/87112285931760246646731273684932662133216, another at £1/174224571863520493293462547369853242664512, another at £1/348449143727040986586925094739706485329024, another at £1/696898287454081973173850189479412970658048, another at £1/139379657490816394634770037895882594131616, another at £1/278759314981632789269540075791765188263232, another at £1/557518629963265578539080151583530376526464, another at £1/1115037259926531157078160303167060753052928, another at £1/2230074519853062314156320606334121506105856, another at £1/446014903970612462831264121266824301221712, another at £1/892029807941224925662528242533648602443424, another at £1/1784059615882449851325056485067297204886848, another at £1/356811923176489970265011297013459440977376, another at £1/713623846352979940530022594026918881954752, another at £1/1427247692705959881060045188053837763909504, another at £1/2854495385411919762120090376107675527819008, another at £1/5708990770823839524240180752215351055638016, another at £1/11417981541647679048480361504430702111276032, another at £1/22835963083295358096960723008861404222552064, another at £1/45671926166590716193921446017722808445104128, another at £1/91343852333181432387842892035445616890208256, another at £1/18268770466636286477568578407089133780401536, another at £1/36537540933272572955137156814178267560803072, another at £1/73075081866545145910274313628356535121606144, another at £1/146150163733090291820548627256713070243212288, another at £1/292300327466180583641097254513426140486424576, another at £1/584600654932361167282194509026852280972849152, another at £1/1169201309864722334564389018053704561945698304, another at £1/2338402619729444669128778036107409123889196608, another at £1/4676805239458889338257556072214818277778393216, another at £1/9353610478917778676515112144429636555556786432, another at £1/18707220957835557353030224288859273111113572864, another at £1/37414441915671114706060448577718546222227145728, another at £1/74828883831342229412120897155437092444454311456, another at £1/149657767662684458824241794310874184888908622912, another at £1/299315535325368917648483588621748369777817245824

FOREIGN NOTES.

Your Englishman is a curious creature. At once the most radical and most conservative of men, he will oppose to the death any proposal to abolish some time honored nuisance, like the house of lords or the London coal dues; and just as you have made up your mind that it is useless to talk reform to one so wedded to precedent—behold! he calmly walks up to some moss covered idol that you thought enshrined in his very heart of hearts and smashes it into flinders in a matter of fact way, as though iconoclasm were an every day thing with him. So Peel repealed the corn laws; so Lord John Russell carried the reform bill; so Disraeli extended the suffrage. It would be too much to say that the unexpected always happens in British politics; but it is certain that the unexpected happens very often.

One of the most revolutionary measures ever proposed in a British parliament is the county government bill, lately introduced by Mr. Ritchie, and now under consideration. It is a home rule measure of the most radical kind. It gives to every county in England—the act will not apply to Scotland or Ireland—the right, within very wide limits, to manage its own affairs. The unpaid magistracy and quarter sessions are to be swept away, and the County Council is to take their place. Nor is this all. The proposed bill recognizes the principle of female suffrage, and gives every woman an equal voice with every man in the affairs of the county in which she lives.

The bill provides for the establishment of county councils, three-fourths of whose members are to be elected by the rate payers, male and female, triennially. The remaining one-fourth are to be chosen by the councilors themselves from among the rate payers, and are to hold office for six years, one-half of them retiring every three years. The county councils are to be, in effect, local parliaments, with jurisdiction over all local institutions except the poor law and the police, concerning which, however, they will have an advisory voice. All the existing administrative powers of the justices in respect to county rates and financial business will be transferred to them, as will county buildings, county bridges, the provision and management of county lunatic asylums, the establishment and maintenance of reformatory and industrial schools, the granting of licenses for music and dancing, and for the sale of intoxicating liquors, the division of the county into polling districts, the cost of the registration of voters, and the power for executing the acts relating to explosives, the contagious diseases of animals, the adulteration of foods and drugs, weights and measures, market tolls, water supply, tramway acts, and various other matters. For these important functions the English councils will have a yearly income of £5,000,000—£3,000,000 of which will come from liquor dealers' and other licenses, and the remainder from the imperial treasury.

Many of the most earnest friends of reform in England had the county councils bill as a step in the right direction, and hope great things from the breaking of the power of the squirearchy. With the secret ballot, female suffrage, and issues sufficiently local to interest at least a large majority of voters, it should seem as though the real voice of the people must certainly be heard at last. Yet it is an ominous circumstance that the squirearchy shows signs of offering but a feeble and merely formal resistance. The old adage of the Grecians and their gifts is as forceful now as in the days of Priam and Æneas. A tory measure of reform is always to be looked on with suspicion.

The county councilors are to serve without pay. This alone will prevent any but men of leisure, and consequently of wealth, from offering themselves as candidates. And outside the squire class it would be difficult to find many men of leisure in an ordinary English county. Nor is it by any means certain that the landlord's influence has been entirely destroyed by the secret ballot. There is, of course, less chance of absolute bribery; but there are many ways of influencing an elector short of absolutely offering him money for his vote. Besides, suppose, as may be very possible, that the elector's choice lies between one squire and another squire? What difference will it make to him which squire is elected? What real difference will it make to the squires?

A writer in the *Fortnightly Review* for April, Mr. Thomas Gibson Bowles, claims, indeed, that the county councils bill is actually a step to the rear, a direct retrogression from the principle of self government. What should be done, he claims, is not to enact more laws, but to undo those mischievous ones already passed. The true legislative unit, he tells us, was the English parish as it existed under the common law of England:

It is perhaps necessary to say that the parish is by no means an ecclesiastical, but essentially a secular and civil division of all. The parish is the first and, out of all comparison, the most important unit of self government, on which all the rest of the fabric is based. The area of a parish is large enough to furnish matters of importance to men living together in community, yet not so large as to prevent all the inhabitants from readily coming together to consult upon the management of their own affairs. In such an area every inhabitant may readily attain, and will ordinarily possess, sufficient knowledge of the local wants and local capacities to deal with them in an understanding spirit; and those who fancy that the tendency of modern times is to render our system of government more "popular," and who preach "extension of the suffrage" as a new doctrine, will perhaps be surprised to learn that by the common law of England every parishioner has the right to, not an indirect or occasional and casual, but a direct, constant, and certain voice in the management of his parish affairs, and that every man is a parishioner who has lived in the parish for a year and a day as an inhabitant householder.

In short, according to Mr. Bowles, the English parish was the prototype of the New England town meeting, from which it would be impossible to find a more successful self-governing unit.

Well, the parishes have been swallowed

up in the unions, and now the unions are to be swallowed up in the county councils, and the trail of the landlord is over them all. He has had his way with the parishes, he has had his way with the unions, and he seems, on the whole, pretty confident of having his way with the county councils. Why shouldn't he? For what have parishes, and unions, and county councils, and parliaments to do but help him administer his estate? When, indeed, it ceases to be his estate—when Englishmen claim England as their country, and not their landlords' country—then, indeed, the landlord may lose his hold. And when that day comes, Englishmen will not be long deciding just what sort of legislative unit suits them best.

Protectionist are apt to describe England as doing everything in her power to ruin the commerce of the United States. In their excited imaginations the United States swarms with secret agents of the Cobden club and other nefarious associations, lugging bags of British gold around, and secretly dispensing glittering bribes. It will be news to these gentry to learn that England is the one European country that has on its statute book a law expressly designed for the protection of American industry.

Tobacco can be grown in England as easily as in Connecticut. Until very lately it has not been grown, because the government made it a penal offense to import tobacco—just as we do to import clothes—and could detect offenders much more easily if nobody could get any tobacco unless he either imported it himself, or bought it from somebody who had imported it. Lately, however, the revenue authorities have been giving permission to a few favored individuals to raise tobacco under certain restrictions.

But now steps forward a man learned in the law and shows that by the act of parliament the planting of tobacco in England is absolutely forbidden, no matter how much the revenue people may assume to give permission. The act was passed in the reign of Charles II. It was passed expressly for the protection of American tobacco planters, and it is a perfectly live law to-day.

The tariff league had best take a leaf out of the Cobden club, and send a bag of gold to England, or the unscrupulous British legislators may repeal that law.

The little king of Spain has a birthday this month, which will be celebrated by a fete to the Madrid school children, who will be regaled with meat pies, cakes, oranges and candy, and have little medals with the baby king's portrait on them. The grown up children will be amused with fireworks, bits of ribbon, and parchments entitling them to nicknames of various kinds. Everybody will shout God save the king in Spanish, and a special force of police detectives will be on the look out for any symptoms of discontent, and arrest anybody who may be unpatriotic enough to insinuate that things are not as nearly perfect as they can be this side of heaven.

The London *Truth* tells of the development of a new industry in London. A syndicate, consisting of a woman of title, a man of fashion, and a wine merchant, have taken a large house in an unexceptionable quarter. Any wealthy gentleman or lady, not in society, but desiring to get there, can hire the entire establishment for a ball, at a fixed price to cover everything. The woman of title provides the fashionable female guests, the man of fashion brings the society men, and the wine merchant furnishes the supper, servants, and so forth. The enterprise is said to be a success.

Why shouldn't our own Ward McAllister give us something of this kind here in New York? He could probably get a woman of title to go in with him, and he must know at least one wine merchant. It would be a real boon to a great many people who want to get into society and don't quite know how. With his command over the gallant four hundred, he might assort his stock of guests, as Baltimore dealers do their terepinto, into "counts"—strictly members of the four hundred class; "culls"—guests just a little lower in the scale and only asked to large balls; and "cullinantes"—the ordinary fodge-podge of artists, literary men and fellows of that sort, and arrange his scale of charges accordingly. It would be a great scheme.

The English law of distraint is peculiarly cruel. It confers on the landlord prerogative power not only to evict the tenants of a dwelling, but to seize and sell his effects, or those of anybody else that may be found on the premises, down to his kit of tools or the clothing of his wife and children. Even the privileges of a bankrupt—who may retain wearing apparel and property to the value of £20—are denied the man who owes his landlord anything. A bill has been introduced in parliament by Mr. Robertson of Dundee, placing the tenant debtor on the same footing as the bankrupt. It ought to become a law; but the prospect is that the landlord influence will be too strong for it.

The protection craze rages in the old world as in the new, and involves its victims in full as many absurdities and perplexing situations. There is sugar for example. They're in trouble about sugar.

The continental nations have been worried about sugar. Every one of them wanted to develop the sugar industry. So they went to work in true protectionist fashion, clapped a heavy line on anybody wicked enough to import sugar, and on the protectionist principle that it's right to encourage your neighbor to do what it's wrong to do yourself, paid stiff bounties to refiners on all the sugar they exported. This led to a funny situation. England refused either to pay a bounty or impose a duty, so naturally every continental refiner shipped as much sugar as possible to England, took the bounty out of the pockets of his own countrymen and sold his sugar to the unprincipled Englishmen at considerably less than the cost of production. The sugar refining industry of England has been ruined in the same way that a housekeeper's industry of sweeping is ruined when she gets a servant. But other industries, into

which refined sugar enters as a raw material, have been immensely developed. Great Britain has become the maker of jams, jellies and preserves for the world. The New York housewife, when she buys a pot of marmalade or damson jam for seventeen cents, wonders how they manage to make it for the money, and, if she has a protectionist husband, is perhaps told a lot of rubbish about paper labor. The truth is that the French people and the Germans have been helping us pay for our damson jam, and helping pretty roundly, too.

However, it's going to come to an end. The governments of continental Europe have concluded that it doesn't do to tax their people for the benefit of other nations, and the sugar refiners of England have persuaded the tory legislators that the industry of jam making ought to be destroyed and the thousands it employs thrown out of work. So there's having a congress, and there seems every probability that the sugar bounties will disappear. We shall feel it in the United States; and our wives, when they find themselves compelled to get out the old brass kettles, and break their backs, and burn their faces, and spoil their tempers putting up preserves, will never realize that political economy is at the bottom of their trouble.

Thirty million dollars' worth of bullion—a part of the French indemnity—is kept locked up by the German government in the fortress of Spandau. Once a year the custodians assemble, each with his key, unlock the doors of the treasure chamber, count the twenty-mark pieces, and solemnly lock things up again.

After all, Bismarck is not as shrewd as folks think him. If, instead of locking this \$30,000,000 up in that ridiculous fashion, he had just bought some Alabama with it, he would have done something worth while. In that case he could go to war with France again, using his Germans to do the fighting, and making his Americans work extra hours to pay the expense.

Some day the Bismarcks will learn wisdom. In place of trying to conquer a country, they'll simply go to work and buy it.

If the *Pall Mall Gazette* tells the truth, one of the most highly paid classes of skilled laborers is likely to find its scale of wages very materially reduced. Mr. Mayall, an English photographer, has at last succeeded in photographing colors. This is what the *Gazette* says of a visit to his studio:

In truth, there was something of which to be proud. And the wonderful part of it all was that here was a beautiful photograph, with the softest and most delicate tints, of so permanent a character that it might be cast into water without injuring the colors; of a large size that fetched some five or six guineas in trade, produced in a purely mechanical way; and positively finished, if necessary, in half an hour. "Ah, sir," said Mr. Mayall in a pleasant, confidential kind of way, patting our representative on the shoulder, "I'll tell you what I have done: I have caught nature napping, and I now can tickle her up as I like." If so, that certainly is a tolerably good show for fourteen years' work. But Mr. Mayall is an ambitious man, and unsatisfied withal, for he says that before he has done he will "give us all the colors of a Turner in a mechanical process of a few minutes' duration."

Perhaps the time is coming when a few weeks' instruction and a moderate priced outfit will enable a man without any special art training to travel through the country, producing at a dollar or so each landscapes and portraits such as now command hundreds of dollars. It seems hardly possible; but how much are we doing to-day that a hundred years since it would have seemed ridiculous to talk about? The painters must dress their web with the weavers and the seamstresses. There is no special providence for art.

M. Pasteur's proposal to rid the Australian colonies of the rabbit pest by inoculating the animals with a deadly disease, has started some other scientific men on a curious line of thought. A recent writer in the *London Medical Press and Circular*, speaking of the Pasteur proposal, says:

If carried out successfully this will certainly be the most striking instance of the application of science to warfare. By diverting a natural force into this channel we have more or less under our command the extent of which remains to be proved) a devastating agent compared to which Gaius and munitives are mere popguns, and although, so far, it is only proposed to deal with rabbits, the future is pregnant with new and unthought of possibilities in this direction.

In plain English, this "scientific gent" coolly proposes that in the event of a war between England and France, British science should be called on to provide the British war department with some quick spreading and deadly contagious disease, whose introduction into France should depopulate that country!

Another scientist, Mr. Weston, the electrician, is more humanely disposed. He suggests the use of nitrite of amyl in warfare. The drug possesses the power of causing insensibility very quickly in a human being breathing its fumes. The effect is equivalent, temporarily, to a paralytic stroke. Nitrite of amyl being plentiful and cheap, Mr. Weston would fire shells filled with it instead of gunpowder. A few gallons of the nitrite drencher on the deck of a war ship would render her crew helpless, and before they recovered their senses the enemy could come on board and tie them hand and foot.

Some studious Englishman has discovered that the figures of the year 1888 added together make 25; and has written about it to the princess of Wales, who has just been celebrating her diamond wedding by getting a lot of presents from everybody who could be persuaded or bullied into subscribing to pay for them. And the princess has commanded her private secretary to write to this studious Briton, expressing her thanks for his interesting information, upon which the studious and loyal Englishman overflows in a letter to the *Times*, feeling it his duty to let the general public share his joy, and pointing out that "this century gives three such years, 1879, the present, and 1897; there will be four such years in the next century

—viz., 1969, 1978, 1987, and 1996; but, *mirabile dictu*, the like cannot occur again for over 600 years—viz., 2,599."

It's very delightful to be a princess, no doubt. But if she of Wales has ordinary horse sense, she must get a little tired sometimes.

General Boulanger has done so well that the comte de Paris thought he might as well try to imitate him. So he has issued his little manifesto, suggesting that this republic business has gone far enough, and the French people had better give the old-fashioned monarchy a trial again. But the count is not a Boulanger, and the French people just go on never minding him.

The city of Mexico is terrible agitated in its fashionable circles. Not another revolution—the fashionable circles don't get excited over revolutions. But there is a dreadful rumor that Prince George of Wales is in the city incognito; and society is divided between the fear of missing a chance of eating and drinking and talking with a real live prince, and the awful dread of being imposed on by some unprincipled scoundrel who looks like the prince, and says he is the prince, but really isn't the prince at all, you know.

Professor Hofmann is a noted German chemist, who has just celebrated his seventieth birthday. His sovereign desired to bestow on him some recognition of his service in the cause of science, so he sent the professor a piece of paper authorizing him hereafter to call himself *von Hofmann*. What would have happened if he had called himself *von Hofmann* before he got the paper, goodness only knows. Something dreadful, no doubt. It would have been worse than plain Smith presuming to sign himself Smythe.

WILL SOME PROTECTIONIST PLEASE ANSWER?

Have you ever seen a laborer who cared a nickel whether he worked for a protected or an unprotected employer?

Have you ever seen a laborer who expected to receive more wages from a protected than an unprotected employer?

Have you ever seen a protected manufacturer who paid higher wages than he was compelled to?

Have you ever seen a very wealthy firm pay more wages than a moderately wealthy one?

Have you ever given more for anything than you were required to?

No; and, furthermore, if you should, you would call yourself a fool.

If, then, the unprotected manufacturer pays just as high wages, and still is able to make a profit, by what method of reasoning do you arrive at the conclusion that the protected manufacturer pays higher wages because of protection?

And if the protected manufacturer does not pay more than the unprotected one, what becomes of your protection theories?

Is it your answer that protection raises all classes of wages in all occupations?

If that is it, then, as labor is not protected from foreign immigration, you must of course include the raising of all wages in all countries. Now, if that is true, then how about the pauper wages of Europe?

If you say capital will not be invested unless it is protected, how do you explain the fact that it is being invested in unprotected industries?

If protection prevents us from selling in foreign markets, does not protection make less work instead of more?

If there is free trade in labor, and high protective prices for those things which laborers must buy, is not the laborer being robbed instead of benefited?

If protectionists desire to pay high wages, why do they always employ the cheapest labor they can find?

If wages are increased by protection why do these protected manufacturers insist on protection, and spend large sums of money to uphold it?

If unprotected industries pay the same wages as those that are protected, are they not as valuable, and if so, why burden them by making them pay heavy taxes to the protected ones?

We begin to see that the whole scheme of protection is nothing but the giving of a monopoly that protects the manufacturer from competition, and all who use the goods protected by this monopoly must pay more for them just in proportion to the protection. This increased cost to us who buy fills the pockets of the protected manufacturer. But when he needs laborers he goes out into the open markets of the world, in which he receives the bids of the low priced Chinaman, the pauper of Europe, and the starving American laborer. The price established by this competition in this open free-trade-in-labor-market is the price he must pay, and the only price he will pay. The protection is to the man who receives the bids and not to the men who compete for the chance to labor. And when we see that these laborers have been deluded into allowing themselves to be taxed on everything they eat, drink and wear, because the protected receiver of bids has told them that he could not employ them if he was not allowed to rob them, does not protection appear in its true light, a delusion and a snare?

ROBERT H. COWDREY.

Florida Has Its Real Estate Boom, Also.

St. Augustine, Fla.—This ancient city is at present suffering from a real estate boom, with the result that mechanics and laborers who cannot afford to pay exorbitant prices for wretched quarters in the city proper, are compelled to tramp through tracts of waste sand to the suburbs beyond.

The other day I met the owner of some of the most valuable vacant land in the city. He was standing, pencil and paper in hand, figuring on what price he should ask for his lots next winter when the tide of fashion sets hitherward.

I am glad to say that there are some STAND-ALONE men here who are spreading the light.

H. P. B.

Cleveland and Mills!

New York.—Your nominee for vice-president on the Cleveland ticket meets my view exactly. What a canvass we could make with Cleveland of New York and Mills of Texas!

Yours,

A. L. EARLE.

WHAT WILL THE HARVEST BE?

High Rents Drive Thousands of People From New York City—Shop Keepers' Business Seriously Injured and Little Building Doing.

"There have not in twenty-five years been so many houses, flats or apartments to let in New York as the last two weeks of this April witnessed." These words were uttered by an old New Yorker and have found general confirmation. Here, there and everywhere, and are still, announcements of places to let. Even in sections where there have not been empty houses for years such signs were common.

What does this mean? Simply that a multitude of people could not afford to pay the increase in rent demanded for the coming year and have moved away. But where have they gone? A few may have squeezed themselves into quarters already crowded, but the mass certainly have not. They have gone elsewhere.

"A great many people seem to have moved out of the middle and lower part of the city and passed through Harlem out into Westchester county," said a boss truckman. "All my orders were to move families to the outskirts of Brooklyn," said another truckman; and an old employee of one of the East river ferries said he had never witnessed so much moving from New York to Brooklyn.

These are not exceptional reports, but truly represent the general condition of affairs. From Jersey City, from Hoboken and from Staten Island comes the same kind of testimony. Empty houses in these places have become scarce and rents have advanced.

In the newly built parts of Harlem are rows of empty houses. In West Ninetieth street, for instance, nine-tenths of the houses have never been occupied. The real estate boom, started by the opening of the elevated roads and progressing until within two years ago, increased the value of lots ten fold. Such land was too expensive to build small renting houses upon, and large houses were consequently erected. But now owners were never able to get tenants willing to pay the heavy rents demanded, and so the houses have remained empty. People who would probably have been glad to take them at lower rents were compelled to pass on to localities where, though there were not as many advantages, rents were more moderate. A case illustrating this is that of a builder who, realizing the effect of this land speculation, keeps his rents low. The consequence is, that although all around him stand blocks of empty houses, his houses are always tenanted.

The value of residential building land has gone beyond the point at which builders, by erecting buildings, can hope to make a profit, and general building operations are about to come to a standstill. Banks and building associations are beginning to refuse money on the ground that these investments are no longer safe. Work on building after building is being stopped for want of funds, and there is almost certain prospect of stoppage everywhere. This will throw thousands of workmen in the building trades out on the streets. This time last year nearly all workmen of that class were employed, and projected improvements seemed to guarantee plenty of work for this season. The large number of unemployed men to come from other states, and even from Europe. But to-day, when the building operations should be most active, thousands of men are already looking for work. What may next be expected is that the banks and other money lenders will call in their loans. A lot of builders will then go under, and more workmen will be thrown out of employment. Competition for employment will grow keen, and the general rate of wages will consequently fall.

Small storekeepers also are found in their lamentations about the condition of affairs. They are not only confronted by a prospect of diminished trade, but have also to pay increased rents. An east side Harlem real estate agent admitted that rents in that locality were much too high for business prosperity. Storekeepers, he said, on one or two blocks on 15th street might have something left after paying their rents, but elsewhere in the vicinity they would not be able, from present prospects, to pay those rents and at the same time get out of their business a fair living. Not a few storekeepers have declared that they would close up their business and try some other way of making their living, if it were not that there is already an army of men seeking employment.

The situation then is that thousands of people have moved away from New York, leaving behind them empty houses; that building operations are being paralyzed and thousands of workmen are being thrown out of employment, at a season when they should be busiest; and that trade is being crippled. And all of this in consequence of permitting speculation in a natural element which all the people living in New York are compelled to use. Land has increased in value until a large part of the population could not afford to use it, and have had to move away, while another large part, for the privilege of remaining, must strain and strain to pay the comparatively few individuals who claim ownership of the land on which New York is built.

Harlem's High Rents.

The discontent among tenants of stores, flats and houses in Harlem, caused by the excessive rents demanded by the property owners, is increasing every day. The feeling among the tenants is that the landlords are holding their properties at false valuations, estimating them at prices they will not reach for at least ten years, yet they are compelling their tenants to pay rents fixed on these fictitious values. Another complaint is that houses and flats are rented as a rule on one year leases and that the landlords raise the rent regularly on good tenants. The rent of one store on 15th street was recently raised from \$1,300 to \$2,400 per year. The lessee being unable to stand the jump vacated the premises. The incoming tenant said yesterday, "Store rents on this street are far more than the business of the 15th street can support. I can't make a living at the rental I pay is all I hope to do, but in a few years, when the section has built up more, I believe this store will be worth the rent I pay. Should the owners discover this fact by raising the rent still higher I'll have to drop out. I believe a league should be formed among the storekeepers to prevent, if possible, the landlords charging rent in excess of fair prices."

This rent is too high for the business trade, and it is not likely that a single retailer visited. One tradesman said that after closing up his books last year, although he had done a brisk trade, he had found that his profits were only \$75 per month, not as much as he had been led to expect.

The dullness in flat and house renting continues. There is really no prospect now for the real estate men and property owners to find tenants for ten per cent of the vacant buildings. Most of the empty houses and flats are new and have never been occupied, a state of affairs caused by the collapse of the building boom. If another dwelling were erected for three years Harlem would be able to give house room to the increase of population, yet there would still be plenty of "To let" signs all the profits.

visible on the outer walls. In face of this fact rents are held at firm figures, prices too high for the accommodations furnished.

One effect of this excessive rent is the part of the owners is that many tenants will remove from Harlem flats to flats between Sixty-fifth street and Ninety-sixth street on or before May 1. The negroes have already commenced. A Timesman, who has an express office, in West 134th street, it was said yesterday that while as a rule their business was about even in regard to the number of families moved in and out of Harlem this year they noticed a great increase in the number of removals to the vicinity of Ninety-sixth street from points further up town.

A cheerful view of the situation is taken by Walter S. Richmond, the auctioneer of 301 West 15th street. He said: "I do not believe there has been an over production of buildings in Harlem. The place is growing so fast that there will soon be tenants enough to go round. I journey to all parts of the city daily, and notice as many houses to let in other quarters as in Harlem. The demand for any, is equally divided all over the town. I believe, however, that more people are moving out of Harlem this year than ever before, for what reason I cannot say."

The real estate dealer of 214 Eighth avenue, said: "One thing that hurts the renting market is that owners will let from year to year. A good tenant will not take a house for a year when he has an assurance that he may be raised to a gold standard in a few months. I know a party who paid \$500 last year for a house and expended over \$150 in making it comfortable. This year he was notified that he must vacate, as the house had been sold."

"What I say applies also to flats. There are too many of them, and the rentals asked are too high."

The Harlem owners and builders believe that in a few years their section will be coming again, and until the good time comes they propose to stand firm and keep the prices and rentals up. One point that they overlook is that the opening of the new elevated roads in Harlem will make it possible for desirable homes that are held at far lower prices than Harlem rates. To compete with this new rival, and, indeed, to do business at all, the Harlem owners will have to reduce rents, grant long leases, and offer other special inducements, otherwise it will be a long time before their boom revives.

STRAWES WHICH SHOW THE WIND.

How can a party be pure while land sharks, usurers and monopolists are manipulating the party caucuses?—[Springfield, Mass., New Crusader.]

Many landlords of this city have given notice to tenants that on May 1 rents will be advanced in some instances twenty-five per cent. As there is no indication of a proportionate increase in wages, the poor man's benefits from the introduction of cheap gas are likely to be proved a snare and a delusion. "Twass said in the olden times, 'The straw shows the wind.'"

Mr. Powderly asks: "Who owns the coal fields?" From appearances we should judge that Mr. Corbin, assisted by the Girard estate and a few others were rather in the front line. "Who ought to own them?" is another pertinent query. After the people have suffered a little, perhaps they will be as answer to the latter query. [Craftsman.]

Capitalists who are directly interested in the business of making steel don't appear to agree with Father Kelley that the business of the country is in danger of paralysis because of a possible enactment into law of the Mills tariff bill. Another big steel manufacturing plant is to be located at Chicago, and most of the stockholders in the new plant are residents of eastern states.—[Philadelphia Record.]

The Mills tariff bill is a lame and halting measure, and was framed from a distinct protection standpoint, and yet it could not have met more opposition and denunciation from the trust robbers if it had proposed entire abolition of custom duties on imported goods. Anything but satisfactory to people who realize the true unweariness of the protection robbery, but it will excite just as much discussion as a better measure, and that is all we ask. Acting as a concession are sure to lead the people in the direction of the international law of God.—[Ceresco, Neb., Times.]

The theory that God owns the land and that none should be allowed to hold more than they work, is fast gaining ground. A large majority feel that like slavery the land monopoly must go. That no one has a moral right to rent land to another, but that all should pay rent to the government according to the value of the land, is a theory that is being put into effect. This plan would do away with the obnoxious landlord system wholly and remove all mortgages, thus giving every man a chance to get land to grow or live on at a reasonable price, and remove the tax paid by tenants under the present system.—[La Crosse, Minn., Cooperator.]

The growing anxiety is not so much as to overpopulation, as to how we shall make good citizens of the population we are to have, and to protect the masses from the dangerous tendencies of enormous aggregations of corporate wealth, enjoying monopolies which jeopardize the rights of the people. It is upon the great question of industrial and social justice that the civilizations of the past have split. These issues, on a scale of magnitude that the world has never yet seen, will come up for adjustment here, but we have faith that the republicans of the world will settle them peaceably and under the forms of law and of popular government.—[of the people, by the people and for the people.—Boston Globe.]

Washingtonians Buy a Job Lot of Shackles for Themselves.

National Republican.

The plan of the district commissioners, which involves the running of Rock creek through a tunnel and the consequent increase in area of valuable real estate in the vicinity, has commenced to bear fruit for some of the parties interested. The Metropolitan street railroad company owns nearly 600,000 square feet of ground in that vicinity, and the proposed improvement will greatly enhance the value of their stock. This has resulted in a marked increase in the stock of the company, and as things are at present it is estimated that the real estate now owned by the company will equal in value its capital stock. The plan as approved by the commissioners will result in modernizing a portion of the Rock creek tunnel, and the tunnel will be a few years when all the distinctions which mark and divide the nation's capital from its ancient suburb will be wiped out by a succession of unbroken streets and avenues.

Does Not Indicate Pay for All That?

Philadelphia Record.

On the Pacific coast the real estate speculation during 1887 became ludicrous. Corner lots sixteen miles from St. Jose, Cal., a town of 22,000 people, sold at \$200. Orchard land sold at \$2,000 per acre in districts where irrigation had not been introduced. In California the population increased from 1,000 in 1850 to 65,000 in 1887. The land bubble reached its most serious phase. The sales of transfers of real estate in that state averaged \$1,000,000 per month, and the population of the state in 1887 was 1,000,000. The average transfers of real estate do not exceed \$50,000 per day. It has been estimated that cash payments amounting to \$20,000,000 will be due on land sales in southern California before the 1st of July next.

After All the Nest is the Essential Feature of the Programme.

New York Sun.

Little Girl—Mrs. Brown, ma wants to know if she could borrow a dozen eggs. She wants to lay them in a new nest.

Nephew—Sister, I've got a new setting, have you? I didn't know you kept hens.

Little Girl—No'm, we don't, but Mrs. Smith's gunt' ter lend us a hen that wants ter set, and I thought if you lend us some eggs we've got the nest ourselves.

THE STANDARD.

HENRY GEORGE, Editor and Proprietor.

Published weekly at
25 UNION SQUARE, NEW YORK CITY.TERMS, POSTAGE FREE.
One year, \$2.50; six months, \$1.25; single copies, 5 cents.
Entered as second-class matter, May 1, 1879.
Postoffice at New York, N. Y., as second-class matter.

Communications and contributions are invited, and will be attentively considered. Manuscripts not found suitable for publication will be returned if sufficient stamps are sent for return postage. No notice will be taken of anonymous communications.

Contributions and letters on editorial matters should be addressed to THE EDITOR OF THE STANDARD, and all communications on business to the PUBLISHER OF THE STANDARD.

THE STANDARD wants an agent to secure subscribers in every portion of the United States, to whom liberal terms will be given.

THE STANDARD is for sale by newsdealers throughout the United States. Persons who may be unable to obtain it will confer a favor on the publisher by notifying him promptly.

Sample copies sent free on application.

SATURDAY, MAY 5, 1888.

THE STANDARD is forwarded to subscribers by the early morning mails each Thursday. Subscribers who do not receive the paper promptly will confer a favor by communicating with the publisher.

THOSE TIN PLATES AGAIN.

In a letter printed in another column Mr. C. A. Scott, secretary of the tariff committee of the National iron roofing manufacturers' association, takes exception to THE STANDARD's views on the subject of the duty on tin plates, and states at length his reasons for demanding such an increase in the tin plate duty as will prevent the interference of the imported tin plates with the protected domestic industry of manufacturing sheet iron roofing.

We are glad to receive Mr. Scott's communication. His position as the selected champion before congress of the iron roofing industry gives an importance to his statements and a weight to his arguments that they might not possess if presented by a less prominent man. Mr. Scott has considered the situation maturely; his letter is dated from Washington; and we may assume that the facts and arguments presented to the readers of THE STANDARD are those which he has presented or will present to the congress of the United States. His letter therefore merits more than ordinary consideration.

In one particular we must correct Mr. Scott's statement of facts. He assumes that we real free traders are willing to reduce the wages of laborers, and that we are anxious to reduce the profits of capitalists. In both assumptions he is mistaken. We want to see the wages of laborers not at all diminished, but very largely increased; we want to see the earnings of capital in no way lessened, but very much augmented. We advocate the entire freedom of trade because we are satisfied that it will produce these results. We advocate the partial free trade which will follow the abolition of all custom houses and import taxes because we believe that it is a first and necessary step toward absolute freedom, and that, being a step in the right direction, it will necessarily benefit both laborers and capitalists. With this preliminary clearing of the ground, we proceed to the consideration of Mr. Scott's letter.

We are quite willing to admit that if protection is a good thing we cannot have too much of it. If the duty on sheet iron really is the reason why workmen in our sheet iron mills earn wages instead of standing idle, and why the capitalists who own the mills and machinery find profitable employment for their capital instead of letting that stand idle—if these things are so, then we are quite willing to concede, not only that the duty on tin plates should be raised to the level of the duty on sheet iron, but that the duties on tin plates, sheet iron and everything else, from Chinese tea to African peanuts, should be raised to the point of absolute prohibition, in order that all American workmen and all American capitalists may share the good fortune of the workmen and capitalists who make sheet iron.

But we deny that these things are so. We say that Mr. Scott, as a capitalist, and his workmen, as laborers, would all be a great deal better off if there were no duties on tin plates, or sheet iron, or anything else; and we would, instead of increasing the duty on tin plates, sweep it out of existence altogether, not because we claim to have discovered any flaw in Mr. Scott's argument, but because we hold that the postulate on which he builds his argument is altogether false.

Mr. Scott tells us that many sheet iron mills are idle, and that many others are wrecked, and their machinery is being sold for one-quarter to one-fifth of its value. Evidently the sheet iron industry is not in a prosperous condition. We admit that *post hoc, ergo propter hoc* is no argument, and we do not ask Mr. Scott to accept this state of things as evidence of the duty on tin plates blights and ruins industry. But on the other hand, he must not ask us to accept it as evidence that more protection is needed. It simply shows that protection, as far as it has been tried, is not a success. Mr. Scott, of course, may claim that it is only by virtue of protection that there is any sheet iron industry left at all. But that would be pure assumption on his part; and the lamentable condition of the sheet iron trade would be no more evidence in support of it than would be the fact that a man was struck by lightning. To determine whether protection aids or hinders capital and labor, we must dig deeper down.

Begin at the beginning: There being no protective duty on sheet iron, but other

things being as they are, let us suppose that Mr. Scott engages in the business of making sheet iron. Having erected his mills, he engages laborers and goes to work. His expenses of manufacture are for labor, and—we quote the words of his letter—"for pig iron, scrap iron, freights, insurance, fuel, and the usual costs of operation, breakages and delays." The difference between the total of these expenses and the price he gets for his sheet iron constitutes his own wages and the profits of his capital.

But when Mr. Scott puts his finished sheet iron on the market he finds he comes into competition with imported goods offered at a price that he cannot meet without more than wiping out his own wages and profits. Something must be done. Expenses must be reduced somehow or the mill must stop. He gets his freight, insurance, breakage and other minor expenses down to the lowest possible point, and still there is a chasm to be bridged.

Mr. Scott declines to consider any reduction of wages. There remains the raw material of his business—the pig iron. He must get that at a lower price.

Now, when Mr. Scott goes to the pig iron furnace he finds the iron men would be very glad indeed to sell him at a lower price if they could afford to. But they have to buy their ore and buy their coal, and unless they can secure these raw materials at lower figures they can make no reduction in the price of their product.

Mr. Scott goes on to the producers of iron ore and coal, and here he comes at last face to face with the men who own the earth. He finds them ensconced within a double wall—a little wall of protective duty on pig iron and coal and a giant rampart of private land ownership. All about them rise the everlasting hills, stored full with coal and iron ore; the market place is full of idle miners. Mr. Scott need not raise his hand to have a thousand men digging into the hills and snatching out coal and ore at a cost which would enable the pig iron men to give him his raw material at a quite sufficient reduction in price. It would be like the old rhyme, when the butcher began to kill the ox, the ox began to drink the water, the water began to quench the fire, and so on through the whole chain of recalcitrants, until the pig began to go, and the old woman toddled safely homeward. Mr. Scott would be back at his sheet iron mill again, putting in new machinery, raising wages, employing fresh hands and bundling the intruding British sheet iron man neck and crop out of the country.

But alas! Mr. Scott may raise his hand, and the idle miners may spring forward with their picks and drills; but the landlord bars the way, and bids them hold! "These hills," he cries, "are mine. God made them, but I own them!" And so the butcher finds that he can't kill the ox, and the old woman goes on ward with her pig, and the moonlight shortens into midnight, and still she can't get home.

What now shall Mr. Scott do? He must bridge the chasm, or the sheet iron industry must die. He takes a leaf out of the mine owner's book, and presents himself to congress a suppliant for protection—an American claiming help because he is afraid of an Englishman—a pitiable spectacle, truly! How much protection? Well, seventy-five per cent will do. So thenceforward any man who dares buy sheet iron from an Englishman is punished by a fine of three-quarters of its value, and Mr. Scott goes back to his sheet iron mill contented.

What follows? Just what might be expected. Mr. Scott's mill begins to pay large profits. Other capitalists hasten to build other mills and manufacture more sheet iron. There is a margin for competition, and the price of sheet iron falls, and falls, until it reaches a point at which it will just pay to manufacture it. Meantime the demand for sheet iron has been inevitably lessened by the enhanced price. People who might have used it put up with some inferior but cheaper substitute, or use nothing at all. There is a stagnant market—Mr. Scott would say there had been an over production—and then there are "so many mills idle. . . . so many wrecked and their machinery sold for one-quarter to one-fifth its value." This is precisely the condition in which the iron roofing manufacturers find themselves to-day. We have Mr. Scott's own word for it. And now observe how they propose to get out of their difficulty.

Tin plates are made by coating sheet iron with a film of tin. We use a great many of them in the United States—not as many as we should if we were allowed to buy them without being fined for it—but still an enormous quantity. Last year we imported 572,220,397 pounds of them, which we might have got, according to the treasury statistics, for \$16,910,890, but which really cost us \$5,722,203.97 more, because a paternal government fined us one cent a pound for all we used.

There are no tin plates made in this country, and right here is where Mr. Scott and the National iron roofing manufacturers' association see their chance. If they can only force the American people to make their own tin plates, they can start their idle mills again, and repair the mills that are wrecked, and buy back the machinery that is being sold for one-quarter to one-fifth its value. Because to make tin plates the American people must buy sheet iron. And not only this, but there are some purposes, such as roofing, for which sheet iron is the next best substitute for tin plates; so that if home made tin plates cost more than we can afford to pay for them for these purposes, we shall be compelled, perforce, to fall back on

sheet iron, and thus put money into the pockets of Mr. Scott and his fellow manufacturers anyhow.

And so the National iron roofing manufacturers' association coolly propose that we shall submit to an extra tariff tax of another cent a pound on tin plates so as practically to prohibit their importation and thus provide the roofing manufacturers with a market for their wares. This, they say, will set a large number of men to work at good wages, and thus stave off for a while longer the season of idle and wrecked mills, and machinery sold for one-quarter to one-fifth its value.

Well, if American industries are to be stimulated in this way, why should we stop at iron roofing? Why not go back to an older and more purely American industry—the making of shingles—and stimulate that? There are any quantity of cypress trees in the south and southwest, and plenty of people to cut them down and split them up. Why not enact that every man who sleeps under any other than a cypress shingle roof shall be fined \$10 a night? That would set ten men to work where the tax on tin plates would provide employment for one. Think of the business it would give our railroad lines, our canal boats and our teams! And then remember, too, that shingle roofs catch fire easily. There would be ten houses burnt down for every one that is destroyed by fire now, and all these would have to be rebuilt. Why, the whole country would be transformed into a perfect hive of industry. And then, by way of diversifying employments and helping the poor farmers out a little, we might enact that gourds should be used in place of tin dippers, under penalty of the law. A gourd is a very nice thing to drink out of—clean, wholesome, easily broken—by all means let us give the gourd industry a chance.

Mr. Scott will probably tell us that this sort of talk is all nonsense, and that it would be ridiculous and sinful to legislate one industry out of existence for the sake of establishing another and a less economical. But this is precisely the sort of thing that he himself is trying to do. He wants to destroy the business of the men who import tin plates. He wants to discourage the industries of the men who manufacture tin ware, or use tin ware in their business. He is perfectly careless whom he hurts, so long as he can force us to buy more of his darling sheet iron. If he wants to know specifically how any industry would be affected by the granting of his modest demand, we invite him to study this utterance of Mr. Shaw of Maryland, made in the house of representatives on April 25:

In a single county of the district I have the honor to represent in this house there were packed in the year 1882, and the business is much larger at present, 38,490,000 cans of hermetically sealed goods. This was the output of 300 factories, which gave employment to 16,000 persons. The product packed in that one county required 10,000 acres for tomatoes and 5,000 acres for corn, involving an outlay for agricultural labor of \$200,000. One hundred and thirty thousand boxes of tin were used in making the cans, and \$155,000 expended for labor, while a further expenditure of \$900,000 was required for the other processes of preparation. The 120,000 boxes of tin cost my people in tariff taxes in a single year \$171,633.

Will Mr. Scott pretend to say that if these Maryland canners were relieved of that tax of \$171,000 a year they couldn't sell more canned goods? Will he pretend to say that if the tax is doubled they will not sell less? Will he deny that by the time that tax reaches the consumer of the canned goods it is more than doubled in amount? Whichever way he answers these questions will be equally fatal to his plea for more protection.

The simple truth is that the demand of the sheet iron manufacturers that we shall give up buying tin plates abroad, that they may be able to sell us more sheet iron, is a piece of brazen effrontery worthy of the days when French seigneurs protected home industry by forcing every tenant to have his corn ground at the seigneur's mill. It is an impudent demand, for which there is absolutely no justification. Even if it were granted it would do the sheet iron industry no permanent good. For the same cycle would repeat itself—unnatural activity, fierce competition, diminished consumption, over production, mills idle, machinery sold for one-quarter to one fifth its value, and back to congress again with another covering plea for protection against the dreadful Englishman. And let Mr. Scott observe this, that no matter how high he may pile the protection on his sheet iron, the point round which prices will range and below which the mills will begin to stand idle is not the price at which English sheet iron can be delivered in this country, plus the duty on sheet iron, BUT THE PRICE AT WHICH IT CAN BE DELIVERED, PLUS THE DUTY ON PIG IRON. If the sheet iron manufacturers could secure the protection they ask for, or ten times that protection, the only way in which they could long continue to enjoy its benefits would be by pooling their earnings and limiting their production—in other words, by forming one of those trusts which Mr. Scott assures us have no existence in the sheet iron trade. It is the landlord's wall that Mr. Scott is strengthening, not the rampart of his own business. Profits of capital sink to nothing—wages fall to mere subsistence—mills are wrecked and workmen driven forth as straws. But the landlord in his majesty stands firm—firm as the everlasting hills he impudently believes God made for him, and him alone! It is not before Englishmen that Mr. Scott need crouch and from whom he needs protection. The

men who own the United States are his oppressors.

We want to pull down the outermost wall that shuts Mr. Scott off from access to the raw material of his production. Will he help us at the task? Or will he explain why he refuses?

MUNICIPAL HOME RULE.

A fresh illustration of the absurdity of the governmental relation between New York city and state has just been given us in the high license bill and the manner of its passage. The measure itself may be a good one, although the reasons have already been stated in THE STANDARD why in this, as in all other things, absolute freedom of trade is better than any restriction. But since it was confessedly designed to regulate the liquor traffic in New York city especially, surely the people of this city, through their representatives, are the best persons to decide the mode of regulation. Yet the city delegation in the legislature has been almost solidly against the bill, its only support from here coming from the men elected by the little strip of "brown stone" that arrogates to itself possession of all the wisdom and virtue of the metropolis. It is easy to say that the rum-sellers control the primaries, and it is true, to a great extent; but politics are no purer in the Eleventh and the Twenty-first assembly districts than in the Twelfth or the Twenty-third. The plain fact is that, right or wrong, the great majority of the people in this city do not approve of high license; and in a republican government the majority should rule. Our morals may be bad, but we have a right to manage our own affairs according to our own standard of morality, good or bad; and it is an outrage that, even if apparently necessary, it should be possible to call in the aid of constituencies five hundred miles away. The population which is gathered around New York harbor is as distinct from the population of the Mohawk valley or the lake counties as that of any one state is from that of another. There can be no good reason why Manhattan island should be governed from Albany any more than from Hartford or Trenton; and if we see a bad man to Albany it is to a great extent because of the wretched habit of dependence upon outside interference to which we have accustomed ourselves. A community only learns self control from the sense of responsibility; can only gain political as well as economic strength from freedom; and if this great community, which has spread out around the city hall, obliterating state as well as municipal lines in all except the arbitrary political arrangements, were set absolutely free of all superior power except that at Washington, it would not only gain the conveniences of home rule, but might also, perhaps, purify its politics in dignifying them.

AN AMERICAN EVICTION.

James City is a town of about two thousand inhabitants, situated on the Trent river, opposite the city of Newberne, in the state of North Carolina. It is a town of negroes, only two white people among the whole population. The inhabitants are said to be fairly industrious and frugal. They find employment in Newberne as waiters, servants, washerwomen, longshoremen, etc., and earn sufficient wages for their comfortable support. Until a short time ago they were a very happy and contented set of people. But of late a change has come over them. They have flagrantly wronged a fellow man, and are beginning to find out that the way of the transgressor is hard. They have done evil, and now they are likely to be called upon to suffer for it. The story of the wrong doing is interesting and instructive. The New York Herald tells it in a recent issue, and from the Herald's columns we condense it for the readers of THE STANDARD:

The wickedness commenced away back in 1862, when the Union forces were in possession of Newberne and the circumjacent country. A promiscuous lot of negroes, male and female, old and young, came flocking into Newberne, looking for freedom under the Stars and Stripes. They didn't come by single spies, but in regular battalions. There were thousands upon thousands of them. General Foster was puzzled what to do with them. Many enlisted in the army—others were employed in constructing fortifications—but still there remained a very considerable number, for whom something had to be done. That was when the wickedness began. There was a sand ridge on the south side of the Trent river, known as Kimball's hill. Nobody lived on it, nobody was using it, nobody cared whether anybody else used it or not. General Foster transferred the surplus negroes to this sand ridge, built them a lot of slab houses, and furnished them rations until they were able to provide for themselves.

In this way James City was founded. The negroes stuck there, and when the war was over and southern commerce began to revive they found work, as before recited, along the wharves and in the houses of Newberne, and became a happy and contented lot of citizens.

But during all this time the James City negroes were wronging one of their fellow men—the owner, namely, of the sand ridge on which James City stands. We all know the old proverb about the mills of the gods and about Nemesis, and now, the Herald tells us, the James Cityites are going to learn something about them experimentally. For the owner of Kimball's hill comes forward and says he's very sorry to inconvenience anybody, but he'd like to have his hill, which the unprincipled colored men have been using for pretty

near a generation. He is a rich man, this owner of Kimball's Hill, and his name is James A. Bryan. This is what he said to the representative of the Herald who interviewed him:

I don't wish to disturb the negroes. I would sell them the property if they could purchase it, with the exception of the river front. That is so valuable that it would be utterly beyond the ability of the negroes or any friends of theirs to purchase it.

On several occasions they have been very violent and almost riotous in their demonstrations when I or one of my agents visited the place to serve notices of suit, or even to talk to them quietly about the matter. At such times I have always shown them a determined front, and have given them to understand that I was armed and prepared to defend myself. This had a proper effect, and generally quieted them.

Everything there belongs to me, including the churches, houses, crops and all other improvements. Some of the houses there are worth from three or four hundred dollars upward to a thousand or two. Quite a nice little sum when you consider that there are nearly four hundred buildings over there. Suppose there was even a nominal rent on these of say fifty cents a month each, you can see that it would make a handsome income of some \$6,000 or \$7,000 a year.

And the most melancholy feature of the business is that the negroes, instead of quietly arranging to pay their rents and give up the river shore, pretend to think themselves ill used. They have issued an address, in which they say that the land was practically given to them by the United States authorities, that they have lived on it undisturbed for twenty years and have improved it from a sandy waste into a thriving little town with well cultivated gardens. And they claim that to take away their homes from them will be nothing short of confiscation.

After all, there is this to be said for these James City negroes—that it is a little ridiculous for us to refuse them the sympathy which, under similar circumstances, we extend to Irishmen so freely. If it is hard on the Irish tenant to be charged rent for his own improvements, it is just as hard on the James City negro to have to pay rent for the house and garden he has made himself. It will scarcely do to have one kind of moral law for white men in Ireland, and another for black men in North Carolina. Either the Irish tenant who declines to be evicted is guilty of a crime, or the James City tenant who refuses to vacate his house is innocent of wrong. Perhaps after all, when God bade the waves wash up from ocean's depths the sands of Kimball's hill He may not have been thinking solely of providing a way for Mr. James A. Bryan to live on other people's work.

Chicago is to have an elevated railroad. She has granted to the Chicago and South Side rapid transit railroad company the right to construct and operate an elevated railroad within the municipal limits, and to secure by purchase or condemnation, and to own, a right of way thirty feet in width along its entire route, except where intervening streets are crossed—greater widths being permitted where needed for stations. The company proposes to commence building at once, and is offering its stock and bonds for sale in Chicago, New York and Boston, the stock at ninety per cent of its par value, and the bonds, bearing six per cent interest, at 110.

It is said, and doubtless with truth, that the grant of the franchise is accompanied with conditions which will secure the most approved form of elevated structure, both for safety and permanence and the latest and best mode of equipment and operation. Three tracks are provided for, the third to be erected when the demands of rapid transit shall require it. This third track will permit the running of express trains at high rates of speed, so as to bring the suburban portion of Chicago within easy reach of the business center of the city.

In commending these securities to the public, the promoters of the new company hold out the most glittering prospects, and predict that within a short time their shares will be selling at three times their face value. They instance the City cable company, whose shares have appreciated to that extent, and whose route, as they announce with the emphasis of italics, will be paralleled and divided by the new elevated structure.

What does all this mean when translated into plain English? Simply that the people of Chicago have bestowed upon a private corporation a franchise whose value will within a short time far surpass that of the material structure employed in operating it—a taxing power which may be expected to grow with years, and to whose ultimate proportions no limit can be assigned.

And this is the least of the evil things that Chicago has recklessly done in this matter. Even before the new road is completed land values in the suburb to which it runs will begin to move upward with a velocity which will increase as the road draws nearer and nearer completion. Men who have gone there in search of homes within the limit of their means will find themselves compelled to move wearily farther on. The mansion and the tenement house will replace the cottage with its garden. The men who own Chicago will levy heavier taxes on the people who live in Chicago, and the statisticians, computing the value of the vacant lots, will tell in figures how much the elevated roads have added to Chicago's wealth.

The chance of making money that the Chicago and South Side rapid transit company offer to investors in their securities is very tempting. They will probably have little trouble in disposing of their stock and

bonds. But shrewd investors, who understand the true inwardness of things, will neglect the offers of the railroad company, and secure a mortgage on the labor of Chicago's children yet unborn by buying some of the land, for the mere privilege of living and working on which these children, grown into men and women, will be forced to surrender a goodly portion of their earnings.

FORESTRY IN FRANCE.

How a Great National Trust is Administered—A Lesson for Americans.

France has nearly 7,500,000 acres of forest, one-third of which is the property of the state, while the remainder belongs to communes and public establishments. The forests are not used as game preserves, but administered on scientific principles, to secure the greatest possible supply of timber, firewood, etc., without waste or denudation of the soil. The French forests are first divided into cantonnements, and then into about 500 inspections. In each inspection there is an inspector, a garde general and brigadier. Then come the conservations. There are thirty-five conservations. Over each there is a conservator, who has generally an assistant. Next we have the inspector's regions, of which there are six. Each region has an inspector general. To unite and manage the whole is the central administration, which is attached to the ministry of agriculture, and under the charge of a general director. Besides these various grades of officials to look after the forest in a general way, there is a special service des aménagements, and what is more important, a special service of re-afforestation.

The great training establishment is the celebrated National forestry school at Nancy, which has been in existence for over half a century. Here instruction is given regarding the culture and management of trees, in natural history, particularly as regards birds and the insects which infest trees, mineralogy, applied mathematics, administrative law, political economy, agriculture in its relation to forests, German and military drill. The school is supplied with an excellent staff of professors, and the instruction is essentially practical. The students are taken for excursions frequently, under their professors, to the forests of the Vosges, Jura, and Fontainebleau. Students must be between eighteen and twenty when they enter. There is an entrance examination, but favor is given to scholars of the Polytechnic and the National agronomic institute. The studies last two years, and the students pay 1,500 francs a year, besides an entrance fee for uniform, bedding, etc. There is a secondary school in France where a two years' course of instruction is given, and also an elementary school which supplies a simple course of eleven months. Then in addition to this, instruction is given in forestry at each of the numerous agricultural schools in the country, though not for the purpose of training officials.

Having finished his studies the young forester enters the service as a *garde general stagiaire* under an inspector, in order to get initiated into the business, and receives 1,500 francs a year. When he becomes a full garde general he earns from 2,000 to 2,600 francs and is allowed expenses. The under inspectors receive from 3,000 to 3,800 francs; the inspectors, from 4,000 to 6,000 francs; conservators, 8,000 to 12,000 francs; inspectors-general, 12,000 to 15,000 francs, and the director, 18,000 francs. A forestry official's lot is a very enjoyable one. He has not hard work; he has plenty of exercise in the open air, and he rides about comfortably on horse back.

The farmers in France are not deprived of the use of the forests. Only the other day M. Gabe, the director of forests, issued a circular urging the conservateurs to see that the farmers' stock got access to the forests in their communes, as the drought had made ordinary forage scarce. In the cases where the communes did not possess any wood lands the state forests were to be put at the disposal of the farmers.

The annual yield of the forests in France is over 35,000,000 francs, more than half of which is profit.

Educating Their Men.

RICHMOND, Va.—Land and labor club No. 1 is holding regular meetings and increasing its membership. Our only condition to membership at present is that the applicant shall read "Progress and Poverty" through. We find that this causes many to read the work that would not otherwise do so. Our work at present is purely educational. We propose to excite thought by a free circulation of our literature.

William M. Ivins's "Machine Politics" sells like "hot cakes," and is making an impression. We too have a political machine in this city.

JOHN T. CHAPPELL, Sec.

The Standard Oil Company's Latest Enterprise.

CHICAGO, Ill.—The Standard oil company is actively at work—or to speak more accurately, has a lot of men actively at work for it—building a pipe line from the oil region around Lima, Ohio, to this city. The line, including right of way, will cost about two and a quarter millions of dollars. The Standard company has secured control of all the oil land about Lima, and when the pipe line is completed will be in a position to furnish Chicago with crude oil for fuel and to tax her industries pretty heavily for doing so.

Hurrah for Protection!

The Wilkesbarre, Pa., axle works has notified its one thousand employees of a reduction in wages, ranging from ten to forty per cent, to go into effect May 1. Cause, over production—the other protected fellows can't afford to buy axles.

Reducing Duties Will Not Reduce Wages.

Congressman Bynum's Speech.
Will a reduction of duties necessarily cause a reduction of wages? No greater fallacy was ever asserted. Labor does not receive all or any great portion of the protection given by the present laws. The protection upon cotton goods runs from 42.30 per cent to 73.31 per cent, and yet the labor cost in these manufactures only runs from 12.57 per cent to 37 per cent. The protection on woolen goods runs from 52.07 per cent to 89.94 per cent, and yet the labor cost in these manufactures only runs from 16.36 per cent to 31.25 per cent.

Wages neither rise above nor fall below the standard. The standard is not fixed by the manufacturers in proportion to the rate of duties imposed, but is fixed by the prices paid in all the avocations and by the opportunities in independent pursuits.

An Infant Industry That Seems to Be Growing.

New York Times.
A trade journal in the course of some remarks about the spring trade in pants, says: "Lined oil, which is a very important item, has advanced sixteen cents per gallon, and is now selling for fifty-six cents against forty cents a year ago." This advance of forty per cent has been caused by the National Inseed oil trust, an organization formed upon the plan of the sugar trust and the cotton seed oil trust. A tariff duty of twenty-five cents a gallon (equivalent to fifty-four per cent) has raised this thing to exact so large a tax from consumers.

MEN AND THINGS.

Mr. Brewer of Michigan seems to think New Jersey a sort of American Sahara, and evidently has never heard that farms in New England can often be bought for less than the cost of the improvements on them. Massachusetts potatoes and New Jersey vegetables are what Mr. Brewer talks about in congress—as, being from Michigan, he naturally would.

It is our protective tariff which has largely built up our varied industries, and which has tended to make us the most prosperous nation in the world. A protective tariff tends to aid and build up all our industries, to bring the producer and consumer nearer together, and thereby largely save the cost of transportation. This has made more valuable the farm and given a better market for its products. This is what has made lands near our large cities more valuable than those more distant. This is why the lands in rough and rocky New England and in sterile New Jersey are more valuable than our fertile lands in Michigan and Minnesota. Every farmer knows well that he cannot send to foreign lands his potatoes, vegetables, and many other things which he grows upon the farm, and that he must rely upon the home market for the same. Hence it is all important that he should feel a deep interest in the building up of manufacturing towns and cities near his home, where he can market his surplus productions.

This is all very fine, and would carry conviction to the most skeptical; but unfortunately Browne of Indiana, another protectionist statesman, had to go to work and say that the trouble with the farmers is that they can't afford to sell their potatoes and things cheap enough to command the trade of the protected consumers, notwithstanding the saving in the cost of transportation. He tells us:

The fruits of the garden and the form come across the ocean and enter our markets and in competition with us. Cabbages come to us from Holland; potatoes from Scotland, Nova Scotia and Canada; rice from Canada; peas, beans, hay and eggs from Denmark and Norway; onions from Spain and Egypt; tobacco from Sumatra; wool from South America, South Africa, Australia and elsewhere, and cattle from Mexico.

We have imported 700,000 bushels of potatoes in a single month, and over 18,000,000 dozens of eggs in one year. Canada sells 3,000,000 bushels of rye in our markets annually, and last year our imports of food products, exclusive of sugar, tea, coffee and tobacco, were valued at over \$57,000,000.

And so good Mr. Browne wants a lot more protection on the potatoes and eggs and cabbages and all the rest of them, so that the farmers, by having a protected home market for their produce, may be able to buy their blankets and clothing and plows and other things from the protected manufacturers, and thus keep their home market going.

It's queer, though, that manufacturers and farmers should both need protection. Is it possible that an American citizen can do nothing but twiddle his thumbs without assistance from the government?

The National Builder has been collecting some statistics on the subject of wages in the building trades, and discovers some curious variations in the earnings of labor. Pressed brick makers, for example, receive \$4.50 a day in Kansas City, Mo.; \$6 in Portland, Ore.; \$5 in Boston, \$4.80 (eight hours) in Chicago, \$4.50 in Cincinnati, \$3.75 in Jacksonville, Fla., and \$3.50 in Richmond, Va. Carpenters receive \$3.50 a day in New York, \$3 in Boston, \$2.50 in Richmond, \$2.25 in Buffalo and \$2 in Detroit. There is a like variance in the rates of wages in different cities in all branches of the building trade. Common laborers receive \$2.25 a day in Chicago and Salt Lake City, \$1.75 in Philadelphia and Boston, \$1.50 in Richmond, \$1.25 in Jacksonville and \$1 in Chattanooga, Tenn.

Figures like this will give the protectionists some trouble, or would do so, if facts could ever trouble a protectionist. If it is the blessed tariff that secures the mason \$6.50 in Kansas City, why doesn't it do as much for his brother in Detroit?

The Sands street Methodist church is a religious organization established in 1794, in the then insignificant village of Brooklyn. The purpose of its founders was to provide a building in which they might worship God after their own fashion, and a burying ground in which their dead might peacefully await the summons to the resurrection. So they bought a piece of land for a modest price, and for years thereafter the Sands street church was a flourishing institution.

But now, in 1888, the land has ceased to be of use to the Sands street congregation. Brooklyn has grown from a village to a giant city. The tide of business has risen around the Sands street church and forced its congregation to move to considerable distances. The land that once was useful for church and church yard is now suited only for warehouses and shops. The church must move away. It can't afford to stay where it is.

One would think that under these circumstances the Sands street congregation would thank the people of Brooklyn for allowing them to use that piece of land so long, apologize for not vacating it sooner, and offer in a Christian spirit to pay any expenses connected with the preparation of the ground for business use. But they haven't done anything of the sort. On the contrary, they have sold the land for a round sum, boxed up the bones in the church yard and transferred them to the Evergreens cemetery, and moved away to another neighborhood, where the same land speculating process will begin again.

The Sands street congregation would be horrified if anybody accused them of being a state church, supported at the public expense. Yet that is precisely what they are.

The assembly at Albany has passed the bill appropriating \$1,000,000 for the improvement of the state canals, and unless the senate persists in its determination to have as little money as possible spent under the direction of a democratic official, the improvements will stand a chance of being carried so far along next winter as to make them available in 1889.

On the face of things it would seem folly to reduce the appropriation. For the improvements are of such a kind that until they are completed they will be of no

service. The length of the shortest lock determines the available lockage length of the whole canal; and so long as a single lock is left unlengthened the lengthening of all the rest is practically useless. It is as easy to work on fifty locks at once as on two; if all the money necessary is provided at this session of the legislature, the work of improvement can easily be finished by the time navigation opens next year.

The republican legislators who oppose the appropriation do not deny this. But this is a presidential year; and although it will be impossible to do any work on the canal improvements until after election day, still they have an uneasy feeling that the wicked democrats will whip the devil round the stump somehow, and make the spending of that million dollars do good campaign service in one way or another. They would scorn to do anything of the kind themselves—that goes without saying—but of course the democrats are different. And the device of it is, that a great many men, who are only voters and not politicians, are obliged to admit that the republicans may be half way right. They have an undefined idea that somehow that million may do campaign service—not because the men who will have the spending of it are democrats, but because they are politicians.

The wrangle over the canal appropriation bill is a melancholy commentary on our political system. After all our investigating committees, and citizens' movements, and purifying panaceas of one kind and another, about the best we can say for the men who make and administer our laws seems to be that they haven't been found out yet. And the worst of it is that charges of corruption of one kind or another have become so frequent that it no longer hurts a man's reputation much to be accused of some specific act of malfeasance. "He's no worse than the rest," or "they say those sort of things about all public men," are frequent comments.

We don't need to look very far to find the reason for this. In every vocation the prize of wealth falls to the man who is smart enough to be dishonest without being found out—to get round the law without breaking it. The greed of riches is stimulated by precept and example and by the dread of poverty; and the various roads to riches are made hard, save that which trespasses on the rights of other men. Our politicians are the fruit, less of our political than of our social system. To secure honest legislators we must make it possible for men to be honest without incurring the penalty of poverty.

The legislature at Albany seems likely to do something to put an end to the Manhattan elevated railroad using its stations as stands for the sale of newspapers, books and fancy goods. As matters are at present the company rents out to another corporation a valuable franchise, to which it has itself no title whatever. The use of the streets was granted to the elevated companies for the operating of their roads, and for no other purpose. The newsdealers whose business is injured by the competition of the Manhattan news company are active in the matter and hopeful of success.

The opposition to the Brundage tax bill is an unconscious homage paid to the principle of the single tax by some of its bitterest opponents. The Brundage bill proposes simply to carry into effect the ordinarily received principles of taxation. Only that and nothing more. The only ground on which pro-protective theorists can logically oppose it is that it may possibly be executed. It provides for precisely that system of taxation which they are never tired of saying is the only correct system.

If it is right to tax a man's house, it is certainly right to tax his watch, and his carriage, and his service of silver plate. If it is right to make a man pay tax on the house he buys outright, it is surely right to tax him for the house on which he holds a mortgage. If it be replied that to tax mortgages will prevent men from lending money on mortgage, the reply is ready that to tax houses prevents men from building houses. Any tax on industry will tend to check that industry. We all know that, though a great many of us are chary of admitting it.

The energy of the antagonism to the Brundage bill is prophetic of the triumph of the single tax on land values. One by one the various tax systems that throttle industry are being fiercely attacked, put upon their defense and found wanting. With Mr. Kelley displaying the hollowness of the protection theory in congress, and Mr. Brundage holding up the personal property tax for exhibition at Albany, men are daily more and more inclined to turn their gaze toward the only taxing system that fosters industry instead of choking it, and develops wealth instead of poverty.

At a recent sale of ferry franchises in this city the franchise of the Houston street ferry was sold for five years for \$5,000 a year; the franchise of the Cortlandt street ferry for ten years for \$10,000, and the Desbrosses street ferry, ten years, for \$7,000 a year. The Cortlandt street and Desbrosses street ferries, besides paying the rental in cash, are obliged to allow the city the use of their boats for extinguishing fires along the river front and to carry an equipment of hose for that purpose.

That was a pleasant little speech of a real estate operator to a representative of the New York Herald. The two were discussing the so called "depression" in city real estate. "Let those who talk of depression," said this man who knew whereof he spoke, "try to buy a piece of real estate, either improved or unimproved, and he will find that the value of land has not decreased. I know that some houses have sold very cheaply, but that does not affect the value of the land upon which they stand. A shrewd buyer does not consider what are called improvements; he looks at the value of the land itself."

Exactly. The improvements are a minor matter; it is the land that has the value. Yet the improvements, which are the result of individual industry, are discouraged by heavy taxation; while the land values, that result from the work and pressure of

the whole community, are practically untaxed.

The guiding principle of an Irish faction is, when you see a head hit it. The chief canon of the modern taxation system is of much the same order: when you see an industry tax it.

The legislature of the state of Texas, in pursuance of this rule, are meditating the taxation of base ball clubs. Base ball clubs make lots of money and need plenty of police protection—especially to the umpire. Therefore base ball clubs ought to be taxed. There is no argument that can be adduced in favor of taxing houses and barns that will not equally apply to the taxation of base ball clubs. If one is made to pay, the other certainly should not be let go free.

The Austin Statesman, however, doesn't believe in taxing base ball clubs. It entrusts the legislature not to think of such a thing. It says:

If the legislature desires to kill off this only summer sport known in Texas, to cut short what will prove a beneficial rivalry and intercourse between the five leading cities of Texas, then it could not pursue a more direct or certain course. This matter has not been looked at in its true light, by those members of the legislature who propose to do this tax act. When it is known that such a procedure means the end of this amusement, we think the legislature will take the liberal view that the state does not want any such thing done.

The Statesman evidently wants to see base ball flourish in Texas and knows just what must be done to encourage it. Quite as evidently the Statesman isn't particularly anxious for the increase of houses and barns and horses and cattle and sheep and those sort of things, for it doesn't utter a word of protest against their taxation, but lets the legislature go on discouraging them in the same good old fashioned way.

How much interest the American people are taking in the tariff discussion is evidenced by the demand for public documents relating to the question. Of the president's message a million and a half of copies have been distributed, and Mr. Mill's speech has already reached a circulation of 160,000, with a rapidly rising demand.

On the other hand, only forty thousand copies of Mr. Kelley's speech have been called for, notwithstanding its vaunted effectiveness in exploding the free trade theory. There is a suspicion however, that the wiser heads among the protectionists look on the Kelley oration as rather a dangerous document to circulate.

A convention, at which representatives were present from eleven states, was held at Hot Springs, N. C., last week, for the purpose of devising measures for promoting immigration to the south. There was a good deal of enthusiasm and some very practical work and talk. It was decided to form an immigrant association, with headquarters at New York, and to request subscriptions from the various southern cities, counties and towns to pay the necessary expenses. The association expects to be in working order, with plenty of money at its command, by the first of July.

The object of this movement, of course, is to benefit the people who now live in the southern states. Whatever advantages may be offered to the immigrants will not be offered from pure philanthropy, but simply to induce them to come. But unless the association wants to see its purpose defeated, it will warn the southern people to make haste and buy each man some of his country before the immigration begins, and on no account to be in a hurry to sell it. There is many a southern family living in comfort and happiness to-day that will be broken up and crushed into poverty if there comes an inrush of immigration into the south. Lands will rise in value, fences will be built higher and higher, and the unfortunate landless ones, be they native or foreign, will be driven to compete for the privilege of work, and failing to obtain it, will have no refuge but the poorhouse.

New York is far from being a thickly settled state. There is plenty of uncultivated land within her borders—plenty of natural opportunities lying idle. Yet thousands upon thousands of New Yorkers, by birth and descent, have been forced to leave their native state simply because they can't afford to pay for the privilege of living there. If the southern people are not careful they will find themselves as badly off as the New Yorkers.

When Canada went into business as a dominion she was burdened with a debt of \$75,000,000, against which she might fairly set public works estimated to be worth \$17,000,000. Now she has a debt of \$273,000,000, and the value of her public works has only risen to \$45,000,000. Her exports have fallen from \$102,000,000 in 1882 to \$88,000,000 in 1887, and her imports from \$132,000,000 to \$105,000,000. The immigrants that land on her shores are mostly bound for the United States, and the most enterprising of her young men are swarming into this country. Speaking generally, Canada seems to be in a pretty bad way.

The simple truth is that Canada has been trying the protective plan, without being strong enough to bear it. We of the United States have the compensating advantage of free trade with 60,000,000 people to set against the burden of the tariff; but poor Canada has practically all protection and no free trade. To appreciate the dominion's unfortunate condition we need only suppose the state of New York protected against all the other states and forbidding her citizens to trade with Philadelphia, Boston or Chicago, save under penalty of heavy fines. The only wonder is that Canada should have been able to stand it so long.

The Pine Lumber Pool.

Congressman Ryan's Speech.

The pine lumber pool has succeeded during the past year in advancing the price of lumber \$12 to \$15 per thousand, on the ground of high shipping rates and increased wages. The grounds for the advance are trivial, as the wages of the men are only \$5 more per month and the shipping expenses of the vessels are no greater. The pool, which has adopted all the methods of newly invented trusts, has simply made the people of California pay for the lumber they use in their pockets. It controls ships and mills, and regulates the prices of pine lumber to suit itself.

THE CHICAGO CONFERENCE.

Letter from W. W. Bailey.

CHICAGO, April 29.—I wish to say, in behalf of the provisional committee of the national single tax conference, that affairs are progressing favorably and that indications point to a notable gathering on the Fourth of July in this splendid city.

The committee has been hampered in its operations by the fact that its members are men who have very little leisure, and so what has been done has been accomplished under disadvantages which all our friends who work for their living will readily appreciate. I can say, however, that the somewhat bulky correspondence has been attended to pretty thoroughly, that a good local committee has been set to work, that the necessary funds are being subscribed, that reduced railroad rates from every part of the country are being arranged, and that outside interest, notably among the newspapers, is developing under the stimulus of this event, which promises to be historic.

It is in the discussion which will precede and follow this conference, in the increased activity of our friends everywhere by reason of the interest which they must feel in the first national meeting of men whose object it will be to promote the spread of the single tax doctrine, that my great hope lies. I do not care so much for what the conference does in the way of resolutions and resolves. I do care that it shall set men to asking themselves what all these earnest men mean who travel for distances not to shout for a candidate, not to view with alarm on point with profit, or to devise a means whereby certain economic truths may be the more certainly and speedily diffused among the people—not among this class of people or that, but among the whole people, great and small, rich and poor, wise and foolish. Men are already wondering that such a novelty should be contemplated as a conference of persons who propose to abolish poverty by means of a single tax. What will those men do when they see the conference itself? Not a conference of men with long hair, dirty collars and beer soaked hides, but of intelligent, well dressed, clean and clean spoken gentlemen, each of them, perhaps quite self supporting in a worldly way and each with a definite, solid, irrefragable idea of what he wants and how it may be had.

I confess that from a certain very definite dread of this business that fell upon me so unexpectedly I was not consulted before Mr. Williams sent out his circular proposing to saddle the duty upon me which I have since tried to discharge. I am coming to regard it with a great hope of success. I have solicited the advice and co-operation of such men as Judge Maguire, Mr. King, Mr. Custer, Mr. Adams, Martin Williams, Mr. McMan and Mr. Atkinson, and they are entering cordially into the work which is necessary to do, so I may be excused for gathering some enthusiasm as I go along, especially as the friends here at home—and they are increasing daily under the steady propaganda which is being maintained—are entering with zest into the work, confident of the great benefits which are certain to flow from a meeting of so much importance.

Already Mr. LaShelle, the excellent gentleman I was so fortunate as to get to act as secretary to the provisional committee, is receiving notifications from those who will be present. A delegation of thirty or more will come from Missouri. Indiana will send quite as many more. Illinois will have from fifty to a hundred. Kansas, Iowa, Nebraska, Michigan, Minnesota, Kentucky, Ohio, Wisconsin and New York are being heard from, and it is believed that the attendance will be worthy of so great an occasion.

I trust that every friend of the cause will feel it to be his duty to be present. Some sacrifices you can afford to make in a matter of such moment. It will be something to remember and be proud of that you were in the work of the first great national meeting of true revenue reformers. Most of you will live to see this beneficent doctrine of ours practically applied. Most of you, God willing, will live to share in the benefits it will surely confer. It will be a glorious thing to tell your children that you were of that faithful band that gathered from widely separated points to begin the work whose results will be seen in happier homes, sweeter manners and purer laws. I would not miss it, I know, were it to involve walking, and even shoe leather sacrifices, and I am sure that the same spirit of devotion and helpfulness is everywhere the badge of those who have taken up the cross of the new crusade.

Then, friends, from Maine to California, from Minnesota to Texas, I bespeak and urge your co-operation, your earnest aid in making this conference not alone respectable, but commanding. Say to-day that you will be with us, and come, even if in coming you must deny yourselves, as will and others of us have had to do, for lesser causes. Come, and send out from this city by the lake or sea, so notable and so earnest a cry that all the country will hear it and give heed to the meaning. We can challenge the attention of press and public. It will be sinful if we failed to do it.

W. W. BAILEY.

THE PROTECTIONIST VIEW OF IT.

The Absurdity of Free Trade Sentiment Pointed Out.

HOPE VALLEY, R. L.—Permit me to say a few words in favor of our splendid protective tariff system.

The great mistake that free traders make is the assumption that consumers have any rights that producers should respect. Free traders are also guilty of holding such erroneous ideas as that abundance is a greater blessing than scarcity; that the results of labor are of more importance than the efforts of labor; that dearthness is an evil; that cheapness is the poor man's luxury; and to clinch their arguments they emphatically claim that the chief end of man is not to pay taxes.

These assumptions are somewhat plausible, but have they practical value? Let us suppose all men willing to do unto each other as, under like circumstances, they would like to be done by. Society could then dispense with nine hundred and ninety-nine lawyers out of every one thousand. Now, every man who pins his faith to the gospel of Frye, Platt, Morrill & Co., will declare such a result very bad for lawyers. For this non-observance of the golden rule furnishes just so much protection to lawyers in furnishing them means of gaining a living. Protected lawyers, as consumers in their turn, give labor employment. The logical outcome of this view is, considered from the standpoint of orthodox protective policy, that the more wicked, fractious and quarrelsome men are, the more will the legal fraternity be protected.

The same kind of reasoning can be applied to ministers of religion and teachers of morality. If there were no sin or wrong doing in the world there would be no necessity for religious or moral teachers. As a protectionist I must say that the wickedness and immorality of men is a good thing, for it gives many men and women employment in our churches and other ethical institutions.

Equally applicable is this reasoning to

education. If every person were a natural Solomon, our schools and colleges would go out of existence. It is therefore necessary, in order to protect college professors and school teachers, that ignorance shall prevail. I feel sure that iron and coal mine owners, lumber lords, the representatives of gigantic trusts, will agree with me in this respect.

Suppose that science should produce an unfailing remedy for all ailments and that the flesh is heir to, so that every one could be sure of living to ripe old age. Just consider what a terrible thing this would be. Of course free traders would say that such a discovery would be an inestimable boon to humanity—unless it should get into the hands of some trust—but the far-sighted protectionist would see it differently. For in the event of such a discovery the great question would be, What will keep doctors from starving? What will become of druggists and poor dog clerks? What will become of chemists who compound chemical substances, and the bottle makers who make bottles for patent medicines to be sold in, and the pill box manufacturer with his employees and all the old women who gather medicinal herbs for a living? The list would be greatly extended, showing the amount of suffering that would result from such a great discovery. If any one should try to answer these objections by referring to the accrued good to humanity, I, as a protectionist, should say, "Oh! that's nothing but a free trade argument. I don't go in for any inventions, improvement or discovery that will put our free American doctors on a level with the pauper doctors of Europe."

And so our inventions and improvements in tending to lessen labor and cheapen production are a curse. Take an extreme case: Suppose heaven should rain down thousands of loaves of bread into the streets. The starving poor might eat and be filled, but what a terrible thing it would be for the business interest of bake shops and restaurants. How we protectionists, with Senator Everts at our head, would fervently pray to be delivered from the grinding competition of heaven, in order that our baking establishments might be protected. Without protection verily life would not be worth living.

GEORGE H. HADLEY.

Oswego Men Active.

OSWEGO, N. Y.—The Pioneer land and labor club of this city is in a flourishing condition, and bids fair to become a power in the municipal affairs of our city and of our state government. We are gaining strength daily, and our meetings are well attended. Last fall we polled 671 votes for Henry George, and this spring, by indorsing certain candidates who were favorable to our principles, we succeeded in getting several single tax men elected to important offices. In order to spread the light we have decided to distribute copies of "Progress and Poverty" to all who will consent to read it, and we feel assured that good results will follow.

Our club recently sustained a severe loss in the death of Mr. John Sullivan, one of the founders of the Pioneer club, a staunch and zealous advocate of the doctrine of the single tax. He commanded the respect and esteem of all who knew him. Peace to his ashes.

JAS. C. MURRAY, President.

160 West First street.

No More Need to Hunt for Rubies.

Two years ago a process of making artificial rubies by fusing aluminate of lead with silica and adding a little chromium for coloring matter, was discovered. The stones were good although not so brilliant as the natural rubies, and it was not until the Paris syndicate of dealers in precious stones had declared they must be sold as artificial, that the trade in them was stopped. Since then a process has been perfected by Messrs. Freymy and Verneuil in France, whereby fluoride of barium is made to act upon aluminum, containing traces of bichromate of potassium. By this means rubies, rhomboidal in form, of the proper color, transparency and lustre, which are as hard as the natural gems, and cut like topaz—indeed, absolutely perfect stones—are formed.

In the Face of Inevitable Tariff Reduction.

Among the industries which have just been projected are a steam grist mill at Ashton, Me.; corn factory, Cherryfield, Me.; iron foundry, Southington, Conn.; sugar mill, Topoka, Kan.; iron foundry, Orange, Mass.; rattle factory, Wakefield, Mass.; optical instrument mill, Southbridge, Mass.; pulp and paper mill, Augusta, Me.; coffin trimming works, Essex, Conn.; stocking mill, Manchester, N. H.; foundry, Mechanic Falls, Me.; paper mills, near Erying, Mass.; grist mill, McCook, Neb.; cooper factory, Portland, Me.; woolen mills, Delaware county, Pa.; a lumber developing company at Portland, Me.; a large steel manufacturing plant at Chicago with a capital of \$200,000; and a cotton yarn manufactory with a capital of \$200,000 at New Bedford, Mass.

Awakening Interest by Discussion.

BALTIMORE, Md., April 25.—Mr. John Salmon of the Baltimore anti-poverty society last night read a paper entitled "The philosophy of the George movement," before a colored society called the Monumental literary and scientific association, at the Madison street Presbyterian church. Thirty minutes was allowed for the address and twenty minutes final summary, with ten minutes speeches free to all. There were about five hundred present and speeches were made by Messrs. Dixon, Davis, Reed, Dr. Brown and Cummings of the association. Not a few converts were made and many became interested and went to hear more. We will follow this work up with a distribution of tracts.

L. T. JONES, Secretary.

Hold On, Land Owners, and the People Will Make Your Fortune.

An old New Yorker was asked what property was worth on the east side of Central park. "Well," said he, "it is quoted at a million dollars a block; but very few holders would sell at that figure. The truth is that those who hold on will be able in five years to give anything they ask for it—two, three, or five millions of dollars."

Personals.

Albert Smith has not taken charge of the Brooklyn Free Press, as was reported.

M. Charles Floquet, the new French premier, is an old-time radical in politics. During the empire he was one of the thirteen prominent republicans prosecuted by the emperor. He entered the chamber of deputies in 1876, and voted for amnesty to the communists. In 1882 he was appointed prefect of the Seine, but was forced to resign because of his advocacy of local government for Paris.

S. Shimada, editor of the *Meijiichi* Skim-bun, or *Tokio Daily News*, has arrived at San Francisco on a tour of observation and study in this country. His paper is the free trade organ of Tokio, and he is watching the progress of revenue reform in the United States with great interest. Mr. S. says the people of this country have no adequate conception of the immense progress made by Japan during the last twenty years.—*New York World*.

PEN, PASTE AND SCISSORS.

It is the Omaha Herald which believes that while the grumblers, Matthew Arnold will be specially forgotten, his "Light of Asia" will be read decades hence.

A young man wants to know how he can get into the best New York society. He will have to stand on the line and wait for some of the noble 400 to die off.—*The Epoch*.

Norwich has a man who says the bridge across the Thames at New London is to be the biggest labor of a bridge. He has examined the plans and he declares that it will have five spans.—*Norwich Bulletin*.

The annual report of the city marshal says: "It has been our painful duty to lose by death two of our officers during the past year." The department ought to be relieved from such a duty immediately.—*Worcester Spy*.

The Oil City Derrick says that 53,000 petroleum wells have been driven in Pennsylvania and New York. The product sold for \$500,000,000, a profit of \$300,000,000. The exportation of the oil was 6,231,102,923 gallons.

Watch dials are now made by photography at a mere fraction of their former cost. They used to be made by hand. Now a hundred are made in the time formerly required to produce one, and each of the hundred is better than the one would have been.

The trade in birds for women's hats was so enormous last year that a single London dealer admitted that he sold two millions of every kind and color of a bird. Now a few weeks there were sold 6,000 birds of paradise, 5,000 imperial pheasants, 400,000 humming birds, and other birds from North and South America, and 300,000 feathered skins from India.

Heavy machinery is now run by artesian well power in a district in France, and the experience of the French shows that the deeper the well the greater the pressure and the higher the temperature. The famous Grenelle well, sunk to the depth of 1,800 feet, and flowing daily 500,000 gallons, has a pressure of sixty pounds to the square inch, the water being so hot that it is used for heating the hospitals.

The managers of the Reading iron works have got the protective principle down to a fine point. After keeping more than a thousand men idle for several months, on the ground that the works were out, they have now permitted them to return to work, on a reduction of seven and a half per cent in their wages. Meantime the local store keepers have had to do without the trade of this thousand idle men.

The all-pervading sense of dependence upon some one else which characterizes the office seeking class is illustrated by a conversation at the national capital. "Johnny," called out an anxious Washington mother, "jes' you stop flingin' stuns at them boys." "Why, ma?" demanded the young Philistine. "Bec' their pa's your pa's inducement, 'n' if you go to throwin' stuns 'r dadd' be out'n a job."—*Youth's Companion*.

A large party of officers and privates of the Honorable artillery company of London have applied for leave of absence to visit the United States this month, in order to be present at the 250th anniversary of the Ancient and honorable city of Cambridge, Mass., of Boston, that company being an offshoot of the London company. A large delegation from Boston attended the 350th anniversary of the London company last year.

The attention of the French academy of sciences has been drawn by M. Faye, the eminent astronomer, to the apparent geological law that the cooling of the terrestrial crust goes on more rapidly under the sea than with a land surface. From this he argues that the crust must thicken under oceans at a more rapid rate, so as to rise to a surface, and thus a disturbance of the thinner portions of the crust; in other words, to the formation of mountain chains.

Nearly a year ago some Japanese students at the university of California, impressed with modern republican ideas and institutions, and with our social economy, started a paper, the *Shin Yippoo*, for circulation in Japan. By it they expected to enlighten their countrymen and influence public opinion, and they criticized the Japanese government without reserve. But the project was nipped in the bud. New laws against the press brought the *Shin Yippoo* to a sudden end. All the copies of it that could be found were seized and destroyed, and the paper was obliged to suspend.

There are between 15,000 and 16,000 school teachers in Michigan, about 4,000 male and 11,000 female. The average cost to the state for school teachers' wages is \$45.37 per month for males and \$31.45 for females. The total amount paid for teachers' wages in 1887 was, in round numbers, \$3,000,000. The value of school property of the state is estimated at \$12,745,590; number of children of school age 421,203. There are 7,018 school districts in the state, and the total sum expended for all school purposes throughout the state for 1887 was \$3,067,504.74.

A Jerusalem correspondent writes that the holy city is fast becoming again the city of the Jews. In 1850 there were only 5,000 Jews there; now there are more than 30,000. Recent Russian persecutions have led thousands to make their homes there, and although the Turkish government forbids all Jews who are not residents of Jerusalem to remain longer than a day in the city, a judicious application of bribes enables them to stay there as long as they please without molestation. Wealthy Jews have built hospitals and founded homes, and many of the refugees who the poor live from the charity of their brethren.

A teacher of Mississippi colored folks has been taking notes of queer expressions that she has heard from her pupils and in meeting, and the *American Missionary* publishes them. Here are a few: "Go the great physicianer." "I use consecrated lye." "She is a crapple." "O Lord, give us good thinking facilities." "The meeting will be in the basin of the church." "O Lord, throw overboard all the load we're totin and the sins which upset us." "Jog them in remembrance of their vows." "I want her to resist me with the ironing." "I want all you men to come to the meeting." "There will be no respectable people in heaven. (God is no respecter of persons)." "I was much disconcerted." "It was said at the statement of this meeting." "I take care of them that are in the way of the good man." "I have passed through many dark scenes and unseens."

The big office buildings are creating a peculiar revolution down town. Old fashioned office buildings without elevators are in such poor demand that offices are very cheap, and with so few taken that the houses are being used as store rooms, work shops, and the like. In the new style office buildings are taking in banks, insurance companies, tailors, barbers, saloons, restaurants, telegraph offices, men's stores, and, in short, are becoming little cities in themselves. Though some demand is made at the rate of \$25 a square foot of floor surface, professional men who are ambitious feel that they must go into them. They complain about the prices, but the landlords, in turn, assert that the competition of the new buildings, with big buildings, such as fire light, heat, elevators, floor cleaning and the rest, has reduced the profit to five or six per cent. But most of the owners of the big buildings are corporations in business, and they don't care a rap for free of rental.—*New York Sun*.

He Was an Out and Out Protectionist, and Lived on Other People's Work.

New York Tribune.

Western Man—Stranger, the place where you now stand, surrounded by solid blocks, palatial residences and tall spires, a few short months ago was only a hole in the ground.

Tenderfoot—How was that?

Western Man—You see, an eastern man came along and started a cattle ranch here. But he became tired of the work, and the bottom dropped out of the place. He was so he laid off a town and made a fortune selling corner lots.

God.

God.
 O Thou eternal One whose presence bright
 All space doth fill, and all creation guide
 Unchanged through time's all-devastating
 fight!
 Thou only God—there is no God beside!
 Being above all things! Mighty One,
 Whom none can comprehend and none ex-
 ceed!
 Who dost exist with Thyself alone—
 Embracing all, supporting, ruling o'er—
 Being whom we call God, and know no more!

In its sublime research, philosophy
 May measure out the ocean deep—may count
 The sands of the sun's rays—but God! for
 Thee
 There is no weight nor measure; none can
 measure
 Up to Thy mysteries; reason's brightest spark,
 Though kindled by Thy light, in vain would
 try
 To trace Thy counsels, infinite and dark;
 And though is lost ere thought can soar so
 high,
 Even like past moments in eternity.

Thou from primeval nothingness didst call
 First chaos, then existence—Lord! in Thee
 Eternity had its foundation; all Thy
 Springs forth from Thee—of light, joy, har-
 mony,
 Sole Origin—All life, all beauty Thine;
 The word created all, and doth create;
 Thy splendor fills all space with rays divine;
 Thou art, and wert, and shalt be! Glorious!
 Great!

Light giving, life sustaining Potentate!
 Thy chains the unmeasured universe sur-
 round
 Upheld by Thee, by Thee inspired with breath!
 Thou the beginning with the end hast bound,
 And beautifully mingled life and death!
 As sparks mount upward from the fiery blaze,
 So souls are born, so worlds spring forth from
 Thee.

And as the spangles in the sunny rays
 Shine round the silver snow, the pearly
 Of heaven's bright army, glitters in Thy praise.
 A million torches lighted by Thy hand
 Wander unwearied through the blue abyss—
 They own Thy power, accomplish Thy com-
 mand.

All glory with life, all eloquent with bliss.
 What shall we call them? Piles of crystal
 light—
 A glorious company of golden streams—
 Lamps of celestial ether burning bright—
 Sams lightning systems with their joyous beams!
 But Thou to these art as the noon to night.

Yes! as a drop of water in the sea,
 All this magnificence in Thee is lost—
 What are ten thousand worlds compared to
 Thee?
 And what am I then?—Heaven's unnumbered
 host,
 Though multiplied by myriads, and arrayed
 In all the glory of sublimity thought,
 As but an atom in the balance weighed
 Against Thy greatness—is a cipher brought
 Against infinity! What am I then? Naught!

Naught! But the effluence of Thy light di-
 vine,
 Pervading worlds, hath reached my bosom
 too;
 Yes! in my spirit doth Thy spirit shine
 As shines the sunbeams in a drop of dew,
 And the next step is spirit—Deity!
 Eager towards Thy presence—far in Thee
 I live, and breathe, and dwell; aspiring high,
 Even to the throne of Thy divinity.
 I am, O God! and surely Thou must be!

Thou art—directing, guiding all—Thou art!
 Direct my understanding then to Thee:
 Control my spirit, guide my wandering heart;
 Though but an atom midst immensity,
 Still I am something, fashioned by Thy hand!
 I hold a middle rank 'twixt heaven and
 earth—

On the last verge of mortal being stand,
 Close to the realms where angels have their
 birth.
 Just on the boundaries of the spirit land!

The chain of being is complete in me—
 In me is matter's last and dearest host,
 And the next step is spirit—Deity!
 I can command the lightning, and am dust!
 A monarch and a slave—a worm, a god!
 Whence came I here, and how? so marvel-
 ously

Constructed and conceived! Unknown! This
 cloud
 Lives suetly through some higher energy;
 For from itself alone it could not be!

Creator, yes! Thy wisdom and Thy word
 Created me! Thou source of life and good!
 Thou spirit of my spirit, and my Lord!
 Thy light, Thy love, in their bright plenitude
 Filled me with an immortal soul, to spring
 Over the abyss of death, and burst its way
 The garments of eternal day, and wing
 Its heavenly flight beyond this little sphere,
 Even to its source—O Thee—its Author there.

O thoughts ineffable! O visions blest!
 Though worthless our conceptions all of Thee,
 Yet shall Thy shadowed image fill our breast,
 And waft its homage to Thy Deity.
 O! this alone my lowly thoughts can soar,
 Thus to Thy presence—Being wise and good!

Midst Thy vast works admire, obey, adore;
 And when the tongue is eloquent no more
 The soul shall speak in tears of gratitude.

MY BUTCHER WOMAN AND MY GROCERY MAN.

My butcher woman sold out her fixtures
 and closed her store last month.

The lock out (or close up) was sudden.
 I had gone over to get a steak for supper,
 and, though I had noticed while crossing
 the street that the doors were closed, I
 did not suspect anything until I got in
 front of the store, when I saw that no
 meat was hanging on the hooks inside. I
 opened one of the doors and poked my
 head in and said: "What's the matter—
 closed up?"

The butcher woman's eldest boy was
 standing in the store, with his hands in
 his pockets, looking toward the street. He
 answered, "Yeah!"

It was only about the middle of April,
 and I didn't think the close up was for good,
 when there were fifteen days to run be-
 fore the first of May, so I said: "Run out
 of meat, probably. Be open to-morrow?"

"Naw!" answered the boy, still standing
 with his hands in his pockets; "mother
 says she's goin' to quit."

As no meat was to be got at that store,
 I went on down the avenue to another
 butcher store, got a steak and went home.

The next day I passed by the place again,
 and seeing the butcher woman inside I re-
 solved to gratify my curiosity and find out
 what this sudden closing up might mean.

So I stopped inside to have a talk. The
 butcher woman was not at all secretive.
 In fact, she was rather anxious to talk
 than otherwise. Part of her story I knew
 already. Now I learned the sequel, and
 understood her business history from be-
 ginning to end. It's a very commonplace
 every day sort of a story, but still it has its
 interest.

My butcher woman wasn't always a
 butcher woman. When first the little store
 was opened she was a butcher's wife. It is
 only of late years that things have been
 reversed, and the man she married has be-
 come a butcher woman's husband. There
 are people living in the neighborhood who,
 if you ask them how the change has come

about, will tell you that it's all the butcher-
 er's fault. He was a good for nothing
 fellow, who neglected his business, took
 to drink, and has had to suffer the usual
 consequences. If he had been sober,
 frugal and hard working, he might have
 got along well enough. That is the ver-
 dict of the world on the poor butcher. It
 may be yours, too. But don't give it till
 you have read his story.

Fifteen years ago the butcher opened
 the store that my butcher woman closed
 last month. The neighborhood was not
 so thickly settled then as now; but, on
 the whole, the butcher thought that
 was no disadvantage. People were bound
 to come there to live, new houses would
 be built and new families move in,
 and he would have a chance to catch the
 new trade as it came along, and grow up
 with the locality. He looked forward to
 an increasing business, did the butcher.
 He had visions of his little store growing
 into a big store. In anticipation he heard
 himself spoken of as Johnson the butcher,
 with a delightful emphasizing of the article.

So the butcher rented the little store
 and the living rooms above it. The rent
 was pretty steep—thirty-five dollars a
 month for the store and ten dollars for the
 living rooms. Somehow the landlord
 seemed to have figured out pretty ac-
 curately just what a butcher could earn in
 that neighborhood, and wanted to take
 just so much of it as would leave the
 butcher enough for his living expenses,
 and no more. But the butcher was young
 and hopeful. He'd do more business
 presently; and of course, when he did,
 he'd be able to put some money by. He
 took the store and went to work like the
 energetic young butcher that he was.

For the next four or five years every-
 thing went on very nicely. The neighbor-
 hood didn't fill up quite as fast as he had
 hoped, but still there was an improvement.
 On the other hand his living expenses were
 increased by the advent of two children.
 But there was a good time coming—he
 felt sure of that—and in the meantime he
 lived comfortably and had no anxieties.

Then the lease expired of the ground on
 which the house the butcher rented stood,
 and the building passed out of the hands
 of the man who had built it and became
 the property of the landlord. This seemed
 quite natural and proper to the butcher,
 who looked upon landlords as a necessary
 and favored class, and saw nothing strange
 in their making money without working
 for it. But it affected him in a way he hadn't
 anticipated; for the landlord was a man
 who understood his business and paid at-
 tention to it. He was a hard worker, was
 this landlord. Though he never produced
 anything himself, he was marvelously
 industrious at getting hold of what other
 folks produced. He knew the but-
 cher—had talked with him in a free
 and confiding manner that made the
 butcher really happy. He knew what the
 butcher was making, what it cost him to
 live, what his hopes of extending his busi-
 ness were, and all the rest of it. So when
 the ground lease fell in, and the house be-
 came his, this hard working landlord felt
 it his duty to raise the butcher's rent—ten
 dollars a month more on the store, and five
 dollars on the living rooms. The house
 wasn't any more valuable, but the privi-
 lege of living in that neighborhood was;
 and as the landlord owned that privi-
 lege, of course it was only right that he
 should charge the butcher what it was
 worth. It was funny—the butcher didn't
 try to figure it out, and had no very defi-
 nite ideas on the subject, but in a slow
 going, puzzle headed kind of way he felt
 that it was funny. His customers' land-
 lords raised their rents because there were
 butcher shops, and bakeries, and delicatessen
 stores in the neighborhood; and he had his
 rent raised because there were customers
 in the neighborhood. So the butcher
 "stood the raise," and kept on think-
 ing about the good time coming; though
 with somewhat diminished confidence.

Well, the good time really came at last.
 People moved in thick and fast. Tenement
 houses went up in every direction. The
 butcher's trade increased a little—not as
 fast as it might have done, for the butcher
 had saved no money to speak of, and
 couldn't enlarge his premises, and so other
 butchers came along and took a good deal
 of the business—but still it really did in-
 crease. But somehow the butcher was
 actually worse off for it. As his trade
 grew, his rent grew with it. It really
 seemed—though, of course, that was ridi-
 culous—as though he were working for his
 landlord, and not for himself. First it
 jumped to \$75 a month. Then it rose to
 \$100. The butcher discharged his hired
 man, and his wife, in addition to the care
 of the children and living rooms, had to
 help him in the store, while the oldest boy
 was taken from school and employed to
 carry home the orders. There was some-
 thing wrong somewhere.

I had many a talk with the butcher in
 those days. He used to tell me it was
 hard lines, that his rent had more than
 doubled while his business had increased
 only thirty per cent. He had spoken to
 his landlord, but all the answer he got
 was, if he didn't like it he could clear out,
 there would be plenty of men who would
 be glad to get the store at even a higher
 rental. This seemed like an unanswerable
 argument to the butcher, and indeed it was
 one.

I noticed about this time that the butcher
 was becoming a drinking man. I hap-
 pened into the store one day when he was
 more than ordinarily under the influence
 of liquor, and evidently he and his wife—
 who, by the way, was a remarkably sweet
 dispositioned woman—had been having
 some words. I asked what was the matter,
 and the woman said that Jim was neglect-
 ing his business and drinking more than
 a man with a family should. The butcher
 retorted by saying that a man had to do
 something to brace him up. He was up in
 the morning at three o'clock, and had to
 be in the store till seven in the evening.
 He couldn't afford to neglect any cus-
 tomer, or he might lose them; and with
 the big expense he was under he couldn't
 afford to do that.

But a man couldn't stick to work all the
 time. If he took a nip now and then it
 was more to keep him up and enable him
 to stand the long hours that he had to
 work.

Two years ago the butcher's rent was

raised to \$110. Last year it mounted to
 \$120. That settled matters as far as the
 butcher himself was concerned. He lost
 heart completely, took to regular hard
 drinking, and gave up even the pretense
 of attending to his business. It was then
 that the wife became a butcher woman,
 carrying on the business with the help of
 the oldest boy, in order to support the
 other children and herself. Seven months
 ago the butcher disappeared altogether.
 His life struggle had exhausted him. He
 sank out of sight.

On the first of last month—queer it
 should have happened on April fool's day—
 the butcher woman received notice that
 her rent thereafter would be \$135 a month.
 This broke her down completely and after
 a week or two of despairing struggle
 against the inevitable she sold her fix-
 tures and closed her store. I don't know
 what she is going to do. Neither does she.
 There is a lady among her customers—
 about the one well-to-do customer she had
 —who belongs to a charitable society which
 makes work for the deserving poor. She
 may do something for her. God knows.
 Such is the story of the butcher and the
 butcher woman.

My grocery man and I were talking
 over the butcher woman's case the other
 night. He is a down east Yankee, sharp
 and shrewd. He used to deal at the butcher
 woman's, knew her story and had plenty
 of sympathy for her. But while he ad-
 mitted that the case was a hard one, he
 didn't see that the landlord was at all to
 blame for carrying on his business on busi-
 ness principles.

"The butcher wasn't cute," said my
 grocery man; "neither was his wife. When
 I opened this store seven years ago I paid
 \$700 a year rent. My business was light
 for a long time, and it used to be as much
 as I could do to kill time.

"I had a customer who used to drop in
 for a chat and his hobby was the rent
 question. He hammered away until I got
 interested, though I couldn't fully under-
 stand what he meant. One day he asked
 me if I would read a book on the subject
 if he brought it to me, and I told him, as I
 had plenty of time on my hands, that I
 would. He brought me 'Progress and
 Poverty.' I read it through, and then I
 read it again more carefully. It gave me
 the idea on which I have worked ever
 since.

"What a landlord really does when he
 rents me a store is to lay a tax upon my
 business. If the business grows and pros-
 pers up goes the tax; if it languishes and
 doesn't grow the tax remains light. If my
 landlord should find out that I am doing
 a money making trade he would clap on
 more rent; but as long as he thinks I am
 struggling hard to make a living he will
 be pretty apt to be easy with me. Do you
 see the idea?"

"Look at this store. There's no display
 about it, such as you'll find at the other
 stores round here. Not much! When the
 landlord drops in on me and asks me how
 business is I shrug my shoulders and say
 nothing.

"You don't catch me getting up at four
 o'clock in the morning and rushing down
 town for green groceries. I don't keep
 them at all. I don't encourage one, two
 and three cent customers, for their tramp-
 ing in and out would give things a lively
 appearance. No sir!

"I have kept my eyes open for custom-
 ers who order two and three days' and
 a week's supply. And I don't run a wagon
 or a push cart. I keep one boy, and he
 delivers the orders with a basket. When I
 get orders amounting to five or ten dollars
 or more, I have them made up at the
 wholesale house down town, and delivered
 from there.

"Decent people in this neighborhood are
 mostly poor. I cater to the 'fast' trade
 around here, and I supply them with all
 their groceries, wines and liquors; but
 none of those goods go from my store.

"Do I make money? Of course I do.
 I'll bet I do more business than any two
 grocers in the neighborhood; but I do the
 bulk of my business on the sly, taking
 good care the landlord doesn't get on to
 me."

"Here's the store. Looks about the
 same as when I first got you for a cus-
 tomer, doesn't it? Well, that's the way I
 intend to have it look while I run it.

"Does it pay me to do this? I should
 murmur. I have only had my rent raised
 two hundred dollars in seven years, while
 that chap over there has had his rent more
 than doubled in the same time. No, not
 his rent, for the man that was there up
 to three years ago was frozen out by his
 landlord raising his rent; and the new man
 will be frozen out, too. I'll bet he pays
 nearly double the rent I do, and I'll bet
 also that he don't do over half the business
 I do.

"You've got to fight the devil with fire.
 My landlord is my devil, and I propose to
 get the best of him as long as I can."

My grocery man's talk has set me think-
 ing. If the landlord has a right to all the
 place is worth, isn't the grocery man
 cheating his landlord? Or, if he hasn't
 such a right, didn't the butcher woman's
 landlord cheat her? My butcher worked
 hard and told the truth, and now he's a
 drunkard and a tramp, and his family are
 beggars. My grocery man worked hard
 and lied, and seems to have thriven by the
 process. These things puzzle me.

WILLIAM MCCABE.

The Danger in Denying Man his Heritage of Earth.

Whatever strengthens our local attach-
 ments is favorable both to individual and
 national character. Our home, our birthplace,
 our native land—think for a while what
 the virtues are which arise out of the feelings
 connected with these words, and if you have any
 intellectual eyes, you will then conceive the
 connection between topography and patriot-
 ism. Show me a man who cares no more for
 one place than another, and I will show you
 in that same person one who loves nothing but
 himself. Beware of those who are homeless by
 choice; you have no hold on a human being
 whose affections are without a tap root.
 The laws recognize this truth in the privi-
 leges they confer upon free holders; and
 public opinion acknowledges it also in the
 confidence which it reposes upon those who
 have what is called a stake in the country.

Have you any vague, airy, convertible terms,
 and with how much propriety may any one
 understand who knows what are the habits
 of the wandering classes, gypsies, tinkers
 and potters.

TAX LAWS IN PENNSYLVANIA.

Things Very Much Muddled, But the Rail-
 road Corporation Manages to Wrangle
 Out, While the Poor Man Who Tells the
 Truth, Of Course, Gets Punished.

PHILADELPHIA, April 27.—A general revenue
 law enacted by the legislature of the state of
 Pennsylvania on the seventh day of June,
 1879, by section 4, imposed a tax of three
 mills on each dollar of the value of the capital
 stock of corporations, to be paid by the
 treasurers of the corporations to the state
 treasury.

Section 17 of the said act provided that all
 mortgages, debts owing by solvent debtors,
 accounts bearing interest, shares of stock,
 and all other moneyed capital in the hands of
 individual citizens, with various exceptions,
 should be taxed at the rate of four mills on
 every dollar of the value thereof annually.

This section it was found necessary to revise
 and re-enact by the act of June 10, 1881, and
 again in the act of June 30, 1885, wherein
 directions were made for recording officers to
 make out list of mortgages, judgments, etc.,
 to assist the tax officers to reach the same for
 taxation.

Section 6 of the latter "further supplement"
 to the state tax laws provided for a form of
 return which each citizen should make, under
 oath, of all his personal property subject to
 taxation under the various tax laws of the
 commonwealth. This provision was so effica-
 cious in making some honest people disclose
 their property and pay tax, while the great
 mass escaped the infliction, and in its operation
 disclosed so glaringly the injustice which re-
 sults from our laws which endeavor to tax
 "personal property," that on May 13, 1887, it
 was enacted, "That all taxes laid upon watch-
 es, household furniture, and pleasure carriages,
 and the same are hereby abolished, and the
 laws under which said taxes are laid and col-
 lected, so far as they relate to the property
 herein mentioned, are hereby repealed." This
 shows the trend of the taxing minds of our law-
 makers. The tax dogs were called off to that
 extent. Thereupon arose the question whether
 the law applied to the taxes on the then cur-
 rent year 1887, or to the taxes on the year
 to come, but the courts decided that the law
 applied to the taxes on the year to come, and
 the law abolished them. Now the question is,
 What shall be done in the cases of those who
 had already paid such taxes for the year 1887
 prior to the enactment of the repealing act?
 They want their money back.

Edward J. Fox and wife applied to court
 for an injunction to restrain the tax assessor
 of the district in which they lived from pro-
 ceeding to assess them under the act of 1885,
 which is largely, as I have said, a revision
 and re-enactment of the seventeenth section
 of the act of 1879. One of the grounds of the
 application was that the act of 1885 was un-
 constitutional, not agreeing with that section
 of our state constitution, which provides that
 "all taxes shall be uniform upon the same
 class of subjects."

In this case (Fox's appeal) it was settled
 that the act of 1885 is unconstitutional in some
 of its provisions, but it is not so in this re-
 spect: that inasmuch as by the fourth section
 of the act of 1879, which I have above first
 mentioned, to which this act of 1885 is a fur-
 ther supplement, a tax is imposed upon the
 value of the capital stock of corporations, the
 omission to tax the mortgages owned by
 corporations, as well as other mortgages, does
 not produce unequal taxation; and further, it
 was settled by this case that the mortgages
 owned by corporations are not subject to tax-
 ation under this act as are the mortgages
 owned by individuals.

The republican state convention included
 in its platform, which was adopted on Wed-
 nesday, the following: "Eleventh. We recom-
 mend such a revision of the revenue laws of
 the state as will impose upon corporations
 taxation equal in amount to that from which
 they have been exempted by judicial deci-
 sions recently rendered."

Here is further progress in escaping tax-
 ation by those who can best afford to bear it.
 The Record to-day says editorially:

Two recent decisions by the Dauphin county
 court threaten to overturn the present re-
 venue system of the commonwealth. Judge
 McPherson has ordered the Dauphin county
 treasurer to pay back to the commonwealth
 the amount of the state tax on gross receipts,
 because it applied to the interstate traffic as
 well as to the state traffic of certain common
 carriers, would, if affirmed by the upper
 courts, result in the loss of over one million
 dollars per annum upon the commonwealth.
 Judge Simonson's decision against the con-
 stitutionality of section 4 of the revenue act
 of 1885, on the ground of lack of uniformity
 in assessing the tax on money at interest, in-
 volves \$600,000 per annum. Some of these
 tax moneys have not been paid by the cor-
 porations for three years, pending action by
 the courts, and it is estimated that the actual
 loss to the state because of the two decisions
 referred to is over four million dollars.

An attack is also to be made upon the con-
 stitutionality of the capital stock tax, which
 yields \$2,000,000 per annum to the state, the
 initial move in that direction having been
 taken on Tuesday last at Harrisburg by a
 resolution of the Dauphin county court.
 An act is also to be made upon the con-
 stitutionality of the corporation tax suits
 pending in the Dauphin county court, which
 has special jurisdiction in the settlement of
 such questions.

What more is required by the candid
 thinker to show the injustice of our whole
 present system of taxation?

J. HENRY MCINTYRE.

The Population of Japan.

The London and China Telegraph, referring
 to recent publications on Japanese statistics,
 says that on Jan. 1, 1885, the Japanese empire
 had a population of 37,868,987, or an average
 of ninety-nine inhabitants to each square
 kilometer, which is about the same average
 as Italy and much more than that of Germany.
 As the large area of Yezo and the Kuriles
 with its small population, be deducted, Japan
 proper has a population of 131 to the square
 kilometer, while Great Britain had only 114.
 For various reasons peculiar to the country
 and the people the distribution of the popu-
 lation is by clusters. In eight administrative
 districts the density reaches 220 per
 square kilometer, for these include the
 fertile rice plains and the most productive
 fisheries. Japan is a country of small
 peasant cultivation, rice being the principal
 staple, hence the mountainous districts are
 very thinly inhabited. The average number
 of persons in a household is 3.91, while in Ger-
 many it is 4.7; but in urban households the
 average is much smaller than in the country
 districts of European cities. Notwith-
 standing the density of the population, the
 small number of populous towns is very strik-
 ing. Only five have a population exceeding
 100,000—viz., Tokio, 902,877; Osaka, 353,970;
 Kyoto, 254,408; Nagoya, 126,898, and Kanagawa,
 104,330. Six only have a population be-
 tween 50,000 and 100,000. This peculiarity
 in distribution is due to the circumstances that
 Japan is not an industrial but an agricultural
 country. Another peculiarity is the propor-
 tion of the sexes; there were 19,157,977 males
 and 18,711,110 females, so that, reversing
 the rule in Europe, the males preponderate.

This is said to be due to the effect that there
 is a great preponderance of female mortality
 between fifteen and forty, Japanese statis-
 tics on this subject being wholly different
 from those of European countries. At the
 date of the census there were 8,896 Japanese
 abroad, their distribution being as follows:
 Corea, 4,356; China, 2,068; America, 817;
 Russia (chiefly eastern Siberia), 671; Brit-
 ain, 264; France, 104; Germany, 129, and
 the remainder in other countries or on the sea.

THE BOOT AND SHOE INDUSTRY.

How Free Land, Free Power and No Taxes
 Developed It in Small New England
 Towns.

The Lynn, Mass., Rec., commenting on a re-
 cent editorial in the Boot and Shoe Recorder,
 says:

The Recorder's theory is a very pretty one
 on paper, but there is a fatal defect about it.
 It is that it would good in practice: it does not
 work. The high protective tariff with the pro-
 tectivists cry that "it makes high wages," has
 attracted thousands upon thousands of skilled
 and unskilled European and Canadian labor-
 ers to our country, and the skillful laborers
 have entered our mills at a low rate of wages
 and have driven out the American laborers,
 until to-day there are over a million of labor-
 ers out of work.

"There is only one thing that will tempt
 capital to invest in factories," says the
 Recorder, "and that is profit. The greater
 the profit the more eager will capital be to
 invest." Was it a demand, in the sense in
 which the Recorder puts it, that led to the
 building of the country shoe factories, or was
 it the inducement of greater profits held out
 by selection of the towns in free rent, taxes
 and power, and in getting a larger profit out
 of the protected workmen's wages? There
 was no demand for those country shops,
 as the work could have been done in the
 already established and the manufacturers
 have been willing to pay fair living prices and
 have recognized the right of workmen to
 sell their wages at the highest price. The
 protective tariff forces the workingman
 to buy the necessities of life at the highest
 market and leaves the manufacturer free to
 purchase his labor in the cheapest market.
 And this is protection to labor.

"If then," says the Recorder, "the tariff
 raises the price of an article, as the Rec. holds
 that it does, the conclusion is clear as locust
 cake that this increased price is the very thing
 to induce capital to build factories for
 producing the article, the increased de-
 mand for workmen with its effect on wages
 follows as a logical sequence. There is no
 thing as too much protection (taxation).
 Capital seeking investment, everything else
 being equal, will be attracted to the city or
 town where the tax rate is the lowest. The
 fact between Lynn and Boston is twenty
 cents on the dollar, the rate in Lynn is ten
 cents. Reduce the fair to ten or five cents, and
 the railroads would be swamped with business.
 Just so it will be in knocking off the war
 taxes: there will be stimulation of trade,
 and the mills will be opened up, and labor
 will be better rewarded, as it will be given
 steadier employment."

A Machine That Will Do the Work of a Ste-
 nographer.

The stenographers who report the proceed-
 ings in congress for publication in the Con-
 gressional Record, have the past few days
 secured a machine to facilitate the work,
 which has already proven of inestimable
 value. It is one of Edison's inventions, and is
 called the graphophone. The machine very
 much resembles a lady's sewing machine and
 is worked in the same manner.

The instrument is used in this wise: When one
 of the stenographers concludes his floor re-
 port, he goes to this machine,

one dollar the Democrat is supplied for 18 months
if address in the United States or Canada.
Address 5 New Bridge street, London, E.C.

