

Programming Instrns. 8-3-70
Gaffney

7/22

Reporting procedures

II. Single variables (x)

Report:

(Give sample
& cum-
percentages)

1. Σx for each decile
2. Decile Σx as % of Grand Σx
3. LCR
4. Etc., as on p. 4, (II, B) ~~and~~ and p. 5 (II, E & F)

III. Pairings of additive variables, (x and y)Rank by ($x + y$)

A. Report by deciles

1. $\Sigma (x + y)$, absolute and as % of Grand $\Sigma (x + y)$
2. Σx , " " " " " " Σx
3. Σy , " " " " " " Σy
4. x/y and y/x , which are short for $\Sigma x / \Sigma y$ and $\Sigma y / \Sigma x$
5. $\frac{x}{x+y}$, " is " " $\Sigma x / \Sigma (x+y)$
6. $\frac{y}{x+y}$, " " " " " $\Sigma y / \Sigma (x+y)$

B. ~~2.~~ LCR of ($x + y$)

~~3.~~ Optional: LCR of x
LCR of y

7/22

IV. Pairings of non-additive vbles

Rank by x

A. Report ~~by~~ deciles:

1. Σx , absolute and % of Grand Σx

(This repeats II, but is convenient to reprint here)

2. Σy , absolute and % of Grand Σy

3. $\Sigma x/\Sigma y$ } whether or not there is a common unit
4. $\Sigma y/\Sigma x$ }

5. If there is a common unit:

a. $\Sigma (x+y)$, absolute and % of Grand $\Sigma (x+y)$

b. $\frac{x}{x+y}$, which is short for $\frac{\Sigma x}{\Sigma (x+y)}$

for each decile.

c. $\frac{y}{x+y}$, (ditto).

B. ~~for~~ LCR for $(x+y)$, if there is a common unit.

Optional: LCR for x

LCR for y

7/28
(x)

V. Pairings with ranking by one variable, to compare two other variables, (y and z)

A. Report by deciles

1. Σy , abs. & % of grand Σy
2. Σz , " " " Σz
3. $\Sigma y / \Sigma z$
4. $\Sigma z / \Sigma y$
5. There are no common units, so never use $\Sigma(y + z)$

B. Optional

LCR for y
LCR for z

VI. ~~P~~ Pairings ranked by ratio of variables, $\frac{x}{y}$

A. Report by deciles

1. Σx , abs. & %
2. Σy , " " "
3. $\Sigma z_1, z_2, z_3$ etc. (these are other variables specified)
absolute and %.
4. $\Sigma x / \Sigma y$
5. $\Sigma y / \Sigma x$
6. If common unit: a, $\Sigma(x + y)$ abs. & %
b, $\Sigma x / \Sigma(x + y), \Sigma y / \Sigma(x + y)$

7/22

- 4 -

$$\sum z_i / \sum (x+y) \text{ and } \frac{\sum x \text{ or } \sum y}{\sum z_i}$$

and

7. $\sum z_i / \sum x$ and $\sum z_i / \sum y$ in the ff. specified cases only.

A. ~~TVLaav~~ ÷ TVLaav ÷ ETA

B. ~~TVLaav~~ ÷ ~~ETA~~ TLa ÷ DPa

C. TVLaav ÷ ETA

Pa ÷ DPa

EMP ÷ NP_ga

App. II:

C.T.

Land value p.s.f.

Industrial land

note to programmer:

for any census tract not listed:

use \$1.50 as land value (column 2)

use 50.2 as assessment ratio (column 4)

Appendix I
 Listing of Assessment Ratios pertaining to different census tracts
 in 1958.

Assessment Ratio

Census Tracts

$$\begin{array}{r} 66.8 \\ 49.2 \\ 32.8 \\ \hline 3 \overline{) 148.8} \\ 49.6 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 53.4 \\ 66.8 \\ \hline 2 \overline{) 120.2} \\ 60.1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 39.5 \\ 53.4 \\ \hline 2 \overline{) 92.9} \\ 46.5 \end{array}$$

D V L V

Industrial Land

Stefania
surveyed
↓

1950 Census Tract	average value of ind. land per s. f. (\$)	municipality the land was in in 1958	1962 - % local is of reg. value
1	\$1.25 1.25	Milwaukee	53.4
2	\$4	↓	-
3	\$1.50		
4	\$1.50		
5	\$1.50		
6	\$1.50		
7	\$2		-
8	\$1.50		-
9	\$1.50		
10	\$1.75		
11	\$1.50		
12	\$1.50		
13	\$1.50		
14	\$1.50		
15	\$1.50		
16	\$1.50		-
17	\$6 4.50 4.50 4.50		-
18	\$1.50		
19	\$5		-
20	\$3.50		-
21	\$3		-
22	\$1.50		
23	\$1.50		
24	\$1.50	↓	
25	\$1.50		↓

Ind 2

26	① 1.50	Milwaukee	53.4
27	① 1.50		
28	① 1.50		
29	① 1.50		
30	\$2		
31	\$2		
32	\$1.50		
33	① 1.50		
34	① 1.50		
35	① 1.50		
36	① 1.50		
37	\$ 2.50		
38	① 1.50		
39	① 1.50		
40	① 1.50		
41	① 1.50		
42	① 1.50		
43	\$ 2		
44	① 1.50		
45	① 1.50		
46	① 1.50		
47	① 1.50		
48	① 1.50		
49	① 1.50		
50	① 1.50		

10,000 25000

~~27500~~ 30000

30

2nd 3

51	⊙ 1.50	Milwaukee	53.4
52	⊙ 1.50		
53	⊙ 2 -		
54	⊙ 1.50		
55	⊙ 1.50		
56	⊙ 1.50		
57	⊙ 1.50		
58	⊙ 1.75 -		
59	⊙ 1.75 -		
60	⊙ 1.50		
61	⊙ 1.50		
62	⊙ 1.50		
63	⊙ 1.50		
64	⊙ 1.50		
65	⊙ 1.50		
66	⊙ 1.50		
67	⊙ 1.75 -		
68	⊙ 2 -		
69	⊙ 2 -		
70	2		
71	2 =		
72	⊙ 1.50		
73	⊙ 1.50		
74	⊙ 1.50		
75	⊙ 2 -	✓	✓

End 4

76	⊙ 1.50	Milwaukee	53.4.
77	⊙ 1.50		
78	⊙ 1.50		
79	⊙ 1.75	-	
80	82.5	-	
81	⊙ 1.50		
82	⊙ 1.50		
83	1.75	-	
84	1.75	-	
85	⊙ 1.50		
86	⊙ 1.50		
87	1.25	-	
88	3.	-	
89	3		
90	2	-	
91A	⊙ 1.50		
91B	⊙ 1.50		
92	⊙ 1.50		
93	⊙ 1.50		
94	⊙ 1.50		
95	⊙ 1.50		
96	⊙ 1.50		
97	⊙ 1.50		
98	⊙ 1.50		
99	⊙ 1.50		
100	⊙ 1.50		

50-75

60

Ind 5

101	Ø 1.50	Milwaukee	53.4
102	Ø 1.50		
103	1.50	-	
104	1.75		
105	Ø 1.50		
106	.60		
107	.60		
108	Ø 70	-	
109	Ø 1.50		
110	Ø 1.50		
111	1.50		
112	Ø 82	-	
113	Ø 2.50	-	
114	Ø 2.00	-	
115	Ø 1.50	-	
116	Ø 2.25	-	
117	Ø 1.50		
118	Ø 1.50		
119	Ø 1.50		
120	Ø 1	-	
121	1		
122	Ø 1.50		
123	Ø 1.50		
124	Ø 1.50		
125	Ø 1.50		

1020002

~~7,500 - 10,000~~

100-125

92

Ind 6

126	1.75	- Milwaukee	53.4
127	⊙ 1.50		
128	1.25		
129	1.75	-	
130	1.75		
131	⊙ 1.50		
132	⊙ 1.50		
133	⊙ 1.50		
134	⊙ 1.50		
135	⊙ 1.50		
136	.85	-	
137	1.25	-	
138	1.25		
139	1.25		
140	1.25		
141	1.25	-	
142	⊙ 1.50		
143	1.25		
144	⊙ 1.50		
145	⊙ 1.50		
146	⊙ 1.50		
147	1		
148	⊙ 1.50		
149	⊙ 1.50		
150	⊙ 1.50		

75-100

~~5000~~ 7493

80

Ind 7

151	1.25	Mil	53.4
152	1.50	Mil	53.48
153	1.50	Mil	53.4
154	1.50	FP	42.8
155	1.50	WB	36.9
156	1.50	WB	36.9
157	1.50	WB	36.9
158	1.50	SH	48.3
159	1.50	SH	48.3
160	1.50	SH	48.3
161	1.50	SH	48.3
162	1.25	Glendale	66.8
163	1.50	Gl, Bays, RH	49.6
164	1.50	RH	32.8
165	.30	BD	46.2
166	.20	Milw.	53.4
167	.40	Some Milw - $\frac{1}{2}$ Some Glendale $\frac{1}{2}$	60.1
168	.40	Milw	53.4
169	1.50		
170	1.50		
171	1.50		
172	1.50		
173	1.50		
174	1.50		
175	1.50		

76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82

110

~~10-96-000~~

125-150

Ind 8

~~for any census~~

183	.85	Wanwa	33.3
184	1.85		
186	.85	WM	60.6
187	1.50		
188	1.85 81.25	WA	39.5
189	1.85 81.25	WA	39.5
190			
193	.60	WA	39.5
194	.75	WA	39.5
195	.75	WA	39.5
196	.75	$\frac{1}{2}$ WA	46.5
		$\frac{1}{2}$ Mil	
206	40 70	Milw	53.4
208	.85	St F	50.0
210	\$1	Cudahy	49.4
214	40	SM	41.7
219	.60	M.Y	53.4

97
99
99
200
201
202
203
204
206

150 - 175

120

~~25,000 - 50,000~~

Appendix II

Value of Land in Census Tracts

(Programmer: Remember to multiply by 4.356 to convert to \$(000) per 1/10 acre.)

Census Tract

Land Value per sq. ft.

20 1
30 1
40 III

85 III 1962

1966

.60 III

70 III

\$1.25 - III III III

\$1.50 III III

\$1.75 III III

\$2 III III III

\$2.25
\$2.50 III

\$3 II

\$3.50 I

\$4 I

\$5 I

125
125
1375

125
13

175
9
1575

125
13
475
125
1725

20-1 20
30-1 30
40-3 120
85-4 340
60-4 240
70-2 140
75-3 225
100-4 400
125-18 1725
150-19 1500
175-9 1575
200-13 2600
225-1 225
300-2 600
350-1 350
400-1 400
500-1 500

73 109.90

28
369
365

\$1.50 is average

please
add this
to if
necessary

App. I:

Tax Jurisdiction
~~Base Books~~

Ass. Ratio

C.T.s.

1-18

1950 Census tracts listed by present municipalities
(in many cases, approximate boundaries were
used, but this would be more accurate than averaging)

Municipality	1962 assessment ratio	census tracts, 1950
Milwaukee	53.4	1-153, 166-173, 200-201, 206-207, 218-222
Fox Point	42.8	154
Whitefish Bay	36.9	155-157
Shorewood	48.3	158-161
Glendale	66.8	162
River Hills	32.8	164
Brown Deer	46.2	165
Wauwatosa	33.3	174-176, 179-185
West Allis	39.5	177, 187-198
West Milw	60.6	186, 196?
Greenfield	46.8	199, 202
Hales Corners	50.1	203
Greendale	65.6	204
St Francis	50.0	208
Cudahy	49.4	209-211
South Milw	41.7	212-215
Oak Creek	43.8	216
Franklin	53.6	217

Census tracts that overlapped municipal boundaries seriously

		RATIO -
163	GI, FP, RH, BS	46.2
178	$\frac{1}{2}$ Milwaukee $\frac{1}{2}$ WA	46.5
205	$\frac{2}{3}$ Milw $\frac{1}{3}$ Cudahy	52.1

Contents

- I. Suspicious cases to check
- II. New variables and screeners
- III. Reporting format
- IV. New Improved LCR, henceforth ILCR
- V. Rankers and reportees.
- VI. Coefficient of dispersion
- VII. Mauvel Index