1982 census of governments.

Washington : U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of the Census : [1984]

https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602



Public Domain, Google-digitized

http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

We have determined this work to be in the public domain, meaning that it is not subject to copyright. Users are free to copy, use, and redistribute the work in part or in whole. It is possible that current copyright holders, heirs or the estate of the authors of individual portions of the work, such as illustrations or photographs, assert copyrights over these portions. Depending on the nature of subsequent use that is made, additional rights may need to be obtained independently of anything we can address. The digital images and OCR of this work were produced by Google, Inc. (indicated by a watermark on each page in the PageTurner). Google requests that the images and OCR not be re-hosted, redistributed or used commercially. The images are provided for educational, scholarly, non-commercial purposes.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ
3 2106 00683 6602

GC82(2)

Volume 2

Taxable Property Values and Assessment-Sales Price Ratios



THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

APR 5 1984

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
SANTA CRUZ

1982 Census of Governments

U.S. Department of Commerce
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA


The publications
from the 1982 Census of
Governments are dedicated
to the memory of Shirley Kallek,
Associate Director for Economic Fields.
During her career at the Bureau of the
Census (1955 to 1983), she continually
directed efforts to improve
the timeliness and accuracy of
economic statistics.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

GC82(2)

Volume 2

Taxable Property Values and Assessment-Sales Price Ratios



1982 Census of Governments

Issued February 1984

U.S. Department of Commerce
Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary
Clarence J. Brown, Deputy Secretary

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
C.L. Kincannon,
Deputy Director

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
C.L. Kincannon, Deputy Director

Charles A. Waite, Associate Director
for Economic Fields

GOVERNMENTS DIVISION
John R. Coleman, Chief

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS—**John O. Behrens**, Chief of the Taxation Branch, directed the preparation of this report under the general supervision of **Vance Kane**, Assistant Division Chief for Programs. **Gerard T. Keffer** supervised implementation of survey specifications and coordinated data preparation operations. **John R. Kennedy** directed research and assisted with survey operations.

Irene M. Chance, William C. Hulcher, Purnell E. Johnson, Lucy L. Mimm, Alan S. Pines, and **Eddie H. Willner** provided technical and operational support. **Dorothy Hepner** and **Linda Taylor** were responsible for word processing and stenographic services.

Stephen M. Poyta directed data processing operations under the supervision of **Judith Jennings**, Chief of the Computer Utilization Branch. **David Bailey, Diane Glaspie, Geneva A. Hines, Gregory Huttman, Mildred Y. Keull, John Youngwirth**, and **Deidra A. Zerillo** provided data processing technical assistance.

Corrine Davis supervised preparation of publication copy, assisted by **Esther Fogwell** and **Ruth Samuels.**

Field Division enumerated and collected data from local public assessment rolls, grantor-grantee indexes, and other property records relating to status of and changes in real property parcels. Data Processing Division in Jeffersonville, Ind., carried out many of the survey operations.

We acknowledge with gratitude the cooperation of the many local assessing, recording, and data processing officials who expedited enumeration by making source material available. State officials having responsibilities for assessment administration were also very helpful. We express a special "thank you" to the thousands of people who responded to Census Bureau inquiries about realty transactions in which they participated.

For information regarding data in this report, contact **John O. Behrens**, Governments Division, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233 (301/763-5308).

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Census of governments [1982]. Taxable property values and assessment/sales price ratios.
1982 census of governments. Volume 2, Taxable property values and assessment/sales price ratios.

"Issued 1983."
"GC82(2)"
Supt. of Docs. no.: C 3.145/4:982/v.2
1. Real property tax—United States. 2. Assessment—United States. I. United States. Bureau of the Census. II.Title.
HJ4181.C46 1982 336.22'2'0973 **83-600324**

For sale by Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

1982 Census of Governments

Volume 2
Taxable Property Values and Assessment-Sales Price Ratios

CHANGE SHEET

Issued December 1984

THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
JUN 7 1985
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
SANTA CRUZ

Revisions are as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Page XXXI

Table J. Rates, in Percent, State Realty Transfer Taxes: 1983

0.01	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.15
Colorado	Hawaii Illinois	Alabama[1] Georgia Kentucky North Carolina Ohio South Dakota Virginia[4] Washington[5]	Arkansas Connecticut[2] Iowa Maine Michigan[3] Nebraska Nevada	Oklahoma
0.20	0.22	0.228	0.25	0.26
South Carolina	Minnesota Rhode Island West Virginia	Massachusetts	New Hampshire[6]	Tennessee
0.30	0.325	0.35	0.40	0.45
Wisconsin	Indiana[7]	New Jersey[8]	New York[9]	Florida

0.50	1.0	2.0	Other
Maryland[10] Vermont	Pennsylvania	Delaware	Arizona[11]

[1]Recordation tax in Alabama only applicable to that portion of fair market value that exceeds the amount of mortgages, deeds of trust, etc. Alabama also levies a conveyance tax on mineral interests. [2]An additional tax of 1/2 of 1 percent is levied on property conveyed within an enterprise zone. In addition to realty transfer tax, Connecticut levies a conveyance fee on farm, forest, or open space land. [3]Tax is 55 cents per $500 of consideration in counties having less than 2 million population. Counties having over 2 million population may increase this tax to 75 cents per $500 as authorized by the county board of commissioners. [4]Data indicated applies to the realty transfer tax. Virginia also levies a document recording tax of 15 cents per $100 on which reduced rates apply for transactions in excess of $10 million. [5]Washington also imposes a 7 percent surtax on the basic tax on conveyances, effective Aug. 1, 1982, through June 30, 1983. [6]Minimum tax is $10 where sales price is less than $4,000. [7]Gross income tax on proceeds from sale of real estate. Tax is levied in decreasing percentages until Dec. 31, 2009, at which time tax will no longer be imposed. [8]Transfers of newly constructed property or owner-occupied residences of senior citizens, blind persons, or disabled individuals subject to a reduced tax of 50 cents per $500 of consideration. [9]New York also levies a 10 percent gains tax on transfers of real estate where the consideration exceeds $1 million. [10]Maryland also levies an additional transfer tax on property transferred that is assessed as agricultural land. [11]Rate is $2 per document.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Page XXXV

The following text is an addition at the end of the section entitled "Statewide, SMSA, and Jurisdiction Aggregate Ratios:"

All findings presented separately refer only to single-family residential condominiums, and do not include any cooperative ownerships. Moreover, they are based on in-scope responses that survive survey screening to become measurable sales. Thus, the data in tables 26 and 27 refer to numbers of condominium sales, not to entire totals of condominiums in the areas specified. Even with respect to sales, the findings do not constitute estimates of turnover of condominiums, since they do not extend to transactions involving nonrespondents, and they do not include transactions deemed to be other than "armslength."

Sales presented in table 26 refer to "ordinary" properties only. Sales presented in table 27 may include some extraordinary properties as well as ordinary properties. Neither table includes newly constructed condominium units.

Page XLIII

Under the section "Intra-area Price-Related Differential" near the end of the second paragraph the sentence should read:

This is because a differential of 110, for example, indicates (all other things being equal) that the owner of a lower value house pays relatively more in property taxes than the owner of a higher value house.

TABLE 21

On page 197 under VIRGINIA, data for Fairfax County should be footnote (6) in all columns.

TABLE 27

On page 258 and 259 the headnote should read:

[Data cover measurable sales of residential condominiums during a 6-month period; but do not include sales of newly constructed condominium units. For meaning of symbols, see text]

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CONTENTS

HJ
4121
C4'
1981

Page

INTRODUCTION..... V

TABLES

1. Property Tax Revenue of State and Local Governments by States: 1981-82..... 1
2. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation by Class of Property and States: 1981..... 2
3. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation Inside and Outside of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas by Class of Property and States: 1981..... 4
4. Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981..... 7
5. Sampling Error of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981..... 9
6. Percent Distribution of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981..... 11
7. Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981..... 13
8. Sampling Error of Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981..... 15
9. Percent Distribution of Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981..... 17
10. Gross Assessed Value of All Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property and Ordinary Real Property by States: 1981..... 19
11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981..... 20
12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981..... 26
13. Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses Involved in Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by States: 1981..... 47
14. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Median Assessment Ratio for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses by Type of Areas: 1981..... 48
15. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Coefficient of Intra-Area Dispersion of Assessment Ratios for Single-Family Houses by Type of Areas: 1981..... 49
16. Distribution of Dispersion Coefficients and Price-Related Differentials of Assessment Ratios for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses for Selected Local Areas: 1981..... 49
17. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Median Assessment Ratio for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses With Measures of Interarea Differences by States: 1981..... 50
18. Distribution of Selected Local Areas and Intra-Area Dispersion Coefficients for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses by States: 1981..... 51
19. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Price-Related Differential of Assessment Ratios for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses Within Each Area by States: 1981..... 52
20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981..... 53

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Page

TABLES

21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981..... 118
22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981..... 222
23. Gross and Net Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation in Selected Outlying Areas of the United States by Outlying Areas: 1981..... 229
24. Gross and Net Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation in Puerto Rico by Municipios: 1981..... 230
25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981..... 231
26. Statistics on Locally Assessed Taxable Residential Condominiums by States: 1981..... 257
27. Statistics on Locally Assessed Taxable Residential Condominiums for Selected Local Areas: 1981..... 258
28. Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Personal Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation by Major Classes and States: 1981..... 260

Appendix A. Legal Basis for Assessed Value of Realty by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods..... 261

Appendix B. Legal Basis for Assessed Value of Tangible Personal Property by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods..... 266

Appendix C. Provisions for Assessment of Property for Agricultural, Open Space, and Associated Benefited Uses by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods..... 269

Appendix D. Real Property Assessment Cycles by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods..... 274

Appendix E. Definitions of Selected Terms..... 277

Appendix F. Survey Forms..... 284

Publication Program.....Inside back cover

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

INTRODUCTION

	Page
HIGHLIGHTS	V
SCOPE OF THE SURVEY	VI
SURVEY METHODOLOGY	VII
THE PROPERTY INVENTORY	IX
THE PROPERTY TAX BASE	X
DISTRIBUTION AND COMPOSITION OF THE BASE	XI
PROPERTY TAX YIELD	XIX
THE ASSESSING FUNCTION IN A LAND INFORMATION SETTING	XXI
RATIOS AND DISPERSION	XXXIII
TAX RATE	XLIV
VALUE EXCLUDED OR REMOVED FROM THE PROPERTY TAX BASE	XLVI
SURVEY PROCEDURE	LI
RELIABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA	LIV
MEANING OF SYMBOLS	LVIII

HIGHLIGHTS

Over a span of 25 years ending in 1981 the Nation's base of taxable property reached almost $3 trillion, more than 10 times the 1956 total. To this can be added almost $10.6 billion in taxable property value located in Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

Nearly two-thirds of the nationwide increase since 1956 came during the last 5 years, as the combination of inflation, rising statutory assessment levels, and reassessment brought the total from $1,229.1 billion in 1976 to $2,958.2 billion in 1981.

Between 1971 and 1976 assessed values had for the first time increased by a greater percentage outside standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) than inside. Now, however, there is again relatively greater metropolitan growth. Net assessed value within SMSA's slightly exceeded $2 trillion in 1981, 145 percent higher than the total of $854 billion 5 years earlier. Outside SMSA's the increase since 1976, though substantial at 122 percent, was proportionately less. The aggregate net assessed value outside SMSA's climbed from $335 billion in 1976 to $745 billion in 1981.

Locally assessed realty in 1981 accounted for $2.5 trillion of the property tax base, almost 12 times the total a quarter century earlier. Three of every 5 dollars of such assessed value in 1981 represented residential realty, a proportion over 6 percentage points higher than what prevailed in 1956. The number of parcels show a corresponding relationship. Of the total of 98.4 million taxable parcels in 1981, almost 60 percent, or 58.2 million, are improved residential properties, primarily in the single-family use category.

Property tax revenue, primarily collected by local governments, has increased dramatically. During the period immediately after voter approval of Proposition 13 in California, property tax revenues decreased from $66.4 billion in fiscal 1978 to $64.9 billion a year later. Since then, they have soared to $81.9 billion in fiscal 1982, up by 9.3 percent over fiscal 1981. Preliminary figures for fiscal 1983 totaled $90 billion, an amount 10 percent greater than fiscal 1982. With respect to personal income, however, property taxes have declined since the passage of Proposition 13. They stood at $49.15 per $1,000 of personal income in fiscal 1972, up from $45.27 5 years earlier. By fiscal 1977 the corresponding figure had become $45.53. Then the plunge occurred, down to $34.05 per $1,000 of personal income in fiscal 1982. Over the same 15-year period, the relationship of all State and local taxes to $1,000 of personal income went from $105.50 in 1966-67, up to $128.05 a decade later, and then down to $110.70 in 1981-82.

Average sales prices for single-family houses continue to climb, but less sharply than in the early seventies. In 1956, when the survey began, the average sales price for a single-family house was at $10,900 for a previously occupied single-family house, and $15,600 for a new house. Corresponding figures a decade later were $15,900 and $22,100, respectively. By 1976, they had reached $34,600 and $44,800. The present survey yielded averages of $66,600 for previously occupied houses and $84,400 for new single-family houses. While sales prices increased, effective tax rates went down. The median area rate dropped

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

from 1.85 percent in 1976 to 1.09 percent in 1981.

To study realty sales prices and their relationship to assessed values for this survey, a sample was selected and enumerated for approximately 181,000 real property sales occurring in 1,996 jurisdictions during a 6-month period in 1981. Usable responses came from about 55,000 transacting parties, the majority of them grantees. Subsequent processing and calculating produced a nationwide assessment-sales price ratio, for all realty (considered as a single use category), of 40 percent. If that unadjusted ratio is applied to (divided into) the nationwide total of $2,514.9 billion (real property assessed value), the sales generated market value estimate for all locally assessed realty in 1981 is about $6,287.2 billion. If the size-weighted ratio of 37.2 percent is used instead, the market value estimate becomes $6,760.4 billion.

The coefficient of intra-area dispersion for single-family houses, which measures the scatter of individual assessment-sales ratios around the median ratio, stood at 21.3 percent for 1981, down from a corresponding 22 percent for 1976. For 1981 this means that, if the coefficient of intra-area dispersion for each of the 1,367 sample assessing areas with enough sales to support calculation were arrayed from lowest to highest, the one with an equal number of coefficients below and above it would be 21.3 percent.

As noted above, survey ratio and dispersion findings stem from a sample of realty sales. The universe of sales from which the sample is selected constitutes only a small part of the entire base of taxable property. There is not necessarily a correlation between what happens in such a small part and what exists throughout the base.

The above comments only suggest the types of data the Bureau of the Census enumerates and processes to arrive at survey findings. Each survey deals with property values and property taxes, in accordance with requirements of Title 13, United States Code, Section 161. Each census necessarily includes "data on taxes and tax valuations . . . of States, counties, cities, and other governmental units."

SCOPE OF THE SURVEY

"Taxable property values" are assessed values. Survey responses reported here consist of aggregates of individual official determinations by more than 13,500 local assessors of the value, officially set in 1981 for tax purposes, for each of about 100 million real property parcels and additional millions of personal property accounts. A parcel in this survey is whatever the local assessor defines to be a parcel (see appendix E).

Specifically, the survey includes the following major data collection activities:

1. Contact with appropriate officials of each State, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands to obtain values officially assessed in 1981 for property subject to local general property taxation, for each State, individual county (or equivalent unit), and for each city or town having a population of 50,000 or more as of July 1, 1978.

2. Enumeration, on a sample basis, from grantor-grantee indexes, deed books, assessment rolls, and similar public records in recording and assessing offices of 1,996 counties and equivalent units, of sales of real property parcels occurring during a 6-month period of 1981 (usually July to December). Data enumerated for each sale include the names and addresses of grantor and grantee, street address or similar unique description of parcel sold, date of sale and/or recording, type of conveying document (usually warranty deed), and assessed value as of applicable 1981 date.

   For sales of realty located in each city or town of 200,000 population or more as of July 1, 1978, and surrounding county, if any. For cities of 50,000 population or more in these counties only, data include property taxes billed in 1982 against applicable 1981 assessed value.

3. Enumeration within the sample 1,996 jurisdictions of data on actual use and assessed value of individual parcels selected from 1981 assessment roll or equivalent public record on a sample basis.

4. Questionnaire canvass, by mail, of all grantees (or alternatively, grantors) involved in sales selected for the sample and post-enumeration screening, in order to obtain sales price and actual use

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

of sold properties. In the 1982 survey, replies were received from 79,500 of the 138,000 questionnaires mailed out. These responses yielded data on 55,300 measurable sales.

5. Assembly, from State and local sources, on the basis of mail canvass, of 1981 assessed value amounts applicable to intangible and six use categories of tangible personal property.

As the foregoing indicates, the survey is a nationwide aggregation of assessments (parcel-oriented for realty and account-oriented for personalty), since the totals from all primary assessing jurisdictions providing the base for county or equivalent taxes become survey benchmark data that are nationwide in scope.

Individual assessments reflect a multitude of assessment levels with respect to market value. This fact underscores another distinctive aspect of survey scope. The assessment-sales price ratio study is the only such effort conducted on a nationwide basis. Hence the survey's ratios and coefficients indicate de facto assessment levels, together with the degree of uniformity reflected in each, for jurisdictions around the country.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

Survey findings depend on a carefully controlled two-stage probability sample for the enumeration and assembly of individual parcel assessed values and uses, and on individual parcel transactions (sales) with their sales prices, assessed values, and uses.

To implement the first stage of the sampling design, a selection is made of a sample of primary assessing jurisdictions. This consists of counties in 40 States. In the 10 States[1] where the assessing jurisdictions are municipalities or townships, the sample consists of such units rather than counties.

The first stage or jurisdiction sample has two basic components, namely, certainty and noncertainty jurisdictions. Certainty jurisdictions consist of the following:

1. Each county or county-equivalent primary assessing jurisdiction with a population as of July 1, 1978, of 100,000 or more.

2. Among the 10 township type jurisdiction States, each primary assessing jurisdiction named in the title of a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA) or a New England county metropolitan area (NECMA).

3. Additional jurisdictions selected to cover special circumstances.

4. Additional primary assessing jurisdictions needed to satisfy error goals.

Sample noncertainty jurisdictions were determined as follows: There was stratification of noncertainty jurisdictions within SMSA and non-SMSA groups, and within each of the above according to size of known assessed value aggregates for 1979 or 1978, with preference for the more recent year. Then occurred the selection of jurisdictions at random from each stratum in accordance with an optimum allocation criterion based on variances computed from 1976 or later assessed values.

Enough noncertainty jurisdictions were selected to ensure simple unbiased estimates of statewide and SMSA portion assessed value aggregates that would be subject to relative errors of no more than 2 percent for most States, and no more than 4 percent for the smallest States.

The above jurisdiction sample makes possible publication of statewide and SMSA portions of State totals. Data are published for individual SMSA totals, however, only when the sample happens to include each jurisdiction within the SMSA.

In the second stage of sample design, the enumeration covers a sample of individual transactions (sales) of real property, selected at random from the public record (usually grantor-grantee indexes or similar listings of realty sales in the local recording or assessing office), and individual parcel assessed values selected from the assessment roll (also a public record) of the jurisdiction involved.

Data are not available for stratifying or otherwise classifying parcels prior to selection of realty sales. Though certain individual local land information systems possess considerable sophistication, local recording officials do not

[1]New England States of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont, plus Michigan, New Jersey, New York and Wisconsin.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

yet typically provide any consistent indication of parcel size or use in their public records.

In consequence, the survey confronts an "unclassified universe" and bases sampling rates on expected overall sales volume. Sampling rates were designed to yield the greatest precision for the largest States. For each sale selected, the applicable assessed value was obtained from the local assessment roll. This is one of the ingredients in the assessment-sales price ratio obtained from survey processing.

A second large sample survey of individual parcel assessed values was conducted from the same local assessment rolls. This yielded the sample that provided data on the number of locally assessed real properties and the distribution, by use category, of such properties and their assessed value.

To accomplish this latter enumeration, the sample was stratified. Criteria for "certainty" and "noncertainty" selection were established on the basis of previous and current assessed value summaries available from State and local governments, and from previous Bureau of the Census surveys. Field enumerators proceed through the assessment roll in each jurisdiction on a dual inspection basis. First the enumerator goes methodically through the entire assessment roll, selecting and then entering on a listing sheet each total assessed value[2] which equals or exceeds the "certainty" value assigned to the jurisdiction (see form GP-22, page 287). Thus, if the "certainty" value is $200,000, any total assessed value equal to or greater than $200,000 is selected.

Then proceeding through the roll a second time, the enumerator examines individual parcel values at a prescribed interval and selects the value encountered if it equals or exceeds the test value assigned for the applicable line on the sample listing sheet (see the alternative listing sheets in forms GP-23 series, pages 288 to 293). If the prescribed interval count is 10, for example, and the next applicable line on the listing sheet has a test value of $50,000, the enumerator looks at the tenth total assessed value encountered and selects it if it equals or exceeds $50,000. If the next listing sheet line has a test value of $20,000, the enumerator will select the succeeding tenth value encountered only if it equals or exceeds $20,000. The enumerator counts through the entire roll in this manner. In the process, a sample that contains differing but predetermined expected proportions of all real property parcels within particular ranges of assessed value is selected. In addition to recording the parcel value, the enumerator obtains the use classification of each sample parcel from the assessment roll or other records.

For the 1982 survey, a significant portion of the sample selection was accomplished by computer. This not only made the most of available resources, but also effected improvements in data accuracy. Computer-assisted enumeration of individual parcel assessed values was much more frequent for the 1982 survey. In 540 of the 1,996 jurisdictions in the sample the data were generated, at least in part, from computer records. Similar computer assistance occurred in 93 jurisdictions in 1976, and in 26 jurisdictions in 1971. So comprehensive and effective a resort to computerization became possible only through the cooperation of many State officials and hundreds of local assessing, data processing, and other officials. The private sector also was significantly involved. The Bureau of the Census contracted with private vendors for tapes containing entire local assessment rolls, or samples thereof, enumerated by computer-assisted means in accordance with survey specifications.

Similarly, agreements were entered into for all or some computer-assisted enumeration with State authorities in Arizona, Florida, New York, and Tennessee. Each of the four State revenue departments routinely acquire tapes of all or most local assessment rolls. To achieve computer-assisted enumeration on the local level in other States, a specific agreement was negotiated with each assessing official concerned.

Technical and logistical problems associated with such enumeration persist, particularly in the area of adapting local property use codes to an appropriate choice among seven classifications used in the survey. Computer-assisted enumeration has been successful, however, and can be expected to continue in the future at a significant level.

There is also the opportunity, in an increasing number of situations, for accomplishing the enumeration of assessment rolls for many jurisdictions at a central source. In each of the four States named above, a tape of each county's roll was available. In three other States (Georgia, Louisiana, and Mississippi), a copy of the manually

[2]The entry in the local roll may or may not show "land" and "improvements" components.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

prepared assessment roll for each county is on file. Each of those States authorized the Census Bureau to enumerate all sample counties at the State capital.

THE PROPERTY INVENTORY

To the extent that it provides consistent nationwide data on taxable property value, uses, and numbers of parcels, survey results amount to a taxable real and personal property inventory of the United States, and to the extent made possible by available data, of Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands as well. Also published (in table T) from available State reports are values assigned to totally exempt property. Thus the survey provides substantial amounts of data concerning the country's "land information system," an informal "network" of local data bases developed and maintained primarily by local government assessors and recorders.

Except for the statewide and county assessed value aggregates presented in tables 2, 3, and 20, data contained herein are estimates subject to sampling variation. Even the gross total aggregates, however, constitute only a part (though a major part) of the sum total of all property.

Taxable property amounts to almost $3 trillion in assessed value, for more than 98 million realty parcels (plus those in Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands), and an unknown number of State-assessed properties and personal property accounts. A total property value would need to include the sizable components of totally exempt realty, such as governmental, religious, educational, and charitable property, plus the substantial amounts of tangible and intangible personalty that are not subject to taxation. Information available on tax exempt properties is less reliable (see later discussion).

Quantifying totally taxable assessed values and totally exempt amounts on a common basis such as market value is a major assignment. Assessed values exhibit much variation, of two basic types. The first is legal, the second is economic. The real estate market in recent times has been subject to inflation, recession, lofty interest rates and variable "creative" financing arrangements.

Each of these factors influence how assessed values may realistically relate to value at market levels.

There is a profusion of legal standards for assessed values prevailing throughout the country. A growing number of standards affecting specified use categories of property in States opting for classified property taxation now exist. The total of such prescribed value levels throughout the country amounts to at least 96, as indicated in the following:

Market value of $100,000

Correct assessed dollar value for above market value, for at least one property use or type, in one or more of the States:

1,000	[2]16,000	41,500
3,000	16,300	43,000
4,000	18,000	44,000
4,275	19,000	46,825
6,000	[3]20,000	48,500
[1]8,000	22,270	[3]50,000
8,550	23,140	52,000
9,000	[3]25,000	55,000
9,500	28,000	[1]60,000
[2]10,000	[3]30,000	70,000
10,500	[4]33,333	75,000
[1]12,000	34,000	81,600
13,150	[3]35,000	84,400
14,000	[1]36,000	[5]100,000
[2]15,000	[2]40,000	

[1]2 States. [2]3 States.
[3]4 States. [4]5 States. [5]22 States.

The above listing does not incorporate the adoption of a dual standard that occurred in California on June 8, 1978. With their approval of Proposition 13, California voters mandated, for each property affected, retention of the assessed value determined as of the lien date (March 1) in the base year, 1975. The only exception is for annual increases of no more than 2 percent of assessed value annually, until such time as any qualifying "change of ownership" (commonly a sale) or new construction takes place. Those latter events, under the new law, immediately trigger mandatory reassessment at market value. In California, therefore, two properties identical in market value, and even in physical characteristics, can have substantially different, yet legally impeccable assessed values, if one of them has sold since 1978 and the other has not.

Other legal variations in assessed values include things like: concurrent use in Arizona of "limited property value" and "full cash value" for "primary" and "secondary" taxes,

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

respectively; use of market value as of December 31, 1978, in Idaho (plus up to 2 percent annual increase); and use of market value but with differential property tax rates in the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, and West Virginia.

The influence of book value, with respect to personal property valuation, provides an additional reason for wariness in drawing conclusions about the magnitude of the property inventory from assessed values alone. Without assessed values as a starting point, however, conclusions become totally elusive.

It is acknowledged, of course, that all of the assessed values in this report for realty and personalty reflect not only previously mentioned legal variations but also all other variations that affect work products of assessors.

THE PROPERTY TAX BASE

Between 1956 and 1981 the assessed value of real and personal property subject to local general property taxation has increased almost tenfold, from $280 billion to $2.96 trillion, as shown in table A. The rate of increase every 5 years has grown dramatically since 1971. Total assessed value that year had reached $718 billion, after successive 5-year increases of 30, 36, and 43 percent, respectively, in the surveys since 1956. Then values moved up much more rapidly, climbing by 71 percent to $1.2 trillion in 1976, and then more than doubling to the 1981 total of $2.96 trillion (see table 2). The latest figure is two and one-half times what it was only 5 years earlier.

All of the above amounts constitute "gross" assessed values, prior to deduction of components of assessed value (partial exemptions) in connection with age, income, or other legally specified status of affected property owners. These deducted components, or "partial exemptions," amounted to $120.7 billion in 1981. This compares with corresponding amounts of $39.7 billion in 1976, $23.2 billion in 1971, and $8 billion in 1956.

Table A. Summary, Gross, and Net Assessed Values and Changes Therein: 1956, 1961, 1966, 1976, and 1981

(Amounts in billions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Assessed value type	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Total gross assessed value	280.3	365.9	499.0	717.8	1,229.1	2,958.2
Total net assessed value (net locally taxable)	272.2	354.0	484.1	694.6	1,189.4	2,837.5
State-assessed property	22.5	27.8	41.6	53.5	84.7	159.0
Inside SMSA's, including State-assessed	(NA)	244.6	342.2	507.9	854.0	2,092.4
Outside SMSA's, including State-assessed	(NA)	109.3	141.9	186.7	335.5	744.9
Locally assessed property	249.7	326.1	442.5	641.1	1,104.7	2,678.4
Real property	202.8	269.7	378.9	552.7	959.1	2,406.7
Personal property	46.9	56.5	63.6	88.3	145.6	271.7
	Percent change					
	1956 to 1961	1961 to 1966	1966 to 1971	1971 to 1976	1976 to 1981	
Total gross assessed value	30.5	36.4	43.8	71.2	140.7	
Total net assessed value (net locally taxable)	30.1	36.8	43.5	71.2	138.6	
State-assessed property	23.6	49.6	28.6	58.3	87.7	
Inside SMSA's, including State-assessed	(NA)	39.9	48.4	68.1	145.0	
Outside SMSA's, including State-assessed	(NA)	29.8	31.6	79.7	122.0	
Locally assessed property	30.6	35.7	44.9	72.3	142.5	
Real property	33.0	40.5	45.9	73.5	150.9	
Personal property	20.5	12.6	38.8	64.9	86.6	

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

Source: Taxable Property Values survey, Census of Governments as indicated: 1957, vol. 5; 1962, vol. 2; 1967, vol. 2; 1972, vol. 2, pt. 1; 1977, vol. 2; 1982, tables 2 and 3, this report.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Gross and net assessed value as of 1981 for outlying areas approximates $10,582.7 million and $7,693.3 million, respectively, as follows (see tables 23 and 24):

Gross and net assessed value, 1981

(millions of dollars)

	Gross	Net
Guam.....	711.4	678.5
Puerto Rico.....	7,705.8	4,994.3
Virgin Islands.....	2,165.5	2,020.5

Exclusive of outlying areas, the tax base (net assessed value) in 1981 is $2.8 trillion as shown in table A. The latter figure includes $159 billion of State-assessed property, up by nearly 90 percent from the total 5 years earlier. The remainder, locally assessed property, approximates $2.7 trillion, of which all but $272 billion represents real property. In 5 years, the net assessed value of locally assessed realty increased by 151 percent. Over the 25 years of the survey, the corresponding increase stands at more than 1,000 percent.

The personal property total of $272 billion reflects smaller increases, 87 percent since 1976 and about 480 percent over 25 years. Several States have abandoned the assessment of all personal property in recent years, but the considerable taxable base that remains (see table E for taxability and appendix B for legal assessment standards) is subject to modern assessment methods. State-assessed property includes railroads and other utilities, assets often requiring individual expertise for satisfactory appraisal. The owners of such property have become much more vigilant in pressing assessment appeals. For example, the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (the "4-R Act") became fully effective in 1979, and companion statutes extend its coverage to truck and bus lines. Any assessment subject to the act which, in terms of de facto assessment level, exceeds the level for commercial and industrial property by more than 5 percent, is discriminatory and subject to relief in the Federal courts.

Law, technology, and other social forces have affected assessed value magnitudes. In 1956 few States specified different legal assessment levels for particular categories of property. Now 11 States do so, and the number appears to be increasing (see appendices A, B, and C for variations now supporting the property tax legal structure).

One profound influence on assessment methods has been the introduction of the computer, mentioned earlier. With machine assistance assessors can accomplish mass appraisal every year. This was not feasible in the past. Local assessments, therefore, are now more likely to be reflective of current market trends, especially for residential property.

DISTRIBUTION AND COMPOSITION OF THE BASE

Territorial Distribution

About three-fourths of all taxable assessed value and three-fifths of taxable real property parcels in the United States are located in urban areas. The urban assessed value proportion increased to 74 percent in 1981 (see table B). This resumes a trend going back to 1961, when the corresponding figure was 69 percent. Only once, in 1976, was this trend interrupted when the nonmetropolitan share increased from what it had been 5 years earlier. State-assessed and personal property components of the urban base moved down in 1981 to 3.1 percent and 6.7 percent, respectively, of the total for all property. In each case, however, the assessed value within SMSA's constituted a greater proportion of the aggregate assessed value than in 1976.

There have been substantial increases in assessed value within individual States, primarily because of changes in the legal level of assessments. California reported a total of $658 billion in assessed value for 1981, up by 602 percent from the pre-Proposition 13 aggregate in 1976 (see table 3). A major reason is the legal change, effective January 1, 1981, that required assessments at 100 percent of full cash value instead of 25 percent (whether defined in "base year" or "change of ownership" terms). Similarly in Texas, assessed value increased over 650 percent from the 1976 total, to $313 billion in 1981. There was the new legal and organizational assessment structure in Texas that placed the appraisal function for all tax levying units within each county in a county wide appraisal district. As part of the reorganization assessment levels were raised to "100 percent of appraised value" as of January 1, 1981. Six other States reported assessed values in excess of $100 billion in 1981, as follows:

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Florida.....	249	North Carolina.	113
New Jersey..	111	Virginia.....	122
New York....	108	Washington.....	124

Assessed values represent varying percentages of market value, as indicated by sales prices (see table 11). Comparative value studies should recognize these conditions.

The separate amounts for the "land" and "improvements" components of real property assessed value provided by 19 States and shown in table 3 should be used cautiously. The present report is the first for which such separate data have been published so comparative data are not available. Among the 19 States, assessed value for land (improved or unimproved) ranges from 28 percent of total realty assessed value, in New Hampshire and Wyoming, to double that proportion in North Dakota. The land component is 35 percent or less in 11 of the 19 States, and 40 percent or more only in the "farm" States of Nebraska (50) and North Dakota (62).

Within SMSA's, land assessments constitute a generally lesser share of total realty assessed value. New Mexico at 38 percent has the only proportion exceeding 35, while in 4 of the 19 States (Kansas, Nebraska, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma) assessed values for SMSA land comprise 25 percent or less of total realty.

Numbers of parcels predictably are relatively greater in States of larger population. Each of the following seven States has more than 4 million of the Nation's 98.4 million taxable realty parcels:

Texas.....	8.6	New York.....	4.7
California..	8.2	Pennsylvania..	4.7
Florida.....	5.3	Illinois.....	4.2
Ohio.....	4.9		

Together the above States account for almost 41 million parcels, 2 out of every 5 of the national total. At the other end of the spectrum, where the emphasis is on space, there are two States with less than 200,000 parcels each, Alaska

Table B. Percent Distribution of Gross Taxable Property Assessed Value: 1956, 1961, 1966, 1976, and 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Assessed value type	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Total gross assessed value.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
State-assessed property.....	8.0	7.6	8.3	7.5	6.9	5.4
Locally assessed property.....	92.0	92.4	91.7	92.5	93.1	94.6
Real.....	74.8	76.6	78.8	80.0	80.8	85.0
Personal.....	17.2	15.7	12.9	12.6	12.4	9.6
Inside SMSA's.....	(NA)	69.1	70.6	73.3	72.0	74.1
State-assessed.....	(NA)	3.9	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.1
Locally assessed.....	(NA)	65.2	65.7	68.7	68.0	70.9
Real.....	(NA)	55.3	57.6	60.3	59.8	64.3
Personal.....	(NA)	9.9	8.0	8.4	8.2	6.7
Outside SMSA's.....	(NA)	30.9	29.4	26.7	28.0	25.9
State-assessed.....	(NA)	3.7	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.3
Locally assessed.....	(NA)	27.2	26.0	23.8	25.1	23.7
Real.....	(NA)	21.3	21.2	19.6	21.0	20.7
Personal.....	(NA)	5.9	4.8	4.2	4.1	2.9
For each class:						
Inside SMSA amount as a percent of total amount:						
State-assessed property.....	(NA)	51.4	59.7	60.9	57.5	58.1
Locally assessed property.....	(NA)	70.5	71.6	74.3	72.9	75.0
Real.....	(NA)	72.2	73.1	75.5	73.9	75.6
Personal.....	(NA)	62.6	62.4	66.7	66.6	69.4
Outside SMSA amount as a percent of total amount:						
State-assessed property.....	(NA)	48.6	40.3	39.1	42.5	41.8
Locally assessed property.....	(NA)	29.5	28.4	25.7	27.0	25.0
Real.....	(NA)	27.8	26.9	24.5	26.0	24.4
Personal.....	(NA)	37.4	37.5	33.3	33.4	30.5

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(187,826) and Wyoming (194,766). In the four States where farm and acreage land use predominates (Arkansas, Iowa, North Dakota, and South Dakota), the total number of parcels slightly exceeds 4.6 million. A second group of farm States has a combined total of 5 million parcels. Those States are Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, and Wisconsin.

Realty Tax Base--Locally Assessed Values by Use Categories

Again in 1981, as in every survey year back to 1956, the smallest identifiable assessed value component of the local real property base came from vacant platted lots (see tables 4 to 6). As the summary in table C indicates, vacant land assessments contributed only 4.4 percent of realty total assessed value. The proportion has increased by 16 percent since 1976 and has in fact almost doubled over the 25 years of the survey. Nevertheless, when it is noted that vacant land parcels constitute one-fifth of the nationwide total (see table D), the assessed value component, increasing or not, stands out as relatively low.

The situation within individual States varies (see table 4). In 1981 each of four States (Alaska, Arizona, Nevada, and New Mexico) had more that one-tenth of its taxable realty value base in the form of vacant lots. Five years earlier only two, Alaska and New Mexico, were in that position.

Noticeable proportionate decline in the realty value base is evident for two other use categories, namely, acreage (including farms and nonresidential rural land) and the combination of commercial and industrial property.

As table C indicates, acreage realty now contributes less than 10 percent of the taxable base, continuing a decline (interrupted only in 1976) from 14 percent in 1956. The decline has been evident in parcels as well (see table D), where the acreage share dropped from 23

Table C. Gross Assessed Values, Locally Assessed Realty, and Use Categories 1956, 1961, 1966, 1976, and 1981

(Amounts in billions of dollars)

Years indicated	1956	1961	1966	1976	1981
United States total, all use categories	209.8	280.5	393.2	992.5	2,514.9
Acreage and farms	29.1	32.7	43.4	117.6	247.8
Vacant platted lots	4.8	7.0	10.2	38.0	109.4
Residential (nonfarm)	113.5	162.5	236.3	587.3	1,520.0
Single-family houses only	95.1	135.5	196.7	495.3	1,328.7
Commercial and industrial	58.0	74.5	97.2	239.8	549.3
Commercial	34.8	44.2	60.0	166.0	353.5
Industrial	22.6	30.3	37.1	73.7	195.8
Other and unallocable	4.4	3.8	6.0	9.8	88.3
	Percent distribution				
	1956	1961	1966	1976	1981
United States total, all use categories	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Acreage and farms	13.9	11.7	11.0	11.9	9.9
Vacant platted lots	2.3	2.5	2.6	3.8	4.4
Residential (nonfarm)	54.1	57.9	60.1	59.2	60.4
Single-family houses only	45.4	48.3	50.0	49.9	52.8
Commercial and industrial	27.7	26.6	24.7	24.2	21.8
Commercial	16.6	15.8	15.3	16.7	14.1
Industrial	10.8	10.8	9.4	7.4	7.8
Other and unallocable	2.1	1.4	1.5	1.0	3.5

Source: Census of Governments: for 1957, vol. 5; for 1962, vol. 2; for 1967, vol. 2; for 1977, vol. 2; and for 1982, tables 4 through 9, this report. Each volume cited has data for the preceding year.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

percent to 15 percent in 25 years. This change may be linked to the profusion of "benefited use" legislation now common in all States in one form or another (for a State-by-State summary, see appendix C).

In States where farm and other rural lands loom large in the taxable property inventory, use-influenced assessment levels are significant. In 1981, agricultural and nonurban realty amounted to 30 percent or more in Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota (see table 6). In Idaho, where the acreage share had been 34 percent in 1976, there was a drop to 26 percent in 1981.

Commercial and industrial realty are probably the most difficult to assess. Such property sells less frequently than residential. When it is sold, the transaction is more difficult to evaluate than a sale of a house. Other features of a commercial transaction may reflect "income analysis," including complications like capitalization of rents. The proportion of commercial and industrial properties declined as shown in table C, from 28 percent in 1956 to 22 percent in 1981.

Realty Tax Base--Numbers of Parcels by Use Categories

In 1981 single-family homes (includes detached houses and condominiums) accounted for almost 55 million parcels, or 56 percent of the total. The nationwide proportion again increased slightly, as it has in every survey since 1956. Among individual States, there are 20 (see table 7) in which the number of realty parcels used for single-family residences equals or exceeds 1 million. California heads the list with 5.4 million parcels. In 37 States and the District of Columbia the single-family category constitutes at least half the total number.

The overall proportion of parcels being used for commercial and industrial purposes has remained the same, at 4.2

Table D. Numbers of Parcels, Locally Assessed Realty, and Use Categories: 1956, 1961, 1966, 1976, and 1981

(Number of parcels in thousands)

Years indicated	1956	1961	1966	1976	1981
United States total, all use categories	61,158	67,449	74,832	88,194	98,394
Acreage and farms	14,185	13,348	14,085	13,893	14,778
Vacant platted lots	12,694	12,876	14,250	17,492	19,483
Residential (nonfarm)	30,924	37,336	42,329	51,971	58,162
Single-family houses only	29,973	35,817	40,436	48,750	54,983
Commercial and industrial	2,291	2,508	2,487	3,663	4,113
Commercial	1,942	2,098	2,112	3,179	3,562
Industrial	298	410	376	485	551
Other and unallocable	1,067	1,381	1,679	1,175	1,861
	Percent of total				
	1956	1961	1966	1976	1981
United States total, all use categories	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Acreage and farms	23.2	19.8	18.8	15.8	15.0
Vacant platted lots	20.8	19.1	19.0	19.8	19.8
Residential (nonfarm)	50.6	55.4	56.6	58.9	59.1
Single-family houses only	49.0	51.6	54.0	55.3	55.9
Commercial and industrial	3.7	3.7	3.3	4.2	4.2
Commercial	3.2	3.1	2.8	3.6	3.6
Industrial	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6
Other and unallocable	1.7	2.1	2.2	1.3	1.9

Source: Census of Governments: for 1957, vol. 5; for 1962, vol. 2; for 1967, vol. 2; for 1977, vol. 2; and for 1982, tables 4 through 9, this report. Each volume cited has data for the preceding year.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

percent (see table 9), between 1976 and 1981, after increasing somewhat during the previous decade. Within individual States the change is equally divided, 24 showing an increase and 23 a decrease in the commercial and industrial share of parcels in the 5-year period since 1976.

As table D indicates, only the acreage use category exhibits a decrease in proportionate share that extends over the entire quarter century of these surveys, the figure falling from 23 percent for 1956 to 15 percent for 1981. Within individual States the proportions have decreased since 1976 in 30 States and increased in 19.

Other States exhibiting less substantial changes in parcel composition affecting acreages and vacant lots include Maine, Nevada, Oregon, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. On a nationwide basis vacant platted lot proportions declined in 27 States between 1976 and 1981, and increased in 22 States.

Parcel use category estimates are produced within a survey design that is based on known aggregates of assessed values. Wherever possible, such aggregates were matched with corresponding information about numbers and uses of the parcels which the aggregates quantify (see table 8 for sampling error).

Parcel counts follow definitions of the local assessors. On occasion that means they reflect statutory limits on size or other aspects that may need separate recognition on local assessment rolls.

It is also likely that counting as one assessment the aggregate value of all properties in a single ownership still occurs in some jurisdictions. This can also affects some parcel estimates.

Personal Property--Locally Assessed Value

Personal property is every kind of property that is not realty. It is either tangible, such as desks and chattels that can be seen or touched, and moved about, or intangible, having no physical existence beyond certificates or accounts that represent its value. Certain kinds of property, such as fixtures, can be personal or real, depending on the nature of attachment to the realty in a given situation (for details on types of personalty, see appendices B and E, and table E).

Gross assessed value of locally assessed personal property in 1981 amounted to $284.2 billion, 87.2 percent greater than the corresponding amount in 1976. Almost 70 percent of gross total value comes from metropolitan areas. The trend here is up, since the metropolitan proportion in 1976 was 67 percent, itself an increase from 63 percent 10 years earlier (see tables 2, 3, and 28).

In 1956, a net aggregate of $47 billion in personal property accounted for 17.3 percent of the total for all taxable property. Corresponding shares in 1976 and 1981 are 12.2 percent and 9.6 percent, respectively. The personal property part of the taxable base continues to shrink. Net assessed value of personalty still constitutes at least 20 percent of the total base in 13 States (see table 3), but in 1976 there were 15 States in a similar position.

Four States have since 1976 joined Delaware, Hawaii, New York, and Pennsylvania in exempting all personalty from property taxation. Illinois and South Dakota acted on January 1, 1979, the latter State extending exemption to all personal property, following earlier removal of specified classes. In New Hampshire total exemption became effective on April 1, 1981. North Dakota removed most personal property from taxation in 1970, and now exempts all of it.

As table E indicates, inventories in 1981 were totally exempt in 22 States and the District of Columbia, the eight named earlier that exempt all personalty, and the 15 that follow: Alabama, Arizona, California, District of Columbia, Idaho, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Jersey, Oregon, Tennessee, Utah, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

Commercial and industrial personalty remained taxable in 42 States and the District of Columbia, and still predominates among legally taxable categories. The agricultural group was subject to taxation in 34 States, several of which exempt dollar amounts or specified property types. Similar reduction of the base affects taxation of household personalty in the 16 States still using it. Motor vehicles remained subject to special property taxes in some of the 32 States that in 1981 exempted them from general property taxation.

Intangible Personal Property

Intangible personal property has no value except for what it represents. The form showing the nature of that representation is the only indication of the property's existence. Examples of intangibles are cash, bank deposits, shares of stock, bonds, patents, and goodwill.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table E. Legal Status of Major Types of Tangible Personal Property With Respect to Local General Property Taxation by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods

State	Business inventories	Other commercial and industrial	Agricultural	Household personal property	Motor vehicles
Total taxing	28	43	34	16	19
Alabama	E	[1]T	[1]T	[2]T	[1]T
Alaska	L	L	L	[1]L	L
Arizona	E	[1]T	T	[2]T	E
Arkansas	T	T	T	T	T
California	[3]E	[1]T	[1]T	[2]T	E
Colorado	[1 4]T	T	[4]T	[2]T	E
Connecticut	[5]T	[1]T	[1]T	E	T
Delaware	E	E	E	E	E
District of Columbia	E	[1]T	E	E	E
Florida	[1 6]T	T	T	E	E
Georgia	T	T	[1]T	E	T
Hawaii	E	E	E	E	E
Idaho	E	[1]T	[1]T	[2]T	E
Illinois	E	E	E	E	E
Indiana	T	T	T	[2]T	E
Iowa	[7]T	[1 7]T	[1 7]T	E	E
Kansas	T	T	[1]T	[2]T	[8]T
Kentucky	[9]T	[9]T	[1]T	E	T
Louisiana	T	T	E	E	E
Maine	E	T	[1]T	E	E
Maryland	[10]L	[10]L	[10]L	E	E
Massachusetts	[1]T	[1]T	T	E	E
Michigan	E	T	E	[2]T	E
Minnesota	E	[11]T	E	E	E
Mississippi	[1]T	T	E	E	T
Missouri	T	T	T	E	[1]T
Montana	[12]T	T	[1]T	E	[13]T
Nebraska	E	T	[14]T	E	T
Nevada	[15]T	T	[15]T	E	E
New Hampshire	E	E	E	E	E
New Jersey	E	[16]T	E	E	E
New Mexico	[17]T	[1]T	[1]T	[18]E	E
New York	E	E	E	E	E
North Carolina	T	T	[1]T	[1]T	T
North Dakota[19]	E	E	E	E	E
Ohio	T	T	E	E	E
Oklahoma	T	T	T	[1]T	E
Oregon	[20]E	[1]T	[20]E	E	E
Pennsylvania	E	E	E	E	E
Rhode Island	[21]T	[21]T	[1]T	[1]T	T

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table E. Legal Status of Major Types of Tangible Personal Property With Respect to Local General Property Taxation by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods--Continued

State	Business inventories	Other commercial and industrial	Agricultural	Household personal property	Motor vehicles
South Carolina	[22]T	[1]T	[23]T	E	T
South Dakota[24]	E	E	E	E	E
Tennessee	E	[1]T	[1]T	[1]T	T
Texas	T	T	[25]T	E	[26]T
Utah	E	[1]T	[1]T	E	T
Vermont	[27]L	[1]T	E	E	E
Virginia	T	T	L	L	T
Washington	[28]T	T	[28]T	E	E
West Virginia	T	T	T	[29]T	T
Wisconsin	[30]E	[30]T	[30]T	E	E
Wyoming	E	T	T	E	E

Note: T locally taxable. E exemption. L local option; option to exempt affected items is exercised in most jurisdictions.

[1]Subject to legal provision for partial exemptions either as to specified types or specified value levels. [2]Taxable only if used in production of income. [3]In California, business inventories became exempt effective Mar. 1, 1980. [4]In Colorado, inventories, livestock, agricultural products, and agricultural equipment became exempt effective with the 1983 tax year. [5]In Connecticut, manufacturers' inventories are exempt; other inventories 1/12 exempt in 1971, additional 1/22 exempt annually until totally exempt, 1982. [6]In Florida, inventories became exempt effective Jan. 1, 1982. [7]In Iowa, personal property assessed value is subject to reduction required by chap. 427A of the Code of Iowa which limits personalty value in each jurisdiction to the total value for such property in the jurisdiction as of Jan. 1, 1973 (excluding livestock. [8]Subsequent to 1981, Kansas motor vehicles were subject to special rather than general ad valorem taxation. [9]In Kentucky, machinery and products in course of manufacture, and raw materials are exempt. [10]In Maryland, exemption of personal property either in part or in full is permitted at the option of the localities; currently 4 counties have exempted all personalty. [11]Although the taxability of specific types of personalty in Minnesota is not always clear, in practice very little personal property is assessed or taxed. [12]Montana allowed a credit against corporate income taxes for property taxes paid on business inventories in 1981 and 1982. Such inventories became exempt Jan. 1, 1983. [13]In Montana, automobiles and trucks having a rated capacity of 3/4 ton or less became exempt, effective Jan. 1, 1980. [14]Agricultural personalty in Nebraska is mostly exempt effective July 19, 1980. [15]In Nevada, business' and manufacturers' inventories, and livestock were assessed at 14 percent of taxable value for 1981-82; 7 percent for 1982-83; exempt subsequent to 1982-83. [16]In New Jersey, business personal property subject to local taxation is limited to tangible personalty of telephone, telegraph, and messenger companies. [17]Inventories in New Mexico are exempt except for livestock and inventories of certain centrally assessed taxpayers. [18]In New Mexico, household personalty is presumed exempt by virtue of its exclusion from categories defined by statute as taxable. [19]North Dakota personal property is no longer assessed effective with 1981 assessments. [20]Effective Jan. 1, 1980, inventories (including farm machinery and equipment) and livestock in Oregon became exempt. [21]In Rhode Island, manufacturers' inventories and certain manufacturing machinery and equipment are exempt. [22]Manufacturers' inventories in South Carolina are exempt. [23]Most agricultural personalty is exempt. [24]In South Dakota, personal property not centrally assessed became exempt Jan. 1, 1979. [25]In Texas subsequent to 1981, exemption of agricultural personalty was expanded so that the following are currently excluded from taxation: Family supplies for farm and home use, farm products (including livestock and poultry), and farm implements. [26]Passenger automobiles and light trucks in Texas are exempt if not used for the production of income. Local taxing units may override this exemption with respect to automobiles. [27]Municipalities in Vermont may exempt inventories and in lieu thereof, tax business machinery and equipment. [28]In Washington, inventories, agricultural crops, and animals became exempt Jan. 1, 1983. Household goods in West Virginia are exempt unless used for profit, in which case a $200 exemption applies. [30]In Wisconsin merchants' stock-in-trade, manufacturers' materials and finished products, and livestock became exempt effective Jan. 1, 1981. Most agricultural personalty is also exempt.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Only 3 of the 13 States now subjecting intangibles to local general property taxation have available the aggregate assessed value of such personalty. The three are Kansas, Louisiana, and Tennessee. Together they report a total assessed value for 1981 of $430.5 million (see table 28). The other 10 States are Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Mississippi, Montana, North Carolina, Texas, and West Virginia.

Several States and some local governments tax intangibles via separate levies. In its report, State Tax Collections in 1982 (Series GF82, No. 1), for example, the Bureau of the Census lists identifiable State revenue from intangibles taxes as follows (described as "general" property taxes in Florida, with 55 percent of net collections distributed to counties, "special" property taxes elsewhere):

Millions of dollars, 1982

Florida.....	109.5	Kentucky.....	40.7
North Carolina.	56.9	Virginia.....	17.3
Michigan.....	48.6	Rhode Island.	7.3

The same report includes revenue from special property taxes in 32 States. Such amounts may include revenue from intangibles.

The total intangibles value base is substantial. Identifying its magnitude may become easier through computer-assisted enhancement. Opinion is divided on the extent to which taxing representational value as well as the underlying assets constitutes double taxation.

Public Utilities

Most of the $159.2 billion in gross assessed value of State-assessed property (see table 2) is that of public utilities. Any estimate of the exact amount necessarily lacks precision. It would in any case be substantially less than the total worth of all such property.

A public utility, though privately owned, has property of a highly specialized type, difficult to value at best. Much, if not most, is nevertheless subject to local general property taxation. Exemption occurs in those States which resort instead to special property, excise, or other taxation, often based on gross receipts, franchise value, or property value.

In States where utilities are subject to local general property taxation the appraisal responsibility is generally at the State level, in the department of revenue, tax commission, or similar body. This allocational preference reflects not only the inherent complexity and special character of utility property, but also the "at large" aspect of its situs. What a utility owns generally spreads beyond the boundaries of a single county or municipality.

Manageable appraisal of a public utility stems from a realization of its multilocational, system-wide, unitary nature. This has evolved into the "unit rule" as the commonly accepted valuation technique. The unit rule means appraisal on the basis of what the entire unit, or system, is worth, on the applicable valuation date. That kind of value requires allocating portions to all the counties in which the utility is located. It also implies adherence to definitions about which utility property is inside the unit and which, if any, is not.

Basically everything is in, including intangibles, since the unit applies to one property aggregation under control of one management, operating for system wide profit but also for public necessity.[3] In reality States have reshaped and reconstituted the unit, always careful to retain within it an integrated valuation target. Intangibles, for example, may be exempted, but what remains must itself be a cohesive whole.

A somewhat natural development is restriction of unit appraisal to "operating" property (that directly associated with the operation of the utility), leaving for separate treatment anything "nonoperating" in character. Unit appraisal of operating property proceeds on the basis of approaches to value common for utilities: capitalization of earnings, value of stock and debt, and original cost less reasonable depreciation.

Some States do the entire appraisal job--valuing nonoperating as well as operating utility property, and then apportioning resulting values among the local jurisdictions affected. These include the following: Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Maryland, Mississippi, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

The following States assign the utility valuing function to local assessing officials: Alaska, Connecticut, Delaware (except railroads), Maine, and Texas (except intangibles of transportation companies).

In a third group are 22 States which assess operating property (which may be

[3]Established from sources such as Adams Express Co. v. Ohio State Auditor, 165 U.S. 194, 222, 1897.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

called "special property") of public utilities and, at the same time, direct that local assessors value nonoperating utility property along with all other taxable property. These States are: Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Virginia, and Washington.

California does most utility appraising at the State level, but apparently some continues locally. In Florida and Michigan, only railroad operating property is assessed by the State. Hawaii, Minnesota, and Pennsylvania exempt from general property taxation property necessary for utility operation, subjecting it to in-lieu taxes instead. Other utility-owned property is locally assessed and taxed. Ohio assesses railroad property at the State level but assigns responsibility for the assessment of other utilities to each county auditor. In Rhode Island a gross earnings tax is in lieu of property taxes on the intangibles of public utilities, but realty and tangible personalty remain subject to property taxation and are assessed locally.

Other levies, sometimes special property taxes but alternatively in-lieu taxes on gross receipts, franchises or specified excises, apply to specified types of utility property in Delaware (railroad operating property only), Indiana, Maine, Michigan, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin. The common occurence in such instances is local assessment and taxation of all utility-owned nonutility property. In the District of Columbia gross receipts taxes are in lieu of personal property taxes on utilities specified, but realty is assessed and taxed in the same manner as other realty.

New York carries out the assessment of public utilities in a manner different from that in other States. With respect to railroads, the responsibility is nominally with each local assessor. State law requires, however, that the State establish for each railroad property a value ceiling that the local assessor may not exceed. Value ceilings set by the State result from a "unit rule" appraisal of each railroad. The practical effect is that railroad assessments, though nominally local, are in fact work products of State appraisal specialists.

Regarding utilities other than railroads, New York separates the property of each utility into special franchises (classified as realty), relating to everything located in or encroaching on the public domain (such as pipes and wiring under streets), and property relating to or encroaching on private domain (such as telephone poles that cross someone's private property). The State assesses all special franchises for each utility. All private domain realty for each utility is the assessment responsibility of the local assessor. Both of these kinds of utility property are assessed not via the unit rule, but separately, on the basis of reproduction cost minus depreciation.

As pointed out earlier, the owners of railroads and other affected transportation property throughout the country may now apply for injunctive and other relief under the "4-R Act" (now incorporated into section 11503 of the Interstate Commerce Law, Title 49 of the United States Code). Relief hinges on establishing that the contested railroad assessment occurs at a value level more than 5 percent above that prevailing for commercial and industrial property in the jurisdiction. Assessment of railroads, as of other utilities, is usually a State responsibility, at least to the extent of any operating property involved. Because litigation under the 4-R Act has become common, comparisons between "unit rule" appraisals and market value estimates of commercial, industrial, and other realty have increased in recent years.

PROPERTY TAX YIELD

Decline, Growth, and Durability

State and primarily local revenue from property taxes slightly exceeded $90 billion, for the year ending June 30, 1983.[4] This is almost 7 times the $13.1 billion collected 26 years ago in 1957, when the Bureau first conducted the taxable property values survey. This represents an increase of 8 percent over the corresponding amount a year earlier. That increase is slightly less than those in the 2 preceding fiscal years, when property tax levies increased sharply despite the restraints of Proposition 13 (see tables F and 1).

[4] Quarterly Summary of Federal, State, and Local Tax Revenue, GT83, No. 2 U.S. Bureau of the Census, November 1983, p. 1. Note that the sample used in the quarterly survey differs from that used for the Governmental Finances annual reports providing data for tables F and 1.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table F. Revenue From Property Taxes: 1956-57 to 1981-82

(Dollar amounts in millions)

Fiscal year	State and local governments			State governments			Local governments		
	Property tax revenue	Percent of--		Property tax revenue	Percent of--		Property tax revenue	Percent of--	
		Revenue from all sources	Total tax revenue		Revenue from all sources	Total tax revenue		Revenue from all sources	Total tax revenue
1981-82	81,918	15.0	30.8	3,113	1.0	1.9	78,805	25.2	76.0
1980-81	74,969	14.8	30.7	2,949	1.0	2.0	72,020	25.0	76.0
1979-80	68,499	15.2	30.7	2,892	1.0	2.1	65,607	25.4	75.9
1978-79	64,944	16.0	31.6	2,490	1.0	2.0	62,453	26.6	77.5
1977-78	66,422	17.9	34.3	2,364	1.1	2.1	64,058	29.9	79.7
1976-77	62,527	18.5	35.5	2,260	1.1	2.2	60,267	30.7	80.5
1975-76	57,001	18.7	36.3	2,118	1.1	2.4	54,884	30.8	81.2
1974-75	51,491	19.7	36.4	1,451	0.9	1.8	50,040	31.3	81.6
1973-74	47,754	20.1	36.5	1,301	0.9	1.8	46,452	32.4	82.2
1972-73	45,283	20.8	37.4	1,312	1.0	1.9	43,970	34.1	82.9
1971-72	42,133	22.2	38.7	1,257	1.1	2.1	40,876	36.1	83.5
1970-71	37,852	24.5	39.9	1,126	1.2	2.2	36,726	36.4	84.6
1969-70	34,054	22.7	39.2	1,092	1.2	2.3	32,963	37.0	84.9
1968-69	30,673	23.2	40.0	981	1.3	2.3	29,692	37.5	85.4
1967-68	27,747	23.6	41.1	912	1.3	2.5	26,835	38.2	86.1
1966-67	26,047	24.4	42.7	862	1.4	2.7	25,186	39.0	86.6
1965-66	24,670	25.3	43.5	834	1.5	2.8	23,836	40.2	87.1
1964-65	22,583	25.8	44.1	766	1.6	2.9	21,817	40.9	86.9
1963-64	21,241	26.1	44.5	722	1.6	3.0	20,519	41.4	87.2
1962-63	20,089	26.7	45.4	688	1.7	3.1	19,401	41.7	87.5
1961-62	19,056	27.4	45.9	640	1.7	3.1	18,416	42.6	87.9
1960-61	18,002	27.9	46.3	631	1.8	3.3	17,370	42.9	87.7
1959-60	16,405	27.2	45.4	607	1.9	3.4	15,798	42.5	87.4
1958-59	14,983	27.8	46.3	566	1.9	3.6	14,417	43.1	87.2
1957-58	14,047	28.5	46.2	533	2.0	3.6	13,514	43.3	87.4
1956-57	13,097	28.5	45.1	479	1.9	3.3	12,618	43.4	87.0

Sources: Governmental Finances, series GF, No. 5, covering periods shown.

The history of property taxes since 1957 has been one of reduced growth sustained by a certain durability and adaptability, as table F indicates. They remain the source of 3 of every 4 local tax dollars. Moreover, collections in recent years may have arrested a proportionate decline that had reduced what property taxes contribute to total local revenue, from 2 of every 5 dollars in 1957 to 1 out of 4 in 1982.

Despite caps, limits, exemptions, and assorted relief measures endorsed or spawned by the 1978 vote for Proposition 13, property taxes remain the most prolific single source within maximum local control. In absolute terms they yield more every year, the lone exception in contemporary times being the reduction between 1978 and 1979 following adoption of California's Proposition 13.

State and Local Structural Changes

Between fiscal years 1967 and 1982 property tax yield has decreased from 39 to 25 percent of total revenue, as shown in table G. Local governments still depend on the property tax, but within limits imposed not by tax capacity, but by public pressure for alternate revenue sources. Service fees and charges have become more popular, along with a local government disposition to maximize interest earnings if possible. Interest earnings accounted for almost $13.7 billion of the $25 billion in miscellaneous revenue for fiscal 1982.

The major nonproperty tax revenue source, however, continues to be intergovernmental revenue, as table G makes evident. Reductions can and do occur here also. The 37 percent share for

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table G. Percent Distribution, State and Local Government Revenue Structures: 1966-67 and 1981-82

(Dollar amounts in millions. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	State governments		Local governments		
	1966-67	1981-82	1966-67	1981-82	Exhibit: Revenue 1981-82
Revenue from all sources	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	313,131
Intergovernmental revenue	23.4	20.9	31.2	37.0	115,963
From Federal Government	22.3	20.0	2.9	6.7	20,919
From State governments	-	-	28.3	30.4	95,044
From local governments	1.1	1.0	-	-	-
Taxes, all types	52.3	49.1	44.8	33.1	103,641
Property taxes	1.4	.9	39.0	25.2	78,805
Income, individual	8.0	13.8	1.4	1.9	6,105
Income, corporate	3.7	4.2			
Sales and gross receipts	30.4	23.8	3.0	4.7	14,836
Other	8.8	6.4	1.5	1.2	3,896
Current charges	6.9	6.4	9.7	11.2	34,998
Miscellaneous general revenue	2.7	6.7	4.1	7.9	24,601
Other	14.7	16.9	10.1	20.7	33,929

Sources: Governmental Finances, GF, No. 5, editions for years indicated.

local governments in 1982 had been 39 percent 5 years earlier. Also in 1982, for their part, States received proportionately less aid and dispensed proportionately more than was the situation in 1967. Large cities especially have come to rely on State and Federal assistance, even as they maximize what present use of the property tax can yield.

THE ASSESSING FUNCTION IN A LAND INFORMATION SETTING

Basic Elements

Assessing is the responsibility of an appointed or elected county or other designated official for determining the value of property subject to local general property taxation, as of the specified valuation date. Because its essentials are discovery, listing, and valuation of each taxable property in the jurisdiction, assessing also carries with it the responsibility for maintaining property records accurate and comprehensive enough to accomplish essential valuation work uniformly and on time. Two ultimate assessed value characteristics condition and reflect assessment performance: conformance with the value levels, at market or other specified value level prescribed in the particular State's constitution and statutes, and uniformity with the assessed value of each of the other taxable properties subject to the prescribed legal level.

Assessing thus needs the best property records possible because assessed values need to be uniform at the applicable legal level. For personal property, this means a comprehensive inventory of accounts.

For real property, it means parcel-oriented tax maps and associated appraisal files, in effect a cadastre containing a unique identification number for each parcel plus data on its uses, attributes, and structures. Tax maps and parcel identification numbers often reflect any geocoding made possible by subdivision block and lot numbers, cartographic survey coordinates, and the hierarchies of the Public Land Survey System that exists in 30 States comprising 80 percent of nationwide land.

The importance of such individual property records systems to professional assessing has come to mean widespread reliance, by the public and by officials and others from many disciplines, on the assessor's office for the most complete, most accurate data available on property in the particular jurisdiction. This reliance has increased in recent times as assessors have turned wherever possible to computerization for more effective administration. The computer expedites

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

access to individual property records, without use of cumbersome metes and bounds legal descriptions. Moreover it now influences valuation directly, making possible swift mass appraising, at least for residential property, by means of statistical techniques such as multiple regression analysis and adaptive feedback. Use of computer methodology has in turn brought about what had been virtually impossible, annual assessment cycles. The computer has had similar transforming effect on assessment administration generally.

Legal Standards and Value Levels

Each State, in its constitution or statutes or both, prescribes one legal standard for all assessed values, or a group of standards each specified for a particular type of property. The legal standard may be the same for realty and personalty, or there may be specified differences. All of the standards have a basis in or a relationship to market value, as the details in appendices A and B make evident for 1981 and subsequently. However, compliance with the standard, market value or something else, does not necessarily indicate anything definite about the amount of property tax billed against a given property. What taxpayers actually will owe has in recent times become a function not only of the interaction between assessed value and tax rate, but also of special social elements such as income level, age, or other benefited status of the taxpayer, or benefited use of the property.

Legal assessment standards nevertheless remained important in 1981 as a point of departure, and variations among States were numerous and remain so today. With respect to real property in 1981, 18 States and the District of Columbia called for assessments at market value, or at some equivalent ("full and true value" in Alaska, "true value in money" in Delaware, "actual value" in Nebraska effective January 1, 1981, or "fair market value" in Virginia). Another group of 19 States specified a single percentage of market value, or a way of calculating a single percentage annually.

For 11 States the single realty assessment level has disappeared, and "classified property taxation" was the prevailing consensus in 1981. A summary of that situation follows:

State and number of classes			
Alabama.....	3	Montana.....	6
Arizona.....	9	North Dakota...	4
Iowa.....	4	Oregon.....	2
Louisiana....	4	South Carolina.	5
Michigan.....	*6	Tennessee.....	3
Minnesota....	19		

*Classification via equalization categories.

The above listing does not include Illinois and New York, both grouped earlier among the "market value" 19. Cook County has seven categories in Illinois (legal under an option valid only for any county of 200,000 population or more). New York now uses "preservation of share" alternatives authorized by legislation in December 1981. It should be noted also that Arizona's nine classes have two alternative bases each (see appendix A): "limited property value," used in the calculation of primary taxes, and "full cash value" used for secondary taxes.

California, after Proposition 13 in 1978, has had two standards of assessment. For any property that has not sold since 1978, the assessed value is that for the base year, 1975, subject to increases of no more than 2 percent annually. Whenever a qualifying "change of ownership" or new construction occurs, however, reappraisal is mandatory and the new assessment must be at the market value level. Effective in 1983, that new assessment is placed on a supplemental roll immediately, and a supplemental tax becomes due. Its amount is the product of current rate times the difference between old and new assessed values, prorated according to the months affected by the change in value. Idaho emulated California to a degree with statutory change that bases all assessed values on the market level as of December 31, 1978.

Three areas in the "market value" group provide for differential tax rates. They are the District of Columbia with three rate classes, and Massachusetts and West Virginia with four each. The latter State opted for a 60 percent level (within a reappraisal sequence) in 1982.

A similar pattern of legal variation prevails for personal property assessments, as indicated in appendix B. Percentages of market value range from the 100 percent, or full level, down to 1 percent.

One additional variety of legal standards affecting realty is the assemblage of benefited use (sometimes called "current use") laws, summarized in appendix C. In 1981 this kind of legislation was present in all States except Georgia, which now has it, and Wisconsin, which

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

uses an income tax credit. The essential characteristic of such laws is the instruction to assessors to estimate value for the realty affected on the basis of specified use or uses. Conventional appraisal theory rests on estimating value of a property on the basis of "highest and best use," namely, the use naturally suited to the site and likely to maximize the pecuniary return possible from the property. Appraising for assessing purposes has an identical goal, except that actual use often is accepted as the highest and best use, especially when it conforms to what zoning allows.

The purpose of benefited use laws is to benefit the particular use or uses specified, frequently agricultural, open space or historical. There are three types of such legislation: preferential use, deferred taxation, and contracts and agreements. In some instances, a single State can exhibit two or even all three of the possible varieties.

"Preferential use" laws (termed "use value assessment only" in appendix C) direct assessment on the basis of the use or uses specified, without providing any tax consequence in the event such uses change. Twenty-two States had such laws in 1981. Often eligibility for such assessment depends on preexisting benefited use for a specified number of years. There also may be minimum requirements for property size and for income attributable to what the property produces (crops, for example).

In contrast, "deferred taxation" provisions existed in 31 States in 1981. This alternative prescribes "benefited use assessing" as long as the qualifying use continues. With any change, however, (for example, from sale of the property) a "deferred tax" is activated, its rate applicable to the difference in value between what the property is worth at the benefited use, and what it would command at highest and best use. In the States affected, the assessment rolls may show both values for each affected parcel (the benefited use assessment and the conventionally estimated assessment).

Fourteen States had, by 1981, enacted legislation of the third type. "Contracts and agreements" are simply that, contractual arrangements available under the law, by means of which taxpayers agree to use the subject property for purposes and under conditions specified, for a stated period of time, in exchange for assessment of the property on a benefited use basis as long as the contract is in effect.

Among outlying areas the legal standard for real and personal property in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico is the actual and effective value as of January 1 each year. In the Virgin Islands real property is assessed at 60 percent of actual value. A specific valuation date is not mentioned in applicable statutes. Realty in Guam is assessed at 35 percent of appraised value. Personal property is not assessed in Guam or in the Virgin Islands.

Both of the latter outlying areas used statutory tax rates in 1981. In Guam the rate on assessed value was 1 percent for buildings and one-half of 1 percent for land. In the Virgin Islands the statutory rate was 1.25 percent of the assessed value of all real property, including land and improvements.

Assessment Organization and Administration

For survey purposes, the Bureau classifies assessing jurisdictions within three groups, namely, county, township-municipal-county, and township-municipal, identified in this report as types CO, TMC, and TM,[5] respectively, (see table H for valuation dates and table I for organization types).

County assessor system (type CO)--Here the county assessing official (usually designated "assessor" but may have other titles such as "property valuation administrator" in Kentucky, and "county auditor" in Ohio) has initial responsibility for determining the assessed value of taxable property. Type CO includes certain areas not specifically counties but nevertheless having county type functions. It prevails totally in 31 States and in the District of Columbia. The assessing official involved is elected in some places, appointed in others.

Among some of the other 19 States the county assessor system applies partially, as follows: three places in New York (New York City and Nassau and Tompkins Counties); a majority of places in Iowa, Missouri, and South Carolina; a minority of places in Illinois and Pennsylvania; and one county (Kenosha) in Wisconsin.

Hawaii belongs with the county group since July 1, 1981, when the assessing function there ceased being a State responsibility and instead was assigned to each of the four counties. The Hawaii

[5]Corresponding designations in earlier editions of this survey (for 1956, 1961, 1966, and 1971) follow: type A (now type CO); type B (now type TMC); type C (now type TM).

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

assessing units were previously classified as "county-type," similar to those in Maryland and Montana, even though each of the three States centralized the function at the State level. The latter two States still operate this way. In Maryland all assessing personnel, including the director of assessments in each county, are State employees. In Montana each county assessor is an agent of the State's department of revenue.

In a few States, such as Louisiana, county assessors coexist with assessors for overlapping municipal and other units. The latter officials determine separate assessed values for the particular local governments they serve. Such values exist independently of those determined for county purposes by the county assessor for the geographic area that includes the overlapping territory.

Texas formerly exhibited the most comprehensive example of such overlapping responsibilities. There were separate assessors for counties, cities, independent school districts, and water improvement districts. At present in Texas, however, following voter authorization on November 4, 1980, a single appraisal was made possible within each county for all taxable property. Legislation has assigned the assessing function for all units in each county to the chief appraiser of the county "appraisal district" (coterminous with the county).

The chief appraiser's assessed value for each taxable property in the county is reported to the collector for each property tax levying unit within, or partially within, the county, including the county government itself. These several municipal, school district, and

Table H. Valuation Dates Applicable to Assessed Value in This Report

State	Valuation date	State	Valuation date
Alabama	October 1, 1980	Nevada	Roll containing property assessed between July 1 and December 15, 1980
Alaska	January 1, 1981		
Arizona	January 1, 1981		
Arkansas	January 1, 1981	New Hampshire	April 1, 1981
California	March 1, 1981	New Jersey	October 1, 1980
		New Mexico	January 1, 1981
Colorado	January 1, 1981	New York	May 1, 1981, generally, but varies among cities and towns
Connecticut	October 1, 1980		
Delaware	Kent County, May 31, 1981; New Castle, March 22, 1981; Sussex, May 1, 1981	North Carolina	January 1, 1981
		North Dakota	February 1, 1981
District of Columbia	January 1, 1981 (personal property July 1, 1981)	Ohio	January 1, 1981 (personal property December 31, 1980)
Florida	January 1, 1981		
Georgia	January 1, 1981	Oklahoma	January 1, 1981
Hawaii	January 1, 1981	Oregon	January 1, 1981
Idaho	January 1, 1981	Pennsylvania	No fixed dates; roll containing 1981 values used as basis for 1982 tax bills
Illinois	January 1, 1981		
Indiana	March 1, 1981		
Iowa	January 1, 1981		
Kansas	January 1, 1981	Rhode Island	December 31, 1980
Kentucky	January 1, 1981	South Carolina	December 31, 1980
Louisiana	January 1, 1981	South Dakota	January 1, 1981
Maine	April 1, 1981	Tennessee	January 1, 1981
Maryland	January 1, 1981	Texas	January 1, 1981
Massachusetts	January 1, 1981	Utah	January 1, 1981
Michigan	December 31, 1980	Vermont	April 1, 1981
Minnesota	January 2, 1981	Virginia[1]	January 1, 1981
Mississippi	January 1, 1981	Washington	January 1, 1981
Missouri	January 1, 1981	West Virginia	July 1, 1980
Montana	January 1, 1981	Wisconsin	January 1, 1981
Nebraska	January 1, 1981	Wyoming	February 1, 1981

[1]Except for seven independent cities using a July 1, 1981, valuation date.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table I. Number of Areas for Local Property Tax Assessment, by Type of Area: 1981

Item	Total	Kind of assessment organization[1]		
		Type CO	Type TMC	Type TM
United States				
Primary assessing jurisdictions	[2]13,527	[3]2,270	4,814	[2]6,443
Assessing jurisdictions providing assessed values for county (or county-equivalent) taxes:				
Total	[2]9,236	[3]2,270	523	[2]6,443
Number in sample	1,996	829	175	992

[1]Organizations classified according to best fit among the 3 possibilities. For Missouri, above classification still includes 325 township-assessing organizations eliminated effective Sept. 28, 1981, with replacement by county assessing no later than election of November 1982. [2]Excludes approximately 1,400 assessing areas remaining within towns in Minnesota, regarded by some as primary assessing jurisdictions but not classified as such here because counties exercise definitive assessing authority. [3]Includes the 66 county-assessing areas in South Dakota, plus the city-assessing areas in Aberdeen and Huron, the latter to transfer the assessing function to Beadle County in July 1984.

other collectors are in most instances the former "assessor-collectors" who have relinquished the assessor part of their duties to the chief appraiser under the statewide reorganization. Thus, full implementation of the new Texas system means that one assessing official with county-wide jurisdiction will provide one assessed value for each taxable property, to be used by all units levying a property tax on that property.

Township-municipal-county organization (type TMC)--This system makes it possible, sometimes mandatory, for township or municipal assessors to accomplish initially the official assessing function, within guidelines, supervisory direction, and/or equalization action from officials at county or State levels. TMC organization occurs throughout Indiana, Kansas (nominally), Minnesota, and North Dakota. It is evident as well in those parts of Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and South Carolina not within the county (CO) type described earlier.

Township-municipal assessing (type TM)--For Census Bureau taxable property value surveys from 1956 through 1976, this kind of assessing organization included the units in the six New England States (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont), together with those in Michigan and Wisconsin. This time the survey includes in this category the assessing units in New Jersey and New York (except New York City and Nassau and Tompkins Counties) as well, because it was concluded that the former TMC classification for those States did not accurately reflect their assessing organizations.

In township-municipal States, the individual township or municipal assessors, elected or appointed, perform the initial assessing function. There may be consultative or other assistance from the State, but not in the manner or to the extent demonstrated among States in the TMC group.

The fundamental assessing entity in all three of the alternative systems is the primary assessing jurisdiction. This is simply one of the contiguous territories (counties, municipalities, or townships) which together occupy the entire geographic area of the State. The assessing official in each of the primary assessing juridictions has initial responsibility for determining the base for local general property taxes levied by local governments and, where applicable, by the State government.

In 1981 there were 13,527 primary assessing jurisdictions, assuming one each for Maryland and Montana.[6] Except for the change from one State to four county jurisdictions in Hawaii, noted earlier, there has been little alteration in the organizational pattern within the past 3 decades. State involvement in

[6]See also State and Local Ratio Studies, Property Tax Assessment, and Transfer Taxes, GSS No. 99, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., October 1980, table 1. See also the seminal work, still highly regarded, Assessment Organization and Personnel, National (now International) Association of Assessing Officers, Chicago, Ill., 1941, pp. 4-13.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

local assessing, however, has increased, as exemplified by developments such as those in South Carolina and Wisconsin, where all assessing of manufacturing property takes place at the State level.

The exact number of the overlapping jurisdictions alluded to earlier with respect to Louisiana is not known. As many as 6,300 existed in 1940, but the total today is believed to be a small fraction of that number.

Assessment Cycles

An assessment cycle is the period of time during which the assessing official, by statutory or administrative mandate, has the obligation to review in detail each taxable property in the jurisdiction. Nominally, there is an assessed valuation made of each taxable property as of each annual valuation date. That value is likely to be the same as what it was on the previous valuation date unless there was a reassessment or a change in the property, occasioned by remodeling or new construction or structure removal.

With the advent of computerized administration there can be and in some places there is appraisal-type attention to each taxable property annually. In order to assure that there is such attention within a specified time period, some States in the statutes prescribe assessment cycle length or direct that a specified fraction of the jurisdiction be reassessed each year (see appendix D). Examples follow:

State	Years in cycle, or fraction per year
Connecticut	10
Illinois	1/4 per year (quadrennial)
Indiana (effective 1987)	8
Iowa	2
Kentucky	2
Maryland	1/3 per year
Minnesota	4

Assessed values for the present survey were obtained by access to the official assessment roll of each jurisdiction involved and selection of assessed values from that roll in accordance with survey sampling procedures. Cyclical status of any individual assessed value cannot be determined during such enumeration, since the assessment roll does not identify the most recent or the next prospective reassessment of any value shown.

Among outlying areas, revaluation of all assessable property in Guam takes place every 3 years. In the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico statutes call for classification and assessment of property as of January 1 each year. In the Virgin Islands each parcel of taxable realty is to be reassessed at least biennially. Efforts to implement that statute moved forward in 1981 with the reappraisal of 25,000 improvements throughout the islands.

Assessed Values and Taxable Values

For the taxable property values survey and this report, in order to assure nationwide comparability, the Bureau of the Census regards an assessed value as the one officially determined for tax purposes. Specifically, it is the value determined for county or county-equivalent government tax purposes, as of the specified valuation date. It is that assessed value, before deduction of any partial exemptions, which is used as the numerator in each of the assessment-sales price ratios calculated.

Despite its conceptual simplicity, that assessed value comes in a variety of circumstances and is not always known as "assessed value." There are, in fact, three groups: (1) the conventional variety, (2) the assessed value in counties, or equivalent areas, with more than one assessor to produce either one value or more than one value per property, and (3) the assessed value, or values, resulting from application of prescribed procedures that inflate, deflate, or otherwise adjust the assessor's original work product.

In 30 States and the District of Columbia the assessed value is what conventionally might be expected. That is an official determination of value by the assessor of the primary assessing jurisdiction, nominally if not actually at the level prescribed in the law for the particular property. The second group has six States. It includes Iowa and Minnesota, both of which accurately might be placed in the third group, but in both of which more than one assessor may sometimes be involved. In 19 among Iowa's 99 counties a city assessor provides assessed values, for city and county purposes, for properties within the respective cities. Moreover, all assessed values in Iowa incorporate the effects of two types of factors. One implements whichever county equalization orders apply to affected use categories in the particular county, the other effects "rollback adjustments" prescribed by the State.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Minnesota has municipal and county assessors. The latter possess ultimate assessing authority, except that in some cities with a population of 30,000 (each) or more, the city assessor performs the duties of the county assessor. Thus city assessed values apply (for city located property) in the county as well as in the city. A Minnesota assessed value is defined as the one emerging from the particular set of calculations necessary for the applicable classifications in the Minnesota law. As shown in the following example, for a nonfarm residential homestead property, class 3c, a Minnesota assessed value only begins with the assessor's work product--estimated market value (see appendices A and B):

Estimated market value..		$100,000
First $27,000 at 16 percent, equals.....	$ 4,320	
Second $27,000 at 22 percent, equals.....	5,940	
Remainder $46,000 at 28 percent, equals.....	12,880	
Assessed value.....		$ 23,140

If the above property had been involved in one of the sales sampled for this report, it is the assessed value of $23,140 which would be divided by the sales price to arrive at the assessment-sales price ratio.

Four States in the second group exhibit situations where there may be not only more than one assessor per property, but also more than one value per property. These States are Missouri, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Texas. In Kansas City the city assessor provides separate assessed values for city-located properties, but they apply only for city purposes. The Jackson County assessor assesses city-located properties for county purposes, and these latter are the values used in this report. Other municipally estimated assessed values in Missouri are developed within the county assessor's supervision, for municipal as well as county purposes.

Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Texas also have at least a few cities in which the city assessor determines an assessed value for city located property, for city purposes only. In Texas, as mentioned elsewhere in this report, additional assessors--officials of independent school districts, water improvement districts, and possibly others--still provided separate assessed values in 1981 for properties located in their respective jurisdictions. In all three States the county assessor's value prevailed for county purposes, and this county value is the one used in this report.

Fifteen States prescribe adjustment or augmentation of the assessor's work product in order that the resulting assessed value reflects a desired level, accommodates deliberately paced change, or accomplishes some other policy purpose.

Arizona assessors establish two assessed values for each property. One is at "full cash value," used for the levy of "secondary taxes"; the other is at "limited property value," used for the levy of "primary taxes" (see appendices A and B). Limited value is essentially the lesser of a prior year value (or equivalent) increased by 10 percent, or full cash value. Full cash value assessed values are used for Arizona in this report.

California in the third group now has the dual system its voters created with Proposition 13. The assessed value is the one in effect during the base year 1975, plus no more than 2 percent annual increase, except where a qualifying change of ownership or new construction has mandated reappraisal at full cash value. Connecticut's values are set by statute at 70 percent of the market level after revaluation, but may do so over a 5-year phase-in period. Florida property appraisers (assessors) base assessments on full cash value, except where qualifying benefited uses apply. In such instances the roll shows both assessed values, and the one selected is the one actually used by the property appraiser for tax purposes. Also, the county assessed value in Florida differed from the value for school district levies between 1981 and 1983. The exemption now is the same with respect to all levies.

In Illinois assessed values reflect use of the applicable State determined county multiplier to inflate or deflate the assessor's work product value. The multiplier in 1981, however, did not apply to agricultural property assessed on a benefited use basis, except for the homestead and homesite portion of such property.

Maryland uses triennial reassessment. The assessed value each year is the applicable "phase-in" value multiplied by a State-determined growth factor. "Phase-in" value is the prior year's assessed value plus one-third of the difference between the values before and after reassessment. The growth factor, different each year, is a statewide adjusted assessed value aggregate divided by the statewide full cash value.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

In Michigan the assessed value is the "State equalized value" adjusted by the applicable equalization factor, whichever one among six applies to the category of property involved.

Montana and North Dakota each have two values, "assessed value" as estimated by the assessor, and "taxable value," incorporating the application of the prescribed statutory percentage for the assessment involved. In Montana there are numerous such percentages. This survey uses "taxable value" as the assessed value for both States.

To arrive at assessed value in 1981, Nevada assessors multiplied an "adjusted cash value estimate" by 35 percent and by the particular State-provided factor which related to the year of reappraisal applicable to the property.

Oregon adjusted estimated market values by choosing among two equalization percentages in 1981, one applicable to homestead property, the other to every other kind. South Dakota provided for one or more adjustment factors to be applied to each value estimate supplied by the county assessor.

In Vermont assessors (called "listers") estimate "listed values," each of which is the same as the appraised value (fair market value). The "grand list" value, however, on which levies are based, is 1 percent of the listed value. It is the "grand list" value which is used in this report for the first time. In previous surveys, the listed values were used for Vermont.

De Facto Assessment Levels, Uniformity, and Tax Burdens

This report includes assessment-sales price ratios, coefficients of dispersion, and effective tax rates.

For each sold parcel, an assessment-sales price ratio (or simply assessment ratio) is the relationship, expressed as a percentage, resulting from dividing the assessed value by the sales price of a given property. The median among such ratios for a single jurisdiction indicates its de facto assessment level--the level that sales suggest actually exists in prevailing assessments. De facto levels confirm or contrast with those called for by State prescribed legal levels of value. Ratio studies, such as the one reported here, indicate de facto assessment levels.

This study is unique in that it is carried out on a nationally consistent basis. From its findings, aggregate ratios for the entire nation are produced. Included are ratios for each State and its metropolitan portions, for each of five use categories: all real property as a single group, residential (including single-family separately), acreage (including farms), vacant platted lots, and the combined commercial and industrial group. The single-family category includes: detached houses; separately owned one-family units of semidetached, row or town houses; condominium units; and mobile homes when assessed as realty. Table 11 contains these statewide findings.

The aggregate assessment ratio ("simple sales-based average" until 1972) is the quotient resulting from dividing the estimated total assessed value of all sold properties by the corresponding estimated total of all sales prices. Thus, if all assessed values reflected the same level of value as that represented by all sales prices, an aggregate assessment-sales price ratio of 100 percent would result.

To reduce the effect of possible differences in turnover rates among various value size categories of properties, "size-weighted" aggregate ratios were also calculated. These also appear in table 11, in the column adjacent to the aggregate ratio. Following is the procedure for deriving the size-weighted ratio, for each property use category:

1. Statewide amounts of assessed value are divided by the aggregate ratio for sold properties of same use category and assessed value size, to yield estimates of market value for each size group. Statewide amounts of assessed value for size groups come from the tax base composition phase of the survey.

2. Results of 1 above are summed to a statewide total for each use category.

3. Statewide aggregate assessed value for the use category concerned is divided by the corresponding statewide estimated market value total (as indicated by sales prices) calculated in 2 above.

Neither the aggregate ratio nor the size-weighted ratio is possible if the market has not made possible the enumeration of a representative sample of sales for the survey period.

Aggregate, mean, and median assessment ratios were calculated and are published (in table 21) whenever a sufficiency of

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

measurable sales made such action possible, for the following jurisdictions:

1. Each within a sample SMSA in the county-type States.

2. Each within a sample SMSA or NECMA, in the 10 township-municipal type States, if it had a 1978 population of 50,000 or more.

3. Each in the non-SMSA jurisdictional sample with a 1978 population of 50,000 or more.

As mentioned earlier, assessment ratios tend to indicate de facto assessment levels. Hence they suggest the extent to which actual assessed values do in fact reflect the prevailing legal standard, if the State involved has only one. In that circumstance, full implementation of the legal standard in prevailing assessments will mean a de facto ratio equal to the level prescribed in that standard. For States which use classified property taxation, however, the above result is true for each classification only to the extent that use category ratios ultimately relate to classified legal standards. The realty sales sample was enumerated from a basically unclassified universe. Individual sales were associated with property use categories subsequently on the basis of transacting party responses to a sales questionnaire.

The central essential of assessing is uniformity, or lack of dispersion, among individual assessed values at the value level achieved. To measure dispersion (or scatter) among individual sold parcel ratios around a central tendency indicator (such as mean or median ratios), four indicators are calculated: the coefficient of intra-area dispersion and a related composite coefficient, plus the coefficient of interarea dispersion and a price-related differential. Each of the first three measures variation from a median ratio. The fourth relates a mean ratio to an aggregate ratio. All receive more detailed discussion later. Findings appear in tables 15 through 19 for single-family (nonfarm) houses, and in table 21 for three use categories.

Effective tax rates are found in table 22, where available measurable sales have made this possible. These statistics were sought, in general, only for those cities with 1978 populations of 200,000 or more and their surrounding counties, including for those counties only, each city with a 1978 population between 50,000 and 200,000. An effective tax rate (discussed in more detail later) is a widely accepted indicator of the property tax burden on property, because it expresses the amount of property taxes billed as a percentage of sales price. That amount of property taxes can also be expressed as a burden on income, of the property owner or tenant (via rental payments). That aspect is discussed later in this text.

Factors Conditioning Sales and Assessed Values

The value of a nationwide assessment-sales price ratio study is limited by data reliability. Some of the more important constraints are listed below:

1. This study seeks "arm's length" transactions. These ideally consist of "ordinary" transfers between willing buyers and willing sellers not under duress. This survey rejected most sales for reasons shown in items 4b to 4f of the sales questionnaire sent to transacting parties (see specimen of form GP-31, pages 285 and 286). This report based its sample on publicly available records in recording and assessing offices, and excluded sales of State-assessed property and locally assessed personal property. Moreover, only sales evidenced by warranty deeds or instruments of equivalent standing were included.

   Except for applicable data in tables 10 and 13, the study reports data only on ordinary sales. These exclude the sale of any locally assessed real property valued at more than an amount which, in the light of the applicable aggregate ratio for less valuable properties, had an indicated market value in 1981 of more than $3 million. Ordinary realty also excludes separately assessed mineral rights and all real property for which a use category cannot be assigned.

2. For the 1982 survey the sample consists of realty sales occurring generally between July 1 and December 31, 1981. In nine States, however, the time period was altered in order to adhere to certain survey design require-

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ments. The survey period had to begin after completion of any official review process which might substantially alter individual assessed values. This requirement was necessary to avoid any possibility that the assessed value of a sold property might reflect effects of the sale itself.

It is also necessary that the sales period precede appearance of 1982 assessed values on local rolls, in order to prevent enumeration complications.

To comply with the above design requirements, the following survey periods were specified for the following States: Alabama, Connecticut, Nevada, New Jersey, and West Virginia (January 1 to June 30, 1981); Missouri, New Hampshire, New Mexico, and Pennsylvania, (September 1, 1981, to February 28, 1982).

It should be noted that requiring the use of assessed values in effect at time of sale automatically suggests that assessment-sales price ratios for California jurisdictions are likely to change immediately. As noted elsewhere, California law now mandates reappraisal at full cash value of any property immediately after any qualifying "change of ownership." The most common such change is the typical real property sale. Conventional survey requirements were nevertheless applied to California in order to retain nationwide design consistency.

3. The low level of activity in the 1981 real estate market was a factor in the 1982 survey. Another was a development new to residential sales. This is creative financing, a term for whatever method grantor and grantee used to complete a sale not otherwise possible in the absence of mutually acceptable fixed rate long term mortgage financing. Methods included adjustable rate, balloon, shared equity, wrap-around, and other mortgages, together with mortgage assumptions not affected by due-on-sale clauses (see appendix E).

   To obtain some empirical data concerning creative financing and its effect on the realty market during 1981, a few questions were included in the questionnaire sent to transacting parties involved in sample sales (see specimen form GP-31, page 286). A tabulation of data obtained appears in table 25.

4. Measurable sales include only those concerning which a buyer or other transacting party has responded. Thus, the survey depends on "inscope responses" to the realty sales questionnaire for data on sales price and on use category of the sold property, and for confirmation of other property information.

   There are sources of realty sales prices, publicly available in some States and available to assessors in many States, on which the Bureau has relied to resolve ambiguities about sales prices provided on the sales questionnaires. These sources are State transfer tax declarations, which in at least 10 States are affidavits. Similar documentation is required for some of the local transfer taxes now existing in 12 States and the District of Columbia.

   A somewhat typical State transfer tax is the one in Ohio, where the State imposes a tax on the transfer of realty in the amount of $1.00 or 10 cents per $100 of the sales price, whichever is larger. Among the local taxes, the levy possible for Virginia cities and counties is one-third of the State recording tax, or 5 cents per $100 (for existing rates among State and local transfer taxes, see tables J and K, respectively).

   At time of payment, the grantee (or authorized representative) in Ohio is required to sign a statement containing amount of sales price, use of property, conditions of sale, and financing (principal amount of mortgage, if new, and amount of any mortgage assumed). The statement does not require notarization, but is subject to penalties for perjury. The requirement to provide financing details is not yet common among States. It is, however, required in Arizona, which imposes a $2 fee on realty transfers, and requires an affidavit disclosing sales price, from either transacting party. Kentucky also requires an affidavit but not disclosure of

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

mortgage terms. In Kentucky, however, filing the affidavit is a prerequisite for recording the deed.

Virginia imposed the first State transfer tax in 1922, South Carolina the following year, and Florida in 1931. There have been recent rate increases in Florida, New York, and Wisconsin. The big impetus for such taxes, now in effect in at least 37 States, came after 1968, when the Federal Government repealed its tax on transfer of realty (at that time 55 cents per $500, or fraction thereof, of selling price). Documentary stamps affixed to the deed as evidence of payment of that tax provided assessors and others with indications of sales prices.

Transfer tax affidavits are specifically confidential in Maine, Nebraska, New York, and Wisconsin. Kansas has no transfer tax but does require a sales price disclosure statement at time of realty transfer, and this statement is confidential.

Table J. Rates, in Percent, State Realty Transfer Taxes: 1983

0.01	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.15
Colorado	Hawaii Illinois	Alabama Georgia Kentucky North Carolina Ohio South Dakota Virginia[4] Washington[5]	Arkansas Connecticut[2] Iowa Maine Michigan[3] Nebraska Nevada	Oklahoma
0.20	0.22	0.228	0.25	0.26
South Carolina	Minnesota Rhode Island West Virginia	Massachusetts	New Hampshire[6]	Tennessee
0.30	0.325	0.35	0.40	0.45
Wisconsin	Indiana[7]	New Jersey[8]	New York[9]	Florida

0.50	1.0	2.0	Other
Maryland[10] Vermont	Pennsylvania	Delaware	Arizona[11]

[1]Recordation tax in Alabama only applicable to that portion of fair market value that exceeds the amount of mortgages, deeds of trust, etc. Alabama also levies a conveyance tax on mineral interests. [2]An additional tax of 1/2 of 1 percent is levied on property conveyed within an enterprise zone. In addition to realty transfer tax, Connecticut levies a conveyance fee on farm, forest, or open space land. [3]Tax is 55 cents per $500 of consideration in counties having less than 2 million population. Counties having over 2 million population may increase this tax to 75 cents per $500 as authorized by the county board of commissioners. [4]Data indicated applies to the realty transfer tax. Virginia also levies a document recording tax of 15 cents per $100 on which reduced rates apply for transactions in excess of $10 million. [5]Washington also imposes a 7 percent surtax on the basic tax on conveyances, effective Aug. 1, 1982, through June 30, 1983. [6]Minimum tax is $10 where sales price is less than $4,000. [7]Gross income tax on proceeds from sale of real estate. Tax is levied in decreasing percentages until Dec. 31, 2009, at which time tax will no longer be imposed. [8]Transfers of newly constructed property or owner-occupied residences of senior citizens, blind persons, or disabled individuals subject to a reduced tax of 50 cents per $500 of consideration. [9]New York also levies a 10 percent gains tax on transfers of real estate where the consideration exceeds $1 million. [10]Maryland also levies an additional transfer tax on property transferred that is assessed as agricultural land. [11]Rate is $2 per document.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table K. Rates, in Percent, Local Realty Transfer Taxes: 1983

0.05	0.055	0.10	0.11
Illinois counties Virginia cities and counties[1]	California cities[2]	Washington County, Oreg.	California counties South Carolina counties West Virginia counties
0.20	**0.30**	**0.45**	**1.0**
Chicago, Ill.	Ohio counties	Florida counties[3]	Wilmington, Del. District of Columbia[4] Washington counties[5]

1.5	2.5	Other
Pittsburgh, Pa.	Philadelphia, Pa.	Baltimore and Maryland counties[6] New York City, N.Y.[7]

[1]Tax is not to exceed 1/3 of State document recording tax (hence, 5 cents per $100). [2]Any city within a county levying the tax may impose a city transfer tax at a rate of 1/2 that of the county. City tax then becomes a credit against the county tax. [3]Certain charter counties may levy a discretionary surtax on documents not to exceed 45 cents per $100 of consideration. This surtax may not be levied on transfers of single-family residences. [4]Data indicated applies to the real estate transfer tax. District of Columbia also levies a document recording tax of 1 percent of consideration. [5]Rate of tax must be established annually. [6]Local recordation taxes are as follows: $1.10 per $500--Allegany ($2.20 in 1983-84), Garrett; $1.65 per $500--Calvert, Caroline, Dorchester, Kent, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico ($2.00 in 1983-84), Worcester; $2.20 per $500--Baltimore city ($2.50 in 1983-84), Cecil, Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's, Queen Anne's, Washington; $2.50 per $500--Baltimore County; $3.30 per $500--Carroll, Charles, Frederick, Harford, St. Mary's; $3.50 per $500--Anne Arundel. Local jurisdictions in Maryland imposing a realty transfer tax are as follows: Baltimore city (1 1/2 percent of taxable basis); Baltimore County (1.6 percent of value transferred); Prince George's County (1 percent of consideration); Anne Arundel County conveyance tax (1 percent of consideration); Cecil County ($3.00 per transaction); Howard County (1 percent of consideration); Kent County (1/2 of 1 percent of consideration); Montgomery County levies a realty transfer tax based on the following categories of property: 1 percent of value of consideration for unimproved property, and where value of consideration for improved property exceeds $35,000; 1/2 of 1 percent on other nonresidential improved real property where the value of consideration is at least $20,000, but less than $35,000; 1/4 of 1 percent on residential improved real property where the value of consideration is at least $25,000, but less than $35,000. In Montgomery County no realty transfer tax is imposed on improved property where the value of consideration is less than $20,000, nor on transfers of residential improved property where the value of the consideration is less than $25,000. Montgomery County imposes a condominium transfer tax of 4 percent of the value of the consideration at time of transfer from a residential rental unit to a condominium unit. Montgomery County also levies an agricultural transfer tax. Rate of this tax is based on length of time property held for agricultural use. If property is subject to the realty transfer tax and the agricultural transfer tax, only the agricultural transfer tax shall be imposed. In Montgomery County a 6 percent transfer tax is imposed on land and certain improvements rezoned after July 2, 1971, to a more intensive use. [7]Consideration must exceed $25,000. Rate is as follows: 1 percent where consideration is less than $500,000 or the transfer conveys an individual condominium unit or a 1-, 2-, or 3-family home; 2 percent where consideration is $500,000 or more.

Local transfer taxes vary in several respects. In California, the cities can levy a transfer tax only if they are located within counties that levy them. In New York City, where documentation is necessary, the tax applies only to conveyances where the consideration exceeds $25,000. There are 2 rates, 1 percent where the consideration is less than $500,000, and 2 percent when it is $500,000 or more. Some rates have increased in recent years. Examples (in percent of sales price) are the transfer taxes in Chicago (0.1 percent to 0.2 percent), Philadelphia (1 percent to 2.5 percent), and Pittsburgh (1 percent to 1.5 percent).

Among outlying areas, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico requires

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

that internal revenue stamps be affixed to deeds at time of sale. Unless this is done, the deed cannot be recorded. For a sale amounting to less than $250, the law requires a stamp of 25 cents for the original of the deed and 10 cents for each copy. Stamp fees increase in stages, to $1.00 for the first $1,000 and 50 cents for each additional $1,000 on original copies of deeds in excess of $5,000. There are corresponding increases for additional copies.

RATIOS AND DISPERSION

Market Value Indications

As noted earlier, when the estimated aggregate assessed value of all sold realty is divided by the estimated aggregate of corresponding sales prices, the quotient is 40 percent. Using that relationship for estimating market value of all taxable property must be done cautiously, in view of the legal, economic, and administrative variations contributing to aggregates shown in tables 2 and 3.

Those latter amounts for 1981 are $2,958.2 billion, the gross assessed value of all property subject to local general property taxation, and $2,514.9 billion, the gross total of locally assessed realty. The first total includes not only realty but State-assessed property and personal property as well.

The second amount of $2,514.9 billion does constitute an aggregate of values identical in basic nature (real property) to values in the real property sales sample. It too incorporates variations, such as the following:

1. Differences in legally specified assessment levels (see appendix A), including those from the classified property taxation discussed earlier and effective in 1981 in Alabama, Arizona, Iowa, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, Oregon, South Carolina, and Tennessee. De facto classification also occurs in several States. The composite aggregate of $2,514.9 billion reflects both kinds and all other legal level differences. These include the assessment levels at less than full market value, legally specified in 15 States at percentages ranging from 1 to 70.

2. Variations resulting from benefited use legislation (see appendix C), operative in 1981 everywhere except in Georgia (which now has such a law) and Wisconsin (which opts for an income tax credit instead of specifically benefited use assessment). Theoretically such variations occur only if the benefited use of the property results in a value estimate lower than what appraisal at "highest and best use" would produce.

3. Changes prior to 1981 in what applicable State law prescribes. As detailed in appendix A, changes between 1976 and 1981 have occurred in at least the following States: Arizona, California, Idaho, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, Texas, and Utah. The change (discussed earlier) to reporting Vermont values at their "grand list" level (1 percent of listed value), as provided by Vermont law, should also be noted.

In view of all the above, any imputations purporting to yield nationwide market values for all taxable property, or for its major component, locally assessed realty, require careful, guarded interpretation sensitive to data characteristics.

Within qualifications such as those set forth above, certain inductive statements are possible, all rooted in data from tables 2, 3, and 11.

If the sales-oriented aggregate ratio, namely the aggregate ratio applicable to measurable sales of ordinary real property, 40 percent, can be held to apply to all locally assessed taxable realty, the estimated market value of such real property, indicated only by sales prices and assessed values, would approximate $6,287.2 billion. If the size-weighted ratio of 37.2 percent is used instead, the estimate becomes $6,760.4 billion. In 1976 a similarly qualified indication of market value, based on the size-weighted ratio, amounted to $3,202 billion. Earlier year estimates, "size-weighted" except for 1971, are shown in the following summary of market value

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

indications for locally assessed realty (in billions of dollars):[7]

Year	
1956.....	$700
1961.....	970
1966.....	1,277
1971.....	1,755
1976.....	3,202
1981.....	6,760

Findings About Financing

Data about financing, creative and otherwise, are reported in table 25, within several qualifications.

First, the data in table 25 constitute a tally of those responding to questions about financing on the sales questionnaire (see specimen form GP-31, pages 285 and 286, especially question 2c on page 286). There are no inferences or projections made about those not responding.

Second, the sales price used in ratio calculations is the one provided by a transacting party in question 2a of form GP-31 (see page 286). Available data do not make possible any conclusion that a sales price provided in question 2a is higher than, lower than, or the same as what it would be if financing differed from arrangements reported in answer to question 2c.

Third, available data do not make possible any conclusion that any individual assessed value used in this survey does or does not incorporate an adjustment for financing arrangements associated with sale of the property involved.

Within the above qualifications, findings including the following: 37,000 among the 47,000 sales survey respondents provided data on financing. This includes an indeterminate number whose financing consisted of cash payment for the property sold. Three out of ten respondents said they assumed an existing mortgage. Almost 20,000, or more than half, obtained first mortgages, 80 percent of which called for a fixed interest rate.

About 4,800 of those responding resorted to a second mortgage as well, at a fixed rate in 9 out of 10 instances.

Adjustable rate mortgages accounted for only 17 percent of all such instruments carrying an interest rate below 14 percent. For interest rates of 14 percent and up, however, 3 out of 10 mortgages called for adjustable rates.

The 10 States providing the largest numbers of responses about financing are (in order) California, New York, Florida, Pennsylvania, Texas, Ohio, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and Arizona.

Statewide, SMSA, and Jurisdiction Aggregate Ratios

As table L makes evident, the aggregate ratio has increased by at least 20 percent in more States this time than in the previous survey, for every use category except commercial and industrial. The latter category, comprising kinds of property seldom sold, also exhibits a pronounced ratio decrease more often than others for 1981. As the table shows, there was a drop greater than 20 percent between 1976 and 1981 in the aggregate commercial and industrial ratio in 15 States. In five of those States the decrease was 40 percent or more. Such results are consistent with differential effects of price influences such as inflation on properties often assessed on basis of capitalized income approaches to value.

Precipitous ratio descents (decrease of 40 percent or more) occurred for acreage property in only 6 States, in contrast with similar findings for 13 States in 1976.

"All types" ratio changes and levels summarized in tables L and M occurred within the framework of classified property taxation for 11 States. Results should therefore be interpreted cautiously, since for each such State assessments reflecting several legal levels are being compared with a single market level indication, sales price. It can be noted, nevertheless, that the number of States with de facto levels of 50 percent or more rose to 17 in 1981, more than twice the number 10 years earlier.

Results within use categories are similar, as table M indicates. There are more States with ratio levels at 40 percent or higher in 1981, for every use category except acreage. The frequency of higher levels for vacant platted lots is particularly noticeable.

[7]For market value indications other than those for 1981, see the following: for 1956, vol. 5, 1957 Census of Governments, p. 11; for 1961, vol. 2, 1962 Census of Governments, p. 11; for 1966, vol. 2, 1967 Census of Governments, p. 11, as revised in Errata Notice, Feb. 13, 1969; for 1971, vol. 2, pt. 2, 1972 Census of Governments, p. 8; for 1976, vol. 2, 1977 Census of Governments, table 2, p. 44, and table 9, p. 60.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table L. Distribution of States According to Changes in Statewide Aggregate Assessment-Sales Price Ratios, by Use Categories: 1971 to 1976, 1976 to 1981

(For meaning of symbols see text)

Indicated degree of change in statewide ratio	All types		Residential (nonfarm)		Acreage[1]		Vacant platted lots		Commercial and industrial	
	1971 to 1976	1976 to 1981	1971 to 1976	1976 to 1981	1971 to 1976	1976 to 1981	1971 to 1976	1976 to 1981	1971 to 1976	1976 to 1981
Total States	51	51	51	51	[2]48	[2]47	[2]49	[2]48	[2]42	[2]38
Increase of:										
20 percent or more	5	7	5	7	9	10	9	11	9	6
15 to 19.99 percent	1	1	1	3	2	1	3	2	2	2
10 to 14.99 percent	4	1	1	1	–	1	–	1	1	–
5 to 9.99 percent	–	3	2	5	2	3	2	3	1	–
Increase or decrease less than 5 percent	7	7	5	5	2	6	5	10	6	8
Decrease of:										
5 to 9.99 percent	5	4	10	4	2	1	6	5	3	3
10 to 14.99 percent	8	7	5	7	3	2	2	2	2	3
15 to 19.99 percent	3	6	4	4	3	3	1	3	5	1
20 percent or more	18	15	18	15	25	20	21	11	13	15
Exhibit--										
Decrease of:										
20 to 24.99 percent	3	3	5	4	7	5	4	1	2	2
25 to 29.99 percent	3	2	4	–	1	3	7	–	5	5
30 to 34.99 percent	5	2	4	2	2	3	3	5	2	1
35 to 39.99 percent	2	5	2	5	1	3	–	1	2	2
40 percent or more	5	3	3	4	13	6	7	4	2	5

[1]Excludes District of Columbia.

[2]Because of insufficient sales, it was not possible to calculate ratios for use categories and States as follows: For comparisons involving 1981: Acreage--Alaska; vacant platted lots--District of Columbia and Vermont; commercial and industrial--Alaska, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming. For comparisons involving 1976: Acreage--Arizona and Hawaii; vacant platted lots--District of Columbia and Hawaii; commercial and industrial--Alaska, Arizona, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, and Utah. For comparisons involving 1971: Commercial and industrial--Hawaii and Nevada.

Source: For 1971 data, 1972 Census of Governments, vol. 2, Pt. 2; for 1976 data, 1977 Census of Governments, vol. 2, table 9; for 1981 data, table 11, this report.

There are still 26 among the 40 single standard States, however, where the statewide aggregate ratio for vacant platted lots is more than 5 percent below the corresponding ratio for all types of realty, as table N indicates. Acreage ratios are even more likely to fall substantially below the "all types" ratio. When comparisons are limited to States without classified property taxation, residential realty continues to be the category most likely to be at or above the de facto level for all realty in general.

As reported in table 26, statewide aggregate ratios for condominiums only, available for 28 States and the District of Columbia, ranged from 3 percent in South Carolina to 84 percent for Virginia.

Available local jurisdiction aggregate ratios for condominiums only are reported in table 27.

Distributions of Real Property Sales

Contrary to trends during the 70's, there was a proportionate decrease for 1981 in sales of single-family houses, both in number and in aggregate sales price dollars. As tables O and 11 indicate, only three out of five sales involved single-family residences in 1981, compared with a corresponding

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table M. Distribution of States According to Aggregate Assessment-Sales Price Ratios for Major Use Categories: 1971, 1976, and 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Statewide aggregate assessment-sales price ratios (in percentages)	All types of property			Single-family residential			Multifamily residential		
	1971	1976	1981	1971	1976	1981	1971	1976[1]	1981[2]
Ratios of:									
Less than 15	10	14	18	9	14	18	9	11	8
15 to 19.9	6	8	3	5	8	2	5	6	2
20 to 24.9	4	2	2	6	3	3	4	5	3
25 to 29.9	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	3	3
30 to 34.9	6	4	1	3	3	1	6	5	3
35 to 39.9	4	1	4	7	2	-	2	1	-
40 to 49.9	8	5	1	7	4	5	11	3	3
50 or more	8	12	17	9	13	17	10	13	14

	Acreage[1]			Vacant platted lots			Commercial and industrial		
	1971	1976[2]	1981[2]	1971	1976[2]	1981[2]	1971[2]	1976[2]	1981[2]
Ratios of									
Less than 15	18	23	23	14	16	16	9	7	11
15 to 19.9	7	8	4	6	9	5	5	10	3
20 to 24.9	4	2	2	5	4	3	8	-	4
25 to 29.9	4	1	4	8	3	6	4	6	4
30 to 34.9	6	3	5	3	2	2	1	4	-
35 to 39.9	6	1	3	5	4	1	3	3	3
40 to 49.9	2	4	4	6	5	4	11	-	3
50 or more	3	6	4	4	6	12	8	12	11

[1]Excludes District of Columbia.

[2]Because of insufficient sales, it was not possible to calculate ratios for use categories and States as follows: For 1981: Multifamily--Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Mississippi, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming; acreage--Alaska; vacant platted lots--District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming. For 1976: Multifamily--Delaware, Hawaii, New Mexico, and Wyoming; acreage--Arizona and Hawaii; vacant platted lots--District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, and Utah. For 1971: Commercial and industrial--Hawaii and Nevada.

Source: For 1971 data, 1972 Census of Government, vol. 2, Pt. 2; for 1976 data, 1977 Census of Governments, vol. 2, table 9; for 1981 data, table 11, this report.

proportion of 65 percent in 1976. The dollar volume represented by such sales dropped by almost 8 percentage points from the 1976 proportion. Acreage and vacant lot sales increased slightly in number and a bit more in aggregate sales price. There was also a somewhat surprising increase, in both respects, among commercial and industrial sales.

Estimated measurable sales of all types of realty in the depressed 1981 realty market slightly exceeded 726,000 during a 6-month period, down from a corresponding figure of 1,856,000 in 1976. The estimate for single-family house sales in 6 months of 1981 approximated only 440,000, down by more than 60 percent from the corresponding total in 1976. The residential decline hit all States. Indeed, all categories showed similar movement, except for most categories in Delaware, and for acreage and commercial sales in Colorado.

During the survey period in 1981, new single-family houses sold for an average price, nationwide, of $84,377 as shown in table 13. Averages ranged from $51,079 in Alabama, to $171,798 in Hawaii. The nationwide average price is 88 percent higher than the corresponding average of $44,770 for 1976. The two previous 5-year increases were 67 percent and 22 percent. New home sales are otherwise excluded from survey findings because applicable assessed values are not available as of applicable valuation date.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table N. Selected Relationships Among Aggregate Assessment-Sales Price Ratios and Single Standard States: 1971, 1976, and 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Number of States having use category ratio for "all use categories" of realty					
	1971		1976		1981	
	Statewide	SMSA portion	Statewide[1]	SMSA portion[2]	Statewide[1]	SMSA portion[2]
INTERVALS FOR USE CATEGORIES SHOWN						
Residential:						
Within ± 5 percent of "all types" ratio.....	28	35	28	39	18	26
More than 5 percent above.....	21	13	17	4	22	11
More than 5 percent below.....	2	1	-	-	-	1
Acreage:[3]						
With ± 5 percent of "all types" ratio.....	2	1	2	2	-	1
More than 5 percent above.....	2	2	-	-	1	2
More than 5 percent below.....	46	45	41	30	37	23
Vacant platted lots:						
Within ± 5 percent of "all types" ratio.....	5	9	9	5	6	1
More than 5 percent above.....	3	4	1	1	6	5
More than 5 percent below.....	43	36	33	31	26	24
Commercial and industrial:						
Within ± 5 percent of "all types" ratio.....	12	7	6	7	6	4
More than 5 percent above.....	19	20	24	14	14	8
More than 5 percent below.....	18	20	8	3	10	5

Note: Relationships involving SMSA's subject to SMSA definitions of the time specified. For 1976, excluding the following classified assessment States: Alabama, Arizona, Minnesota, Montana, South Carolina, and Tennessee. For 1981, in addition to those so classified in 1976, the following were considered classified assessment States: Iowa, Louisiana, Michigan, North Dakota, and Oregon.

[1]Excluded from 1976 statewide comparisons because of insufficient sales: Acreage--Hawaii; vacant platted lots--District of Columbia, and Hawaii; commercial and industrial--Alaska, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maryland, Nevada, and Utah. Excluded from 1981 statewide comparisons because of insufficient sales: Acreage--Alaska, vacant platted lots--District of Columbia, and Vermont; commercial and industrial--Alaska, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Maryland, Nevada, New Mexico, Urah, and Wyoming.

[2]No SMSA portions in Vermont and Wyoming. In addition to those excluded from statewide comparisons, the following were excluded from 1976 SMSA comparisons because of insufficient sales: Acreage--Alaska, Delaware, Idaho, Iowa, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Rhode Island, and South Dakota; vacant platted lots--Alaska, Delaware, North Dakota, and South Dakota; commercial and industrial--Arkansas, Idaho, Iowa, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Washington, and West Virginia. In addition to thos excluded from statewide comparisons, the following were excluded from 1981 SMSA comparisons because of insufficient sales: Acreage--Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, South Dakota, and Utah; vacant platted lots--Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, and South Dakota; commercial and industrial--Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Dakota, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

[3]"Acreage" not applicable to District of Columbia.

Among previously occupied single-family houses, average sales prices in 1981 ranged from $37,501 in Arkansas to $143,209 in Hawaii, while the nationwide average stood at $66,606. This latter figure is 92 percent higher than the corresponding average for 1976. Increases over the two previous 5-year periods were 56 percent (1971 to 1976) and 40 percent (1966 to 1971).

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table O. Percent Distribution of Real Property Sales and Aggregate Sales Prices, by Use Category, 6-Month Period: 1971, 1976, and 1981

Item	Total number of sales			Aggregate of sales prices		
	1971	1976	1981	1971	1976	1981
All types	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Residential (nonfarm):						
Single-family	63.9	64.5	60.7	71.9	70.5	62.8
Multifamily	5.4	4.9	5.2	8.5	8.2	8.5
Acreage	9.7	9.2	11.1	8.3	9.0	11.0
Vacant platted lots	18.6	18.2	18.5	5.3	4.9	6.9
Commercial and industrial	2.4	3.1	4.5	6.0	7.4	10.9

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

Median Ratios (Nonfarm Single-Family Houses)

Median assessment ratios, discussed here, and the dispersion coefficients and price-related differentials discussed later, all reflect the inclusion of condominiums within the nonfarm single-family residential use category.

The reader is reminded of the difference in survey design for 1982 that may influence individual findings. The change relates to drawing the jurisdiction sample for New Jersey and New York. Until now, these two States were sampled by county, and an assessment-sales ratio, coefficient of dispersion, and price-related differential were developed for each county on the basis of county assessment levels that incorporate any intercounty equalization activity. This time, the sample for New Jersey and New York was drawn by municipal primary assessing jurisdiction, and thus each of the above three measures was developed for each of such jurisdictions. Survey ingredients now are initial assessed values as they come from the municipal assessor.

That background affects the 1981 data for text tables P, Q, and R, also data for tables 14 to 19.

Table P contains distributions of median ratios for single-family (nonfarm) houses[8] for each of the Bureau's surveys beginning in 1957. Subject to qualifications inherent in the self-contained nature of each survey, results indicate both progress and difficulties in the evolution of de facto assessment levels closer to the market level. In some respects, the 1981 median ratios show ascents toward higher levels as substantial as those of the midsixties. No matter which way the distributions are arranged, there are noticeable increases in the proportions of jurisdictions having median ratios at 50 percent or higher. That almost half the township-municipal (type TM) units are at such lofty levels may reflect the new treatment of New York and New Jersey, but the proportion is nevertheless impressive.

At the same time the increase in the proportion of assessing jurisdictions exhibiting median ratios below 10 percent is substantial. Among county-type assessing units, one out of every four evidently assessed single-family houses in 1981 at less than 10 percent of market value.

Uniformity Indicators

An area ratio, as noted earlier, describes a de facto level of assessment in terms of a particular measure of central tendency. To get the extent to which the individual ratios so measured deviate from the area ratio, some kind of dispersion or scatter indicator is necessary. Four such indicators are produced for the taxable property values survey. Two indicate deviation evidenced by sampled ratios with respect to the median assessment-sales price ratio for the jurisdiction. They are the coefficients of intra-area dispersion and a related composite coefficient.

The third scatter measure, the price-related differential, relates the mean ratio to the associated aggregate ratio to suggest that particular price ranges may be subject to inordinately high or

[8] Coverage is confined to single-family houses beginning with 1971, but may have included multifamily residences as well in the first three surveys.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

low assessment. The fourth, called the coefficient of interarea dispersion, measures the extent of deviation among area median ratios from the median among them.

The four uniformity indicators are discussed below, in the context of survey results.

Coefficient of Intra-area Dispersion

Among statistical dispersion indicators, the standard deviation, or square root of the variance, has widespread acceptance for arrays that are normally distributed. The consensus is that arrays of assessment ratios are not normally distributed and thus respond best to nonparametric measures. For this survey, therefore, the coefficient of intra-area dispersion is calculated. It is the assessment uniformity indicator easiest to comprehend intuitively, though as a median-based coefficient it theoretically has no maximum value. The Bureau always has based calculation of this coefficient on the median ratio, though some States conducting ratio studies use the mean instead.

As used in this report, the coefficient of intra-area dispersion is based on an ascending array of ratios for indi-

Table P. Distribution of Median Assessment-Sales Price Ratios for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses for Selected Local Areas: 1956, 1961, 1966, 1971, 1976, and 1981

(Cumulative percentages. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Median assessment-sales price ratios (percent)	All selected areas						Areas with population 50,000 or more					
	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Less than 10.0.....	7.1	7.7	4.4	5.2	7.5	18.1	4.6	4.5	4.6	5.6	8.7	17.9
Less than 15.0.....	17.6	16.9	10.9	11.1	21.5	27.4	12.2	11.4	8.8	11.7	24.2	30.4
Less than 20.0.....	29.9	30.4	23.7	20.8	34.2	32.1	25.0	26.8	22.1	25.3	43.0	36.5
Less than 25.0.....	48.9	47.5	38.8	33.4	40.0	35.7	46.6	45.4	39.4	43.1	49.7	41.1
Less than 30.0.....	64.0	61.3	51.2	44.0	47.9	42.4	61.3	59.8	51.4	56.7	60.7	48.5
Less than 40.0.....	82.4	78.0	67.5	60.3	60.9	52.6	83.5	77.5	70.8	73.3	71.2	61.3
Less than 50.0.....	93.6	88.7	78.4	77.9	74.9	61.0	94.7	89.9	83.5	84.9	78.3	68.8
50.0 or more.....	6.4	11.3	21.6	22.1	25.1	39.0	5.3	10.1	16.4	15.1	21.7	31.2
	Areas with population 50,000 or less						Assessing organization type CO					
	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Less than 10.0.....	8.3	9.6	4.3	4.9	6.7	18.3	6.7	6.8	2.8	5.3	12.1	23.6
Less than 15.0.....	20.0	19.6	12.3	10.7	19.9	25.2	21.6	19.3	12.7	16.8	30.8	34.2
Less than 20.0.....	32.1	32.4	24.8	17.6	28.8	28.8	38.3	38.7	29.2	32.6	45.8	36.8
Less than 25.0.....	50.0	48.7	38.3	26.6	34.0	31.6	58.7	58.2	45.8	46.8	51.5	40.3
Less than 30.0.....	65.2	62.1	51.0	35.2	39.9	37.8	74.9	71.4	54.0	60.7	61.1	47.7
Less than 40.0.....	81.9	78.3	65.3	51.2	54.5	46.1	91.0	86.4	69.8	77.0	72.6	57.7
Less than 50.0.....	93.1	88.1	75.0	73.1	72.8	55.2	97.1	94.2	79.9	84.5	78.9	61.3
50.0 or more.....	6.9	11.9	25.0	26.9	27.2	44.8	2.9	5.8	20.0	15.5	21.1	38.7
	Assessing organization type TMC						Assessing organization type TM					
	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Less than 10.0.....	16.2	20.3	13.8	15.1	7.0	19.3	-	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.2	6.1
Less than 15.0.....	24.5	26.7	18.2	18.5	29.6	33.9	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.2	2.8	7.8
Less than 20.0.....	36.6	35.9	26.9	29.2	53.6	46.0	4.4	5.9	10.5	3.2	6.1	9.8
Less than 25.0.....	59.2	57.8	44.7	55.4	64.2	49.4	16.8	13.6	20.5	7.7	9.8	13.8
Less than 30.0.....	74.7	74.1	64.7	70.8	76.5	54.7	28.9	26.9	35.7	12.2	12.8	20.2
Less than 40.0.....	87.2	82.5	76.3	83.9	82.3	64.6	58.1	54.2	56.4	30.0	31.7	31.1
Less than 50.0.....	96.6	92.0	91.6	92.6	84.0	69.9	82.6	72.8	65.8	63.5	64.2	52.2
50.0 or more.....	3.4	8.0	8.4	7.4	16.0	30.1	17.4	27.2	34.1	36.5	35.8	47.8

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Population for years indicated are as of 1950, 1960, 1960, 1970, 1973, and 1980 respectively.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

vidual parcels within the jurisdiction. The coefficient expresses as a percentage the result of dividing the mean of the deviations (disregarding signs) from the median ratio, by the median ratio. In example 1 there are 17 sales sampled in county X, where the legal standard for all assessed values is full market value.

Example 1. Derivation of Coefficient of Intra-area Dispersion for County X, a Primary Assessing Jurisdiction

Parcel number	Step 1--Calculation of assessment-sales price ratio for each sold property				
	Use category		Assessed value (AV) (dollars)	Sales price (SP) (dollars)	Assessment-sales price ratio, as percentage (AV ÷ SP)
	Description	Census use code			
1	Vacant platted lot outside city..	450	900	9,000	10
2	Farm.....	300	1,800	60,000	3
3	Single-family house.....	120	24,400	40,000	61
4	Vacant platted lot in city.....	400	6,480	8,000	81
5	Single-family house (mobile home)	150	6,000	30,000	20
6	Vacant platted lot in city.....	400	720	4,000	18
7	Gasoline service station.....	500	45,000	100,000	45
8	Single-family house (condominium)	700	33,000	60,000	55
9	Single-family house.....	120	32,400	120,000	27
10	Vacant platted lot outside city..	450	3,680	8,000	46
11	Single-family house.....	120	18,800	40,000	47
12	Vacant platted lot outside city..	450	4,800	12,000	40
13	Acreage (ranch).....	351	8,000	80,000	10
14	Single-family house.....	120	54,400	80,000	68
15	Single-family house.....	120	43,200	60,000	72
16	Hotel.....	500	420,000	840,000	50
17	Single-family house.....	120	44,000	80,000	55

	Step 2--Array of ratios (ascending order), and subsequent calculations						
	All types			Single-family houses		Vacant platted lots	
	Census use code	Assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Deviation from median ratio	Assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Deviation from median ratio	Assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Deviation from median ratio
2	300	3	-43				
1	450	10	-36			10	-30
13	351	10	-36				
6	400	18	-28			18	-22
5	150	20	-26	20	-35		
9	120	27	-19	27	-28		
12	450	40	-06			40	0
7	500	45	-01				
10	450	46	0			46	+06
11	120	47	+01	47	-08		
16	500	50	+04				
8	700	55	+09	55	0		
17	120	55	+09	55	0		
3	120	61	+15	61	+06		
14	120	68	+22	68	+13		
15	120	72	+26	72	+17		
4	400	81	+35			81	+41
Median ratio		46		55 (55 + 55) ÷ 2		40	
Sum of deviations (disregarding signs)			316		107		99

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Example 1. Derivation of Coefficient of Intra-area Dispersion for County X, a Primary Assessing Jurisdiction--Con.

Mean of deviations	18.6 (Derivation: 316 ÷ 17)	13.4 (Derivation: 107 ÷ 8)	19.8 (Derivation: 99 ÷ 5)
Coefficient of intra-area dispersion	40.4 percent (Derivation: 18.6 ÷ 46)	24.4 percent (Derivation: 13.4 ÷ 55)	49.5 percent (Derivation: 19.8 ÷ 40)

As example 1 demonstrates, sales reveal the coefficient of intra-area dispersion for all properties within county X (regardless of use category) to be 40.4 percent around a median of 46 percent. Corresponding coefficients for single-family residences and vacant platted lots are 24.4 percent and 49.5 percent, respectively, around medians of 55 percent and 40 percent, respectively. Note that the sales sample for acreage and commercial properties was insufficient in county X to support calculation of use category coefficients, though the four sales involved did enter into the calculation of the "all types" coefficient.

Table Q. Distribution of Selected Local Areas by Coefficients of Intra-Area Dispersion Based on Median Assessment-Sales Price Ratios, for Previously Occupied Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses: 1956, 1961, 1966, 1971, 1976, and 1981

(Cumulative percentages. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Coefficient of intra-area dispersion (percent)	All selected areas						Area population 50,000 or more					
	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Less than 10.0.....	(NA)	2.9	7.6	6.7	6.9	12.3	(NA)	1.8	4.4	3.7	3.5	8.7
Less than 15.0.....	7.9	13.7	28.2	24.6	22.1	29.4	5.1	11.9	80.2	22.7	15.7	23.2
Less than 20.0.....	20.4	29.9	53.4	48.9	42.3	47.3	21.0	32.8	60.8	52.1	36.5	42.3
Less than 25.0.....	35.6	47.6	69.1	67.0	59.4	59.5	38.0	57.5	76.7	72.3	59.0	56.1
Less than 30.0.....	50.1	61.9	80.4	79.1	71.2	71.1	57.0	75.1	88.0	84.4	70.8	70.5
Less than 40.0.....	71.3	80.6	90.2	90.9	86.3	83.0	79.5	92.9	94.7	94.4	88.3	84.0
Less than 50.0.....	82.9	89.4	95.7	96.1	93.4	89.2	89.4	98.2	98.2	98.3	94.3	90.4
50.0 or more.....	17.1	10.6	4.3	3.9	6.6	10.8	10.6	1.8	1.3	1.7	6.7	9.6
	Area population less than 50,000						Assessing organization type CO					
	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Less than 10.0.....	(NA)	3.6	9.8	8.8	9.1	15.0	(NA)	2.0	7.2	3.0	5.0	5.3
Less than 15.0.....	9.2	14.7	26.8	26.0	26.1	34.1	4.7	8.6	27.6	16.2	16.0	17.3
Less than 20.0.....	20.2	28.3	48.4	46.6	45.8	51.1	16.4	24.8	54.0	40.3	33.5	35.0
Less than 25.0.....	34.4	41.9	64.0	63.2	59.6	62.2	32.1	44.6	70.2	60.0	51.3	48.9
Less than 30.0.....	47.0	54.3	75.4	75.3	71.4	71.6	45.4	59.9	83.0	73.8	65.0	64.0
Less than 40.0.....	67.5	73.5	87.3	88.4	84.7	82.2	65.9	77.8	92.4	88.5	83.1	78.7
Less than 50.0.....	79.9	84.2	94.1	94.6	92.8	88.2	79.2	87.1	96.8	94.9	92.1	87.0
50.0 or more.....	20.1	15.8	5.9	5.4	7.2	11.8	20.8	12.9	3.1	5.1	7.9	13.0
	Assessing organization type TMC						Assessing organization type TM					
	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
Less than 10.0.....	(NA)	0.4	-	0.7	-	12.4	(NA)	7.1	13.9	13.7	13.4	26.2
Less than 15.0.....	0.4	4.8	12.7	10.0	6.2	28.3	22.1	32.8	40.4	41.2	39.6	54.8
Less than 20.0.....	5.7	12.7	35.2	36.2	20.6	46.3	43.0	55.4	65.1	64.8	66.7	73.2
Less than 25.0.....	14.3	27.9	54.1	59.4	42.4	56.5	62.4	70.0	77.4	78.6	80.5	83.6
Less than 30.0.....	33.6	42.2	69.4	71.6	54.7	65.8	75.8	81.7	83.2	88.6	89.0	90.2
Less than 40.0.....	65.3	74.1	83.9	86.0	77.8	78.3	89.3	92.0	90.3	96.0	95.5	96.0
Less than 50.0.....	80.0	87.6	93.7	93.7	88.1	84.5	94.3	95.7	94.8	98.7	98.0	98.0
50.0 or more.....	20.0	12.4	6.2	6.3	11.9	15.5	5.7	4.3	5.2	1.3	2.0	2.0

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Single-family houses generally sell more often than other types of realty. They also exhibit considerable basic homogeneity, within individual neighborhoods and even entire jurisdictions, despite much variety in design, layout, workmanship, and materials. Hence the assessment task involved may be less complex than for properties more specialized and seldom sold. For this survey, sales frequency is the primary limiting factor restricting calculation of coefficients of intra-area dispersion to ratios for all types, single-family houses, and vacant platted lots.

With regard to assessments of single-family houses, the six-survey coverage in table Q, including data for 1981 from table 15, shows dual direction movement. Except for township-municipal assessing organizations, there are proportionately more areas with coefficients higher than 50 percent than was the case 5 years earlier, regardless of the distribution method. On the other hand, every distribution shows an increase in the proportion of local assessing areas with coefficients of less than 10 percent. Here as with ratio levels, the county-type assessing organization exhibits little movement.

Individual area coefficients of less than 10 percent came from 26 States for 1981 (see table 18). Thirteen of those States were among the 16 in the corresponding group for 1976.

The median area coefficient on a nationwide basis is 21.3 percent (see table 18), down slightly from the 22 percent registered for 1976. The range among States extends from 10.3 percent for Wisconsin to 52 percent for Alabama.

When compared with corresponding results for 1976, median area coefficients of intra-area dispersion for 1981 are lower in 32 States, higher in 17 States, and the same in one. The same comparison for composite coefficients, however, is noticeably different (see next section).

Following is a listing of the number of States in each specified group of median area dispersion coefficients (expressed as percentages) for 1981 and earlier years (no computation for Arizona, Delaware, and Nevada in 1956, first coverage for Hawaii in 1961, for Alaska in 1966):

Year	10 to 14.99	15 to 19.99	20 to 24.99	25 and over
1956...	1	2	5	37
1961...	1	6	13	29
1966...	5	19	14	12
1971...	5	13	14	18
1976...	1	13	13	21
1981...	8	8	13	21

The above summary is consistent with data in table Q, and with results generally for the six census surveys, in suggesting substantial movement toward uniformity up to 1966, and away from it thereafter until a resumption this time.

Composite Coefficient of Intra-area Dispersion

The unadjusted coefficient of intra-area dispersion indicates uniformity attainment within a jurisdiction but tells nothing about relative size, compared with other jurisdictions in the State. In order to adjust for such differences in a feasible manner, a composite coefficient is calculated for single-family houses only, as follows:

1. The coefficient of intra-area dispersion, for each sampled area in the State, is multiplied by a weighting factor. The numerator of the factor is the number of assessed single-family (nonfarm) houses in the area. The denominator of the factor is the number of assessed single-family (nonfarm) houses in all sampled areas of the State involved.
2. The results of the weighting process are summed for all sample jurisdictions within the State.

As table 18 indicates, the State with the lowest composite coefficient for 1981 is Wisconsin, with 12.4 percent. At the opposite end is North Dakota with 78.9 percent. Four States have composite coefficients of less than 15 percent. In addition to Wisconsin, they are Alaska, Connecticut, and Oregon. Only Connecticut, at 13.8 percent, was lower than 15 for 1976. Only two States, Montana and Pennsylvania, were higher than 50 percent for 1976, while six joined that group for 1981. In addition to North Dakota, they are Alabama, Indiana, Missouri, Texas, and Utah.

Comparison with 1976 results reveals increases in composite coefficients for 28 States, decreases in 22. This contrasts with the summary discussed above for the unadjusted coefficients. It is apparently better, however, than the situation for 1976. Based on the sample jurisdictions for that particular survey, 42 States showed increases over composite coefficients for 1971.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

It should be noted, however, that with respect to 1971, numerator and denominator of the weighting factor for composite coefficients consisted of 1970 populations of the respective sample areas, because estimates of the number of single-family houses were not available in sufficient detail from the 1972 Census of Governments.

Intra-area Price-Related Differential

To obtain some notion of any association, within a jurisdiction, between levels of assessed values and particular property sales price ranges, a price-related differential is calculated. This was done only for single-family residential property in each of the 1,367 areas for which available sales made the calculation of the mean ratio possible. This measure results from dividing the mean of the assessment ratios involved by the aggregate assessment-sales price ratio for the same sales.

If the price-related differential exceeds 100 percent, the inference is that assessed values for properties relatively high in value (in terms of sales price) reflect lower assessment-sales price ratios than assessed values for properties relatively low in such value. Similarly, a differential of 100 or less implies relatively higher assessment levels for properties relatively high in value. Authorities describe assessment as "progressive" or "regressive" to the extent that price-related differentials lie at or below 100, on the one hand, or exceed 100, on the other. This is because a differential of 90, for example, indicates (all other things being equal) that the owner of a lower value house pays relatively more in property taxes than the owner of a higher value house. The labels may not apply if the first owner gets enough property tax relief (from an income-related rebate, for example) to nullify the regressive effect of differential assessment.

Data contained in tables 16 and 19, together with comparisons in table R, support an interpretation that, on the basis of 1981 sales in the 1,367 assessing jurisdictions, almost 200 (14 percent), spread among 42 States, assess at substantially higher levels the single-family houses in relatively low sales price ranges. This proportion of total sample units is greater in 1981 than it has been since 1961, as table R indicates.

At the other end, the small proportion of units with price-related differentials of less than 95 percent has increased somewhat, from 3 to 5 percent between 1976 and 1981. There are about 61 assessing units in this group for 1981, distributed among 29 States (see table 19). Five years earlier 40 corresponding units were distributed among 24 States. The entire group lying below 105 percent has remained at about the same proportionate size in surveys for 1966 and subsequently. This is another reflection, among successive survey findings, of greater change between 1956 and 1966, and lesser change since.

Most median area price-related differentials decreased from 1976 to 1981, continuing a trend from 1956 that was interrupted by increases only in 1971.

Coefficient of Interarea Dispersion

This measure shows, for single-family houses only, how uniform assessed values are among and between assessing jurisdictions sampled in a given State (apart from uniformity attained within individual jurisdictions). Calculation of the coefficient of interarea dispersion involves the following steps:

1. Obtain the deviation of each sampled area median ratio from the median among such ratios for all sampled areas within the States.

2. Sum the deviations (disregarding sign) from the median among the median ratios and calculate the mean deviation.

3. Divide the mean deviation by the median among median ratios for all sampled areas.

Thus, the higher the coefficient, the wider will be the range of median ratios for sampled areas within the State.

Assessing jurisdictions enumerated basically comprise a sample designed to produce statewide estimated distributions of real property assessed values, by major property use categories. There is greater representation in the sample of populous, heavily urban assessing jurisdictions. Each individual area median ratio nevertheless received equal weight in calculation of interarea coefficients. Such foregoing factors condition inferences and interpretations concerning statewide and/or interstate assessment comparisons.

The lowest interarea coefficient for 1981 is 3.6 percent, for Oregon (see table 17). Fourteen States exhibit coefficients less than 10 percent for 1981, five more than those in the corresponding

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

group for 1976. Distributions of inter-area coefficients (in percentages) among groups follow, for 1981 and earlier years (first coverage for Hawaii in 1961, for Alaska in 1966):

Year	Less than 10	10 to 14.99	15 to 19.99	Other
1956...	0	13	8	27
1961...	2	13	12	22
1966...	10	9	9	22
1971...	13	13	8	16
1976...	9	12	9	20
1981...	14	8	6	22

TAX RATES

Two types of tax rates receive attention in this report, the nominal rate and the effective rate. The first, the nominal rate (whether one levy from one governmental unit, or a composite of levies from all units taxing the assessed value), is defined as the quotient of the total net annual property tax bill divided by the assessed value of the property. Nominal rates can vary within a single assessing jurisdiction depending on the extent to which taxing units overlap. A nominal rate can be relatively high or low depending on the de facto assessment level, the levying unit's functional responsibilities and need for funds, legal tax rate limits, and other factors.

The second rate presented in this report is the effective tax rate. This is not a levy at all, except in equivalent terms when assessed values, market values, and sales prices in fact equal each other. The effective tax rate is the quotient of total net annual property tax bill divided by the sales price of the property. Neither the effective rate nor the nominal rate incorporates circuit breakers.

Demonstration of Nominal and Effective Rates

Example 2 illustrates nominal rates and effective rates in operation.

Table R. Relationships Among Price-Related Differentials and Selected Local Areas: 1956, 1961, 1966, 1971, 1976, and 1981

Item	1956	1961	1966	1971	1976	1981
	Percent of areas					
Price-related differential of:						
Less than 95.0	4	2	2	2	3	5
95.0 to 104.9	36	43	59	58	58	56
105.0 to 119.9	38	39	32	31	31	25
120.0 or more	22	18	7	9	9	14
	Median price-related differential (percent)					
All areas	107.6	106.5	103.3	103.2	103.2	102.8
Areas by type of assessing organization:						
Type CO:						
All	108.6	107.1	103.2	104.5	103.5	103.7
With a population of--						
50,000 or more	105.3	104.5	102.5	103.1	103.0	103.3
Less than 50,000	111.7	110.9	104.2	106.2	104.3	104.7
Type TMC:						
All	109.5	109.1	105.1	105.5	106.6	103.4
With a population of--						
50,000 or more	107.4	107.3	104.0	103.6	105.6	104.8
Less than 50,000	111.9	111.6	107.1	111.5	110.2	103.0
Type TM:						
All	103.9	102.9	102.0	101.6	101.7	101.3
With a population of--						
50,000 or more	103.9	101.7	100.5	100.8	102.2	101.4
Less than 50,000	104.0	102.9	102.2	101.7	101.7	101.3

Note: Population for years indicated are as of 1950, 1960, 1970, 1973, and 1980 respectively.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Example 2. Demonstration of Rates

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Classic city		Way out city	
	Property 1	Property 2	Property 3	Property 4
Sales price (SP)	$100,000	$100,000	$100,000	$100,000
Gross assessed value (GAV)	30,000	30,000	60,000	80,000
Assessment-sales price ratio, percent (R)	30	30	60	80
Applicable partial exemption for homestead (H)	12,000	-	-	-
Net assessed value (NAV, GAV minus H)	18,000	30,000	60,000	80,000
Total tax bill (B)	1,440	2,400	2,400	3,200
Nominal tax rate, percent (NTR) (B divided by NAV)	8.0	8.0	4.0	4.0
Effective tax rate, percent (B divided by SP)	1.4	2.4	2.4	3.2

Note properties 1 and 2 in Classic City, and properties 3 and 4 in Way Out City. Despite the fact that each property sold for $100,000, nominal and effective rates differ substantially. Properties 1 and 2 in Classic City have identical assessments to begin with. Property 1 gets the benefit of a $12,000 homestead exemption, however, and the result is an effective rate of 1.4 percent instead of 2.4 percent. In Way Out City, properties 3 and 4 changed hands at identical sales prices, but have assessed values differing by $20,000. The consequence is an effective rate for property 3 that is 25 percent less than the one for property 4. Example 2 exhibits a common occurrence in an increasingly complicated assessment environment: properties identical in value subject to significant differences in effective rates, and therefore tax bills. In example 2, the range extends from 1.4 percent to 3.2 percent.

Moreover, there are differences in tax bills for properties identical in value that example 2, and also the effective rate coverage in table 22, do not incorporate. These stem from credits against income tax liability or outright cash rebates common in "circuit breaker" laws among the several States. One such law provides for a credit against income tax liability of 60 percent of the amount by which property taxes on the owner's homestead exceed 3.5 percent of household income. The credit decreases by 10 percent if household income exceeds $65,000, and by an additional 10 percent for each $1,000 of household income in excess of $65,000.

Thus, a homeowner with $50,000 of annual household income who lives in a property worth $100,000 carrying a property tax bill of $2,400 (cf. property 2 in Classic City), will get a credit against income tax liability of $390.00, as the following summarizes:

Property tax liability	$2,400
3.5 percent of household income ($50,000 times 3.5 percent)	1,750
Excess	$650
Credit against income tax ($650 times 60 percent)	$390

Thus, this homeowner has a net property tax liability of $2,400 minus $390, or $2,010, which translates to an effective rate of 2.01 percent, for reasons completely unrelated to assessment levels.

The great merit of the effective tax rate is analytical effectiveness. Because its basis is sales price and not assessed value, the effective rate avoids the built-in variety of assessment levels and makes possible certain intrajurisdictional and cross-jurisdictional comparisons of property tax burdens. The effective rate concept gets down to net tax bill, what the taxpayer actually pays as property tax, after any exemption. As the foregoing demonstrates, however, effective rates are independent of property tax reducing influences external to property tax administration.

Effective Tax Rates

Effective rates for 1981, presented in table 22, are generally lower than those for 1976 and 1971, though direct comparisons necessarily reflect differences in extent of coverage among the three surveys. In 1981, among effective rates calculated for "all types" of realty as

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

a group, in 81 primary assessing jurisdictions, the median is 1.09 percent, within a range extending from 0.19 percent (in Mobile County, Ala.) to 4.63 percent (in Islip town, N.Y.). For single-family (nonfarm) houses the median is also 1.09 percent within a range of 0.14 percent to 4.29 percent for the same two jurisdictions. In 1976 the median effective rate for "all types" of realty was 1.85 percent, among 358 cities with a population of 50,000 or more. The median rate for single-family houses in 1976 was also 1.85 percent, among 353 cities.

Median effective rates for areas covered are summarized in table S. They range from 0.65 percent, the median among rates for vacant platted lots in 26 "balance of county" areas, to 1.06 percent, the median among rates for "all types" of realty in 68 cities, each with a population of 200,000 or more. Not considered in the above range are the medians of 0.96 percent and 1.50 percent, shown in table S for vacant platted lots, since they are based on only four and two areas, respectively.

Sedgwick County, Kans., has the two lowest among effective rates calculated, both for vacant platted lots. They are 0.10 percent, the countywide rate, and 0.06 percent, the rate for the "balance of county" outside Wichita.

Among the larger cities and towns (population 200,000 or more), survey results show the following effective rates (percentages) for "all types" of realty (each 3 percent or more) and single-family (nonfarm) residences, respectively, in 1981, in the following places:

Place	Rates: All types	Rates: Single-family
Islip town, N.Y.....	4.63	4.29
Jersey City, N.J.....	4.40	3.43
Detroit, Mich.....	4.10	3.57
Brookhaven town, N.Y....	3.60	3.64
Babylon town, N.Y.....	3.10	2.81

VALUE EXCLUDED OR REMOVED FROM THE PROPERTY TAX BASE

Considerable property value does not appear in summations of assessed value ultimately subject to local general property taxation. There are two types. The first pertains to property outside the purview of general property taxation and therefore excluded from the tax base. The second group consists of values deducted from gross assessed values and then removed from the base prior to application of tax rates, in accordance with specific provisions in the law. The effect of the deduction in an individual case may be a net assessed value of zero, especially where the de facto assessment level is relatively low.

Property Value Excluded From the Base

Excluded or totally exempt property is familiar enough, even though many of the values involved are difficult to

Table S. Effective Tax Rates, Selected Local Areas: 1981

(Rates in percents)

Item	Counties	Cities and towns with population of--			Balance of county areas
		200,000 or more	100,000 to 199,999	50,000 to 99,000	
All types of realty:					
Number of areas.....	56	68	21	43	47
Range of rates.....	0.19 to 2.15	0.14 to 4.63	0.28 to 2.89	0.37 to 2.36	0.14 to 2.25
Median rate.....	0.90	1.06	0.68	0.80	0.79
Single-family (nonfarm) houses:					
Number of areas.....	54	68	20	39	44
Range of rates.....	0.14 to 2.24	0.14 to 4.29	0.27 to 2.64	0.30 to 2.13	0.21 to 2.25
Median rate.....	0.85	1.02	0.73	0.77	0.90
Vacant platted lots:					
Number of areas.....	45	23	4	2	26
Range of rates.....	0.10 to 3.47	0.20 to 4.98	0.42 to 4.35	1.19 to 1.80	0.06 to 3.47
Median rate.....	0.71	0.90	0.96	1.50	0.65

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

identify since the properties commonly do not sell and are not rented. Major classifications follow:

1. Property used for purposes acknowledged to be publicly beneficial. Examples are governmental structures, churches, synagogues, mosques, and other religious properties, hospitals, and educational institutions. It should be noted that use and ownership together usually constitute prerequisites for total exemption.

2. Some States specifically exempt particular classes of property (e.g., public utilities, intangibles) from general taxation, subjecting them instead to a form of special tax (property or other).

3. Individual States exempt qualifying property of new industrial plants, over a specified period (usually not more than 10 years). The purpose is to attract industry to locate within the State.

4. Specific legislative action exempts particular property in individual jurisdictions. The

Table T. Value Analogous to Assessed Value for Excluded (Totally Exempt) Property, by Type of Exemption, for Selected States: 1981

(Millions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Total	Governmental	Educational	Religious	Charitable	Other or unallocable
Total.....	259,576	127,925	22,477	15,027	14,878	79,269
Arizona[1].....	1,255	599	78	54	(NA)	524
California[2].....	14,204	(NA)	3,336	2,844	8,022	2
Colorado.....	3,717	2,855	128	297	363	74
District of Columbia.	15,163	12,492	640	581	146	1,304
Florida.....	30,696	23,542	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	7,154
Georgia[3].....	4,365	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	4,365
Hawaii.....	5,085	4,128	109	275	260	313
Idaho[4].....	17,049	9,863	707	502	30	5,947
Indiana.....	1,527	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	1,527
Iowa[1].....	2,331	(NA)	339	1,107	651	234
Kansas[5].....	2,585	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	2,585
Maine.....	4,047	2,509	506	274	195	563
Maryland.....	7,915	4,179	2,207	1,040	415	74
Massachusetts.....	16,156	11,146	2,207	827	1,729	247
Michigan[6].....	17,411	6,967	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	10,444
Minnesota[7].....	16,108	5,802	6,291	1,920	540	1,555
Nevada.....	1,136	825	180	53	(NA)	78
New Jersey[8].....	21,043	15,399	-	2,741	1,569	1,334
New Mexico.....	308	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	308
New York[9].....	38,643	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	38,643
Ohio.....	10,057	4,608	2,893	1,353	934	269
Oregon[10].....	25,807	21,946	2,438	1,018	(NA)	405
Rhode Island.....	1,974	1,065	418	141	24	326
West Virginia.....	994	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	994

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

[1]Values are as of January 1, 1979. [2]California values are limited to those amounts required to be enrolled. [3]Georgia values are as of January 1, 1978. [4]Idaho values are estimates as of 1977. "Other or unallocable" category includes $2,068 million in inventory and crops; $2,354 million in household goods; and $1,159 million in motor vehicles. [5]Kansas values are market rather than assessed values. [6]Michigan values are for 61 out of 83 counties and include partial reports for 5 of those 61 counties. If the reported data were projected statewide, there would be an estimated $31,200 million (at 50 percent of true cash value) in fully exempt property. [7]Minnesota values are market values as of January 1, 1980. [8]New Jersey governmental and educational values are combined under the governmental heading. [9]New York values do not reflect totally exempt property located in local assessing jurisdictions that did not report to the State. [10]Oregon values are true cash values rather than assessed values.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

group is similar to the institution class above, except that exemption action is specific to the property rather than for an entire category.

5. Many States now provide incentives for activities such as pollution control and abatement, energy conservation, and property rehabilitation, by using property tax exemptions. This group is a hybrid of two of the types discussed above, sometimes relating to exclusion from the roll and sometimes to value components removed from gross assessed values.

Table T reflects 1981 values assigned to excluded property by 23 States and the District of Columbia as $259.6 billion. The values are termed "analogous" because no "assessed" value is technically possible for property excluded from the tax base.

Authorities differ on the extent to which reported values for totally exempt property resemble conventional appraisal estimates. While it is unlikely that assessors devote more than minimal appraisal resources to valuing excluded property (since no tax revenue stems from the activity), the values reported are of interest to the public. Often excluded property is highly specialized, in some instances built for a single use. Rarely does it sell and seldom is it easily rented. Moreover, its owners are usually objective about cost submissions, since they will not influence any current property tax liability.

In a previous survey 17 States reported a 1976 aggregate value for totally exempt property of $122.3 billion. Of the 1981 total reported in table T, the same 18 States accounted for $199.6 billion. Six States not reporting for 1976 listed a total of $60 billion for 1981. They are Georgia, Idaho, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, and West Virginia.

Of the 1981 total for amounts reported by exempt category, governmental property, at $127.9 billion, constituted the largest single component in all States except Minnesota.

Property Value Removed From the Base

The value removed constitutes the "partially exempt" or tax-exempt portion of a gross assessed value (see table 2). For 1981 such assessed value components, removed from the taxable base, slightly exceeded $120.7 billion, as summarized in table U for 31 reporting States and the District of Columbia. Corresponding aggregates for the recent past are $39.7 billion from 28 States in 1976 and $23.3 billion from 28 States for 1971.

The major type of "partial exemption" is the one for homesteads. This provides that specified amounts of gross assessed value are removed from the total in accordance with applicable State law. The result is the net amount of assessed value against which the nominal tax rate is applied.

The homestead exemption is a form of property tax relief. It lowers the tax by law for those who own and occupy (and in some circumstances rent) their own homesteads, that will be less than what otherwise would be due.

Assume an owner-occupied homestead with a market value of $50,000, located in a jurisdiction where the de facto assessment level is 20 percent. Gross assessed value is $10,000. Assume further that the law exempts the first $10,000 of assessed value for owner-occupied homesteads. Net assessed value becomes zero ($10,000 minus $10,000). Thus, a "partial exemption" in this instance removes the entire assessed value from the roll and means no tax liability.

The homestead exemption exists in the 28 States shown in table U (a few may involve homestead tax credit aspects, as in Indiana and Oregon), plus the following: Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Massachusetts, Ohio, South Carolina, and Virginia.

Sixteen of the States and the District of Columbia listed in table U (each designated by an asterisk) also use circuit breakers for property tax relief.

A circuit breaker law activates a State financed rebate of specified amounts of property taxes due (or rent equivalents), whenever such payments exceed specified amounts of household income.[9] In Pennsylvania, for example, people 65 or over are eligible for rebates ($10 minimum, $500 maximum) of property taxes due, ranging from 100 percent (for household income less than $5,000) down to 10 percent (for household

[9]Classifications here are compatible with those in Significant Features of Fiscal Federalism, 1982-83, Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, Washington, D.C., February 1984. ACIR cooperation is gratefully acknowledged.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

incomes between $9,000 and $12,000). An inflation dividend of $30 to $125 augmented rebates in 1982.

States not in table U which do have circuit breakers are: Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

Montana adopted a circuit breaker in 1981 and further refined it in 1983, providing a maximum credit of $400 to qualifying owners or tenants age 62 or more. Indiana converted its circuit breaker to a unified tax credit in 1981, basing relief on adjusted gross income.

Among those with homestead exemptions, 17 States and the District of Columbia apply the exemption to all homeowners. Amounts of assessed value exempt range from $200 in New Mexico to $12,000 in Hawaii (now $20,000 since the legal

Table U. Assessed Value Removed from Tax Base for Selected States: 1981

(Dollar amounts in millions. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Exemptions				Total as percent of gross assessed value
	Total	Homestead	Veterans	Other and unallocable	
Total	120,726	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	4.1
Alabama	1,801	1,595	-	206	19.7
California*	29,440	29,138	302	-	4.5
Connecticut*	476	(NA)	(NA)	476	1.0
Delaware	86	86	-	-	2.1
District of Columbia*	626	626	-	-	3.3
Florida	48,136	36,595	-	[1]11,542	19.3
Georgia	2,947	2,947	-	-	6.3
Hawaii*	2,279	2,235	-	44	11.2
Idaho*	1,274	1,133	-	[2]141	5.2
Illinois*	6,956	(NA)	-	6,956	8.4
Indiana	951	86	109	756	3.7
Kentucky	2,432	2,432	-	-	4.2
Louisiana	2,395	2,395	-	-	24.2
Maine*	118	-	116	2	0.6
Mississippi	1,160	1,160	-	-	21.9
Nebraska	1,251	1,251	-	-	3.4
Nevada*	26	(NA)	18	8	0.3
New Hampshire	153	135	-	18	1.0
New Jersey	60	-	-	60	0.1
New Mexico*	122	(NA)	82	40	2.2
New York*	7,373	(NA)	(NA)	7,373	6.8
North Carolina[3]	1,351	1,017	-	334	1.2
North Dakota*	7	7	-	-	0.8
Oklahoma*	788	681	(NA)	107	9.8
Oregon*	176	161	-	15	0.3
Rhode Island*	227	-	108	119	2.4
South Dakota*	109	-	-	109	1.9
Texas	6,088	(NA)	(NA)	6,088	1.9
Utah*	31	(NA)	(NA)	[4]31	0.5
Washington	1,306	966	-	340	1.0
West Virginia*	474	474	-	-	3.3
Wyoming	107	103	4	-	1.7

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

*Has circuit breaker; see text.

[1]Includes $11,187 million of exempted inventory differential. [2]Includes $110 million of exempted State-assessed value. [3]Data shown are for 66 out of 100 counties in North Carolina. [4]Includes $4 million of exempted State-assessed value.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

standard for assessments increased to 100 percent January 1, 1983).

Other applicable exempt amounts include $1,000 (Oklahoma), $2,000 (Alabama and Georgia), $3,000 (Illinois), $7,000 (California), $9,000 (District of Columbia), and $10,000 (or 20 percent of market value, whichever less, in Idaho). Alaska municipalities may exempt up to $10,000.

Florida had increased its basic $5,000 homestead exemption (for taxes other than those of school districts) in stages (to $15,000 in 1980, $20,000 in 1981, and $25,000 in 1982 and thereafter), but subject to minimum residence in the State of 5 years. The State Supreme Court ruled the residency requirement unconstitutional in 1982 but prospectively only, effective January 1, 1983.[10] The State had separately enacted an exemption of $25,000 applicable to school district taxes, on March 11, 1980. Thus the present situation is an exemption of $25,000 applicable to all taxes.

Louisiana's exemption is $7,500, applicable to parish taxes only (except in New Orleans). In Mississippi the exemption of $5,000 applies to all State and some local taxes.

Some of the States named provide enhanced homestead exemptions for senior citizens, or for those totally disabled or for those within specified household income ceilings. Attaining age 65 either qualifies for or enhances the amount of a homestead exemption in at least 15 States. In Hawaii, enhancement begins at age 60, when the exemption doubles. Qualified persons in New Hampshire are 68 or older, with net incomes of no more than $5,000 ($6,000 if married), and with net assets of no more than $35,000.

Eligibility in Washington had begun at age 61, with full exemption from all levies for up to $15,000 of assessed value if combined disposable income was $14,000 or less. Beginning in 1984 a corresponding income of $12,000 or less entitles a qualified person to exemption, from all regular property taxes, of up to $20,000 of assessed value.

More than 20 States similarly relate eligibility for or amount of homestead exemption to specified maximum income. Massachusetts augmented qualifiers in 1982, authorizing municipalities to exempt $4,000 of assessed value or $500 of tax, whichever is greater. Eligibility extends to an owner-occupier age 70 or more, with "gross receipts" of less than $10,000 ($12,000 if married) and an estate, other than house, of no more than $20,000 ($23,000 if married). Nebraska, on the other hand, repealed the homestead exemption for many in 1983, retaining it only for senior citizens (65 or over) and disabled veterans.

Seven States accomplish property tax relief by related methods, such as homestead tax credits (Maryland, Minnesota, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Wisconsin) and locally imposed freezes on tax rate and assessed value (Rhode Island). In addition to its new circuit breaker (above), Montana relates income of property owner to specified assessment levels for the Class 4 realty affected (see appendix A). Tax deferral statutes have also become common (as in California, Colorado, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Oregon, South Dakota, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin).

Partial exemptions for veterans also exhibit much variety. Often they apply to veterans' homesteads only, but they can extend to other realty and personal property as well. Hawaii, Maryland, Montana, New Hampshire, and New Jersey are among States which exempt the entire amount of assessed value for residences owned by totally disabled veterans. The home of an unremarried widow is often eligible for whatever exemption the deceased veteran had.

A small amount of partially exempt assessed value is also reported in table U for qualifying industrial property.

Special Property Taxes

Available data indicate that at least 31 States subject specific types of property, usually personalty, to State and/or local special property taxes. These are levies which relate to selected classifications, at specified individual rates or average rates.

Categories of property likely to be affected include the following:

Tangible

Aircraft and other flight property
Distilled spirits
Motor vehicles
Mobile homes
Public utility property (including that of railroads and private car lines)

Intangible

Bank deposits

[10] Osterndorf v. Turner, 426 So. 2nd 539 (1982, rehearing 1983).

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Bank shares
Credits
Marketable securities (stocks and bonds)

States which in 1981 imposed special property taxes on one or more of the above include: Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

An aggregate assessed value for all of the property involved is not available, nor can revenues attributed specifically to special property taxes readily be identified. Both summations are deemed relatively minor on a nationwide basis, though substantial totals are reported by individual States.[11]

SURVEY PROCEDURE

Procedures throughout the survey incorporate the use of public records, except for sales price information discussed below. It should be noted, however, that often data in effectively usable format become available for survey use only with the authorization of the local officials concerned.

Sales prices come from contracting parties on a voluntary and confidential basis, and that confidentiality is protected, as the law requires.

Revenue data appearing in table 1 and elsewhere in this introductory material are contained in Governmental Finances in 1981-82, and in earlier editions of that publication.

Assessed value data shown in tables 2, 3, and 20 came primarily from State officials having responsibilities for State assistance to and/or supervision of local property tax and assessment administration. A canvass of such officials by means of a mail questionnaire produced most of the information for those tables and for tables T and U of this text, relating to excluded and partially exempt property.

Information concerning legal assessment levels, valuation standards, classified property taxation, exemptions, taxability, and similar matters resulted from review and analysis of State constitutional and statutory provisions, court cases, and administrative regulations, augmented where necessary by telephone consultation or correspondence with officials concerned.

For overall sampling design and its implications for each major survey component, see earlier material on survey scope and survey methodology.

The Ratio Study--Enumeration

Enumerators usually began in the offices of the local recorder, selecting the sample of real property sales according to interval counts described earlier, from pertinent public records (e.g., grantor-grantee index, register of documents, and deed books). All sales occurring and recorded within the applicable 6-month survey period were eligible for selection. After selecting the sales sample, enumerators proceeded to the office of the local assessor to obtain additional data. Minimum information (entered on form GP-30) necessary for each sale included:

1. Parcel identification, in the form most efficient for cross referencing recording and assessing records, and for subsequent processing. Identification includes street address wherever possible.

2. Names of buyer (grantee) and seller (grantor), together with mailing address of at least one of them, preferably both.

3. Assessed value of sold property, as of applicable valuation date (for such dates, see table H).

4. Amounts paid in compliance with State and/or local real property transfer, documentary, or conveyance tax laws, wherever such data were readily available. Often these amounts made possible the calculation of probable sales price, a quantity then available for confirming or clarifying sales data derived from sales questionnaires (form GP-31).

5. Sales price involved in the transaction, if readily available. In some States, the law requires price disclosure as an incident of or prerequisite to recording.

Wherever possible, enumerators augmented the above minimum facts, for

[11] See State Government Tax Collections in 1982, Series GF82, No. 1, U.S. Bureau of the Census, January 1983.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

sales in cities of 200,000 population or more, and surrounding counties. This was done by obtaining amounts of property taxes billed against sold parcels (by all levying agencies) on the basis of the enumerated assessed values. These tax billing data made possible calculation of effective and nominal tax rates shown in table 22. Where the city of 200,000 population or more is the central city of a county containing other cities of 50,000 population or more, enumeration of above tax billing data included such smaller cities as well.

Acting on detailed, locally oriented instructions, enumerators selected sample real property transfers evidenced by warranty deeds (described as "grant deeds" or something else in some States), except any transfer obviously not an ordinary sale of real property. Examples of the exception are transfers evidenced by quitclaim deeds (except in a few States), tax deeds, deeds to cemetery lots, mortgages, and satisfactions (releases) of mortgages. All other screening and editing occurred centrally at the Bureau of the Census.

The Ratio Study--Screening

During the screening process, an examiner reviewed the property transfer record prepared by an enumerator for each selected sale, in order to check basic accuracy and suitability. Except where a specific circumstance regarding an individual sale made disposition dependent on a supervisor's decision, the examiner at this stage excluded sales which involved:

1. Date of sale (or recording) outside predetermined 6-month survey period.

2. Transacting parties with identical surnames, supporting a presumption that the sale was not "arm's length."

3. Sold property that was tax exempt.

4. A change in the ownership of only a partial interest in a real property, with the result that a distinctive assessed value for the particular property conveyed was not readily available.

5. A government agency as buyer or seller (the presumption being that the transfer resulted from other than arm's length situations).

Screening procedures alone eliminated 43,000 out of the 181,000 sales constituting the sample selected by the enumerators.

The Ratio Study--Mail Questionnaire

Each of the 138,000 sales which survived the above screening became the subject of a questionnaire (see form GP-31) mailed initially to the buyer (grantee). If the buyer did not respond, a second request was sent about 4 weeks later. If the second attempt also failed to stimulate a response, the same original and followup mail sequence was repeated for the seller (grantor).

In the 1982 survey, four major items of information were sought on form GP-31 for each sold property: size of sold parcel (items a and b), property use (item 1), sales price (items 2a and 2b), and financing details (item 2c). Primary data on sales price and property use constitute information essential for calculation of de facto assessment levels, for each major use category and for all types of realty combined as a single group. Information about financing, not obtained in previous surveys, has, in nationwide empirical terms, remained a mystery influence on realty sales in 1981. One national real estate group reported that as many as 6 out of every 10 sales of existing homes were affected by some form of creative financing.[12] Responses about financing were obtained for about 80 percent of measurable sales selected. A tally of such responses appears in table 25. Interest in respective sizes of sold parcels (in square feet or acreage) has also increased substantially in recent years, especially among those seeking to develop land unit price indices. Data about parcel size were obtained from over half of the usable responses.

Questionnaire respondents also provided answers important for edit decisions about a sale's "arm's length" characteristics (see item 4, page 286).

Out of 138,000 sales for which questionnaires were mailed, responses were obtained concerning 79,500 transfers. Enough data were obtained from respondents to determine that 55,300 of the sales were "measurable."

[12] Existing Home Sales 1981, National Association of Realtors, Washington, D.C., June 1982, p. 7.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

The Ratio Study--Editing

Within survey design and resource limits, all responses were examined for accuracy and adequacy of information. In many situations correspondence or telephone contact with respondents became necessary in order to confirm or obtain correct address, or sales price, or other property related data. Whenever the editing procedures resulted in a determination that the sale involved was other than "arm's length," the sale was discarded.

As it unfolded, the central edit process resulted in rejection of 24,200 sales, leaving a net total of 55,300 measurable sales available for calculations and analysis. That latter number incorporates treatment, as individual sales prices, of proportional amounts of individual reported sales prices found to apply to more than one assessed value. In each such circumstance, the apportionment of the single sales price was accomplished on the basis of the respective assessed value proportions referenced to the assessed value total.

It should be noted that the number of sales enumerated and the number of measurable sales resulting are both substantially lower in 1982 than was the case either in 1972 or in 1977, as the following summary indicates:

	1972	1977	1982
Sales enumerated.	230,800	210,000	181,000
Rejected in Initial screening..	49,500	25,000	43,000
Sales concerning which:			
Questionnaires were sent.....	181,300	185,000	138,000
Responses were received.	150,000	138,000	79,500
Sales (net):			
Rejected during edit....	38,000	28,000	24,200
Measurable sales...	112,000	110,000	55,300

Reasons for the 1982 result include things like: the substantially reduced volume of realty sales in 1981; the relatively greater difficulty of persuading the involved populace to answer questionnaires; the increased cost of each questionnaire attempt; and enhancement in edit proficiency.

The Ratio Study--Processing

After completing the edit, data were entered on magnetic tape for the surviving (measurable) sales. Included for each was the property use category, sales price, financing details (if available), sampling rate, expansion factor, jurisdiction identification code, total assessed value, and parcel size (if available). Also entered on tape was the amount of property taxes billed against the sold parcel, by all levying bodies having a taxing power over it. Covered was each property located within a city having a 1978 population of 200,000 or more, or within its surrounding county, or within certain additional areas selected in an attempt to obtain effective tax rate coverage for each State.

Following data entry, the necessary computer programs were implemented for calculation of value aggregates, ratios, coefficients of intra-area and interarea dispersion, and other findings published in this report.

Realty Tax Base Composition--Enumeration

To enumerate the sample of assessed values, including the use category, visits were made to each of 1,456 counties or other primary assessing jurisdictions included in the jurisdictional sample described earlier.

At the office of the local assessor, enumerators proceeded twice through the assessment roll, or other official assessment record, selecting the sample of certainty and noncertainty assessed values in the manner previously detailed (see forms GP-22, and GP-23 series, appendix F).

In 540 counties or other primary assessing jurisdictions all or most of the assessed value sampling was accomplished by computer processing. This represents an increase of almost 500 percent over the 93 jurisdictions enumerated by computer for the 1976 survey data. The Bureau of the Census has been able to effect this kind of sampling only through the cooperative effort of many local assessing and data processing officials.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Realty Tax Base Composition--Editing

Editing of the enumerated sample of realty parcel assessed values included careful examination of individual values within guidelines set to assure comprehensive, accurate coverage of the jurisdiction.

The editing of use categories assigned by field enumerators required close attention to definitions provided in local coding systems. The aim was compatibility between census use categories and the typically more numerous classifications in local systems.

Realty Tax Base Composition--Processing

After enumeration and editing, the sample data were tallied and inflated to produce the estimated distributions of assessed value and parcel inventory which appear in tables 4 through 9, and in tables 12 and 21.

RELIABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA

Any use of statistics contained in this report is subject to limitations and qualifications specified elsewhere in this text, in footnotes and similar references pertaining to any of the tables and to any material in appendices, and in the material which follows.

Diversity in Law and Administration

The 1982 survey occurred within a framework of even greater variation than that usually associated with property taxation and assessment. By its approval in 1978 of Proposition 13, the California electorate added the dual base concept to the mosaic of modifications affecting assessment practice. Market value, or one or more specified percentages thereof, no longer stands alone in conditioning what assessors officially identify as the ultimate basis for apportioning property tax burdens. The valid value choice now may instead be a "base year value," plus strictly limited annual accretions, until the time when an applicable "change of ownership" has occurred. When that happens in California, reassessment at the market value level is mandatory.

In fundamental form the dual base concept of Proposition 13 has not emerged anywhere else, though the notion of limits on assessments or tax rates, or both, has gained wide acceptance. This has expanded the catalog of differences within and among the individual States and local assessing jurisdictions that affect how much in property taxes people actually pay. Things like the following have complicated the environment:

Owner and occupant status influences--These include age, household income, total or partial disability, and veteran status. All translate, in property tax terms, to individual tax bills less than what would result from applying the jurisdiction mill rate to the assessed value of the property involved. The differences in taxes can come about by way of "circuit breakers" tied to household income levels, or by removal of all or portions of the assessed value of the property involved.

Benefited use influences--These alter the nature of the appraisal process. Instead of arriving at a value estimate based on highest and best use, in accordance with fundamental appraisal theory, assessors in any benefited use situation determine value on the basis of the current or other specified use. The most familiar example is the group of agricultural assessment laws now common in most States (see appendix C).

Assessment cycle influences (see appendix D)--These refer to the time periods required for intensive review (often called "reassessment") of each assessed value within a jurisdiction, whether or not changes have occurred in the property involved. Computerization technology is likely to bring about a mandate for review on as close to an annual basis as possible.

Where assessment cycles exist, the individual assessed values encountered by enumerators for this survey will unevenly reflect the current market, except where some noticeable modification in property value (possibly from remodeling) stimulated a separate reappraisal.

Financing influences--Appraisal purism would ignore these completely, since an appraisal typically is an estimate of property value in the market, on a fee simple, cash equivalent basis, devoid of "adjustments" for particular financing arrangements. In the markets of 1981, however, many transactions occurred only because the parties grasped at creative financing alternatives sensitive to an extended time of stratospheric interest rates.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Rejected whenever possible was the very high fixed-rate mortgage, in favor of things like assumptions, and the graduated payment, shared equity, flexible rate, wraparound, and balloon mortgages mentioned earlier. Though empirical data supports no conclusion that assessors systemically adjusted assessments to reflect financing options, there is evidence that the market did incorporate such things in prevailing real estate prices.

Appeals and equalization--Except as otherwise stated, included in this report are only those assessed values deemed "final" for the 1981 assessment period, following any administrative appeal. Moreover, the assessed values incorporate any equalization activity resulting in revised individual assessed values (as, for example, in Illinois).

In addition to the value-influencing factors summarized above, technical and procedural variations among assessing jurisdictions also affect survey findings reported here. Important among these are:

Differences among methods for identifying individual parcels and classifying them by use category--The Bureau of the Census provides for seven use categories (see tables 4 to 9), and defines as a parcel whatever the local assessor deems a parcel. Given the copious variety among local use coding systems, the Bureau's choices about use for particular individual parcels have been difficult.[13] Similar variety characterizes parcel identification systems. A growing number of these feature some form of geocoding, and may incorporate relationships to survey coordinates when techniques like global positioning become cost effective.[14]

Differences in placement of initial and other assessment responsibility--As indicated earlier, States vary in where they place respective assessing responsibilities and in exactly what they want as the assessed value against which tax rates are to be applied. Since the latter assessed value is what is sought for this report, in an attempt to be consistent on a nationwide basis, any variations among States which differentially affect that "bottom line" assessed value will condition inferences and judgments. This circumstance can, for example, substantially affect comparisons between assessment levels reported herein for States like Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, and South Carolina.

Limitations on Data Based on Measurable Sales

The following factors condition use or interpretation of survey results, specifically including survey produced statistics based on samples of taxable real property sales in each State.

1. Measurable sales used comprise a relatively small portion of all taxable real property, market-selected during the survey period (generally the last 6 months of 1981). Resulting ratios express in each case the relationship between assessed value and what the market itself provides, namely sales price. Classification of measurable sales by property use category is designed to make possible data comparability consistent with local variation and nationwide scope.

2. None of the survey results standing by themselves, stated separately or as grouped data, constitute estimates of gross turnover of all realty. The reason is that, even among responses, certain transfers not usable for ratio calculation were excluded in the edit process.

3. All of the assessment-sales price ratios contributing to this report are based on a sample of in-scope transfers of real property and are subject to sampling variability.

   The particular sample used in the survey is one of a large number of all possible samples of

[13] A system frequently used, with adaptations, by local assessing jurisdictions, is that contained in Standard Land Use Coding System, developed in 1965 by Harold A. Merrill and Jacob Silver, and reprinted in March 1977 by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, available from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

[14] See Procedures and Standards for a Multipurpose Cadastre, National Research Council, Committee on Geodesy, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. 1983, pp. 33-36; also Need for a Multipurpose Cadastre, same source, 1980.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

the same size that could have been selected by use of the same sample design. Each of the possible samples would yield somewhat different results. The standard error is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples. Thus it is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result from all possible samples.

Estimates of sampling errors of the aggregate and the unweighted mean ratios for selected major assessing jurisdictions are shown in table 21 at the two standard error level. A 95 percent confidence interval may be constructed, in the following manner. To obtain the lower bound, subtract two standard errors from the estimate. To obtain the upper bound, add two standard errors to the estimate. Regarding intervals so constructed, we can say with 95 percent confidence that the interval for a particular sample will include the figure that would have been obtained from coverage of all transfers.

For estimates of the sampling errors of assessment-sales price ratios for States, see table 11.

"Assessing jurisdictions" in this context conform to descriptions explained earlier in this text.

4. The yield of the sales sample was insufficient to support ratio calculations and other survey processing in those situations specifically cited in text and/or footnotes to affected tables.

5. Reliability of findings based on measurable sales also depends in part on the quality of basic data assembled. This in turn is affected by the quality of the field enumeration effort, and in subsequent interpretation of data provided by respondents. Undoubtedly, some errors and inaccuracies have occurred that remain undetected. The survey, however, proceeded at all stages, including those of its mail canvass, with the benefit of detailed instructions and careful editing procedures.

6. To stimulate accurate reporting, survey questionnaires emphasized that the law requires confidential handling of sales price information supplied, with use thereof limited to statistical purposes. In the 1982 survey it also was noted that response was voluntary.

Identical confidentiality characteristics applied to any correspondence deemed necessary to remove ambiguities and resolve doubts. If it became evident at any time that the sale was something other than the kind of ordinary transfer called for in survey specifications, the sale was excluded from the survey. This was true even where a respondent indicated the price paid was a reasonable market price. Similarly, if it became evident, from data obtained within procedures called for by survey specifications, that a sale previously regarded as doubtful or unacceptable was in fact measurable, the sale was included.

7. In some instances, the respondent, apparently party to more than one sale during the survey period, supplied price data for a property other than the one for which the assessed value had been obtained. Effective checking procedures made possible the discovery of most situations and the consequent elimination of the sales affected, but it is unlikely that checking procedures were totally effective.

8. With regard to most sales used, the questionnaire request for sales price data imposed no judgment requirements on respondents except any involved in the respondent's choice of use category applicable to the property in question. Some judgment was necessary, however, for transactions in which the trade of another property was part of the consideration, and for those in which personal property as well as realty was involved.

For the first type (trades and exchanges), the editor usually accepted the buyer's estimate of traded property value unless the reported value of the traded property constituted a large portion of the total reported price. In such situations the editor usually discarded the sale as unusable.

Amounts of personal property

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

were deducted if the respondent included them with amounts for realty. In the latter instance, the editor deducted values reported as personal property from total selling price, concluding that the remainder represented sales price of the real property only. Where necessary, supplemental correspondence was used to obtain additional information about personal property components.

Data for Selected Local Areas

Statistics contained in tables 14 through 19 stem from measurable sales of nonfarm single-family houses (including condominiums) in 1,367 sample jurisdictions. Each of these yielded at least five sample sales of single-family houses that survived all survey screening. These statistics, like certain others noted in this report, are subject to limitations of sampling variability and processsing error.

Sample local areas do not constitute a direct cross section of all local assessing jurisdictions, primary or other. Because they comprise a group disproportionally weighted in favor of jurisdictions ranking high in aggregate assessed value, the figures shown in tables 17 to 19 cannot by themselves be interpreted as direct measures of statewide characteristics. This caution has special relevance with respect to the coefficients of interarea dispersion, shown in table 17.

It should be noted also that a weighting factor, based on numbers of single-family (nonfarm) houses, is used as part of the calculation of composite coefficients of intra-area dispersion in table 18.

The grouping of local assessing areas by type of organization (e.g., in tables I, and 14 to 16) is admittedly arbitrary to some extent. Statutes for particular States may provide administrative options and alternatives in some situations.

Data contained in tables 21 and 22 are also subject to sampling variability and processing error.

In all tables, footnotes explain significant departures from usual coverage.

Realty Tax Base Composition According to Use Category

Distributions by use category of assessed values and numbers of real property parcels of locally assessed realty are shown in tables 4 to 9, 12 and 21. They are estimates subject to sampling variation and to possible errors of classification originating in or associated with field enumeration of the data.

Absolute sampling errors were calculated for data contained in tables 4 and 7 and have been reported in tables 5 and 8 at the 95 percent confidence level. Data contained in table 12 relating to use categories of property by assessed value classes are likely to involve greater sampling variation, especially for use categories that comprise only a small part of the total. For selected local areas in table 21, sampling errors of percent distributions of gross assessed value were calculated. For a summary of median sampling errors at the 95 percent confidence level, with areas grouped according to 1980 populations, see table V.

Sampling errors for nonfarm residen-

Table V. Median Sampling Error for Selected Local Area Estimates of Percent Distribution of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property, by Use Category

(Sampling errors computed at the 2σ level)

Population, 1980	Number of areas	Median sampling error in percentage points			
		Single-family (nonfarm) houses	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
500,000 and over	61	1.5	0.4	0.6	1.2
300,000 to 499,999	47	2.5	1.2	1.0	2.1
200,000 to 299,999	68	3.2	1.3	1.1	2.7
100,000 to 199,999	177	4.2	2.3	1.3	3.5
50,000 to 99,999	262	4.9	2.5	1.3	3.8
Under 50,000	105	6.0	4.9	1.5	4.1

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

tial property are slightly lower than those shown for single-family nonfarm houses.

With regard to estimates of number of real property parcels assessed as shown in table 21, relative sampling errors were found to be somewhat larger for single-family nonfarm houses, somewhat smaller for vacant platted lots, when compared with relative errors of corresponding gross assessed value estimates. For numbers of parcels, all types of real property, the relative sampling error was found to be generally slightly less than the relative error for gross assessed value of single-family nonfarm houses.

Official local assessment records vary widely, with respect to nature and extent of property description. Data collection was performed, however, within a framework of detailed instructions and careful checking of enumerated data. These efforts held any description error within reasonable bounds.

Historical Comparisons

Any comparison of amounts for assessed values and for numbers of realty parcels shown in this report, with corresponding amounts shown in previous Census of Governments reports (including revisions) for 1956 and subsequent years, is subject to all the changes in law, administration, economics, and other influences affecting assessed value and property taxation. In this connection, changes since 1956 in the nature of local assessment records undoubtedly mean a somewhat different treatment of some sample properties in certain jurisdictions than that which would have applied with the kind of records previously maintained.

Survey design and methodology have remained substantially similar throughout the 25-year period, except that in the Census of Governments for 1972, estimated distributions of realty within property use categories were possible for only 28 large jurisdictions, as specified in the report covering that survey. In each of the censuses since 1957, details shown for particular use categories represent sample-based estimates. Any measure of change or trend based on these estimates will be subject to a sampling variability greater than the variability of either of the figures individually.

It should be noted also that in the 1972 survey a sale of real property during the survey period was excluded if the price exceeded $500,000. This conditions to some extent any comparison with results from the 1967 and 1977 surveys. The 1967 survey excluded the sale of any parcel of realty assessed at more than an amount which (in light of the respective area's average level of assessment for less valuable properties) led to a presumption that its current market value at time of sale exceeded $250,000. The exclusion method used for 1967 was used again for 1977, except that a presumed current market value cut off of $750,000 was applied.

For this 1982 survey, the exclusions applied to the sale of any parcel of realty assessed at more than an amount which (given the respective area's average level of assessment for less valuable properties) leads to a presumption that current market value at time of sale exceeds $3,000,000.

Regarding comparisons affecting Alaska, it is noted that property taxation in that State now extends to 11 organized boroughs (including the North Slope Borough, incorporated July 1, 1972) and 13 first-class cities (7 first-class cities did not levy or collect property taxes in 1981). The assessed values of such areas comprised the data for Alaska in this report. Accordingly, territory approximating 415,000 square miles of unorganized area is not represented.

MEANING OF SYMBOLS

The symbols in the tables have the following meanings:

- \- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
- (NA) Not available.
- (X) Not applicable.
- (Z) Less than 0.05 percent.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 1. Property Tax Revenue of State and Local Governments by States: 1981-82

(For meaning of symbols, see text.)

Area	Amount (millions of dollars)							Total amount per $1,000 of personal income (dollars)	Per capita amounts (dollars)		As a percent of total tax revenue		As a percent of all general revenue	
	Total	State	Selected local governments						Total local	Local governments	State	Local governments	State	Local governments
			Total local[1]	County	Municipal	School district	Special district							
UNITED STATES.	81 917.7	3 112.8	78 804.9	17 710.8	19 501.8	34 409.7	2 188.6	34.05	361.59	347.85	1.9	76.0	1.1	28.2
ALABAMA.	346.8	45.7	301.1	109.3	64.3	123.8	3.7	10.77	89.06	77.32	2.1	38.7	1.1	9.9
ALASKA	361.2	142.7	218.5	138.8	79.6	(x)	(x)	63.73	898.46	543.53	5.6	79.8	2.6	15.3
ARIZONA.	897.2	129.9	767.3	216.3	87.0	453.5	10.5	32.92	330.11	282.30	7.0	74.9	4.5	22.7
ARKANSAS	357.3	5.1	352.2	61.3	27.5	261.0	2.5	19.35	156.30	154.07	.4	87.5	.2	21.1
CALIFORNIA	8 324.0	708.4	7 615.6	2 644.0	1 418.0	2 914.3	639.3	28.86	351.70	321.77	3.2	71.4	2.1	20.1
COLORADO	1 201.8	5.0	1 196.9	238.2	141.7	758.5	58.4	36.14	415.86	414.15	.3	68.6	.2	29.6
CONNECTICUT.	1 755.7	-	1 755.7	(x)	708.3	(x)	19.1	43.71	564.90	564.90	(z)	98.9	(z)	59.0
DELAWARE	109.5	(x)	109.5	28.2	17.3	63.7	.3	16.49	184.37	184.37	(x)	86.0	(x)	21.7
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	339.1	(x)	339.1	(x)	339.1	(x)	(x)	39.70	531.56	531.56	(x)	27.6	(x)	12.2
FLORIDA.	3 155.9	109.5	3 046.4	1 029.6	534.3	1 362.9	119.6	30.49	323.82	312.58	2.0	83.1	1.3	26.7
GEORGIA.	1 390.0	12.9	1 377.0	493.3	190.5	691.7	1.7	27.91	254.44	252.06	.4	73.0	.2	23.0
HAWAII	254.4	(x)	254.4	64.6	189.8	(x)	(x)	23.51	263.62	263.62	(x)	80.8	(x)	48.1
IDAHO.	222.7	.2	222.5	54.0	47.2	103.0	18.3	25.97	235.88	235.70	(z)	95.8	(z)	26.9
ILLINOIS	4 865.7	133.4	4 732.3	504.5	842.4	2 763.5	450.1	36.67	425.81	414.13	1.8	75.7	1.1	34.7
INDIANA.	1 701.8	26.1	1 675.7	259.8	433.1	908.4	36.7	32.02	309.98	305.23	.9	96.0	.5	31.7
IOWA	1 272.5	(x)	1 272.5	332.7	282.5	656.4	1.0	41.91	436.70	436.70	(x)	98.2	(x)	36.6
KANSAS	1 013.0	24.2	988.8	236.9	189.5	534.7	9.5	39.32	428.50	418.27	1.7	91.0	1.0	35.5
KENTUCKY	549.9	196.2	353.7	98.2	85.8	163.2	6.4	17.83	150.23	96.64	7.9	55.4	4.6	14.9
LOUISIANA.	566.0	(x)	566.0	229.4	133.0	195.2	8.3	13.80	134.57	134.57	(x)	37.6	(x)	11.8
MAINE.	431.4	14.5	416.9	17.6	133.5	84.1	.5	44.62	383.47	370.58	2.0	99.4	1.1	48.3
MARYLAND	1 431.0	112.2	1 318.8	1 010.3	300.6	(x)	7.9	29.25	339.35	312.73	3.5	60.6	2.0	25.4
MASSACHUSETTS.	2 925.8	.4	2 925.3	76.0	1 372.9	(x)	6.6	45.54	509.98	509.90	(z)	98.9	(z)	43.4
MICHIGAN	4 843.1	155.2	4 687.9	519.0	836.0	3 164.3	12.9	48.77	522.90	506.14	2.5	92.1	1.4	38.4
MINNESOTA.	1 392.0	3.9	1 388.1	430.1	297.4	591.3	39.1	31.57	341.50	340.55	.1	95.2	.1	21.5
MISSISSIPPI.	409.9	5.2	404.7	153.0	82.3	163.3	6.1	21.86	162.59	160.53	.4	93.9	.2	18.3
MISSOURI	1 126.8	5.5	1 121.3	162.1	133.7	748.6	70.6	23.63	229.16	228.05	.2	61.2	.1	25.6
MONTANA.	457.8	34.0	423.8	211.9	59.2	150.2	2.5	61.39	581.72	538.50	6.4	97.1	3.1	46.4
NEBRASKA	704.8	3.0	701.8	119.6	100.0	446.3	30.5	43.12	448.94	447.01	.3	89.4	.2	38.8
NEVADA	184.8	23.7	161.1	48.5	15.9	91.8	4.8	18.89	230.99	201.38	3.2	60.0	2.2	13.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE.	526.9	7.4	519.5	31.8	144.0	255.3	.5	56.36	572.15	564.06	2.3	98.6	.9	65.2
NEW JERSEY	4 353.7	58.6	4 295.1	986.6	840.1	2 061.9	10.9	48.49	591.14	583.18	1.1	97.9	.6	45.2
NEW MEXICO	199.1	11.0	188.1	63.7	39.2	80.1	5.0	17.59	152.83	144.36	4.2	72.0	.4	13.0
NEW YORK	10 079.4	(x)	10 079.4	1 279.7	4 505.6	3 422.0	72.8	49.94	574.07	574.07	(x)	63.0	(x)	28.4
NORTH CAROLINA	1 210.9	56.9	1 154.0	820.9	332.3	(x)	.8	23.52	205.86	196.19	1.5	81.6	.9	22.2
NORTH DAKOTA	199.6	2.1	197.6	49.3	23.5	110.0	7.4	29.69	305.72	302.60	.4	96.1	.2	27.2
OHIO	3 544.7	159.0	3 385.8	514.8	340.4	2 293.1	111.9	31.88	328.28	313.56	2.7	72.3	1.6	29.2
OKLAHOMA	525.1	(x)	525.1	104.4	47.0	373.6	.1	16.53	173.57	173.57	(x)	55.5	(x)	18.0
OREGON	1 271.0	.1	1 270.9	133.4	204.7	838.3	94.5	47.92	482.73	482.68	(z)	90.6	(z)	36.7
PENNSYLVANIA	3 458.5	110.3	3 348.2	538.6	513.7	2 118.6	1.4	28.10	291.51	282.22	1.3	66.3	.9	26.6
RHODE ISLAND	486.0	7.3	478.7	(x)	275.5	7.7	2.9	50.23	513.21	505.49	1.1	99.1	.5	57.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	625.8	7.8	618.0	148.9	95.5	358.5	15.0	24.58	200.43	197.95	.4	92.3	.2	25.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	266.4	(x)	266.4	51.4	38.5	169.8	.6	43.99	385.53	385.53	(x)	87.6	(x)	42.1
TENNESSEE.	895.1	(x)	895.1	514.0	381.0	(x)	.1	22.98	194.96	194.96	(x)	64.0	(x)	23.9
TEXAS.	5 212.3	2.5	5 209.8	1 116.4	1 062.5	2 908.7	122.2	32.90	366.32	366.14	(z)	83.2	(z)	33.2
UTAH	404.2	.2	404.0	98.4	50.0	238.2	17.3	32.03	276.67	276.52	(z)	76.7	(z)	24.7
VERMONT.	231.8	.4	231.4	1.1	21.1	156.5	.3	51.55	453.68	452.84	.1	99.3	.1	60.1
VIRGINIA	1 606.1	35.3	1 570.8	972.3	598.5	(x)	(x)	28.58	300.37	293.77	1.1	69.1	.6	31.1
WASHINGTON	1 431.6	616.0	815.7	250.3	156.5	263.8	145.1	30.10	346.48	197.41	17.5	62.1	11.0	15.6
WEST VIRGINIA.	311.7	1.6	310.2	63.0	32.8	214.2	.2	19.06	159.87	159.08	.1	79.0	.1	19.4
WISCONSIN.	2 067.9	103.5	1 964.4	317.6	650.0	941.9	8.7	43.46	439.42	417.42	2.6	98.5	1.6	30.9
WYOMING.	417.8	36.0	381.7	167.2	7.1	203.8	3.7	72.80	888.83	812.13	4.7	87.9	2.6	33.6

Note: Financial data are derived from data assembled for the Bureau of the Census report, Governmental Finances in 1981-82, GF82 No. 5. Per capita figures are based on the population as of April 1, 1980, as determined by the 1980 Census of Population. Local government amounts are estimates subject to sampling variation. Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

[1]Amounts shown are mainly from local general property taxes, but also include collections of local special property taxes in some States. Such collections (for which separate estimates are not available) make up a very minor percentage of all local property tax revenue.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 2. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation by Class of Property and States: 1981

(Millions of dollars. The value of wholly exempt property is omitted from this table. For meaning of symbols, see text.)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of gross assessed value[2]		
	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property			Total	Locally assessed real property	Locally assessed personal property
			All	Real	Personal			
UNITED STATES	2 958 201	159 162	2 799 035	2 514 868	284 165	120 727	108 129	12 485
ALABAMA[3]	9 139	1 410	7 728	5 601	2 127	1 801	[4]1 801	([4])
ALASKA[5]	22 804	9 178	13 626	11 975	1 651	-	-	-
ARIZONA[6]	9 954	3 008	6 945	6 219	726	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ARKANSAS	5 833	990	4 842	3 504	1 338	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA[3 7]	658 230	35 712	622 517	584 113	38 404	29 440	29 440	-
COLORADO	14 777	1 643	13 133	11 769	1 364	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT[3]	47 032	-	47 032	39 948	7 083	476	380	97
DELAWARE[3]	4 125	-	4 125	4 125	-	86	86	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	18 693	-	18 693	17 866	826	626	626	-
FLORIDA	249 480	524	248 955	211 853	37 102	48 137	36 595	11 542
GEORGIA[8]	46 646	4 126	42 520	31 767	10 753	2 947	2 947	-
HAWAII	20 286	-	20 286	20 286	-	2 279	2 279	-
IDAHO[9]	24 631	1 908	22 723	19 439	3 284	[10]1 274	1 164	-
ILLINOIS[11]	82 952	151	82 801	82 801	-	6 956	6 956	-
INDIANA[3]	25 682	1 902	23 780	17 428	6 352	951	950	1
IOWA[12]	62 578	5 217	57 361	54 614	2 747	-	-	-
KANSAS	10 702	1 753	8 949	5 039	3 910	-	-	-
KENTUCKY	58 406	5 059	53 347	42 824	10 523	2 432	2 432	-
LOUISIANA[3]	9 902	1 760	8 142	5 305	2 837	2 395	2 395	-
MAINE[3]	19 630	-	19 630	17 119	2 511	118	[4]118	([4])
MARYLAND[13]	42 108	6 946	35 162	34 927	235	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	83 025	748	82 277	79 232	3 045	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MICHIGAN[3]	92 059	-	92 059	81 492	10 567	-	-	-
MINNESOTA[3 14]	25 015	1 496	23 519	23 465	54	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	5 289	1 102	4 188	2 538	1 650	1 160	1 160	-
MISSOURI	18 801	2 166	16 636	11 615	5 021	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MONTANA[13]	2 021	768	1 253	745	508	-	-	-
NEBRASKA[15]	37 262	629	36 634	31 696	4 938	1 251	1 234	17
NEVADA[3]	8 596	908	7 689	6 881	808	26	[4]26	([4])
NEW HAMPSHIRE[3]	14 964	27	14 937	14 937	-	153	153	-
NEW JERSEY[3]	111 156	94	111 062	109 247	1 815	60	60	-
NEW MEXICO	5 648	1 809	3 839	3 497	342	122	122	-
NEW YORK[3]	107 927	5 991	101 935	101 935	-	7 373	7 373	-
NORTH CAROLINA[16]	112 761	10 407	102 354	68 466	33 888	1 351	1 017	334
NORTH DAKOTA[3]	929	65	864	864	-	7	7	-
OHIO	89 381	20 530	68 850	63 797	5 053	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	8 039	1 644	6 395	4 894	1 501	788	682	107
OREGON	68 626	4 138	64 488	61 020	3 468	176	156	19
PENNSYLVANIA[3]	30 483	-	30 483	30 483	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND[3]	9 470	-	9 470	7 493	1 977	227	199	28
SOUTH CAROLINA[3 17]	3 035	614	2 421	1 601	820	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SOUTH DAKOTA[18]	5 655	309	5 346	5 346	-	109	109	-
TENNESSEE	17 351	1 384	15 967	14 357	1 610	-	-	-
TEXAS[19]	312 853	1 069	311 784	274 487	37 297	6 088	6 088	-
UTAH	6 011	1 300	4 711	3 973	738	[10]31	[4]27	([4])
VERMONT[3 20]	75	-	75	69	6	(NA)	(NA)	-
VIRGINIA[3]	122 375	8 754	113 621	104 099	9 522	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	124 473	4 846	119 627	102 950	16 677	1 306	966	340
WEST VIRGINIA[3]	14 449	2 299	12 150	6 335	5 815	474	474	-
WISCONSIN[17]	70 634	-	70 634	67 902	2 732	-	-	-
WYOMING[3]	6 248	4 778	1 470	930	540	107	[4]107	([4])

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

[1]In some instances, these data are not shown, because there were no tax-exempt portions or because the aggregates were not identified in reported data.

[2]Amounts shown are for those types of railroad or public utility property, respectively, which are subject to State assessment: Thus they do not necessarily represent assessed value of all railroad or public utility property.

[3]Date of valuation other than Jan. 1, 1981; see text table H.

[4]Exempt portion of personal property assessed value, if any, included with exempt portion of real property assessed value, for the following States: Alabama, Maine, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming.

[5]Data for Alaska exclude $3.99 billion in State-assessed values, not allocable by county, of property in unincorporated areas.

[6]Gross assessed value for Arizona is not available. Each amount shown constitutes "full cash value" minus any applicable partial exemptions, and is therefore net assessed value. Taxes levied against such value are "secondary taxes," those used for debt retirement, budget overrides, and maintenance and operation of special service districts. In contrast, "primary taxes," those used for maintenance and operation of governmental units involved, are levied against "limited property value." This latter value is identical with full cash value for personalty (other than mobile homes), and for centrally assessed mines and utility property (other than railroads). For an existing real property, "limited property value" is the lesser of its 1980 limited property value plus 10 percent, or its 1981 full cash value. For a property modified by new construction or other substantial change, "limited property value" reflects the application of a ratio of full cash to limited value for existing properties of the same type and/or use.

[7]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, 100 percent, instead of 25 percent, of "full cash value" as that term is defined in article XIII A, section 2, of the California Constitution. When the 100 percent level went into effect, tax rates were adjusted to prevent generation of additional revenue from this change.

[8]Georgia assessed values include 1978 data for Monroe County, 1979 data for Spalding County, and 1980 data for Chattahoochee, Gordon, Jeff Davis, and Twiggs Counties. Data for Long and Webster Counties are preliminary for 1981.

[9]Idaho values shown are "market value for assessment purposes" as of Jan. 1, 1981, which values are based on 104.04 percent of market value as of Dec. 31, 1978, in accordance with statutory change effective Jan. 1, 1980.

[10]Detail does not add to total because of the inclusion of exempt State-assessed property in the total for the following States: Idaho ($110 million) and Utah ($4 million).

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 2. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation by Class of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(Millions of dollars. The value of wholly exempt property is omitted from this table. For meaning of symbols, see text.)

Area	Assessed value subject to tax, after deduction of partial exemptions							
	Total	State-assessed property				Locally assessed property		
		Total	Railroads [2]	Other public utilities [2]	Other	Total	Real	Personal
UNITED STATES	2 837 469	159 048	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	2 678 419	2 406 738	271 681
ALABAMA [3]	7 337	1 410	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	5 927	3 800	2 127
ALASKA [3]	22 804	9 178	-	-	9 178	13 626	11 975	1 651
ARIZONA [4]	9 954	3 008	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	6 946	6 219	726
ARKANSAS	5 833	990	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	4 842	3 504	1 338
CALIFORNIA [3 7]	628 789	35 712	1 908	33 528	277	593 077	554 673	38 404
COLORADO	14 777	1 643	91	1 553	-	13 134	11 769	1 364
CONNECTICUT [3]	46 555	-	-	-	-	46 555	39 569	6 987
DELAWARE [3]	4 039	-	-	-	-	4 039	4 039	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	18 066	-	-	-	-	18 066	17 240	826
FLORIDA	201 343	524	524	-	-	200 818	175 258	25 560
GEORGIA [6]	43 699	4 126	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	39 573	28 820	10 753
HAWAII	18 007	-	-	-	-	18 007	18 007	-
IDAHO [9]	23 357	1 798	368	1 417	13	21 559	18 275	3 284
ILLINOIS [11]	75 996	151	149	-	2	75 845	75 845	-
INDIANA [3]	24 731	1 902	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	22 829	16 478	6 351
IOWA [12]	62 578	5 217	232	4 985	-	57 361	54 614	2 747
KANSAS	10 702	1 753	192	1 560	-	8 949	5 039	3 910
KENTUCKY	55 974	5 059	412	4 032	615	50 915	40 392	10 523
LOUISIANA [3]	7 507	1 760	75	1 686	-	5 746	2 909	2 837
MAINE [3]	19 512	-	-	-	-	19 512	17 001	2 511
MARYLAND [13]	42 108	6 946	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	35 162	34 927	235
MASSACHUSETTS	83 025	748	-	748	-	82 277	79 232	3 045
MICHIGAN [3]	92 059	-	-	-	-	92 059	81 492	10 567
MINNESOTA [3 14]	25 015	1 496	110	1 347	38	23 519	23 465	54
MISSISSIPPI	4 130	1 102	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	3 028	1 378	1 650
MISSOURI	18 801	2 166	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	16 636	11 615	5 021
MONTANA [13]	2 021	768	54	167	547	1 252	745	508
NEBRASKA [15]	36 011	629	578	(NA)	51	35 382	30 462	4 920
NEVADA [3]	8 570	908	(NA)	645	263	7 662	6 855	808
NEW HAMPSHIRE [3]	14 810	27	-	-	27	14 784	14 784	-
NEW JERSEY [3]	111 096	94	94	-	-	111 003	109 187	1 815
NEW MEXICO	5 526	1 809	48	1 137	625	3 716	3 375	342
NEW YORK [3]	100 554	5 991	258	5 486	248	94 563	94 563	-
NORTH CAROLINA [16]	111 410	10 407	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	101 003	67 449	33 554
NORTH DAKOTA [3]	922	65	12	53	-	856	856	-
OHIO	89 381	20 530	376	8 825	11 329	68 851	63 797	5 053
OKLAHOMA	7 251	1 644	59	1 585	-	5 606	4 212	1 395
OREGON	68 450	4 138	470	3 668	-	64 312	60 863	3 449
PENNSYLVANIA [3]	30 483	-	-	-	-	30 483	30 483	-
RHODE ISLAND [3]	9 243	-	-	-	-	9 243	7 294	1 949
SOUTH CAROLINA [3 17]	3 035	614	25	376	213	2 421	1 601	820
SOUTH DAKOTA [18]	5 546	309	12	295	2	5 238	5 238	-
TENNESSEE	17 351	1 384	153	1 231	-	15 967	14 357	1 610
TEXAS [19]	306 765	1 069	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	305 696	268 399	37 297
UTAH	5 980	1 296	61	514	721	4 684	3 946	738
VERMONT [3 20]	75	-	-	-	-	75	69	6
VIRGINIA [3]	122 375	8 754	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	113 621	104 099	9 522
WASHINGTON	123 167	4 846	256	4 591	-	118 321	101 984	16 337
WEST VIRGINIA [3]	13 975	2 299	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	11 676	5 861	5 815
WISCONSIN [17]	70 634	-	-	-	-	70 634	67 902	2 732
WYOMING [3]	6 140	4 778	107	645	4 026	1 362	822	540

[11] Illinois values of locally assessed property are based on preliminary data for Champaign, Clay, De Kalb, Peoria, Sangamon, and Will Counties.

[12] Iowa real property assessed values reflect application of State-assigned county "equalization order" percentages, and the statewide "rollback percentages" applicable according to use category.

[13] All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but assessed values are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text. In addition, Maryland assessed values incorporate the applicable phase-in year and "growth factor" for individual counties.

[14] Minnesota State-assessed values include electric utility systems amounts which may previously have been reported as locally assessed. In addition, Minnesota assessed values shown incorporate application, to assessors' market value estimates, of the statutory percentages and admustments mandated as part of the Minnesota classification system.

[15] Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard in Nebraska for assessed values became "actual value," instead of 35 percent thereof.

[16] North Carolina assessed values reported as gross are actually net values for those counties which did not report the amounts of their partial exemptions.

[17] "Manufacturing" property in South Carolina and Wisconsin is assessed by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed."

[18] South Dakota personal property tax repealed, effective Feb. 15, 1978.

[19] Effective Jan. 1, 1981, all property in Texas is assessed on the basis of 100 percent of its appraised value. Previously, individual jurisdictions used percentages of appraised value. Assess values shown include 1980 data for Bastrop, Polk, Presidio, and Smith Counties. In addition, real property amounts include some amounts of personalty, and are net of productivity reductions.

[20] Vermont assessed values shown are the "grand list" values, which are based on 1 percent of fair market value. Fair market values as of April 1, 1981, are shown below (in thousands of dollars):

Total gross assessed value	$7,491,581
State-assessed property	None
Locally assessed property	7,491,581
Real property	6,935,158
Personal property	556,423
Tax-exempt portion of gross assessed value	Not available

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 3. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation Inside and Outside of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas by Class of Property and States: 1981

(Millions of dollars)

Area	Gross assessed value (before exemptions)					Assessed value subject to tax, after deduction of exemptions					Percent of assessed value subject to tax			
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property			Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property	
		Real			Personal			Total	Real	Personal			Real	Personal
		Total	Land	Improvements										
UNITED STATES[1]	2 958 200	2 514 868	(NA)	(NA)	284 165	2 837 469	159 048	2 678 419	2 406 738	271 681	100.0	5.6	84.9	9.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 190 704	1 901 060	(NA)	(NA)	197 197	2 092 406	92 448	1 999 955	1 813 170	186 788	100.0	4.4	86.7	8.9
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	767 205	613 816	(NA)	(NA)	86 797	744 885	66 589	678 298	593 572	84 724	100.0	8.9	79.7	11.4
ALABAMA[2]	9 139	5 601	(NA)	(NA)	2 127	7 337	1 410	5 927	3 800	2 127	100.0	19.2	51.8	29.0
INSIDE SMSA'S	5 981	3 791	(NA)	(NA)	1 382	4 785	809	3 976	2 595	1 382	100.0	16.9	54.2	28.9
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	3 157	1 811	(NA)	(NA)	745	2 552	601	1 951	1 205	745	100.0	23.6	47.2	29.2
ALASKA[3]	22 804	11 975	(NA)	(NA)	1 651	22 804	9 178	13 626	11 975	1 651	100.0	40.2	52.5	7.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	6 849	5 956	(NA)	(NA)	842	6 849	51	6 798	5 956	842	100.0	0.7	87.0	12.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	15 955	6 019	(NA)	(NA)	808	15 955	9 128	6 828	6 019	808	100.0	57.2	37.7	5.1
ARIZONA[4]	9 954	6 219	(NA)	(NA)	726	9 954	3 008	6 946	6 219	726	100.0	30.2	62.5	7.3
INSIDE SMSA'S	7 098	5 007	(NA)	(NA)	603	7 098	1 487	5 611	5 007	603	100.0	20.9	70.5	8.5
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	2 856	1 212	(NA)	(NA)	123	2 856	1 521	1 335	1 212	123	100.0	53.3	42.4	4.3
ARKANSAS	5 833	3 504	(NA)	(NA)	1 338	5 833	990	4 842	3 504	1 338	100.0	17.0	60.1	22.9
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 371	1 441	(NA)	(NA)	584	2 371	346	2 024	1 441	584	100.0	14.6	60.8	24.6
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	3 462	2 063	(NA)	(NA)	755	3 462	644	2 818	2 063	755	100.0	18.6	59.6	21.8
CALIFORNIA[5]	658 230	584 113	(NA)	(NA)	38 404	628 789	35 712	593 077	554 673	38 404	100.0	5.7	88.2	6.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	605 988	540 320	(NA)	(NA)	35 109	578 997	30 559	548 437	513 328	35 109	100.0	5.3	88.7	6.1
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	52 241	43 794	(NA)	(NA)	3 295	49 793	5 153	44 640	41 345	3 295	100.0	10.3	83.0	6.6
COLORADO	14 777	11 769	(NA)	(NA)	1 364	14 777	1 643	13 134	11 769	1 364	100.0	11.1	79.6	9.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	10 449	8 433	(NA)	(NA)	1 074	10 449	943	9 507	8 433	1 074	100.0	9.0	80.7	10.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	4 328	3 336	(NA)	(NA)	291	4 328	700	3 627	3 336	291	100.0	16.2	77.1	6.7
CONNECTICUT *[6]	47 032	39 948	12 494	27 454	7 083	46 555	-	46 555	39 569	6 987	100.0	-	85.0	15.0
INSIDE NECMA'S	42 787	36 313	11 350	24 964	6 473	42 367	-	42 367	35 974	6 394	100.0	-	84.9	15.1
OUTSIDE NECMA'S	4 245	3 635	1 145	2 490	610	4 188	-	4 188	3 595	593	100.0	-	85.8	14.2
DELAWARE[7]	4 125	4 125	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 039	-	4 039	4 039	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 907	2 907	767	2 140	-	2 853	-	2 853	2 853	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	1 218	1 218	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 186	-	1 186	1 186	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA)	18 693	17 866	6 463	11 403	826	18 066	-	18 066	17 240	826	100.0	-	95.4	4.6
FLORIDA	249 480	211 853	(NA)	(NA)	37 102	201 343	524	200 818	175 258	25 560	100.0	0.3	87.0	12.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	212 401	180 600	(NA)	(NA)	31 475	170 844	326	170 519	149 008	21 511	100.0	0.2	87.2	12.6
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	37 079	31 253	(NA)	(NA)	5 627	30 498	199	30 300	26 250	4 049	100.0	0.7	86.1	13.3
GEORGIA[8]	46 646	31 767	(NA)	(NA)	10 753	43 699	4 126	39 573	28 820	10 753	100.0	9.4	66.0	24.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	27 243	19 119	(NA)	(NA)	6 327	25 453	1 797	23 656	17 329	6 327	100.0	7.1	68.1	24.9
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	19 403	12 648	(NA)	(NA)	4 427	18 246	2 329	15 917	11 491	4 427	100.0	12.8	63.0	24.3
HAWAII[9]	20 286	20 286	(NA)	(NA)	-	18 007	-	18 007	18 007	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	14 218	14 218	(NA)	(NA)	-	12 491	-	12 491	12 491	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	6 069	6 069	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 516	-	5 516	5 516	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
IDAHO[10]	24 631	19 439	7 268	12 171	3 284	23 358	1 798	21 559	18 275	3 284	100.0	7.7	78.2	14.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	4 381	3 913	1 203	2 715	219	4 100	244	3 856	3 636	219	100.0	6.0	88.7	5.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	20 127	15 521	6 065	9 456	3 065	19 244	1 541	17 704	14 639	3 065	100.0	8.0	76.1	15.9
ILLINOIS[11]	82 952	82 801	(NA)	(NA)	-	75 996	151	75 845	75 845	-	100.0	0.2	99.8	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	65 256	65 157	(NA)	(NA)	-	59 849	100	59 749	59 749	-	100.0	0.2	99.8	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	17 696	17 645	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 147	51	16 096	16 096	-	100.0	0.3	99.7	-
INDIANA[12]	25 682	17 428	(NA)	(NA)	6 352	24 731	1 902	22 829	16 478	6 351	100.0	7.7	66.6	25.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	17 832	12 003	(NA)	(NA)	4 613	17 154	1 216	15 938	11 325	4 613	100.0	7.1	66.0	26.9
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	7 850	5 425	(NA)	(NA)	1 738	7 577	686	6 891	5 153	1 738	100.0	9.1	68.0	22.9
IOWA[13]	62 578	54 614	(NA)	(NA)	2 747	62 578	5 217	57 361	54 614	2 747	100.0	8.3	87.3	4.4
INSIDE SMSA'S	19 344	16 718	(NA)	(NA)	820	19 344	1 807	17 537	16 718	820	100.0	9.3	86.4	4.2
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	43 234	37 896	(NA)	(NA)	1 927	43 234	3 410	39 823	37 896	1 927	100.0	7.9	87.7	4.5
KANSAS[14]	10 701	5 039	1 969	3 071	3 910	10 701	1 753	8 949	5 039	3 910	100.0	16.4	47.1	36.5
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 344	2 145	486	1 659	804	3 344	394	2 950	2 145	804	100.0	11.8	64.1	24.0
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	7 191	2 894	1 482	1 412	2 939	7 191	1 358	5 833	2 894	2 939	100.0	18.9	40.2	40.9
KENTUCKY	58 406	42 824	(NA)	(NA)	10 523	55 974	5 059	50 915	40 392	10 523	100.0	9.0	72.2	18.8
INSIDE SMSA'S	30 823	23 601	(NA)	(NA)	5 009	29 874	2 213	27 660	22 652	5 009	100.0	7.4	75.8	16.8
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	27 584	19 224	(NA)	(NA)	5 514	26 100	2 845	23 255	17 740	5 514	100.0	10.9	68.0	21.1
LOUISIANA[15]	9 902	5 305	1 544	3 760	2 837	7 507	1 760	5 746	2 909	2 837	100.0	23.4	38.8	37.8
INSIDE SMSA'S	6 065	3 798	1 032	2 766	1 393	4 438	874	3 563	2 170	1 393	100.0	19.7	48.9	31.4
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	3 836	1 507	513	994	1 443	3 069	886	2 183	739	1 443	100.0	28.9	24.1	47.0
MAINE *[16]	19 630	17 119	5 306	11 813	2 511	19 512	-	19 512	17 001	2 511	100.0	-	87.1	12.9
INSIDE NECMA'S	5 549	5 080	1 476	3 604	469	5 520	-	5 520	5 051	469	100.0	-	91.5	8.5
OUTSIDE NECMA'S	14 082	12 040	3 831	8 209	2 042	13 992	-	13 992	11 951	2 042	100.0	-	85.4	14.6
MARYLAND[17]	42 108	34 927	(NA)	(NA)	235	42 108	6 946	35 162	34 927	235	100.0	16.5	82.9	0.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	34 905	29 686	(NA)	(NA)	169	34 905	5 051	29 855	29 686	169	100.0	14.5	85.0	0.5
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	7 202	5 241	(NA)	(NA)	66	7 202	1 895	5 307	5 241	66	100.0	26.3	72.8	0.9
MASSACHUSETTS*[18]	83 025	79 232	(NA)	(NA)	3 045	83 025	748	82 277	79 232	3 045	100.0	0.9	95.4	3.7
INSIDE NECMA'S	74 671	71 396	(NA)	(NA)	2 569	74 671	706	73 965	71 396	2 569	100.0	0.9	95.6	3.4
OUTSIDE NECMA'S	8 355	7 836	(NA)	(NA)	476	8 355	43	8 312	7 836	476	100.0	0.5	93.8	5.7
MICHIGAN[19]	92 059	81 492	(NA)	(NA)	10 567	92 059	-	92 059	81 492	10 567	100.0	-	88.5	11.5
INSIDE SMSA'S	72 013	63 751	(NA)	(NA)	8 261	72 013	-	72 013	63 751	8 261	100.0	-	88.5	11.5
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	20 046	17 741	(NA)	(NA)	2 305	20 046	-	20 046	17 741	2 305	100.0	-	88.5	11.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 3. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation Inside and Outside of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas by Class of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(Millions of dollars)

Area	Gross assessed value (before exemptions)					Assessed value subject to tax, after deduction of exemptions					Percent of assessed value subject to tax			
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property			Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property	
		Real			Personal			Total	Real	Personal			Real	Personal
		Total	Land	Improvements										
MINNESOTA[1,10]	25 015	23 465	(NA)	(NA)	54	25 015	1 496	23 519	23 465	54	100.0	6.0	93.8	0.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	15 954	15 059	(NA)	(NA)	22	15 954	874	15 080	15 059	22	100.0	5.5	94.4	0.1
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	9 061	8 406	(NA)	(NA)	32	9 061	622	8 438	8 406	32	100.0	6.9	92.8	0.4
MISSISSIPPI	5 289	2 538	(NA)	(NA)	1 650	4 130	1 102	3 028	1 378	1 650	100.0	26.7	33.4	40.0
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 568	762	(NA)	(NA)	504	1 229	302	926	422	504	100.0	24.6	34.3	41.0
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	3 721	1 776	(NA)	(NA)	1 146	2 901	799	2 102	956	1 146	100.0	27.5	33.0	39.5
MISSOURI	18 801	11 615	(NA)	(NA)	5 021	18 801	2 166	16 636	11 615	5 021	100.0	11.5	61.8	26.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	12 735	8 167	(NA)	(NA)	3 512	12 735	1 056	11 679	8 167	3 512	100.0	8.3	64.1	27.6
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	6 067	3 449	(NA)	(NA)	1 508	6 067	1 110	4 957	3 449	1 508	100.0	18.3	56.8	24.9
MONTANA[10]	2 021	745	278	467	508	2 021	768	1 252	745	508	100.0	38.0	36.9	25.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	296	177	50	127	82	296	37	259	177	82	100.0	12.5	59.8	27.7
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	1 725	568	227	340	426	1 725	731	994	568	426	100.0	42.4	32.9	24.7
NEBRASKA[10]	37 262	31 696	15 856	15 840	4 938	36 011	629	35 382	30 462	4 920	100.0	1.7	84.6	13.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	12 206	10 063	2 458	7 605	2 064	11 709	80	11 629	9 570	2 059	100.0	0.7	81.7	17.6
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	25 056	21 634	13 398	8 236	2 874	24 302	549	23 753	20 892	2 861	100.0	2.3	86.0	11.8
NEVADA[1,10]	8 596	6 881	(NA)	(NA)	808	8 570	908	7 662	6 855	808	100.0	10.6	80.0	9.4
INSIDE SMSA'S	6 595	5 504	(NA)	(NA)	620	6 576	471	6 105	5 486	620	100.0	7.2	83.4	9.4
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	2 001	1 377	(NA)	(NA)	188	1 994	437	1 557	1 369	188	100.0	21.9	68.7	9.4
NEW HAMPSHIRE[1,10]	14 964	14 937	4 140	10 797	-	14 810	27	14 784	14 784	-	100.0	0.2	99.8	-
INSIDE NECMA'S	7 699	7 698	1 936	5 762	-	7 636	1	7 635	7 635	-	100.0	(Z)	100.0	-
OUTSIDE NECMA'S	7 265	7 240	2 204	5 035	-	7 175	25	7 149	7 149	-	100.0	0.3	99.6	-
NEW JERSEY[1]	111 156	109 247	35 541	73 706	1 815	111 096	94	111 003	109 187	1 815	100.0	0.1	98.3	1.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	97 327	95 609	30 612	64 997	1 625	97 291	93	97 198	95 573	1 625	100.0	0.1	98.2	1.7
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	13 829	13 638	4 928	8 710	190	13 805	1	13 805	13 615	190	100.0	(Z)	98.6	1.4
NEW MEXICO	5 648	3 497	1 274	2 223	342	5 526	1 809	3 716	3 375	342	100.0	32.7	61.1	6.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 857	1 579	601	978	62	1 812	217	1 596	1 534	62	100.0	12.0	84.7	3.4
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	3 790	1 918	673	1 245	280	3 713	1 593	2 121	1 841	280	100.0	42.9	49.6	7.5
NEW YORK[1]	107 927	101 935	(NA)	(NA)	-	100 554	5 991	94 563	94 563	-	100.0	6.0	94.0	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	90 974	85 798	(NA)	(NA)	-	84 267	5 177	79 090	79 090	-	100.0	6.1	93.9	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	16 952	16 138	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 287	815	15 473	15 473	-	100.0	5.0	95.0	-
NORTH CAROLINA[10]	112 761	68 466	(NA)	(NA)	33 888	111 410	10 407	101 003	67 449	33 554	100.0	9.3	60.5	30.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	57 839	34 505	(NA)	(NA)	17 119	57 234	6 215	51 020	34 076	16 944	100.0	10.9	59.5	29.6
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	54 921	33 961	(NA)	(NA)	16 768	54 175	4 192	49 983	33 373	16 609	100.0	7.7	61.6	30.7
NORTH DAKOTA[1,10]	929	864	533	331	-	922	65	856	856	-	100.0	7.0	92.8	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	275	254	103	150	-	273	21	251	251	-	100.0	7.7	91.9	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	654	610	430	180	-	649	44	605	605	-	100.0	6.8	93.2	-
OHIO	89 381	63 797	18 962	44 835	5 053	89 381	20 530	68 851	63 797	5 053	100.0	23.0	71.4	5.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	71 113	51 333	14 630	36 703	4 044	71 113	15 735	55 377	51 333	4 044	100.0	22.1	72.2	5.7
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	18 268	12 464	4 332	8 132	1 009	18 268	4 795	13 473	12 464	1 009	100.0	26.2	68.2	5.5
OKLAHOMA	8 039	4 894	1 424	3 470	1 501	7 251	1 644	5 607	4 212	1 395	100.0	22.7	58.1	19.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	4 608	3 047	721	2 326	829	4 173	733	3 441	2 668	773	100.0	17.6	63.9	18.5
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	3 431	1 847	704	1 144	672	3 078	911	2 166	1 545	622	100.0	29.6	50.2	20.2
OREGON[1]	68 626	61 020	22 375	38 644	3 468	68 450	4 138	64 312	60 863	3 449	100.0	6.0	88.9	5.0
INSIDE SMSA'S	41 348	37 682	12 875	24 807	1 954	41 245	1 711	39 534	37 589	1 945	100.0	4.1	91.1	4.7
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	27 278	23 337	9 500	13 837	1 514	27 205	2 427	24 778	23 274	1 504	100.0	8.9	85.6	5.5
PENNSYLVANIA[1]	30 483	30 483	(NA)	(NA)	-	30 483	-	30 483	30 483	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	25 593	25 593	(NA)	(NA)	-	25 593	-	25 593	25 593	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	4 889	4 889	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 889	-	4 889	4 889	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
RHODE ISLAND[1]	9 470	7 493	(NA)	(NA)	1 977	9 243	-	9 243	7 294	1 949	100.0	-	78.9	21.1
INSIDE NECMA'S	8 445	6 615	(NA)	(NA)	1 830	8 243	-	8 243	6 438	1 805	100.0	-	78.1	21.9
OUTSIDE NECMA'S	1 025	878	(NA)	(NA)	147	1 000	-	1 000	856	145	100.0	-	85.6	14.5
SOUTH CAROLINA[1,11,12]	3 035	1 601	(NA)	(NA)	820	3 035	614	2 421	1 601	820	100.0	20.2	52.8	27.0
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 515	825	(NA)	(NA)	391	1 515	299	1 216	825	391	100.0	19.7	54.5	25.8
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	1 521	777	(NA)	(NA)	429	1 521	315	1 205	777	429	100.0	20.7	51.1	28.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 655	5 346	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 546	309	5 238	5 238	-	100.0	5.6	94.4	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 230	1 139	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 222	91	1 131	1 131	-	100.0	7.4	92.6	-
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	4 425	4 207	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 324	218	4 107	4 107	-	100.0	5.0	95.0	-
TENNESSEE[11]	17 351	14 357	(NA)	(NA)	1 610	17 351	1 384	15 967	14 357	1 610	100.0	8.0	82.7	9.3
INSIDE SMSA'S	11 887	9 835	(NA)	(NA)	1 172	11 887	880	11 007	9 835	1 172	100.0	7.4	82.7	9.9
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	5 463	4 521	(NA)	(NA)	438	5 463	504	4 960	4 521	438	100.0	9.2	82.8	8.0
TEXAS[1]	312 853	274 487	(NA)	(NA)	37 297	306 765	1 069	305 696	268 399	37 297	100.0	0.3	87.5	12.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	199 226	169 329	(NA)	(NA)	29 443	194 244	454	193 790	164 347	29 443	100.0	0.2	84.6	15.2
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	113 627	105 158	(NA)	(NA)	7 854	112 522	615	111 907	104 053	7 854	100.0	0.5	92.5	7.0
UTAH	6 011	3 973	1 197	2 776	738	5 980	1 296	4 684	3 946	738	100.0	21.7	66.0	12.3
INSIDE SMSA'S	4 096	3 132	886	2 247	543	4 072	419	3 652	3 109	543	100.0	10.3	76.4	13.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	1 915	841	311	529	194	1 908	877	1 031	837	194	100.0	46.0	43.9	10.2
VERMONT[1,11,12]	75	69	(NA)	(NA)	6	75	-	75	69	6	100.0	-	92.0	8.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 3. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation Inside and Outside of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas by Class of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(Millions of dollars)

Area	Gross assessed value (Before exemptions)					Assessed value subject to tax, after deduction of exemptions					Percent of assessed value subject to tax			
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property			Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property	
		Real			Personal			Total	Real	Personal			Real	Personal
		Total	Land	Improvements										
VIRGINIA[12]	122 375	104 099	34 484	69 615	9 522	122 375	8 754	113 621	104 099	9 522	100.0	7.2	85.1	7.8
INSIDE SMSA'S	87 716	76 513	23 930	52 583	6 370	87 716	4 832	82 883	76 513	6 370	100.0	5.5	87.2	7.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	34 659	27 585	10 554	17 032	3 152	34 659	3 922	30 737	27 585	3 152	100.0	11.3	79.6	9.1
WASHINGTON	124 473	102 950	36 317	66 633	16 677	123 167	4 846	118 321	101 984	16 337	100.0	3.9	82.8	13.3
INSIDE SMSA'S	88 655	73 780	24 317	49 463	11 715	87 950	3 161	84 789	73 170	11 619	100.0	3.6	83.2	13.2
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	35 818	29 170	12 000	17 170	4 962	35 218	1 686	33 532	28 814	4 718	100.0	4.8	81.8	13.4
WEST VIRGINIA[2]	14 449	6 335	(NA)	(NA)	5 815	13 975	2 299	11 676	5 861	5 815	100.0	16.5	41.9	41.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	5 708	2 601	(NA)	(NA)	2 441	5 515	666	4 849	2 408	2 441	100.0	12.1	43.7	44.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	8 741	3 734	(NA)	(NA)	3 374	8 460	1 633	6 827	3 453	3 374	100.0	19.3	40.8	39.9
WISCONSIN[13]	70 634	67 902	21 070	46 832	2 732	70 634	-	70 634	67 902	2 732	100.0	-	96.1	3.9
INSIDE SMSA'S	43 071	41 237	11 364	29 873	1 834	43 071	-	43 071	41 237	1 834	100.0	-	95.7	4.3
OUTSIDE SMSA'S	27 564	26 666	9 706	16 960	898	27 564	-	27 564	26 666	898	100.0	-	96.7	3.3
WYOMING[2]	6 248	930	264	666	540	6 140	4 778	1 362	822	540	100.0	77.8	13.4	8.8

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA'S" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1]Detail does not add to gross total because personal property data include $166 million in intangibles for the State of Kansas and $124 million in State-assessed property for the State of Idaho, amounts not allocable among SMSA and non-SMSA components.

[2]For 1981, valuation date other than Jan. 1, 1981; see text table H.

[3]Alaska State-assessed values exclude $3.99 billion of oil and gas property value in the unorganized territory.

[4]Gross assessed value for Arizona is not available. Each amount shown constitutes "full cash value" after application of percentages based on property classification and minus any applicable partial exemptions, and is therefore net assessed value. Taxes levied against such value are "secondary taxes," those used for debt retirement, budget overrides, and maintenance and operation of special service districts. In contrast, "primary taxes," those used for maintenance and operation of governmental units involved, are levied against "limited property value" after application of percentages based on property classification. "Limited property value" is identical with full cash value for personalty (other than mobile homes), and for centrally assessed mines and utility property (other than railroads). For an existing real property, "limited property value" is the lesser of its 1980 limited property value plus 10 percent, or its 1981 full cash value. For a property modified by new construction or other substantial change, "limited property value" reflects the application of a ratio of full cash to limited value for existing properties of the same type and/or use.

[5]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard for California valuations became 100 percent, instead of 25 percent, of "full cash value" as that term is defined in article XIII A, section 2, of the California Constitution. When the 100 percent level went into effect, tax rates were adjusted to prevent generation of additional revenues from this change. California personalty excludes business inventories which became totally exempt effective March 1, 1980.

[6]Georgia-assessed values include other than 1981 data for the following counties: Chattahoochee, Gordon, Jeff Davis, and Twiggs (1980 values), Monroe (1978 values), Spalding (1979 values). Amounts included for Long and Webster Counties are tentative 1981 values.

[7]Prior to July 1, 1981, assessment administration in Hawaii was a function of the State government. As of that date, each of the four counties assumed such responsibility. Assessment remains a State responsibility in the fifth county, Kalawao, which is used as a treatment center for those afflicted with Hansen's disease.

[8]Idaho values shown are "market value for assessment purposes" as of Jan. 1, 1981, which values are based on 104.04 percent of market value as of Dec. 31, 1978, in accordance with statutory change effective Jan. 1, 1980.

[9]Illinois-realty assessed values and related partial exemptions include State-supplied estimates for the following counties: Champaign, Clay, De Kalb, Peoria, Sangamon, and Will. Effective Jan. 1, 1979, personal property became exempt from taxation.

[10]Indiana-assessed values include other than March 1, 1981, data for Jennings County (March 1, 1980) and Vermillion County (March 1, 1979).

[11]Realty values shown for Iowa reflect application of equalization adjustments and rollback factors, where applicable. This presentation differs from that in previous census reports where unadjusted values were shown. Personal property assessed values reflect reductions, where applicable, required by chapter 427A of the code of Iowa.

[12]Any net amount shown may represent an overstatement to the extent that the amount of appropriate deduction from the gross total is not available.

[13]Allocation of Louisiana manufacturing plant value between realty and personalty was inconsistent in reported data. This resulted in overstatement of one category and corresponding understatement of the other category. Such property was valued at $946.6 million, in total, for both building and machinery and equipment components.

[14]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but assessed values are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[15]Minnesota State-assessed values include, among other items, electric utility systems, structures, and attached machinery which are valued by the State for the county assessors. In previous census publications, such property was reported as locally assessed.

[16]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard in Nebraska for assessed values became "actual value," instead of 35 percent thereof.

[17]Nevada real property values may be understated and personal property values overstated to the extent that realty amounts have been reduced by the value of fully exempt property, which may include personalty. Nevada valuations do not reflect modifying adjustments required by legislation that changed the legal standard of assessment for the collection of 1981-82 taxes from 35 percent of "full cash value" to 35 percent of "adjusted cash value"; amounts based on 35 percent of "adjusted cash value" were not available.

[18]New Hampshire State-assessed values apply to State and Federal forest lands, State recreation lands, and Federal flood control lands. Although such values were taxable in the past, they were not reported on previous Census Bureau surveys of assessed value.

[19]North Carolina-assessed values reported as gross are actually net values for those counties which did not report the amounts of their partial exemptions.

[20]North Dakota personal property no longer assessed effective with 1981 assessments.

[21]Oregon-assessed values reflect reductions mandated by the Tax Relief Act (Chapter 241, Laws 1979). Effective Jan. 1, 1980, all inventories and farm machinery became exempt from taxation.

[22]"Manufacturing property" in South Carolina is assessed by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed." Motor vehicle valuations included in personal property are as of Dec. 31, 1979.

[23]In Tennessee amounts shown for locally assessed real property include $24.5 million in locally assessed public utility value which could not be allocated to real and personal property components.

[24]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, all property in Texas is assessed on the basis of 100 percent of its appraised value. Previously, individual jurisdictions used percentages of appraised value. Assessed values shown include 1980 data for Bastrop, Polk, Presidio, and Smith Counties. In addition, real property amounts include some amounts of personalty, and are net of productivity reductions.

[25]Vermont-assessed values shown are the "grand list" values, which are based on 1 percent of fair market value. Fair market values as of April 1, 1981, are shown (in thousands of dollars): Total gross assessed value, $7,491,581; State-assessed property, none; locally assessed property, $7,491,581; real property, $6,935,158; personal property, $556,423; and tax-exempt portion of gross assessed value is not available. This presentation of grand list values represents a departure from previous policy. Earlier Bureau of the Census publications reported listed or fair market values as assessed values for Vermont.

[26]Wisconsin manufacturing property is assessed by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as locally assessed. Effective Jan. 1, 1981, merchants' stock in trade, manufacturers' materials and finished products, and livestock became completely exempt from general property taxation.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 4. Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981

(Millions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total	Estimated distribution								
		Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
UNITED STATES	2 514 868	1 520 039	1 328 678	191 361	247 788	109 397	549 322	353 545	195 777	88 327
INSIDE STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS	1 901 060	1 246 323	1 071 867	174 456	88 186	81 310	458 367	296 177	162 190	26 872
ALABAMA [2 3]	5 601	3 176	2 899	277	983	230	1 181	995	186	31
INSIDE SMSAS	3 791	2 315	2 101	214	407	170	869	753	116	30
ALASKA	11 975	6 544	5 324	1 220	758	1 380	3 259	2 684	575	34
INSIDE SMSAS	5 956	3 550	2 684	866	342	449	1 612	1 538	74	2
ARIZONA [4]	6 219	3 763	3 161	602	145	734	1 522	1 129	393	55
INSIDE SMSAS	5 007	3 100	2 601	499	47	563	1 261	930	331	36
ARKANSAS	3 504	1 891	1 787	104	874	116	621	477	144	2
INSIDE SMSAS	1 441	972	888	84	106	51	309	253	56	2
CALIFORNIA [2 5 6]	584 113	386 234	320 947	65 287	25 841	32 916	133 739	78 842	54 897	5 383
INSIDE SMSAS	540 320	365 151	301 959	63 192	16 143	28 052	125 965	73 599	52 366	5 008
COLORADO	11 769	7 113	6 591	522	519	680	3 016	2 255	761	441
INSIDE SMSAS	8 433	5 438	4 989	449	189	331	2 225	1 836	389	250
CONNECTICUT* [2]	39 948	29 692	27 628	2 064	712	1 158	8 378	5 475	2 903	9
INSIDE NECMAS	36 313	26 789	24 842	1 947	563	1 035	7 921	5 166	2 755	6
DELAWARE [2]	4 125	2 578	2 363	215	351	90	1 107	570	537	-
INSIDE SMSAS	2 907	1 808	1 616	192	170	37	892	472	420	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA) [7]	17 866	11 663	8 734	2 929	-	450	5 633	5 512	121	120
FLORIDA [6 8]	211 853	141 529	126 072	15 457	15 576	17 596	35 559	26 992	8 567	1 593
INSIDE SMSAS	180 600	125 209	110 567	14 642	8 246	13 525	32 250	24 284	7 966	1 369
GEORGIA [9]	31 767	19 900	18 251	1 649	4 241	987	6 626	5 238	1 388	13
INSIDE SMSAS	19 119	12 921	11 504	1 417	1 074	642	4 470	3 729	741	12
HAWAII [10]	20 286	13 200	12 247	953	1 632	925	3 913	2 727	1 186	616
INSIDE SMSAS	14 218	10 169	9 296	873	385	355	3 067	2 080	1 007	222
IDAHO	10 439	10 682	10 398	284	5 019	717	3 017	2 483	534	4
INSIDE SMSAS	3 918	2 915	2 857	58	108	67	825	660	165	2
ILLINOIS [3 11]	82 801	41 903	35 900	6 003	9 319	2 310	27 229	14 704	12 525	2 039
INSIDE SMSAS	65 157	36 234	30 409	5 825	3 061	2 078	21 858	13 277	8 581	1 926
INDIANA [2 12]	17 428	10 214	9 699	515	2 705	363	4 122	2 773	1 349	24
INSIDE SMSAS	12 003	7 345	6 967	378	1 250	285	3 110	2 179	931	13
IOWA	54 614	22 329	20 634	1 695	22 401	478	9 396	6 320	3 076	11
INSIDE SMSAS	16 718	10 355	9 525	830	1 968	226	4 169	2 856	1 313	-
KANSAS	5 039	2 169	1 929	240	1 932	100	833	693	140	5
INSIDE SMSAS	2 145	1 362	1 171	191	232	78	473	417	56	2
KENTUCKY	42 824	26 521	24 661	1 860	7 807	692	7 455	5 162	2 293	350
INSIDE SMSAS	23 601	16 750	15 229	1 521	1 963	487	4 384	3 160	1 224	16
LOUISIANA [13]	5 305	3 169	2 864	305	587	256	1 278	1 112	166	14
INSIDE SMSAS	3 798	2 331	2 075	256	226	196	1 035	912	123	10
MAINE* [2]	17 119	11 758	10 837	921	1 754	152	3 422	2 114	1 308	33
INSIDE NECMAS	5 080	3 640	3 219	421	269	63	1 097	708	389	11
MARYLAND [3 6 14]	34 927	25 885	23 762	2 123	1 772	1 339	5 878	4 571	1 307	52
INSIDE SMSAS	29 686	22 285	20 190	2 095	1 285	961	5 105	3 894	1 211	49
MASSACHUSETTS*	79 232	59 595	51 218	8 377	1 170	2 157	16 276	10 356	5 920	35
INSIDE NECMAS	71 396	54 076	45 148	7 928	1 078	1 535	14 676	9 400	5 276	31
MICHIGAN [2]	81 492	53 157	49 290	3 867	9 363	1 975	16 964	8 817	8 167	14
INSIDE SMSAS	63 751	43 367	39 822	3 565	4 996	1 198	14 202	7 385	6 817	9
MINNESOTA [2]	23 465	11 813	10 216	1 597	6 565	435	4 651	3 356	1 295	2
INSIDE SMSAS	15 059	9 635	8 291	1 344	1 098	393	3 931	2 805	1 126	2
MISSISSIPPI	2 538	1 467	1 404	83	503	48	408	351	57	-
INSIDE SMSAS	762	495	454	41	60	29	177	155	22	-
MISSOURI	11 615	6 935	5 285	650	1 631	247	2 800	2 018	782	3
INSIDE SMSAS	8 167	5 517	4 901	616	211	179	2 258	1 711	547	1
MONTANA [14]	745	351	324	27	203	28	162	129	33	-
INSIDE SMSAS	177	97	87	10	27	7	45	36	9	-
NEBRASKA	31 696	13 369	12 202	1 167	13 825	435	4 065	3 096	969	4
INSIDE SMSAS	10 063	7 117	6 293	824	487	254	2 205	1 590	615	-
NEVADA [2 15]	6 881	3 707	3 134	573	383	814	1 868	1 796	92	89
INSIDE SMSAS	5 504	2 986	2 464	522	216	665	1 553	1 482	71	83
NEW HAMPSHIRE* [2]	14 937	10 227	9 099	1 128	745	351	3 592	1 940	1 652	22
INSIDE NECMAS	7 698	5 250	4 642	608	261	156	2 016	996	1 020	15
NEW JERSEY [2 3]	109 247	76 317	69 663	6 654	1 338	4 408	27 166	15 509	11 657	18
INSIDE SMSAS	95 609	66 098	59 925	6 173	1 189	3 359	24 945	13 554	11 391	18
NEW MEXICO	3 497	1 993	1 870	123	347	423	722	619	103	12
INSIDE SMSAS	1 579	975	890	85	43	219	333	306	27	9

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 4. Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Millions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total	Estimated distribution								
		Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
NEW YORK [2 6 16]	101 935	56 782	36 273	20 509	3 981	2 340	38 433	25 779	12 654	399
INSIDE SMSAS	85 798	47 408	28 384	19 024	1 816	1 876	34 419	23 646	10 773	278
NORTH CAROLINA [3 17]	68 466	40 891	38 275	2 616	11 003	3 389	13 115	9 107	4 008	69
INSIDE SMSAS	34 505	21 822	20 147	1 675	3 223	1 619	7 793	5 581	2 212	48
NORTH DAKOTA [2]	864	263	234	29	465	14	120	105	15	1
INSIDE SMSAS	254	117	99	18	73	5	58	54	4	-
OHIO [5]	63 797	41 861	38 058	3 823	7 794	1 967	11 637	7 895	3 742	518
INSIDE SMSAS	51 333	35 606	32 142	3 464	3 888	1 529	9 900	6 800	3 100	410
OKLAHOMA	4 894	3 024	2 810	214	641	261	960	757	203	8
INSIDE SMSAS	3 047	1 967	1 793	174	140	177	734	583	151	8
OREGON	61 020	35 259	30 586	4 673	8 156	2 664	14 714	9 236	5 478	227
INSIDE SMSAS	37 662	22 668	19 128	3 540	3 743	1 412	9 711	6 341	3 370	147
PENNSYLVANIA [2]	30 483	20 082	18 188	1 894	1 214	821	7 805	6 018	1 787	561
INSIDE SMSAS	25 593	16 857	15 133	1 724	796	457	6 970	5 422	1 548	513
RHODE ISLAND [8 2]	7 493	5 481	4 434	1 047	84	334	1 593	1 098	495	-
INSIDE NECMAIS	6 615	4 810	3 875	935	67	282	1 456	992	464	-
SOUTH CAROLINA [2 10]	1 601	850	748	102	162	81	506	237	269	4
INSIDE SMSAS	825	483	433	50	53	34	251	139	112	4
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 346	1 836	1 664	172	2 846	75	581	467	114	8
INSIDE SMSAS	1 139	669	614	75	193	30	233	189	44	4
TENNESSEE [5 6 8 16]	18 357	6 801	6 427	374	2 094	598	8 853	3 716	1 137	11
INSIDE SMSAS	9 835	5 205	4 829	376	575	352	3 695	2 792	903	8
TEXAS [5 6 19]	276 487	93 341	83 957	9 384	28 139	10 071	60 293	31 965	28 328	82 643
INSIDE SMSAS	169 329	78 685	70 041	8 644	12 926	6 750	53 922	28 394	25 528	17 046
UTAH	3 973	2 628	2 380	248	274	215	746	649	97	109
INSIDE SMSAS	3 132	2 196	1 971	225	79	146	604	534	70	108
VERMONT [2]	69	50	45	5	7	-	12	9	3	-
VIRGINIA	104 099	71 394	64 953	6 441	11 857	4 578	16 184	12 617	3 567	86
INSIDE SMSAS	76 513	55 800	50 274	5 526	4 587	3 492	12 553	10 158	2 395	81
WASHINGTON	102 950	67 179	60 595	6 584	9 739	5 193	20 744	14 606	6 138	96
INSIDE SMSAS	73 780	52 032	46 563	5 469	3 317	3 899	14 441	11 850	2 591	92
WEST VIRGINIA [2 6]	6 335	3 774	3 568	206	796	177	1 311	853	456	277
INSIDE SMSAS	2 601	1 640	1 509	131	143	64	735	456	279	20
WISCONSIN [10]	67 902	41 041	36 714	4 327	14 482	1 463	10 912	7 477	3 435	4
INSIDE SMSAS	41 237	28 377	24 632	3 745	4 282	881	7 694	5 152	2 542	2
WYOMING [2]	930	462	430	32	251	24	192	136	56	-

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1] These data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see table 5. One consequence is that the residual difference between statewide and SMSA portion amounts for a particular class of property will not necessarily equal the amount of such property for the non-SMSA portion of the State. U.S. totals may not equal summation of State detail because of independent computation of national distributions. Estimated distributions are based on a sample of realty parcels in selected jurisdictions. Classification of such parcels was dependent on official local assessment records which vary widely as to the nature and extent of property description. As a consequence, the distributions shown may be affected by such variability. This was particularly significant in the allocation of residential property between single-family and multifamily, and of unimproved property between acreage and vacant lots. For details, see text. Caution should generally attend any comparison of estimated distributions with those for earlier periods since reassessing and other sources of revision locally can significantly affect category estimates. See table 21 footnotes for certain specific local variations.

[2] For 1981, valuation date other than Jan. 1, 1981; see text table H.

[3] In Alabama, system revisions affecting parcel definition and numbering have influenced the individual use category estimates.

[4] Gross assessed value for Arizona is not available. The total value amount shown constitutes "full cash value" after application of percentages based on property classification and minus any applicable partial exemptions, and is therefore net assessed value. Taxes levied against such value are "secondary taxes," those used for debt retirement, budget overrides, and maintenance and operation of special service districts. See appendix A for details of value standards.

[5] Estimates for "single-family" residential may include all or some parcels in "multifamily" use, in at least some counties in: California, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

[6] Estimates for "vacant platted lots" may include "acreage" parcels, and vice versa, in at least some counties in: California, Florida, Maryland, New York, Tennessee, Texas, and West Virginia.

[7] In the District of Columbia, parcels locally classified as "false vacant lots" (improved as to part of the parcel only) are included in the "other and unallocable" category.

[8] Estimates for "commercial" may include "multifamily" residential in at least some counties in Florida and Tennessee.

[9] Georgia total realty assessed value includes other than 1981 data for the following counties: Chattahoochee, Gordon, Jeff Davis, and Twiggs (1980 values), Monroe (1978 values), Spalding (1979 values). Amounts included for Long and Webster Counties are tentative 1981 values.

[10] In Hawaii, estimated distributions among use categories will not necessarily agree with those available locally, because of differences in definition between the Census Bureau and the local jurisdiction involved.

[11] Illinois total realty assessed value includes State supplied estimates for the following counties: Champaign, Clay, De Kalb, Peoria, Sangamon, and Will.

[12] Indiana total realty assessed value includes other than March 1, 1981, data for Jennings County (March 1, 1980) and Vermillion County (March 1, 1979).

[13] Allocation of Louisiana manufacturing plant value between realty and personalty was inconsistent in reported data. As a result, the total realty value amount shown may be overstated or understated to the extent influenced by inconsistent reporting.

[14] All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but total realty assessed value is shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[15] Nevada real property values may be understated and personal property values overstated to the extent that realty amounts have been reduced by the value of fully exempt property, which may include personalty.

[16] Estimates for "commercial" may include "industrial" in at least some counties in New York and Tennessee.

[17] North Carolina total realty assessed value reported as gross includes components that are net for those counties which did not report the amounts of their partial exemptions.

[18] Total realty assessed value in South Carolina and Wisconsin includes "Manufacturing Property," assessed, in each instance, by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed."

[19] Texas total realty assessed value includes Jan. 1, 1980, market values for the following counties: Bastrop, Polk, Presidio, and Smith. Additionally, realty is overstated to the extent that intangible personal property of banks and tangible personal property of utilities are included in realty values; actual amounts for such items are not available separately.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 5. Sampling Error of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981

(Millions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total gross assessed value	Sampling error (2σ) of estimated distribution								
		Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multifamily			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
ALABAMA	5 601	±109	±114	±37	±111	±19	±61	±51	±30	±9
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 791	±56	±71	±30	±35	±16	±43	±41	±12	±9
ALASKA	11 975	±198	±207	±140	±110	±125	±160	±155	±47	±63
INSIDE SMSA'S	5 956	±140	±153	±122	±78	±86	±111	±109	±23	±51
ARIZONA	6 219	±74	±73	±28	±35	±56	±44	±40	±27	±25
INSIDE SMSA'S	5 007	±48	±48	±27	±11	±33	±42	±38	±26	±21
ARKANSAS	3 504	±185	±172	±21	±242	±34	±65	±39	±54	±9
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 441	±25	±27	±12	±14	±9	±22	±21	±7	±8
CALIFORNIA	584 113	±2 280	±2 452	±1 129	±3 356	±1 436	±1 830	±1 603	±824	±633
INSIDE SMSA'S	540 320	±1 304	±1 455	±1 036	±585	±645	±1 109	±953	±653	±583
COLORADO	11 769	±226	±219	±42	±71	±251	±139	±118	±41	±126
INSIDE SMSA'S	8 433	±81	±82	±38	±28	±30	±74	±71	±31	±44
CONNECTICUT*	39 948	±486	±549	±225	±196	±218	±500	±373	±321	±511
INSIDE NECMA'S	36 313	±465	±526	±222	±192	±217	±482	±362	±315	±508
DELAWARE	4 125	±83	±84	±24	±56	±25	±70	±60	±45	±24
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 907	±69	±71	±19	±42	±19	±62	±53	±38	±20
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA)	17 866	±205	±244	±181	-	±73	±192	±191	±27	±106
FLORIDA	211 853	±3 508	±3 183	±679	±4 806	±1 090	±1 135	±1 000	±361	±1 206
INSIDE SMSA'S	180 600	±920	±975	±447	±475	±456	±610	±564	±325	±779
GEORGIA	31 767	±815	±809	±150	±823	±219	±343	±340	±240	±14
INSIDE SMSA'S	19 119	±291	±309	±86	±304	±76	±149	±143	±68	±14
HAWAII	20 286	±256	±268	±120	±158	±128	±179	±134	±127	±268
INSIDE SMSA'S	14 218	±190	±208	±115	±73	±87	±156	±116	±115	±207
IDAHO	19 439	±362	±349	±40	±420	±163	±132	±121	±62	±36
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 918	±47	±48	±16	±21	±14	±41	±39	±17	±13
ILLINOIS	82 801	±1 833	±1 696	±345	±1 279	±179	±2 226	±784	±2 749	±291
INSIDE SMSA'S	65 157	±398	±391	±270	±302	±144	±425	±338	±323	±217
INDIANA	17 428	±222	±219	±55	±272	±28	±155	±88	±101	±18
INSIDE SMSA'S	12 003	±146	±136	±30	±158	±23	±96	±81	±41	±11
IOWA	54 614	±1 138	±1 022	±305	±1 360	±64	±699	±380	±569	±53
INSIDE SMSA'S	16 718	±209	±214	±90	±153	±46	±169	±161	±65	±25
KANSAS	5 039	±109	±92	±28	±162	±13	±87	±61	±47	±4
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 145	±32	±33	±17	±21	±11	±28	±28	±9	-
KENTUCKY	42 824	±1 044	±977	±173	±1 096	±106	±933	±350	±811	±535
INSIDE SMSA'S	23 601	±481	±476	±142	±314	±84	±392	±249	±194	±38
LOUISIANA	5 305	±91	±99	±30	±76	±48	±88	±72	±33	±8
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 798	±67	±78	±28	±31	±42	±75	±63	±25	±7
MAINE*	17 119	±473	±529	±162	±265	±75	±407	±281	±289	±33
INSIDE NECMA'S	5 080	±111	±133	±77	±61	±26	±107	±99	±49	±24
MARYLAND	34 927	±298	±357	±131	±230	±221	±258	±227	±95	±129
INSIDE SMSA'S	29 686	±231	±241	±100	±128	±98	±188	±173	±87	±111
MASSACHUSETTS*	79 232	±1 478	±1 847	±750	±293	±305	±1 475	±697	±1 190	±229
INSIDE NECMA'S	71 396	±1 419	±1 814	±741	±291	±277	±1 409	±676	±1 054	±226
MICHIGAN	81 492	±1 941	±1 927	±515	±1 861	±525	±1 141	±631	±1 074	±269
INSIDE SMSA'S	63 751	±1 501	±1 522	±493	±1 126	±241	±1 005	±533	±1 044	±264
MINNESOTA	23 465	±1 103	±997	±148	±1 468	±68	±374	±279	±131	±44
INSIDE SMSA'S	15 059	±257	±241	±92	±309	±52	±171	±148	±73	±30
MISSISSIPPI	2 538	±95	±92	±17	±111	±6	±37	±34	±13	±2
INSIDE SMSA'S	762	±16	±16	±7	±10	±4	±14	±14	±5	±1
MISSOURI	11 615	±354	±345	±48	±358	±40	±200	±99	±233	±23
INSIDE SMSA'S	8 167	±76	±78	±42	±43	±22	±75	±67	±38	±22
MONTANA	745	±34	±32	±7	±41	±6	±21	±18	±10	±5
INSIDE SMSA'S	177	±10	±10	±6	±8	±3	±9	±9	±5	±2
NEBRASKA	31 696	±886	±865	±194	±1 127	±98	±285	±267	±170	±21
INSIDE SMSA'S	10 063	±148	±160	±91	±86	±52	±124	±108	±70	±20
NEVADA	6 881	±141	±140	±75	±95	±95	±121	±119	±33	±57
INSIDE SMSA'S	5 504	±127	±124	±71	±67	±89	±112	±110	±30	±56
NEW HAMPSHIRE*	14 937	±279	±290	±158	±150	±86	±297	±234	±147	±53
INSIDE NECMA'S	7 698	±178	±202	±91	±70	±55	±188	±122	±111	±40
NEW JERSEY	109 247	±2 281	±2 584	±1 020	±408	±482	±2 203	±1 123	±1 901	±375
INSIDE SMSA'S	95 609	±2 256	±2 531	±969	±394	±431	±2 182	±1 039	±1 897	±350
NEW MEXICO	3 497	±79	±72	±20	±39	±42	±85	±54	±60	±6
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 579	±21	±22	±8	±4	±16	±16	±15	±4	±2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 5. Sampling Error of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Millions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total gross assessed value	Sampling error (2σ) of estimated distribution								
		Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multifamily			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
NEW YORK	101 935	±1 116	± 1 098	± 657	± 786	± 199	±1 241	± 635	± 1 294	± 136
INSIDE SMSA'S	85 798	±976	± 966	± 524	± 529	± 166	±1 009	± 540	± 1 116	± 90
NORTH CAROLINA	68 466	±1 361	± 1 235	± 383	±1 571	± 780	± 711	± 412	± 646	± 509
INSIDE SMSA'S	34 505	±607	± 564	± 127	± 410	± 235	± 233	± 216	± 119	± 72
NORTH DAKOTA	864	± 31	± 29	± 7	± 39	± 4	± 13	±13	± 4	± 3
INSIDE SMSA'S	254	± 10	± 10	± 6	± 9	± 2	±9	±9	± 2	± 2
OHIO	63 797	±816	± 759	±179	±1 100	±128	± 402	± 326	±188	± 128
INSIDE SMSA'S	51 333	±397	± 413	±147	±409	± 107	± 249	± 211	±128	± 67
OKLAHOMA	4 894	±119	±118	± 20	±126	± 40	± 53	± 45	±29	± 6
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 047	± 42	±45	± 14	± 24	± 18	± 31	± 33	± 21	± 6
OREGON	61 020	±1 247	± 1 181	±438	± 1 109	± 413	± 630	± 478	± 498	± 300
INSIDE SMSA'S	37 682	±406	± 412	± 271	± 263	± 166	± 351	± 314	± 211	± 81
PENNSYLVANIA	30 483	± 305	± 263	± 135	± 178	± 466	± 280	± 212	± 145	± 93
INSIDE SMSA'S	25 593	± 202	± 204	± 107	± 84	± 51	± 179	± 165	±97	± 77
RHODE ISLAND*	7 493	± 116	± 221	± 167	± 30	± 81	± 152	± 101	±96	± 23
INSIDE NECMA'S	6 615	± 114	± 222	± 166	± 28	± 80	± 157	± 100	±100	± 23
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 601	± 30	±27	± 16	± 26	± 21	± 33	± 17	±27	± 24
INSIDE SMSA'S	825	± 14	± 14	± 8	± 8	±5	± 13	± 13	± 7	± 3
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 346	±210	± 197	±44	± 310	± 27	± 103	±92	±25	± 9
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 139	± 41	± 41	±21	± 35	± 12	± 33	±30	±17	± 8
TENNESSEE	14 357	±176	± 152	±59	±415	± 185	± 277	±177	±207	± 7
INSIDE SMSA'S	9 835	±118	± 108	±41	±165	± 33	± 128	±106	±70	± 6
TEXAS	274 487	±5 217	± 4 650	±754	± 9 443	± 2 048	±4 354	± 2 025	± 2 649	± 14 792
INSIDE SMSA'S	169 329	±1 123	± 1 057	±244	±1 195	± 331	±1 241	± 557	± 1 012	± 1 628
UTAH	3 973	± 169	±154	± 37	±163	± 45	± 59	±53	±22	± 32
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 132	± 52	± 54	±30	± 19	± 22	± 48	±46	±19	± 26
VERMONT	69	± 2	±2	± 1	± 1	-	± 2	±2	±1	-
VIRGINIA	104 099	±1 675	± 1 563	±545	± 2 450	± 306	±1 210	± 816	± 545	±291
INSIDE SMSA'S	76 513	±637	± 561	±262	±831	± 231	± 402	± 333	± 181	±269
WASHINGTON	102 950	±1 230	± 1 156	± 388	± 1 158	± 439	±1 051	± 480	± 1 087	±215
INSIDE SMSA'S	73 780	± 460	± 500	± 287	± 239	± 241	± 371	± 357	± 117	±195
WEST VIRGINIA	6 335	± 217	± 209	± 31	±235	± 27	± 104	±59	± 89	±122
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 601	± 44	± 46	±23	± 22	± 13	± 39	±38	± 15	± 10
WISCONSIN	67 902	±1 950	± 1 773	± 537	± 2 632	± 400	±1 061	± 645	±699	±214
INSIDE SMSA'S	41 237	± 913	± 824	± 429	± 1 114	± 209	± 751	± 432	± 569	±181
WYOMING	930	± 40	±39	± 14	± 48	± 8	±24	± 23	±11	± 10

Note: The data presented in this table represent, in absolute terms, the sampling error of each of the data items shown in table 4. The sampling errors shown in this table are computed at the two standard error, 95 percent confidence level. See text.

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to State economic areas designated as New England County Metropolitan Areas in the 1980 Census of Population.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
UNITED STATES	100.0	60.4	52.8	7.6	9.9	4.4	21.8	14.1	7.8	3.5
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	65.6	56.4	9.2	4.6	4.3	24.1	15.6	8.5	1.4
ALABAMA[1] [2]	100.0	56.7	51.8	4.9	17.6	4.1	21.1	17.8	3.3	0.6
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	61.1	55.4	5.6	10.7	4.5	22.9	19.9	3.1	0.8
ALASKA	100.0	54.6	44.5	10.2	6.3	11.5	27.2	22.4	4.8	0.3
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	59.6	45.1	14.5	5.7	7.5	27.1	25.8	1.2	(Z)
ARIZONA	100.0	60.5	50.8	9.7	2.3	11.8	24.5	18.2	6.3	0.9
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	61.9	51.9	10.0	0.9	11.2	25.2	18.6	6.6	0.7
ARKANSAS	100.0	54.0	51.0	3.0	24.9	3.3	17.7	13.6	4.1	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	67.5	61.6	5.8	7.4	3.5	21.4	17.6	3.9	0.1
CALIFORNIA[1] [3]	100.0	66.1	54.9	11.2	4.4	5.6	22.9	13.5	9.4	0.9
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	67.6	55.9	11.7	3.0	5.2	23.3	13.6	9.7	0.9
COLORADO	100.0	60.4	56.0	4.4	4.4	5.8	25.6	19.2	6.5	3.7
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	64.5	59.2	5.3	2.2	3.9	26.4	21.8	4.6	3.0
CONNECTICUT* [1]	100.0	74.3	69.2	5.2	1.8	2.9	21.0	13.7	7.3	(Z)
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	73.8	68.4	5.4	1.6	2.9	21.8	14.2	7.6	(Z)
DELAWARE[1]	100.0	62.5	57.3	5.2	8.5	2.2	26.8	13.8	13.0	-
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	62.2	55.6	6.6	5.8	1.3	30.7	16.2	14.4	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA)[1]	100.0	65.3	48.9	16.4	-	2.5	31.5	30.9	0.7	0.7
FLORIDA[1] [2]	100.0	66.8	59.5	7.3	7.4	8.3	16.8	12.7	4.0	0.8
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	69.3	61.2	8.1	4.6	7.5	17.9	13.4	4.4	0.8
GEORGIA	100.0	62.6	57.5	5.2	13.4	3.1	20.9	16.5	4.4	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	67.6	60.2	7.4	5.6	3.4	23.4	19.5	3.9	0.1
HAWAII[1]	100.0	65.1	60.4	4.7	8.0	4.6	19.3	13.4	5.8	3.0
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	71.5	65.4	6.1	2.7	2.5	21.7	14.6	7.1	1.6
IDAHO	100.0	55.0	53.5	1.5	25.8	3.7	15.5	12.8	2.7	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	74.4	72.9	1.5	2.8	1.7	21.1	16.8	4.2	0.1
ILLINOIS[1]	100.0	50.6	43.4	7.2	11.3	2.8	32.9	17.8	15.1	2.5
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	55.6	46.7	8.9	4.7	3.2	33.5	20.4	13.2	3.0
INDIANA[1]	100.0	58.6	55.7	3.0	15.5	2.1	23.7	15.9	7.7	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	61.2	58.0	3.1	10.4	2.4	25.9	18.2	7.8	0.1
IOWA	100.0	40.9	37.8	3.1	41.0	0.9	17.2	11.6	5.6	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	61.9	57.0	5.0	11.8	1.4	24.9	17.1	7.9	-
KANSAS	100.0	43.0	38.3	4.8	38.3	2.0	16.5	13.8	2.8	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	63.5	54.6	8.9	10.8	3.6	22.1	19.4	2.6	0.1
KENTUCKY	100.0	61.9	57.6	4.3	18.2	1.6	17.4	12.1	5.4	0.8
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	71.0	64.5	6.4	8.3	2.1	18.6	13.4	5.2	0.1
LOUISIANA	100.0	59.7	54.0	5.7	11.1	4.8	24.1	21.0	3.1	0.3
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	61.4	54.6	6.7	6.0	5.2	27.3	24.0	3.2	0.3
MAINE* [1]	100.0	68.7	63.3	5.4	10.2	0.9	20.0	12.3	7.6	0.2
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	71.7	63.4	8.3	5.3	1.2	21.6	13.9	7.7	0.2
MARYLAND[1] [2]	100.0	74.1	68.0	6.1	5.1	3.8	16.8	13.1	3.7	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	75.1	68.0	7.1	4.3	3.2	17.2	13.1	4.1	0.2
MASSACHUSETTS*	100.0	75.2	64.6	10.6	1.5	2.7	20.5	13.1	7.5	(Z)
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	75.7	64.6	11.1	1.5	2.1	20.6	13.2	7.4	(Z)
MICHIGAN[1]	100.0	65.2	60.5	4.7	11.5	2.4	20.8	10.8	10.0	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	68.1	62.5	5.6	7.8	1.9	22.3	11.6	10.7	(Z)
MINNESOTA[1]	100.0	50.3	43.5	6.8	28.0	1.9	19.8	14.5	5.3	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	64.0	55.1	8.9	7.3	2.6	26.1	18.6	7.5	(Z)
MISSISSIPPI	100.0	58.6	55.3	3.3	23.4	1.9	16.1	13.8	2.2	-
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	65.0	59.6	5.4	7.9	3.8	23.2	20.3	2.9	-
MISSOURI	100.0	59.7	54.1	5.6	14.0	2.1	24.1	17.4	6.7	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	67.6	60.0	7.5	2.6	2.2	27.6	21.0	6.7	(Z)
MONTANA[1]	100.0	47.1	43.5	3.6	27.2	3.8	21.7	17.3	4.4	-
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	54.8	49.2	5.6	15.3	4.0	25.4	20.3	5.1	-
NEBRASKA	100.0	42.2	38.5	3.7	43.6	1.4	12.6	9.8	3.1	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	70.7	62.5	8.2	4.8	2.5	21.9	15.8	6.1	-
NEVADA[1]	100.0	53.9	45.5	8.3	5.6	11.8	27.4	26.1	1.3	1.3
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	54.3	44.8	9.5	3.9	12.1	28.2	26.9	1.3	1.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE* [1]	100.0	68.5	60.9	7.6	5.0	2.3	24.0	13.0	11.1	0.1
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	68.2	60.3	7.9	3.4	2.0	26.2	12.9	13.3	0.2
NEW JERSEY[1] [2]	100.0	69.9	63.8	6.1	1.2	4.0	24.9	14.2	10.7	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	69.1	62.7	6.5	1.2	3.5	26.1	14.2	11.9	(Z)
NEW MEXICO	100.0	57.0	53.5	3.5	9.9	12.1	20.6	17.7	2.9	0.3
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	61.7	56.4	5.4	2.7	13.9	21.1	19.4	1.7	0.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 6. Percent Distribution of Gross Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
NEW YORK[1,9]	100.0	55.7	35.6	20.1	3.9	2.3	37.7	25.3	12.4	0.4
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	55.3	33.1	22.2	2.1	2.2	40.1	27.6	12.6	0.3
NORTH CAROLINA[1]	100.0	59.7	55.9	3.8	16.1	4.9	19.2	13.3	5.9	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	63.2	58.4	4.9	9.3	4.7	22.6	16.2	6.4	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA[1]	100.0	30.4	27.1	3.4	53.8	1.6	13.9	12.2	1.7	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	46.1	39.0	7.1	28.7	2.0	22.8	21.3	1.6	-
OHIO[1]	100.0	65.6	59.7	6.0	12.2	3.1	18.2	12.4	5.9	0.8
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	69.4	62.6	6.7	7.6	3.0	19.3	13.2	6.0	0.8
OKLAHOMA	100.0	61.8	57.4	4.4	13.1	5.3	19.6	15.5	4.1	0.2
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	64.6	58.8	5.7	5.3	5.8	24.1	19.1	5.0	0.3
OREGON	100.0	57.8	50.1	7.7	13.4	4.4	24.1	15.1	9.0	0.4
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	60.2	50.8	9.4	9.9	3.7	25.8	16.8	8.9	0.4
PENNSYLVANIA[1]	100.0	65.9	59.7	6.2	4.0	2.7	25.6	19.7	5.9	1.8
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	65.9	59.1	6.7	3.1	1.8	27.2	21.2	6.0	2.0
RHODE ISLAND*[1]	100.0	73.1	59.2	14.0	1.1	4.5	21.3	14.7	6.6	-
INSIDE NECMAS	100.0	72.7	58.6	14.1	1.0	4.3	22.0	15.0	7.0	-
SOUTH CAROLINA[1,10]	100.0	53.1	46.7	6.4	10.1	5.1	31.6	14.8	16.8	0.2
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	58.5	52.5	6.1	6.4	4.1	30.4	16.8	13.6	0.5
SOUTH DAKOTA	100.0	34.3	31.1	3.2	53.2	1.4	10.9	8.7	2.1	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	60.5	53.9	6.6	16.1	2.6	20.5	16.6	3.9	0.4
TENNESSEE[1,9]	100.0	47.4	44.8	2.6	14.6	4.2	33.8	25.9	7.9	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	52.9	49.1	3.8	5.8	3.6	37.6	28.4	9.2	0.1
TEXAS[1]	100.0	34.0	30.6	3.4	10.3	3.7	22.0	11.6	10.3	30.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	46.5	41.4	5.1	7.6	4.0	31.8	16.8	15.1	10.1
UTAH	100.0	66.1	59.9	6.2	6.9	5.4	18.8	16.3	2.4	2.7
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	70.1	62.9	7.2	2.5	4.7	19.3	17.0	2.2	3.4
VERMONT[1]	100.0	72.5	65.2	7.2	10.1	-	17.4	13.0	4.3	-
VIRGINIA	100.0	68.6	62.4	6.2	11.4	4.4	15.5	12.1	3.4	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	72.9	65.7	7.2	6.0	4.6	16.4	13.3	3.1	0.1
WASHINGTON	100.0	65.3	58.9	6.4	9.5	5.0	20.1	14.2	6.0	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	70.5	63.1	7.4	4.5	5.3	19.6	16.1	3.5	0.1
WEST VIRGINIA[1,9]	100.0	59.6	56.3	3.3	12.6	2.8	20.7	13.5	7.2	4.4
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	63.1	58.0	5.0	5.5	2.5	26.3	17.5	10.7	0.8
WISCONSIN[10]	100.0	60.4	54.1	6.4	21.3	2.2	16.1	11.0	5.1	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	68.9	59.7	9.1	10.4	2.1	18.7	12.5	6.2	(Z)
WYOMING[1]	100.0	49.7	46.2	3.4	27.0	2.6	20.6	14.6	6.0	-

Note: These data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text. To obtain the sampling error in percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level, compute the following: 100 times cell figure from table 5, divided by total from table 4 for cell of interest. Percentage distributions are based on a sample of realty parcels in selected jurisdictions. Classification of such parcels was dependent on official local assessment records which vary widely as to the nature and extent of property description. As a consequence, the distributions shown may be affected by such variability. This was particularly significant in the allocation of residential property between single-family and multifamily, and of unimproved property between acreage and vacant lots. For details, see text. Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Caution should generally attend any comparison of percentage distributions with those for earlier periods since reassessing and other sources of revision locally can significantly affect category estimates. See table 21 footnotes for certain specific local variations.

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1]For 1981, valuation date other than Jan. 1, 1981; see text table H.

[2]In Alabama, system revisions affecting parcel definition and numbering have influenced the individual use category estimates.

[3]Percentage for "single-family" residential may include all or some parcels in "multifamily" use, in at least some counties in: California, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

[4]Percentages for "vacant platted lots" may include "acreage" parcels, and vice versa, in at least some counties in: California, Florida, Maryland, New York, Tennessee, Texas, and West Virginia.

[5]In the District of Columbia, parcels locally classified as "false vacant lots" (improved as to part of the parcel only) are included in the "other and unallocable" category.

[6]Percentages for "commercial" may include "multifamily" residential in at least some counties in Florida and Tennessee.

[7]In Hawaii, estimated distributions among use categories will not necessarily agree with those available locally, because of differences in definition between the Census Bureau and the local jurisdiction involved.

[8]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but distributions are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[9]Percentages for "commercial" may include "industrial" in at least some counties in New York and Tennessee.

[10]Distributions in South Carolina and Wisconsin include "Manufacturing Property," assessed, in each instance, by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed."

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 7. Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area[1]	Total	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
UNITED STATES	98 394 428	58 161 927	54 983 391	3 178 536	14 777 569	19 481 330	4 113 002	3 562 022	550 980	1 861 140
INSIDE SMSA'S	59 747 369	41 697 055	38 943 849	2 753 206	4 017 364	10 589 283	2 745 097	2 348 291	396 806	698 776
ALABAMA[2]	1 566 234	916 936	895 735	21 201	369 506	216 610	53 676	51 051	2 625	9 514
INSIDE SMSA'S	886 296	599 674	588 587	11 087	113 883	129 406	34 339	32 929	1 410	9 002
ALASKA	187 826	83 461	75 003	8 458	10 597	86 204	7 211	6 798	413	353
INSIDE SMSA'S	59 335	39 172	33 130	6 042	3 271	14 010	2 881	2 830	51	1
ARIZONA	1 567 860	760 867	723 278	37 589	34 256	714 176	47 764	40 592	7 172	10 804
INSIDE SMSA'S	845 492	566 140	537 424	28 716	10 722	229 123	33 953	27 956	5 997	5 554
ARKANSAS	1 727 149	585 862	577 377	8 485	626 492	466 779	39 698	36 293	3 405	8 324
INSIDE SMSA'S	464 820	237 396	231 850	5 546	80 290	129 553	15 773	14 680	1 093	1 808
CALIFORNIA[3]	8 214 315	5 907 604	5 369 634	537 970	356 774	1 509 953	404 802	323 971	80 831	35 188
INSIDE SMSA'S	7 186 940	5 461 765	4 943 698	518 067	209 914	1 134 442	352 398	277 476	74 922	28 421
COLORADO	1 606 104	892 098	872 456	19 642	123 962	477 011	53 260	47 769	5 491	59 775
INSIDE SMSA'S	980 337	678 548	660 583	17 965	42 154	203 464	38 261	35 304	2 957	17 910
CONNECTICUT*	1 023 858	809 057	770 578	38 479	36 161	134 976	42 166	37 404	4 762	1 505
INSIDE NECMA'S	905 793	725 687	689 549	36 138	27 949	112 556	38 450	33 948	4 502	1 154
DELAWARE	240 717	180 888	179 990	898	18 964	32 356	8 509	7 583	926	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	127 410	108 254	107 534	720	2 918	9 556	6 682	6 086	596	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA)[3]	145 168	117 320	103 523	13 797	-	13 219	10 110	9 913	197	4 519
FLORIDA[3]	5 320 936	3 047 532	2 890 055	157 477	438 099	1 634 142	168 631	128 892	39 739	32 537
INSIDE SMSA'S	4 130 279	2 658 769	2 512 942	145 827	225 266	1 076 232	143 214	107 979	35 235	26 600
GEORGIA	2 210 536	1 452 606	1 428 721	23 885	307 808	348 955	100 862	93 481	7 381	311
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 056 615	782 235	763 532	18 703	76 982	145 557	51 554	47 206	4 348	292
HAWAII[3]	360 138	219 784	215 556	4 228	63 827	59 708	7 843	4 371	3 472	8 976
INSIDE SMSA'S	185 779	169 626	165 529	4 097	3 888	4 814	5 241	2 706	2 535	2 210
IDAHO	495 550	249 681	246 332	3 349	127 923	97 170	20 608	19 246	1 362	179
INSIDE SMSA'S	68 142	52 943	52 384	559	4 296	6 930	3 940	3 184	756	33
ILLINOIS[3]	4 217 261	2 568 321	2 357 691	210 630	585 884	660 386	313 280	257 143	56 137	89 399
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 935 877	2 042 712	1 834 679	208 033	156 761	442 542	242 612	211 814	30 798	51 250
INDIANA	2 550 368	1 497 852	1 475 411	22 441	419 017	516 759	105 012	94 044	10 968	11 736
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 630 186	1 043 199	1 028 599	14 600	158 774	357 790	64 023	57 137	6 886	6 400
IOWA[3]	1 901 560	776 379	755 010	21 369	888 186	148 775	86 540	80 209	6 331	1 671
INSIDE SMSA'S	473 935	303 267	294 257	9 010	88 544	60 981	21 143	19 523	1 620	-
KANSAS[3]	1 318 617	560 453	540 683	19 770	491 169	190 276	54 046	51 499	2 547	22 687
INSIDE SMSA'S	461 015	283 616	271 544	12 072	60 293	98 242	18 478	17 771	707	386
KENTUCKY	1 317 513	908 848	894 199	14 649	241 017	107 458	49 287	44 820	4 467	10 905
INSIDE SMSA'S	556 533	447 119	434 554	12 565	35 500	53 048	18 906	17 104	1 802	1 967
LOUISIANA	1 530 815	976 554	930 516	46 038	203 901	291 823	52 276	48 118	4 158	6 263
INSIDE SMSA'S	917 777	616 879	579 007	37 872	78 038	187 892	28 760	26 730	2 030	6 209
MAINE*	656 041	409 206	388 580	20 626	173 273	44 136	25 835	22 080	3 755	3 611
INSIDE NECMA'S	151 205	109 426	99 795	9 631	23 128	11 935	6 171	5 557	614	551
MARYLAND[3][11]	1 462 833	1 059 286	1 041 695	17 591	79 440	265 427	55 604	51 495	4 109	3 075
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 123 153	869 784	853 020	16 764	61 254	154 656	37 259	34 014	3 245	200
MASSACHUSETTS*	1 913 968	1 422 727	1 202 360	220 367	106 469	297 646	82 267	72 782	9 485	4 901
INSIDE NECMA'S	1 733 551	1 315 559	1 101 935	213 624	100 060	237 304	75 801	66 696	9 105	4 867
MICHIGAN	3 840 036	2 650 230	2 544 124	106 106	460 296	550 021	177 586	146 558	31 028	2 023
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 543 327	1 921 325	1 825 883	95 442	193 939	303 779	123 143	98 597	24 546	1 215
MINNESOTA[3]	1 819 591	1 056 417	1 015 207	41 210	492 846	185 916	84 348	71 159	13 189	64
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 012 672	702 995	684 613	18 382	125 660	143 284	40 669	31 913	8 756	64
MISSISSIPPI	1 191 353	617 753	609 625	8 128	346 346	192 327	34 787	32 795	1 992	164
INSIDE SMSA'S	332 559	181 167	176 001	5 166	26 872	117 856	6 664	6 278	386	-
MISSOURI	2 218 621	1 294 529	1 249 107	45 422	505 434	340 226	76 953	70 609	6 344	1 486
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 101 920	816 740	773 651	43 089	61 693	176 700	46 179	41 746	4 433	608
MONTANA[11]	430 743	192 287	187 989	4 298	138 076	75 746	23 671	17 739	5 932	956
INSIDE SMSA'S	89 833	51 535	50 401	1 134	16 097	16 962	5 239	4 648	591	-
NEBRASKA	805 802	420 270	405 040	15 230	257 871	87 565	40 006	37 390	2 616	97
INSIDE SMSA'S	258 235	194 204	186 052	8 152	10 947	38 824	14 260	12 497	1 763	-
NEVADA	363 749	193 615	183 960	9 655	23 018	129 681	13 280	12 200	1 080	4 155
INSIDE SMSA'S	256 950	157 786	148 497	9 289	3 892	81 408	10 765	9 778	987	3 099
NEW HAMPSHIRE*	425 320	290 077	272 468	17 609	55 416	59 179	19 815	15 308	4 507	853
INSIDE NECMA'S	174 054	127 470	117 780	9 690	17 906	20 283	7 860	5 856	2 004	534
NEW JERSEY[3]	2 311 141	1 757 027	1 671 876	85 151	54 270	377 859	121 300	105 631	15 669	693
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 907 358	1 498 813	1 416 145	82 668	46 854	255 062	105 937	90 771	15 166	693
NEW MEXICO	915 014	336 901	332 933	3 968	93 065	463 538	20 448	19 608	840	1 068
INSIDE SMSA'S	255 147	114 777	112 192	2 585	2 537	132 988	4 665	4 428	237	180

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 7. Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area[1]	Total	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
NEW YORK[7,11]	4 741 359	3 454 796	2 817 735	637 061	345 661	644 408	273 324	227 913	45 411	23 188
INSIDE SMSAS	3 589 351	2 828 641	2 270 476	558 165	141 274	402 305	203 313	171 620	31 693	13 822
NORTH CAROLINA[3]	2 772 644	1 508 505	1 476 604	31 901	503 911	657 781	99 775	89 478	10 297	2 696
INSIDE SMSAS	1 155 553	700 730	684 433	16 297	121 446	284 764	47 436	40 958	6 478	1 179
NORTH DAKOTA	584 697	143 065	137 941	5 124	327 336	90 152	23 331	20 623	2 708	818
INSIDE SMSAS	107 560	47 703	44 097	3 606	35 584	17 216	7 057	5 446	1 611	-
OHIO[3]	4 946 194	2 941 228	2 793 291	147 937	582 883	1 130 478	236 917	209 826	27 091	54 701
INSIDE SMSAS	3 547 481	2 333 196	2 202 869	130 327	262 823	750 793	174 401	155 887	18 514	26 268
OKLAHOMA	1 739 790	871 495	860 455	11 040	317 886	494 046	52 824	48 481	4 343	3 548
INSIDE SMSAS	738 150	464 456	459 062	5 394	98 993	146 770	24 386	21 678	2 708	3 548
OREGON	1 255 758	694 526	662 162	32 364	298 213	190 280	63 036	53 932	9 104	9 703
INSIDE SMSAS	626 592	418 728	399 176	19 552	90 442	78 593	36 586	30 493	6 093	2 243
PENNSYLVANIA	4 709 080	3 339 905	3 182 592	157 313	307 547	754 355	235 464	219 020	16 444	71 800
INSIDE SMSAS	3 310 987	2 561 942	2 423 254	138 688	122 553	385 891	183 327	169 677	13 650	57 274
RHODE ISLAND*	349 454	231 778	182 812	48 966	8 893	90 679	18 110	14 931	3 179	-
INSIDE NECMA'S	310 440	213 699	166 928	46 771	7 642	80 982	17 122	13 978	3 144	-
SOUTH CAROLINA[12]	1 445 258	852 402	820 147	32 255	235 614	295 206	57 001	52 718	4 283	5 056
INSIDE SMSAS	612 446	387 910	378 154	9 756	58 826	134 726	25 928	24 571	1 357	5 056
SOUTH DAKOTA	435 505	164 645	160 045	4 600	195 398	50 224	21 216	19 588	1 628	4 020
INSIDE SMSAS	78 706	50 961	48 848	2 113	12 034	10 496	3 743	3 482	261	1 472
TENNESSEE[3,6,11]	2 058 070	1 134 650	1 111 978	22 672	284 520	525 146	110 700	101 935	8 765	3 044
INSIDE SMSAS	1 047 818	708 004	686 850	21 154	66 006	199 669	71 823	64 398	7 425	2 320
TEXAS[3,6]	8 594 859	3 766 227	3 711 901	54 326	1 197 093	2 180 278	233 747	189 597	44 150	1 217 550
INSIDE SMSAS	5 356 789	3 017 728	2 968 943	48 785	483 896	1 285 027	182 043	144 734	37 309	388 113
UTAH	711 138	373 253	357 292	15 966	121 725	186 946	21 846	20 287	1 559	7 363
INSIDE SMSAS	412 866	298 416	283 256	15 160	24 785	67 586	14 734	13 765	969	7 345
VERMONT	235 697	172 392	160 030	12 362	42 810	8 504	11 664	10 557	1 107	335
VIRGINIA	2 040 138	1 239 061	1 193 282	45 779	325 959	416 706	56 851	50 983	5 868	1 576
INSIDE SMSAS	1 232 443	888 930	853 109	35 821	86 511	220 049	36 472	32 283	4 189	494
WASHINGTON	2 163 228	1 209 500	1 161 883	47 617	436 603	441 072	73 332	65 686	7 646	2 735
INSIDE SMSAS	1 256 992	846 225	809 372	36 853	123 330	237 773	46 993	42 733	4 260	2 671
WEST VIRGINIA[3]	1 108 705	543 606	532 380	11 226	206 931	219 531	24 519	22 242	2 277	114 129
INSIDE SMSAS	327 214	207 081	200 647	6 434	44 969	56 326	8 538	7 981	557	10 300
WISCONSIN[12]	2 451 351	1 203 472	1 151 743	51 729	868 430	291 944	86 464	76 254	10 210	1 056
INSIDE SMSAS	1 069 288	726 803	685 505	41 298	165 962	129 919	45 865	39 552	6 313	744
WYOMING	194 766	104 988	102 406	2 582	40 793	37 591	11 400	9 420	1 980	-

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1]These data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see table B. One consequence is that the residual difference between statewide and SMSA parcel estimates for a particular class of property will not necessarily equal the estimated number of parcels of such property for the non-SMSA portion of the State. Totals shown may not equal summation of detail because of independent computation of each element. Estimated distributions are based on a sample of realty parcels in selected jurisdictions. Classification of such parcels was dependent on official local assessment records which varied widely as to nature and extent of property description. As a consequence, distributions shown may be affected by the variability of such records. This was particularly significant in the allocation of residential property between single-family and multifamily, and of unimproved property between acreage and vacant lots. For details, see text. Caution should generally attend any comparison of estimated distributions with those for earlier periods since reassessing and other sources of revision locally can significantly affect category estimates. See table 21 footnotes for certain specific local variations.

[2]In Alabama, system revisions affecting parcel definition and numbering have influenced the individual use category estimates.

[3]Estimates for "single-family" residential may include all or some parcels in "multifamily" use, in at least some counties in: California, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

[4]Estimates for "vacant platted lots" may include "acreage" parcels, and vice versa, in at least some counties in: California, Florida, Maryland, New York, Tennessee, Texas, and West Virginia.

[5]In the District of Columbia, parcels locally classified as "false vacant lots" (improved as to part of the parcel only) are included in the "other and unallocable" category.

[6]Estimates for "commercial" may include "multifamily" residential in at least some counties in Florida and Tennessee.

[7]In Hawaii, estimated distributions among use categories will not necessarily agree with those available locally, because of differences in definition between the Census Bureau and the local jurisdiction involved.

[8]Estimates reflect the now frequent practice of assessing farm property on a unit basis, even though the farm unit involved may include more than one 40-acre tract, the previous minimum space for identity as a separate parcel.

[9]Kansas estimated distributions approximate a total less than that estimated for the 1977 Census of Governments, and also less than that estimated by the State for 1979.

[10]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but realty parcels are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[11]Estimates for "commercial" may include "industrial" in at least some counties in New York and Tennessee.

[12]Total realty parcels in South Carolina and Wisconsin include "manufacturing property," assessed, in each instance, by a State agency. To assure comparability with data for other States, however, such parcels are shown here as "locally assessed."

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 8. Sampling Error of Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981

Area	Total parcels	Sampling error (2σ) of estimated distribution									
		All use categories	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and Industrial			Other and unallocable
			Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
ALABAMA	1 566 234	±115 874	± 56 369	± 57 096	± 6 257	± 83 042	± 43 567	±6 649	±6 711	±900	±3 032
INSIDE SMSA'S	886 296	± 60 367	± 32 739	± 33 808	± 2 337	± 14 954	± 21 735	±5 639	±5 559	±565	±2 915
ALASKA	187 826	± 6 618	± 4 455	± 4 440	± 1 383	±2 525	±7 225	±1 170	±1 158	±179	±1 193
INSIDE SMSA'S	59 335	± 3 110	± 2 294	± 2 313	± 1 120	±1 269	±3 132	±652	±651	± 44	±1 002
ARIZONA	1 567 860	±239 280	± 49 801	± 47 244	± 4 478	± 5 903	±192 905	±4 495	±4 228	±1 417	±6 595
INSIDE SMSA'S	845 492	±15 850	± 11 969	± 11 967	± 3 454	± 2 955	±13 869	±3 664	±3 407	±1 376	±5 585
ARKANSAS	1 727 149	±323 400	± 41 509	± 41 237	± 2 348	± 91 305	± 291 301	±3 971	±3 979	±1 137	±10 854
INSIDE SMSA'S	464 820	± 10 139	± 9 871	± 9 859	± 1 587	± 8 005	± 9 849	±2 658	±2 611	±513	±1 818
CALIFORNIA	8 214 315	±261 099	± 88 820	± 90 700	± 15 185	± 62 166	± 213 187	± 29 834	±29 841	± 5 171	±11 685
INSIDE SMSA'S	7 186 940	± 44 775	± 37 503	± 36 581	± 13 727	± 13 306	± 32 840	± 11 693	±10 742	± 4 727	±10 483
COLORADO	1 606 104	±243 791	± 50 037	± 49 383	± 2 748	± 20 308	± 281 446	± 7 908	±6 658	± 1 942	±39 652
INSIDE SMSA'S	980 337	± 15 674	± 13 961	± 14 005	± 2 580	± 7 248	± 15 397	± 3 752	±3 632	± 971	±6 463
CONNECTICUT*	1 023 858	± 53 110	± 44 631	± 44 281	± 4 713	± 8 743	± 18 088	± 5 009	±4 853	±982	±14 770
INSIDE NECMA'S	905 793	± 49 988	± 42 446	± 42 127	± 4 565	± 7 584	± 17 288	± 4 818	±4 667	±969	±14 685
DELAWARE	240 717	± 7 894	± 8 184	± 8 196	± 579	± 4 083	±6 457	± 2 175	±2 155	±299	±1 530
INSIDE SMSA'S	127 410	± 5 406	± 5 646	± 5 661	± 548	± 1 549	±3 635	± 1 978	±1 965	±235	±1 353
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA)	145 168	± 3 903	± 4 019	± 4 138	± 2 043	-	±2 887	± 1 731	±1 724	±170	±2 494
FLORIDA	5 320 936	±365 456	± 74 791	± 76 623	± 12 420	± 65 275	±313 311	±11 168	±9 111	±5 063	±22 309
INSIDE SMSA'S	4 130 279	±133 356	± 59 748	± 58 466	± 8 124	± 19 698	±71 687	±9 063	±7 298	±4 511	±16 219
GEORGIA	2 210 536	±226 577	±146 158	±143 773	± 4 842	±100 317	±79 288	±15 996	±15 117	±2 401	± 578
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 056 615	±70 619	± 54 535	± 53 227	± 3 268	± 20 276	±18 681	± 5 658	±5 486	±1 359	± 577
HAWAII	360 138	± 7 661	± 5 679	± 5 725	± 908	± 7 276	±6 969	± 1 348	±997	±913	± 5 517
INSIDE SMSA'S	185 779	± 4 167	± 4 214	± 4 275	± 902	± 1 656	±1 542	± 991	±676	±730	± 4 651
IDAHO	495 550	± 30 172	± 10 495	± 10 328	± 645	± 12 111	±22 146	±1 625	± 1 543	±384	± 613
INSIDE SMSA'S	68 142	± 2 096	± 1 445	± 1 450	± 191	± 1 350	±1 577	± 576	±479	±326	± 330
ILLINOIS	4 217 261	±362 196	±202 873	±202 506	± 14 041	±76 812	± 96 655	±49 276	±19 853	± 32 765	± 29 716
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 935 877	±50 867	± 43 629	± 43 309	± 13 932	± 12 936	± 25 692	± 11 837	±11 282	±3 206	± 12 113
INDIANA	2 550 368	±215 639	±87 106	±88 054	± 5 226	±85 748	± 63 887	± 11 379	±10 652	±2 008	±4 623
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 630 186	±68 386	± 39 585	± 39 307	± 2 207	± 25 457	± 27 027	± 5 814	±5 414	±1 581	±3 260
IOWA	1 901 560	±113 955	± 33 843	± 33 033	± 4 158	±87 945	± 18 053	± 6 990	±6 359	±1 522	±2 253
INSIDE SMSA'S	473 935	±10 234	± 8 592	± 8 616	± 1 717	± 7 170	± 8 702	± 2 560	±2 543	±556	±732
KANSAS	1 318 617	±76 720	± 43 373	± 40 812	± 4 413	± 46 173	±20 334	± 7 559	± 7 425	±1 123	±23 559
INSIDE SMSA'S	461 015	± 10 557	± 9 191	± 9 206	± 2 246	± 6 478	±10 457	± 2 614	± 2 595	±330	±765
KENTUCKY	1 317 513	±83 370	± 64 119	± 63 756	± 2 671	± 24 201	±35 817	± 5 602	± 5 440	±1 388	±10 852
INSIDE SMSA'S	556 533	±45 367	± 29 934	± 29 493	± 2 565	± 11 048	±10 927	± 2 840	± 2 752	±681	±1 748
LOUISIANA	1 530 815	±146 647	± 98 668	± 95 106	± 6 336	± 43 539	± 59 794	± 8 285	± 6 846	±2 318	±2 928
INSIDE SMSA'S	917 777	±86 761	± 42 674	± 42 716	± 4 315	± 14 848	± 53 396	± 3 087	± 2 936	±973	±2 927
MAINE*	656 041	±88 776	± 45 933	± 45 378	± 3 301	± 32 252	± 24 378	± 3 760	± 3 477	±1 118	±2 331
INSIDE NECMA'S	151 205	± 11 370	± 8 570	± 8 661	± 1 991	± 6 863	± 4 062	± 1 380	± 1 329	±371	±985
MARYLAND	1 462 833	±86 995	± 49 791	± 49 717	± 3 523	± 8 414	± 43 248	± 6 634	± 6 342	±1 223	±7 976
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 123 153	± 15 409	± 14 158	± 14 353	± 3 472	± 7 484	± 13 417	± 4 336	± 4 263	±813	±5 694
MASSACHUSETTS*	1 913 968	±166 105	±133 699	±120 464	±22 826	±37 598	± 47 240	± 9 481	± 8 660	±1 632	±7 053
INSIDE NECMA'S	1 733 551	±162 056	±130 951	±118 560	±21 911	±37 336	± 43 742	± 9 057	± 8 315	±1 572	±6 974
MICHIGAN	3 840 036	±230 415	±165 766	±163 486	±12 735	±74 812	±130 758	±18 852	±17 149	±5 255	±11 501
INSIDE SMSA'S	2 543 327	±167 203	±110 483	±109 007	±11 139	±46 949	± 68 032	± 11 335	± 10 731	± 4 546	±11 445
MINNESOTA	1 819 591	±181 184	±77 336	±76 415	± 6 681	±126 377	± 24 501	±11 854	± 10 589	± 3 302	±3 443
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 012 672	±38 440	±20 787	±20 630	± 3 352	±19 258	± 17 655	± 5 118	± 4 650	± 2 091	± 2 920
MISSISSIPPI	1 191 353	±116 373	±57 972	±57 262	± 2 722	±58 867	± 21 897	± 6 907	± 6 498	± 1 040	±452
INSIDE SMSA'S	332 559	±8 609	±8 871	±8 826	± 2 104	± 4 893	± 9 838	± 1 373	± 1 311	± 412	±312
MISSOURI	2 218 621	±123 368	±94 555	±93 857	± 5 196	±67 594	± 55 560	±10 750	± 10 385	± 1 194	±3 319
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 101 920	±33 464	±21 527	±21 215	± 5 067	±16 049	± 16 918	± 5 264	± 5 185	± 937	±3 085
MONTANA	430 743	±55 861	±31 695	±30 922	± 1 660	±32 746	± 15 364	± 5 119	± 3 152	± 3 170	± 2 462
INSIDE SMSA'S	89 833	± 4 765	± 4 776	± 4 782	± 622	± 3 984	± 4 498	± 1 680	± 1 637	± 401	± 766
NEBRASKA	805 802	±61 898	± 31 204	±32 545	±7 498	±31 996	± 18 210	± 5 108	± 4 988	± 806	± 857
INSIDE SMSA'S	258 235	±8 008	±7 089	±7 148	± 1 806	±2 183	± 6 529	± 3 031	± 2 969	± 639	± 838
NEVADA	363 749	±22 611	±13 193	±13 018	±2 984	±13 827	± 9 938	±2 556	± 2 500	± 596	±3 482
INSIDE SMSA'S	256 950	±8 070	±7 481	±7 256	±2 963	±2 174	± 8 854	± 1 948	± 1 862	± 583	± 3 254
NEW HAMPSHIRE*	425 320	±28 601	±19 294	±18 129	±3 220	±14 211	±12 847	± 3 410	± 2 939	± 1 597	± 1 760
INSIDE NECMA'S	174 054	±14 363	±11 294	±11 336	± 1 859	±6 205	± 6 338	± 1 889	± 1 440	± 1 047	± 1 340
NEW JERSEY	2 311 141	±144 628	±83 865	±82 942	±31 004	±16 637	±82 624	±10 125	± 9 464	± 2 628	±10 015
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 907 358	±131 949	±79 266	±78 225	±30 941	±16 209	±72 648	± 9 685	± 8 986	± 2 618	± 9 554
NEW MEXICO	915 014	± 112 891	±29 442	±29 155	± 1 288	± 21 871	±100 581	± 4 230	± 4 043	± 539	± 1 202
INSIDE SMSA'S	255 147	± 6 862	± 3 871	± 3 876	± 676	± 1 045	± 7 260	± 988	± 980	± 129	± 247

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 8. Sampling Error of Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by use Category and States: 1981—Con.

Area	Total parcels	Sampling error (2σ) of estimated distribution									
		All use categories	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and Industrial			Other and unallocable
			Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
NEW YORK	4 741 359	±414 489	±296 486	±272 583	± 55 163	± 74 342	± 125 523	± 29 548	± 24 343	± 9 039	±9 080
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 589 351	±301 386	±258 836	±249 527	± 30 730	± 25 808	± 47 250	± 17 995	± 15 889	± 5 026	±7 461
NORTH CAROLINA	2 772 644	±215 433	±110 235	±113 293	± 6 341	±88 587	±106 177	± 11 179	±10 712	±1 957	±9 981
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 155 553	± 69 501	± 28 515	± 28 220	± 3 075	± 12 070	± 53 433	± 4 649	± 4 361	±1 620	±2 898
NORTH DAKOTA	584 697	± 65 796	± 17 482	± 16 916	± 1 682	± 43 050	± 17 387	± 4 565	±4 670	±1 565	±1 928
INSIDE SMSA'S	107 560	± 4 978	± 4 931	± 4 861	± 1 475	± 4 740	± 4 561	± 2 261	±1 813	±1 407	±1 508
OHIO	4 946 194	±244 417	±105 785	±104 341	± 10 618	±110 806	±110 427	± 22 995	± 17 258	± 8 593	±15 747
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 547 481	±192 600	± 78 494	± 77 312	± 9 308	± 53 508	± 94 453	± 13 104	± 12 665	± 2 861	±10 175
OKLAHOMA	1 739 790	±133 555	± 72 182	± 72 108	± 3 575	± 46 254	±104 610	± 5 889	± 6 051	±1 278	±2 393
INSIDE SMSA'S	738 150	± 39 832	± 23 829	± 23 811	± 1 287	± 11 857	± 16 940	± 2 760	± 2 553	± 830	±2 379
OREGON	1 255 758	±110 446	± 69 585	± 61 801	± 10 643	± 44 892	± 28 558	± 6 606	± 6 304	±1 724	±12 761
INSIDE SMSA'S	626 592	± 11 038	± 8 553	± 8 604	± 2 184	± 8 002	± 8 673	± 3 457	± 3 224	± 1 282	±1 962
PENNSYLVANIA	4 709 080	±475 959	±175 276	±169 907	± 15 122	± 66 863	±369 777	± 21 741	± 20 968	±2 782	±16 499
INSIDE SMSA'S	3 310 987	± 49 912	± 40 563	± 38 905	± 11 801	± 12 903	± 29 495	± 12 586	± 12 287	±2 494	± 8 780
RHODE ISLAND*	349 454	± 30 069	± 18 033	± 15 682	± 10 829	± 3 656	± 18 341	± 3 456	± 3 146	±1 305	± 1 051
INSIDE NECMA'S	319 440	± 28 689	± 16 658	± 14 221	± 10 758	± 3 466	± 17 681	± 3 338	± 3 017	±1 304	± 1 051
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 445 258	±134 487	± 76 433	± 72 330	± 9 763	± 59 224	± 47 563	± 6 756	± 6 476	±1 225	± 7 805
INSIDE SMSA'S	612 446	± 10 010	± 14 494	± 14 571	± 2 844	± 8 418	± 12 286	± 4 665	± 4 621	± 670	± 2 898
SOUTH DAKOTA	435 505	± 50 882	± 23 398	± 22 762	± 1 473	± 33 696	± 12 511	± 5 032	± 4 862	± 683	± 2 345
INSIDE SMSA'S	78 706	± 4 455	± 3 854	± 3 877	± 960	± 2 873	± 3 459	± 1 006	± 994	±163	± 1 491
TENNESSEE	2 058 070	±240 381	± 87 927	± 87 545	± 4 503	± 41 968	±218 113	± 8 648	± 8 430	±1 803	± 2 181
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 047 818	±50 726	± 41 079	± 41 022	± 4 117	± 11 883	± 16 528	± 5 770	± 5 610	±1 718	± 2 032
TEXAS	8 594 859	±998 750	±209 289	±208 602	± 6 739	±190 648	±672 040	± 20 389	±18 930	± 6 832	± 406 547
INSIDE SMSA'S	5 356 789	±139 710	± 78 966	± 77 320	± 5 815	± 53 173	±110 099	± 11 566	± 9 721	± 5 708	± 101 896
UTAH	711 138	±165 285	± 16 061	± 16 041	± 2 458	± 49 163	±109 678	± 3 910	± 3 945	±695	± 4 103
INSIDE SMSA'S	412 866	± 9 489	± 8 194	± 8 319	± 2 424	± 5 293	± 8 830	± 2 514	± 2 466	±502	± 4 069
VERMONT	235 697	± 19 995	±13 316	±12 776	± 3 469	± 10 292	± 3 496	± 1 991	± 1 893	±606	± 1 371
VIRGINIA	2 040 138	±214 141	±83 574	±81 651	±11 311	±109 345	± 80 740	± 7 255	± 6 888	±1 298	± 4 027
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 232 443	±81 537	±44 413	±43 851	± 3 766	± 23 306	± 28 414	± 4 307	± 4 052	±1 129	± 3 438
WASHINGTON	2 163 228	±213 671	±64 818	±64 149	± 4 153	±130 323	± 73 662	± 8 953	± 7 936	± 2 141	± 5 695
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 256 992	± 17 365	±13 696	±13 748	± 3 341	± 10 125	± 15 938	± 4 010	± 3 866	± 1 091	± 4 857
WEST VIRGINIA	1 108 705	±143 475	±42 354	±40 673	± 3 394	±39 124	± 39 225	± 5 143	± 4 918	± 883	± 67 917
INSIDE SMSA'S	327 214	± 9 455	± 8 600	± 8 566	± 1 924	± 6 824	± 8 029	± 1 619	± 1 601	± 246	± 3 728
WISCONSIN	2 451 351	±180 871	±92 325	±90 960	± 5 975	±137 970	± 74 348	± 9 902	± 9 014	± 2 518	± 5 163
INSIDE SMSA'S	1 069 288	±84 108	±59 568	±57 967	± 5 408	±39 014	± 34 862	± 5 138	± 4 472	± 1 891	± 4 413
WYOMING	194 766	±27 355	±18 201	±18 036	± 1 061	± 8 166	± 7 767	± 2 884	± 2 447	± 1 255	± 2 142

Note: The data presented in this table represent, in absolute terms, the sampling error of each of the data items shown in table 7. These sampling errors shown in this table are computed at the two standard error, 95 percent confidence level. See text.

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to State economic areas designated as New England County Metropolitan Areas in the 1980 Census of Population.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 9. Percent Distribution of Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
UNITED STATES	100.0	59.1	55.9	3.2	15.0	19.8	4.2	3.6	0.6	1.9
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	69.8	65.2	4.6	6.7	17.7	4.6	3.9	0.7	1.2
ALABAMA[1]	100.0	58.5	57.2	1.4	23.6	13.8	3.4	3.3	0.2	0.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	67.7	66.4	1.3	12.8	14.6	3.9	3.7	0.2	1.0
ALASKA	100.0	44.4	39.9	4.5	5.6	45.9	3.8	3.6	0.2	0.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	66.0	55.8	10.2	5.5	23.6	4.9	4.8	0.1	(Z)
ARIZONA	100.0	48.5	46.1	2.4	2.2	45.6	3.0	2.6	0.5	0.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	67.0	63.6	3.4	1.3	27.1	4.0	3.3	0.7	0.7
ARKANSAS	100.0	33.9	33.4	0.5	36.3	27.0	2.3	2.1	0.2	0.5
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	51.1	49.9	1.2	17.3	27.9	3.4	3.2	0.2	0.4
CALIFORNIA[1]	100.0	71.9	65.4	6.5	4.3	18.4	4.9	3.9	1.0	0.4
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	76.0	68.8	7.2	2.9	15.8	4.9	3.9	1.0	0.4
COLORADO	100.0	55.5	54.3	1.2	7.7	29.7	3.3	3.0	0.3	3.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	69.2	67.4	1.8	4.3	20.8	3.9	3.6	0.3	1.8
CONNECTICUT*	100.0	79.0	75.3	3.8	3.5	13.2	4.1	3.7	0.5	0.1
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	80.1	76.1	4.0	3.1	12.4	4.2	3.7	0.5	0.1
DELAWARE	100.0	75.1	74.8	0.4	7.9	13.4	3.5	3.2	0.4	-
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	85.0	84.4	0.6	2.3	7.5	5.2	4.8	0.5	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (ALL SMSA)	100.0	80.8	71.3	9.5	-	9.1	7.0	6.8	0.1	3.1
FLORIDA[1]	100.0	57.3	54.3	3.0	8.2	30.7	3.2	2.4	0.7	0.6
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	68.4	60.8	3.5	5.5	26.1	3.5	2.6	0.9	0.6
GEORGIA	100.0	65.7	64.6	1.1	13.9	15.8	4.6	4.2	0.3	(Z)
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	74.0	72.3	1.8	7.3	13.8	4.9	4.5	0.4	(Z)
HAWAII	100.0	61.0	59.9	1.2	17.7	16.6	2.2	1.2	1.0	2.5
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	91.3	89.1	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.8	1.5	1.4	1.2
IDAHO	100.0	50.4	49.7	0.7	25.8	19.6	4.2	3.9	0.3	(Z)
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	77.7	76.9	0.8	6.3	10.2	5.6	4.7	1.1	(Z)
ILLINOIS[1]	100.0	60.9	55.9	5.0	13.9	15.7	7.4	6.1	1.3	2.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	69.6	62.5	7.1	5.3	15.1	8.3	7.2	1.0	1.7
INDIANA	100.0	58.7	57.9	0.9	16.4	20.3	4.1	3.7	0.4	0.5
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	64.0	63.1	0.9	9.7	21.9	3.9	3.5	0.4	0.4
IOWA[1]	100.0	40.8	39.7	1.1	46.7	7.8	4.6	4.2	0.3	0.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	64.0	62.1	1.9	18.7	12.9	4.5	4.1	0.3	-
KANSAS[1]	100.0	42.3	41.0	1.5	37.2	14.4	4.1	3.9	0.2	1.7
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	61.5	58.9	2.6	13.1	21.3	4.0	3.9	0.2	0.1
KENTUCKY	100.0	69.0	67.9	1.1	18.3	8.2	3.7	3.4	0.3	0.8
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	80.3	78.1	2.3	6.4	9.5	3.4	3.1	0.3	0.4
LOUISIANA	100.0	63.8	60.8	3.0	13.3	19.1	3.4	3.1	0.3	0.4
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	67.2	63.1	4.1	8.5	20.5	3.1	2.9	0.2	0.7
MAINE*	100.0	62.4	59.2	3.1	26.4	6.7	3.9	3.4	0.6	0.6
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	72.4	66.0	6.4	15.3	7.9	4.1	3.7	0.4	0.4
MARYLAND[1]	100.0	72.4	71.2	1.2	5.4	18.1	3.8	3.5	0.3	0.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	77.4	75.9	1.5	5.5	13.8	3.3	3.0	0.3	(Z)
MASSACHUSETTS*	100.0	74.3	62.8	11.5	5.6	15.6	4.3	3.8	0.5	0.3
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	75.9	63.6	12.3	5.8	13.7	4.4	3.8	0.5	0.3
MICHIGAN	100.0	69.0	66.3	2.8	12.0	14.3	4.6	3.8	0.8	0.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	75.5	71.8	3.8	7.6	11.9	4.8	3.9	1.0	(Z)
MINNESOTA[1]	100.0	58.1	55.8	2.3	27.1	10.2	4.6	3.9	0.7	(Z)
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	69.4	67.6	1.8	12.4	14.1	4.0	3.2	0.9	(Z)
MISSISSIPPI	100.0	51.9	51.2	0.7	29.1	16.1	2.9	2.8	0.2	(Z)
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	54.5	52.9	1.6	8.1	35.4	2.0	1.9	0.1	-
MISSOURI	100.0	58.3	56.3	2.0	22.8	15.3	3.5	3.2	0.3	0.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	74.1	70.2	3.9	5.6	16.0	4.2	3.8	0.4	0.1
MONTANA[1]	100.0	44.6	43.6	1.0	32.1	17.6	5.5	4.1	1.4	0.2
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	57.4	56.1	1.3	17.9	18.9	5.8	5.2	0.7	-
NEBRASKA	100.0	52.2	50.3	1.9	32.0	10.9	5.0	4.6	0.3	(Z)
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	75.2	72.0	3.2	4.2	15.0	5.5	4.8	0.7	-
NEVADA	100.0	53.2	50.6	2.7	6.3	35.7	3.7	3.4	0.3	1.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	61.4	57.8	3.6	1.5	31.7	4.2	3.8	0.4	1.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE*	100.0	68.2	64.1	4.1	13.0	13.9	4.7	3.6	1.1	0.2
INSIDE NECMA'S	100.0	73.2	67.7	5.6	10.3	11.7	4.5	3.4	1.2	0.3
NEW JERSEY[1]	100.0	76.0	72.3	3.7	2.3	16.3	5.2	4.6	0.7	(Z)
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	78.6	74.2	4.3	2.5	13.4	5.6	4.8	0.8	(Z)
NEW MEXICO	100.0	36.8	36.4	0.4	10.2	50.7	2.2	2.1	0.1	0.1
INSIDE SMSA'S	100.0	45.0	44.0	1.0	1.0	52.1	1.8	1.7	0.1	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 9. Percent Distribution of Number of Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property Parcels by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Total	Residential (nonfarm)			Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial			Other and unallocable
		Total	Single-family	Multi-family			Total	Commercial	Industrial	
NEW YORK [9,10]	100.0	72.9	59.4	13.4	7.3	13.6	5.8	4.8	1.0	0.5
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	78.8	63.3	15.6	3.9	11.2	5.7	4.8	0.9	0.4
NORTH CAROLINA[4]	100.0	54.4	53.3	1.2	18.2	23.7	3.6	3.2	0.4	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	60.6	59.2	1.4	10.5	24.6	4.1	3.5	0.6	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA	100.0	24.5	23.6	0.9	56.0	15.4	4.0	3.5	0.5	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	44.4	41.0	3.4	33.1	16.0	6.6	5.1	1.5	-
OHIO[3]	100.0	59.5	56.5	3.0	11.8	22.9	4.8	4.2	0.5	1.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	65.8	62.1	3.7	7.4	21.2	4.9	4.4	0.5	0.7
OKLAHOMA	100.0	50.1	49.5	0.6	18.3	28.4	3.0	2.8	0.2	0.2
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	62.9	62.2	0.7	13.4	19.9	3.3	2.9	0.4	0.5
OREGON	100.0	55.3	52.7	2.6	23.7	15.2	5.0	4.3	0.7	0.8
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	66.8	63.7	3.1	14.4	12.5	5.8	4.9	1.0	0.4
PENNSYLVANIA	100.0	70.9	67.6	3.3	6.5	16.0	5.0	4.7	0.3	1.5
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	77.4	73.2	4.2	3.7	11.7	5.5	5.1	0.4	1.7
RHODE ISLAND*	100.0	66.3	52.3	14.0	2.5	25.9	5.2	4.3	0.9	-
INSIDE NECMAS	100.0	66.9	52.3	14.6	2.4	25.4	5.4	4.4	1.0	-
SOUTH CAROLINA[11]	100.0	59.0	56.7	2.2	16.3	20.4	3.9	3.6	0.3	0.3
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	63.3	61.7	1.6	9.6	22.0	4.2	4.0	0.2	0.8
SOUTH DAKOTA	100.0	37.8	36.7	1.1	44.9	11.5	4.9	4.5	0.4	0.9
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	64.7	62.1	2.7	15.3	13.3	4.8	4.4	0.3	1.9
TENNESSEE[3,10]	100.0	55.1	54.0	1.1	13.8	25.5	5.4	5.0	0.4	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	67.6	65.6	2.0	6.3	19.1	6.9	6.1	0.7	0.2
TEXAS[3]	100.0	43.8	43.2	0.6	13.9	25.4	2.7	2.2	0.5	14.2
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	56.3	55.4	0.9	9.0	24.0	3.4	2.7	0.7	7.2
UTAH	100.0	52.5	50.2	2.2	17.1	26.3	3.1	2.9	0.2	1.0
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	72.3	68.6	3.7	6.0	16.4	3.6	3.3	0.2	1.8
VERMONT	100.0	73.1	67.9	5.2	18.2	3.6	4.9	4.5	0.5	0.1
VIRGINIA	100.0	60.7	58.5	2.2	16.0	20.4	2.8	2.5	0.3	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	72.1	69.2	2.9	7.0	17.9	3.0	2.6	0.3	(Z)
WASHINGTON	100.0	55.9	53.7	2.2	20.2	20.4	3.4	3.0	0.4	0.1
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	67.3	64.4	2.9	9.8	18.9	3.7	3.4	0.3	0.2
WEST VIRGINIA[3]	100.0	49.0	48.0	1.0	18.7	19.8	2.2	2.0	0.2	10.3
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	63.3	61.3	2.0	13.7	17.2	2.6	2.4	0.2	3.1
WISCONSIN[11]	100.0	49.1	47.0	2.1	35.4	11.9	3.5	3.1	0.4	(Z)
INSIDE SMSAS	100.0	68.0	64.1	3.9	15.5	12.2	4.3	3.7	0.6	0.1
WYOMING	100.0	53.9	52.6	1.3	20.9	19.3	5.9	4.8	1.0	-

Note: These data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text. To obtain the sampling error in percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level, compute the following: 100 times cell figure from table 8, divided by total from table 7 for cell of interest. Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Percentage distributions are based on a sample of realty parcels in selected jurisdictions. Classification of such parcels was dependent on official local assessment records which varied widely as to nature and extent of property description. As a consequence, distributions shown may be affected by the variability of such records. This was particularly significant in the allocation of residential property between single-family and multifamily, and of unimproved property between acreage and vacant lots. For details, see text. Caution should generally attend any comparison of percentage distributions with those for earlier periods since reassessing and other sources of revision locally can significantly affect category estimates. See table 21 footnotes for certain specific local variations.

*For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1] In Alabama, system revisions affecting parcel definition and numbering have influenced the individual use category estimates.

[2] Percentages for "single-family" residential may include all or some parcels in "multifamily" use, in at least some counties in: California, Illinois, Maryland, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

[3] Percentages for "vacant platted lots" may include "acreage" parcels, and vice versa, in at least some counties in: California, Florida, Maryland, New York, Tennessee, Texas, and West Virginia.

[4] In the District of Columbia, parcels locally classified as "false vacant lots" (improved as to part of the parcel only) are included in the "other and unallocable" category.

[5] Percentages for "commercial" may include "multifamily" residential in at least some counties in Florida and Tennessee.

[6] In Hawaii, percentage distributions among use categories will not necessarily agree with those available locally, because of differences in definition between the Census Bureau and the local jurisdiction involved.

[7] Distributions reflect the now frequent practice of assessing farm property on a unit basis, even though the farm unit involved may include more than one 40-acre tract, the previous minimum space for identity as a separate parcel.

[8] Kansas estimated distributions approximate a total less than that estimated for the 1977 Census of Governments, and also less than that estimated by the State for 1979.

[9] All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but distributions are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[10] Percentages for "commercial" may include "industrial" in at least some counties in New York and Tennessee.

[11] Distributions in South Carolina and Wisconsin include "Manufacturing Property," assessed, in each instance, by a State agency. To assure comparability with data for other States, however, such parcels are shown here as "locally assessed."

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 10. Gross Assessed Value of All Locally Assessed Taxable Real Property and Ordinary Real Property by States: 1981

(Amounts in millions of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	All locally assessed taxable real property	Ordinary real property			Locally assessed taxable real property excluded from ordinary real property		
		Amount	Percent of gross assessed value of--		Total	Relatively high value properties[1]	Other "nonordinary" properties[2]
			All locally assessed taxable real property	All property subject to local general taxation			
UNITED STATES	2 514 873	2 047 770	81.4	69.2	467 103	378 776	88 327
ALABAMA	5 601	4 421	78.9	48.4	1 180	1 149	31
ALASKA	11 975	9 972	83.3	43.7	2 003	1 969	34
ARIZONA	6 219	4 994	80.3	50.2	1 225	1 171	54
ARKANSAS	3 504	3 289	93.9	56.4	215	213	2
CALIFORNIA	584 113	469 172	80.3	71.3	114 941	109 559	5 382
COLORADO	11 769	9 044	76.8	61.2	2 725	2 284	441
CONNECTICUT	39 948	34 566	86.5	73.5	5 382	5 373	9
DELAWARE	4 125	3 354	81.3	81.3	771	771	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	17 866	11 001	61.6	58.9	6 865	6 745	120
FLORIDA	211 853	191 199	90.3	76.6	20 654	19 060	1 594
GEORGIA	31 767	28 536	89.8	61.2	3 231	3 217	14
HAWAII	20 286	18 568	91.5	91.5	1 718	1 103	615
IDAHO	19 439	17 895	92.1	72.7	1 544	1 540	4
ILLINOIS	82 801	61 751	74.6	74.4	21 050	19 011	2 039
INDIANA	17 428	15 304	87.8	59.6	2 124	2 101	23
IOWA	54 614	52 941	96.9	84.6	1 673	1 663	10
KANSAS	5 039	4 617	91.6	43.1	422	418	4
KENTUCKY	42 824	40 298	94.1	69.0	2 526	2 178	348
LOUISIANA	5 305	4 392	82.8	44.4	913	898	15
MAINE	17 119	16 700	97.6	85.1	419	387	32
MARYLAND	34 927	31 273	89.5	74.3	3 654	3 602	52
MASSACHUSETTS	79 232	61 723	77.9	74.3	17 509	17 474	35
MICHIGAN	81 492	71 550	87.8	77.7	9 942	9 928	14
MINNESOTA	23 465	20 955	89.3	83.8	2 510	2 508	2
MISSISSIPPI	2 538	2 415	95.2	45.7	123	122	1
MISSOURI	11 615	10 302	88.7	54.8	1 313	1 311	2
MONTANA	745	703	94.4	34.8	42	41	1
NEBRASKA	31 696	30 315	95.6	81.4	1 381	1 378	3
NEVADA	6 881	5 625	81.7	65.4	1 256	1 167	89
NEW HAMPSHIRE	14 937	13 365	89.5	89.3	1 572	1 549	23
NEW JERSEY	109 247	100 026	91.6	90.0	9 221	9 204	17
NEW MEXICO	3 497	3 272	93.6	57.9	225	213	12
NEW YORK	101 935	65 869	64.6	61.0	36 066	35 668	398
NORTH CAROLINA	68 466	64 568	94.3	57.3	3 898	3 830	68
NORTH DAKOTA	864	841	97.3	90.5	23	22	1
OHIO	63 797	60 655	95.1	67.9	3 142	2 626	516
OKLAHOMA	4 894	4 372	89.3	54.4	522	513	9
OREGON	61 020	59 158	96.9	86.2	1 862	1 636	226
PENNSYLVANIA	30 483	28 571	93.7	93.7	1 912	1 351	561
RHODE ISLAND	7 493	6 538	87.3	69.0	955	955	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	1 601	1 182	73.8	38.9	419	416	3
SOUTH DAKOTA	5 346	5 301	99.2	93.7	45	38	7
TENNESSEE	14 357	12 589	87.7	72.6	1 768	1 758	10
TEXAS	274 487	127 568	46.5	40.8	146 919	64 276	82 643
UTAH	3 973	3 762	94.7	62.6	211	102	109
VERMONT	69	59	85.5	78.7	10	10	-
VIRGINIA	104 099	89 848	86.3	73.4	14 251	14 165	86
WASHINGTON	102 950	90 692	88.1	72.9	12 258	12 163	95
WEST VIRGINIA	6 335	5 430	85.7	37.6	905	628	277
WISCONSIN	67 902	66 404	97.8	94.0	1 498	1 495	3
WYOMING	930	826	88.8	13.2	104	103	1

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

[1]Properties with an assessed value above an amount which, in light of the respective area's aggregate assessment-sales price ratio for all properties, may be presumed to have a current market value in excess of approximately $3,000,000 each. Derived by computing use class differences between distributed actual values of all properties and distributed estimated values of ordinary properties.

[2]Includes total estimated value of all separately assessed mineral rights and other realty not subject to classification by type of property.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ordinary real property involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period					Percent ratio of assessed value to sales price of sold properties					Approximate market value of all assessed ordinary real property as indicated by measurable sales[2]		Exhibit: Assessed value of all ordinary real property, 1981[3]
				Percent of assessment roll totals			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships						
							Statewide		SMSA portion of State				
	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Statewide size-weighted average	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Total	Average value per parcel	
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)				(percent)		(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(thousand dollars)
UNITED STATES													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	726 102	18 710 134	46 765 749	0.8	0.9	37.2	40.0	±0.6	41.7	±0.7	5 500 658 304	57 024	2 047 770 270
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	478 449	14 712 547	33 312 404	0.8	1.0	39.4	44.2	±0.5	44.9	±0.6	3 633 850 080	62 510	1 431 697 913
SINGLE-FAMILY	440 640	12 872 349	29 349 189	0.8	1.0	38.9	43.9	±0.5	44.7	±0.6	3 316 435 104	60 319	1 290 499 276
MULTIFAMILY	37 809	1 840 198	3 963 215	1.2	1.3	45.1	46.4	±2.0	46.3	±2.1	312 896 364	99 029	141 198 637
ACREAGE	80 489	1 218 806	5 123 560	0.5	0.5	24.1	23.8	±2.3	26.0	±4.1	966 366 800	65 408	233 313 833
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	134 609	1 047 591	3 231 064	0.7	1.1	29.0	32.4	±2.3	33.3	±3.1	341 972 100	17 556	99 101 739
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	32 555	1 731 190	5 098 721	0.8	0.6	37.3	34.0	±1.7	34.6	±2.0	761 330 536	187 958	283 656 785
ALABAMA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	11 814	37 618	444 343	0.8	0.9	8.6	8.5	±0.6	8.5	±0.7	51 397 759	33 033	4 421 428
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	7 389	27 373	354 724	0.8	1.0	7.3	7.7	±0.6	7.7	±0.7	36 248 159	39 543	2 655 234
SINGLE-FAMILY	7 044	23 951	325 760	0.8	1.0	7.1	7.4	±0.5	7.3	±0.6	34 848 476	38 909	2 474 371
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	1 755	3 634	40 834	0.5	0.4	10.1	8.9	±2.5	9.6	±3.2	8 012 940	21 691	811 902
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 215	3 550	25 208	1.0	1.8	12.2	14.1	±2.4	13.5	±2.4	1 619 866	7 479	198 087
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	455	3 061	23 577	0.9	0.4	16.7	13.0	±4.5	(4)	(4)	4 533 207	85 167	756 205
ALASKA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 306	138 860	184 040	1.2	1.4	73.8	75.5	±2.4	76.2	±3.3	13 507 561	72 138	9 972 209
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 505	109 717	142 633	1.8	1.8	76.9	76.9	±1.8	77.0	±2.3	7 829 407	93 832	6 017 085
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 270	88 065	116 374	1.7	1.8	75.5	75.7	±1.7	76.2	±2.2	6 553 653	87 379	4 948 678
MULTIFAMILY	235	21 652	26 259	2.8	2.0	82.8	82.5	±6.1	(4)	(4)	1 290 561	152 946	1 068 407
ACREAGE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	514	7 126	10 805	0.6	0.6	63.0	66.0	±7.5	(4)	(4)	2 010 516	93 324	1 266 405
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ARIZONA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	14 372	51 823	937 829	0.9	1.0	5.7	5.5	±0.4	5.5	±0.5	88 219 399	56 737	4 994 107
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	9 219	38 213	661 346	1.2	1.1	5.7	5.8	±0.2	5.7	±0.2	59 517 139	78 290	3 378 467
SINGLE-FAMILY	8 847	35 752	612 587	1.2	1.2	5.8	5.8	±0.1	5.7	±0.1	53 243 293	73 615	3 063 380
MULTIFAMILY	372	2 461	48 759	1.0	0.8	5.2	5.0	±1.8	5.0	±1.8	6 102 979	145 164	315 087
ACREAGE	1 299	2 604	99 371	3.8	1.9	3.7	2.6	±1.3	2.1	±1.6	3 764 468	109 921	138 272
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 406	3 564	85 602	0.5	0.5	4.2	4.2	±1.3	4.0	±1.6	16 641 685	23 303	698 524
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	448	7 442	91 510	1.0	1.0	8.4	8.1	±2.5	(4)	(4)	9 296 754	200 837	778 844
ARKANSAS													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	11 535	25 419	337 697	0.7	0.8	7.5	7.5	±1.0	8.7	±1.0	43 974 573	25 593	3 289 073
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	4 446	15 786	167 070	0.8	0.8	9.0	9.4	±1.0	10.1	±0.7	20 667 374	35 283	1 862 200
SINGLE-FAMILY	4 331	15 456	162 416	0.8	0.9	8.9	9.5	±1.0	10.2	±0.7	19 957 994	34 567	1 782 018
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	2 954	2 395	77 700	0.5	0.3	3.5	3.1	±0.8	3.5	±1.1	25 024 180	39 944	871 268
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 329	1 790	30 120	0.7	1.5	4.1	5.9	±1.8	7.3	±2.5	2 815 289	6 031	115 533
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	806	5 448	62 807	2.1	1.2	12.2	8.7	±2.6	9.2	±4.3	3 609 451	92 050	440 072
CALIFORNIA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	101 467	6 852 694	12 210 948	1.2	1.5	54.3	56.1	±2.0	56.2	±2.0	864 113 600	105 853	469 171 569
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	74 817	5 595 177	9 188 731	1.3	1.6	57.1	60.9	±1.5	60.3	±1.5	626 621 384	106 141	358 067 204
SINGLE-FAMILY	67 882	4 639 019	7 712 595	1.3	1.5	56.5	60.1	±1.5	59.7	±1.6	540 274 000	100 631	305 482 431
MULTIFAMILY	6 935	956 158	1 476 136	1.3	1.8	60.8	64.8	±4.5	63.5	±4.6	86 496 817	141 724	52 584 773
ACREAGE	8 333	423 981	1 238 535	2.3	1.9	36.6	34.2	±8.6	35.3	±11.2	59 995 525	148 412	21 971 500
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13 494	284 557	590 680	0.9	1.0	48.9	48.2	±5.9	48.2	±6.9	56 358 150	37 349	27 536 107
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4 823	548 979	1 193 002	1.2	0.9	48.6	46.0	±5.0	45.5	±5.2	126 748 975	321 307	61 596 758
COLORADO													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	30 171	210 859	2 573 655	2.0	2.3	8.1	8.2	±1.0	8.7	±1.3	112 225 728	72 666	9 044 347
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	17 408	142 271	1 432 147	2.0	2.2	9.6	9.9	±0.5	10.3	±0.5	67 657 110	75 867	6 526 568
SINGLE-FAMILY	16 086	123 570	1 273 341	1.8	2.0	9.5	9.7	±0.4	10.1	±0.3	65 384 744	74 946	6 186 644
MULTIFAMILY	1 322	18 701	158 806	6.8	5.5	12.4	11.8	±1.7	12.1	±1.8	2 742 133	141 676	339 924
ACREAGE	4 761	19 270	420 131	3.8	4.2	4.6	4.6	±1.4	5.1	±1.1	10 125 129	81 706	463 055
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	6 629	18 370	256 697	1.4	2.9	6.2	7.2	±1.3	7.6	±1.5	10 204 740	21 394	628 427
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 373	30 948	464 680	2.7	2.2	6.9	6.7	±3.2	6.4	±3.4	20 573 034	307 816	1 426 297
CONNECTICUT													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	8 076	272 279	717 081	0.8	0.8	36.7	38.0	±2.3	37.5	±2.4	94 206 721	92 264	34 566 168
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	6 486	224 791	568 285	0.8	0.8	39.7	39.6	±2.0	38.5	±2.1	71 582 213	88 500	28 402 513
SINGLE-FAMILY	5 697	205 691	509 809	0.7	0.8	39.9	40.3	±2.0	39.2	±2.0	67 110 209	87 093	26 787 172
MULTIFAMILY	789	19 100	58 476	2.1	1.2	26.1	32.7	±7.8	32.1	±7.9	6 196 344	161 856	1 615 341
ACREAGE	561	19 042	74 178	1.6	2.8	28.8	25.7	±6.3	26.0	±8.9	2 342 847	64 809	675 893
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	708	9 268	27 926	0.5	0.8	28.7	33.2	±5.4	31.8	±5.6	3 864 020	28 629	1 107 571
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	321	19 178	46 692	0.8	0.4	52.0	41.1	±12.4	41.7	±13.0	8 417 670	204 834	4 380 191
DELAWARE													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 279	33 379	134 331	0.9	1.0	24.7	24.8	±1.7	25.4	±2.8	13 567 397	56 445	3 354 118
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 450	23 232	90 039	0.8	1.0	25.4	25.8	±1.0	26.8	±1.3	9 612 221	53 172	2 443 142
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 348	22 026	84 573	0.7	0.9	25.5	26.0	±1.0	27.1	±1.2	9 329 479	51 836	2 382 393
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	167	3 533	19 190	0.9	1.1	18.4	18.4	±1.0	(4)	(4)	1 704 893	89 944	313 079
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	601	2 346	10 608	1.9	2.6	21.5	22.1	±2.7	(4)	(4)	416 750	12 881	89 686
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ordinary real property involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period					Percent ratio of assessed value to sales price of sold properties					Approximate market value of all assessed ordinary real property as indicated by measurable sales[2]		Exhibit: Assessed value of all ordinary real property, 1981[3]
				Percent of assessment roll totals			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships						
							Statewide		SMSA portion of State				
	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Statewide size-weighted average	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Total	Average value per parcel	
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)				(percent)		(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(thousand dollars)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 673	143 598	211 513	1.2	1.3	67.2	67.9	±8.5	67.9	±8.5	16 379 103	116 913	11 000 640
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 484	118 080	148 093	1.3	1.3	78.5	79.7	±3.6	79.7	±3.6	11 400 969	97 299	8 950 033
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 232	105 040	131 852	1.2	1.4	77.2	79.7	±3.9	79.7	±3.9	9 424 471	91 044	7 275 465
MULTIFAMILY	252	13 040	16 241	1.8	0.8	82.0	80.3	±6.7	80.3	±6.7	2 041 437	149 446	1 674 568
ACREAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
FLORIDA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	47 385	1 663 306	2 763 691	0.9	0.9	60.6	60.2	±3.4	60.4	±3.8	315 394 240	59 692	191 199 363
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	28 999	1 267 550	1 874 693	1.0	0.9	67.5	67.6	±1.4	68.3	±1.4	203 059 656	66 660	137 019 017
SINGLE-FAMILY	26 905	1 158 697	1 701 509	0.9	0.9	67.8	68.1	±1.4	68.7	±1.3	185 659 596	64 246	125 802 193
MULTIFAMILY	2 094	108 853	173 184	1.3	1.0	66.2	62.9	±6.4	63.9	±6.5	16 935 536	108 283	11 216 824
ACREAGE	3 199	80 452	225 446	0.7	0.6	39.0	35.7	±12.2	30.6	±10.7	37 310 239	85 220	14 543 556
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	14 016	203 628	464 058	0.9	1.3	42.3	43.9	±11.8	42.5	±13.4	38 462 205	23 542	16 286 070
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 171	111 676	199 494	0.7	0.5	54.4	56.0	±15.2	57.3	±16.1	42 905 311	258 671	23 350 720
GEORGIA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	18 085	254 007	914 220	0.8	0.9	27.6	27.8	±1.3	27.7	±1.6	103 491 010	46 857	28 536 227
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	11 338	188 747	655 585	0.8	1.0	28.1	28.8	±1.2	28.9	±1.4	68 118 160	46 907	19 155 341
SINGLE-FAMILY	10 609	155 806	535 428	0.7	0.9	28.5	29.1	±0.9	29.2	±0.9	63 438 057	44 402	18 094 359
MULTIFAMILY	729	32 941	120 157	3.1	3.1	27.6	27.4	±6.0	28.0	±5.8	3 840 303	163 424	1 060 982
ACREAGE	2 116	24 754	116 870	0.7	0.6	21.4	21.2	±5.7	18.0	±13.1	18 461 746	60 008	3 953 729
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 773	13 158	49 578	1.1	1.4	25.6	26.5	±3.4	24.7	±3.6	3 780 974	10 835	968 135
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	858	27 348	92 187	0.9	0.6	31.4	29.7	±4.7	(4)	(4)	14 191 610	142 111	4 459 022
HAWAII													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 060	100 299	261 941	0.6	0.5	38.7	38.3	±2.5	39.2	±3.1	47 947 628	136 929	18 567 725
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 313	78 367	190 132	0.6	0.5	41.6	41.2	±1.7	40.3	±1.5	34 936 761	159 097	14 546 860
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 226	72 977	175 574	0.6	0.5	41.8	41.6	±1.8	40.5	±1.6	33 101 047	153 616	13 851 389
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	206	3 638	15 289	0.3	0.2	26.0	23.8	±7.9	(4)	(4)	5 751 293	90 303	1 496 603
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	482	7 651	23 746	0.8	0.8	31.9	32.2	±6.6	(4)	(4)	3 075 964	51 547	982 710
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
IDAHO													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 622	161 186	229 456	0.9	0.9	68.3	70.2	±5.6	79.1	±7.8	26 183 745	52 865	17 894 642
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 917	125 653	164 333	1.2	1.2	77.2	76.5	±7.8	81.8	±8.3	13 094 974	52 447	10 106 302
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 721	111 126	139 668	1.1	1.1	79.5	79.6	±3.3	79.9	±9.0	12 378 183	50 250	9 845 053
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	746	11 875	28 526	0.6	0.3	49.5	41.6	±8.7	(4)	(4)	9 563 683	74 763	4 738 442
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	693	7 935	11 541	0.7	1.2	62.2	68.8	±7.6	(4)	(4)	1 090 644	11 224	678 875
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ILLINOIS													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	16 125	235 222	914 880	0.4	0.4	25.8	25.7	±1.6	26.5	±1.0	239 126 150	58 004	61 750 971
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	12 630	193 289	710 082	0.5	0.5	27.1	27.2	±0.7	26.2	±0.6	142 276 818	55 408	38 599 674
SINGLE-FAMILY	11 940	183 418	669 772	0.5	0.5	27.1	27.4	±0.7	26.4	±0.6	124 956 067	53 000	33 896 119
MULTIFAMILY	690	9 871	40 310	0.3	0.2	26.8	24.5	±3.5	23.1	±3.3	17 569 044	83 617	4 703 555
ACREAGE	1 509	16 200	132 350	0.3	0.2	11.6	12.2	±3.1	(4)	(4)	75 430 474	128 748	8 781 809
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 574	3 893	15 405	0.2	0.2	29.5	25.3	±9.8	29.1	±7.2	7 099 335	10 751	2 094 569
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	412	21 840	57 043	0.1	0.2	37.0	38.3	±8.5	(4)	(4)	33 188 189	107 543	12 274 919
INDIANA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	15 362	97 672	613 164	0.6	0.6	16.1	15.9	±0.9	16.1	±1.1	95 256 496	37 544	15 304 072
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	11 309	74 789	432 225	0.8	0.8	17.1	17.3	±0.9	16.8	±0.7	57 452 473	38 361	9 822 932
SINGLE-FAMILY	10 858	72 328	419 913	0.7	0.8	16.9	17.2	±0.9	16.7	±0.8	56 081 212	38 011	9 456 155
MULTIFAMILY	451	2 461	12 312	2.0	0.7	16.7	20.0	±5.2	(4)	(4)	2 195 165	98 575	366 777
ACREAGE	1 663	5 417	78 806	0.4	0.2	8.5	6.9	±2.1	5.1	±2.1	30 968 155	73 909	2 625 070
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 560	2 203	18 276	0.3	0.6	11.0	12.1	±4.1	10.5	±5.7	3 183 010	6 160	351 399
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	830	15 263	83 857	0.8	0.6	19.2	18.2	±4.2	22.8	±4.4	13 058 672	125 834	2 504 671
IOWA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	7 276	185 853	339 330	0.4	0.4	55.0	54.8	±2.7	59.5	±2.4	96 334 966	50 725	52 940 884
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	5 019	141 455	222 918	0.6	0.6	64.3	63.5	±1.5	64.6	±1.8	35 531 434	45 771	22 860 211
SINGLE-FAMILY	4 738	130 026	207 660	0.6	0.6	62.8	62.6	±1.4	64.0	±1.7	34 032 366	45 075	21 356 722
MULTIFAMILY	281	11 429	15 258	1.3	0.8	77.4	74.9	±8.8	(4)	(4)	1 941 907	91 242	1 503 489
ACREAGE	832	24 667	74 843	0.1	0.1	31.1	33.0	±4.5	(4)	(4)	74 487 678	83 865	23 184 895
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 003	4 562	16 534	0.7	0.9	23.6	27.6	±6.9	23.5	±12.8	2 085 465	14 018	491 356
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	422	15 169	25 035	0.5	0.2	65.3	60.6	±8.2	(4)	(4)	9 805 909	114 138	6 404 422
KANSAS													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	11 813	40 880	532 687	0.9	0.9	7.7	7.7	±0.7	8.0	±0.6	60 016 246	46 328	4 616 785
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	7 997	31 143	369 278	1.4	1.5	8.3	8.4	±0.4	8.5	±0.4	24 634 579	43 966	2 044 276
SINGLE-FAMILY	7 537	27 535	333 326	1.4	1.5	8.2	8.3	±0.3	8.2	±0.2	22 971 401	42 486	1 873 614
MULTIFAMILY	460	3 608	35 952	2.3	2.1	10.0	10.0	±2.5	(4)	(4)	1 699 235	86 559	170 662
ACREAGE	1 121	2 949	89 941	0.2	0.2	3.3	3.3	±1.0	3.3	±2.2	57 441 472	116 949	1 876 938
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 785	1 365	23 374	0.9	1.4	5.9	5.8	±2.0	3.7	±1.0	1 593 499	8 375	94 487
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	910	5 423	50 094	1.7	0.9	11.6	10.8	±1.6	9.7	±1.8	5 178 294	96 407	601 084

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ordinary real property involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period					Percent ratio of assessed value to sales price of sold properties					Approximate market value of all assessed ordinary real property as indicated by measurable sales[2]		Exhibit: Assessed value of all ordinary real property, 1981[3]
				Percent of assessment roll totals			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships						
							Statewide		SMSA portion of State				
	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Statewide size-weighted average	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Total	Average value per parcel	
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)				(percent)		(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(thousand dollars)
KENTUCKY													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	10 126	319 736	405 383	0.8	0.8	79.3	78.9	±3.0	81.3	± 3.7	50 815 634	38 907	40 297 755
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	6 959	241 314	295 226	0.8	0.9	80.0	81.7	±2.5	81.5	± 3.5	33 400 315	36 753	26 733 777
SINGLE-FAMILY	6 475	220 469	269 490	0.7	0.9	79.9	81.8	±2.7	81.6	± 3.8	31 419 239	35 137	25 094 103
MULTIFAMILY	484	20 845	25 736	3.3	1.3	83.0	81.0	±8.6	80.4	± 10.9	1 974 769	135 453	1 639 674
ACREAGE	1 328	46 039	62 098	0.6	0.6	72.6	74.1	±13.8	86.2	± 13.9	10 904 790	45 247	7 911 479
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 310	7 715	11 125	1.2	1.1	75.6	69.3	±10.1	71.2	± 15.8	923 202	8 591	697 689
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	529	24 668	36 934	1.1	0.5	77.0	66.8	±13.3	(4)	(4)	6 431 456	131 708	4 954 810
LOUISIANA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	9 295	29 248	475 066	0.6	0.7	6.4	6.2	±0.4	6.2	± 0.4	68 239 639	44 796	4 392 208
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	5 880	22 494	350 329	0.6	0.8	6.2	6.4	±0.4	6.4	± 0.5	48 060 983	49 222	2 975 026
SINGLE-FAMILY	5 524	21 056	326 565	0.6	0.8	6.1	6.4	±0.4	6.5	± 0.5	44 684 161	48 022	2 725 394
MULTIFAMILY	356	1 438	23 764	0.8	0.6	6.0	6.1	±0.9	(4)	(4)	4 144 929	90 282	249 632
ACREAGE	807	1 341	42 026	0.4	0.3	3.7	3.2	±1.0	3.6	± 1.5	13 182 204	64 732	482 398
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 138	2 536	47 678	0.7	1.1	5.4	5.3	±1.3	5.3	± 1.5	4 253 435	14 577	231 462
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	470	2 877	35 033	0.9	0.4	10.2	8.2	±1.9	7.5	± 1.9	6 896 344	133 923	703 322
MAINE													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 554	135 867	197 362	0.9	0.8	69.0	68.8	±4.3	67.8	± 6.6	24 203 373	37 106	16 700 495
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 271	108 061	151 258	0.8	0.9	70.3	71.4	±4.5	69.4	± 7.1	17 306 484	42 294	12 167 803
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 955	95 629	135 238	0.8	0.9	69.7	70.7	±4.5	68.4	± 6.2	16 136 750	41 528	11 244 266
MULTIFAMILY	316	12 432	16 020	1.5	1.3	77.7	77.6	±8.9	76.9	± 18.9	1 189 127	67 694	923 537
ACREAGE	1 472	19 056	33 032	0.8	1.1	51.1	57.7	±10.3	59.2	± 19.2	3 494 450	20 169	1 784 394
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	645	4 161	6 324	1.5	2.6	57.2	65.8	±12.5	55.0	± 8.5	276 561	6 266	158 186
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	166	4 589	6 748	0.6	0.2	76.2	68.0	±13.7	(4)	(4)	3 398 970	132 297	2 590 112
MARYLAND[5]													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	12 196	291 454	899 131	0.8	0.9	32.2	32.4	±2.3	33.0	± 1.8	97 101 292	66 597	31 272 691
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	9 461	227 737	668 286	0.9	0.9	34.0	34.1	±0.8	33.8	± 0.7	72 906 335	68 872	24 780 606
SINGLE-FAMILY	8 881	217 906	636 021	0.9	0.9	34.0	34.3	±0.8	33.9	± 0.7	70 185 680	67 379	23 890 286
MULTIFAMILY	580	9 831	32 265	3.4	1.1	32.4	30.5	±3.3	30.9	± 3.6	2 743 841	142 041	890 320
ACREAGE	768	12 350	83 865	1.0	0.7	15.1	14.7	±8.0	15.7	± 10.0	11 326 542	142 644	1 712 839
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 672	23 484	82 002	0.6	1.8	25.7	28.6	±3.7	27.2	± 3.3	5 045 381	19 010	1 294 863
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
MASSACHUSETTS													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	13 977	513 168	981 420	0.7	0.8	51.3	52.3	±5.3	51.0	± 5.7	120 387 273	63 122	61 722 503
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	10 925	409 925	775 758	0.8	0.8	51.8	52.8	±5.4	51.7	± 5.7	97 437 227	68 500	50 492 946
SINGLE-FAMILY	9 019	361 823	655 690	0.8	0.8	53.5	55.2	±6.0	54.1	± 6.4	82 559 489	68 666	44 151 232
MULTIFAMILY	1 906	48 102	120 068	0.9	0.8	40.7	40.1	±7.3	39.0	± 7.4	15 588 574	70 822	6 341 714
ACREAGE	1 100	13 206	40 581	1.0	1.4	32.9	32.5	±8.6	31.2	± 9.0	2 869 884	96 958	943 331
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 268	23 537	41 123	0.4	1.3	40.2	57.2	±25.1	60.4	± 34.6	4 595 308	15 439	1 846 676
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	684	66 500	123 958	0.8	0.8	52.8	53.6	±12.0	51.1	± 13.1	15 992 068	198 233	8 439 550
MICHIGAN													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	11 989	215 952	510 581	0.3	0.3	42.2	42.3	±1.6	42.8	± 1.6	169 511 174	44 183	71 549 914
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	8 787	186 159	427 318	0.3	0.4	43.4	43.6	±1.4	44.2	± 1.1	117 880 103	44 486	51 101 475
SINGLE-FAMILY	8 455	180 359	414 668	0.3	0.4	43.3	43.5	±1.4	44.2	± 1.1	111 360 239	43 772	48 174 795
MULTIFAMILY	332	5 800	12 650	0.3	0.2	49.1	45.8	±4.3	45.4	± 4.2	5 956 600	56 348	2 926 680
ACREAGE	863	6 476	23 940	0.2	0.1	31.3	27.1	±13.2	(4)	(4)	28 982 214	62 970	9 063 386
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 926	9 072	21 767	0.4	0.5	41.9	41.7	±7.5	36.9	± 6.7	4 587 624	8 341	1 920 280
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	413	14 245	37 556	0.2	0.2	41.1	37.9	±7.4	(4)	(4)	23 054 782	130 628	9 464 773
MINNESOTA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	10 655	101 962	576 473	0.6	0.5	18.4	17.7	±1.0	18.4	± 1.1	114 088 018	62 756	20 955 328
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	7 163	74 460	431 264	0.7	0.7	17.4	17.3	±0.6	17.6	± 0.7	65 010 761	61 563	11 284 775
SINGLE-FAMILY	6 806	66 279	392 985	0.7	0.7	16.9	16.9	±0.5	17.1	± 0.5	59 893 003	58 996	10 151 451
MULTIFAMILY	357	8 181	38 279	0.9	0.7	20.7	21.4	±3.8	22.0	± 4.3	5 481 514	134 344	1 133 324
ACREAGE	1 167	6 985	53 171	0.2	0.1	14.4	13.1	±2.7	11.4	± 2.5	45 442 946	92 206	6 525 252
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 931	5 589	31 335	1.0	1.3	17.3	17.8	±3.3	19.0	± 4.7	2 478 960	13 334	429 458
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	394	14 928	60 703	0.5	0.5	24.1	24.6	±4.7	(4)	(4)	11 275 476	135 496	2 715 843
MISSISSIPPI													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 706	14 118	209 816	0.5	0.6	6.5	6.7	±0.7	6.4	± 0.8	36 943 877	31 020	2 415 212
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 425	10 472	148 737	0.6	0.7	6.7	7.0	±0.6	6.1	± 0.5	21 981 556	35 586	1 464 671
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 234	9 904	140 580	0.5	0.7	6.6	7.0	±0.6	6.1	± 0.5	21 164 556	34 718	1 397 971
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	822	1 063	27 959	0.2	0.2	4.2	3.8	±1.3	(4)	(4)	14 177 874	40 936	590 479
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 079	542	10 481	0.6	1.1	3.7	5.2	±1.9	4.6	± 2.0	1 306 384	6 793	48 129
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	380	2 041	22 639	1.1	0.7	9.5	9.0	±1.4	(4)	(4)	3 274 237	94 667	311 933
MISSOURI													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	16 242	93 071	724 950	0.7	0.9	12.0	12.8	±1.2	14.0	± 1.2	85 968 874	38 794	10 301 878
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	10 941	57 759	439 146	0.8	0.8	12.9	13.2	±0.8	13.0	± 0.7	52 573 823	40 620	6 804 027
SINGLE-FAMILY	10 208	52 686	404 330	0.8	0.8	12.7	13.0	±0.8	13.0	± 0.7	49 557 477	39 675	6 313 943
MULTIFAMILY	733	5 073	34 816	1.6	1.0	17.8	14.6	±3.1	13.8	± 3.2	2 751 626	60 858	490 084
ACREAGE	2 337	7 016	115 403	0.5	0.4	6.0	6.1	±1.3	7.4	± 2.7	27 500 325	54 410	1 639 707
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 946	1 808	20 093	0.6	0.7	7.4	9.0	±2.9	7.3	± 4.0	3 290 671	9 672	245 113
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 018	26 488	150 308	1.3	1.6	16.7	17.6	±3.2	19.1	± 2.8	9 681 836	127 264	1 613 031

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ordinary real property involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period					Percent ratio of assessed value to sales price of sold properties					Approximate market value of all assessed ordinary real property as indicated by measurable sales[2]		Exhibit: Assessed value of all ordinary real property, 1981[3]
				Percent of assessment roll totals			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships						
							Statewide		SMSA portion of State				
	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Statewide size-weighted average	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Total	Average value per parcel	
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)				(percent)		(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(thousand dollars)
MONTANA[5]													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 883	4 389	137 437	0.7	0.6	3.3	3.2	±0.5	3.5	±0.3	21 471 218	49 980	703 358
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 763	2 980	83 243	0.9	0.9	3.6	3.6	±0.2	3.6	±0.2	9 723 948	50 574	348 908
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 664	2 744	74 356	0.9	0.9	3.7	3.7	±0.2	3.8	±0.2	8 721 314	46 394	322 639
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	276	510	35 190	0.2	0.3	1.5	1.4	±0.7	(4)	(4)	13 704 675	99 273	202 105
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	782	810	17 514	1.0	2.9	2.7	4.6	±2.4	2.5	±2.3	1 051 158	13 878	28 313
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
NEBRASKA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 869	177 832	271 530	0.7	0.6	65.3	65.5	±2.2	69.8	±2.4	46 414 438	57 625	30 314 505
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	4 433	144 719	204 637	1.1	1.1	70.4	70.7	±1.8	71.3	±2.0	18 588 516	44 236	13 082 255
SINGLE-FAMILY	4 221	138 357	194 077	1.0	1.1	70.4	71.3	±1.7	71.7	±2.0	17 202 359	42 471	12 107 190
MULTIFAMILY	212	6 362	10 560	1.4	0.7	64.2	60.2	±9.9	(4)	(4)	1 519 202	100 139	975 065
ACREAGE	335	14 375	34 730	0.1	0.1	42.9	41.4	±5.2	(4)	(4)	31 957 126	193 927	13 717 327
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	785	4 857	10 596	0.9	1.1	42.1	45.8	±7.4	45.4	±7.4	1 025 837	11 715	431 521
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	316	13 881	21 567	0.8	0.5	70.0	64.4	±9.8	(4)	(4)	4 404 826	110 666	3 083 402
NEVADA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 828	74 620	382 776	1.1	1.3	19.1	19.5	±2.2	19.9	±2.6	29 424 774	82 025	5 625 042
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 627	49 228	227 638	1.4	1.4	21.5	21.6	±1.3	21.4	±1.5	16 495 769	85 291	3 552 641
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 420	43 494	198 959	1.3	1.3	21.9	21.9	±1.0	21.7	±1.1	15 163 966	82 431	3 316 195
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	447	5 452	42 278	2.0	1.8	11.9	12.9	±5.4	(4)	(4)	2 612 239	114 047	310 545
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	609	7 581	40 097	0.5	0.9	17.7	18.9	±2.7	(4)	(4)	4 607 538	35 543	815 490
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
NEW HAMPSHIRE													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 019	96 761	157 520	0.7	0.7	60.7	61.4	±3.9	60.4	±4.7	22 019 575	51 901	13 365 382
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 964	71 711	111 742	0.7	0.7	61.3	64.2	±4.0	62.2	±5.0	16 363 388	56 418	10 025 086
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 738	63 150	98 758	0.6	0.7	60.7	63.9	±4.1	62.8	±5.4	14 899 993	54 686	9 044 818
MULTIFAMILY	226	8 561	12 984	1.3	0.9	72.4	65.9	±8.0	57.9	±8.1	1 354 481	77 091	980 268
ACREAGE	633	10 001	21 678	1.1	1.4	42.1	46.1	±10.7	43.6	±8.8	1 760 049	31 761	740 504
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	282	2 027	3 953	0.5	0.6	50.5	51.3	±8.6	49.9	±14.0	690 890	11 675	349 106
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	140	13 022	20 147	0.7	0.6	68.6	64.6	±9.4	(4)	(4)	3 282 647	167 252	2 250 686
NEW JERSEY													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	17 576	805 391	1 364 097	0.8	0.8	58.8	59.0	±2.7	59.1	±3.1	170 055 864	73 717	100 026 342
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	14 698	632 947	1 088 133	0.8	0.8	58.6	58.2	±2.6	57.9	±3.0	133 489 179	76 006	78 288 294
SINGLE-FAMILY	12 812	556 636	949 852	0.8	0.8	58.9	58.6	±2.6	58.3	±3.0	125 146 468	74 856	73 728 219
MULTIFAMILY	1 886	76 311	138 281	2.2	1.7	60.4	55.2	±6.3	54.9	±7.8	7 554 209	89 434	4 560 075
ACREAGE	514	24 205	44 497	0.9	1.7	44.5	54.4	±24.9	58.2	±31.1	3 111 512	57 344	1 385 148
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 417	28 551	51 373	0.4	0.7	51.3	55.6	±8.9	58.3	±9.4	8 470 985	22 429	4 346 310
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	947	119 688	180 094	0.8	0.7	63.5	66.5	±9.5	66.6	±10.3	25 226 280	212 649	16 006 590
NEW MEXICO													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	6 107	27 528	242 677	0.7	0.8	11.1	11.3	±2.2	14.8	±1.3	29 347 134	32 123	3 271 846
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 689	18 071	140 980	0.8	0.9	12.4	12.8	±1.2	14.3	±1.2	16 284 410	48 346	2 027 102
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 575	17 060	133 243	0.8	0.9	12.4	12.8	±1.2	14.3	±1.2	15 693 606	47 138	1 950 272
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	949	4 364	70 055	1.0	1.4	6.3	6.2	±1.4	(4)	(4)	4 870 488	52 361	305 602
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 341	4 156	24 915	0.5	1.0	14.1	16.7	±2.5	(4)	(4)	3 038 877	6 556	429 277
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
NEW YORK													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	33 776	578 851	2 309 552	0.7	0.9	23.3	25.1	±2.7	22.7	±2.5	282 374 536	59 954	65 868 943
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	27 414	429 542	1 779 663	0.8	0.9	22.3	24.1	±2.7	21.6	±2.6	209 286 292	60 637	46 637 176
SINGLE-FAMILY	23 181	310 236	1 312 416	0.8	0.9	22.1	23.6	±2.9	20.4	±2.7	157 964 564	56 062	34 894 339
MULTIFAMILY	4 233	119 306	467 247	0.7	1.0	25.2	25.5	±6.3	24.6	±6.2	46 574 573	73 487	11 742 837
ACREAGE	2 084	20 796	59 357	0.6	0.5	35.9	35.0	±10.5	28.4	±14.9	10 563 109	30 562	3 791 821
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 965	7 707	44 899	0.3	0.4	14.9	17.2	±4.9	15.9	±4.9	13 174 147	20 447	1 964 671
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 313	120 806	425 633	0.9	0.9	28.6	28.4	±6.6	27.6	±6.8	47 170 844	175 708	13 475 275
NORTH CAROLINA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	27 220	565 042	1 037 978	1.0	0.9	54.7	54.4	±3.7	59.0	±2.8	117 984 218	42 618	64 567 897
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	12 027	354 742	600 696	0.8	0.9	58.0	59.1	±3.0	60.4	±3.0	71 373 891	47 322	41 404 192
SINGLE-FAMILY	11 278	331 418	558 165	0.8	0.8	57.8	59.4	±3.1	60.9	±2.8	68 094 506	46 116	39 353 861
MULTIFAMILY	749	23 324	42 531	2.4	1.1	61.4	54.8	±7.9	54.6	±14.5	3 339 899	105 486	2 050 331
ACREAGE	5 282	83 994	189 254	1.0	0.8	46.3	44.4	±6.8	53.4	±13.1	23 329 841	46 311	10 792 890
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	9 108	66 696	112 128	1.4	2.0	58.3	59.5	±13.6	54.1	±6.8	5 801 476	8 820	3 381 292
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	803	59 610	135 900	0.8	0.7	45.1	43.9	±9.2	(4)	(4)	19 940 963	202 130	8 989 523
NORTH DAKOTA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 879	5 664	107 662	0.5	0.7	4.9	5.3	±0.7	6.2	±0.4	17 070 463	29 239	840 864
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 596	4 023	64 205	1.1	1.5	5.7	6.3	±0.5	6.7	±0.3	4 582 418	32 031	262 634
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 506	3 756	59 468	1.1	1.6	5.6	6.3	±0.5	6.8	±0.3	4 180 074	30 304	233 766
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	453	900	29 911	0.1	0.2	2.8	3.0	±0.9	(4)	(4)	16 471 530	50 320	464 233
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	680	433	8 193	0.8	3.1	4.5	5.3	±0.9	6.1	±2.1	314 267	3 486	14 152
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	150	308	5 353	0.6	0.3	5.8	5.8	±2.2	(4)	(4)	1 725 728	74 145	99 845

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ordinary real property involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period					Percent ratio of assessed value to sales price of sold properties					Approximate market value of all assessed ordinary real property as indicated by measurable sales[2]		Exhibit: Assessed value of all ordinary real property, 1981[3]
				Percent of assessment roll totals			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships						
							Statewide		SMSA portion of State				
	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Number of properties[1]	Assessed value	Statewide size-weighted average	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Total	Average value per parcel	
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)				(percent)		(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(thousand dollars)
OHIO													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	19 379	291 536	1 041 133	0.4	0.5	27.7	28.0	±0.9	28.3	±0.8	219 111 242	44 814	60 654 693
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	14 509	228 128	779 142	0.5	0.5	29.0	29.3	±0.5	29.3	±0.4	145 689 564	49 541	42 236 139
SINGLE-FAMILY	13 440	212 335	722 887	0.5	0.5	29.1	29.4	±0.5	29.5	±0.4	133 755 303	47 885	38 918 267
MULTIFAMILY	1 069	15 793	56 255	0.7	0.5	27.5	28.1	±1.7	27.3	±1.4	12 084 270	81 924	3 317 872
ACREAGE	1 376	14 521	74 641	0.2	0.2	19.8	19.5	±4.5	18.7	±7.2	39 903 111	68 464	7 907 035
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 479	11 051	43 700	0.2	0.6	21.2	25.3	±4.5	25.4	±5.4	9 389 985	8 306	1 992 136
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 015	37 836	143 650	0.4	0.4	26.5	26.3	±3.6	26.9	±3.8	32 171 932	136 746	8 519 383
OKLAHOMA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	17 530	56 055	845 712	1.0	1.3	6.2	6.6	±0.6	7.1	±0.7	70 154 717	40 425	4 372 471
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	10 718	46 529	595 782	1.2	1.6	7.4	7.8	±0.4	7.9	±0.4	39 029 923	44 797	2 898 940
SINGLE-FAMILY	10 130	41 546	538 540	1.2	1.5	7.4	7.7	±0.4	7.8	±0.4	37 530 080	43 617	2 773 141
MULTIFAMILY	588	4 983	57 242	5.4	4.0	9.1	8.7	±1.3	(4)	(4)	1 383 855	128 052	125 799
ACREAGE	3 055	3 749	150 277	1.0	0.6	2.9	2.5	±1.1	1.3	±1.0	22 150 374	69 680	632 149
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 749	2 001	32 854	0.6	0.8	6.1	6.1	±2.0	6.4	±1.6	3 982 477	8 061	244 617
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 008	3 776	66 799	1.9	0.6	5.2	5.7	±1.2	6.8	±1.3	11 445 526	218 902	596 765
OREGON													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	8 251	367 054	581 329	0.7	0.6	61.0	63.1	±6.2	68.4	±6.1	96 986 273	77 909	59 157 664
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	5 524	282 454	367 554	0.8	0.7	76.4	76.8	±1.5	78.3	±1.6	49 438 568	71 203	37 752 819
SINGLE-FAMILY	5 334	268 419	348 573	0.8	0.8	76.8	77.0	±1.5	78.7	±1.6	43 387 542	65 528	33 312 467
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	1 110	37 297	134 088	0.4	0.4	29.1	27.8	±13.0	27.6	±17.4	28 751 707	96 451	8 374 707
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 415	25 453	39 855	0.7	0.9	61.3	63.9	±6.1	64.9	±9.3	4 731 073	24 865	2 899 439
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
PENNSYLVANIA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	29 781	177 245	1 210 796	0.6	0.6	14.3	14.6	±1.5	15.5	±0.8	199 253 466	42 994	28 570 895
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	16 945	120 905	816 924	0.5	0.6	14.2	14.8	±1.1	15.4	±0.7	150 441 080	45 050	21 309 297
SINGLE-FAMILY	15 235	107 518	737 174	0.5	0.5	13.8	14.6	±1.1	15.2	±0.7	142 495 370	44 773	19 702 264
MULTIFAMILY	1 710	13 387	79 750	1.1	0.8	18.1	16.8	±2.2	17.8	±2.2	8 902 491	56 771	1 607 033
ACREAGE	4 435	13 357	132 501	1.4	1.0	9.3	10.1	±2.1	9.8	±2.8	13 780 621	44 815	1 287 016
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	6 817	13 190	112 044	0.9	1.5	10.6	11.8	±3.7	17.3	±6.4	8 217 645	10 894	868 496
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 584	29 793	149 327	0.7	0.6	19.8	20.0	±3.3	21.1	±4.8	25 811 440	110 692	5 106 086
RHODE ISLAND													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 856	38 315	97 111	0.5	0.6	38.8	39.5	±4.7	41.7	±5.3	16 840 479	48 216	6 537 831
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 373	29 583	73 503	0.6	0.6	40.0	40.2	±4.8	42.9	±5.4	12 639 322	54 541	5 052 012
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 026	22 800	57 052	0.6	0.6	40.2	40.0	±4.5	42.7	±5.2	10 314 402	56 421	4 143 382
MULTIFAMILY	347	6 783	16 451	0.7	0.7	38.4	41.2	±7.0	43.6	±7.8	2 366 690	48 373	908 630
ACREAGE	113	1 240	3 807	1.3	1.6	29.6	32.6	±16.1	34.7	±17.2	266 553	29 973	78 938
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	234	1 641	4 561	0.3	0.5	29.5	36.0	±9.7	38.2	±10.8	1 058 817	11 677	312 426
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	136	5 851	15 240	0.8	0.5	42.0	38.4	±6.9	39.1	±7.1	2 607 586	145 156	1 094 455
SOUTH CAROLINA[6]													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	12 176	12 626	558 216	0.8	1.1	2.2	2.3	±0.3	2.2	±0.3	54 738 212	38 040	1 181 536
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	5 755	7 089	288 269	0.7	0.9	2.2	2.5	±0.3	2.4	±0.1	34 147 791	40 068	760 448
SINGLE-FAMILY	5 386	6 344	265 289	0.7	0.9	2.2	2.4	±0.2	2.4	±0.1	31 660 273	38 604	683 719
MULTIFAMILY	369	745	22 980	1.1	1.0	3.2	3.2	±1.2	(4)	(4)	2 385 531	74 306	76 729
ACREAGE	2 137	1 510	116 778	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.3	±0.6	1.0	±0.7	11 004 589	46 726	139 853
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 814	1 958	90 165	1.3	2.7	2.2	2.2	±0.7	2.9	±0.5	3 391 731	11 489	73 810
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	470	2 069	63 004	0.8	1.0	3.2	3.3	±0.8	(4)	(4)	6 413 689	114 530	207 425
SOUTH DAKOTA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 603	31 221	124 970	0.6	0.6	24.8	25.0	±1.5	26.6	±1.1	21 375 656	49 545	5 300 856
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 660	20 875	72 177	1.0	1.1	28.5	28.9	±0.7	27.2	±0.6	6 462 380	39 251	1 844 311
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 587	19 545	68 004	1.0	1.2	28.2	28.7	±0.7	26.8	±0.6	5 935 890	37 089	1 674 868
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	497	7 445	42 057	0.3	0.3	17.7	17.7	±1.6	(4)	(4)	16 145 195	82 627	2 865 097
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	310	771	2 832	0.6	1.0	23.2	27.2	±7.3	(4)	(4)	324 125	6 454	75 116
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	136	2 130	7 904	0.6	0.4	28.0	26.9	±6.5	(4)	(4)	1 842 606	86 997	516 332
TENNESSEE													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	14 283	89 339	600 802	0.7	0.7	14.6	14.9	±1.9	16.0	±2.5	85 998 203	41 882	12 589 131
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	8 658	61 025	408 884	0.8	0.9	14.1	14.9	±1.0	15.8	±1.1	47 940 313	42 255	6 776 332
SINGLE-FAMILY	8 076	55 065	376 248	0.7	0.9	13.9	14.6	±0.9	15.5	±0.9	46 436 154	41 760	6 465 671
MULTIFAMILY	582	5 960	32 636	2.6	1.9	18.7	18.3	±6.7	18.8	±7.4	1 660 673	73 566	310 661
ACREAGE	1 868	4 426	52 073	0.7	0.2	9.2	8.5	±2.0	8.3	±3.9	22 675 215	79 708	2 076 312
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 155	11 237	59 170	0.6	1.9	14.0	19.0	±12.3	19.8	±14.4	4 285 399	8 161	598 264
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	602	12 651	80 675	0.6	0.4	15.9	15.7	±9.3	16.2	±10.9	19 749 369	180 873	3 138 223
TEXAS													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	59 090	1 109 052	3 106 548	0.8	0.9	33.0	35.7	±2.7	35.7	±2.8	386 753 608	52 490	127 567 797
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	32 784	831 873	1 989 423	0.9	1.1	39.7	41.8	±2.2	41.5	±2.1	194 870 874	51 773	77 374 587
SINGLE-FAMILY	31 141	786 429	1 842 625	0.8	1.1	39.9	42.7	±2.2	42.3	±2.0	185 245 570	49 906	73 946 118
MULTIFAMILY	1 643	45 444	146 798	3.2	1.3	42.9	31.0	±8.4	31.5	±9.5	8 000 877	153 550	3 428 469
ACREAGE	5 606	107 188	388 357	0.5	0.5	28.7	27.6	±5.1	29.7	±6.2	82 387 036	68 856	23 626 354
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	18 119	90 655	402 321	0.8	1.1	21.4	22.5	±6.6	21.0	±6.5	39 954 320	18 327	8 556 349
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 581	79 336	326 447	1.1	0.4	21.7	24.3	±7.7	22.6	±7.7	83 031 272	364 982	18 010 507

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 11. Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by Use Category of Property and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ordinary real property involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period: Number of properties[1]	Assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Percent of assessment roll totals: Number of properties[1]	Percent of assessment roll totals: Assessed value	Percent ratio of assessed value to sales price of sold properties: Statewide size-weighted average	Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Statewide: Ratio (percent)	Statewide: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	SMSA portion of State: Ratio (percent)	SMSA portion of State: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Approximate market value of all assessed ordinary real property as indicated by measurable sales[2]: Total (thousand dollars)	Average value per parcel (dollars)	Exhibit: Assessed value of all ordinary real property, 1981[3] (thousand dollars)
UTAH													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 135	29 273	279 704	0.7	0.8	10.6	10.5	±0.6	10.7	±0.7	35 528 966	50 508	3 761 684
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 356	22 996	205 966	0.9	0.9	11.3	11.2	±0.4	11.3	±0.4	24 013 492	44 346	2 703 574
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 197	21 876	195 430	0.9	0.9	11.2	11.2	±0.4	11.4	±0.4	22 092 879	41 836	2 477 444
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	618	1 582	25 409	0.5	0.6	6.3	6.2	±1.9	(4)	(4)	4 551 299	37 390	285 623
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	948	1 910	18 141	0.5	0.9	9.3	10.5	±3.5	12.1	±5.5	2 321 122	12 417	216 780
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
VERMONT													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 181	560	117 438	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.5	±0.1	(7)	(7)	12 693 588	53 956	59 322
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 496	401	71 273	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.6	±0.1	(7)	(7)	7 894 951	45 799	44 419
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 289	342	60 052	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.6	±0.1	(7)	(7)	7 061 027	44 123	40 213
MULTIFAMILY	207	59	11 221	1.7	1.4	0.5	0.5	±0.1	(7)	(7)	799 924	44 755	4 206
ACREAGE	412	47	19 161	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.2	±0.1	(7)	(7)	2 716 378	43 459	6 663
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(7)	(7)	(4)	(4)	(4)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	153	99	23 823	1.3	1.2	0.4	0.4	±0.2	(7)	(7)	1 921 241	146 140	7 984
VIRGINIA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	18 665	1 016 595	1 296 776	0.9	1.1	76.6	78.4	±2.3	79.7	±2.5	117 336 712	47 607	89 847 898
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	14 135	852 971	1 053 931	1.1	1.3	79.8	80.9	±1.2	81.4	±1.3	82 616 058	46 707	65 955 542
SINGLE-FAMILY	13 350	798 182	977 833	1.1	1.3	80.8	81.6	±0.9	82.2	±0.9	77 250 917	44 740	62 425 008
MULTIFAMILY	785	54 789	76 098	1.7	1.6	68.6	72.0	±9.3	71.3	±9.7	5 148 458	113 796	3 530 534
ACREAGE	2 017	60 454	102 697	0.6	0.5	59.1	58.9	±18.3	60.9	±33.1	19 061 836	58 486	11 267 176
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 968	55 915	78 261	0.5	1.4	68.6	71.4	±9.3	71.5	±10.5	5 818 605	13 966	3 990 843
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	545	47 255	61 887	1.0	0.5	75.5	76.4	±8.1	78.5	±9.5	11 443 334	205 118	8 634 337
WASHINGTON													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	11 643	529 161	748 647	0.5	0.6	69.7	70.7	±2.4	71.8	±2.7	130 041 768	40 231	90 691 608
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	8 120	447 159	601 460	0.7	0.7	74.1	74.3	±1.6	75.3	±1.7	87 899 400	72 695	65 128 953
SINGLE-FAMILY	7 554	394 400	531 157	0.7	0.7	74.1	74.3	±1.7	75.2	±1.8	80 342 633	49 156	59 501 053
MULTIFAMILY	566	52 759	70 303	1.2	0.9	75.8	75.0	±4.6	76.4	±5.5	7 421 265	146 626	5 627 900
ACREAGE	1 249	18 228	47 283	0.3	0.2	42.0	38.6	±7.6	36.0	±13.1	22 446 051	51 415	9 435 336
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 922	35 180	46 447	0.4	0.7	64.1	75.7	±17.0	58.6	±8.9	7 833 655	17 761	5 018 551
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	352	28 594	53 457	0.5	0.3	63.0	53.5	±14.9	(4)	(4)	17 636 103	243 940	11 108 768
WEST VIRGINIA													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 692	38 793	182 810	0.6	0.7	20.7	21.2	±2.3	20.6	±1.4	26 275 921	26 430	5 430 213
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 171	28 172	127 197	0.6	0.7	20.5	22.1	±2.2	20.3	±1.3	18 366 085	13 788	3 766 605
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 942	26 524	119 834	0.6	0.7	20.1	22.1	±2.3	20.3	±1.5	17 875 072	13 576	3 593 186
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	1 115	3 096	20 610	0.5	0.4	19.5	15.0	±5.2	17.5	±4.2	3 571 367	17 267	696 996
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 100	2 670	11 948	0.5	1.5	18.5	22.3	±4.1	23.1	±5.4	963 533	4 389	178 364
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	306	4 855	23 055	1.3	0.6	23.0	21.1	±3.7	(4)	(4)	3 421 032	141 143	788 248
WISCONSIN[6]													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	10 843	361 580	552 286	0.4	0.5	62.3	65.5	±5.4	65.9	±7.3	106 590 554	43 515	66 404 064
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	7 359	285 710	424 379	0.6	0.7	65.0	67.3	±4.9	66.8	±6.2	64 219 295	43 568	41 764 831
SINGLE-FAMILY	6 656	243 289	371 020	0.6	0.6	63.3	65.6	±4.7	66.8	±6.0	59 647 048	41 789	37 775 559
MULTIFAMILY	703	42 421	53 359	1.4	1.1	85.5	79.5	±14.9	79.9	±17.6	4 667 315	90 485	3 989 272
ACREAGE	1 692	18 560	50 811	0.2	0.1	39.8	36.5	±10.5	30.6	±12.3	37 465 553	43 142	14 900 239
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 423	16 492	26 846	0.5	1.1	40.8	61.4	±24.7	62.4	±30.4	3 693 014	12 650	1 505 798
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	369	40 818	50 250	0.4	0.5	79.8	81.2	±23.6	(4)	(4)	10 317 602	120 211	8 233 196
WYOMING													
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 676	6 121	109 254	0.9	0.7	6.0	5.6	±0.6	(7)	(7)	13 719 213	70 479	826 116
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 137	4 700	75 967	1.1	1.1	6.2	6.2	±0.4	(7)	(7)	7 032 354	47 001	438 651
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 074	4 290	70 453	1.0	1.0	6.1	6.1	±0.3	(7)	(7)	6 756 984	45 983	414 020
MULTIFAMILY	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(7)	(7)	(4)	(4)	(4)
ACREAGE	140	611	17 347	0.3	0.3	4.1	3.5	±1.7	(7)	(7)	5 820 184	142 701	237 528
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	328	403	11 878	0.9	1.8	3.1	3.4	±1.3	(7)	(7)	732 392	19 484	22 956
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(7)	(7)	(4)	(4)	(4)

Note: These data are estimates subject to sampling variation. Absolute sampling errors shown for aggregate assessment-sales price ratios are computed at the two standard error, 95 percent confidence level; see text. Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

[1]These do not constitute estimates of gross turnover of realty because certain transfers were either nonrespondent to our mail canvass questionnaire or deemed not usable for ratio calculation and were necessarily excluded.

[2]For each type, the quotient of estimated assessed value divided by respective size-weighted average ratio. Total market value amounts, at either State or national levels, may not equal summations of detail shown due to independent computation at each level of display.

[3]For each type, amounts shown here are estimates obtained by summing values of sampled "ordinary" properties and then expanding the results to reflect statewide totals. Consequently, these aggregates will not compare exactly with data in other tables.

[4]Not computed because of insufficient sample representation.

[5]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but distributions are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[6]Distributions in South Carolina and Wisconsin include "manufacturing" property, assessed, in each instance, by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed."

[7]There were no SMSA's (or analogous NECMA's) in Vermont and Wyoming as of July 1, 1979, the reference date used for the selection of SMSA's.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
ALABAMA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 555 933	514 974	865 804	128 464	29 954	11 987	3 023	1 400	327	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	916 688	138 140	674 092	89 647	11 832	2 069	519	306	83	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	895 635	136 586	663 872	83 748	9 791	1 288	268	107	15	-
MULTIFAMILY	21 053	1 594	10 220	5 899	2 041	781	251	199	68	-
ACREAGE	369 416	202 272	132 664	23 078	7 767	2 681	628	262	64	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	216 602	170 042	41 932	2 974	1 024	552	50	26	2	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	53 227	4 520	17 116	12 765	9 331	6 685	1 826	806	178	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	33.1	55.6	8.3	1.9	0.8	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	58.9	8.9	43.3	5.8	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	57.6	8.8	42.7	5.4	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	1.4	0.1	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	23.7	13.0	8.5	1.5	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13.9	10.9	2.7	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.4	0.3	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 421	241	2 097	848	406	354	205	191	79	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 655	91	1 679	577	155	57	35	41	20	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 474	90	1 651	537	126	35	18	14	4	-
MULTIFAMILY	181	1	29	41	29	22	17	27	16	-
ACREAGE	812	85	293	158	104	79	41	35	16	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	198	63	76	20	14	17	4	4	1	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	756	3	49	92	133	201	125	111	42	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	5.5	47.4	19.2	9.2	8.0	4.6	4.3	1.8	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	60.1	2.1	38.0	13.1	3.5	1.3	0.8	0.9	0.4	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	56.0	2.0	37.3	12.1	2.8	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.1	-
MULTIFAMILY	4.1	(Z)	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	-
ACREAGE	18.4	1.9	6.6	3.6	2.4	1.8	0.9	0.8	0.4	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.5	1.4	1.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	17.1	0.1	1.1	2.1	3.0	4.5	2.8	2.5	1.0	-
ALASKA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	187 247	2 688	12 672	34 944	32 064	36 096	46 656	17 472	3 268	1 387
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	83 441	384	384	1 536	4 608	20 224	41 024	14 016	1 056	209
SINGLE-FAMILY	75 003	384	384	1 536	4 608	18 688	38 400	10 560	416	27
MULTIFAMILY	8 438	-	-	-	-	1 536	2 624	3 456	640	182
ACREAGE	10 592	768	384	384	2 304	3 648	1 536	992	400	176
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	86 199	1 536	11 904	33 024	24 768	11 712	2 368	736	112	39
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	7 015	-	-	-	384	512	1 728	1 728	1 700	963
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	1.4	6.8	18.7	17.1	19.3	24.9	9.3	1.7	0.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	44.6	0.2	0.2	0.8	2.5	10.8	21.9	7.5	0.6	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	40.1	0.2	0.2	0.8	2.5	10.0	20.5	5.6	0.2	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	4.5	-	-	-	-	0.8	1.4	1.8	0.3	0.1
ACREAGE	5.7	0.4	0.2	0.2	1.2	1.9	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	46.0	0.8	6.4	17.6	13.2	6.3	1.3	0.4	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.7	-	-	-	0.2	0.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.5
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	9 972	1	45	201	466	1 133	3 447	2 228	953	1 439
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	6 017	-	2	13	72	675	3 042	1 732	285	196
SINGLE-FAMILY	4 969	-	2	13	72	620	2 834	1 284	101	23
MULTIFAMILY	1 068	-	-	-	-	55	208	447	185	173
ACREAGE	687	-	2	3	35	113	111	151	114	158
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 266	1	41	245	353	325	156	89	27	29
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 001	-	-	-	6	20	138	256	526	1 055
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.4	2.6	4.7	11.4	34.6	22.3	9.6	14.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	60.3	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.7	6.8	30.5	17.4	2.9	2.0
SINGLE-FAMILY	49.6	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.7	6.2	28.4	12.9	1.0	0.2
MULTIFAMILY	10.7	-	-	-	-	0.6	2.1	4.5	1.9	1.7
ACREAGE	6.9	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.4	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.6
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	12.7	(Z)	0.4	2.5	3.5	3.3	1.6	0.9	0.3	0.3
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	20.1	-	-	-	0.1	0.2	1.4	2.6	5.3	10.6
ARIZONA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 554 885	683 604	569 230	236 420	45 760	14 657	3 547	1 662	5	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	760 218	87 528	437 382	202 054	27 846	4 443	584	379	2	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	723 267	86 868	417 234	192 732	23 407	2 893	80	53	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	36 951	660	20 148	9 322	4 439	1 550	504	326	2	-
ACREAGE	34 247	13 200	12 650	4 420	2 976	896	95	10	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	714 130	578 820	107 016	20 194	5 328	2 300	352	120	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	46 290	4 056	12 182	9 752	9 610	7 018	2 516	1 153	3	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	44.0	36.6	15.2	2.9	0.9	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	48.9	5.6	28.1	13.0	1.8	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	46.5	5.6	26.8	12.4	1.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.4	(Z)	1.3	0.6	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	2.2	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	45.9	37.2	6.9	1.3	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.0	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. **Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981**—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
ARIZONA--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 994	194	1 764	1 549	604	426	247	210	1	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 378	51	1 444	1 312	355	126	41	49	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 063	50	1 384	1 245	296	77	5	7	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	315	-	60	67	60	49	36	42	-	-
ACREAGE	138	3	32	32	40	24	6	1	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	699	138	251	134	71	66	23	15	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	779	2	36	70	137	210	177	145	1	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	3.9	35.3	31.0	12.1	8.5	4.9	4.2	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	67.6	1.0	28.9	26.3	7.1	2.5	0.8	1.0	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	61.3	1.0	27.7	24.9	5.9	1.5	0.1	0.1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	6.3	(Z)	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.8	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	2.8	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.1	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	14.0	2.8	5.0	2.7	1.4	1.3	0.5	0.3	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.6	(Z)	0.7	1.4	2.7	4.2	3.5	2.9	(Z)	-
ARKANSAS										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 718 245	1 067 064	487 694	113 316	37 587	9 950	1 876	540	203	15
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	585 765	138 990	338 250	84 034	21 900	2 194	274	81	41	1
SINGLE-FAMILY	577 376	137 614	334 479	82 146	21 180	1 882	75	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	8 389	1 376	3 771	1 888	720	312	199	81	41	1
ACREAGE	626 489	470 294	119 053	21 538	10 249	4 684	622	46	2	1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	466 779	452 871	12 530	1 120	176	80	1	1	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	39 212	4 909	17 861	6 624	5 262	2 992	979	412	160	13
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	62.1	28.4	6.6	2.2	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	34.1	8.1	19.7	4.9	1.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	33.6	8.0	19.5	4.8	1.2	0.1	(Z)	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	36.5	27.4	6.9	1.3	0.6	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	27.2	26.4	0.7	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2.3	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 289	293	1 164	777	498	288	128	75	54	12
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 862	74	831	577	278	62	18	11	11	1
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 782	73	821	564	268	51	4	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	80	1	10	13	10	11	14	11	11	1
ACREAGE	871	135	266	148	143	131	42	6	1	1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	116	82	21	7	2	4	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	440	2	46	45	76	92	69	58	43	10
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	8.9	35.4	23.6	15.2	8.8	3.9	2.3	1.7	0.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	56.6	2.3	25.3	17.6	8.4	1.9	0.5	0.3	0.3	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	54.2	2.2	25.0	17.2	8.1	1.6	0.1	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.4	(Z)	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	(Z)
ACREAGE	26.5	4.1	8.1	4.5	4.3	4.0	1.3	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.5	2.5	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.4	0.1	1.4	1.4	2.3	2.8	2.1	1.8	1.3	0.3
CALIFORNIA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	8 163 369	241 128	597 816	438 720	759 848	3 009 836	2 136 194	721 258	203 116	55 453
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	5 903 690	5 352	24 000	92 616	455 444	2 674 808	1 933 344	587 232	113 487	17 407
SINGLE-FAMILY	5 368 849	4 200	23 232	89 640	417 892	2 488 248	1 769 552	495 630	74 956	5 499
MULTIFAMILY	534 841	1 152	768	2 976	37 552	186 560	163 792	91 602	38 531	11 908
ACREAGE	356 242	10 920	46 200	46 500	51 916	79 668	61 618	37 252	17 767	4 401
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 508 958	224 472	519 168	281 568	214 756	165 392	56 334	27 872	14 535	4 861
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	394 479	384	8 448	18 036	37 732	89 968	84 898	68 902	57 327	28 784
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	3.0	7.3	5.4	9.3	36.9	26.2	8.8	2.5	0.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	72.3	0.1	0.3	1.1	5.6	32.8	23.7	7.2	1.4	0.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	65.8	0.1	0.3	1.1	5.1	30.5	21.7	6.1	0.9	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	6.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.5	2.3	2.0	1.1	0.5	0.1
ACREAGE	4.4	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	18.5	2.7	6.4	3.4	2.6	2.0	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.8	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.4
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	469 172	110	1 647	3 184	11 491	102 502	149 334	96 678	58 884	45 342
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	358 067	2	85	730	7 171	91 799	134 975	77 947	31 690	13 668
SINGLE-FAMILY	305 482	1	83	705	6 563	85 426	123 251	65 225	20 374	3 854
MULTIFAMILY	52 585	1	2	25	609	6 373	11 724	12 722	11 316	9 814
ACREAGE	21 972	4	138	336	750	2 647	4 382	5 151	5 192	3 371
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	27 536	104	1 397	1 979	3 008	5 017	3 899	3 821	4 323	3 989
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	61 597	-	27	139	562	3 039	6 078	9 759	17 678	24 315
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.4	0.7	2.4	21.8	31.8	20.6	12.6	9.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	76.3	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	1.5	19.6	28.8	16.6	6.8	2.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	65.1	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	1.4	18.2	26.3	13.9	4.3	0.8
MULTIFAMILY	11.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	1.4	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.1
ACREAGE	4.7	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.7
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.9	(Z)	0.3	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.6	1.3	2.1	3.8	5.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
COLORADO										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 544 405	374 173	500 432	437 458	189 459	32 646	6 683	2 947	607	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	891 783	35 860	265 268	407 788	165 115	15 991	1 162	509	90	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	872 428	35 860	260 464	401 348	160 909	13 424	345	78	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	19 355	-	4 804	6 440	4 206	2 567	817	431	90	-
ACREAGE	123 922	55 152	44 642	12 528	7 990	3 011	520	79	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	476 985	281 381	182 030	8 466	3 500	1 321	184	102	3	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	51 715	1 780	8 492	8 678	12 854	12 323	4 817	2 257	514	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	24.2	32.4	28.3	12.3	2.1	0.4	0.2	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	57.7	2.3	17.2	26.4	10.7	1.0	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	56.5	2.3	16.9	26.0	10.4	0.9	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	1.3	-	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	8.0	3.6	2.9	0.8	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	30.9	18.2	11.8	0.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.3	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.1	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	9 044	177	1 326	3 146	2 441	945	458	409	141	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	6 527	23	864	2 934	2 100	435	82	68	21	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	6 187	23	847	2 887	2 042	354	23	10	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	340	-	17	46	58	81	59	58	21	-
ACREAGE	463	21	109	90	109	89	36	10	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	628	132	328	57	45	40	11	15	1	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 426	1	26	66	188	382	329	316	120	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	2.0	14.7	34.8	27.0	10.5	5.1	4.5	1.6	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	72.2	0.3	9.6	32.4	23.2	4.8	0.9	0.8	0.2	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	68.4	0.3	9.4	31.9	22.6	3.9	0.3	0.1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.8	-	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.2	-
ACREAGE	5.1	0.2	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.4	0.1	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	6.9	1.5	3.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.8	(Z)	0.3	0.7	2.1	4.2	3.6	3.5	1.3	-
CONNECTICUT										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 021 054	34 906	61 115	56 974	230 483	478 633	120 541	28 617	7 872	1 913
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	808 840	706	5 022	23 952	196 167	450 704	107 652	20 600	3 524	513
SINGLE-FAMILY	770 557	706	4 638	22 416	188 049	427 841	104 355	19 328	3 030	194
MULTIFAMILY	38 283	-	384	1 536	8 118	22 863	3 297	1 272	494	319
ACREAGE	36 150	6 038	8 201	6 202	6 289	6 264	2 203	665	280	8
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	134 969	28 162	47 508	25 224	23 958	8 072	1 324	589	104	28
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	41 095	-	384	1 596	4 069	13 593	9 362	6 763	3 964	1 364
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	3.4	6.0	5.6	22.6	46.9	11.8	2.8	0.8	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	79.2	0.1	0.5	2.3	19.2	44.1	10.5	2.0	0.3	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	75.5	0.1	0.5	2.2	18.4	41.9	10.2	1.9	0.3	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.7	-	(Z)	0.2	0.8	2.2	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	3.5	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13.2	2.8	4.7	2.5	2.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.0	-	(Z)	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	34 566	19	166	434	3 553	14 866	7 956	3 846	2 295	1 431
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	28 403	-	18	197	3 080	13 956	7 060	2 745	972	374
SINGLE-FAMILY	26 787	-	17	183	2 951	13 281	6 848	2 577	800	130
MULTIFAMILY	1 615	-	1	14	129	674	212	168	173	244
ACREAGE	676	3	20	46	81	209	153	90	66	7
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 108	16	127	179	328	243	87	77	33	18
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4 380	-	2	12	64	458	655	933	1 224	1 033
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	0.5	1.3	10.3	43.0	23.0	11.1	6.6	4.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	82.2	(Z)	0.1	0.6	8.9	40.4	20.4	7.9	2.8	1.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	77.5	(Z)	(Z)	0.5	8.5	38.4	19.8	7.5	2.3	0.4
MULTIFAMILY	4.7	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.4	2.0	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7
ACREAGE	2.0	(Z)	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.2	(Z)	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.7	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	1.3	1.9	2.7	3.5	3.0
DELAWARE										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	240 364	17 280	49 536	56 688	76 592	34 808	3 424	1 130	714	192
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	180 776	4 080	26 160	49 248	70 080	29 488	1 460	118	96	46
SINGLE-FAMILY	179 982	4 080	26 160	49 248	69 632	29 296	1 428	68	64	6
MULTIFAMILY	794	-	-	-	448	192	32	50	32	40
ACREAGE	18 955	2 064	4 944	4 128	3 936	2 728	716	324	98	17
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	32 355	11 136	17 664	2 352	848	272	-	64	16	3
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8 278	-	768	960	1 728	2 320	1 248	624	504	126
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	7.2	20.6	23.6	31.9	14.5	1.4	0.5	0.3	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	75.2	1.7	10.9	20.5	29.2	12.3	0.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	74.9	1.7	10.9	20.5	29.0	12.2	0.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	0.3	-	-	-	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	7.9	0.9	2.1	1.7	1.6	1.1	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13.5	4.6	7.3	1.0	0.4	0.1	-	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.4	-	0.3	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
DELAWARE--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 354	10	129	433	1 090	980	232	158	202	120
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 443	2	80	378	996	821	94	16	28	29
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 382	2	80	378	989	814	90	9	16	4
MULTIFAMILY	61	-	-	-	7	7	3	7	12	25
ACREAGE	313	1	12	34	57	77	49	44	28	11
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	90	6	34	15	13	6	-	10	4	2
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	508	-	2	7	23	77	90	88	143	78
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.3	3.9	12.9	32.5	29.2	6.9	4.7	6.0	3.6
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	72.8	0.1	2.4	11.3	29.7	24.5	2.8	0.5	0.8	0.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	71.0	0.1	2.4	11.3	29.5	24.3	2.7	0.3	0.5	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	1.8	-	-	-	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.7
ACREAGE	9.3	(Z)	0.4	1.0	1.7	2.3	1.5	1.3	0.8	0.3
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.7	0.2	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	-	0.3	0.1	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.2	-	0.1	0.2	0.7	2.3	2.7	2.6	4.3	2.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	140 097	960	2 688	3 456	7 488	58 624	34 880	24 480	6 256	1 265
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	117 175	-	384	192	2 688	54 016	32 768	22 304	4 304	519
SINGLE-FAMILY	103 515	-	384	192	2 304	49 152	29 824	18 304	3 264	91
MULTIFAMILY	13 660	-	-	-	384	4 864	2 944	4 000	1 040	428
ACREAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13 205	960	2 304	2 880	4 032	2 304	256	256	144	69
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	9 717	-	-	384	768	2 304	1 856	1 920	1 808	677
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.7	1.9	2.5	5.3	41.8	24.9	17.5	4.5	0.9
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	83.6	-	0.3	0.1	1.9	38.6	23.4	15.9	3.1	0.4
SINGLE-FAMILY	73.9	-	0.3	0.1	1.6	35.1	21.3	13.1	2.3	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	9.8	-	-	-	0.3	3.5	2.1	2.9	0.7	0.3
ACREAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	9.4	0.7	1.6	2.1	2.9	1.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	6.9	-	-	0.3	0.5	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.3	0.5
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	11 001	-	9	25	109	2 204	2 384	3 274	1 761	1 234
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	8 950	-	1	2	42	2 052	2 235	2 961	1 172	484
SINGLE-FAMILY	7 275	-	1	2	35	1 887	2 020	2 409	860	61
MULTIFAMILY	1 675	-	-	-	7	165	216	552	312	424
ACREAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	328	-	8	21	56	72	19	35	40	78
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 723	-	-	3	11	80	130	278	549	672
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.1	0.2	1.0	20.0	21.7	29.8	16.0	11.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	81.4	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.4	18.7	20.3	26.9	10.7	4.4
SINGLE-FAMILY	66.1	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.3	17.2	18.4	21.9	7.8	0.6
MULTIFAMILY	15.2	-	-	-	0.1	1.5	2.0	5.0	2.8	3.9
ACREAGE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.0	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.7
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.7	-	-	(Z)	0.1	0.7	1.2	2.5	5.0	6.1
FLORIDA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 283 687	345 729	1 027 809	418 762	590 150	1 803 689	818 635	200 490	59 301	19 122
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 046 214	5 861	57 983	127 502	374 472	1 596 950	711 589	143 404	24 687	3 766
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 889 813	5 477	55 372	124 968	358 186	1 527 852	666 605	130 480	19 504	1 369
MULTIFAMILY	156 401	384	2 611	2 534	16 286	69 098	44 984	12 924	5 183	2 397
ACREAGE	437 810	74 999	116 909	51 480	58 727	69 612	38 712	15 767	8 069	3 535
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 633 795	259 869	846 243	234 097	143 168	100 094	30 401	11 696	6 057	2 170
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	165 868	5 000	6 674	5 683	13 783	37 033	37 933	29 623	20 488	9 651
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	6.5	19.5	7.9	11.2	34.1	15.5	3.8	1.1	0.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	57.7	0.1	1.1	2.4	7.1	30.2	13.5	2.7	0.5	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	54.7	0.1	1.0	2.4	6.8	28.9	12.6	2.5	0.4	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.0	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.3	1.3	0.9	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	8.3	1.4	2.2	1.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	30.9	4.9	16.0	4.4	2.7	1.9	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.2
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	191 199	174	2 526	3 039	8 784	60 483	54 888	26 573	17 453	17 280
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	137 019	4	196	968	5 754	53 899	47 410	18 660	6 875	3 253
SINGLE-FAMILY	125 802	3	189	952	5 510	51 517	44 361	16 907	5 350	1 013
MULTIFAMILY	11 217	-	7	17	243	2 382	3 049	1 753	1 525	2 240
ACREAGE	14 544	35	279	364	839	2 239	2 689	2 160	2 451	3 487
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	16 286	134	2 031	1 665	1 984	3 046	2 085	1 630	1 828	1 883
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	23 351	2	20	41	207	1 299	2 704	4 123	6 299	8 657
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	1.3	1.6	4.6	31.6	28.7	13.9	9.1	9.0
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	71.7	(Z)	0.1	0.5	3.0	28.2	24.8	9.8	3.6	1.7
SINGLE-FAMILY	65.8	(Z)	0.1	0.5	2.9	26.9	23.2	8.8	2.8	0.5
MULTIFAMILY	5.9	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	1.2	1.6	0.9	0.8	1.2
ACREAGE	7.6	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.4	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.8
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	8.5	0.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.6	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.0
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.7	1.4	2.2	3.3	4.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
GEORGIA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 208 669	251 429	570 716	448 330	579 215	303 736	36 300	11 765	5 798	1 380
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 452 206	14 189	299 580	376 013	512 931	232 408	13 167	2 451	1 068	399
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 428 707	14 189	296 848	372 439	506 793	225 223	11 383	1 529	254	49
MULTIFAMILY	23 499	-	2 732	3 574	6 138	7 185	1 784	922	814	350
ACREAGE	307 655	74 259	105 866	35 539	36 794	39 213	11 177	3 613	1 002	192
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	348 945	159 709	149 752	22 888	11 250	4 059	922	288	65	12
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	99 863	3 272	15 518	13 890	18 240	28 056	11 034	5 413	3 663	777
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	11.4	25.8	20.3	26.2	13.8	1.6	0.5	0.3	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	65.8	0.6	13.6	17.0	23.2	10.5	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	64.7	0.6	13.4	16.9	22.9	10.2	0.5	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	-	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	13.9	3.4	4.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	15.8	7.2	6.8	1.0	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.5	0.1	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	28 536	124	1 583	3 324	8 279	8 545	2 451	1 592	1 756	883
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	19 155	8	955	2 805	7 325	6 314	845	324	326	254
SINGLE-FAMILY	18 094	8	948	2 776	7 233	6 111	719	198	73	29
MULTIFAMILY	1 061	-	7	29	92	203	126	126	253	225
ACREAGE	3 954	35	252	255	530	1 209	777	488	281	127
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	968	79	328	157	160	118	61	40	18	7
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4 459	2	49	106	263	904	768	740	1 132	495
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.4	5.5	11.6	29.0	29.9	8.6	5.6	6.2	3.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	67.1	(Z)	3.3	9.8	25.7	22.1	3.0	1.1	1.1	0.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	63.4	(Z)	3.3	9.7	25.3	21.4	2.5	0.7	0.3	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	3.7	-	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.8
ACREAGE	13.9	0.1	0.9	0.9	1.9	4.2	2.7	1.7	1.0	0.4
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.4	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.6	(Z)	0.2	0.4	0.9	3.2	2.7	2.6	4.0	1.7
HAWAII										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	350 163	11 904	40 320	30 336	16 128	100 992	117 056	26 432	5 584	1 411
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	219 594	-	-	1 152	4 032	81 088	107 200	22 720	3 088	314
SINGLE-FAMILY	215 479	-	-	1 152	4 032	80 704	106 112	21 024	2 304	151
MULTIFAMILY	4 115	-	-	-	-	384	1 088	1 696	784	163
ACREAGE	63 689	9 600	12 672	18 048	4 992	9 984	6 080	1 536	656	121
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	59 673	2 304	27 648	11 136	6 912	8 384	2 176	608	400	105
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	7 207	-	-	-	192	1 536	1 600	1 568	1 440	871
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	3.4	11.5	8.7	4.6	28.8	33.4	7.5	1.6	0.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	62.7	-	-	0.3	1.2	23.2	30.6	6.5	0.9	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	61.5	-	-	0.3	1.2	23.0	30.3	6.0	0.7	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.2	-	-	-	-	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.2	(Z)
ACREAGE	18.2	2.7	3.6	5.2	1.4	2.9	1.7	0.4	0.2	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	17.0	0.7	7.9	3.2	2.0	2.4	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2.1	-	-	-	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	18 568	3	132	197	255	3 655	8 233	3 458	1 607	1 027
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	14 547	-	-	10	72	2 996	7 509	2 926	820	214
SINGLE-FAMILY	13 851	-	-	10	72	2 980	7 421	2 693	579	97
MULTIFAMILY	695	-	-	-	-	16	88	233	241	117
ACREAGE	1 497	2	44	115	71	330	440	207	195	93
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	983	1	88	73	109	269	156	92	121	74
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 542	-	-	-	3	60	129	233	471	647
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.7	1.1	1.4	19.7	44.3	18.6	8.7	5.5
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	78.3	-	-	0.1	0.4	16.1	40.4	15.8	4.4	1.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	74.6	-	-	0.1	0.4	16.0	40.0	14.5	3.1	0.5
MULTIFAMILY	3.7	-	-	-	-	0.1	0.5	1.3	1.3	0.6
ACREAGE	8.1	(Z)	0.2	0.6	0.4	1.8	2.4	1.1	1.1	0.5
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.3	(Z)	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.4
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8.3	-	-	-	(Z)	0.3	0.7	1.3	2.5	3.5
IDAHO										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	495 291	19 046	66 580	54 941	70 265	171 672	90 234	17 175	4 372	1 006
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	249 678	-	3 706	10 445	33 683	131 550	65 017	4 961	273	43
SINGLE-FAMILY	246 332	-	3 706	10 381	33 481	130 731	63 294	4 551	180	8
MULTIFAMILY	3 346	-	-	64	202	819	1 723	410	93	35
ACREAGE	127 920	7 817	21 658	16 222	21 714	30 420	19 273	8 563	2 039	214
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	97 170	11 229	40 733	27 541	13 126	3 784	513	205	33	6
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	20 523	-	483	733	1 742	5 918	5 431	3 446	2 027	743
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	3.8	13.4	11.1	14.2	34.7	18.2	3.5	0.9	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	50.4	-	0.7	2.1	6.8	26.6	13.1	1.0	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	49.7	-	0.7	2.1	6.8	26.4	12.8	0.9	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	0.7	-	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	25.8	1.6	4.4	3.3	4.4	6.1	3.9	1.7	0.4	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	19.6	2.3	8.2	5.6	2.7	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.1	-	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.2	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
IDAHO--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	17 895	9	195	398	1 033	5 915	5 992	2 248	1 241	864
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	10 106	-	13	80	510	4 586	4 205	605	70	37
SINGLE-FAMILY	9 845	-	13	80	507	4 557	4 083	553	47	5
MULTIFAMILY	261	-	-	1	3	28	121	52	24	32
ACREAGE	4 738	3	62	119	315	1 018	1 357	1 147	565	151
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	679	6	118	193	180	106	35	28	10	4
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 371	-	2	6	27	205	395	470	595	672
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	1.1	2.2	5.8	33.1	33.5	12.6	6.9	4.8
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	56.5	-	0.1	0.4	2.9	25.6	23.5	3.4	0.4	0.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	55.0	-	0.1	0.4	2.8	25.5	22.8	3.1	0.3	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.5	-	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.2
ACREAGE	26.5	(Z)	0.3	0.7	1.8	5.7	7.6	6.4	3.2	0.8
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.8	(Z)	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.2	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	1.1	2.2	2.6	3.3	3.8
ILLINOIS										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 122 602	389 160	741 505	862 671	1 330 455	671 155	86 780	25 904	12 705	2 267
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 567 794	21 694	289 011	661 293	1 084 394	467 603	34 316	6 992	2 155	336
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 357 681	21 694	269 043	585 716	1 021 117	434 120	23 760	1 962	243	26
MULTIFAMILY	210 113	-	19 968	75 577	63 277	33 483	10 556	5 030	1 912	310
ACREAGE	585 879	53 870	150 385	114 035	122 951	115 391	26 209	2 797	235	6
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	660 326	297 236	275 938	56 105	20 015	7 566	1 856	1 112	448	50
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	308 603	16 360	26 171	31 238	103 095	80 595	24 399	15 003	9 867	1 875
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	9.4	18.0	20.9	32.3	16.3	2.1	0.6	0.3	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	62.3	0.5	7.0	16.0	26.3	11.3	0.8	0.2	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	57.2	0.5	6.5	14.2	24.8	10.5	0.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	5.1	-	0.5	1.8	1.5	0.8	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	14.2	1.3	3.6	2.8	3.0	2.8	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	16.0	7.2	6.7	1.4	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	7.5	0.4	0.6	0.8	2.5	2.0	0.6	0.4	0.2	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	61 751	192	2 129	6 548	18 956	19 317	5 801	3 549	3 889	1 369
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	38 600	15	995	5 099	15 386	13 117	2 215	944	629	200
SINGLE-FAMILY	33 896	15	919	4 551	14 504	12 095	1 480	255	61	16
MULTIFAMILY	4 704	-	76	548	881	1 022	735	690	567	184
ACREAGE	8 782	27	432	823	1 756	3 590	1 734	351	65	4
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 095	144	624	387	264	228	130	148	140	29
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12 275	6	79	238	1 551	2 383	1 722	2 105	3 054	1 136
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.3	3.4	10.6	30.7	31.3	9.4	5.7	6.3	2.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	62.5	(Z)	1.6	8.3	24.9	21.2	3.6	1.5	1.0	0.3
SINGLE-FAMILY	54.9	(Z)	1.5	7.4	23.5	19.6	2.4	0.4	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	7.6	-	0.1	0.9	1.4	1.7	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.3
ACREAGE	14.2	(Z)	0.7	1.3	2.8	5.8	2.8	0.6	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.4	0.2	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	19.9	(Z)	0.1	0.4	2.5	3.9	2.8	3.4	4.9	1.8
INDIANA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 537 213	603 061	894 156	630 541	332 521	62 721	8 060	3 866	2 192	95
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 497 675	40 988	672 468	517 517	240 815	23 984	1 151	437	301	14
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 475 406	40 988	665 939	509 160	236 541	21 965	635	165	13	-
MULTIFAMILY	22 269	-	6 529	8 357	4 274	2 019	516	272	288	14
ACREAGE	419 006	104 900	135 447	86 060	70 966	20 757	743	123	9	1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	516 755	449 330	58 805	6 135	1 433	755	208	80	9	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	103 777	7 843	27 436	20 829	19 307	17 225	5 958	3 226	1 873	80
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	23.8	35.2	24.9	13.1	2.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	59.0	1.6	26.5	20.4	9.5	0.9	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	58.2	1.6	26.2	20.1	9.3	0.9	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	0.9	-	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	16.5	4.1	5.3	3.4	2.8	0.8	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	20.4	17.7	2.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.1	0.3	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	15 304	199	2 689	4 476	4 409	1 739	549	533	660	50
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	9 823	26	2 125	3 670	3 139	625	78	62	90	7
SINGLE-FAMILY	9 456	26	2 100	3 614	3 083	566	41	23	4	-
MULTIFAMILY	367	-	24	57	56	59	37	40	87	7
ACREAGE	2 625	38	374	615	979	553	48	16	2	1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	351	132	109	41	20	23	14	11	2	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 505	4	82	150	271	538	410	444	565	42
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	1.3	17.6	29.2	28.8	11.4	3.6	3.5	4.3	0.3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	64.2	0.2	13.9	24.0	20.5	4.1	0.5	0.4	0.6	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	61.8	0.2	13.7	23.6	20.1	3.7	0.3	0.1	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.4	-	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.6	(Z)
ACREAGE	17.2	0.2	2.4	4.0	6.4	3.6	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.3	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16.4	(Z)	0.5	1.0	1.8	3.5	2.7	2.9	3.7	0.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
IOWA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 899 165	113 783	162 602	172 632	409 794	841 841	156 473	31 536	8 273	2 231
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	776 293	5 678	25 819	56 976	194 500	407 493	77 941	6 869	1 057	340
SINGLE-FAMILY	755 010	5 678	25 819	56 664	191 602	396 174	74 174	4 692	200	7
MULTIFAMILY	21 283	-	-	312	2 898	11 319	3 767	1 777	857	353
ACREAGE	888 186	36 494	75 108	90 504	195 045	408 334	64 155	16 343	2 185	18
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	148 773	69 643	53 865	15 811	6 102	2 893	338	96	20	5
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	85 913	1 968	7 810	9 341	14 147	23 121	14 039	8 628	5 011	1 848
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	6.0	8.6	9.1	21.6	44.3	8.2	1.7	0.4	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	40.9	0.3	1.4	3.0	10.2	21.5	4.1	0.3	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	39.8	0.3	1.4	3.0	10.1	20.9	3.9	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	-	-	(Z)	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	46.8	1.9	4.0	4.8	10.3	21.5	3.4	0.9	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	7.8	3.7	2.8	0.8	0.3	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.5	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	52 941	49	451	1 315	6 159	26 170	10 270	4 184	2 377	1 966
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	22 860	2	76	441	2 943	13 010	4 968	782	313	325
SINGLE-FAMILY	21 357	2	76	438	2 897	12 624	4 712	552	50	5
MULTIFAMILY	1 503	-	-	2	46	386	255	230	263	320
ACREAGE	23 185	14	223	693	2 930	12 300	4 305	2 174	536	9
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	491	31	128	111	81	94	23	13	5	6
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	6 404	1	24	70	204	765	975	1 216	1 523	1 626
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	0.9	2.5	11.6	49.4	19.4	7.9	4.5	3.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	43.2	(Z)	0.1	0.8	5.6	24.6	9.4	1.5	0.6	0.6
SINGLE-FAMILY	40.3	(Z)	0.1	0.8	5.5	23.8	8.9	1.0	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.8	-	-	(Z)	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6
ACREAGE	43.8	(Z)	0.4	1.3	5.5	23.2	8.1	4.1	1.0	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.1	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.4	1.4	1.8	2.3	2.9	3.1
KANSAS										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 295 459	316 485	697 344	228 744	42 420	7 616	1 725	1 004	121	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	560 308	58 371	377 803	108 613	13 490	1 471	340	193	27	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	540 677	58 371	367 441	102 551	11 356	892	48	17	1	-
MULTIFAMILY	19 631	-	10 362	6 062	2 134	579	292	176	26	-
ACREAGE	491 166	75 168	288 110	106 076	20 312	1 445	39	15	1	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	190 272	173 517	12 830	2 620	1 199	96	9	1	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	53 713	9 429	18 601	11 435	7 419	4 604	1 337	795	93	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	24.4	53.8	17.7	3.3	0.6	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	43.3	4.5	29.2	8.4	1.0	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	41.7	4.5	28.4	7.9	0.9	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	1.5	-	0.8	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	37.9	5.8	22.2	8.2	1.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	14.7	13.4	1.0	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.1	0.7	1.4	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 617	111	1 938	1 529	539	220	117	137	28	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 044	38	1 028	711	171	41	23	27	6	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 874	38	996	668	141	25	3	2	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	171	-	32	43	29	16	19	25	6	-
ACREAGE	1 877	37	832	718	249	37	3	2	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	94	32	26	18	16	2	1	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	601	4	52	82	103	140	91	108	21	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	2.4	42.0	33.1	11.7	4.8	2.5	3.0	0.6	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	44.3	0.8	22.3	15.4	3.7	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.1	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	40.6	0.8	21.6	14.5	3.1	0.5	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.7	-	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.1	-
ACREAGE	40.7	0.8	18.0	15.5	5.4	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.0	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.0	0.1	1.1	1.8	2.2	3.0	2.0	2.3	0.5	-
KENTUCKY										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 306 066	52 992	182 556	152 972	249 044	467 594	158 783	30 245	9 104	2 776
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	908 771	12 480	91 560	101 100	186 487	383 292	118 380	13 139	1 797	536
SINGLE-FAMILY	894 192	12 480	91 176	101 100	183 754	379 339	114 506	10 895	932	10
MULTIFAMILY	14 579	-	384	-	2 733	3 953	3 874	2 244	865	526
ACREAGE	241 008	8 336	40 744	31 904	49 218	67 243	28 822	10 891	3 390	460
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	107 456	32 176	47 624	15 544	6 815	3 694	618	635	286	64
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	48 831	-	2 628	4 424	6 524	13 365	10 963	5 580	3 631	1 716
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.1	14.0	11.7	19.1	35.8	12.2	2.3	0.7	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	69.6	1.0	7.0	7.7	14.3	29.3	9.1	1.0	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	68.5	1.0	7.0	7.7	14.1	29.0	8.8	0.8	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	-	(Z)	-	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	18.5	0.6	3.1	2.4	3.8	5.1	2.2	0.8	0.3	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	8.2	2.5	3.6	1.2	0.5	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.7	-	0.2	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
KENTUCKY--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	40 298	28	503	1 057	3 624	15 291	10 370	4 008	2 635	2 784
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	26 734	6	276	708	2 733	12 574	7 603	1 707	504	623
SINGLE-FAMILY	25 094	6	275	708	2 691	12 451	7 326	1 396	232	8
MULTIFAMILY	1 640	-	1	-	41	123	277	311	272	615
ACREAGE	7 911	4	107	212	704	2 167	1 960	1 447	957	354
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	698	18	111	107	94	111	42	86	78	51
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4 955	-	8	31	93	438	765	768	1 096	1 755
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	1.2	2.6	9.0	37.9	25.7	9.9	6.5	6.9
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	66.3	(Z)	0.7	1.8	6.8	31.2	18.9	4.2	1.3	1.5
SINGLE-FAMILY	62.3	(Z)	0.7	1.8	6.7	30.9	18.2	3.5	0.6	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	4.1	-	(Z)	-	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.7	1.5
ACREAGE	19.6	(Z)	0.3	0.5	1.7	5.4	4.9	3.6	2.4	0.9
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1.7	(Z)	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.3	-	(Z)	0.1	0.2	1.1	1.9	1.9	2.7	4.4
LOUISIANA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 523 348	510 698	816 645	151 597	29 463	10 600	2 895	1 380	70	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	976 414	149 527	686 365	123 627	14 712	1 623	341	195	24	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	930 503	147 103	655 609	114 328	12 385	928	132	18	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	45 911	2 424	30 756	9 299	2 327	695	209	177	24	-
ACREAGE	203 644	119 105	63 729	12 259	5 410	2 330	611	191	9	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	291 795	240 351	45 861	3 568	1 200	673	98	42	2	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	51 495	1 715	20 690	12 143	8 141	5 974	1 845	952	35	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	33.5	53.6	10.0	1.9	0.7	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	64.1	9.8	45.1	8.1	1.0	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	61.1	9.7	43.0	7.5	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.0	0.2	2.0	0.6	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	13.4	7.8	4.2	0.8	0.4	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	19.2	15.8	3.0	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.4	0.1	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 392	210	2 098	978	396	308	202	185	15	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 975	96	1 805	787	187	44	23	28	5	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 725	94	1 714	726	156	24	8	3	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	250	1	92	61	32	20	14	26	5	-
ACREAGE	482	38	151	82	76	66	42	25	2	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	231	75	84	23	17	19	8	5	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	703	1	58	86	116	178	129	127	7	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.8	47.8	22.3	9.0	7.0	4.6	4.2	0.3	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	67.7	2.2	41.1	17.9	4.3	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.1	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	62.1	2.1	39.0	16.5	3.5	0.6	0.2	0.1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	5.7	(Z)	2.1	1.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.1	-
ACREAGE	11.0	0.9	3.4	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.0	0.6	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.3	1.7	1.9	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16.0	(Z)	1.3	2.0	2.6	4.1	2.9	2.9	0.2	-
MAINE										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	652 280	33 494	119 232	81 306	114 449	238 616	53 418	8 109	2 473	1 183
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	409 190	1 012	16 951	36 931	89 602	216 587	43 701	3 845	400	161
SINGLE-FAMILY	388 579	1 012	16 917	36 680	86 931	202 818	40 696	3 192	285	48
MULTIFAMILY	20 611	-	34	251	2 671	13 769	3 005	653	115	113
ACREAGE	173 262	24 649	71 654	37 655	21 452	12 616	3 732	992	361	151
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	44 136	7 833	29 308	5 720	372	751	152	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	25 692	-	1 319	1 000	3 023	8 662	5 833	3 272	1 712	871
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	5.1	18.3	12.5	17.5	36.6	8.2	1.2	0.4	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	62.7	0.2	2.6	5.7	13.7	33.2	6.7	0.6	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	59.6	0.2	2.6	5.6	13.3	31.1	6.2	0.5	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.2	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.4	2.1	0.5	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	26.6	3.8	11.0	5.8	3.3	1.9	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	6.8	1.2	4.5	0.9	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.9	-	0.2	0.2	0.5	1.3	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	16 700	18	334	592	1 691	7 679	3 466	1 066	758	1 096
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	12 168	1	54	281	1 337	6 975	2 786	494	113	127
SINGLE-FAMILY	11 244	1	54	279	1 292	6 511	2 590	406	77	35
MULTIFAMILY	924	-	-	2	45	464	196	88	36	92
ACREAGE	1 784	13	199	265	304	389	250	131	120	113
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	158	4	78	37	5	23	11	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 590	-	3	8	45	293	419	441	525	856
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	2.0	3.5	10.1	46.0	20.8	6.4	4.5	6.6
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	72.9	(Z)	0.3	1.7	8.0	41.8	16.7	3.0	0.7	0.8
SINGLE-FAMILY	67.3	(Z)	0.3	1.7	7.7	39.0	15.5	2.4	0.5	0.2
MULTIFAMILY	5.5	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.3	2.8	1.2	0.5	0.2	0.6
ACREAGE	10.7	0.1	1.2	1.6	1.8	2.3	1.5	0.8	0.7	0.7
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	0.9	(Z)	0.5	0.2	(Z)	0.1	0.1	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.5	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.3	1.8	2.5	2.6	3.1	5.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
MARYLAND[1]										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 458 051	72 032	231 968	160 336	357 579	562 358	58 529	9 358	4 117	1 774
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 058 581	5 376	63 360	104 096	320 010	516 759	44 814	2 874	773	519
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 041 648	5 376	62 592	99 680	313 994	513 372	43 987	2 468	146	33
MULTIFAMILY	16 933	-	768	4 416	6 016	3 387	827	406	627	486
ACREAGE	79 404	7 264	16 800	9 888	15 168	23 829	5 018	1 038	300	99
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	265 409	59 040	145 120	40 304	14 358	4 528	818	851	308	82
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	54 657	352	6 688	6 048	8 043	17 242	7 879	4 595	2 736	1 074
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.9	15.9	11.0	24.5	38.6	4.0	0.6	0.3	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	72.6	0.4	4.3	7.1	21.9	35.4	3.1	0.2	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	71.4	0.4	4.3	6.8	21.5	35.2	3.0	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.2	-	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	5.4	0.5	1.2	0.7	1.0	1.6	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	18.2	4.0	10.0	2.8	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.7	(Z)	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	31 273	34	638	1 164	5 454	16 518	3 729	1 240	1 258	1 238
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	24 781	3	214	774	4 923	15 077	2 790	375	242	382
SINGLE-FAMILY	23 890	3	211	741	4 841	14 971	2 740	315	44	23
MULTIFAMILY	890	-	3	33	82	105	50	60	198	359
ACREAGE	1 713	2	52	70	224	759	322	131	92	60
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 295	28	351	276	186	138	57	116	91	51
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3 484	-	20	44	121	544	560	617	833	745
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	2.0	3.7	17.4	52.8	11.9	4.0	4.0	4.0
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	79.2	(Z)	0.7	2.5	15.7	48.2	8.9	1.2	0.8	1.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	76.4	(Z)	0.7	2.4	15.5	47.9	8.8	1.0	0.1	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	2.8	-	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.6	1.1
ACREAGE	5.5	(Z)	0.2	0.2	0.7	2.4	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.2
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.1	0.1	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	11.1	(Z)	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.7	2.4
MASSACHUSETTS										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 907 215	143 661	289 755	302 018	248 239	539 579	290 501	75 999	13 764	3 699
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 422 447	384	148 854	241 265	193 418	498 053	270 190	63 696	5 889	698
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 202 339	384	118 525	167 350	161 531	449 237	244 728	55 812	4 699	73
MULTIFAMILY	220 108	-	30 329	73 915	31 887	48 816	25 462	7 884	1 190	625
ACREAGE	106 456	34 990	37 058	14 953	9 752	6 487	2 008	827	305	76
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	297 639	108 287	98 289	37 673	34 623	15 202	2 428	883	212	42
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	80 673	-	5 554	8 127	10 446	19 837	15 875	10 593	7 358	2 883
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	7.5	15.2	15.8	13.0	28.3	15.2	4.0	0.7	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	74.6	(Z)	7.8	12.7	10.1	26.1	14.2	3.3	0.3	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	63.0	(Z)	6.2	8.8	8.5	23.6	12.8	2.9	0.2	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	11.5	-	1.6	3.9	1.7	2.6	1.3	0.4	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	5.6	1.8	1.9	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	15.6	5.7	5.2	2.0	1.8	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.2	-	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	61 723	60	882	2 067	3 629	17 914	19 850	9 907	3 901	3 512
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	50 493	-	533	1 647	2 874	16 613	18 414	8 205	1 527	680
SINGLE-FAMILY	44 151	-	421	1 136	2 427	15 062	16 691	7 190	1 167	56
MULTIFAMILY	6 342	-	112	511	447	1 550	1 723	1 016	359	623
ACREAGE	943	15	97	99	131	206	137	106	88	64
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 847	45	235	265	467	443	164	117	62	47
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8 440	-	16	55	157	652	1 136	1 479	2 224	2 721
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	1.4	3.3	5.9	29.0	32.2	16.1	6.3	5.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	81.8	(Z)	0.9	2.7	4.7	26.9	29.8	13.3	2.5	1.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	71.5	(Z)	0.7	1.8	3.9	24.4	27.0	11.6	1.9	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	10.3	-	0.2	0.8	0.7	2.5	2.8	1.6	0.6	1.0
ACREAGE	1.5	(Z)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.0	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.7	-	(Z)	0.1	0.3	1.1	1.8	2.4	3.6	4.4
MICHIGAN										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 836 591	175 131	645 518	685 372	1 115 723	1 055 635	118 200	27 526	10 026	3 460
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 649 828	19 392	202 436	493 629	973 334	886 405	62 032	9 091	2 622	887
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 544 117	19 008	188 309	450 728	948 172	871 268	58 297	7 100	1 184	51
MULTIFAMILY	105 711	384	14 127	42 901	25 162	15 137	3 735	1 991	1 438	836
ACREAGE	460 255	8 455	116 065	88 944	91 376	116 989	32 365	5 296	660	105
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	550 016	145 629	306 101	77 345	15 100	4 239	1 127	301	137	37
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	176 492	1 655	20 916	25 454	35 913	48 002	22 676	12 838	6 607	2 431
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.6	16.8	17.9	29.1	27.5	3.1	0.7	0.3	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	69.1	0.5	5.3	12.9	25.4	23.1	1.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	66.3	0.5	4.9	11.7	24.7	22.7	1.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.8	(Z)	0.4	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	12.0	0.2	3.0	2.3	2.4	3.0	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	14.3	3.8	8.0	2.0	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.6	(Z)	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	71 550	96	1 826	5 146	16 343	31 021	7 730	3 709	2 992	2 686
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	51 101	11	652	3 788	14 307	25 701	3 912	1 223	772	735
SINGLE-FAMILY	48 175	11	601	3 464	13 960	25 225	3 645	933	305	31
MULTIFAMILY	2 927	-	50	325	347	476	267	290	467	705
ACREAGE	9 063	5	326	632	1 312	3 672	2 158	690	187	81
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 920	79	786	539	198	131	84	39	38	26
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	9 465	1	63	187	527	1 518	1 575	1 757	1 995	1 843
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	2.6	7.2	22.8	43.4	10.8	5.2	4.2	3.8
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	71.4	(Z)	0.9	5.3	20.0	35.9	5.5	1.7	1.1	1.0
SINGLE-FAMILY	67.3	(Z)	0.8	4.8	19.5	35.3	5.1	1.3	0.4	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	4.1	(Z)	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.7	1.0
ACREAGE	12.7	(Z)	0.5	0.9	1.8	5.1	3.0	1.0	0.3	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.7	0.1	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.2	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.7	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.6
MINNESOTA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 817 969	234 792	377 256	498 700	484 794	182 008	29 537	6 985	3 495	402
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 055 999	37 200	195 560	383 016	364 562	67 674	4 940	2 007	933	107
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 015 197	34 128	187 192	373 880	354 876	62 310	2 668	100	43	-
MULTIFAMILY	40 802	3 072	8 368	9 136	9 686	5 364	2 272	1 907	890	107
ACREAGE	492 841	86 784	99 628	85 804	102 920	98 708	18 066	873	57	1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	185 913	103 896	61 940	15 860	2 432	1 200	320	172	91	2
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	83 216	6 912	20 128	14 020	14 880	14 426	6 211	3 933	2 414	292
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	12.9	20.8	27.4	26.7	10.0	1.6	0.4	0.2	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	58.1	2.0	10.8	21.1	20.1	3.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	55.8	1.9	10.3	20.6	19.5	3.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	27.1	4.8	5.5	4.7	5.7	5.4	1.0	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	10.2	5.7	3.4	0.9	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.6	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	20 955	100	1 125	3 771	6 607	5 224	1 906	950	1 044	229
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	11 285	18	647	2 939	4 903	1 836	335	268	278	62
SINGLE-FAMILY	10 151	16	618	2 872	4 771	1 673	171	16	14	-
MULTIFAMILY	1 133	2	29	66	132	163	163	252	265	62
ACREAGE	6 525	41	269	624	1 457	2 893	1 120	108	14	1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	429	38	147	107	32	38	20	25	22	1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 716	4	63	101	215	458	432	549	729	166
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.5	5.4	18.0	31.5	24.9	9.1	4.5	5.0	1.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	53.9	0.1	3.1	14.0	23.4	8.8	1.6	1.3	1.3	0.3
SINGLE-FAMILY	48.4	0.1	2.9	13.7	22.8	8.0	0.8	0.1	0.1	-
MULTIFAMILY	5.4	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.3	0.3
ACREAGE	31.1	0.2	1.3	3.0	7.0	13.8	5.3	0.5	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.0	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.0	(Z)	0.3	0.5	1.0	2.2	2.1	2.6	3.5	0.8
MISSISSIPPI										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 190 958	567 211	529 421	73 699	13 767	5 247	1 078	479	56	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	617 701	168 241	393 507	50 785	4 231	616	180	122	19	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	609 622	166 123	389 277	50 078	3 893	238	8	5	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	8 079	2 118	4 230	707	338	378	172	117	19	-
ACREAGE	346 343	203 779	117 882	16 427	6 089	2 024	129	13	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	192 327	186 506	5 570	187	-	64	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	34 587	8 685	12 462	6 300	3 447	2 543	769	344	37	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	47.6	44.5	6.2	1.2	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	51.9	14.1	33.0	4.3	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	51.2	13.9	32.7	4.2	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	29.1	17.1	9.9	1.4	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	16.1	15.7	0.5	(Z)	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2.9	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 415	212	1 236	481	182	151	73	67	13	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 465	95	938	323	54	20	12	18	4	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 398	94	929	318	49	7	1	1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	67	1	9	5	5	13	12	18	4	-
ACREAGE	590	77	256	111	80	56	8	2	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	48	37	8	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	312	4	34	44	48	74	53	47	9	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	8.8	51.2	19.9	7.6	6.3	3.0	2.8	0.5	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	60.6	3.9	38.8	13.4	2.2	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.2	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	57.9	3.9	38.5	13.2	2.0	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.8	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.2	-
ACREAGE	24.4	3.2	10.6	4.6	3.3	2.3	0.3	0.1	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.0	1.5	0.4	0.1	-	0.1	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.9	0.1	1.4	1.8	2.0	3.0	2.2	1.9	0.4	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
MISSOURI										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 216 011	577 309	1 010 468	421 962	160 024	35 261	6 423	2 969	1 589	6
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 294 286	108 266	694 403	349 884	121 291	18 108	1 328	623	383	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 249 072	108 266	671 308	337 135	115 243	16 106	739	208	67	-
MULTIFAMILY	45 214	-	23 095	12 749	6 048	2 002	589	415	316	-
ACREAGE	505 428	164 264	252 308	57 055	25 024	6 427	337	-	13	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	340 220	295 792	38 861	3 392	1 404	713	32	18	8	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	76 077	8 987	24 896	11 631	12 305	10 013	4 726	2 328	1 185	6
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	26.1	45.6	19.0	7.2	1.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	58.4	4.9	31.3	15.8	5.5	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	56.4	4.9	30.3	15.2	5.2	0.7	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.0	-	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	22.8	7.4	11.4	2.6	1.1	0.3	(Z)	-	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	15.4	13.3	1.8	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.4	0.4	1.1	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	10 302	246	2 753	2 896	2 123	978	435	407	460	3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	6 804	59	1 989	2 394	1 595	482	87	88	108	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	6 314	59	1 917	2 304	1 514	424	48	30	19	-
MULTIFAMILY	490	-	72	91	81	59	40	59	89	-
ACREAGE	1 640	84	624	397	339	172	19	-	4	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	245	98	74	24	21	20	3	3	2	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 613	5	67	80	168	303	326	316	345	3
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	2.4	26.7	28.1	20.6	9.5	4.2	3.9	4.5	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	66.0	0.6	19.3	23.2	15.5	4.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	61.3	0.6	18.6	22.4	14.7	4.1	0.5	0.3	0.2	-
MULTIFAMILY	4.8	-	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.9	-
ACREAGE	15.9	0.8	6.1	3.9	3.3	1.7	0.2	-	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.4	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.7	(Z)	0.6	0.8	1.6	2.9	3.2	3.1	3.4	(Z)
MONTANA[1]										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	429 595	230 844	177 345	13 752	5 881	1 465	273	35	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	192 273	58 110	129 827	3 214	811	216	91	4	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	187 985	57 630	127 338	2 551	398	35	33	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	4 288	480	2 489	663	413	181	58	4	-	-
ACREAGE	138 051	94 548	33 737	6 433	2 939	373	20	1	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	75 745	71 058	4 345	320	16	3	3	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	23 526	7 128	9 436	3 785	2 115	873	159	30	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	53.7	41.3	3.2	1.4	0.3	0.1	(Z)	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	44.8	13.5	30.2	0.7	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	43.8	13.4	29.6	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	1.0	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
ACREAGE	32.1	22.0	7.9	1.5	0.7	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	17.6	16.5	1.0	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.5	1.7	2.2	0.9	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	703	83	381	94	81	44	17	4	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	349	32	274	21	11	6	5	-	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	323	31	267	16	5	1	2	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	26	-	7	4	5	5	4	-	-	-
ACREAGE	202	31	76	44	40	11	1	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	28	18	7	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	124	2	24	27	30	27	11	3	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	11.8	54.2	13.3	11.5	6.2	2.5	0.6	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	49.6	4.5	39.0	2.9	1.5	0.8	0.8	0.1	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	45.9	4.5	37.9	2.3	0.8	0.1	0.2	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.7	0.1	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.1	-	-
ACREAGE	28.7	4.4	10.7	6.2	5.6	1.5	0.2	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.0	2.6	1.0	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	17.6	0.2	3.4	3.8	4.3	3.9	1.5	0.5	-	-
NEBRASKA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	805 450	32 296	78 256	71 997	132 292	319 377	123 198	38 864	7 804	1 366
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	420 211	1 536	17 752	38 115	87 343	218 808	51 369	4 457	586	245
SINGLE-FAMILY	405 040	1 536	17 352	37 582	84 599	211 807	48 567	3 456	135	8
MULTIFAMILY	15 171	-	400	533	2 744	7 001	2 802	1 003	451	237
ACREAGE	257 871	4 144	14 716	17 220	33 912	86 826	65 451	30 664	4 822	116
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	87 565	26 216	43 140	11 052	3 462	2 655	844	140	48	8
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	39 803	400	2 648	5 610	7 575	11 088	5 534	3 603	2 348	997
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.0	9.7	8.9	16.4	39.7	15.3	4.8	1.0	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	52.2	0.2	2.2	4.7	10.8	27.2	6.4	0.6	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	50.3	0.2	2.2	4.7	10.5	26.3	6.0	0.4	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.9	-	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	32.0	0.5	1.8	2.1	4.2	10.8	8.1	3.8	0.6	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	10.9	3.3	5.4	1.4	0.4	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.9	(Z)	0.3	0.7	0.9	1.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
NEBRASKA--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	30 315	16	218	529	1 978	10 547	8 335	5 270	2 147	1 273
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	13 082	1	53	287	1 324	7 190	3 238	573	161	255
SINGLE-FAMILY	12 107	1	52	282	1 284	6 975	3 043	431	34	5
MULTIFAMILY	975	-	2	4	41	215	195	141	127	250
ACREAGE	13 717	2	39	126	499	2 907	4 641	4 181	1 239	84
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	432	13	116	75	48	83	56	17	14	9
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3 083	-	9	42	107	366	400	500	733	925
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	0.7	1.7	6.5	34.8	27.5	17.4	7.1	4.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	43.2	(Z)	0.2	0.9	4.4	23.7	10.7	1.9	0.5	0.8
SINGLE-FAMILY	39.9	(Z)	0.2	0.9	4.2	23.0	10.0	1.4	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.2	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.8
ACREAGE	45.3	(Z)	0.1	0.4	1.6	9.6	15.3	13.8	4.1	0.3
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1.4	(Z)	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	10.2	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.4	1.2	1.3	1.6	2.4	3.1
NEVADA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	358 729	42 120	79 992	50 664	112 452	60 052	8 539	2 745	2 018	147
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	193 406	-	11 100	28 248	99 760	49 502	3 693	847	256	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	183 959	-	8 028	26 592	98 160	47 262	3 277	592	48	-
MULTIFAMILY	9 447	-	3 072	1 656	1 600	2 240	416	255	208	-
ACREAGE	22 905	4 824	11 592	2 184	1 964	1 502	325	76	387	51
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	129 632	37 296	56 736	19 680	9 152	4 224	1 504	864	176	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12 786	-	564	552	1 576	4 824	3 017	958	1 199	96
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	11.7	22.3	14.1	31.3	16.7	2.4	0.8	0.6	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	53.9	-	3.1	7.9	27.8	13.8	1.0	0.2	0.1	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	51.3	-	2.2	7.4	27.4	13.2	0.9	0.2	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.6	-	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	-
ACREAGE	6.4	1.3	3.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1	(Z)	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	36.1	10.4	15.8	5.5	2.6	1.2	0.4	0.2	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.6	-	0.2	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.8	0.3	0.3	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 625	19	209	370	1 679	1 704	574	372	619	78
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 553	-	33	217	1 499	1 378	234	111	81	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 316	-	23	206	1 473	1 317	206	78	13	-
MULTIFAMILY	236	-	11	11	26	60	28	33	67	-
ACREAGE	311	2	32	15	28	47	23	11	127	27
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	815	17	142	134	130	119	100	122	51	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	946	-	2	5	23	161	217	128	360	51
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.3	3.7	6.6	29.9	30.3	10.2	6.6	11.0	1.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	63.2	-	0.6	3.9	26.6	24.5	4.2	2.0	1.4	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	59.0	-	0.4	3.7	26.2	23.4	3.7	1.4	0.2	-
MULTIFAMILY	4.2	-	0.2	0.2	0.5	1.1	0.5	0.6	1.2	-
ACREAGE	5.5	(Z)	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.2	2.3	0.5
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	14.5	0.3	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.1	1.8	2.2	0.9	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16.8	-	(Z)	0.1	0.4	2.9	3.9	2.3	6.4	0.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	424 258	21 659	54 194	49 238	83 389	141 869	62 255	7 880	2 586	1 188
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	290 036	733	12 899	24 211	62 683	127 269	56 850	4 549	639	203
SINGLE-FAMILY	272 466	733	12 899	24 211	57 788	119 765	52 990	3 821	237	22
MULTIFAMILY	17 570	-	-	-	4 895	7 504	3 860	728	402	181
ACREAGE	55 416	9 951	13 810	11 211	11 276	7 199	1 334	434	129	72
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	59 179	10 591	26 927	13 475	6 234	1 422	394	71	48	17
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	19 627	384	558	341	3 196	5 979	3 677	2 826	1 770	896
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	5.1	12.8	11.6	19.7	33.4	14.7	1.9	0.6	0.3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	68.4	0.2	3.0	5.7	14.8	30.0	13.4	1.1	0.2	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	64.2	0.2	3.0	5.7	13.6	28.2	12.5	0.9	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	4.1	-	-	-	1.2	1.8	0.9	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	13.1	2.3	3.3	2.6	2.7	1.7	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13.9	2.5	6.3	3.2	1.5	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.4	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.2
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	13 365	10	155	360	1 256	4 723	4 010	1 028	795	1 027
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	10 025	-	46	186	962	4 266	3 625	564	198	178
SINGLE-FAMILY	9 045	-	46	186	884	4 017	3 364	470	62	16
MULTIFAMILY	980	-	-	-	77	249	261	94	136	162
ACREAGE	741	4	37	81	163	213	96	59	39	48
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	349	6	70	91	85	40	25	10	12	10
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2 251	-	3	3	46	205	265	395	545	790
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	1.2	2.7	9.4	35.3	30.0	7.7	5.9	7.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	75.0	(Z)	0.3	1.4	7.2	31.9	27.1	4.2	1.5	1.3
SINGLE-FAMILY	67.7	(Z)	0.3	1.4	6.6	30.1	25.2	3.5	0.5	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	7.3	-	-	-	0.6	1.9	2.0	0.7	1.0	1.2
ACREAGE	5.5	(Z)	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.6	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.4
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.6	(Z)	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16.8	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.3	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.1	5.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
NEW JERSEY										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 306 877	67 396	193 818	143 661	296 652	1 018 230	455 707	96 920	25 209	9 284
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 756 301	555	11 547	66 077	227 518	949 909	414 982	73 174	10 532	2 007
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 671 834	555	11 163	56 040	192 829	925 505	406 775	70 178	8 518	271
MULTIFAMILY	84 467	-	384	10 037	34 689	24 404	8 207	2 996	2 014	1 736
ACREAGE	54 260	5 799	12 794	10 517	7 275	10 758	4 584	1 883	522	128
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	377 687	61 042	165 610	61 763	49 659	26 688	7 439	2 788	2 169	529
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	118 629	-	3 867	5 304	12 200	30 875	28 702	19 075	11 986	6 620
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	2.9	8.4	6.2	12.9	44.1	19.8	4.2	1.1	0.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	76.1	(Z)	0.5	2.9	9.9	41.2	18.0	3.2	0.5	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	72.5	(Z)	0.5	2.4	8.4	40.1	17.6	3.0	0.4	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.7	-	(Z)	0.4	1.5	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1
ACREAGE	2.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	16.4	2.6	7.2	2.7	2.2	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.1	-	0.2	0.2	0.5	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.3
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100 026	31	503	1 054	4 466	34 964	29 931	13 022	7 288	8 767
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	78 288	-	40	513	3 478	32 788	27 075	9 733	2 785	1 878
SINGLE-FAMILY	73 728	-	39	433	2 970	32 048	26 536	9 326	2 172	205
MULTIFAMILY	4 560	-	1	80	508	740	539	406	613	1 673
ACREAGE	1 385	3	32	75	110	351	309	249	162	93
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4 346	28	420	427	700	801	507	369	642	452
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16 007	-	11	39	178	1 024	2 040	2 671	3 698	6 345
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.5	1.1	4.5	35.0	29.9	13.0	7.3	8.8
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	78.3	(Z)	(Z)	0.5	3.5	32.8	27.1	9.7	2.8	1.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	73.7	(Z)	(Z)	0.4	3.0	32.0	26.5	9.3	2.2	0.2
MULTIFAMILY	4.6	-	(Z)	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.7
ACREAGE	1.4	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.3	(Z)	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16.0	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	1.0	2.0	2.7	3.7	6.3
NEW MEXICO										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	913 588	463 731	250 486	120 844	60 744	13 971	2 309	1 077	421	5
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	336 834	36 999	137 508	105 969	50 064	5 845	268	128	53	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	332 932	36 999	136 308	105 012	49 105	5 269	203	30	6	-
MULTIFAMILY	3 902	-	1 200	957	959	576	65	98	47	-
ACREAGE	93 018	45 391	33 884	7 051	4 236	1 935	358	125	38	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	463 522	379 449	75 014	5 031	1 914	1 581	343	147	43	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	20 214	1 892	4 080	2 793	4 530	4 610	1 340	677	287	5
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	50.8	27.4	13.2	6.6	1.5	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	36.9	4.0	15.1	11.6	5.5	0.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	36.4	4.0	14.9	11.5	5.4	0.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	0.4	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	10.2	5.0	3.7	0.8	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	50.7	41.5	8.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 272	164	631	843	805	402	156	148	120	2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 027	22	401	740	660	152	17	19	15	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 950	22	397	733	645	135	13	4	2	-
MULTIFAMILY	77	-	4	7	14	18	4	15	14	-
ACREAGE	306	16	79	48	57	54	24	18	10	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	429	125	139	34	27	48	23	20	13	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	510	1	12	21	62	148	92	91	82	2
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	5.0	19.3	25.8	24.6	12.3	4.8	4.5	3.7	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	62.0	0.7	12.3	22.6	20.2	4.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	59.6	0.7	12.1	22.4	19.7	4.1	0.4	0.1	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.3	-	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.4	-
ACREAGE	9.3	0.5	2.4	1.5	1.7	1.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13.1	3.8	4.3	1.0	0.8	1.5	0.7	0.6	0.4	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.6	(Z)	0.4	0.6	1.9	4.5	2.8	2.8	2.5	0.1
NEW YORK										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 709 843	570 753	1 471 025	1 163 531	714 898	581 458	143 605	41 629	17 598	5 346
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 451 458	56 982	1 138 243	1 042 925	606 812	480 326	99 527	18 729	6 177	1 737
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 817 682	54 550	1 038 782	810 846	414 965	403 310	83 230	10 690	1 237	72
MULTIFAMILY	633 776	2 432	99 461	232 079	191 847	77 016	16 297	8 039	4 940	1 665
ACREAGE	345 627	91 751	127 667	40 042	36 265	33 219	11 491	4 520	606	66
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	644 297	414 917	162 091	32 222	19 183	10 222	3 738	1 171	600	153
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	268 461	7 103	43 024	48 342	52 638	57 691	28 849	17 209	10 215	3 390
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	12.1	31.2	24.7	15.2	12.3	3.0	0.9	0.4	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	73.3	1.2	24.2	22.1	12.9	10.2	2.1	0.4	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	59.8	1.2	22.1	17.2	8.8	8.6	1.8	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	13.5	0.1	2.1	4.9	4.1	1.6	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	7.3	1.9	2.7	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	13.7	8.8	3.4	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.7	0.2	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	65 869	215	4 556	8 158	9 850	18 425	9 404	5 665	5 213	4 383
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	46 637	36	3 753	7 298	8 322	15 286	6 395	2 529	1 780	1 239
SINGLE-FAMILY	34 894	35	3 406	5 588	5 695	13 088	5 289	1 406	339	50
MULTIFAMILY	11 743	1	347	1 710	2 628	2 198	1 105	1 123	1 441	1 189
ACREAGE	3 792	38	310	287	516	1 014	793	612	168	53
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 965	138	368	221	259	301	248	159	167	104
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13 475	3	125	353	753	1 824	1 968	2 365	3 098	2 987
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.3	6.9	12.4	15.0	28.0	14.3	8.6	7.9	6.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	70.8	0.1	5.7	11.1	12.6	23.2	9.7	3.8	2.7	1.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	53.0	0.1	5.2	8.5	8.6	19.9	8.0	2.1	0.5	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	17.8	(Z)	0.5	2.6	4.0	3.3	1.7	1.7	2.2	1.8
ACREAGE	5.8	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.8	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.3	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.0	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	20.5	(Z)	0.2	0.5	1.1	2.8	3.0	3.6	4.7	4.5
NORTH CAROLINA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 768 422	167 430	577 944	392 427	556 800	821 361	194 449	40 547	12 581	4 883
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 508 253	4 746	78 768	176 988	408 918	681 594	136 836	17 639	1 991	773
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 476 591	4 746	77 820	173 244	402 802	668 790	132 290	15 767	1 055	77
MULTIFAMILY	31 662	-	948	3 744	6 116	12 804	4 546	1 872	936	696
ACREAGE	503 763	28 386	152 688	92 142	84 704	95 319	35 915	10 626	3 205	778
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	657 752	132 822	341 514	115 596	46 438	16 000	3 777	1 087	424	94
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	98 654	1 476	4 974	7 701	16 740	28 448	17 921	11 195	6 961	3 238
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	6.0	20.9	14.2	20.1	29.7	7.0	1.5	0.5	0.2
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	54.5	0.2	2.8	6.4	14.8	24.6	4.9	0.6	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	53.3	0.2	2.8	6.3	14.5	24.2	4.8	0.6	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	-	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	18.2	1.0	5.5	3.3	3.1	3.4	1.3	0.4	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	23.8	4.8	12.3	4.2	1.7	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.6	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	64 568	95	1 515	2 860	8 220	25 616	12 895	5 329	3 754	4 284
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	41 404	3	253	1 354	6 164	21 234	8 906	2 213	577	700
SINGLE-FAMILY	39 354	3	249	1 325	6 072	20 833	8 589	1 949	283	51
MULTIFAMILY	2 050	-	4	29	92	401	318	264	294	649
ACREAGE	10 793	15	414	661	1 200	3 013	2 474	1 427	938	650
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 381	76	833	788	615	462	255	150	115	86
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8 990	1	15	55	241	907	1 259	1 539	2 123	2 848
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	2.3	4.4	12.7	39.7	20.0	8.3	5.8	6.6
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	64.1	(Z)	0.4	2.1	9.5	32.9	13.8	3.4	0.9	1.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	60.9	(Z)	0.4	2.1	9.4	32.3	13.3	3.0	0.4	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	3.2	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	1.0
ACREAGE	16.7	(Z)	0.6	1.0	1.9	4.7	3.8	2.2	1.5	1.0
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.2	0.1	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.9	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.4	1.4	2.0	2.4	3.3	4.4
NORTH DAKOTA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	583 824	263 226	308 595	8 470	2 384	911	185	51	2	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	143 061	50 144	89 103	2 820	793	166	26	8	1	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	137 939	49 760	85 949	2 049	112	66	2	-	1	-
MULTIFAMILY	5 122	384	3 154	771	681	100	24	8	-	-
ACREAGE	327 336	115 854	208 537	2 881	64	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	90 152	87 640	2 496	-	16	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	23 275	9 588	8 459	2 769	1 511	745	159	43	1	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	45.1	52.9	1.5	0.4	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	24.5	8.6	15.3	0.5	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	23.6	8.5	14.7	0.4	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
ACREAGE	56.1	19.8	35.7	0.5	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	15.4	15.0	0.4	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.0	1.6	1.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	841	109	600	54	33	25	13	6	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	263	27	199	18	11	4	2	1	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	234	27	190	13	1	2	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	29	-	9	5	10	3	2	1	-	-
ACREAGE	464	68	378	17	1	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	14	10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	100	4	19	19	21	21	11	5	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	13.0	71.4	6.4	3.9	3.0	1.5	0.7	0.1	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	31.2	3.2	23.7	2.1	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	27.8	3.2	22.6	1.5	0.2	0.2	(Z)	-	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.4	(Z)	1.0	0.6	1.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	-	-
ACREAGE	55.2	8.1	44.9	2.1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1.7	1.1	0.5	-	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	11.9	0.5	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.5	1.3	0.6	(Z)	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
OHIO										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 889 350	839 346	885 903	883 105	1 479 581	711 575	62 369	16 541	8 748	2 182
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 940 786	28 582	328 719	702 922	1 308 985	547 023	19 206	3 118	1 708	523
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 793 280	27 046	309 819	664 018	1 257 040	519 228	15 097	884	141	7
MULTIFAMILY	147 506	1 536	18 900	38 904	51 945	27 795	4 109	2 234	1 567	516
ACREAGE	582 830	115 032	139 152	86 432	108 299	108 963	21 772	2 601	538	41
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 130 467	687 298	367 717	52 891	13 795	7 311	1 006	319	112	18
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	235 267	8 434	50 315	40 860	48 502	48 278	20 385	10 503	6 390	1 600
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	17.2	18.1	18.1	30.3	14.6	1.3	0.3	0.2	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	60.1	0.6	6.7	14.4	26.8	11.2	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	57.1	0.6	6.3	13.6	25.7	10.6	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.0	(Z)	0.4	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	11.9	2.4	2.8	1.8	2.2	2.2	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	23.1	14.1	7.5	1.1	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.8	0.2	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	60 655	336	2 434	6 679	21 198	19 555	4 096	2 267	2 647	1 441
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	42 236	16	1 097	5 394	18 750	14 473	1 201	420	538	349
SINGLE-FAMILY	38 918	15	1 031	5 095	18 018	13 684	925	109	36	4
MULTIFAMILY	3 318	1	65	298	732	789	276	311	501	345
ACREAGE	7 907	45	386	631	1 574	3 355	1 409	333	151	23
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 992	271	800	352	189	222	69	43	35	12
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8 519	3	152	303	687	1 505	1 418	1 471	1 923	1 057
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.6	4.0	11.0	34.9	32.2	6.8	3.7	4.4	2.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	69.6	(Z)	1.8	8.9	30.9	23.9	2.0	0.7	0.9	0.6
SINGLE-FAMILY	64.2	(Z)	1.7	8.4	29.7	22.6	1.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	5.5	(Z)	0.1	0.5	1.2	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.6
ACREAGE	13.0	0.1	0.6	1.0	2.6	5.5	2.3	0.5	0.2	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.3	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	14.0	(Z)	0.3	0.5	1.1	2.5	2.3	2.4	3.2	1.7
OKLAHOMA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 735 450	710 844	802 828	177 664	32 799	8 227	2 074	918	96	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	871 261	119 940	587 550	138 496	22 410	2 338	277	219	31	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	860 454	119 940	581 312	136 534	21 019	1 600	48	1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	10 807	-	6 238	1 962	1 391	738	229	218	31	-
ACREAGE	317 885	127 732	165 368	22 502	2 035	226	19	3	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	494 018	456 700	29 432	5 538	1 327	800	160	53	8	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	52 286	6 472	20 478	11 128	7 027	4 863	1 618	643	57	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	41.0	46.3	10.2	1.9	0.5	0.1	0.1	(Z)	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	50.2	6.9	33.9	8.0	1.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	49.6	6.9	33.5	7.9	1.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	0.6	-	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	18.3	7.4	9.5	1.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	28.5	26.3	1.7	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.0	0.4	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 372	215	2 008	1 188	424	242	147	127	21	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 899	74	1 491	929	284	63	20	32	7	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 773	74	1 475	914	265	41	3	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	126	-	16	15	18	22	17	32	7	-
ACREAGE	632	55	402	142	25	6	1	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	245	82	61	38	19	24	12	7	2	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	597	3	54	79	97	149	114	88	13	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.9	45.9	27.2	9.7	5.5	3.4	2.9	0.5	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	66.3	1.7	34.1	21.2	6.5	1.4	0.5	0.7	0.2	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	63.4	1.7	33.7	20.9	6.1	0.9	0.1	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.9	-	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.2	-
ACREAGE	14.5	1.3	9.2	3.3	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.6	1.9	1.4	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.6	0.1	1.2	1.8	2.2	3.4	2.6	2.0	0.3	-
OREGON										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 244 873	57 460	101 424	93 928	150 520	443 463	321 004	52 926	14 258	9 890
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	694 334	768	5 424	10 738	43 006	334 263	261 989	30 928	4 486	2 732
SINGLE-FAMILY	662 126	768	4 714	9 905	41 183	324 312	252 493	26 802	1 841	108
MULTIFAMILY	32 208	-	710	833	1 823	9 951	9 496	4 126	2 645	2 624
ACREAGE	298 096	30 720	62 832	35 265	50 269	67 501	39 038	9 748	2 154	569
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	190 273	25 972	32 784	45 353	52 153	26 575	5 119	1 189	771	357
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	62 170	-	384	2 572	5 092	15 124	14 858	11 061	6 847	6 232
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	4.6	8.1	7.5	12.1	35.6	25.8	4.3	1.1	0.8
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	55.8	0.1	0.4	0.9	3.5	26.9	21.0	2.5	0.4	0.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	53.2	0.1	0.4	0.8	3.3	26.1	20.3	2.2	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.6	-	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.2
ACREAGE	23.9	2.5	5.0	2.8	4.0	5.4	3.1	0.8	0.2	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	15.3	2.1	2.6	3.6	4.2	2.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.0	-	(Z)	0.2	0.4	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
OREGON--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	59 158	22	290	709	2 200	15 892	21 339	6 899	4 227	7 580
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	37 753	-	17	86	667	12 400	17 286	3 906	1 323	2 068
SINGLE-FAMILY	33 312	-	14	79	640	12 028	16 622	3 349	472	109
MULTIFAMILY	4 440	-	3	7	27	372	664	557	851	1 959
ACREAGE	8 375	11	169	264	706	2 215	2 685	1 265	618	441
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 899	10	103	339	754	755	324	151	221	241
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	10 131	-	1	19	73	522	1 044	1 576	2 065	4 830
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.5	1.2	3.7	26.9	36.1	11.7	7.1	12.8
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	63.8	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	1.1	21.0	29.2	6.6	2.2	3.5
SINGLE-FAMILY	56.3	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	1.1	20.3	28.1	5.7	0.8	0.2
MULTIFAMILY	7.5	-	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.6	1.1	0.9	1.4	3.3
ACREAGE	14.2	(Z)	0.3	0.4	1.2	3.7	4.5	2.1	1.0	0.7
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.9	(Z)	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	17.1	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.9	1.8	2.7	3.5	8.2
PENNSYLVANIA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	4 634 429	729 968	2 005 789	1 250 156	497 778	121 372	16 780	7 789	4 191	606
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 339 405	122 696	1 585 364	1 144 687	430 862	80 241	3 702	1 159	592	102
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 182 590	121 424	1 491 393	1 089 320	404 188	73 776	2 350	139	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	156 815	1 272	63 971	55 367	26 674	6 465	1 352	1 020	592	102
ACREAGE	307 499	135 408	104 053	35 771	21 677	8 468	1 624	358	134	6
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	754 342	457 712	281 265	10 335	2 720	1 648	416	144	96	6
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	233 183	14 152	65 107	59 363	42 519	31 015	11 038	6 128	3 369	492
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	15.8	43.3	27.0	10.7	2.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	72.1	2.6	33.6	24.7	9.3	1.7	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	68.7	2.6	32.2	23.5	8.7	1.6	0.1	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.4	(Z)	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	6.6	2.9	2.2	0.8	0.5	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	16.3	9.9	6.1	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.0	0.3	1.4	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	28 571	315	5 607	8 794	6 604	3 424	1 141	1 083	1 222	380
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	21 309	82	4 704	8 046	5 688	2 161	238	159	165	66
SINGLE-FAMILY	19 702	81	4 500	7 657	5 321	1 978	145	20	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	1 607	1	204	389	366	183	93	139	165	66
ACREAGE	1 287	53	246	254	287	249	108	48	37	4
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	868	173	470	70	37	48	26	18	24	4
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5 106	7	187	424	592	966	769	858	995	306
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	1.1	19.6	30.8	23.1	12.0	4.0	3.8	4.3	1.3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	74.6	0.3	16.5	28.2	19.9	7.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	69.0	0.3	15.8	26.8	18.6	6.9	0.5	0.1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	5.6	(Z)	0.7	1.4	1.3	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.2
ACREAGE	4.5	0.2	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.0	0.6	1.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	17.9	(Z)	0.7	1.5	2.1	3.4	2.7	3.0	3.5	1.1
RHODE ISLAND										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	349 274	36 920	56 212	57 584	108 945	68 556	14 749	4 470	1 480	358
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	231 738	768	7 450	46 244	100 657	61 802	11 478	2 558	687	94
SINGLE-FAMILY	182 812	768	7 066	30 932	75 840	55 252	10 300	2 065	580	9
MULTIFAMILY	48 926	-	384	15 312	24 817	6 550	1 178	493	107	85
ACREAGE	8 893	2 344	3 744	768	1 235	586	94	111	8	3
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	90 679	33 808	42 318	8 172	4 568	1 282	384	143	2	2
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	17 964	-	2 700	2 400	2 485	4 886	2 793	1 658	783	259
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	10.6	16.1	16.5	31.2	19.6	4.2	1.3	0.4	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	66.3	0.2	2.1	13.2	28.8	17.7	3.3	0.7	0.2	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	52.3	0.2	2.0	8.9	21.7	15.8	2.9	0.6	0.2	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	14.0	-	0.1	4.4	7.1	1.9	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	2.5	0.7	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	26.0	9.7	12.1	2.3	1.3	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.1	-	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	6 538	16	134	449	1 587	2 063	982	607	441	288
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	5 052	1	26	371	1 438	1 853	754	343	185	82
SINGLE-FAMILY	4 143	1	25	250	1 088	1 671	677	274	152	6
MULTIFAMILY	909	-	1	121	350	182	77	69	33	76
ACREAGE	79	1	9	5	20	18	6	13	3	3
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	312	14	93	56	63	38	27	18	1	2
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	1 094	-	6	17	36	153	194	232	253	202
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.2	2.1	6.9	23.8	31.5	15.0	9.3	6.7	4.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	77.3	(Z)	0.4	5.7	22.0	28.3	11.5	5.2	2.8	1.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	63.4	(Z)	0.4	3.8	16.6	25.6	10.4	4.2	2.3	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	13.9	-	(Z)	1.8	5.4	2.8	1.2	1.1	0.5	1.2
ACREAGE	1.2	(Z)	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.8	0.2	1.4	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.3	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	16.7	-	0.1	0.3	0.6	2.3	3.0	3.6	3.9	3.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
SOUTH CAROLINA[2]										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 438 955	1 109 952	308 316	12 917	4 680	2 570	507	13	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	852 240	601 780	242 768	6 087	1 106	404	89	6	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	820 136	589 370	225 432	4 607	677	49	1	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	32 104	12 410	17 336	1 480	429	355	88	6	-	-
ACREAGE	235 512	203 558	28 777	1 844	860	398	75	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	295 203	281 500	13 022	377	252	47	5	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	56 000	23 114	23 749	4 609	2 462	1 721	338	7	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	77.1	21.4	0.9	0.3	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	59.2	41.8	16.9	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	57.0	41.0	15.7	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.2	0.9	1.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
ACREAGE	16.4	14.1	2.0	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	20.5	19.6	0.9	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.9	1.6	1.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	1 182	356	560	87	63	82	33	1	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	760	257	430	40	15	13	6	1	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	684	251	393	30	9	1	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	77	6	37	10	6	11	6	1	-	-
ACREAGE	140	45	53	12	12	13	5	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	74	43	23	3	3	1	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	207	10	53	33	34	55	22	1	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	30.1	47.4	7.3	5.3	6.9	2.8	0.1	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	64.4	21.8	36.4	3.3	1.2	1.1	0.5	0.1	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	57.9	21.2	33.3	2.5	0.7	0.1	(Z)	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	6.5	0.5	3.1	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.1	-	-
ACREAGE	11.8	3.8	4.5	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.4	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	6.2	3.7	1.9	0.2	0.3	0.1	(Z)	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	17.6	0.9	4.5	2.8	2.8	4.7	1.9	0.1	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	431 443	40 920	95 700	97 185	126 966	62 982	5 914	1 289	425	62
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	164 641	4 392	35 982	43 518	64 546	15 016	844	227	103	13
SINGLE-FAMILY	160 045	4 392	35 630	42 725	63 011	13 956	308	23	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	4 596	-	352	793	1 535	1 060	536	204	103	13
ACREAGE	195 398	5 508	35 754	49 338	57 242	43 386	3 644	444	82	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	50 224	29 676	18 606	1 036	745	161	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	21 180	1 344	5 358	3 293	4 433	4 419	1 426	618	240	49
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	9.5	22.2	22.5	29.4	14.6	1.4	0.3	0.1	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	38.2	1.0	8.3	10.1	15.0	3.5	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	37.1	1.0	8.3	9.9	14.6	3.2	0.1	(Z)	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	-	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	45.3	1.3	8.3	11.4	13.3	10.1	0.8	0.1	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	11.6	6.9	4.3	0.2	0.2	(Z)	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.9	0.3	1.2	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 301	17	282	708	1 769	1 801	387	175	123	37
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 844	3	111	321	892	387	57	33	32	8
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 675	3	109	316	872	355	17	4	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	169	-	1	6	20	32	40	30	32	8
ACREAGE	2 865	3	116	357	800	1 271	237	58	22	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	75	10	42	7	10	6	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	516	1	14	23	66	137	93	84	70	29
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.3	5.3	13.4	33.4	34.0	7.3	3.3	2.3	0.7
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	34.8	(Z)	2.1	6.1	16.8	7.3	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	31.6	(Z)	2.1	6.0	16.4	6.7	0.3	0.1	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.2	-	(Z)	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.2
ACREAGE	54.0	0.1	2.2	6.7	15.1	24.0	4.5	1.1	0.4	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1.4	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	9.7	(Z)	0.3	0.4	1.3	2.6	1.8	1.6	1.3	0.5
TENNESSEE										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 053 355	481 197	820 088	468 111	202 020	63 275	11 752	4 627	1 948	337
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 134 551	81 713	516 292	374 556	137 617	23 235	938	130	46	26
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 111 977	81 713	507 633	368 901	134 625	18 400	634	62	8	1
MULTIFAMILY	22 574	-	8 659	5 653	2 992	4 835	304	68	38	25
ACREAGE	284 477	35 327	131 769	59 833	36 416	18 131	2 433	497	67	4
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	525 138	362 232	153 280	5 405	2 793	1 095	197	79	56	1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	109 189	1 925	18 747	28 319	25 194	20 814	8 184	3 921	1 779	306
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	23.4	39.9	22.8	9.8	3.1	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	55.3	4.0	25.1	18.2	6.7	1.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	54.2	4.0	24.7	18.0	6.6	0.9	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	-	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	13.9	1.7	6.4	2.9	1.8	0.9	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	25.6	17.6	7.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.3	0.1	0.9	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
TENNESSEE--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	12 589	239	2 239	3 313	2 733	1 811	794	625	597	238
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	6 776	51	1 538	2 647	1 825	605	62	16	15	16
SINGLE-FAMILY	6 466	51	1 510	2 609	1 784	457	42	8	3	1
MULTIFAMILY	311	-	28	38	41	148	19	9	12	16
ACREAGE	2 076	23	350	424	510	528	159	64	16	2
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	598	164	290	37	38	31	13	9	16	1
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3 138	1	61	205	360	647	560	536	550	219
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	1.9	17.8	26.3	21.7	14.4	6.3	5.0	4.7	1.9
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	53.8	0.4	12.2	21.0	14.5	4.8	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	51.4	0.4	12.0	20.7	14.2	3.6	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.5	-	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
ACREAGE	16.5	0.2	2.8	3.4	4.0	4.2	1.3	0.5	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.8	1.3	2.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	24.9	(Z)	0.5	1.6	2.9	5.1	4.4	4.3	4.4	1.7
TEXAS										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	7 368 075	1 086 170	1 772 304	1 355 167	1 295 926	1 411 687	328 904	73 173	34 267	10 477
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 763 960	59 312	492 516	794 093	999 356	1 175 262	219 465	18 700	3 539	1 717
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 711 854	58 928	488 494	787 269	987 423	1 159 763	212 397	15 845	1 524	211
MULTIFAMILY	52 106	384	4 022	6 824	11 933	15 499	7 068	2 855	2 015	1 506
ACREAGE	1 196 509	202 648	357 442	193 527	175 806	156 708	67 343	29 039	11 872	2 124
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2 180 112	805 718	900 956	340 946	89 510	30 700	7 484	2 712	1 634	452
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	227 494	18 492	21 390	26 601	31 254	49 017	34 612	22 722	17 222	6 184
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	14.7	24.1	18.4	17.6	19.2	4.5	1.0	0.5	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	51.1	0.8	6.7	10.8	13.6	16.0	3.0	0.3	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	50.4	0.8	6.6	10.7	13.4	15.7	2.9	0.2	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	0.7	(Z)	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	16.2	2.8	4.9	2.6	2.4	2.1	0.9	0.4	0.2	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	29.6	10.9	12.2	4.6	1.2	0.4	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	127 568	524	4 607	9 632	18 417	44 386	21 723	9 946	10 303	8 029
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	77 375	34	1 496	5 824	14 342	36 884	14 087	2 399	1 032	1 277
SINGLE-FAMILY	73 946	34	1 483	5 770	14 167	36 365	13 603	2 001	391	131
MULTIFAMILY	3 428	-	12	53	175	519	484	397	642	1 145
ACREAGE	23 626	88	963	1 361	2 460	5 002	4 684	3 966	3 436	1 664
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	8 556	396	2 084	2 251	1 164	919	528	376	499	339
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	18 011	6	64	195	451	1 581	2 424	3 205	5 335	4 749
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.4	3.6	7.6	14.4	34.8	17.0	7.8	8.1	6.3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	60.7	(Z)	1.2	4.6	11.2	28.9	11.0	1.9	0.8	1.0
SINGLE-FAMILY	58.0	(Z)	1.2	4.5	11.1	28.5	10.7	1.6	0.3	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	2.7	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.9
ACREAGE	18.5	0.1	0.8	1.1	1.9	3.9	3.7	3.1	2.7	1.3
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	6.7	0.3	1.6	1.8	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	14.1	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.4	1.2	1.9	2.5	4.2	3.7
UTAH										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	703 431	196 824	202 680	228 688	60 830	10 756	2 104	1 218	371	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	373 191	5 640	100 988	211 476	49 332	4 926	550	234	45	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	357 284	5 640	100 220	203 156	44 368	3 590	230	64	16	-
MULTIFAMILY	15 907	-	768	8 320	4 964	1 336	320	170	29	-
ACREAGE	121 724	69 864	34 928	10 512	5 504	766	102	32	16	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	186 933	120 336	63 000	1 688	1 026	728	118	16	21	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	21 583	984	3 724	5 012	4 968	4 336	1 334	936	289	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	28.0	28.8	32.5	8.6	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	53.1	0.8	14.4	30.1	7.0	0.7	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	50.8	0.8	14.2	28.9	6.3	0.5	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
MULTIFAMILY	2.3	-	0.1	1.2	0.7	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
ACREAGE	17.3	9.9	5.0	1.5	0.8	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	26.6	17.1	9.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.1	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	3 762	69	575	1 603	791	317	145	171	91	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	2 704	4	369	1 481	631	138	37	32	11	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	2 477	4	366	1 420	565	96	15	8	4	-
MULTIFAMILY	226	-	3	61	67	42	22	24	7	-
ACREAGE	286	26	79	73	73	21	6	4	4	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	217	40	116	11	14	21	8	2	5	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	556	1	11	37	72	137	94	133	71	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	1.8	15.3	42.6	21.0	8.4	3.9	4.5	2.4	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	71.9	0.1	9.8	39.4	16.8	3.7	1.0	0.9	0.3	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	65.9	0.1	9.7	37.8	15.0	2.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	-
MULTIFAMILY	6.0	-	0.1	1.6	1.8	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.2	-
ACREAGE	7.6	0.7	2.1	1.9	1.9	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.8	1.1	3.1	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.2	(Z)	0.1	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	14.8	(Z)	0.3	1.0	1.9	3.7	2.5	3.5	1.9	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
VERMONT										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	235 256	230 201	4 790	214	49	2	-	-	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	172 383	169 954	2 383	38	8	-	-	-	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	160 030	158 003	1 996	29	2	-	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	12 353	11 951	387	9	6	-	-	-	-	-
ACREAGE	42 805	41 780	985	37	1	2	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	8 504	8 500	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	11 564	9 967	1 418	139	40	-	-	-	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	97.9	2.0	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	73.3	72.2	1.0	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	68.0	67.2	0.8	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	5.3	5.1	0.2	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
ACREAGE	18.2	17.8	0.4	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.6	3.6	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	4.9	4.2	0.6	0.1	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	59	49	8	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	44	41	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	40	37	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	4	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ACREAGE	7	5	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8	3	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	82.6	13.7	2.5	1.1	0.1	-	-	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	74.9	68.4	5.9	0.4	0.2	-	-	-	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	67.8	62.8	4.7	0.3	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	7.1	5.6	1.2	0.1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
ACREAGE	11.2	8.0	2.7	0.4	(Z)	0.1	-	-	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	0.4	0.4	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	13.5	5.8	5.1	1.7	0.9	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 036 833	115 454	260 793	183 984	218 437	635 362	459 515	133 222	22 490	7 376
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 238 485	778	26 561	47 259	109 954	535 770	404 949	103 388	8 169	1 657
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 193 242	778	26 561	43 822	104 434	513 037	396 596	100 464	7 078	472
MULTIFAMILY	45 243	-	-	3 437	5 520	22 733	8 353	2 924	1 091	1 185
ACREAGE	325 920	29 554	80 455	45 852	46 842	60 626	36 540	18 163	6 296	1 592
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	416 639	84 402	152 042	88 728	57 285	24 982	5 483	2 029	1 211	477
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	55 789	720	1 735	2 145	4 556	13 984	12 543	9 642	6 814	3 650
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	5.7	12.8	9.0	10.7	31.2	22.6	6.5	1.1	0.4
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	60.8	(Z)	1.3	2.3	5.4	26.3	19.9	5.1	0.4	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	58.6	(Z)	1.3	2.2	5.1	25.2	19.5	4.9	0.3	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.2	-	-	0.2	0.3	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1
ACREAGE	16.0	1.5	4.0	2.3	2.3	3.0	1.8	0.9	0.3	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	20.5	4.1	7.5	4.4	2.8	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2.7	(Z)	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	89 848	51	657	1 319	3 147	22 008	31 885	17 209	6 416	7 157
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	65 956	-	75	350	1 648	18 850	28 054	13 109	2 150	1 719
SINGLE-FAMILY	62 425	-	75	324	1 567	18 069	27 501	12 716	1 825	344
MULTIFAMILY	3 531	-	-	25	81	781	553	393	325	1 373
ACREAGE	11 267	14	208	323	663	1 975	2 569	2 477	1 819	1 219
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3 991	36	370	629	769	716	366	283	376	445
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8 634	-	5	17	67	466	896	1 339	2 071	3 774
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	0.7	1.5	3.5	24.5	35.5	19.2	7.1	8.0
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	73.4	(Z)	0.1	0.4	1.8	21.0	31.2	14.6	2.4	1.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	69.5	(Z)	0.1	0.4	1.7	20.1	30.6	14.2	2.0	0.4
MULTIFAMILY	3.9	-	-	(Z)	0.1	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.4	1.5
ACREAGE	12.5	(Z)	0.2	0.4	0.7	2.2	2.9	2.8	2.0	1.4
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	4.4	(Z)	0.4	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	9.6	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.3	4.2
WASHINGTON										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 159 056	107 940	238 296	226 836	293 896	649 176	509 589	101 190	24 660	7 473
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 209 146	-	10 032	25 524	119 760	511 718	455 692	74 202	10 262	1 956
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 161 764	-	9 648	25 524	118 440	500 070	435 477	65 681	6 482	442
MULTIFAMILY	47 382	-	384	-	1 320	11 648	20 215	8 521	3 780	1 514
ACREAGE	436 566	45 732	90 780	81 516	91 524	84 648	28 287	10 631	2 955	493
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	441 047	62 208	136 464	117 798	75 600	34 380	9 901	2 948	1 361	387
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	72 297	-	1 020	1 998	7 012	18 430	15 709	13 409	10 082	4 637
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	5.0	11.0	10.5	13.6	30.1	23.6	4.7	1.1	0.3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	56.0	-	0.5	1.2	5.5	23.7	21.1	3.4	0.5	0.1
SINGLE-FAMILY	53.8	-	0.4	1.2	5.5	23.2	20.2	3.0	0.3	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.2	-	(Z)	-	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1
ACREAGE	20.2	2.1	4.2	3.8	4.2	3.9	1.3	0.5	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	20.4	2.9	6.3	5.5	3.5	1.6	0.5	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.3	-	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and States: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
WASHINGTON--CONTINUED										
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	90 692	41	675	1 601	4 248	22 259	34 630	13 212	7 188	6 836
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	65 129	-	31	192	1 852	17 960	30 915	9 479	2 933	1 767
SINGLE-FAMILY	59 501	-	29	192	1 831	17 544	29 474	8 334	1 761	336
MULTIFAMILY	5 628	-	1	-	22	416	1 441	1 145	1 172	1 430
ACREAGE	9 435	15	269	575	1 292	2 643	1 950	1 439	800	451
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5 019	26	373	818	1 005	1 024	662	396	401	315
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	11 109	-	2	17	99	631	1 103	1 898	3 055	4 303
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	(Z)	0.7	1.8	4.7	24.5	38.2	14.6	7.9	7.5
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	71.8	-	(Z)	0.2	2.0	19.8	34.1	10.5	3.2	1.9
SINGLE-FAMILY	65.6	-	(Z)	0.2	2.0	19.3	32.5	9.2	1.9	0.4
MULTIFAMILY	6.2	-	(Z)	-	(Z)	0.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.6
ACREAGE	10.4	(Z)	0.3	0.6	1.4	2.9	2.2	1.6	0.9	0.5
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	5.5	(Z)	0.4	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.3
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.2	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.1	0.7	1.2	2.1	3.4	4.7
WEST VIRGINIA										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	994 178	340 528	334 858	175 450	106 290	30 070	4 139	1 833	901	109
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	543 573	38 904	236 123	153 916	91 196	22 039	1 136	181	64	14
SINGLE-FAMILY	532 379	38 544	232 822	150 518	89 064	20 714	613	85	18	1
MULTIFAMILY	11 194	360	3 301	3 398	2 132	1 325	523	96	46	13
ACREAGE	206 836	119 600	59 078	15 022	8 142	3 329	906	501	245	13
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	219 531	181 224	33 611	3 349	865	412	56	4	10	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	24 238	800	6 046	3 163	6 087	4 290	2 041	1 147	582	82
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	34.3	33.7	17.6	10.7	3.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	(Z)
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	54.7	3.9	23.8	15.5	9.2	2.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	53.5	3.9	23.4	15.1	9.0	2.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	1.1	(Z)	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
ACREAGE	20.8	12.0	5.9	1.5	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	22.1	18.2	3.4	0.3	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	2.4	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	5 430	115	900	1 255	1 456	831	279	252	273	69
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	3 767	22	683	1 105	1 244	587	74	24	20	10
SINGLE-FAMILY	3 593	21	674	1 080	1 214	549	39	11	5	1
MULTIFAMILY	173	-	9	26	30	37	34	13	15	9
ACREAGE	697	36	133	106	112	96	63	69	73	9
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	178	56	68	22	11	13	3	1	3	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	788	-	16	22	89	135	139	159	177	51
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	2.1	16.6	23.1	26.8	15.3	5.1	4.6	5.0	1.3
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	69.4	0.4	12.6	20.4	22.9	10.8	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.2
SINGLE-FAMILY	66.2	0.4	12.4	19.9	22.4	10.1	0.7	0.2	0.1	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	3.2	(Z)	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.2
ACREAGE	12.8	0.7	2.5	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.2	1.3	1.3	0.2
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	3.3	1.0	1.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	(Z)	0.1	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	14.5	(Z)	0.3	0.4	1.6	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.3	0.9
WISCONSIN[2]										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	2 449 527	164 423	420 841	299 619	436 744	791 425	281 864	39 974	11 077	3 560
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	1 203 324	8 205	46 064	94 265	220 389	604 611	212 306	13 479	2 985	1 020
SINGLE-FAMILY	1 151 743	8 205	45 680	92 739	213 143	584 510	197 504	9 329	572	61
MULTIFAMILY	51 581	-	384	1 526	7 246	20 101	14 802	4 150	2 413	959
ACREAGE	868 430	82 148	244 935	146 578	175 400	151 832	50 469	15 478	1 530	60
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	291 944	73 513	127 292	54 159	28 166	6 983	1 068	614	125	24
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	85 829	557	2 550	4 617	12 789	27 999	18 021	10 403	6 437	2 456
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	6.7	17.2	12.2	17.8	32.3	11.5	1.6	0.5	0.1
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	49.1	0.3	1.9	3.8	9.0	24.7	8.7	0.6	0.1	(Z)
SINGLE-FAMILY	47.0	0.3	1.9	3.8	8.7	23.9	8.1	0.4	(Z)	(Z)
MULTIFAMILY	2.1	-	(Z)	0.1	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.2	0.1	(Z)
ACREAGE	35.5	3.4	10.0	6.0	7.2	6.2	2.1	0.6	0.1	(Z)
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	11.9	3.0	5.2	2.2	1.1	0.3	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	3.5	(Z)	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.1
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	66 404	72	1 141	2 192	6 390	26 198	18 587	5 256	3 263	3 305
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	41 765	4	139	723	3 301	20 391	13 746	1 707	890	863
SINGLE-FAMILY	37 776	4	137	710	3 183	19 717	12 701	1 127	156	40
MULTIFAMILY	3 989	-	1	13	117	674	1 045	581	734	824
ACREAGE	14 900	37	662	1 051	2 542	4 669	3 488	2 010	404	37
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	1 506	30	334	385	355	197	70	85	35	15
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	8 233	-	6	33	192	941	1 283	1 454	1 934	2 390
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	0.1	1.7	3.3	9.6	39.5	28.0	7.9	4.9	5.0
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	62.9	(Z)	0.2	1.1	5.0	30.7	20.7	2.6	1.3	1.3
SINGLE-FAMILY	56.9	(Z)	0.2	1.1	4.8	29.7	19.1	1.7	0.2	0.1
MULTIFAMILY	6.0	-	(Z)	(Z)	0.2	1.0	1.6	0.9	1.1	1.2
ACREAGE	22.4	0.1	1.0	1.6	3.8	7.0	5.3	3.0	0.6	0.1
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.3	(Z)	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	12.4	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	0.3	1.4	1.9	2.2	2.9	3.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 12. Locally Assessed Taxable Ordinary Real Property by Assessed Value Size and State[1]: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Total	Less than $1,000	$1,000 to $4,999	$5,000 to $9,999	$10,000 to $19,999	$20,000 to $49,999	$50,000 to $99,999	$100,000 to $199,999	$200,000 to $499,999	$500,000 or more
WYOMING										
NUMBER OF PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	194 657	51 072	94 721	35 316	9 280	3 455	628	185	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	104 959	3 066	73 524	25 847	2 161	285	68	8	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	102 404	3 066	72 188	25 278	1 714	158	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	2 555	-	1 336	569	447	127	68	8	-	-
ACREAGE	40 786	13 164	13 920	6 870	4 604	1 882	256	90	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	37 589	32 934	4 287	128	192	32	16	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	11 323	1 908	2 990	2 471	2 323	1 256	288	87	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL PROPERTIES ASSESSED:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	26.2	48.7	18.1	4.8	1.8	0.3	0.1	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	53.9	1.6	37.8	13.3	1.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	52.6	1.6	37.1	13.0	0.9	0.1	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	1.3	-	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-
ACREAGE	21.0	6.8	7.2	3.5	2.4	1.0	0.1	(Z)	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	19.3	16.9	2.2	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	5.8	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.2	0.6	0.1	(Z)	-	-
ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTIES (MILLIONS OF DOLLARS):										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	826	16	287	231	128	99	41	24	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	439	2	231	164	28	8	5	1	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	414	2	227	160	21	4	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	25	-	4	4	7	3	5	1	-	-
ACREAGE	238	5	37	49	64	54	16	12	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	23	9	10	1	2	1	1	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	127	1	9	17	34	36	19	11	-	-
PERCENT OF ALL ASSESSED VALUE:										
ALL TYPES OF PROPERTY	100.0	2.0	34.7	28.0	15.5	12.0	4.9	2.9	-	-
RESIDENTIAL (NONFARM), TOTAL	53.1	0.2	28.0	19.8	3.4	0.9	0.6	0.1	-	-
SINGLE-FAMILY	50.1	0.2	27.5	19.3	2.6	0.5	-	-	-	-
MULTIFAMILY	3.0	-	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.1	-	-
ACREAGE	28.8	0.6	4.4	6.0	7.8	6.5	2.0	1.4	-	-
VACANT PLATTED LOTS	2.8	1.0	1.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	-	-	-
COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL	15.4	0.1	1.1	2.1	4.1	4.4	2.2	1.3	-	-

Note: Caution should be exercised when making comparisons of estimated distributions in this table with those for earlier periods. Reassessing and other sources of revision can significantly influence total and individual use category estimates. See table 21 footnotes for certain specific local variations. The assessed value aggregates shown here are the estimates obtained by summing values of sampled "ordinary" properties and then expanding the results to reflect statewide totals. Consequently, these aggregates will not compare exactly with data in other tables. Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Distributions are based on a sample of realty parcels in selected jurisdictions. Classification of such parcels by use category depends on official local assessment records which varied widely as to nature and extent of property description. As a consequence, distributions shown may be affected by variability of such records. This was particularly significant in the allocation of residential property between single-family and multifamily categories, and of unimproved property between acreage and vacant platted lots. For details, see text.

[1]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but distributions are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[2]Distribution in South Carolina and Wisconsin include "manufacturing" property, assessed in each instance by a State agency. To assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed."

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 13. Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses Involved in Measurable Sales of Ordinary Real Property During a 6-Month Period by States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Transfers of new houses (not previously occupied)			Transfers of previously occupied houses						Approximate market value of all assessed single-family nonfarm houses, as indicated by measurable sales[2]	Average assessed value of locally assessed nonfarm houses, 1981
	Number sold[1]	Aggregate of sales prices (thousand dollars)	Average sales price (dollars)	Number sold[1]	Aggregate of sales prices (thousand dollars)	Average sales price (dollars)	Aggregate assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Aggregate assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
UNITED STATES	137 611	11 611 152	84 377	440 640	29 349 189	66 606	12 872 349	29 213	43.9	3 029 410	24 165
ALABAMA	2 185	111 608	51 079	7 044	325 760	46 246	23 951	3 400	7.4	39 426	3 236
ALASKA	519	54 468	104 948	1 270	116 374	91 633	88 065	69 343	75.7	7 035	70 977
ARIZONA	4 268	341 480	80 009	8 847	612 587	69 242	35 752	4 041	5.8	54 170	4 371
ARKANSAS	1 132	59 814	52 839	4 331	162 416	37 501	15 456	3 569	9.5	18 780	3 095
CALIFORNIA	18 450	2 163 580	117 267	67 882	7 712 595	113 618	4 639 019	68 339	60.1	533 589	59 771
COLORADO	7 796	712 626	91 409	16 086	1 273 341	79 158	123 570	7 682	9.7	67 922	7 555
CONNECTICUT	1 327	141 765	106 831	5 697	509 809	89 487	205 691	36 105	40.3	68 476	35 853
DELAWARE	350	27 109	77 454	1 348	84 573	62 740	22 026	16 340	26.0	9 073	13 128
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	273	37 306	136 652	1 232	131 852	107 023	105 040	85 260	79.7	10 964	84 372
FLORIDA	13 047	1 058 060	81 096	26 905	1 701 509	63 241	1 158 697	43 066	68.1	185 132	43 623
GEORGIA	5 104	420 448	82 376	10 609	535 428	50 469	155 806	14 686	29.1	62 719	12 774
HAWAII	347	59 614	171 798	1 226	175 574	143 209	72 977	59 524	41.6	29 465	56 815
IDAHO	268	18 900	70 522	2 721	139 668	51 330	111 126	40 840	79.6	13 069	42 213
ILLINOIS	1 425	107 699	75 578	11 940	669 772	56 095	183 418	15 362	27.4	131 093	15 227
INDIANA	1 800	123 195	68 442	10 858	419 913	38 673	72 328	6 661	17.2	56 308	6 574
IOWA	756	45 803	60 586	4 738	207 660	43 829	130 026	27 443	62.6	32 954	27 330
KANSAS	1 670	123 723	74 086	7 537	333 326	44 225	27 535	3 653	8.3	23 355	3 568
KENTUCKY	1 140	76 272	66 905	6 475	269 490	41 620	220 469	34 049	81.8	30 144	27 578
LOUISIANA	1 607	127 247	79 183	5 524	326 565	59 117	21 056	3 812	6.4	44 412	3 077
MAINE	322	16 995	52 780	2 955	135 238	45 766	95 629	32 362	70.7	15 325	27 888
MARYLAND	4 111	397 317	96 647	8 881	636 021	71 616	217 906	24 536	34.3	69 355	22 811
MASSACHUSETTS	1 540	127 160	82 571	9 019	655 690	72 701	361 823	40 118	55.2	92 817	42 598
MICHIGAN	1 296	100 033	77 186	8 455	414 668	49 044	180 359	21 332	43.5	113 323	19 374
MINNESOTA	2 838	213 925	75 379	6 806	392 985	57 741	66 279	9 738	16.9	60 573	10 063
MISSISSIPPI	464	24 031	51 791	3 234	140 580	43 469	9 904	3 062	7.0	19 930	2 303
MISSOURI	1 793	120 098	66 982	10 208	404 330	39 609	52 686	5 161	13.0	48 230	5 031
MONTANA	281	14 726	52 406	1 664	74 356	44 685	2 744	1 649	3.7	8 786	1 725
NEBRASKA	1 073	80 643	75 157	4 221	194 077	45 979	138 357	32 778	71.3	17 116	30 125
NEVADA	1 395	120 430	86 330	2 420	198 959	82 214	43 494	17 973	21.9	14 335	17 034
NEW HAMPSHIRE	427	30 040	70 351	1 738	98 758	56 823	63 150	36 335	63.9	14 229	33 394
NEW JERSEY	5 521	471 743	85 445	12 812	949 852	74 138	556 636	43 446	58.6	118 874	41 668
NEW MEXICO	845	61 155	72 373	2 575	133 243	51 745	17 060	6 625	12.8	14 607	5 617
NEW YORK	2 547	203 476	79 888	23 181	1 312 416	56 616	310 236	13 383	23.6	153 447	12 873
NORTH CAROLINA	4 577	284 003	62 050	11 278	558 165	49 491	331 418	29 386	59.4	64 462	25 921
NORTH DAKOTA	432	24 271	56 183	1 506	59 468	39 487	3 756	2 494	6.3	3 710	1 699
OHIO	2 671	206 735	77 400	13 440	722 887	53 786	212 335	15 799	29.4	129 567	13 625
OKLAHOMA	2 647	211 675	79 968	10 130	538 540	53 163	41 546	4 101	7.7	36 428	3 266
OREGON	1 655	139 738	84 434	5 334	348 573	65 349	268 419	50 322	77.0	39 719	46 191
PENNSYLVANIA	2 840	214 405	75 495	15 235	737 174	48 387	107 518	7 057	14.6	124 700	5 715
RHODE ISLAND	246	15 780	64 146	1 026	57 052	55 606	22 800	22 222	40.0	11 096	24 256
SOUTH CAROLINA	2 752	206 028	74 865	5 386	265 289	49 255	6 344	1 178	2.4	31 269	912
SOUTH DAKOTA	173	8 015	46 329	1 587	68 004	42 851	19 545	12 316	28.7	5 789	10 395
TENNESSEE	1 743	128 044	73 462	8 076	376 248	46 588	55 065	6 818	14.6	43 917	5 780
TEXAS	17 364	1 380 665	79 513	31 141	1 842 625	59 170	786 429	25 254	42.7	196 713	22 618
UTAH	1 423	90 844	63 840	3 197	195 430	61 129	21 876	6 843	11.2	21 264	6 662
VERMONT	174	13 858	79 644	1 289	60 052	46 588	342	265	0.6	7 828	279
VIRGINIA	6 437	578 435	89 861	13 350	977 833	73 246	798 182	59 789	81.6	79 573	54 432
WASHINGTON	2 342	175 912	75 112	7 554	531 157	70 315	394 400	52 211	74.3	81 607	52 153
WEST VIRGINIA	511	28 916	56 587	2 942	119 834	40 732	26 524	9 016	22.1	16 119	6 701
WISCONSIN	1 224	76 267	62 310	6 656	371 020	55 742	243 289	36 552	65.6	55 990	31 877
WYOMING	483	35 072	72 613	1 074	70 453	65 599	4 290	3 994	6.1	7 064	4 200

Note: These data represent estimates subject to sampling variation. Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Sampling errors of aggregate assessment-sales price ratios are shown in table 11.

[1]These do not constitute estimates of gross turnover of realty because certain transfers were either nonrespondent to our mail canvass questionnaire or deemed not usable for ratio calculation and were necessarily excluded.

[2]Quotient of estimated assessed value, all such properties, ordinary and extraordinary, divided by aggregate assessment-sales price ratio. Note that this contrasts with table 11, wherein an estimate of market value for single-family nonfarm houses is calculated in the same manner, except for use of size-weighted average ratio instead of aggregate assessment-sales price ratio, and except for use of estimated assessed value of ordinary single-family (nonfarm) houses only.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 14. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Median Assessment Ratio for Single-*Family* (Nonfarm) Houses by Type of Areas: 1981

(Based on a sample of measurable sales taking place in a 6-month period of 1981. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	All selected areas	Area population (1980)		Selected-area location relative to SMSA[1]		Kind of assessment organization[2]		
		50,000 or more	Less than 50,000	Inside	Outside	Type CO	Type IMC	Type TM
	NUMBER OF AREAS							
TOTAL	1 367	586	781	800	567	698	322	347
LESS THAN 10.0	248	105	143	97	151	165	62	21
10.0 TO 14.9	127	73	54	76	51	74	47	6
15.0 TO 19.9	64	36	28	39	25	18	39	7
20.0 TO 24.9	49	27	22	32	17	24	11	14
25.0 TO 29.9	91	43	48	61	30	52	17	22
30.0 TO 39.9	140	75	65	99	41	70	32	38
40.0 TO 49.9	115	44	71	88	27	25	17	73
50.0 OR MORE	533	183	350	308	225	270	97	166
	PERCENT OF AREAS							
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 10.0	18.1	17.9	18.3	12.1	26.6	23.6	19.3	6.1
10.0 TO 14.9	9.3	12.5	6.9	9.5	9.0	10.6	14.6	1.7
15.0 TO 19.9	4.7	6.1	3.6	4.9	4.4	2.6	12.1	2.0
20.0 TO 24.9	3.6	4.6	2.8	4.0	3.0	3.4	3.4	4.0
25.0 TO 29.9	6.7	7.3	6.1	7.6	5.3	7.4	5.3	6.3
30.0 TO 39.9	10.2	12.8	8.3	12.4	7.2	10.0	9.9	11.0
40.0 TO 49.9	8.4	7.5	9.1	11.0	4.8	3.6	5.3	21.0
50.0 OR MORE	39.0	31.2	44.8	38.5	39.7	38.7	30.1	47.8
	PERCENT OF AREAS, CUMULATIVE							
LESS THAN 10.0	18.1	17.9	18.3	12.1	26.6	23.6	19.3	6.1
LESS THAN 15.0	27.4	30.4	25.2	21.6	35.6	34.2	33.9	7.8
LESS THAN 20.0	32.1	36.5	28.8	26.5	40.0	36.8	46.0	9.8
LESS THAN 25.0	35.7	41.1	31.6	30.5	43.0	40.3	49.4	13.8
LESS THAN 30.0	42.4	48.5	37.8	38.1	48.3	47.7	54.7	20.2
LESS THAN 40.0	52.6	61.3	46.1	50.5	55.6	57.7	64.6	31.1
LESS THAN 50.0	61.0	68.8	55.2	61.5	60.3	61.3	69.9	52.2

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Selected areas are sample areas each having five or more measurable sample sales of previously occupied single-family (nonfarm) houses. Sales of newly constructed houses are not represented in these data.

[1]In Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, the territory involved includes New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's) which are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[2]For nature of this classification, see text discussion of types of assessing organizations.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 15. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Coefficient of Intra-Area Dispersion of Assessment Ratios for Single-Family Houses by Type of Areas: 1981

(Based on a sample of measurable sales taking place in a 6-month period of 1981. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Coefficient of intra-area dispersion (percent)	All selected areas	Area population (1980)		Selected-area location relative to SMSA[1]		Kind of assessment organization[2]		
		50,000 or more	Less than 50,000	Inside	Outside	Type CO	Type TMC	Type TM
	NUMBER OF AREAS							
TOTAL	1 367	586	781	800	567	698	322	347
LESS THAN 10.0	168	51	117	144	24	37	40	91
10.0 TO 14.9	234	85	149	163	71	84	51	99
15.0 TO 19.9	245	112	133	140	105	123	58	64
20.0 TO 24.9	166	81	85	93	73	97	33	36
25.0 TO 29.9	159	84	75	84	75	106	30	23
30.0 TO 39.9	162	79	83	81	81	102	40	20
40.0 TO 49.9	85	38	47	32	53	58	20	7
50.0 OR MORE	148	56	92	63	85	91	50	7
	PERCENT OF AREAS							
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 10.0	12.3	8.7	15.0	18.0	4.2	5.3	12.4	26.2
10.0 TO 14.9	17.1	14.5	19.1	20.4	12.5	12.0	15.8	28.5
15.0 TO 19.9	17.9	19.1	17.0	17.5	18.5	17.6	18.0	18.4
20.0 TO 24.9	12.1	13.8	10.9	11.6	12.9	13.9	10.2	10.4
25.0 TO 29.9	11.6	14.3	9.6	10.5	13.2	15.2	9.3	6.6
30.0 TO 39.9	11.9	13.5	10.6	10.1	14.3	14.6	12.4	5.8
40.0 TO 49.9	6.2	6.5	6.0	4.0	9.3	8.3	6.2	2.0
50.0 OR MORE	10.8	9.6	11.8	7.9	15.0	13.0	15.5	2.0
	PERCENT OF AREAS, CUMULATIVE							
LESS THAN 10.0	12.3	8.7	15.0	18.0	4.2	5.3	12.4	26.2
LESS THAN 15.0	29.4	23.2	34.1	38.4	16.8	17.3	28.3	54.8
LESS THAN 20.0	47.3	42.3	51.1	55.9	35.3	35.0	46.3	73.2
LESS THAN 25.0	59.5	56.1	62.0	67.5	48.1	48.9	56.5	83.6
LESS THAN 30.0	71.1	70.5	71.6	78.0	61.4	64.0	65.8	90.2
LESS THAN 40.0	83.0	84.0	82.2	88.1	75.7	78.7	78.3	96.0
LESS THAN 50.0	89.2	90.4	88.2	92.1	85.0	87.0	84.5	98.0

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Selected areas are sample areas each having five or more measurable sample sales of previously occupied single-family (nonfarm) houses. Sales of newly constructed houses are not represented in these data.

[1]In Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, the territory involved includes New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's) which are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[2]For nature of this classification, see text discussion of types of assessing organizations.

Table 16. Distribution of Dispersion Coefficients and Price-Related Differentials of Assessment Ratios for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses for Selected Local Areas: 1981

(Based on a sample of measurable sales taking place in a 6-month period of 1981. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	All selected areas	Kind of assessment organization[1]								
		Type CO			Type TMC			Type TM		
		Total	Area population, 1980		Total	Area population, 1980		Total	Area population, 1980	
			50,000 or more	Less than 50,000		50,000 or more	Less than 50,000		50,000 or more	Less than 50,000
	NUMBER OF SELECTED AREAS									
TOTAL GROUP	1 367	698	388	310	322	128	194	347	70	277
MEDIAN ASSESSMENT RATIO FOR NONFARM HOUSES:										
LESS THAN 20.0 PERCENT	439	257	131	126	148	74	74	34	9	25
20.0 TO 29.9 PERCENT	140	76	45	31	28	15	13	36	10	26
30.0 TO 39.9 PERCENT	140	70	47	23	32	15	17	38	13	25
40.0 PERCENT OR MORE	648	295	165	130	114	24	90	239	38	201
	MEDIAN COEFFICIENT OF INTRA-AREA DISPERSION									
TOTAL GROUP	21.3	25.3	24.3	27.2	21.6	24.2	19.4	14.3	13.8	14.5
MEDIAN ASSESSMENT RATIO FOR NONFARM HOUSES:										
LESS THAN 20.0 PERCENT	31.9	33.7	29.9	40.7	32.0	29.7	34.5	19.7	25.0	19.4
20.0 TO 29.9 PERCENT	23.0	28.8	25.9	32.2	22.2	23.0	20.7	15.0	15.1	15.0
30.0 TO 39.9 PERCENT	18.0	19.4	19.1	21.2	18.8	18.0	18.8	14.3	13.9	15.7
40.0 PERCENT OR MORE	16.1	19.6	18.7	20.8	12.5	17.6	12.0	13.7	12.0	14.0
	MEDIAN PRICE-RELATED DIFFERENTIAL									
TOTAL GROUP	102.8	103.7	103.3	104.7	103.4	104.8	103.0	101.3	101.4	101.3
MEDIAN ASSESSMENT RATIO FOR NONFARM HOUSES:										
LESS THAN 20.0 PERCENT	107.6	108.1	106.9	110.9	108.2	107.1	110.2	102.8	103.8	102.0
20.0 TO 29.9 PERCENT	103.9	107.1	106.2	109.0	104.2	101.5	108.6	100.4	101.4	99.8
30.0 TO 39.9 PERCENT	102.2	101.8	101.9	101.8	104.0	104.5	102.8	102.5	104.5	102.2
40.0 PERCENT OR MORE	101.6	102.0	101.5	103.2	101.5	101.8	101.4	101.3	101.2	101.3

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals. Selected areas are sample areas each having five or more measurable sample sales of previously occupied single-family houses. Sales of newly constructed houses are not represented in these data.

[1]For nature of this classification, see text discussion of types of assessing organizations.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 17. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Median Assessment Ratio for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses With Measures of Interarea Differences by States: 1981

(Based on a sample of measurable sales taking place in a 6-month period of 1981. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Total number of selected areas	Areas having a median assessment-sales price ratio (in percent) of--								Median area ratio	Average deviation per area from median area ratio[1]	Coefficient of interarea dispersion (percent)
		Less than 10.0	10.0 to 14.9	15.0 to 19.9	20.0 to 24.9	25.0 to 29.9	30.0 to 39.9	40.0 to 49.9	50.0 or more			
UNITED STATES	1 367	248	127	64	49	91	140	115	533	36.9	(2)	(2)
ALABAMA	23	20	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.1	1.4	20.4
ALASKA	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	74.3	6.2	8.3
ARIZONA	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.3	0.8	13.1
ARKANSAS	20	14	3	1	1	-	1	-	-	7.7	3.5	45.1
CALIFORNIA	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	34	65.1	8.5	13.1
COLORADO	20	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.8	1.7	17.2
CONNECTICUT	55	-	-	-	6	7	13	12	17	40.5	11.8	29.2
DELAWARE	3	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	26.1	2.0	7.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	81.3	(x)	(x)
FLORIDA	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	69.2	4.6	6.7
GEORGIA	30	-	-	1	1	13	13	2	-	30.0	3.2	10.7
HAWAII	4	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	37.3	9.4	25.2
IDAHO	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	86.8	5.2	6.0
ILLINOIS	31	-	-	1	2	8	19	1	-	31.2	4.0	12.8
INDIANA	32	1	8	18	4	-	1	-	-	17.2	2.8	16.4
IOWA	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	64.1	3.8	5.9
KANSAS	23	15	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.5	1.5	15.5
KENTUCKY	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	85.1	6.5	7.7
LOUISIANA	22	20	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.6	1.5	22.3
MAINE	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	35	75.8	16.9	22.3
MARYLAND	16	-	-	-	-	1	15	-	-	34.5	2.1	6.0
MASSACHUSETTS	70	2	5	4	2	6	6	4	41	59.6	25.8	43.3
MICHIGAN	62	-	-	-	-	-	9	43	10	44.3	3.8	8.7
MINNESOTA	24	-	9	13	-	1	1	-	-	15.8	2.4	15.0
MISSISSIPPI	22	18	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.1	1.9	23.8
MISSOURI	27	4	18	3	2	-	-	-	-	12.6	2.6	20.6
MONTANA	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.7	0.5	12.8
NEBRASKA	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	73.9	7.3	9.9
NEVADA	7	-	-	1	3	3	-	-	-	22.3	3.3	14.7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	38	-	-	-	-	1	6	4	27	61.6	16.4	26.7
NEW JERSEY	90	-	-	-	-	3	9	10	68	58.5	13.6	23.2
NEW MEXICO	10	5	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	10.4	4.2	40.0
NEW YORK	70	22	14	3	3	5	3	4	16	14.6	21.5	147.2
NORTH CAROLINA	35	-	-	-	-	-	1	8	26	57.5	9.5	16.6
NORTH DAKOTA	17	15	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	4.8	2.1	42.4
OHIO	38	-	-	-	3	15	19	1	-	30.2	2.3	7.6
OKLAHOMA	17	15	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.9	1.4	18.0
OREGON	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	79.2	2.8	3.6
PENNSYLVANIA	36	8	14	5	3	1	1	3	1	13.1	7.2	55.1
RHODE ISLAND	19	-	-	1	4	6	1	1	6	28.0	13.5	48.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	0.7	33.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	16	-	-	1	1	7	6	1	-	29.7	4.2	14.3
TENNESSEE	22	3	13	3	3	-	-	-	-	12.1	3.2	26.7
TEXAS	43	-	-	1	2	5	9	8	18	44.1	16.0	36.2
UTAH	11	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.5	0.9	8.6
VERMONT	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.2	30.2
VIRGINIA	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	84.7	5.6	6.6
WASHINGTON	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	75.5	8.8	11.6
WEST VIRGINIA	20	1	3	3	5	4	3	1	-	21.0	5.9	27.9
WISCONSIN	44	-	1	2	2	2	3	4	30	71.7	22.9	32.0
WYOMING	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.6	0.6	9.3

Note: Selected areas are sample areas each having 5 or more measurable sample sales of previously occupied single-family (nonfarm) houses. Sales of newly constructed houses are not presented in these data.

[1]Sum of deviations (disregarding signs) of individual area median ratios from median area median ratio, divided by number of selected areas, with the result expressed as a percentage.

[2]Not computed.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 18. Distribution of Selected Local Areas and Intra-Area Dispersion Coefficients for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses by States: 1981

(Based on a sample of measurable sales taking place in a 6-month period of 1981. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Selected areas		Areas having a coefficient of intra-area dispersion (in percent) of--						Percent of areas having a coefficient of intra-area dispersion (in percent) of--				Coefficient of intra-area dispersion (in percent) of median area	Composite coefficient of dispersion for selected areas[1]
	Total number	Number of nonfarm single-family houses assessed	Less than 10.0	10.0 to 14.9	15.0 to 19.9	20.0 to 29.9	30.0 to 39.9	40.0 and over	Less than 10.0	10.0 to 19.9	20.0 to 39.9	40.0 and over		
UNITED STATES	1 367	49 750 220	168	234	245	325	162	233	12.3	35.0	35.6	17.0	21.3	(2)
ALABAMA	23	859 543	-	-	-	1	5	17	-	-	26.1	73.9	52.0	53.6
ALASKA	8	66 811	-	5	1	1	1	-	-	75.0	25.0	-	13.8	13.6
ARIZONA	7	723 278	-	-	-	4	1	2	-	-	71.4	28.6	27.9	26.8
ARKANSAS	20	481 672	-	1	-	8	2	9	-	5.0	50.0	45.0	33.5	36.5
CALIFORNIA	36	5 369 634	-	-	3	21	10	2	-	8.3	86.1	5.6	28.2	32.4
COLORADO	20	854 464	-	2	4	6	4	4	-	30.0	50.0	20.0	26.7	28.4
CONNECTICUT	55	665 751	18	19	8	7	2	1	32.7	49.1	16.4	1.8	12.1	14.1
DELAWARE	3	179 990	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	33.3	33.3	33.3	21.3	26.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1	103 523	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	(x)	(x)
FLORIDA	25	2 722 637	1	4	14	4	2	-	4.0	72.0	24.0	-	18.5	17.7
GEORGIA	30	1 337 906	1	5	5	10	5	4	3.3	33.3	50.0	13.3	24.0	33.3
HAWAII	4	215 556	-	1	-	2	1	-	-	25.0	75.0	-	24.8	17.1
IDAHO	17	209 603	1	4	7	4	1	-	5.9	64.7	29.4	-	16.4	16.7
ILLINOIS	31	2 332 158	1	5	8	7	7	3	3.2	41.9	45.2	9.7	21.4	23.0
INDIANA	32	1 449 851	-	1	4	7	8	12	-	15.6	46.9	37.5	33.0	50.0
IOWA	29	739 242	3	3	8	7	6	2	10.3	37.9	44.8	6.9	20.4	21.4
KANSAS	23	521 055	-	-	5	5	2	11	-	21.7	30.4	47.8	39.9	37.8
KENTUCKY	27	849 509	3	2	6	10	3	3	11.1	29.6	48.1	11.1	22.8	23.5
LOUISIANA	22	911 572	-	-	3	7	5	7	-	13.6	54.5	31.8	31.9	35.8
MAINE	40	251 306	5	9	7	13	4	2	12.5	40.0	42.5	5.0	19.6	21.1
MARYLAND	16	1 041 695	2	5	2	6	-	1	12.5	43.8	37.5	6.3	17.9	21.9
MASSACHUSETTS	70	1 024 885	19	16	16	11	4	4	27.1	45.7	21.4	5.7	14.8	18.2
MICHIGAN	62	1 555 189	14	17	16	9	2	4	22.6	53.2	17.7	6.5	15.3	21.7
MINNESOTA	24	1 015 207	-	1	2	12	7	2	-	12.5	79.2	8.3	26.8	27.1
MISSISSIPPI	22	559 886	-	-	4	6	5	7	-	18.2	50.0	31.8	31.3	35.4
MISSOURI	27	1 225 586	1	-	1	4	7	14	3.7	3.7	40.7	51.9	47.3	55.4
MONTANA	12	141 670	-	-	2	3	1	6	-	16.7	33.3	50.0	35.4	33.5
NEBRASKA	22	386 714	2	3	7	7	1	2	9.1	45.5	36.4	9.1	19.4	20.3
NEVADA	7	181 500	-	-	3	1	2	1	-	42.9	42.9	14.3	22.8	23.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	38	184 796	11	11	5	8	2	1	28.9	42.1	26.3	2.6	13.5	15.2
NEW JERSEY	90	1 060 237	30	28	20	8	1	3	33.3	53.3	10.0	3.3	12.0	15.4
NEW MEXICO	10	284 552	-	-	-	4	2	4	-	-	60.0	40.0	33.6	38.5
NEW YORK	70	2 311 860	6	12	12	16	9	15	8.6	34.3	35.7	21.4	23.1	35.4
NORTH CAROLINA	35	1 383 989	2	5	7	15	2	4	5.7	34.3	48.6	11.4	24.1	25.1
NORTH DAKOTA	17	132 539	1	2	-	2	2	10	5.9	11.8	23.5	58.8	44.0	78.9
OHIO	38	2 747 668	1	7	9	14	4	3	2.6	42.1	47.4	7.9	22.3	22.8
OKLAHOMA	17	757 733	-	-	1	5	6	5	-	5.9	64.7	29.4	31.9	35.2
OREGON	16	659 442	3	8	2	3	-	-	18.8	62.5	18.8	-	12.6	13.3
PENNSYLVANIA	36	3 036 242	-	-	3	13	4	16	-	8.3	47.2	44.4	34.3	42.0
RHODE ISLAND	19	166 281	1	6	6	3	3	-	5.3	63.2	31.6	-	17.0	20.6
SOUTH CAROLINA	23	808 795	-	1	3	3	5	11	-	17.4	34.8	47.8	38.9	40.9
SOUTH DAKOTA	16	158 511	-	2	6	3	3	2	-	50.0	37.5	12.5	21.0	24.9
TENNESSEE	22	970 156	1	4	2	9	1	5	4.5	27.3	45.5	22.7	23.2	27.3
TEXAS	43	3 242 990	1	1	7	10	10	14	2.3	18.6	46.5	32.6	31.1	63.3
UTAH	11	347 116	-	2	1	2	2	4	-	27.3	36.4	36.4	30.7	56.3
VERMONT	19	72 293	2	3	5	6	1	2	10.5	42.1	36.8	10.5	20.0	33.9
VIRGINIA	41	1 095 177	17	12	6	3	-	3	41.5	43.9	7.3	7.3	11.4	21.4
WASHINGTON	17	1 127 271	-	4	7	4	2	-	-	64.7	35.3	-	17.6	18.7
WEST VIRGINIA	20	489 033	-	4	2	6	2	6	-	30.0	40.0	30.0	28.9	30.5
WISCONSIN	44	639 097	21	18	1	2	2	-	47.7	43.2	9.1	-	10.3	12.4
WYOMING	10	97 049	-	1	2	2	1	4	-	30.0	30.0	40.0	31.1	40.9

Note: Selected areas are sample areas each having 5 or more measurable sample sales of previously occupied single-family (nonfarm) houses.

[1] For each sampled area in State, the coefficient of intra-area dispersion is multiplied by a weighting factor. The numerator of the factor is the number of assessed single-family (nonfarm) houses in the area. The denominator of the factor is the number of such houses in all sampled areas of the State involved. Results of the weighting process are then summed for all sample jurisdictions in the State. The answer is the composite coefficient of intra-area dispersion.

[2] Not computed.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 19. Distribution of Selected Local Areas According to Price-Related Differential of Assessment Ratios for Single-Family (Nonfarm) Houses Within Each Area by States: 1981

(Based on a sample of measurable sales taking place in a 6-month period of 1981. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Total number of selected areas	Areas having a price-related differential of--							Percent of areas having a price-related differential of--			
		Less than 95.0	95.0 to 99.9	100.0 to 104.9	105.0 to 109.9	110.0 to 119.9	120.0 to 149.9	150.0 or more	Less than 95.0	95.0 to 104.9	105.0 to 119.9	120.0 or more
UNITED STATES	1 367	61	285	486	192	152	137	54	4.5	56.4	25.2	14.0
ALABAMA	23	1	3	-	-	10	5	4	4.3	13.0	43.5	39.1
ALASKA	8	1	1	4	1	1	-	-	12.5	62.5	25.0	-
ARIZONA	7	-	-	4	1	2	-	-	-	57.1	42.9	-
ARKANSAS	20	1	3	3	3	6	4	-	5.0	30.0	45.0	20.0
CALIFORNIA	36	2	10	17	6	1	-	-	5.6	75.0	19.4	-
COLORADO	20	2	2	7	2	4	3	-	10.0	45.0	30.0	15.0
CONNECTICUT	55	-	16	27	7	5	-	-	-	78.2	21.8	-
DELAWARE	3	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	33.3	33.3	33.3
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-
FLORIDA	25	-	7	10	8	-	-	-	-	68.0	32.0	-
GEORGIA	30	1	6	9	7	3	1	3	3.3	50.0	33.3	13.3
HAWAII	4	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	75.0	25.0	-
IDAHO	17	-	4	8	3	2	-	-	-	70.6	29.4	-
ILLINOIS	31	-	6	13	2	5	5	-	-	61.3	22.6	16.1
INDIANA	32	1	4	5	6	5	8	3	3.1	28.1	34.4	34.4
IOWA	29	-	1	14	4	5	5	-	-	51.7	31.0	17.2
KANSAS	23	-	3	3	2	4	8	3	-	26.1	26.1	47.8
KENTUCKY	27	2	8	7	6	2	2	-	7.4	55.6	29.6	7.4
LOUISIANA	22	-	2	4	6	5	3	2	-	27.3	50.0	22.7
MAINE	40	2	4	16	7	7	4	-	5.0	50.0	35.0	10.0
MARYLAND	16	-	5	7	3	-	1	-	-	75.0	18.8	6.3
MASSACHUSETTS	70	2	22	31	7	2	5	1	2.9	75.7	12.9	8.6
MICHIGAN	62	-	16	30	8	3	5	-	-	74.2	17.7	8.1
MINNESOTA	24	-	3	8	8	3	1	1	-	45.8	45.8	8.3
MISSISSIPPI	22	-	2	2	5	9	3	1	-	18.2	63.6	18.2
MISSOURI	27	3	4	3	1	5	7	4	11.1	25.9	22.2	40.7
MONTANA	12	-	2	1	2	2	5	-	-	25.0	33.3	41.7
NEBRASKA	22	-	6	6	5	2	2	1	-	54.5	31.8	13.6
NEVADA	7	-	1	3	1	1	1	-	-	57.1	28.6	14.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	38	-	9	22	4	1	2	-	-	81.6	13.2	5.3
NEW JERSEY	90	1	19	57	9	2	-	2	1.1	84.4	12.2	2.2
NEW MEXICO	10	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	10.0	40.0	30.0	20.0
NEW YORK	70	-	14	18	15	12	7	4	-	45.7	38.6	15.7
NORTH CAROLINA	35	3	10	11	5	1	3	2	8.6	60.0	17.1	14.3
NORTH DAKOTA	17	1	2	3	-	3	4	4	5.9	29.4	17.6	47.1
OHIO	38	2	5	14	7	6	3	1	5.3	50.0	34.2	10.5
OKLAHOMA	17	4	1	2	4	2	3	1	23.5	17.6	35.3	23.5
OREGON	16	1	5	9	1	-	-	-	6.3	87.5	6.3	-
PENNSYLVANIA	36	1	4	6	7	6	6	6	2.8	27.8	36.1	33.3
RHODE ISLAND	19	2	6	10	-	-	1	-	10.5	84.2	-	5.3
SOUTH CAROLINA	23	4	5	4	5	3	2	-	17.4	39.1	34.8	8.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	16	-	4	3	4	2	2	1	-	43.8	37.5	18.8
TENNESSEE	22	3	6	6	4	2	1	-	13.6	54.5	27.3	4.5
TEXAS	43	5	6	10	6	6	6	4	11.6	37.2	27.9	23.3
UTAH	11	-	4	1	1	1	3	1	-	45.5	18.2	36.4
VERMONT	19	7	1	2	1	3	3	2	36.8	15.8	21.1	26.3
VIRGINIA	41	1	11	23	1	2	2	1	2.4	82.9	7.3	7.3
WASHINGTON	17	1	8	6	-	-	2	-	5.9	82.4	-	11.8
WEST VIRGINIA	20	4	4	5	2	2	3	-	20.0	45.0	20.0	15.0
WISCONSIN	44	1	16	25	-	1	1	-	2.3	93.2	2.3	2.3
WYOMING	10	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	10.0	20.0	30.0	40.0

Note: Selected areas are sample areas each having 5 or more measurable sample sales of previously occupied single-family (nonfarm) houses.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
ALABAMA[2 3]										
TOTAL	9 138 509	5 601 434	(NA)	(NA)	2 127 023	1 801 056	-	7 337 453	1 410 052	5 927 401
SMSA PORTION	5 981 226	3 790 560	(NA)	(NA)	1 381 638	1 195 770	-	4 785 456	809 028	3 976 428
ANNISTON SMSA	194 909	126 175	(NA)	(NA)	40 623	42 524	-	152 385	28 111	124 274
CALHOUN CO	194 909	126 175	(NA)	(NA)	40 623	42 524	-	152 385	28 111	124 274
BIRMINGHAM SMSA	2 426 786	1 427 955	(NA)	(NA)	572 913	480 274	-	1 946 512	425 918	1 520 594
JEFFERSON CO	1 957 265	1 201 977	(NA)	(NA)	472 406	419 736	-	1 537 529	282 882	1 254 647
BIRMINGHAM	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. CLAIR CO	86 892	49 702	(NA)	(NA)	19 487	11 463	-	75 429	17 703	57 726
SHELBY CO	224 506	110 607	(NA)	(NA)	41 161	29 179	-	195 327	72 738	122 589
WALKER CO	158 123	65 069	(NA)	(NA)	39 859	19 896	-	138 227	52 595	85 632
FLORENCE SMSA	341 207	237 254	(NA)	(NA)	76 799	79 112	-	262 095	27 154	234 941
COLBERT CO	161 658	95 836	(NA)	(NA)	48 070	36 674	-	124 984	17 752	107 232
LAUDERDALE CO	179 549	141 418	(NA)	(NA)	28 729	42 438	-	137 111	9 402	127 709
GADSDEN SMSA	219 398	132 645	(NA)	(NA)	62 778	39 579	-	179 819	23 975	155 844
ETOWAH CO	219 398	132 645	(NA)	(NA)	62 778	39 579	-	179 819	23 975	155 844
HUNTSVILLE SMSA	603 407	461 416	(NA)	(NA)	106 901	139 773	-	463 634	35 090	428 544
LIMESTONE CO	77 422	60 091	(NA)	(NA)	11 883	21 349	-	56 073	5 448	50 625
MADISON CO	407 636	315 032	(NA)	(NA)	70 479	96 907	-	310 729	22 125	288 604
HUNTSVILLE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MARSHALL CO	118 349	86 293	(NA)	(NA)	24 539	21 517	-	96 832	7 517	89 315
MOBILE SMSA	1 167 429	738 185	(NA)	(NA)	288 974	217 510	-	949 919	140 270	809 649
BALDWIN CO	256 388	210 447	(NA)	(NA)	27 270	37 359	-	219 029	18 671	200 358
MOBILE CO	911 041	527 738	(NA)	(NA)	261 704	180 151	-	730 890	121 599	609 291
MOBILE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MONTGOMERY SMSA	649 954	423 234	(NA)	(NA)	140 963	127 155	-	522 799	85 757	437 042
AUTAUGA CO	65 105	40 059	(NA)	(NA)	16 398	11 150	-	53 955	8 648	45 307
ELMORE CO	91 523	53 280	(NA)	(NA)	11 356	16 852	-	74 671	26 887	47 784
MONTGOMERY CO	493 326	329 895	(NA)	(NA)	113 209	99 153	-	394 173	50 222	343 951
MONTGOMERY	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TUSCALOOSA SMSA	313 444	199 661	(NA)	(NA)	79 728	56 079	-	257 365	34 055	223 310
TUSCALOOSA CO	313 444	199 661	(NA)	(NA)	79 728	56 079	-	257 365	34 055	223 310
TUSCALOOSA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA[4]	64 692	44 035	(NA)	(NA)	11 959	13 764	-	50 928	8 698	42 230
RUSSELL CO	64 692	44 035	(NA)	(NA)	11 959	13 764	-	50 928	8 698	42 230
NON-SMSA PORTION	3 157 283	1 810 874	(NA)	(NA)	745 385	605 286	-	2 551 997	601 024	1 950 973
BARBOUR	51 161	38 141	(NA)	(NA)	7 492	7 479	-	43 682	5 528	38 154
BIBB	29 397	15 238	(NA)	(NA)	8 318	4 946	-	24 451	5 841	18 610
BLOUNT	63 901	44 046	(NA)	(NA)	13 053	16 968	-	46 933	6 802	40 131
BULLOCK	20 700	14 256	(NA)	(NA)	3 425	3 442	-	17 258	3 019	14 239
BUTLER	33 612	22 925	(NA)	(NA)	6 790	6 538	-	27 074	3 897	23 177
CHAMBERS	80 334	46 978	(NA)	(NA)	27 651	17 496	-	62 838	5 705	57 133
CHEROKEE	41 733	28 216	(NA)	(NA)	4 521	8 117	-	33 616	8 996	24 620
CHILTON	50 216	26 466	(NA)	(NA)	7 427	11 464	-	38 752	16 323	22 429
CHOCTAW	47 352	23 433	(NA)	(NA)	17 505	4 185	-	43 167	6 414	36 753
CLARKE	55 031	39 252	(NA)	(NA)	9 489	7 571	-	47 460	6 290	41 170
CLAY	19 821	12 578	(NA)	(NA)	4 119	4 775	-	15 046	3 124	11 922
CLEBURNE	21 102	10 961	(NA)	(NA)	4 286	4 340	-	16 762	5 855	10 907
COFFEE	67 794	49 947	(NA)	(NA)	12 145	14 882	-	52 912	5 702	47 210
CONECUH	27 102	19 435	(NA)	(NA)	3 905	4 857	-	22 245	3 762	18 483
COOSA	23 047	12 326	(NA)	(NA)	3 619	3 657	-	19 390	7 102	12 288
COVINGTON	81 825	50 034	(NA)	(NA)	21 990	15 319	-	66 506	9 801	56 705
CRENSHAW	20 606	15 034	(NA)	(NA)	3 634	4 350	-	16 256	1 938	14 318
CULLMAN	113 153	72 308	(NA)	(NA)	32 099	34 366	-	78 787	8 746	70 041
DALE	57 757	39 487	(NA)	(NA)	9 439	13 298	-	44 459	8 831	35 628
DALLAS	94 085	59 649	(NA)	(NA)	19 483	14 882	-	79 203	14 953	64 250
DE KALB	84 735	63 252	(NA)	(NA)	14 168	22 655	-	62 080	7 315	54 765
ESCAMBIA	91 018	50 919	(NA)	(NA)	28 387	22 078	-	68 940	11 712	57 228
FAYETTE	44 119	23 724	(NA)	(NA)	14 803	8 461	-	35 658	5 592	30 066
FRANKLIN	44 401	29 528	(NA)	(NA)	9 017	11 754	-	32 647	5 856	26 791
GENEVA	37 796	28 991	(NA)	(NA)	4 961	10 726	-	27 070	3 844	23 226
GREENE	34 707	14 791	(NA)	(NA)	2 684	2 918	-	31 789	17 232	14 557
HALE	26 753	17 255	(NA)	(NA)	2 652	3 937	-	22 816	6 846	15 970
HENRY	33 176	25 297	(NA)	(NA)	4 465	6 696	-	26 480	3 414	23 066
HOUSTON	338 639	100 138	(NA)	(NA)	38 748	31 677	-	306 962	199 753	107 209
JACKSON	87 928	61 147	(NA)	(NA)	19 879	1 342	-	86 586	6 902	79 684
LAMAR	30 563	18 874	(NA)	(NA)	7 189	7 839	-	22 724	4 500	18 224
LAWRENCE	41 101	28 004	(NA)	(NA)	8 944	13 153	-	27 948	4 153	23 795
LEE	153 579	103 089	(NA)	(NA)	34 550	33 149	-	120 430	15 940	104 490
LOWNDES	21 581	15 788	(NA)	(NA)	3 104	2 906	-	18 675	2 689	15 986
MACON	33 445	24 874	(NA)	(NA)	4 688	6 730	-	26 715	3 883	22 832
MARENGO	58 017	31 608	(NA)	(NA)	14 845	15 189	-	42 828	11 564	31 264
MARION	64 467	38 030	(NA)	(NA)	19 547	16 863	-	47 604	6 890	40 714
MONROE	54 762	31 828	(NA)	(NA)	17 836	12 542	-	42 220	5 098	37 122
MORGAN	222 919	124 076	(NA)	(NA)	84 355	35 574	-	187 345	14 488	172 857
PERRY	25 291	18 194	(NA)	(NA)	2 268	4 512	-	20 779	4 829	15 950

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
ALABAMA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
PICKENS	37 075	24 310	(NA)	(NA)	7 314	7 713	-	29 362	5 451	23 911
PIKE	47 926	31 682	(NA)	(NA)	10 414	8 146	-	39 780	5 830	33 950
RANDOLPH	46 660	19 121	(NA)	(NA)	5 725	7 559	-	39 101	21 814	17 287
SUMTER	38 511	21 736	(NA)	(NA)	8 100	4 494	-	34 017	8 675	25 342
TALLADEGA	148 449	81 633	(NA)	(NA)	47 566	37 964	-	110 485	19 250	91 235
TALLAPOOSA	98 271	61 161	(NA)	(NA)	24 283	13 319	-	84 952	12 827	72 125
WASHINGTON	124 389	27 146	(NA)	(NA)	60 242	41 731	-	82 658	37 001	45 657
WILCOX	45 975	34 637	(NA)	(NA)	7 251	2 701	-	43 274	4 087	39 187
WINSTON	41 301	19 331	(NA)	(NA)	17 010	8 026	-	33 275	4 960	28 315
ALASKA[4]										
TOTAL	22 804 310	11 975 111	(NA)	(NA)	1 650 794	-	-	22 804 310	9 178 405	13 625 905
SMSA PORTION	6 848 912	5 955 807	(NA)	(NA)	842 492	-	-	6 848 912	50 613	6 798 299
ANCHORAGE SMSA	6 848 912	5 955 807	(NA)	(NA)	842 492	-	-	6 848 912	50 613	6 798 299
GREATER ANCHORAGE BOROUGH	6 848 912	5 955 807	(NA)	(NA)	842 492	-	-	6 848 912	50 613	6 798 299
NON-SMSA PORTION	15 955 398	6 019 304	(NA)	(NA)	808 302	-	-	15 955 398	9 127 792	6 827 606
BOROUGHS										
BRISTOL BAY	78 248	35 342	(NA)	(NA)	42 906	-	-	78 248	-	78 248
KETCHIKAN-GATEWAY	445 879	386 781	(NA)	(NA)	59 098	-	-	445 879	-	445 879
JUNEAU CITY AND BOROUGH[3]	704 814	621 290	(NA)	(NA)	83 524	-	-	704 814	-	704 814
SITKA CITY AND BOROUGH[3]	333 630	270 684	(NA)	(NA)	62 946	-	-	333 630	-	333 630
KENAI PENINSULA	2 372 978	1 594 788	(NA)	(NA)	215 327	-	-	2 372 978	562 863	1 810 115
KODIAK ISLAND	298 338	187 389	(NA)	(NA)	110 949	-	-	298 338	-	298 338
MATANUSKA-SUSITNA	883 991	842 724	(NA)	(NA)	35 639	-	-	883 991	5 628	878 363
FAIRBANKS-NORTH STAR	2 022 635	1 383 031	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	2 022 635	639 604	1 383 031
HAINES	57 983	47 370	(NA)	(NA)	10 613	-	-	57 983	-	57 983
NORTH SLOPE	6 607 600	219 665	(NA)	(NA)	90 318	-	-	6 607 600	6 297 617	309 983
CITIES[6]										
CORDOVA	42 271	42 271	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	42 271	-	42 271
CRAIG	9 087	8 472	(NA)	(NA)	615	-	-	9 087	-	9 087
DILLINGHAM	49 271	28 684	(NA)	(NA)	20 587	-	-	49 271	-	49 271
GALENA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOONAH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HYDABURG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KAKE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KING COVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KLAWOCK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NENANA	8 896	5 516	(NA)	(NA)	3 380	-	-	8 896	-	8 896
NOME	69 830	60 016	(NA)	(NA)	9 814	-	-	69 830	-	69 830
PELICAN	5 495	2 771	(NA)	(NA)	2 724	-	-	5 495	-	5 495
PETERSBURG	75 196	61 804	(NA)	(NA)	13 392	-	-	75 196	-	75 196
SAND POINT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKAGWAY	51 221	44 085	(NA)	(NA)	7 136	-	-	51 221	-	51 221
ST. MARY'S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNALASKA	68 139	35 077	(NA)	(NA)	33 062	-	-	68 139	-	68 139
VALDEZ	1 707 460	87 412	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 707 460	1 620 048	87 412
WRANGELL	53 188	46 916	(NA)	(NA)	6 272	-	-	53 188	-	53 188
YAKUTAT	9 248	7 216	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	9 248	2 032	7 216
ARIZONA[7 8]										
TOTAL	9 953 647	6 219 466	(NA)	(NA)	726 304	(NA)	(NA)	9 953 647	3 007 877	6 945 770
SMSA PORTION	7 097 628	5 007 320	(NA)	(NA)	603 327	(NA)	(NA)	7 097 628	1 486 981	5 610 647
PHOENIX SMSA	5 331 239	3 933 153	(NA)	(NA)	423 802	(NA)	(NA)	5 331 239	974 284	4 356 955
MARICOPA CO.	5 331 239	3 933 153	(NA)	(NA)	423 802	(NA)	(NA)	5 331 239	974 284	4 356 955
GLENDALE	205 605	189 080	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	205 605	16 525	189 080
MESA	409 268	382 696	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	409 268	26 572	382 696
PHOENIX	2 487 054	2 179 657	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	2 487 054	307 397	2 179 657
SCOTTSDALE	433 942	404 360	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	433 942	29 582	404 360
TEMPE	375 183	332 404	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	375 183	42 779	332 404
TUCSON SMSA	1 766 389	1 074 167	(NA)	(NA)	179 525	(NA)	(NA)	1 766 389	512 697	1 253 692
PIMA CO.	1 766 389	1 074 167	(NA)	(NA)	179 525	(NA)	(NA)	1 766 389	512 697	1 253 692
TUCSON	842 747	721 657	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	842 747	121 090	721 657
NON-SMSA PORTION	2 856 019	1 212 146	(NA)	(NA)	122 977	(NA)	(NA)	2 856 019	1 520 896	1 335 123
APACHE	146 515	31 202	(NA)	(NA)	4 326	(NA)	(NA)	146 515	110 987	35 528
COCHISE	256 960	114 900	(NA)	(NA)	12 603	(NA)	(NA)	256 960	129 457	127 503
COCONINO	378 653	144 727	(NA)	(NA)	13 515	(NA)	(NA)	378 653	220 411	158 242
GILA	182 615	65 517	(NA)	(NA)	11 006	(NA)	(NA)	182 615	106 092	76 523
GRAHAM	54 389	39 852	(NA)	(NA)	2 392	(NA)	(NA)	54 389	12 145	42 244
GREENLEE	146 975	13 986	(NA)	(NA)	2 132	(NA)	(NA)	146 975	130 857	16 118
MOHAVE	263 616	160 503	(NA)	(NA)	16 165	(NA)	(NA)	263 616	86 948	176 668
NAVAJO	445 417	98 057	(NA)	(NA)	9 045	(NA)	(NA)	445 417	338 315	107 102
PINAL	322 494	127 503	(NA)	(NA)	16 903	(NA)	(NA)	322 494	178 088	144 406
SANTA CRUZ	71 184	53 665	(NA)	(NA)	3 959	(NA)	(NA)	71 184	13 560	57 624
YAVAPAI	336 504	196 151	(NA)	(NA)	11 627	(NA)	(NA)	336 504	128 726	207 778
YUMA	250 697	166 083	(NA)	(NA)	19 304	(NA)	(NA)	250 697	65 310	185 387

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multistate Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value [1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
ARKANSAS										
TOTAL	5 832 937	3 503 984	(NA)	(NA)	1 338 463	-	-	5 832 937	990 490	4 842 447
SMSA PORTION	2 370 916	1 440 624	(NA)	(NA)	583 861	-	-	2 370 916	346 431	2 024 485
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE SMSA	431 250	254 430	(NA)	(NA)	108 540	-	-	431 250	68 280	362 970
BENTON CO.	227 614	126 573	(NA)	(NA)	54 867	-	-	227 614	46 174	181 440
WASHINGTON CO.	203 636	127 857	(NA)	(NA)	53 673	-	-	203 636	22 106	181 530
FORT SMITH, ARK-OKLA. SMSA*	305 924	188 213	(NA)	(NA)	85 734	-	-	305 924	31 977	273 947
CRAWFORD CO.	58 322	31 065	(NA)	(NA)	19 356	-	-	58 322	7 901	50 421
SEBASTIAN CO	247 602	157 148	(NA)	(NA)	66 378	-	-	247 602	24 076	223 526
FORT SMITH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK SMSA	1 121 840	765 727	(NA)	(NA)	259 934	-	-	1 121 840	96 179	1 025 661
PULASKI CO	1 008 242	709 035	(NA)	(NA)	213 396	-	-	1 008 242	85 811	922 431
LITTLE ROCK	587 220	406 380	(NA)	(NA)	144 280	-	-	587 220	36 560	550 660
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	171 567	117 728	(NA)	(NA)	41 543	-	-	171 567	12 296	159 271
SALINE CO.	113 598	56 692	(NA)	(NA)	46 538	-	-	113 598	10 368	103 230
PINE BLUFF SMSA	281 965	102 668	(NA)	(NA)	56 869	-	-	281 965	122 428	159 537
JEFFERSON CO	281 965	102 668	(NA)	(NA)	56 869	-	-	281 965	122 428	159 537
PINE BLUFF	112 300	72 202	(NA)	(NA)	28 401	-	-	112 300	11 697	100 603
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*	85 511	49 057	(NA)	(NA)	25 496	-	-	85 511	10 958	74 553
CRITTENDEN CO.	85 511	49 057	(NA)	(NA)	25 496	-	-	85 511	10 958	74 553
TEXARKANA, TEX.-ARK. SMSA*	144 426	80 529	(NA)	(NA)	47 288	-	-	144 426	16 609	127 817
LITTLE RIVER CO.	66 830	36 711	(NA)	(NA)	24 974	-	-	66 830	5 145	61 685
MILLER CO.	77 596	43 818	(NA)	(NA)	22 314	-	-	77 596	11 464	66 132
NON-SMSA PORTION	3 462 021	2 063 360	(NA)	(NA)	754 602	-	-	3 462 021	644 059	2 817 962
ARKANSAS	68 266	41 666	(NA)	(NA)	17 713	-	-	68 266	8 887	59 379
ASHLEY	126 823	83 382	(NA)	(NA)	33 976	-	-	126 823	9 465	117 358
BAXTER	62 604	40 446	(NA)	(NA)	14 956	-	-	62 604	7 202	55 402
BOONE	54 024	31 696	(NA)	(NA)	15 057	-	-	54 024	7 271	46 753
BRADLEY	24 752	14 228	(NA)	(NA)	7 517	-	-	24 752	3 007	21 745
CALHOUN	43 253	31 256	(NA)	(NA)	3 371	-	-	43 253	8 626	34 627
CARROLL	29 799	16 441	(NA)	(NA)	7 872	-	-	29 799	5 486	24 313
CHICOT	38 084	17 910	(NA)	(NA)	10 296	-	-	38 084	9 878	28 206
CLARK	46 306	22 724	(NA)	(NA)	13 970	-	-	46 306	9 612	36 694
CLAY	90 885	75 152	(NA)	(NA)	8 776	-	-	90 885	6 957	83 928
CLEBURNE	30 169	19 032	(NA)	(NA)	7 160	-	-	30 169	3 977	26 192
CLEVELAND	31 221	26 412	(NA)	(NA)	2 060	-	-	31 221	2 749	28 472
COLUMBIA	60 238	39 148	(NA)	(NA)	11 960	-	-	60 238	9 130	51 108
CONWAY	31 408	17 618	(NA)	(NA)	8 167	-	-	31 408	5 623	25 785
CRAIGHEAD	126 617	74 516	(NA)	(NA)	38 716	-	-	126 617	13 385	113 232
CROSS	42 814	25 543	(NA)	(NA)	11 324	-	-	42 814	5 947	36 867
DALLAS	21 979	13 466	(NA)	(NA)	5 226	-	-	21 979	3 267	18 712
DESHA	54 907	25 418	(NA)	(NA)	23 542	-	-	54 907	5 947	48 960
DREW	35 252	19 065	(NA)	(NA)	10 934	-	-	35 252	5 253	29 999
FAULKNER	73 984	50 207	(NA)	(NA)	20 097	-	-	73 984	3 680	70 304
FRANKLIN	29 894	11 361	(NA)	(NA)	7 593	-	-	29 894	10 940	18 954
FULTON	17 630	11 803	(NA)	(NA)	3 349	-	-	17 630	2 478	15 152
GARLAND	328 358	266 106	(NA)	(NA)	47 070	-	-	328 358	15 182	313 176
GRANT	22 199	9 536	(NA)	(NA)	6 793	-	-	22 199	5 870	16 329
GREENE	45 913	27 590	(NA)	(NA)	11 061	-	-	45 913	7 262	38 651
HEMPSTEAD	45 391	25 130	(NA)	(NA)	13 208	-	-	45 391	7 053	38 338
HOT SPRING	65 303	25 778	(NA)	(NA)	15 528	-	-	65 303	23 997	41 306
HOWARD	26 744	11 197	(NA)	(NA)	11 148	-	-	26 744	4 399	22 345
INDEPENDENCE	96 621	34 363	(NA)	(NA)	21 389	-	-	96 621	40 869	55 752
IZARD	18 074	10 912	(NA)	(NA)	3 766	-	-	18 074	3 396	14 678
JACKSON	44 880	24 777	(NA)	(NA)	9 421	-	-	44 880	10 682	34 198
JOHNSON	24 454	12 703	(NA)	(NA)	6 700	-	-	24 454	5 051	19 403
LAFAYETTE	23 713	11 722	(NA)	(NA)	4 186	-	-	23 713	7 805	15 908
LAWRENCE	33 695	15 491	(NA)	(NA)	8 641	-	-	33 695	9 563	24 132
LEE	28 528	15 870	(NA)	(NA)	6 848	-	-	28 528	5 810	22 718
LINCOLN	21 207	11 220	(NA)	(NA)	6 781	-	-	21 207	3 206	18 001
LOGAN	32 646	16 379	(NA)	(NA)	7 912	-	-	32 646	8 355	24 291
LONOKE	64 736	33 522	(NA)	(NA)	20 333	-	-	64 736	10 881	53 855
MADISON	37 864	29 483	(NA)	(NA)	4 691	-	-	37 864	3 690	34 174
MARION	19 287	13 268	(NA)	(NA)	3 738	-	-	19 287	2 281	17 006
MISSISSIPPI	216 376	160 309	(NA)	(NA)	42 466	-	-	216 376	13 601	202 775
MONROE	27 472	15 233	(NA)	(NA)	6 735	-	-	27 472	5 504	21 968
MONTGOMERY	11 554	5 706	(NA)	(NA)	4 431	-	-	11 554	1 417	10 137
NEVADA	20 183	8 798	(NA)	(NA)	6 893	-	-	20 183	4 492	15 691
NEWTON	7 626	4 515	(NA)	(NA)	1 298	-	-	7 626	1 813	5 813
OUACHITA	70 300	31 464	(NA)	(NA)	23 327	-	-	70 300	15 509	54 791
PERRY	12 385	6 854	(NA)	(NA)	2 676	-	-	12 385	2 855	9 530
PHILLIPS	75 645	29 550	(NA)	(NA)	20 390	-	-	75 645	25 705	49 940

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
ARKANSAS--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
PIKE	40 505	36 599	(NA)	(NA)	796	-	-	40 505	3 110	37 395
POINSETT	49 087	29 281	(NA)	(NA)	13 695	-	-	49 087	6 111	42 976
POLK	29 263	16 734	(NA)	(NA)	7 588	-	-	29 263	4 941	24 322
POPE	194 431	28 098	(NA)	(NA)	15 101	-	-	194 431	151 232	43 199
PRAIRIE	68 787	58 610	(NA)	(NA)	7 213	-	-	68 787	2 964	65 823
RANDOLPH	26 622	14 196	(NA)	(NA)	6 397	-	-	26 622	6 029	20 593
ST. FRANCIS	117 307	87 593	(NA)	(NA)	17 912	-	-	117 307	11 802	105 505
SCOTT	10 232	5 437	(NA)	(NA)	2 833	-	-	10 232	1 962	8 270
SEARCY	11 378	6 756	(NA)	(NA)	2 667	-	-	11 378	1 955	9 423
SEVIER	53 256	40 939	(NA)	(NA)	7 992	-	-	53 256	4 325	48 931
SHARP	24 249	15 233	(NA)	(NA)	5 477	-	-	24 249	3 539	20 710
STONE	12 000	7 466	(NA)	(NA)	3 132	-	-	12 000	1 402	10 598
UNION	104 987	61 002	(NA)	(NA)	27 542	-	-	104 987	16 443	88 544
VAN BUREN	21 341	14 333	(NA)	(NA)	3 960	-	-	21 341	3 048	18 293
WHITE	82 558	44 945	(NA)	(NA)	19 231	-	-	82 558	18 382	64 176
WOODRUFF	26 546	14 458	(NA)	(NA)	5 210	-	-	26 546	6 878	19 668
YELL	27 409	15 694	(NA)	(NA)	6 794	-	-	27 409	4 921	22 488
CALIFORNIA[2 10 11]										
TOTAL	658 229 535	584 113 337	(NA)	(NA)	38 403 986	29 440 347	-	628 789 188	35 712 212	593 076 976
SMSA PORTION	605 988 197	540 319 518	(NA)	(NA)	35 109 378	26 991 612	-	578 996 585	30 559 301	548 437 284
ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN GROVE SMSA	61 646 546	55 765 535	(NA)	(NA)	3 712 380	2 523 948	-	59 122 598	2 168 631	56 953 967
ORANGE CO.	61 646 546	55 765 535	(NA)	(NA)	3 712 380	2 523 948	-	59 122 598	2 168 631	56 953 967
ANAHEIM	6 423 250	5 600 969	(NA)	(NA)	539 217	229 456	-	6 193 794	283 064	5 910 730
BUENA PARK	1 644 859	1 457 582	(NA)	(NA)	116 043	79 264	-	1 565 595	71 234	1 494 361
COSTA MESA	2 605 797	2 365 844	(NA)	(NA)	179 735	83 666	-	2 522 131	60 218	2 461 913
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	1 332 310	1 258 702	(NA)	(NA)	47 823	81 232	-	1 251 078	25 785	1 225 293
FULLERTON	2 985 413	2 625 678	(NA)	(NA)	274 808	131 958	-	2 853 455	84 927	2 768 528
GARDEN GROVE	2 416 144	2 235 838	(NA)	(NA)	102 728	153 144	-	2 263 000	77 578	2 185 422
HUNTINGTON BEACH	5 222 293	4 676 844	(NA)	(NA)	222 922	210 188	-	5 012 105	322 527	4 689 578
NEWPORT BEACH	4 609 594	4 156 810	(NA)	(NA)	399 807	89 842	-	4 519 752	52 977	4 466 775
ORANGE	2 739 065	2 433 077	(NA)	(NA)	178 086	114 788	-	2 624 277	127 902	2 496 375
SANTA ANA	4 763 799	4 158 376	(NA)	(NA)	405 916	178 705	-	4 585 094	199 507	4 385 587
WESTMINSTER	1 431 598	1 313 612	(NA)	(NA)	48 003	86 825	-	1 344 773	69 983	1 274 790
BAKERSFIELD SMSA	21 566 533	19 877 947	(NA)	(NA)	840 741	502 784	-	21 063 749	847 845	20 215 904
KERN CO.	21 566 533	19 877 947	(NA)	(NA)	840 741	502 784	-	21 063 749	847 845	20 215 904
BAKERSFIELD	2 622 059	2 332 534	(NA)	(NA)	144 599	140 806	-	2 481 253	144 926	2 336 327
FRESNO SMSA	14 874 354	12 423 811	(NA)	(NA)	1 174 308	624 469	-	14 249 885	1 276 235	12 973 650
FRESNO CO.	14 874 354	12 423 811	(NA)	(NA)	1 174 308	624 469	-	14 249 885	1 276 235	12 973 650
FRESNO	5 098 745	4 444 937	(NA)	(NA)	389 686	286 211	-	4 812 534	264 122	4 548 412
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	186 389 672	167 624 879	(NA)	(NA)	10 469 817	8 126 476	-	178 263 196	8 294 976	169 968 220
LOS ANGELES CO	186 389 672	167 624 879	(NA)	(NA)	10 469 817	8 126 476	-	178 263 196	8 294 976	169 968 220
ALHAMBRA	1 300 734	1 156 851	(NA)	(NA)	36 821	72 475	-	1 228 259	107 062	1 121 197
BELLFLOWER	806 276	740 557	(NA)	(NA)	22 914	50 805	-	755 471	42 805	712 666
BURBANK	2 738 578	2 308 700	(NA)	(NA)	366 664	118 524	-	2 620 054	63 214	2 556 840
CARSON	2 881 358	2 553 560	(NA)	(NA)	261 557	94 451	-	2 766 907	66 241	2 720 666
CERRITOS	1 550 796	1 458 980	(NA)	(NA)	46 874	81 917	-	1 468 879	44 942	1 423 937
COMPTON	933 899	779 527	(NA)	(NA)	65 106	67 898	-	866 001	89 266	776 735
DOWNEY	1 752 906	1 605 321	(NA)	(NA)	71 574	113 014	-	1 639 892	76 011	1 563 881
EL MONTE	1 134 560	986 799	(NA)	(NA)	85 677	57 255	-	1 077 305	62 084	1 015 221
GLENDALE	3 595 345	3 361 864	(NA)	(NA)	150 000	160 339	-	3 435 006	83 481	3 351 525
HAWTHORNE	1 435 988	1 194 095	(NA)	(NA)	179 396	44 520	-	1 391 468	62 497	1 328 971
INGLEWOOD	1 461 274	1 343 021	(NA)	(NA)	60 063	74 668	-	1 386 606	58 190	1 328 416
LAKEWOOD	1 424 184	1 360 500	(NA)	(NA)	26 698	124 973	-	1 299 211	36 986	1 262 225
LONG BEACH	9 913 922	8 638 901	(NA)	(NA)	487 991	404 308	-	9 509 614	787 030	8 722 584
LOS ANGELES	72 875 188	65 584 771	(NA)	(NA)	4 653 912	2 819 257	-	70 055 931	2 636 505	67 419 426
MONTEREY PARK	1 187 141	1 113 844	(NA)	(NA)	28 117	67 532	-	1 119 609	45 180	1 074 429
NORWALK	1 134 438	1 029 205	(NA)	(NA)	33 775	106 015	-	1 028 423	71 458	956 965
PASADENA	3 057 393	2 760 198	(NA)	(NA)	182 549	132 758	-	2 924 635	114 646	2 809 989
PICO RIVERA	808 384	730 599	(NA)	(NA)	34 818	66 993	-	741 391	42 967	698 424
POMONA	1 598 959	1 388 990	(NA)	(NA)	99 526	98 574	-	1 500 385	110 443	1 389 942
REDONDO BEACH	2 138 818	1 799 735	(NA)	(NA)	94 367	61 341	-	2 077 477	244 716	1 832 761
SANTA MONICA	3 559 143	3 239 312	(NA)	(NA)	165 701	60 336	-	3 498 807	154 130	3 344 677
SOUTH GATE	1 113 174	960 437	(NA)	(NA)	115 676	71 686	-	1 041 488	37 061	1 004 427
TORRANCE	4 577 149	4 181 027	(NA)	(NA)	252 987	175 383	-	4 401 766	143 135	4 258 631
WEST COVINA	1 720 264	1 655 166	(NA)	(NA)	33 310	121 840	-	1 598 424	31 788	1 566 636
WHITTIER	1 576 099	1 448 973	(NA)	(NA)	53 074	101 759	-	1 474 340	74 052	1 400 288
MODESTO SMSA	6 679 552	6 026 246	(NA)	(NA)	380 475	364 694	-	6 314 858	272 831	6 042 027
STANISLAUS CO.	6 679 552	6 026 246	(NA)	(NA)	380 475	364 694	-	6 314 858	272 831	6 042 027
MODESTO	2 525 150	2 330 271	(NA)	(NA)	101 379	154 787	-	2 370 363	93 500	2 276 863
OXNARD-SIMI VALLEY VENTURA SMSA	15 919 453	14 365 303	(NA)	(NA)	725 826	673 747	-	15 245 706	828 124	14 417 582
VENTURA CO	15 919 453	14 365 303	(NA)	(NA)	725 826	673 747	-	15 245 706	828 124	14 417 582
OXNARD	2 423 930	1 926 363	(NA)	(NA)	146 470	97 754	-	2 326 176	351 097	1 975 079
VENTURA	2 076 794	1 865 574	(NA)	(NA)	133 611	103 463	-	1 973 331	77 609	1 895 722
SIMI VALLEY	1 798 217	1 715 353	(NA)	(NA)	31 025	113 087	-	1 685 130	51 839	1 633 291
THOUSAND OAKS	3 055 780	2 914 510	(NA)	(NA)	61 920	124 796	-	2 930 984	79 350	2 851 634

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
CALIFORNIA--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO-ONTARIO SMSA	40 631 279	36 275 625	(NA)	(NA)	1 407 159	2 113 233	-	38 518 046	2 948 495	35 569 551
RIVERSIDE CO	19 482 178	17 893 814	(NA)	(NA)	594 232	914 749	-	18 567 429	994 132	17 573 297
RIVERSIDE	3 482 767	3 225 495	(NA)	(NA)	145 235	221 735	-	3 261 032	112 037	3 148 995
SAN BERNARDINO CO	21 149 101	18 381 811	(NA)	(NA)	812 927	1 198 484	-	19 950 617	1 954 363	17 996 254
ONTARIO	1 957 276	1 696 691	(NA)	(NA)	130 587	112 043	-	1 845 233	129 998	1 715 235
SAN BERNARDINO	2 167 925	1 844 826	(NA)	(NA)	104 836	140 995	-	2 026 930	218 263	1 808 667
SACRAMENTO SMSA	24 742 807	22 331 158	(NA)	(NA)	1 112 511	1 440 068	-	23 302 739	1 299 138	22 003 601
PLACER CO	4 335 682	3 870 726	(NA)	(NA)	164 396	192 138	-	4 143 544	300 560	3 842 984
SACRAMENTO CO	17 335 289	15 770 344	(NA)	(NA)	745 196	1 117 730	-	16 217 559	819 749	15 397 810
SACRAMENTO	6 082 271	5 352 209	(NA)	(NA)	345 749	400 836	-	5 681 435	384 313	5 297 122
YOLO CO	3 071 836	2 690 088	(NA)	(NA)	202 919	130 200	-	2 941 636	178 829	2 762 807
SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY SMSA	7 994 994	6 961 237	(NA)	(NA)	340 633	309 418	-	7 685 576	693 124	6 992 452
MONTEREY CO	7 994 994	6 961 237	(NA)	(NA)	340 633	309 418	-	7 685 576	693 124	6 992 452
SALINAS	1 532 726	1 363 017	(NA)	(NA)	91 595	83 503	-	1 449 223	78 114	1 371 109
SAN DIEGO SMSA	49 009 054	43 222 498	(NA)	(NA)	2 208 793	2 213 074	-	46 795 980	3 577 763	43 218 217
SAN DIEGO CO	49 009 054	43 222 498	(NA)	(NA)	2 208 793	2 213 074	-	46 795 980	3 577 763	43 218 217
CHULA VISTA	1 887 842	1 524 149	(NA)	(NA)	122 218	101 517	-	1 786 325	241 475	1 544 850
EL CAJON	1 672 032	1 529 336	(NA)	(NA)	85 378	70 637	-	1 601 395	57 318	1 544 077
ESCONDIDO	1 547 084	1 432 728	(NA)	(NA)	45 168	67 188	-	1 479 896	69 188	1 410 708
LA MESA	1 121 829	1 041 828	(NA)	(NA)	30 943	71 779	-	1 050 050	49 058	1 000 992
OCEANSIDE	1 888 706	1 755 618	(NA)	(NA)	55 489	90 774	-	1 797 932	77 599	1 720 333
SAN DIEGO	22 112 552	19 688 474	(NA)	(NA)	1 440 766	997 453	-	21 115 099	983 312	20 131 787
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	97 664 581	86 833 298	(NA)	(NA)	5 831 373	4 426 600	-	93 237 981	4 999 910	88 238 071
ALAMEDA CO	26 338 877	23 318 571	(NA)	(NA)	1 706 618	1 466 078	-	24 868 799	1 309 688	23 559 111
ALAMEDA	1 372 752	1 219 176	(NA)	(NA)	112 792	73 194	-	1 299 558	40 784	1 258 774
BERKELEY	2 093 265	1 885 121	(NA)	(NA)	135 567	112 902	-	1 980 363	72 577	1 907 786
FREMONT	3 392 039	3 164 203	(NA)	(NA)	110 102	190 114	-	3 201 925	117 734	3 084 191
HAYWARD	2 443 916	2 150 226	(NA)	(NA)	166 622	110 400	-	2 333 516	127 068	2 206 448
OAKLAND	7 240 910	5 999 950	(NA)	(NA)	697 972	394 390	-	6 846 520	542 988	6 303 532
SAN LEANDRO	2 133 115	1 838 331	(NA)	(NA)	182 482	108 903	-	2 024 212	112 302	1 911 910
CONTRA COSTA CO	21 697 959	19 454 903	(NA)	(NA)	731 103	1 067 680	-	20 630 279	1 511 953	19 118 326
CONCORD	2 719 309	2 496 622	(NA)	(NA)	97 348	145 102	-	2 574 207	125 339	2 448 868
RICHMOND	2 018 277	1 760 295	(NA)	(NA)	154 777	73 234	-	1 945 043	103 205	1 841 838
MARIN CO	8 134 451	7 633 780	(NA)	(NA)	250 937	342 866	-	7 791 585	249 734	7 541 851
SAN FRANCISCO[3]	20 320 328	18 003 948	(NA)	(NA)	1 021 570	675 925	-	19 644 403	1 294 810	18 349 593
SAN MATEO CO	21 176 966	18 422 096	(NA)	(NA)	2 121 145	874 051	-	20 302 915	633 725	19 669 190
DALY CITY	1 496 734	1 412 499	(NA)	(NA)	37 034	101 466	-	1 395 268	47 201	1 348 067
REDWOOD CITY	1 763 145	1 557 816	(NA)	(NA)	119 697	70 579	-	1 692 566	85 632	1 606 934
SAN MATEO	2 703 376	2 504 573	(NA)	(NA)	120 416	112 588	-	2 590 788	78 387	2 512 401
SAN JOSE SMSA	37 824 502	32 004 931	(NA)	(NA)	4 576 699	1 707 012	-	36 117 490	1 242 872	34 874 618
SANTA CLARA CO	37 824 502	32 004 931	(NA)	(NA)	4 576 699	1 707 012	-	36 117 490	1 242 872	34 874 618
MOUNTAIN VIEW	1 940 157	1 601 755	(NA)	(NA)	281 684	56 892	-	1 883 265	56 718	1 826 547
PALO ALTO	2 678 540	2 083 282	(NA)	(NA)	510 554	85 835	-	2 592 705	84 704	2 508 001
SAN JOSE	15 319 357	13 370 415	(NA)	(NA)	1 388 031	800 512	-	14 518 845	560 911	13 957 934
SANTA CLARA	3 484 231	2 642 043	(NA)	(NA)	735 146	105 228	-	3 379 003	107 042	3 271 961
SUNNYVALE	3 983 015	3 072 119	(NA)	(NA)	802 845	121 996	-	3 861 019	108 051	3 752 968
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC SMSA	9 310 537	8 438 836	(NA)	(NA)	460 987	352 281	-	8 958 256	410 714	8 547 542
SANTA BARBARA CO	9 310 537	8 438 836	(NA)	(NA)	460 987	352 281	-	8 958 256	410 714	8 547 542
SANTA BARBARA	2 419 207	2 178 289	(NA)	(NA)	160 794	87 728	-	2 331 479	80 124	2 251 355
SANTA CRUZ SMSA	5 215 217	4 787 240	(NA)	(NA)	252 258	241 974	-	4 973 243	175 719	4 797 524
SANTA CRUZ CO	5 215 217	4 787 240	(NA)	(NA)	252 258	241 974	-	4 973 243	175 719	4 797 524
SANTA ROSA SMSA	9 202 562	8 326 779	(NA)	(NA)	364 693	430 792	-	8 771 770	511 090	8 260 680
SONOMA CO	9 202 562	8 326 779	(NA)	(NA)	364 693	430 792	-	8 771 770	511 090	8 260 680
SANTA ROSA	2 329 414	2 090 419	(NA)	(NA)	110 200	122 684	-	2 201 730	123 795	2 077 935
STOCKTON SMSA	8 781 244	7 447 817	(NA)	(NA)	705 798	476 005	-	8 305 239	627 629	7 677 610
SAN JOAQUIN CO	8 781 244	7 447 817	(NA)	(NA)	705 798	476 005	-	8 305 239	627 629	7 677 610
STOCKTON	3 190 212	2 763 293	(NA)	(NA)	264 613	187 748	-	3 002 464	162 306	2 840 158
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA SMSA	8 535 310	7 606 178	(NA)	(NA)	544 927	465 037	-	8 070 273	384 205	7 686 068
NAPA COUNTY	2 778 215	2 542 748	(NA)	(NA)	132 155	136 778	-	2 641 437	103 312	2 538 125
SOLANO CO	5 757 095	5 063 430	(NA)	(NA)	412 772	328 259	-	5 428 836	280 893	5 147 943
FAIRFIELD	1 213 670	1 023 938	(NA)	(NA)	138 627	62 380	-	1 151 290	51 105	1 100 185
VALLEJO	1 271 702	1 154 251	(NA)	(NA)	66 806	114 512	-	1 157 190	50 645	1 106 545
NON-SMSA PORTION	52 241 338	43 793 819	(NA)	(NA)	3 294 608	2 448 735	-	49 792 603	5 152 911	44 639 692
ALPINE	96 851	83 607	(NA)	(NA)	2 949	1 160	-	95 691	10 095	85 596
AMADOR	782 159	602 274	(NA)	(NA)	65 222	34 477	-	747 682	114 663	633 019
BUTTE	3 629 127	3 083 380	(NA)	(NA)	236 212	216 924	-	3 412 203	309 535	3 102 668
CALAVERAS	886 485	796 929	(NA)	(NA)	26 277	37 771	-	848 714	63 279	785 435
COLUSA	821 731	678 792	(NA)	(NA)	93 938	18 648	-	803 083	53 001	750 082
DEL NORTE	380 468	325 051	(NA)	(NA)	37 644	25 041	-	355 427	17 773	337 654
EL DORADO	3 347 681	3 110 647	(NA)	(NA)	78 034	128 807	-	3 218 874	159 000	3 059 874
GLENN	877 275	720 085	(NA)	(NA)	94 512	30 851	-	846 424	62 678	783 746
HUMBOLDT	2 445 507	2 083 855	(NA)	(NA)	170 242	147 349	-	2 298 158	191 410	2 106 748
IMPERIAL	1 945 342	1 643 872	(NA)	(NA)	205 907	94 877	-	1 850 465	95 563	1 754 902

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
CALIFORNIA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
INYO	778 446	686 701	(NA)	(NA)	33 786	19 443	-	759 003	57 959	701 044
KINGS	1 700 277	1 416 133	(NA)	(NA)	164 931	83 489	-	1 616 788	119 213	1 497 575
LAKE	1 396 588	1 267 054	(NA)	(NA)	39 788	69 319	-	1 327 269	89 746	1 237 523
LASSEN	526 028	424 674	(NA)	(NA)	41 836	30 687	-	495 341	59 518	435 823
MADERA	2 139 830	1 762 827	(NA)	(NA)	161 523	80 586	-	2 059 244	215 480	1 843 764
MARIPOSA	372 567	333 950	(NA)	(NA)	14 980	16 493	-	356 074	23 637	332 437
MENDOCINO	1 894 735	1 690 186	(NA)	(NA)	86 679	91 820	-	1 802 915	117 870	1 685 045
MERCED	3 301 671	2 889 105	(NA)	(NA)	218 970	159 495	-	3 142 176	193 596	2 948 580
MODOC	374 602	305 057	(NA)	(NA)	26 053	15 120	-	359 482	42 892	316 590
MONO	772 282	708 659	(NA)	(NA)	26 467	8 374	-	763 908	37 156	726 752
NEVADA	1 986 265	1 773 489	(NA)	(NA)	76 775	90 119	-	1 896 146	136 001	1 760 145
PLUMAS	913 727	599 240	(NA)	(NA)	28 104	28 677	-	885 050	286 383	598 667
SAN BENITO	703 805	605 871	(NA)	(NA)	46 038	28 848	-	674 957	51 896	623 061
SAN LUIS OBISPO	5 222 965	3 779 613	(NA)	(NA)	220 837	193 920	-	5 029 045	1 222 515	3 806 530
SHASTA	3 262 761	2 522 198	(NA)	(NA)	230 990	166 170	-	3 096 591	509 573	2 587 018
SIERRA	143 258	122 439	(NA)	(NA)	8 679	4 914	-	138 344	12 140	126 204
SISKIYOU	1 154 045	905 178	(NA)	(NA)	117 562	65 161	-	1 088 884	131 305	957 579
SUTTER	1 715 436	1 470 145	(NA)	(NA)	154 855	72 165	-	1 643 271	90 436	1 552 835
TEHAMA	1 067 763	895 860	(NA)	(NA)	61 308	63 824	-	1 003 939	110 595	893 344
TRINITY	328 557	278 767	(NA)	(NA)	22 055	17 893	-	310 664	27 735	282 929
TULARE	5 245 320	4 503 798	(NA)	(NA)	377 280	297 621	-	4 947 699	364 242	4 583 457
TUOLUMNE	1 101 584	963 245	(NA)	(NA)	46 859	54 238	-	1 047 346	91 480	955 866
YUBA	926 200	764 338	(NA)	(NA)	77 316	54 454	-	871 746	84 546	787 200
COLORADO[12]										
TOTAL	14 777 065	11 769 482	(NA)	(NA)	1 364 402	-	-	14 777 065	1 643 181	13 133 884
SMSA PORTION	10 449 456	8 433 024	(NA)	(NA)	1 073 675	-	-	10 449 456	942 757	9 506 699
COLORADO SPRINGS SMSA	1 174 864	1 014 950	(NA)	(NA)	90 309	-	-	1 174 864	69 605	1 105 259
EL PASO CO	1 125 922	970 222	(NA)	(NA)	89 055	-	-	1 125 922	66 645	1 059 277
COLORADO SPRINGS	852 998	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	852 998	(12)	(12)
TELLER CO	48 942	44 728	(NA)	(NA)	1 254	-	-	48 942	2 960	45 982
DENVER-BOULDER SMSA	7 376 920	5 940 904	(NA)	(NA)	775 963	-	-	7 376 920	660 053	6 716 867
ADAMS CO	914 467	696 002	(NA)	(NA)	93 372	-	-	914 467	125 093	789 374
ARVADA (PART)	3 735	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	3 735	(12)	(12)
AURORA (PART)	82 663	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	82 663	(12)	(12)
ARAPAHOE CO	1 447 989	1 243 634	(NA)	(NA)	106 609	-	-	1 447 989	97 746	1 350 243
AURORA (PART)	531 962	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	531 962	(12)	(12)
BOULDER CO	763 024	617 726	(NA)	(NA)	87 295	-	-	763 024	58 003	705 021
BOULDER	317 027	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	317 027	(12)	(12)
DENVER[3]	2 337 405	1 736 926	(NA)	(NA)	335 199	-	-	2 337 405	265 280	2 072 125
DOUGLAS CO	143 536	118 921	(NA)	(NA)	8 115	-	-	143 536	16 500	127 036
GILPIN CO	16 792	14 909	(NA)	(NA)	463	-	-	16 792	1 420	15 372
JEFFERSON CO	1 753 707	1 512 786	(NA)	(NA)	144 910	-	-	1 753 707	96 011	1 657 696
ARVADA (PART)	295 838	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	295 838	(12)	(12)
LAKEWOOD	493 061	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	493 061	(12)	(12)
FORT COLLINS SMSA	651 227	565 238	(NA)	(NA)	50 463	-	-	651 227	35 526	615 701
LARIMER CO	651 227	565 238	(NA)	(NA)	50 463	-	-	651 227	35 526	615 701
FORT COLLINS	257 678	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	257 678	(12)	(12)
GREELEY SMSA	771 772	591 835	(NA)	(NA)	86 357	-	-	771 772	93 580	678 192
WELD CO	771 772	591 835	(NA)	(NA)	86 357	-	-	771 772	93 580	678 192
GREELEY	181 588	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	181 588	(12)	(12)
PUEBLO SMSA	474 673	320 097	(NA)	(NA)	70 583	-	-	474 673	83 993	390 680
PUEBLO CO	474 673	320 097	(NA)	(NA)	70 583	-	-	474 673	83 993	390 680
PUEBLO	263 193	(12)	(NA)	(NA)	(12)	-	-	263 193	(12)	(12)
NON-SMSA PORTION	4 327 609	3 336 458	(NA)	(NA)	290 727	-	-	4 327 609	700 424	3 627 185
ALAMOSA	47 362	35 964	(NA)	(NA)	2 850	-	-	47 362	8 548	38 814
ARCHULETA	39 574	35 124	(NA)	(NA)	1 713	-	-	39 574	2 737	36 837
BACA	47 010	31 341	(NA)	(NA)	3 567	-	-	47 010	12 102	34 908
BENT	25 985	19 344	(NA)	(NA)	1 982	-	-	25 985	4 659	21 326
CHAFFEE	53 919	44 957	(NA)	(NA)	2 357	-	-	53 919	6 605	47 314
CHEYENNE	42 266	33 755	(NA)	(NA)	2 256	-	-	42 266	6 255	36 011
CLEAR CREEK	209 365	192 985	(NA)	(NA)	5 221	-	-	209 365	11 159	198 206
CONEJOS	16 624	12 136	(NA)	(NA)	2 097	-	-	16 624	2 391	14 233
COSTILLA	32 435	29 612	(NA)	(NA)	631	-	-	32 435	2 192	30 243
CROWLEY	10 650	6 730	(NA)	(NA)	1 603	-	-	10 650	2 317	8 333
CUSTER	14 848	13 577	(NA)	(NA)	697	-	-	14 848	574	14 274
DELTA	78 656	66 278	(NA)	(NA)	5 956	-	-	78 656	6 422	72 234
DOLORES	9 939	6 626	(NA)	(NA)	888	-	-	9 939	2 425	7 514
EAGLE	177 181	153 300	(NA)	(NA)	9 117	-	-	177 181	14 764	162 417
ELBERT	48 199	39 054	(NA)	(NA)	3 158	-	-	48 199	5 987	42 212
FREMONT	99 576	80 466	(NA)	(NA)	9 434	-	-	99 576	9 676	89 900
GARFIELD	124 551	97 712	(NA)	(NA)	8 898	-	-	124 551	17 941	106 610
GRAND	141 507	127 175	(NA)	(NA)	6 545	-	-	141 507	7 787	133 720
GUNNISON	77 276	65 619	(NA)	(NA)	7 277	-	-	77 276	4 380	72 896
HINSDALE	7 944	6 881	(NA)	(NA)	442	-	-	7 944	621	7 323
HUERFANO	27 071	20 881	(NA)	(NA)	1 438	-	-	27 071	4 752	22 319
JACKSON	22 742	18 503	(NA)	(NA)	2 020	-	-	22 742	2 219	20 523
KIOWA	22 663	16 401	(NA)	(NA)	1 586	-	-	22 663	4 676	17 987
KIT CARSON	54 636	35 058	(NA)	(NA)	5 171	-	-	54 636	13 807	40 829
LAKE	242 951	229 436	(NA)	(NA)	7 676	-	-	242 951	5 839	237 112
LA PLATA	150 707	119 076	(NA)	(NA)	8 211	-	-	150 707	23 420	127 287
LAS ANIMAS	47 732	31 455	(NA)	(NA)	5 553	-	-	47 732	10 724	37 008

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
COLORADO--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
LINCOLN	30 552	18 575	(NA)	(NA)	5 120	-	-	30 552	6 857	23 695
LOGAN	120 412	96 639	(NA)	(NA)	11 622	-	-	120 412	12 151	108 261
MESA	340 880	271 896	(NA)	(NA)	27 986	-	-	340 880	40 998	299 882
MINERAL	18 270	15 935	(NA)	(NA)	1 236	-	-	18 270	1 099	17 171
MOFFAT	288 703	94 670	(NA)	(NA)	22 321	-	-	288 703	171 712	116 991
MONTEZUMA	54 056	41 026	(NA)	(NA)	5 072	-	-	54 056	7 958	46 098
MONTROSE	86 443	61 955	(NA)	(NA)	9 574	-	-	86 443	14 914	71 529
MORGAN	181 280	79 571	(NA)	(NA)	9 831	-	-	181 280	91 878	89 402
OTERO	63 833	50 879	(NA)	(NA)	5 220	-	-	63 833	7 734	56 099
OURAY	10 364	8 388	(NA)	(NA)	950	-	-	10 364	1 026	9 338
PARK	64 139	58 380	(NA)	(NA)	1 959	-	-	64 139	3 800	60 339
PHILLIPS	26 130	20 612	(NA)	(NA)	2 445	-	-	26 130	3 073	23 057
PITKIN	164 926	147 316	(NA)	(NA)	9 855	-	-	164 926	7 755	157 171
PROWERS	50 751	36 987	(NA)	(NA)	3 396	-	-	50 751	10 368	40 383
RIO BLANCO	335 416	305 649	(NA)	(NA)	11 030	-	-	335 416	18 737	316 679
RIO GRANDE	44 376	33 666	(NA)	(NA)	4 884	-	-	44 376	5 826	38 550
ROUTT	184 096	110 014	(NA)	(NA)	23 387	-	-	184 096	50 695	133 401
SAGUACHE	25 309	19 335	(NA)	(NA)	2 004	-	-	25 309	3 970	21 339
SAN JUAN	10 574	9 055	(NA)	(NA)	813	-	-	10 574	706	9 868
SAN MIGUEL	28 618	23 522	(NA)	(NA)	2 490	-	-	28 618	2 606	26 012
SEDGWICK	16 913	12 234	(NA)	(NA)	1 858	-	-	16 913	2 821	14 092
SUMMIT	150 664	132 044	(NA)	(NA)	7 527	-	-	150 664	10 493	140 171
WASHINGTON	79 523	66 625	(NA)	(NA)	5 027	-	-	79 523	7 871	71 652
YUMA	78 012	50 839	(NA)	(NA)	6 776	-	-	78 012	20 397	57 615
CONNECTICUT** [2]										
TOTAL	47 031 762	39 948 470	12 494 378	27 454 092	7 083 292	379 681	90 785	46 555 296	-	46 555 296
NECMA PORTION	42 786 532	36 313 282	11 349 685	24 963 597	6 473 250	339 563	79 738	42 367 231	-	42 367 231
BRIDGEPORT-STAMFORD-NORWALK-NECMA	15 482 102	13 557 972	4 839 688	8 718 284	1 924 130	97 126	15 103	15 369 873	-	15 369 873
FAIRFIELD CO	15 482 102	13 557 972	4 839 688	8 718 284	1 924 130	97 126	15 103	15 369 873	-	15 369 873
BRIDGEPORT	936 226	676 150	110 927	565 223	260 076	9 659	3 456	923 111	-	923 111
DANBURY	960 909	801 226	207 375	593 851	159 683	5 824	1 089	953 996	-	953 996
NORWALK	935 517	751 186	275 844	475 342	184 331	8 182	1 233	926 102	-	926 102
STAMFORD	1 505 021	1 236 741	404 766	831 975	268 280	11 965	2 031	1 491 025	-	1 491 025
FAIRFIELD TOWN	900 530	775 420	291 949	483 471	125 110	7 515	636	892 379	-	892 379
GREENWICH TOWN	2 954 978	2 774 240	945 022	1 829 218	180 738	19 455	1 152	2 934 371	-	2 934 371
STRATFORD TOWN	767 874	609 593	188 484	421 109	158 281	7 739	1 033	759 102	-	759 102
HARTFORD-NEW BRITAIN-BRISTOL NECMA	13 735 061	11 338 576	3 316 134	8 022 442	2 396 485	112 998	22 668	13 599 395	-	13 599 395
HARTFORD CO	10 513 130	8 677 065	2 495 903	6 181 162	1 836 065	86 439	18 154	10 408 537	-	10 408 537
BRISTOL	645 675	523 413	171 869	351 544	122 262	6 111	1 727	637 837	-	637 837
HARTFORD	1 083 854	956 491	253 123	703 768	126 963	4 971	3 496	1 075 387	-	1 075 387
NEW BRITAIN	597 106	464 417	122 588	341 829	132 689	8 111	2 695	586 300	-	586 300
EAST HARTFORD TOWN	585 659	403 669	106 918	296 751	181 990	5 844	1 406	578 409	-	578 409
MANCHESTER TOWN	597 410	503 833	140 162	363 671	93 577	6 219	1 335	589 856	-	589 856
WEST HARTFORD TOWN	1 274 472	1 147 514	339 660	807 854	126 958	8 126	1 470	1 264 876	-	1 264 876
MIDDLESEX CO	2 092 478	1 694 678	527 010	1 167 668	397 800	15 027	2 556	2 074 895	-	2 074 895
TOLLAND CO	1 129 453	966 833	293 221	673 612	162 620	11 532	1 958	1 115 963	-	1 115 963
NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN-WATERBURY-MERIDEN-NECMA	10 376 775	8 719 215	2 531 807	6 187 408	1 657 560	98 811	30 365	10 247 599	-	10 247 599
NEW HAVEN CO	10 376 775	8 719 215	2 531 807	6 187 408	1 657 560	98 811	30 365	10 247 599	-	10 247 599
MERIDEN	646 842	541 220	156 222	384 998	105 622	8 702	2 998	635 142	-	635 142
MILFORD	1 226 063	1 026 662	352 425	674 237	199 401	6 709	874	1 218 480	-	1 218 480
NEW HAVEN	1 169 378	888 832	215 818	673 014	280 546	8 705	4 138	1 156 535	-	1 156 535
WATERBURY	1 025 648	851 258	196 128	655 130	174 390	17 054	5 877	1 002 717	-	1 002 717
WEST HAVEN	648 014	574 852	121 265	453 587	73 162	9 979	2 794	635 241	-	635 241
HAMDEN TOWN	856 062	762 396	214 243	548 153	93 666	6 364	1 286	848 412	-	848 412
NEW LONDON-NORWICH NECMA	3 192 594	2 697 519	662 056	2 035 463	495 075	30 628	11 602	3 150 364	-	3 150 364
NEW LONDON CO	3 192 594	2 697 519	662 056	2 035 463	495 075	30 628	11 602	3 150 364	-	3 150 364
NON-NECMA PORTION	4 245 230	3 635 188	1 144 693	2 490 495	610 042	40 118	17 047	4 188 065	-	4 188 065
LITCHFIELD	3 252 980	2 845 575	935 937	1 909 638	407 405	23 029	7 099	3 222 852	-	3 222 852
WINDHAM	992 250	789 613	208 756	580 857	202 637	17 089	9 948	965 213	-	965 213
DELAWARE [3]										
TOTAL	4 125 447	4 125 447	(NA)	(NA)	-	86 149	-	4 039 298	-	4 039 298
SMSA PORTION	2 907 441	2 907 441	767 219	2 140 222	-	54 051	-	2 853 390	-	2 853 390
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	2 907 441	2 907 441	767 219	2 140 222	-	54 051	-	2 853 390	-	2 853 390
NEW CASTLE CO	2 907 441	2 907 441	767 219	2 140 222	-	54 051	-	2 853 390	-	2 853 390
WILMINGTON	434 090	434 090	104 876	329 214	-	16 143	-	417 947	-	417 947
NON-SMSA PORTION	1 218 006	1 218 006	(NA)	(NA)	-	32 098	-	1 185 908	-	1 185 908
KENT	367 938	367 938	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 772	-	357 166	-	357 166
SUSSEX	850 068	850 068	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 326	-	828 742	-	828 742

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA										
ALL SMSA WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA *										
WASHINGTON	18 692 627	17 866 485	6 463 165	11 403 320	826 142	626 463	-	18 066 164	-	18 066 164
FLORIDA[13]										
TOTAL	249 479 503	211 852 777	(NA)	(NA)	37 102 312	36 594 687	11 541 950	201 342 866	524 414	200 818 452
SMSA PORTION	212 400 944	180 600 109	(NA)	(NA)	31 475 331	31 592 476	9 964 061	170 844 407	325 504	170 518 903
BRADENTON SMSA	4 237 282	3 507 480	(NA)	(NA)	725 506	569 817	187 452	3 480 013	4 296	3 475 717
MANATEE CO	4 237 282	3 507 480	(NA)	(NA)	725 506	569 817	187 452	3 480 013	4 296	3 475 717
DAYTONA BEACH SMSA	5 857 724	5 084 904	(NA)	(NA)	761 197	1 089 505	195 570	4 572 649	11 623	4 561 026
VOLUSIA CO	5 857 724	5 084 904	(NA)	(NA)	761 197	1 089 505	195 570	4 572 649	11 623	4 561 026
FORT LAUDERDALE-HOLLYWOOD SMSA	29 707 207	25 886 667	(NA)	(NA)	3 811 331	4 188 760	1 083 029	24 435 418	9 209	24 426 209
BROWARD CO	29 707 207	25 886 667	(NA)	(NA)	3 811 331	4 188 760	1 083 029	24 435 418	9 209	24 426 209
FT. LAUDERDALE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOLLYWOOD	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
POMPANO BEACH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL SMSA	6 743 857	6 025 662	(NA)	(NA)	713 389	799 178	161 961	5 782 718	4 806	5 777 912
LEE CO	6 743 857	6 025 662	(NA)	(NA)	713 389	799 178	161 961	5 782 718	4 806	5 777 912
GAINESVILLE SMSA	2 332 524	1 961 647	(NA)	(NA)	356 805	421 223	115 276	1 796 025	14 072	1 781 953
ALACHUA CO	2 332 524	1 961 647	(NA)	(NA)	356 805	421 223	115 276	1 796 025	14 072	1 781 953
GAINESVILLE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
JACKSONVILLE SMSA	13 535 404	10 679 053	(NA)	(NA)	2 768 940	2 720 197	1 114 513	9 700 694	87 411	9 613 283
BAKER CO	138 441	109 814	(NA)	(NA)	22 840	39 667	8 081	90 693	5 787	84 906
CLAY CO	1 225 349	1 059 122	(NA)	(NA)	161 599	257 297	45 515	922 537	4 628	917 909
DUVAL CO.										
JACKSONVILLE[3]	10 388 218	8 066 206	(NA)	(NA)	2 264 480	2 124 945	991 335	7 271 938	57 532	7 214 406
NASSAU CO	628 989	439 000	(NA)	(NA)	176 383	108 632	32 322	488 035	11 606	476 429
ST JOHNS CO	1 154 407	1 004 911	(NA)	(NA)	141 638	189 656	37 260	927 491	7 858	919 633
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN SMSA	7 728 482	5 578 446	(NA)	(NA)	2 114 160	1 175 954	634 317	5 918 211	35 876	5 882 335
POLK CO	7 728 482	5 578 446	(NA)	(NA)	2 114 160	1 175 954	634 317	5 918 211	35 876	5 882 335
LAKELAND	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-COCOA SMSA	6 036 413	5 230 432	(NA)	(NA)	793 558	1 116 710	232 816	4 686 887	12 423	4 674 464
BREVARD CO	6 036 413	5 230 432	(NA)	(NA)	793 558	1 116 710	232 816	4 686 887	12 423	4 674 464
MIAMI SMSA	48 582 790	41 964 166	(NA)	(NA)	6 596 157	5 162 353	2 210 422	41 210 015	22 467	41 187 548
DADE CO	48 582 790	41 964 166	(NA)	(NA)	6 596 157	5 162 353	2 210 422	41 210 015	22 467	41 187 548
HIALEAH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MIAMI	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MIAMI BEACH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ORLANDO SMSA	15 450 674	12 640 468	(NA)	(NA)	2 791 350	2 490 940	880 360	12 079 374	18 856	12 060 518
ORANGE CO	10 503 130	8 382 063	(NA)	(NA)	2 111 588	1 656 538	655 607	8 190 985	9 479	8 181 506
ORLANDO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OSCEOLA CO	1 343 274	1 153 504	(NA)	(NA)	188 255	166 672	44 039	1 132 563	1 515	1 131 048
SEMINOLE CO	3 604 270	3 104 901	(NA)	(NA)	491 507	667 730	180 714	2 755 826	7 862	2 747 964
PANAMA CITY SMSA	1 869 896	1 479 252	(NA)	(NA)	384 034	340 034	159 750	1 370 112	6 610	1 363 502
BAY CO	1 869 896	1 479 252	(NA)	(NA)	384 034	340 034	159 750	1 370 112	6 610	1 363 502
PENSACOLA SMSA	5 697 010	4 563 079	(NA)	(NA)	1 119 782	1 074 454	356 424	4 266 132	14 149	4 251 983
ESCAMBIA CO	3 862 583	2 955 706	(NA)	(NA)	895 487	856 186	323 495	2 682 902	11 390	2 671 512
PENSACOLA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SANTA ROSA CO	1 834 427	1 607 373	(NA)	(NA)	224 295	218 268	32 929	1 583 230	2 759	1 580 471
SARASOTA SMSA	7 662 156	7 015 439	(NA)	(NA)	643 425	920 007	156 869	6 585 280	3 292	6 581 988
SARASOTA CO	7 662 156	7 015 439	(NA)	(NA)	643 425	920 007	156 869	6 585 280	3 292	6 581 988
SARASOTA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TALLAHASSEE SMSA	3 016 778	2 628 844	(NA)	(NA)	382 069	499 848	100 432	2 416 498	5 865	2 410 633
LEON CO	2 835 242	2 494 242	(NA)	(NA)	336 022	464 438	88 510	2 282 294	4 978	2 277 316
TALLAHASSEE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WAKULLA CO	181 536	134 602	(NA)	(NA)	46 047	35 410	11 922	134 204	887	133 317
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG SMSA	33 517 235	28 044 593	(NA)	(NA)	5 418 253	6 600 717	1 886 324	25 030 194	54 389	24 975 805
HILLSBOROUGH CO	12 293 936	9 451 644	(NA)	(NA)	2 801 265	2 411 540	1 109 553	8 772 843	41 027	8 731 816
TAMPA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PASCO CO	4 100 737	3 454 784	(NA)	(NA)	640 313	862 471	204 163	3 034 103	5 640	3 028 463
PINELLAS CO	17 122 562	15 138 165	(NA)	(NA)	1 976 675	3 326 706	572 608	13 223 248	7 722	13 215 526
CLEARWATER	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LARGO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. PETERSBURG	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON SMSA	20 425 512	18 309 977	(NA)	(NA)	2 095 375	2 422 779	488 546	17 514 187	20 160	17 494 027
PALM BEACH CO	20 425 512	18 309 977	(NA)	(NA)	2 095 375	2 422 779	488 546	17 514 187	20 160	17 494 027
WEST PALM BEACH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
FLORIDA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION	37 078 559	31 252 668	(NA)	(NA)	5 626 981	5 002 211	1 577 889	30 498 459	198 910	30 299 549
BRADFORD	230 688	180 771	(NA)	(NA)	42 833	64 448	9 856	156 384	7 084	149 300
CALHOUN	128 933	104 347	(NA)	(NA)	24 586	30 346	7 056	91 531	-	91 531
CHARLOTTE	2 326 305	2 133 479	(NA)	(NA)	188 492	279 376	30 320	2 016 609	4 334	2 012 275
CITRUS	1 625 456	1 224 708	(NA)	(NA)	395 420	261 882	60 983	1 302 591	5 328	1 297 263
COLLIER	4 542 908	4 214 135	(NA)	(NA)	326 601	305 707	66 272	4 170 929	2 172	4 168 757
COLUMBIA	457 168	349 749	(NA)	(NA)	100 858	107 222	29 446	320 500	6 561	313 939
DE SOTO	390 484	313 628	(NA)	(NA)	71 889	60 728	30 833	298 923	4 967	293 956
DIXIE	122 993	99 516	(NA)	(NA)	21 077	25 676	5 198	92 119	2 398	89 721
FLAGLER	699 279	637 453	(NA)	(NA)	58 165	39 275	8 762	651 242	3 661	647 581
FRANKLIN	163 762	144 782	(NA)	(NA)	16 606	26 008	2 466	135 288	2 374	132 914
GADSDEN	356 632	272 665	(NA)	(NA)	76 609	97 680	27 152	231 800	7 358	224 442
GILCHRIST	105 686	88 143	(NA)	(NA)	14 294	24 460	2 418	78 808	3 249	75 559
GLADES	198 065	156 295	(NA)	(NA)	37 681	16 745	18 889	162 431	4 089	158 342
GULF	252 448	148 063	(NA)	(NA)	101 964	43 226	25 632	183 590	2 421	181 169
HAMILTON	292 746	104 503	(NA)	(NA)	181 524	21 071	39 048	232 627	6 719	225 908
HARDEE	786 589	691 461	(NA)	(NA)	91 105	60 071	32 749	693 769	4 023	689 746
HENDRY	685 355	521 303	(NA)	(NA)	161 476	52 079	71 482	561 794	2 576	559 218
HERNANDO	1 161 372	995 002	(NA)	(NA)	161 243	184 337	29 966	947 069	5 127	941 942
HIGHLANDS	1 306 023	1 133 959	(NA)	(NA)	164 502	195 880	57 240	1 052 903	7 562	1 045 341
HOLMES	159 303	126 432	(NA)	(NA)	29 504	55 518	7 243	96 542	3 367	93 175
INDIAN RIVER	2 277 788	2 088 738	(NA)	(NA)	186 058	238 789	53 219	1 985 780	2 992	1 982 788
JACKSON	462 259	342 746	(NA)	(NA)	110 252	121 127	53 315	287 817	9 261	278 556
JEFFERSON	144 920	111 960	(NA)	(NA)	28 416	30 043	8 878	105 999	4 544	101 455
LAFAYETTE	77 557	61 541	(NA)	(NA)	16 016	14 159	6 456	56 942	-	56 942
LAKE	2 284 422	1 910 321	(NA)	(NA)	364 572	401 161	99 910	1 783 351	9 529	1 773 822
LEVY	424 073	352 735	(NA)	(NA)	64 955	71 766	24 617	327 690	6 383	321 307
LIBERTY	59 885	45 400	(NA)	(NA)	11 018	10 580	1 622	47 683	3 467	44 216
MADISON	182 136	142 148	(NA)	(NA)	33 797	44 677	10 141	127 318	6 191	121 127
MARION	2 685 258	2 170 026	(NA)	(NA)	505 900	434 325	213 615	2 037 318	9 332	2 027 986
MARTIN	2 412 487	2 037 544	(NA)	(NA)	366 378	268 686	43 720	2 100 081	8 565	2 091 516
MONROE	2 313 775	2 112 712	(NA)	(NA)	201 063	185 552	63 240	2 064 983	-	2 064 983
OKALOOSA	1 621 877	1 419 786	(NA)	(NA)	197 233	345 698	59 632	1 216 547	4 858	1 211 689
OKEECHOBEE	486 119	408 788	(NA)	(NA)	74 702	57 620	34 503	393 996	2 629	391 367
PUTNAM	869 771	641 621	(NA)	(NA)	216 809	183 148	36 713	649 914	11 341	638 573
ST. LUCIE	3 046 288	2 436 986	(NA)	(NA)	602 083	294 521	230 181	2 521 586	7 219	2 514 367
SUMTER	350 525	259 520	(NA)	(NA)	83 838	79 367	16 838	254 320	7 167	247 153
SUWANNEE	317 804	227 798	(NA)	(NA)	83 527	75 664	17 864	224 276	6 479	217 797
TAYLOR	342 607	228 685	(NA)	(NA)	108 304	51 589	18 671	272 347	5 658	266 689
UNION	67 187	43 561	(NA)	(NA)	19 657	14 445	3 275	49 467	3 969	45 498
WALTON	479 255	428 722	(NA)	(NA)	47 938	79 090	11 173	388 992	2 595	386 397
WASHINGTON	180 371	140 974	(NA)	(NA)	38 036	48 473	7 295	124 603	1 361	123 242
GEORGIA[14]										
TOTAL	46 646 443	31 766 754	(NA)	(NA)	10 753 384	2 946 963	-	43 699 480	4 126 305	39 573 175
SMSA PORTION	27 243 119	19 119 124	(NA)	(NA)	6 326 578	1 789 910	-	25 453 209	1 797 417	23 655 792
ALBANY SMSA	922 094	641 635	(NA)	(NA)	227 174	36 312	-	885 782	53 285	832 497
DOUGHERTY CO	812 425	561 065	(NA)	(NA)	203 303	32 606	-	779 819	48 057	731 762
ALBANY	470 406	344 097	(NA)	(NA)	105 112	22 940	-	447 466	21 197	426 269
LEE CO	109 669	80 570	(NA)	(NA)	23 871	3 706	-	105 963	5 228	100 735
ATLANTA SMSA	19 570 007	13 809 147	(NA)	(NA)	4 469 567	1 257 830	-	18 312 177	1 291 293	17 020 884
BUTTS CO	77 172	53 770	(NA)	(NA)	15 833	5 502	-	71 670	7 569	64 101
CHEROKEE CO	376 736	305 529	(NA)	(NA)	52 571	27 106	-	349 632	18 636	330 996
CLAYTON CO	1 632 209	1 008 129	(NA)	(NA)	417 191	85 062	-	1 547 147	206 889	1 340 258
COBB CO	2 904 147	2 100 549	(NA)	(NA)	624 706	184 247	-	2 719 900	178 892	2 541 008
DE KALB CO	4 178 845	3 118 254	(NA)	(NA)	849 516	296 332	-	3 882 513	211 075	3 671 438
ATLANTA (PART)	122 974	94 611	(NA)	(NA)	19 535	27 476	-	95 498	8 828	86 670
DOUGLAS CO	344 922	258 135	(NA)	(NA)	58 490	27 840	-	317 082	28 297	288 785
FAYETTE CO	303 868	228 664	(NA)	(NA)	60 589	15 627	-	288 241	14 615	273 626
FORSYTH CO	247 599	190 760	(NA)	(NA)	45 666	20 106	-	227 493	11 173	216 320
FULTON CO	6 434 913	4 394 312	(NA)	(NA)	1 594 727	328 156	-	6 106 757	445 874	5 660 883
ATLANTA (PART)	3 836 688	2 536 679	(NA)	(NA)	956 565	318 911	-	3 517 777	343 444	3 174 333
GWINNETT CO	1 835 173	1 267 119	(NA)	(NA)	489 946	178 483	-	1 656 690	78 108	1 578 582
HENRY CO	298 233	217 626	(NA)	(NA)	57 608	21 526	-	276 707	22 999	253 708
NEWTON CO	225 994	162 415	(NA)	(NA)	52 368	21 783	-	204 211	11 211	193 000
PAULDING CO	166 891	130 739	(NA)	(NA)	23 177	13 476	-	153 415	12 975	140 440
ROCKDALE CO	342 631	225 271	(NA)	(NA)	88 852	19 136	-	323 495	28 508	294 987
WALTON CO	200 674	147 675	(NA)	(NA)	38 327	13 450	-	187 224	14 472	172 752
AUGUSTA, GA-S.C. SMSA*	1 437 227	986 439	(NA)	(NA)	365 118	91 706	-	1 345 521	85 670	1 259 851
COLUMBIA CO	278 125	214 474	(NA)	(NA)	46 070	23 401	-	254 724	17 581	237 143
RICHMOND CO	1 159 102	771 965	(NA)	(NA)	319 048	68 305	-	1 090 797	68 089	1 022 708
AUGUSTA	290 463	183 076	(NA)	(NA)	75 665	41 725	-	248 738	31 722	217 016

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
GEORGIA--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA.										
SMSA*	1 073 113	749 740	(NA)	(NA)	255 331	90 125	-	982 988	68 042	914 946
CHATTAHOOCHEE CO	10 676	6 761	(NA)	(NA)	2 575	676	-	10 000	1 340	8 660
MUSCOGEE CO.										
COLUMBUS	1 062 437	742 979	(NA)	(NA)	252 756	89 449	-	972 988	66 702	906 286
MACON SMSA	1 701 576	1 155 162	(NA)	(NA)	413 959	119 006	-	1 582 570	132 455	1 450 115
BIBB CO.	1 008 811	661 392	(NA)	(NA)	260 047	74 585	-	934 226	87 372	846 854
MACON (PART)	701 992	464 427	(NA)	(NA)	177 728	11 569	-	690 423	59 837	630 586
HOUSTON CO	497 791	364 491	(NA)	(NA)	109 595	33 415	-	464 376	23 705	440 671
JONES CO	127 714	89 558	(NA)	(NA)	22 238	7 384	-	120 330	15 918	104 412
TWIGGS CO.	67 260	39 721	(NA)	(NA)	22 079	3 622	-	63 638	5 460	58 178
SAVANNAH SMSA	1 877 357	1 284 547	(NA)	(NA)	457 860	132 016	-	1 745 341	134 950	1 610 391
BRYAN CO	67 843	51 932	(NA)	(NA)	10 745	4 147	-	63 696	5 166	58 530
CHATHAM CO	1 660 396	1 136 601	(NA)	(NA)	426 527	119 355	-	1 541 041	97 068	1 443 973
SAVANNAH	870 859	658 934	(NA)	(NA)	159 558	-	-	870 859	52 367	818 492
EFFINGHAM CO	149 116	95 814	(NA)	(NA)	20 588	8 514	-	140 604	32 716	107 888
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA*	661 745	492 454	(NA)	(NA)	137 569	62 915	-	598 830	31 722	567 108
CATOOSA CO	235 452	173 260	(NA)	(NA)	52 236	18 785	-	216 667	9 956	206 711
DADE CO.	72 927	55 979	(NA)	(NA)	12 013	5 757	-	67 170	4 935	62 235
WALKER CO.	353 366	263 215	(NA)	(NA)	73 320	38 373	-	314 993	16 831	298 162
NON-SMSA PORTION	19 403 324	12 647 630	(NA)	(NA)	4 426 806	1 157 053	-	18 246 271	2 328 888	15 917 383
APPLING	387 404	88 741	(NA)	(NA)	19 218	6 999	-	380 405	279 445	100 960
ATKINSON	49 705	39 270	(NA)	(NA)	8 332	2 355	-	47 350	2 103	45 247
BACON	75 580	59 845	(NA)	(NA)	11 655	4 235	-	71 345	4 080	67 265
BAKER	65 937	53 412	(NA)	(NA)	9 649	1 475	-	64 462	2 876	61 586
BALDWIN	186 773	118 868	(NA)	(NA)	48 029	13 703	-	173 070	19 876	153 194
BANKS	78 355	59 420	(NA)	(NA)	13 563	5 072	-	73 283	5 372	67 911
BARROW	166 477	103 537	(NA)	(NA)	50 979	9 952	-	156 525	11 961	144 564
BARTOW	492 339	222 027	(NA)	(NA)	98 625	19 239	-	473 100	171 687	301 413
BEN HILL	115 462	86 656	(NA)	(NA)	24 058	9 833	-	105 629	4 748	100 881
BERRIEN	103 174	76 258	(NA)	(NA)	22 174	5 472	-	97 702	4 742	92 960
BLECKLEY	65 875	47 894	(NA)	(NA)	14 130	5 210	-	60 665	3 851	56 814
BRANTLEY	72 913	59 845	(NA)	(NA)	8 298	4 088	-	68 825	4 770	64 055
BROOKS	137 551	109 588	(NA)	(NA)	23 684	6 358	-	131 193	4 279	126 914
BULLOCH	265 485	208 578	(NA)	(NA)	45 291	13 909	-	251 576	11 616	239 960
BURKE	393 469	129 893	(NA)	(NA)	27 985	6 702	-	386 767	235 591	151 176
CALHOUN	73 293	58 610	(NA)	(NA)	11 912	2 262	-	71 031	2 771	68 260
CAMDEN	173 466	108 446	(NA)	(NA)	40 876	5 562	-	167 904	24 144	143 760
CANDLER	58 682	44 670	(NA)	(NA)	10 938	3 202	-	55 480	3 074	52 406
CARROLL	298 070	185 945	(NA)	(NA)	80 807	32 017	-	266 053	31 318	234 735
CHARLTON	86 254	74 958	(NA)	(NA)	7 654	3 501	-	82 753	4 042	78 711
CHATTOOGA	134 211	88 416	(NA)	(NA)	37 469	12 097	-	122 114	8 326	113 788
CLARKE	533 931	353 164	(NA)	(NA)	145 626	29 949	-	503 982	35 141	468 841
CLAY	30 431	24 179	(NA)	(NA)	4 928	1 230	-	29 201	1 324	27 877
CLINCH	67 589	54 514	(NA)	(NA)	9 092	2 718	-	64 871	3 983	60 888
COFFEE	191 185	139 047	(NA)	(NA)	43 891	10 672	-	180 513	8 247	172 266
COLQUITT	281 985	204 605	(NA)	(NA)	65 734	15 337	-	266 648	11 646	255 002
COOK	93 742	66 834	(NA)	(NA)	22 660	5 906	-	87 836	4 248	83 588
COWETA	410 555	227 925	(NA)	(NA)	75 772	37 062	-	373 493	106 858	266 635
CRAWFORD	50 665	39 210	(NA)	(NA)	7 372	3 028	-	47 637	4 083	43 554
CRISP	153 412	114 355	(NA)	(NA)	34 754	7 366	-	146 046	4 303	141 743
DAWSON	71 000	59 607	(NA)	(NA)	9 097	2 915	-	68 085	2 296	65 789
DECATUR	222 398	155 512	(NA)	(NA)	54 907	10 540	-	211 858	11 979	199 879
DODGE	80 125	52 606	(NA)	(NA)	18 890	8 446	-	71 679	8 629	63 050
DOOLY	130 090	94 795	(NA)	(NA)	29 966	5 190	-	124 900	5 329	119 571
EARLY	191 499	112 219	(NA)	(NA)	72 327	4 388	-	187 111	6 953	180 158
ECHOLS	35 862	31 056	(NA)	(NA)	2 365	975	-	34 887	2 441	32 446
ELBERT	129 418	85 205	(NA)	(NA)	36 223	10 210	-	119 202	7 984	111 218
EMANUEL	161 648	124 314	(NA)	(NA)	29 315	8 895	-	152 753	8 019	144 734
EVANS	54 997	39 190	(NA)	(NA)	13 109	3 368	-	51 629	2 698	48 931
FANNIN	91 248	75 044	(NA)	(NA)	12 761	10 108	-	81 140	3 443	77 697
FLOYD	652 637	360 585	(NA)	(NA)	202 626	63 474	-	589 163	89 426	499 737
FRANKLIN	99 608	71 785	(NA)	(NA)	22 442	9 701	-	89 907	5 381	84 526
GILMER	81 917	60 692	(NA)	(NA)	15 762	5 996	-	75 921	5 503	70 418
GLASCOCK	21 710	15 771	(NA)	(NA)	3 897	1 221	-	20 489	2 042	18 447
GLYNN	633 473	397 446	(NA)	(NA)	181 895	50 508	-	582 965	54 132	528 833
GORDON	224 143	136 639	(NA)	(NA)	76 571	15 454	-	208 689	10 933	197 756
GRADY	163 047	123 934	(NA)	(NA)	34 718	8 942	-	154 105	4 795	149 310
GREENE	84 252	58 863	(NA)	(NA)	17 492	5 223	-	79 029	7 897	71 132
HABERSHAM	161 915	113 088	(NA)	(NA)	33 585	13 406	-	148 509	15 282	133 227
HALL	727 962	488 224	(NA)	(NA)	204 916	35 616	-	692 346	34 822	657 524
HANCOCK	72 950	41 585	(NA)	(NA)	6 556	2 870	-	70 080	24 809	45 271
HARALSON	120 828	68 029	(NA)	(NA)	36 132	10 383	-	110 445	16 667	93 778
HARRIS	117 138	89 357	(NA)	(NA)	13 814	7 356	-	109 782	13 967	95 815
HART	123 086	88 255	(NA)	(NA)	24 321	11 172	-	111 914	10 510	101 404
HEARD	185 260	38 480	(NA)	(NA)	7 823	3 208	-	182 052	138 957	43 095
IRWIN	89 885	70 844	(NA)	(NA)	13 085	3 749	-	86 136	5 956	80 180
JACKSON	190 551	135 976	(NA)	(NA)	43 586	11 306	-	179 245	10 989	168 256

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
GEORGIA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
JASPER	75 109	52 850	(NA)	(NA)	13 014	3 447	-	71 662	9 285	62 417
JEFF DAVIS	104 460	75 789	(NA)	(NA)	22 738	4 779	-	99 681	5 933	93 748
JEFFERSON	128 117	88 325	(NA)	(NA)	30 887	7 324	-	120 793	8 905	111 888
JENKINS	64 489	46 571	(NA)	(NA)	12 618	3 880	-	60 609	5 300	55 309
JOHNSON	45 753	34 365	(NA)	(NA)	8 457	4 249	-	41 504	2 931	38 573
LAMAR	82 548	50 983	(NA)	(NA)	25 723	5 512	-	77 036	5 842	71 194
LANIER	32 445	24 779	(NA)	(NA)	5 787	2 262	-	30 183	1 879	28 304
LAURENS	315 786	210 469	(NA)	(NA)	88 981	14 742	-	301 044	16 336	284 708
LIBERTY	144 640	108 636	(NA)	(NA)	23 696	5 957	-	138 683	12 308	126 375
LINCOLN	46 792	36 129	(NA)	(NA)	8 671	3 254	-	43 538	1 992	41 546
LONG	45 638	38 434	(NA)	(NA)	4 519	1 879	-	43 759	2 685	41 074
LOWNDES	471 728	337 329	(NA)	(NA)	104 502	23 417	-	448 311	29 897	418 414
LUMPKIN	83 470	60 656	(NA)	(NA)	15 154	5 764	-	77 706	7 660	70 046
MCDUFFIE	111 288	76 892	(NA)	(NA)	28 218	7 901	-	103 387	6 178	97 209
MCINTOSH	59 686	47 219	(NA)	(NA)	8 721	3 821	-	55 865	3 746	52 119
MACON	166 997	84 310	(NA)	(NA)	77 753	5 173	-	161 824	4 934	156 890
MADISON	114 706	78 182	(NA)	(NA)	18 969	8 949	-	105 757	17 565	88 202
MARION	46 046	38 540	(NA)	(NA)	5 748	1 913	-	44 133	1 758	42 375
MERIWETHER	134 993	92 734	(NA)	(NA)	32 645	8 705	-	126 288	9 614	116 674
MILLER	90 207	73 748	(NA)	(NA)	13 602	3 229	-	86 978	2 857	84 121
MITCHELL	162 834	106 925	(NA)	(NA)	47 563	7 984	-	154 850	8 346	146 504
MONROE	256 790	38 665	(NA)	(NA)	14 930	5 753	-	251 037	203 195	47 842
MONTGOMERY	57 231	45 711	(NA)	(NA)	8 252	3 163	-	54 068	3 268	50 800
MORGAN	115 771	82 501	(NA)	(NA)	26 154	4 715	-	111 056	7 116	103 940
MURRAY	136 994	89 179	(NA)	(NA)	40 953	9 376	-	127 618	6 862	120 756
OCONEE	100 154	80 610	(NA)	(NA)	13 452	5 957	-	94 197	6 092	88 105
OGLETHORPE	76 143	62 972	(NA)	(NA)	10 198	4 386	-	71 757	3 473	68 284
PEACH	91 847	61 740	(NA)	(NA)	25 248	7 023	-	84 824	4 859	79 965
PICKENS	94 932	75 028	(NA)	(NA)	14 317	6 454	-	88 478	5 587	82 891
PIERCE	96 388	76 238	(NA)	(NA)	14 767	6 008	-	90 380	5 383	84 997
PIKE	60 211	47 152	(NA)	(NA)	9 700	4 545	-	55 666	3 359	52 307
POLK	216 563	141 626	(NA)	(NA)	61 461	39 264	-	177 299	13 476	163 823
PULASKI	65 494	52 299	(NA)	(NA)	10 181	3 934	-	61 560	3 014	58 546
PUTNAM	156 802	47 151	(NA)	(NA)	18 477	4 389	-	152 413	91 174	61 239
QUITMAN	12 000	9 442	(NA)	(NA)	1 858	873	-	11 127	700	10 427
RABUN	133 672	100 186	(NA)	(NA)	20 877	5 960	-	127 712	12 609	115 103
RANDOLPH	68 520	49 361	(NA)	(NA)	15 888	3 779	-	64 741	3 271	61 470
SCHLEY	30 207	22 022	(NA)	(NA)	7 113	1 643	-	28 564	1 072	27 492
SCREVEN	153 182	112 949	(NA)	(NA)	33 653	5 605	-	147 577	6 580	140 997
SEMINOLE	79 487	56 887	(NA)	(NA)	18 797	4 583	-	74 904	3 803	71 101
SPALDING	259 591	183 820	(NA)	(NA)	60 294	19 111	-	240 480	15 477	225 003
STEPHENS	166 033	108 357	(NA)	(NA)	47 456	22 116	-	143 917	10 220	133 697
STEWART	69 642	58 263	(NA)	(NA)	8 200	2 080	-	67 562	3 179	64 383
SUMTER	265 596	175 678	(NA)	(NA)	76 073	24 121	-	241 435	13 805	227 630
TALBOT	45 875	36 756	(NA)	(NA)	5 386	2 653	-	43 222	3 733	39 489
TALIAFERRO	27 640	23 980	(NA)	(NA)	2 563	1 006	-	26 634	1 097	25 537
TATTNALL	125 670	100 597	(NA)	(NA)	17 990	6 900	-	118 770	7 083	111 687
TAYLOR	60 880	46 562	(NA)	(NA)	10 664	3 927	-	56 953	3 654	53 299
TELFAIR	78 677	58 960	(NA)	(NA)	15 559	6 337	-	72 340	4 158	68 182
TERRELL	82 993	62 083	(NA)	(NA)	16 290	3 942	-	79 051	4 620	74 431
THOMAS	304 139	226 455	(NA)	(NA)	65 854	16 447	-	287 692	11 830	275 862
TIFT	272 329	177 198	(NA)	(NA)	77 609	12 224	-	260 105	17 522	242 583
TOOMBS	137 716	92 308	(NA)	(NA)	34 050	8 731	-	128 985	11 358	117 627
TOWNS	52 526	42 917	(NA)	(NA)	7 362	3 806	-	48 720	2 247	46 473
TREUTLEN	33 919	26 920	(NA)	(NA)	4 522	3 020	-	30 899	2 477	28 422
TROUP	373 679	240 797	(NA)	(NA)	115 365	29 975	-	343 704	17 517	326 187
TURNER	82 311	57 936	(NA)	(NA)	20 935	3 584	-	78 727	3 440	75 287
UNION	86 657	74 254	(NA)	(NA)	9 793	5 772	-	80 885	2 610	78 275
UPSON	196 065	130 862	(NA)	(NA)	55 466	13 599	-	182 466	9 737	172 729
WARE	253 228	179 388	(NA)	(NA)	52 113	18 117	-	235 111	21 727	213 384
WARREN	67 651	48 664	(NA)	(NA)	14 971	2 838	-	64 813	4 016	60 797
WASHINGTON	180 001	117 437	(NA)	(NA)	50 659	7 818	-	172 183	11 905	160 278
WAYNE	227 185	145 834	(NA)	(NA)	73 075	8 460	-	218 725	8 276	210 449
WEBSTER	34 701	28 093	(NA)	(NA)	4 924	858	-	33 843	1 684	32 159
WHEELER	41 715	32 594	(NA)	(NA)	5 191	2 670	-	39 045	3 930	35 115
WHITE	94 383	73 264	(NA)	(NA)	17 271	5 626	-	88 757	3 848	84 909
WHITFIELD	648 908	367 166	(NA)	(NA)	256 899	28 253	-	620 655	24 843	595 812
WILCOX	47 051	35 645	(NA)	(NA)	7 971	3 708	-	43 343	3 435	39 908
WILKES	107 424	78 673	(NA)	(NA)	25 317	5 214	-	102 210	3 434	98 776
WILKINSON	80 926	45 177	(NA)	(NA)	29 619	4 587	-	76 339	6 130	70 209
WORTH	155 522	118 937	(NA)	(NA)	29 827	6 871	-	148 651	6 758	141 893

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
HAWAII[15]										
TOTAL	20 286 239	20 286 239	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 279 066	-	18 007 173	-	18 007 173
SMSA PORTION	14 217 688	14 217 688	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 726 245	-	12 491 443	-	12 491 443
HONOLULU SMSA	14 217 688	14 217 688	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 726 245	-	12 491 443	-	12 491 443
HONOLULU CO[5]										
HONOLULU	14 217 688	14 217 688	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 726 245	-	12 491 443	-	12 491 443
NON-SMSA PORTION	6 068 551	6 068 551	(NA)	(NA)	-	552 821	-	5 515 730	-	5 515 730
HAWAII	2 005 423	2 005 423	(NA)	(NA)	-	263 353	-	1 742 070	-	1 742 070
KAUAI	953 569	953 569	(NA)	(NA)	-	95 272	-	858 297	-	858 297
MAUI	3 109 559	3 109 559	(NA)	(NA)	-	194 196	-	2 915 363	-	2 915 363
IDAHO[16]										
TOTAL	24 631 439	19 438 781	7 268 113	12 170 668	3 284 260	1 163 630	-	23 357 806	1 798 395	21 559 411
SMSA PORTION	4 381 460	3 917 778	1 202 776	2 715 002	219 470	281 345	-	4 100 115	244 212	3 855 903
BOISE CITY SMSA	4 381 460	3 917 778	1 202 776	2 715 002	219 470	281 345	-	4 100 115	244 212	3 855 903
ADA CO	4 381 460	3 917 778	1 202 776	2 715 002	219 470	281 345	-	4 100 115	244 212	3 855 903
BOISE CITY	2 699 156	2 453 775	726 322	1 727 453	135 828	-	-	2 699 156	109 553	2 589 603
NON-SMSA PORTION	20 126 507	15 521 003	6 065 337	9 455 666	3 064 790	882 285	-	19 244 222	1 540 714	17 703 508
ADAMS	115 024	80 443	42 198	38 245	15 545	3 705	-	111 319	19 036	92 283
BANNOCK	1 268 550	1 031 130	236 187	794 943	145 840	77 877	-	1 190 673	91 580	1 099 093
BEAR LAKE	185 355	131 055	58 358	72 697	12 645	6 934	-	178 421	41 655	136 766
BENEWAH	213 151	178 301	83 279	95 022	23 363	8 846	-	204 305	11 487	192 818
BINGHAM	718 168	584 243	240 017	344 226	78 146	38 340	-	679 828	55 779	624 049
BLAINE	712 622	651 159	301 652	349 507	26 061	10 996	-	701 626	35 402	666 224
BOISE	134 818	114 808	59 222	55 586	12 514	3 468	-	131 354	7 496	123 858
BONNER	849 227	715 608	379 167	336 441	54 235	26 743	-	822 484	79 384	743 100
BONNEVILLE	1 397 445	1 248 480	316 145	932 335	98 077	97 905	-	1 299 540	50 888	1 248 652
BOUNDARY	179 939	140 467	63 023	77 444	10 372	7 178	-	172 761	29 100	143 661
BUTTE	77 482	62 442	30 441	32 001	5 077	2 716	-	74 766	9 963	64 803
CAMAS	39 586	30 103	21 339	8 764	6 450	972	-	38 614	3 033	35 581
CANYON	1 589 092	1 332 316	421 198	911 118	168 024	85 260	-	1 503 832	88 752	1 415 080
CARIBOU	329 377	235 659	92 578	143 081	51 127	7 869	-	321 508	42 591	278 917
CASSIA	608 030	515 189	239 439	275 750	63 773	39 514	-	568 516	29 068	539 448
CLARK	41 045	26 198	18 398	7 800	7 230	505	-	40 540	7 617	32 923
CLEARWATER	199 845	162 674	78 582	84 092	21 981	8 513	-	191 332	15 190	176 142
CUSTER	146 790	90 757	41 826	48 931	53 233	3 185	-	143 605	2 800	140 805
ELMORE	379 020	260 600	106 579	154 021	29 676	16 656	-	362 364	88 744	273 620
FRANKLIN	200 499	162 611	60 472	102 139	16 097	10 135	-	190 364	21 791	168 573
FREMONT	236 152	198 580	87 286	111 294	22 014	10 283	-	225 869	15 558	210 311
GEM	225 320	195 143	62 572	132 571	15 119	12 516	-	212 804	15 058	197 746
GOODING	240 034	187 104	80 631	106 473	9 330	9 397	-	230 637	43 600	187 037
IDAHO	369 903	295 047	148 045	147 002	58 239	15 503	-	354 400	16 617	337 783
JEFFERSON	325 176	270 694	110 887	159 807	29 324	17 576	-	307 600	25 158	282 442
JEROME	388 151	293 212	125 625	167 587	49 639	17 469	-	370 682	45 300	325 382
KOOTENAI	1 835 296	1 635 809	737 482	898 327	86 502	80 556	-	1 754 740	112 985	1 641 755
LATAH	604 020	503 908	195 818	308 090	64 384	31 870	-	572 150	35 728	536 422
LEMHI	155 837	133 866	58 869	74 997	14 468	6 959	-	148 878	7 503	141 375
LEWIS	140 027	114 707	71 416	43 291	18 604	3 592	-	136 435	6 716	129 719
LINCOLN	106 332	69 037	40 426	28 611	8 295	2 851	-	103 481	29 000	74 481
MADISON	398 221	330 185	120 071	210 114	50 748	20 111	-	378 110	17 288	360 822
MINIDOKA	404 178	314 839	124 922	189 917	64 739	19 444	-	384 734	24 600	360 134
NEZ PERCE	1 028 346	759 328	232 076	527 252	221 599	45 036	-	983 310	47 419	935 891
ONEIDA	101 877	90 001	55 899	34 102	4 937	2 987	-	98 890	6 939	91 951
OWYHEE	329 236	143 014	82 356	60 658	158 716	4 411	-	324 825	27 506	297 319
PAYETTE	278 751	236 304	77 486	158 818	19 443	14 637	-	264 114	23 004	241 110
POWER	396 687	147 377	96 596	100 781	105 016	7 224	-	389 463	94 294	295 169
SHOSHONE	1 388 512	354 937	94 393	260 544	1 003 145	20 702	-	1 367 810	30 430	1 337 380
TETON	98 089	87 871	46 464	41 407	7 301	2 536	-	95 553	2 917	92 636
TWIN FALLS	1 078 962	893 872	295 055	598 817	111 652	59 452	-	1 019 510	73 438	946 072
VALLEY	311 292	277 747	145 469	132 278	20 948	8 658	-	302 634	12 597	290 037
WASHINGTON	301 043	184 178	85 393	98 785	21 162	11 202	-	289 841	95 703	194 138

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
ILLINOIS[17 18]										
TOTAL	82 952 310	82 801 383	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 956 256	-	75 996 054	150 927	75 845 127
SMSA PORTION	65 256 479	65 156 804	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 407 337	-	59 849 142	99 675	59 749 467
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL SMSA	1 080 841	1 079 550	(NA)	(NA)	-	76 086	-	1 004 755	1 291	1 003 464
MCLEAN CO.	1 080 841	1 079 550	(NA)	(NA)	-	76 086	-	1 004 755	1 291	1 003 464
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL SMSA	1 157 182	1 155 518	(NA)	(NA)	-	83 200	-	1 073 982	1 664	1 072 318
CHAMPAIGN CO	1 157 182	1 155 518	(NA)	(NA)	-	83 200	-	1 073 982	1 664	1 072 318
CHAMPAIGN	(18)	349 283	(NA)	(NA)	-	31 428	-	317 855	(18)	(18)
CHICAGO SMSA	50 938 833	50 875 274	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 058 326	-	46 880 507	63 559	46 816 948
COOK CO.	33 454 283	33 398 286	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 617 900	-	30 836 383	55 997	30 780 386
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS(PART)	(18)	566 570	(NA)	(NA)	-	42 000	-	524 570	(18)	(18)
CHICAGO (PART)	(18)	14 368 600	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 060 000	-	13 308 600	(18)	(18)
CICERO	(18)	312 100	(NA)	(NA)	-	23 120	-	288 980	(18)	(18)
DES PLAINES	(18)	685 370	(NA)	(NA)	-	50 770	-	634 600	(18)	(18)
ELGIN (PART)	(18)	86 550	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 410	-	80 140	(18)	(18)
EVANSTON	(18)	548 100	(NA)	(NA)	-	40 600	-	507 500	(18)	(18)
MOUNT PROSPECT VIL	(18)	437 670	(NA)	(NA)	-	32 420	-	405 250	(18)	(18)
OAK LAWN	(18)	350 890	(NA)	(NA)	-	25 990	-	324 900	(18)	(18)
OAK PARK	(18)	241 770	(NA)	(NA)	-	17 910	-	223 860	(18)	(18)
SCHAUMBURG VIL (PART)	(18)	643 460	(NA)	(NA)	-	47 660	-	595 800	(18)	(18)
SKOKIE	(18)	704 540	(NA)	(NA)	-	52 190	-	652 350	(18)	(18)
DU PAGE CO	6 861 458	6 859 968	(NA)	(NA)	-	537 611	-	6 323 847	1 490	6 322 357
AURORA(PART)	(18)	67 381	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 872	-	63 509	(18)	(18)
CHICAGO(PART)	(18)	3 520	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	3 520	(18)	(18)
SCHAUMBURG VIL (PART)	(18)	827	(NA)	(NA)	-	39	-	788	(18)	(18)
KANE CO.	2 214 048	2 213 145	(NA)	(NA)	-	185 367	-	2 028 681	903	2 027 778
AURORA(PART)	(18)	444 517	(NA)	(NA)	-	39 174	-	405 343	(18)	(18)
ELGIN (PART)	(18)	376 543	(NA)	(NA)	-	30 277	-	346 266	(18)	(18)
LAKE CO.	4 419 271	4 417 795	(NA)	(NA)	-	330 205	-	4 089 066	1 476	4 087 590
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS(PART)	(18)	5	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	5	(18)	(18)
WAUKEGAN	(18)	427 293	(NA)	(NA)	-	24 467	-	402 826	(18)	(18)
MCHENRY CO	1 408 305	1 408 106	(NA)	(NA)	-	138 543	-	1 269 762	199	1 269 563
WILL CO.	2 581 468	2 577 974	(NA)	(NA)	-	248 700	-	2 332 768	3 494	2 329 274
JOLIET	(18)	425 215	(NA)	(NA)	-	43 540	-	381 675	(18)	(18)
DECATUR SMSA	955 497	952 512	(NA)	(NA)	-	75 191	-	880 306	2 985	877 321
MACON CO	955 497	952 512	(NA)	(NA)	-	75 191	-	880 306	2 985	877 321
DECATUR	(18)	616 959	(NA)	(NA)	-	54 432	-	562 527	(18)	(18)
KANKAKEE SMSA	563 888	562 876	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 030	-	556 858	1 012	555 846
KANKAKEE CO.	563 888	562 876	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 030	-	556 858	1 012	555 846
PEORIA SMSA	2 801 334	2 796 538	(NA)	(NA)	-	289 683	-	2 511 651	4 796	2 506 855
PEORIA CO.	1 470 196	1 468 360	(NA)	(NA)	-	150 220	-	1 319 976	1 836	1 318 140
PEORIA	(18)	840 645	(NA)	(NA)	-	94 568	-	746 077	(18)	(18)
TAZEWELL CO.	1 017 731	1 015 173	(NA)	(NA)	-	111 761	-	905 970	2 558	903 412
WOODFORD CO.	313 407	313 005	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 702	-	285 705	402	285 303
ROCKFORD SMSA	1 703 153	1 702 620	(NA)	(NA)	-	151 407	-	1 551 746	533	1 551 213
BOONE CO	234 135	234 054	(NA)	(NA)	-	19 871	-	214 264	81	214 183
WINNEBAGO CO	1 469 018	1 468 566	(NA)	(NA)	-	131 536	-	1 337 482	452	1 337 030
ROCKFORD	(18)	765 962	(NA)	(NA)	-	68 307	-	697 655	(18)	(18)
SPRINGFIELD SMSA	1 412 458	1 410 093	(NA)	(NA)	-	119 654	-	1 292 804	2 365	1 290 439
MENARD CO.	108 860	108 621	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 324	-	101 536	239	101 297
SANGAMON CO.	1 303 598	1 301 472	(NA)	(NA)	-	112 330	-	1 191 268	2 126	1 189 142
SPRINGFIELD	(18)	707 468	(NA)	(NA)	-	61 669	-	645 799	(18)	(18)
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*	1 615 494	1 613 453	(NA)	(NA)	-	173 610	-	1 441 884	2 041	1 439 843
HENRY CO	441 582	440 843	(NA)	(NA)	-	46 665	-	394 917	739	394 178
ROCK ISLAND CO	1 173 912	1 172 610	(NA)	(NA)	-	126 945	-	1 046 967	1 302	1 045 665
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA*	3 027 799	3 008 370	(NA)	(NA)	-	373 150	-	2 654 649	19 429	2 635 220
CLINTON CO	218 695	217 737	(NA)	(NA)	-	24 690	-	194 005	958	193 047
MADISON CO	1 499 478	1 494 033	(NA)	(NA)	-	183 956	-	1 315 522	5 445	1 310 077
MONROE CO.	143 355	142 826	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 989	-	126 366	529	125 837
ST. CLAIR CO	1 166 271	1 153 774	(NA)	(NA)	-	147 515	-	1 018 756	12 497	1 006 259
EAST ST. LOUIS	(18)	71 625	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 216	-	62 409	(18)	(18)
NON-SMSA PORTION	17 695 831	17 644 579	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 548 919	-	16 146 912	51 252	16 095 660
ADAMS	426 495	425 944	(NA)	(NA)	-	51 119	-	375 376	551	374 825
ALEXANDER	45 160	44 342	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 264	-	38 896	818	38 078
BOND	106 808	106 417	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 615	-	96 193	391	95 802
BROWN	42 326	42 326	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 968	-	38 358	-	38 358
BUREAU	382 094	380 921	(NA)	(NA)	-	33 035	-	349 059	1 173	347 886
CALHOUN	32 619	32 619	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 291	-	29 328	-	29 328
CARROLL	161 638	160 712	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 374	-	146 264	926	145 338
CASE	103 697	103 470	(NA)	(NA)	-	11 024	-	92 673	227	92 446
CHRISTIAN	339 059	337 347	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 260	-	311 799	1 712	310 087
CLARK	112 798	112 506	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 297	-	99 501	292	99 209

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
ILLINOIS--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
CLAY	106 613	106 091	(NA)	(NA)	-	12 040	-	94 573	522	94 051
COLES	347 337	346 469	(NA)	(NA)	-	32 559	-	314 778	868	313 910
CRAWFORD	177 651	177 301	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 801	-	160 850	350	160 500
CUMBERLAND	78 144	77 860	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 552	-	69 592	284	69 308
DE KALB	565 557	565 072	(NA)	(NA)	-	35 750	-	529 807	485	529 322
DE WITT	369 765	369 240	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 957	-	355 808	505	355 303
DOUGLAS	220 569	219 603	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 155	-	205 414	966	204 448
EDGAR	217 870	217 086	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 159	-	201 711	784	200 927
EDWARDS	57 002	56 776	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 391	-	50 611	226	50 385
EFFINGHAM	223 676	223 076	(NA)	(NA)	-	22 365	-	201 313	602	200 711
FAYETTE	168 070	167 511	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 737	-	152 333	559	151 774
FORD	190 285	189 516	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 223	-	177 062	769	176 293
FRANKLIN	190 562	189 702	(NA)	(NA)	-	31 065	-	159 497	860	158 637
FULTON	332 818	331 921	(NA)	(NA)	-	36 044	-	296 774	897	295 877
GALLATIN	59 651	59 004	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 304	-	54 347	147	54 200
GREENE	117 577	117 388	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 473	-	109 104	189	108 915
GRUNDY	698 864	698 079	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 769	-	677 095	785	676 310
HAMILTON	73 265	72 823	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 954	-	65 311	442	64 869
HANCOCK	203 929	203 638	(NA)	(NA)	-	19 152	-	184 777	291	184 486
HARDIN	19 771	19 756	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 829	-	16 942	15	16 927
HENDERSON	92 466	91 887	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 751	-	85 715	579	85 136
IROQUOIS	391 292	390 018	(NA)	(NA)	-	23 984	-	367 308	1 274	366 034
JACKSON	248 133	247 251	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 673	-	221 460	882	220 578
JASPER	207 197	206 467	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 080	-	198 117	730	197 387
JEFFERSON	235 178	233 607	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 035	-	209 137	1 565	207 572
JERSEY	104 844	104 799	(NA)	(NA)	-	14 167	-	90 677	45	90 632
JO DAVIESS	194 228	193 797	(NA)	(NA)	-	18 615	-	175 613	431	175 182
JOHNSON	41 687	41 335	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 831	-	35 856	352	35 504
KENDALL	361 523	361 186	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 587	-	333 936	337	333 599
KNOX	475 908	473 202	(NA)	(NA)	-	42 503	-	433 405	2 706	430 699
LA SALLE	1 265 488	1 263 497	(NA)	(NA)	-	95 722	-	1 169 766	1 991	1 167 775
LAWRENCE	120 847	120 454	(NA)	(NA)	-	12 319	-	108 528	393	108 135
LEE	327 926	327 398	(NA)	(NA)	-	20 890	-	307 036	528	306 508
LIVINGSTON	426 833	425 734	(NA)	(NA)	-	28 155	-	398 678	1 099	397 579
LOGAN	320 612	320 163	(NA)	(NA)	-	23 728	-	296 884	449	296 435
MCDONOUGH	251 115	250 619	(NA)	(NA)	-	20 830	-	230 285	496	229 789
MACOUPIN	313 091	312 414	(NA)	(NA)	-	44 336	-	268 755	677	268 078
MARION	215 560	214 229	(NA)	(NA)	-	33 886	-	181 674	1 331	180 343
MARSHALL	151 614	151 206	(NA)	(NA)	-	11 917	-	139 697	408	139 289
MASON	172 743	172 201	(NA)	(NA)	-	14 058	-	158 685	542	158 143
MASSAC	140 433	140 055	(NA)	(NA)	-	11 246	-	129 187	378	128 809
MERCER	180 243	180 081	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 020	-	164 223	162	164 061
MONTGOMERY	296 337	295 225	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 223	-	270 114	1 112	269 002
MORGAN	297 805	296 911	(NA)	(NA)	-	25 271	-	272 534	894	271 640
MOULTRIE	152 065	151 670	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 191	-	142 874	395	142 479
OGLE	597 769	596 924	(NA)	(NA)	-	33 865	-	563 904	845	563 059
PERRY	142 700	142 112	(NA)	(NA)	-	17 196	-	125 504	588	124 916
PIATT	202 859	201 905	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 727	-	189 132	954	188 178
PIKE	161 198	160 519	(NA)	(NA)	-	11 151	-	150 047	679	149 368
POPE	21 841	21 762	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 964	-	19 877	79	19 798
PULASKI	29 473	29 119	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 555	-	24 918	354	24 564
PUTNAM	82 597	82 542	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 408	-	78 189	55	78 134
RANDOLPH	221 416	220 208	(NA)	(NA)	-	22 850	-	198 566	1 208	197 358
RICHLAND	95 871	95 630	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 379	-	93 492	241	93 251
SALINE	133 928	133 586	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 100	-	112 828	342	112 486
SCHUYLER	76 505	76 406	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 302	-	70 203	99	70 104
SCOTT	48 944	48 583	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 160	-	44 784	361	44 423
SHELBY	209 879	208 854	(NA)	(NA)	-	18 603	-	191 276	1 025	190 251
STARK	96 336	96 169	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 549	-	89 787	167	89 620
STEPHENSON	340 829	340 610	(NA)	(NA)	-	37 481	-	303 348	219	303 129
UNION	76 163	75 790	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 336	-	62 827	373	62 454
VERMILION	589 594	586 945	(NA)	(NA)	-	65 635	-	523 959	2 649	521 310
WABASH	91 324	91 058	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 318	-	81 006	266	80 740
WARREN	207 746	207 065	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 472	-	192 274	681	191 593
WASHINGTON	140 920	139 293	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 752	-	125 168	1 627	123 541
WAYNE	139 104	138 643	(NA)	(NA)	-	14 215	-	124 889	461	124 428
WHITE	107 306	106 925	(NA)	(NA)	-	11 939	-	95 367	381	94 986
WHITESIDE	453 487	452 797	(NA)	(NA)	-	48 263	-	405 224	690	404 534
WILLIAMSON	271 208	270 692	(NA)	(NA)	-	36 155	-	235 053	516	234 537

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value [1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
INDIANA [2] [19]										
TOTAL	25 682 040	17 428 306	(NA)	(NA)	6 351 622	949 894	865	24 731 281	1 902 112	22 829 169
SMSA PORTION	17 832 383	12 003 092	(NA)	(NA)	4 613 240	678 048	355	17 153 980	1 216 051	15 937 929
ANDERSON SMSA	525 271	392 242	(NA)	(NA)	111 617	26 137	7	499 127	21 412	477 715
MADISON CO	525 271	392 242	(NA)	(NA)	111 617	26 137	7	499 127	21 412	477 715
ANDERSON	273 174	188 806	(NA)	(NA)	76 343	12 409	2	260 763	8 025	252 738
BLOOMINGTON SMSA	332 990	253 690	(NA)	(NA)	56 049	12 714	12	320 264	23 251	297 013
MONROE CO	332 990	253 690	(NA)	(NA)	56 049	12 714	12	320 264	23 251	297 013
BLOOMINGTON	163 308	130 034	(NA)	(NA)	25 448	5 020	7	158 281	7 826	150 455
ELKHART SMSA	745 010	515 770	(NA)	(NA)	193 054	22 162	6	722 842	36 186	686 656
ELKHART CO	745 010	515 770	(NA)	(NA)	193 054	22 162	6	722 842	36 186	686 656
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA*	1 419 293	846 995	(NA)	(NA)	460 543	50 068	100	1 369 125	111 755	1 257 370
GIBSON CO	191 180	100 017	(NA)	(NA)	51 342	5 464	4	185 712	39 821	145 891
POSEY CO	228 743	123 075	(NA)	(NA)	83 121	4 427	37	224 279	22 547	201 732
VANDERBURGH CO	723 169	501 869	(NA)	(NA)	192 724	32 393	53	690 723	28 576	662 147
EVANSVILLE	512 108	351 929	(NA)	(NA)	140 968	25 248	28	486 832	19 211	467 621
WARRICK CO	276 201	122 034	(NA)	(NA)	133 356	7 784	6	268 411	20 811	247 600
FORT WAYNE SMSA	1 903 018	1 342 605	(NA)	(NA)	459 275	67 935	25	1 835 058	101 138	1 733 920
ADAMS CO	152 090	105 827	(NA)	(NA)	39 269	5 068	-	147 022	6 994	140 028
ALLEN CO	1 451 993	1 022 846	(NA)	(NA)	349 906	52 692	20	1 399 281	79 241	1 320 040
FORT WAYNE	748 713	537 381	(NA)	(NA)	171 605	29 240	13	719 460	39 727	679 733
DE KALB CO	171 314	121 563	(NA)	(NA)	40 995	5 861	5	165 448	8 756	156 692
WELLS CO	127 621	92 369	(NA)	(NA)	29 105	4 314	-	123 307	6 147	117 160
GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO SMSA	2 998 030	1 676 119	(NA)	(NA)	1 087 673	112 593	10	2 885 427	234 238	2 651 189
LAKE CO	2 193 916	1 169 594	(NA)	(NA)	851 920	91 089	5	2 102 822	172 402	1 930 420
GARY	563 453	287 136	(NA)	(NA)	254 272	20 987	-	542 466	62 045	480 421
HAMMOND	308 275	174 407	(NA)	(NA)	100 462	16 962	2	291 311	33 406	257 905
PORTER CO	804 114	506 525	(NA)	(NA)	235 753	21 504	5	782 605	61 836	720 769
INDIANAPOLIS SMSA	5 710 770	4 171 014	(NA)	(NA)	1 209 695	204 625	106	5 506 039	330 061	5 175 978
BOONE CO	187 442	153 258	(NA)	(NA)	25 191	6 674	70	180 698	8 993	171 705
HAMILTON CO	431 027	351 677	(NA)	(NA)	54 045	16 167	2	414 858	25 305	389 553
HANCOCK CO	185 530	149 048	(NA)	(NA)	24 030	8 256	8	177 266	12 452	164 814
HENDRICKS CO	267 674	217 581	(NA)	(NA)	29 796	13 411	-	254 263	20 297	233 966
JOHNSON CO	344 976	276 198	(NA)	(NA)	47 586	14 521	-	330 455	21 192	309 263
MARION CO [3]	3 908 580	2 730 572	(NA)	(NA)	967 547	129 192	14	3 779 374	210 461	3 568 913
INDIANAPOLIS	1 902 817	1 179 139	(NA)	(NA)	606 513	66 733	5	1 836 079	117 165	1 718 914
MORGAN CO	185 080	146 763	(NA)	(NA)	20 306	6 860	6	176 214	18 011	158 203
SHELBY CO	200 461	145 917	(NA)	(NA)	41 194	7 544	6	192 911	13 350	179 561
KOKOMO SMSA	561 514	346 783	(NA)	(NA)	189 350	18 760	5	542 749	25 381	517 368
HOWARD CO	471 039	282 430	(NA)	(NA)	167 358	15 641	2	455 396	21 251	434 145
KOKOMO	322 090	157 022	(NA)	(NA)	154 366	8 300	1	313 789	10 702	303 087
TIPTON CO	90 475	64 353	(NA)	(NA)	21 992	3 119	3	87 353	4 130	83 223
LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE SMSA	592 961	421 874	(NA)	(NA)	144 493	17 457	3	575 501	26 594	548 907
TIPPECANOE CO	592 961	421 874	(NA)	(NA)	144 493	17 457	3	575 501	26 594	548 907
MUNCIE SMSA	459 378	316 659	(NA)	(NA)	118 561	25 193	11	434 174	24 158	410 016
DELAWARE CO	459 378	316 659	(NA)	(NA)	118 561	25 193	11	434 174	24 158	410 016
MUNCIE	245 307	154 397	(NA)	(NA)	79 030	14 576	6	230 725	11 880	218 845
SOUTH BEND SMSA	1 156 129	834 371	(NA)	(NA)	246 316	57 759	10	1 098 360	75 442	1 022 918
MARSHALL CO	207 974	145 970	(NA)	(NA)	44 956	6 629	7	201 338	17 048	184 290
ST. JOSEPH CO	948 155	688 401	(NA)	(NA)	201 360	51 130	3	897 022	58 394	838 628
SOUTH BEND	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TERRE HAUTE SMSA	718 431	387 060	(NA)	(NA)	194 425	28 927	47	689 457	136 946	552 511
CLAY CO	106 966	76 903	(NA)	(NA)	22 827	4 490	28	102 448	7 236	95 212
SULLIVAN CO	139 341	57 807	(NA)	(NA)	21 583	3 363	5	135 973	59 951	76 022
VERMILLION CO	93 782	33 714	(NA)	(NA)	33 986	2 894	6	90 882	26 082	64 800
VIGO CO	378 342	218 636	(NA)	(NA)	116 029	18 180	8	360 154	43 677	316 477
TERRE HAUTE	169 596	93 148	(NA)	(NA)	62 505	10 227	4	159 365	13 943	145 422
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA*	539 541	400 015	(NA)	(NA)	95 050	26 538	9	512 994	44 476	468 518
CLARK CO	308 336	216 297	(NA)	(NA)	66 875	14 637	5	293 694	25 164	268 530
FLOYD CO	231 205	183 718	(NA)	(NA)	28 175	11 901	4	219 300	19 312	199 988
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	170 047	97 895	(NA)	(NA)	47 139	7 180	4	162 863	25 013	137 850
DEARBORN CO	170 047	97 895	(NA)	(NA)	47 139	7 180	4	162 863	25 013	137 850
NON-SMSA PORTION	7 849 657	5 425 214	(NA)	(NA)	1 738 382	271 846	510	7 577 301	686 061	6 891 240
BARTHOLOMEW	369 263	251 063	(NA)	(NA)	102 050	12 233	1	357 029	16 150	340 879
BENTON	90 126	69 349	(NA)	(NA)	16 304	1 531	2	88 593	4 473	84 120
BLACKFORD	63 693	45 222	(NA)	(NA)	15 018	3 094	5	60 594	3 453	57 141
BROWN	51 761	44 683	(NA)	(NA)	2 653	2 081	1	49 679	4 425	45 254
CARROLL	122 658	92 595	(NA)	(NA)	25 297	3 148	7	119 503	4 766	114 737
CASS	206 739	145 383	(NA)	(NA)	47 495	7 166	4	199 569	13 861	185 708
CLINTON	167 748	126 067	(NA)	(NA)	34 517	5 344	3	162 401	7 164	155 237
CRAWFORD	29 147	19 722	(NA)	(NA)	3 659	1 594	2	27 551	5 766	21 785
DAVIESS	107 306	76 718	(NA)	(NA)	22 970	4 743	8	102 555	7 618	94 937
DECATUR	119 662	84 437	(NA)	(NA)	29 111	4 359	35	115 268	6 114	109 154
DUBOIS	180 129	127 942	(NA)	(NA)	40 022	5 875	-	174 254	12 165	162 089
FAYETTE	127 727	82 097	(NA)	(NA)	38 669	5 042	5	122 680	6 961	115 719
FOUNTAIN	92 769	71 435	(NA)	(NA)	16 032	2 973	-	89 796	5 302	84 494
FRANKLIN	65 629	51 178	(NA)	(NA)	9 668	2 551	2	63 076	4 783	58 293
FULTON	112 731	78 466	(NA)	(NA)	23 334	3 386	6	109 339	10 931	98 408
GRANT	301 728	204 664	(NA)	(NA)	82 725	13 291	7	288 430	14 339	274 091

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.**

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value [1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
INDIANA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
GREENE	85 601	53 680	(NA)	(NA)	17 107	4 503	5	81 093	14 814	66 279
HARRISON	92 678	69 258	(NA)	(NA)	13 722	4 159	5	88 514	9 698	78 816
HENRY	210 627	158 057	(NA)	(NA)	42 369	9 641	85	200 901	10 201	190 700
HUNTINGTON	156 975	104 126	(NA)	(NA)	43 229	6 047	5	150 923	9 620	141 303
JACKSON	175 354	120 045	(NA)	(NA)	44 280	6 922	2	168 430	11 029	157 401
JASPER	193 756	112 520	(NA)	(NA)	38 759	3 545	26	190 185	42 477	147 708
JAY	111 544	81 153	(NA)	(NA)	23 322	4 053	33	107 458	7 069	100 389
JEFFERSON	204 007	114 748	(NA)	(NA)	29 578	5 434	42	198 531	59 681	138 850
JENNINGS	56 316	39 950	(NA)	(NA)	11 187	3 559	2	52 755	5 179	47 576
KNOX	156 431	103 018	(NA)	(NA)	36 359	7 093	9	149 329	17 054	132 275
KOSCIUSKO	347 846	243 352	(NA)	(NA)	83 451	8 944	1	338 901	21 043	317 858
LAGRANGE	145 253	103 334	(NA)	(NA)	32 094	3 020	16	142 217	9 825	132 392
LA PORTE	498 192	318 522	(NA)	(NA)	115 049	18 710	3	479 479	64 621	414 858
LAWRENCE	162 191	107 939	(NA)	(NA)	38 912	7 591	7	154 593	15 340	139 253
MARTIN	35 428	24 799	(NA)	(NA)	6 843	1 811	3	33 614	3 786	29 828
MIAMI	135 580	95 457	(NA)	(NA)	29 149	5 774	1	129 805	10 974	118 831
MONTGOMERY	195 503	134 702	(NA)	(NA)	51 887	5 702	-	189 801	8 914	180 887
NEWTON	91 103	67 226	(NA)	(NA)	19 088	2 323	17	88 763	4 789	83 974
NOBLE	154 010	107 614	(NA)	(NA)	35 380	5 544	6	148 460	11 016	137 444
OHIO	13 090	10 598	(NA)	(NA)	1 284	949	-	12 141	1 208	10 933
ORANGE	71 718	51 982	(NA)	(NA)	13 068	3 156	4	68 558	6 668	61 890
OWEN	52 584	40 548	(NA)	(NA)	4 975	2 582	21	49 981	7 061	42 920
PARKE	74 464	58 038	(NA)	(NA)	9 281	2 678	-	71 786	7 145	64 641
PERRY	70 234	55 164	(NA)	(NA)	12 113	3 781	5	66 448	2 957	63 491
PIKE	146 161	52 690	(NA)	(NA)	32 761	1 735	6	144 420	60 710	83 710
PULASKI	92 907	65 940	(NA)	(NA)	19 277	1 972	3	90 932	7 690	83 242
PUTNAM	123 042	85 484	(NA)	(NA)	27 930	4 148	1	118 893	9 628	109 265
RANDOLPH	154 477	112 687	(NA)	(NA)	34 113	4 459	3	150 015	7 677	142 338
RIPLEY	100 937	72 480	(NA)	(NA)	21 244	3 761	28	97 148	7 213	89 935
RUSH	106 641	80 136	(NA)	(NA)	21 141	2 890	4	103 747	5 364	98 383
SCOTT	69 026	51 801	(NA)	(NA)	12 053	3 890	2	65 134	5 172	59 962
SPENCER	128 804	76 463	(NA)	(NA)	38 871	3 022	-	125 782	13 470	112 312
STARKE	90 872	69 164	(NA)	(NA)	11 904	3 130	20	87 722	9 804	77 918
STEUBEN	145 688	113 008	(NA)	(NA)	24 881	3 476	25	142 187	7 799	134 388
SWITZERLAND	28 850	21 487	(NA)	(NA)	2 809	1 277	-	27 573	4 554	23 019
UNION	35 476	26 326	(NA)	(NA)	6 493	868	2	34 606	2 657	31 949
WABASH	162 688	110 028	(NA)	(NA)	43 493	5 740	1	156 947	9 167	147 780
WARREN	64 915	50 311	(NA)	(NA)	11 377	1 158	5	63 752	3 227	60 525
WASHINGTON	65 301	44 328	(NA)	(NA)	13 771	3 105	5	62 191	7 202	54 989
WAYNE	328 552	227 563	(NA)	(NA)	85 588	12 594	6	315 952	15 401	300 551
WHITE	169 318	122 726	(NA)	(NA)	35 254	4 328	10	164 980	11 338	153 642
WHITLEY	136 701	95 741	(NA)	(NA)	33 412	4 361	3	132 337	7 548	124 789
IOWA [20 21 22]										
TOTAL	62 577 898	54 614 026	(NA)	(NA)	2 746 877	(NA)	-	62 577 898	5 216 995	57 360 903
SMSA PORTION	19 344 212	16 717 948	(NA)	(NA)	819 548	(NA)	-	19 344 212	1 806 716	17 537 496
CEDAR RAPIDS SMSA	3 217 692	2 786 775	(NA)	(NA)	134 720	(NA)	-	3 217 692	296 197	2 921 495
LINN CO	3 217 692	2 786 775	(NA)	(NA)	134 720	(NA)	-	3 217 692	296 197	2 921 495
CEDAR RAPIDS	2 154 366	1 893 068	(NA)	(NA)	110 612	(NA)	-	2 154 366	150 686	2 003 680
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*	3 046 571	2 681 523	(NA)	(NA)	132 384	(NA)	-	3 046 571	232 664	2 813 907
SCOTT CO	3 046 571	2 681 523	(NA)	(NA)	132 384	(NA)	-	3 046 571	232 664	2 813 907
DAVENPORT	1 790 032	1 606 592	(NA)	(NA)	64 869	(NA)	-	1 790 032	118 571	1 671 461
DES MOINES SMSA	6 016 777	5 321 648	(NA)	(NA)	265 593	(NA)	-	6 016 777	429 536	5 587 241
POLK CO	5 457 871	4 837 719	(NA)	(NA)	248 293	(NA)	-	5 457 871	371 859	5 086 012
DES MOINES	3 094 231	2 777 282	(NA)	(NA)	187 397	(NA)	-	3 094 231	129 552	2 964 679
WARREN CO	558 906	483 929	(NA)	(NA)	17 300	(NA)	-	558 906	57 677	501 229
DUBUQUE SMSA	1 417 771	1 259 650	(NA)	(NA)	67 547	(NA)	-	1 417 771	90 574	1 327 197
DUBUQUE CO	1 417 771	1 259 650	(NA)	(NA)	67 547	(NA)	-	1 417 771	90 574	1 327 197
DUBUQUE	830 915	739 448	(NA)	(NA)	42 657	(NA)	-	830 915	48 810	782 105
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*	1 846 720	1 397 163	(NA)	(NA)	72 185	(NA)	-	1 846 720	377 372	1 469 348
WOODBURY CO	1 846 720	1 397 163	(NA)	(NA)	72 185	(NA)	-	1 846 720	377 372	1 469 348
SIOUX CITY	1 077 995	927 297	(NA)	(NA)	52 772	(NA)	-	1 077 995	97 926	980 069
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS SMSA	2 443 720	2 175 778	(NA)	(NA)	103 967	(NA)	-	2 443 720	163 975	2 279 745
BLACK HAWK CO	2 443 720	2 175 778	(NA)	(NA)	103 967	(NA)	-	2 443 720	163 975	2 279 745
WATERLOO	1 430 416	1 258 662	(NA)	(NA)	67 204	(NA)	-	1 430 416	104 550	1 325 866
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*	1 354 961	1 095 411	(NA)	(NA)	43 152	(NA)	-	1 354 961	216 398	1 138 563
POTTAWATTAMIE CO	1 354 961	1 095 411	(NA)	(NA)	43 152	(NA)	-	1 354 961	216 398	1 138 563
COUNCIL BLUFFS	663 911	518 248	(NA)	(NA)	20 450	(NA)	-	663 911	125 213	538 698

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
IOWA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION	43 233 686	37 896 078	(NA)	(NA)	1 927 329	(NA)	-	43 233 686	3 410 279	39 823 407
ADAIR	293 672	251 513	(NA)	(NA)	14 150	(NA)	-	293 672	28 009	265 663
ADAMS	184 579	154 226	(NA)	(NA)	7 178	(NA)	-	184 579	23 175	161 404
ALLAMAKEE	348 549	246 515	(NA)	(NA)	16 160	(NA)	-	348 549	85 874	262 675
APPANOOSE	247 576	211 068	(NA)	(NA)	12 424	(NA)	-	247 576	24 084	223 492
AUDUBON	262 047	232 349	(NA)	(NA)	12 985	(NA)	-	262 047	16 713	245 334
BENTON	579 173	517 342	(NA)	(NA)	29 689	(NA)	-	579 173	32 142	547 031
BOONE	610 287	528 823	(NA)	(NA)	20 534	(NA)	-	610 287	60 930	549 357
BREMER	482 549	433 836	(NA)	(NA)	21 122	(NA)	-	482 549	27 591	454 958
BUCHANAN	474 021	426 264	(NA)	(NA)	19 261	(NA)	-	474 021	28 496	445 525
BUENA VISTA	564 067	503 175	(NA)	(NA)	24 812	(NA)	-	564 067	36 080	527 987
BUTLER	477 745	428 140	(NA)	(NA)	18 754	(NA)	-	477 745	30 851	446 894
CALHOUN	481 947	438 564	(NA)	(NA)	18 227	(NA)	-	481 947	25 156	456 791
CARROLL	586 307	530 754	(NA)	(NA)	23 587	(NA)	-	586 307	31 966	554 341
CASS	411 163	356 863	(NA)	(NA)	18 799	(NA)	-	411 163	35 501	375 662
CEDAR	517 442	472 779	(NA)	(NA)	19 834	(NA)	-	517 442	24 829	492 613
CERRO GORDO	1 052 230	938 230	(NA)	(NA)	49 067	(NA)	-	1 052 230	64 933	987 297
CHEROKEE	417 065	366 303	(NA)	(NA)	21 029	(NA)	-	417 065	29 733	387 332
CHICKASAW	406 496	365 127	(NA)	(NA)	18 491	(NA)	-	406 496	22 878	383 618
CLARKE	205 258	174 822	(NA)	(NA)	6 665	(NA)	-	205 258	23 771	181 487
CLAY	609 374	538 544	(NA)	(NA)	29 446	(NA)	-	609 374	41 384	567 990
CLAYTON	404 111	348 177	(NA)	(NA)	17 921	(NA)	-	404 111	38 013	366 098
CLINTON	1 105 568	964 899	(NA)	(NA)	49 718	(NA)	-	1 105 568	90 951	1 014 617
CRAWFORD	475 877	424 024	(NA)	(NA)	22 945	(NA)	-	475 877	28 908	446 969
DALLAS	695 371	576 606	(NA)	(NA)	24 314	(NA)	-	695 371	94 451	600 920
DAVIS	196 070	174 739	(NA)	(NA)	8 055	(NA)	-	196 070	13 276	182 794
DECATUR	193 141	163 152	(NA)	(NA)	7 558	(NA)	-	193 141	22 431	170 710
DELAWARE	399 103	354 473	(NA)	(NA)	18 162	(NA)	-	399 103	26 468	372 635
DES MOINES	776 277	668 142	(NA)	(NA)	44 877	(NA)	-	776 277	63 258	713 019
DICKINSON	502 840	458 811	(NA)	(NA)	14 276	(NA)	-	502 840	29 753	473 087
EMMET	344 954	310 955	(NA)	(NA)	19 201	(NA)	-	344 954	14 798	330 156
FAYETTE	557 780	486 150	(NA)	(NA)	33 319	(NA)	-	557 780	38 311	519 469
FLOYD	482 968	421 446	(NA)	(NA)	26 252	(NA)	-	482 968	35 270	447 698
FRANKLIN	493 872	427 385	(NA)	(NA)	22 105	(NA)	-	493 872	44 382	449 490
FREMONT	323 877	286 207	(NA)	(NA)	11 466	(NA)	-	323 877	26 204	297 673
GREENE	450 934	412 864	(NA)	(NA)	18 150	(NA)	-	450 934	19 920	431 014
GRUNDY	480 983	432 436	(NA)	(NA)	24 652	(NA)	-	480 983	23 895	457 088
GUTHRIE	326 547	287 006	(NA)	(NA)	10 410	(NA)	-	326 547	29 131	297 416
HAMILTON	597 975	526 748	(NA)	(NA)	29 042	(NA)	-	597 975	42 185	555 790
HANCOCK	535 828	456 251	(NA)	(NA)	23 959	(NA)	-	535 828	55 618	480 210
HARDIN	599 050	528 750	(NA)	(NA)	26 792	(NA)	-	599 050	43 508	555 542
HARRISON	394 089	347 452	(NA)	(NA)	16 718	(NA)	-	394 089	29 919	364 170
HENRY	360 599	324 678	(NA)	(NA)	18 253	(NA)	-	360 599	17 668	342 931
HOWARD	282 860	258 163	(NA)	(NA)	13 404	(NA)	-	282 860	11 293	271 567
HUMBOLDT	406 865	340 064	(NA)	(NA)	20 694	(NA)	-	406 865	46 107	360 758
IDA	264 724	232 566	(NA)	(NA)	11 827	(NA)	-	264 724	20 331	244 393
IOWA	410 808	364 424	(NA)	(NA)	22 675	(NA)	-	410 808	23 709	387 099
JACKSON	366 876	327 724	(NA)	(NA)	13 627	(NA)	-	366 876	25 525	341 351
JASPER	772 585	677 346	(NA)	(NA)	46 084	(NA)	-	772 585	49 155	723 430
JEFFERSON	316 419	275 747	(NA)	(NA)	16 743	(NA)	-	316 419	23 929	292 490
JOHNSON	1 369 362	1 202 722	(NA)	(NA)	56 288	(NA)	-	1 369 362	110 352	1 259 010
JONES	398 333	347 503	(NA)	(NA)	17 833	(NA)	-	398 333	32 997	365 336
KEOKUK	332 110	289 455	(NA)	(NA)	14 973	(NA)	-	332 110	27 682	304 428
KOSSUTH	822 637	735 589	(NA)	(NA)	38 602	(NA)	-	822 637	48 446	774 191
LEE	854 696	743 756	(NA)	(NA)	38 507	(NA)	-	854 696	72 433	782 263
LOUISA	346 576	222 303	(NA)	(NA)	10 857	(NA)	-	346 576	113 416	233 160
LUCAS	202 872	171 294	(NA)	(NA)	15 731	(NA)	-	202 872	15 847	187 025
LYON	371 332	333 019	(NA)	(NA)	15 284	(NA)	-	371 332	23 029	348 303
MADISON	316 253	259 714	(NA)	(NA)	11 492	(NA)	-	316 253	45 047	271 206
MAHASKA	510 399	438 709	(NA)	(NA)	21 329	(NA)	-	510 399	50 361	460 038
MARION	497 138	440 580	(NA)	(NA)	21 336	(NA)	-	497 138	35 222	461 916
MARSHALL	871 012	737 468	(NA)	(NA)	44 761	(NA)	-	871 012	88 783	782 229
MILLS	334 302	266 181	(NA)	(NA)	12 444	(NA)	-	334 302	55 677	278 625
MITCHELL	364 684	329 279	(NA)	(NA)	15 700	(NA)	-	364 684	19 705	344 979
MONONA	368 807	321 595	(NA)	(NA)	13 054	(NA)	-	368 807	34 158	334 649
MONROE	171 089	149 007	(NA)	(NA)	6 168	(NA)	-	171 089	15 914	155 175
MONTGOMERY	353 373	304 337	(NA)	(NA)	14 240	(NA)	-	353 373	34 796	318 577
MUSCATINE	860 231	765 218	(NA)	(NA)	35 687	(NA)	-	860 231	59 326	800 905
O'BRIEN	489 675	442 802	(NA)	(NA)	18 986	(NA)	-	489 675	27 887	461 788
OSCEOLA	295 435	269 660	(NA)	(NA)	12 936	(NA)	-	295 435	12 839	282 596
PAGE	408 333	361 435	(NA)	(NA)	16 639	(NA)	-	408 333	30 259	378 074

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
IOWA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
PALO ALTO	404 262	371 669	(NA)	(NA)	13 823	(NA)	-	404 262	18 770	385 492
PLYMOUTH	600 974	516 999	(NA)	(NA)	26 853	(NA)	-	600 974	57 122	543 852
POCAHONTAS	463 885	411 767	(NA)	(NA)	23 438	(NA)	-	463 885	28 680	435 205
POWESHIEK	456 209	402 920	(NA)	(NA)	22 594	(NA)	-	456 209	30 695	425 514
RINGGOLD	188 792	167 178	(NA)	(NA)	6 384	(NA)	-	188 792	15 230	173 562
SAC	436 565	390 804	(NA)	(NA)	20 805	(NA)	-	436 565	24 956	411 609
SHELBY	381 529	342 022	(NA)	(NA)	18 421	(NA)	-	381 529	21 086	360 443
SIOUX	688 439	605 707	(NA)	(NA)	31 844	(NA)	-	688 439	50 888	637 551
STORY	1 191 469	1 103 726	(NA)	(NA)	40 872	(NA)	-	1 191 469	46 871	1 144 598
TAMA	552 768	502 383	(NA)	(NA)	22 927	(NA)	-	552 768	27 458	525 310
TAYLOR	221 001	196 340	(NA)	(NA)	8 544	(NA)	-	221 001	16 117	204 884
UNION	275 621	228 385	(NA)	(NA)	10 718	(NA)	-	275 621	36 518	239 103
VAN BUREN	168 351	149 678	(NA)	(NA)	6 859	(NA)	-	168 351	11 814	156 537
WAPELLO	578 970	448 641	(NA)	(NA)	28 254	(NA)	-	578 970	102 075	476 895
WASHINGTON	504 626	446 601	(NA)	(NA)	23 601	(NA)	-	504 626	34 424	470 202
WAYNE	214 911	185 165	(NA)	(NA)	8 053	(NA)	-	214 911	21 693	193 218
WEBSTER	998 499	867 420	(NA)	(NA)	43 885	(NA)	-	998 499	87 194	911 305
WINNEBAGO	368 098	339 267	(NA)	(NA)	18 904	(NA)	-	368 098	9 927	358 171
WINNESHIEK	401 186	350 361	(NA)	(NA)	22 947	(NA)	-	401 186	27 878	373 308
WORTH	305 151	265 094	(NA)	(NA)	11 069	(NA)	-	305 151	28 988	276 163
WRIGHT	563 233	499 703	(NA)	(NA)	28 274	(NA)	-	563 233	35 256	527 977
KANSAS [22] [23]										
TOTAL	10 701 496	5 039 309	1 968 537	3 070 772	3 909 659	(NA)	(NA)	10 701 496	1 752 528	8 948 968
SMSA PORTION	3 343 810	2 145 471	486 235	1 659 236	804 265	(NA)	(NA)	3 343 810	394 074	2 949 736
LAWRENCE SMSA	191 721	119 126	25 743	93 383	33 607	(NA)	(NA)	191 721	38 988	152 733
DOUGLAS CO	191 721	119 126	25 743	93 383	33 607	(NA)	(NA)	191 721	38 988	152 733
LAWRENCE	117 973	83 333	14 535	68 797	23 998	(NA)	(NA)	117 973	10 642	107 331
TOPEKA SMSA	522 381	343 700	92 997	250 703	98 169	(NA)	(NA)	522 381	80 512	441 869
JEFFERSON CO	42 196	25 141	10 544	14 597	9 510	(NA)	(NA)	42 196	7 545	34 651
OSAGE CO	49 922	29 991	15 206	14 785	10 160	(NA)	(NA)	49 922	9 771	40 151
SHAWNEE CO	430 263	288 568	67 247	221 321	78 499	(NA)	(NA)	430 263	63 196	367 067
TOPEKA	304 580	211 584	46 104	211 584	56 100	(NA)	(NA)	304 580	36 896	267 684
WICHITA SMSA	1 430 622	799 266	175 400	623 866	473 275	(NA)	(NA)	1 430 622	138 081	1 272 541
BUTLER CO	201 979	84 400	33 047	51 353	85 464	(NA)	(NA)	201 979	32 115	169 864
SEDGWICK CO	1 228 643	714 866	142 353	572 513	387 811	(NA)	(NA)	1 228 643	125 966	1 102 677
WICHITA	859 453	543 825	97 552	446 273	237 443	(NA)	(NA)	859 453	78 185	781 268
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS. SMSA*	1 199 086	883 379	192 095	691 284	199 214	(NA)	(NA)	1 199 086	116 493	1 082 593
JOHNSON CO	826 747	647 958	135 844	512 114	100 656	(NA)	(NA)	826 747	78 133	748 614
OVERLAND PARK	260 124	209 299	42 021	167 278	28 565	(NA)	(NA)	260 124	22 260	237 864
WYANDOTTE CO	372 339	235 421	56 251	179 170	98 558	(NA)	(NA)	372 339	38 360	333 979
KANSAS CITY	347 795	220 784	52 009	168 775	92 363	(NA)	(NA)	347 795	34 648	313 147
NON-SMSA PORTION	7 191 356	2 893 838	1 482 302	1 411 536	2 939 064	(NA)	(NA)	7 191 356	1 358 454	5 832 902
ALLEN	57 380	27 698	10 681	17 017	18 912	(NA)	(NA)	57 380	10 770	46 610
ANDERSON	42 528	20 331	11 565	8 766	12 491	(NA)	(NA)	42 528	9 706	32 822
ATCHISON	49 279	27 862	8 014	19 848	13 471	(NA)	(NA)	49 279	7 946	41 333
BARBER	66 588	18 846	13 642	5 204	38 413	(NA)	(NA)	66 588	9 329	57 259
BARTON	214 442	67 954	26 796	41 158	122 676	(NA)	(NA)	214 442	23 812	190 630
BOURBON	48 077	28 496	11 455	17 041	13 100	(NA)	(NA)	48 077	6 481	41 596
BROWN	50 221	27 906	15 894	12 012	12 730	(NA)	(NA)	50 221	9 585	40 636
CHASE	27 913	15 154	12 396	2 758	4 361	(NA)	(NA)	27 913	8 398	19 515
CHAUTAUQUA	26 700	8 692	6 307	2 385	13 453	(NA)	(NA)	26 700	4 555	22 145
CHEROKEE	59 570	33 905	14 278	19 227	11 653	(NA)	(NA)	59 570	14 412	45 158
CHEYENNE	29 074	15 471	11 510	3 961	10 173	(NA)	(NA)	29 074	3 430	25 644
CLARK	54 170	16 292	10 987	3 305	30 382	(NA)	(NA)	54 170	9 496	44 674
CLAY	43 959	25 287	13 035	12 252	11 737	(NA)	(NA)	43 959	6 935	37 024
CLOUD	54 846	30 417	16 455	13 962	12 538	(NA)	(NA)	54 846	11 891	42 955
COFFEY	177 804	16 226	8 696	7 530	14 605	(NA)	(NA)	177 804	146 973	30 831
COMANCHE	39 046	11 770	9 049	2 721	24 113	(NA)	(NA)	39 046	3 163	35 883
COWLEY	149 042	66 717	23 163	43 554	64 219	(NA)	(NA)	149 042	18 106	130 936
CRAWFORD	76 489	44 297	15 448	28 849	18 481	(NA)	(NA)	76 489	13 711	62 778
DECATUR	36 040	16 354	11 730	4 624	16 121	(NA)	(NA)	36 040	3 565	32 475
DICKINSON	75 839	44 439	20 496	23 943	17 106	(NA)	(NA)	75 839	14 294	61 545
DONIPHAN	31 147	15 622	8 206	7 416	12 302	(NA)	(NA)	31 147	3 223	27 924
EDWARDS	55 816	15 793	11 443	4 350	32 207	(NA)	(NA)	55 816	7 816	48 000
ELK	23 833	11 939	9 019	2 920	8 059	(NA)	(NA)	23 833	3 835	19 998
ELLIS	169 790	44 936	16 482	28 454	111 837	(NA)	(NA)	169 790	13 017	156 773
ELLSWORTH	63 921	28 212	12 331	15 881	25 043	(NA)	(NA)	63 921	10 666	53 255
FINNEY	224 634	86 239	33 750	52 489	98 155	(NA)	(NA)	224 634	40 240	184 394
FORD	119 537	62 985	27 739	34 946	30 067	(NA)	(NA)	119 537	26 785	92 752
FRANKLIN	64 471	35 148	13 421	21 727	15 936	(NA)	(NA)	64 471	13 387	51 084
GEARY	57 107	37 273	10 853	26 420	11 861	(NA)	(NA)	57 107	7 973	49 134

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
KANSAS--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
GOVE	42 244	16 620	13 204	3 416	21 816	(NA)	(NA)	42 244	3 808	38 436
GRAHAM	57 601	12 431	8 712	3 719	40 310	(NA)	(NA)	57 601	4 860	52 741
GRANT	168 010	26 174	12 700	13 474	119 929	(NA)	(NA)	168 010	21 907	146 103
GRAY	55 061	28 479	20 194	8 285	20 327	(NA)	(NA)	55 061	6 255	48 806
GREELEY	39 107	17 721	14 437	3 284	17 068	(NA)	(NA)	39 107	4 318	34 789
GREENWOOD	53 782	19 808	13 765	6 043	24 966	(NA)	(NA)	53 782	9 008	44 774
HAMILTON	42 456	15 045	11 963	3 082	21 588	(NA)	(NA)	42 456	5 823	36 633
HARPER	68 735	28 370	19 881	8 489	30 671	(NA)	(NA)	68 735	9 694	59 041
HARVEY	114 606	61 118	22 097	39 021	37 677	(NA)	(NA)	114 606	15 811	98 795
HASKELL	92 574	22 721	14 013	8 708	60 963	(NA)	(NA)	92 574	8 890	83 684
HODGEMAN	38 524	16 994	14 446	2 548	18 577	(NA)	(NA)	38 524	2 953	35 571
JACKSON	31 901	18 254	8 949	9 305	8 434	(NA)	(NA)	31 901	5 213	26 688
JEWELL	33 777	18 882	15 124	3 758	11 065	(NA)	(NA)	33 777	3 830	29 947
KEARNY	148 832	16 929	12 961	3 968	116 066	(NA)	(NA)	148 832	15 837	132 995
KINGMAN	101 639	28 190	20 331	7 859	58 726	(NA)	(NA)	101 639	14 723	86 916
KIOWA	69 264	16 637	11 535	5 102	38 590	(NA)	(NA)	69 264	14 037	55 227
LABETTE	68 180	37 857	15 377	22 480	15 401	(NA)	(NA)	68 180	14 922	53 258
LANE	33 146	14 183	10 212	3 971	16 101	(NA)	(NA)	33 146	2 862	30 284
LEAVENWORTH	100 052	64 972	11 227	53 745	19 403	(NA)	(NA)	100 052	15 677	84 375
LINCOLN	32 503	18 530	15 032	3 498	8 237	(NA)	(NA)	32 503	5 736	26 767
LINN	116 343	16 948	9 968	6 980	11 683	(NA)	(NA)	116 343	87 712	28 631
LOGAN	30 844	16 689	12 555	4 134	10 885	(NA)	(NA)	30 844	3 270	27 574
LYON	109 868	66 154	23 971	42 183	26 946	(NA)	(NA)	109 868	16 768	93 100
MCPHERSON	156 910	64 309	30 113	34 196	72 002	(NA)	(NA)	156 910	20 599	136 311
MARION	65 443	35 708	22 707	12 997	20 835	(NA)	(NA)	65 443	8 904	56 539
MARSHALL	55 321	31 749	19 082	12 667	14 557	(NA)	(NA)	55 321	9 015	46 306
MEADE	63 970	23 220	16 926	6 294	29 841	(NA)	(NA)	63 970	10 909	53 061
MIAMI	70 130	38 037	13 413	24 624	15 416	(NA)	(NA)	70 130	16 677	53 453
MITCHELL	41 243	24 963	15 629	9 334	12 497	(NA)	(NA)	41 243	3 783	37 460
MONTGOMERY	112 594	57 213	15 519	41 694	32 757	(NA)	(NA)	112 594	22 624	89 970
MORRIS	35 202	17 542	12 408	5 134	11 282	(NA)	(NA)	35 202	6 378	28 824
MORTON	109 869	12 840	8 906	3 934	81 749	(NA)	(NA)	109 869	15 280	94 589
NEMAHA	47 453	28 114	16 502	11 612	13 330	(NA)	(NA)	47 453	6 009	41 444
NEOSHO	61 955	33 846	12 644	21 202	19 648	(NA)	(NA)	61 955	8 461	53 494
NESS	72 793	23 280	17 476	5 804	43 778	(NA)	(NA)	72 793	5 735	67 058
NORTON	32 991	15 772	9 666	6 106	13 286	(NA)	(NA)	32 991	3 933	29 058
OSBORNE	39 813	21 507	15 224	6 283	14 157	(NA)	(NA)	39 813	4 149	35 664
OTTAWA	40 323	24 382	17 594	6 788	7 000	(NA)	(NA)	40 323	8 941	31 382
PAWNEE	60 712	27 211	18 067	9 144	24 667	(NA)	(NA)	60 712	8 834	51 878
PHILLIPS	56 176	20 840	12 597	8 243	30 553	(NA)	(NA)	56 176	4 783	51 393
POTTAWATOMIE	194 458	29 797	16 029	13 768	13 892	(NA)	(NA)	194 458	150 769	43 689
PRATT	84 463	32 217	19 534	12 683	33 635	(NA)	(NA)	84 463	18 611	65 852
RAWLINS	36 620	16 449	13 476	2 973	15 438	(NA)	(NA)	36 620	4 733	31 887
RENO	240 870	134 380	39 894	94 486	64 168	(NA)	(NA)	240 870	42 322	198 548
REPUBLIC	42 819	26 409	18 547	7 862	11 335	(NA)	(NA)	42 819	5 075	37 744
RICE	100 337	35 986	21 552	14 434	39 817	(NA)	(NA)	100 337	24 534	75 803
RILEY	111 022	80 354	17 570	62 784	18 717	(NA)	(NA)	111 022	11 951	99 071
ROOKS	75 220	16 125	9 832	6 293	53 208	(NA)	(NA)	75 220	5 887	69 333
RUSH	43 550	21 422	16 131	5 291	17 107	(NA)	(NA)	43 550	5 021	38 529
RUSSELL	86 375	19 984	11 837	8 147	59 176	(NA)	(NA)	86 375	7 215	79 160
SALINE	153 700	89 114	25 595	63 519	44 817	(NA)	(NA)	153 700	19 769	133 931
SCOTT	40 150	19 658	12 228	7 430	12 874	(NA)	(NA)	40 150	7 618	32 532
SEWARD	121 297	39 997	15 032	24 965	58 268	(NA)	(NA)	121 297	23 032	98 265
SHERIDAN	31 047	13 610	10 909	2 701	13 936	(NA)	(NA)	31 047	3 501	27 546
SHERMAN	45 782	28 481	16 732	11 749	13 482	(NA)	(NA)	45 782	3 819	41 963
SMITH	33 558	20 013	14 305	5 708	10 598	(NA)	(NA)	33 558	2 947	30 611
STAFFORD	75 629	19 341	13 804	5 537	45 484	(NA)	(NA)	75 629	10 804	64 825
STANTON	63 390	17 899	13 712	4 187	41 968	(NA)	(NA)	63 390	3 523	59 867
STEVENS	213 558	22 179	15 537	6 642	170 275	(NA)	(NA)	213 558	21 104	192 454
SUMNER	103 864	50 493	31 586	18 907	38 682	(NA)	(NA)	103 864	14 689	89 175
THOMAS	59 292	30 618	20 122	10 496	19 791	(NA)	(NA)	59 292	8 883	50 409
TREGO	48 862	12 322	8 411	3 911	31 811	(NA)	(NA)	48 862	4 729	44 133
WABAUNSEE	33 254	18 739	12 985	5 754	9 050	(NA)	(NA)	33 254	5 465	27 789
WALLACE	24 117	13 852	11 112	2 740	7 384	(NA)	(NA)	24 117	2 881	21 236
WASHINGTON	51 818	26 576	19 198	7 378	13 165	(NA)	(NA)	51 818	12 077	39 741
WICHITA	36 211	21 443	14 660	6 783	11 641	(NA)	(NA)	36 211	3 127	33 084
WILSON	46 732	23 781	11 579	12 202	15 050	(NA)	(NA)	46 732	7 901	38 831
WOODSON	32 151	12 803	8 425	4 378	15 309	(NA)	(NA)	32 151	4 039	28 112

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
KENTUCKY										
TOTAL	58 406 273	42 824 493	(NA)	(NA)	10 523 081	2 432 493	-	55 973 780	5 058 699	50 915 081
SMSA PORTION	30 822 501	23 600 571	(NA)	(NA)	5 008 633	948 960	-	29 873 541	2 213 297	27 660 244
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA*	840 836	652 225	(NA)	(NA)	134 072	25 135	-	815 701	54 539	761 162
HENDERSON CO	840 836	652 225	(NA)	(NA)	134 072	25 135	-	815 701	54 539	761 162
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE SMSA	7 253 978	5 729 277	(NA)	(NA)	1 026 506	159 588	-	7 094 390	498 195	6 596 195
BOURBON CO	360 295	292 307	(NA)	(NA)	51 374	14 166	-	346 129	16 614	329 515
CLARK CO	561 707	420 660	(NA)	(NA)	72 750	17 229	-	544 478	68 297	476 181
FAYETTE CO[3]	5 063 190	4 082 343	(NA)	(NA)	758 916	91 136	-	4 972 054	261 931	4 710 123
JESSAMINE CO	431 631	329 679	(NA)	(NA)	60 819	13 264	-	418 367	41 133	377 234
SCOTT CO	313 313	248 039	(NA)	(NA)	38 524	13 228	-	300 085	26 750	273 335
WOODFORD CO	523 842	396 249	(NA)	(NA)	44 123	10 565	-	513 277	83 470	429 807
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA*	14 587 861	11 011 139	(NA)	(NA)	2 544 841	448 401	-	14 139 460	1 031 881	13 107 579
BULLITT CO	606 397	438 031	(NA)	(NA)	65 248	14 446	-	591 951	103 118	488 833
JEFFERSON CO	13 418 032	10 102 206	(NA)	(NA)	2 422 700	424 602	-	12 993 430	893 126	12 100 304
LOUISVILLE	5 058 870	3 527 832	(NA)	(NA)	1 099 365	239 106	-	4 819 764	431 673	4 388 091
OLDHAM CO	563 832	470 902	(NA)	(NA)	56 893	9 353	-	554 079	35 637	518 442
OWENSBORO SMSA	1 569 342	1 147 102	(NA)	(NA)	268 705	54 211	-	1 515 131	153 535	1 361 596
DAVIESS CO	1 569 342	1 147 102	(NA)	(NA)	268 705	54 211	-	1 515 131	153 535	1 361 596
OWENSBORO	544 224	388 787	(NA)	(NA)	109 829	32 425	-	511 799	45 608	466 191
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	4 154 594	3 273 945	(NA)	(NA)	592 666	161 832	-	3 992 762	287 983	3 704 779
BOONE CO	1 164 334	804 783	(NA)	(NA)	251 335	19 048	-	1 145 286	108 216	1 037 070
CAMPBELL CO	1 078 071	902 078	(NA)	(NA)	108 722	57 997	-	1 020 074	67 271	952 803
KENTON CO	1 912 189	1 567 084	(NA)	(NA)	232 609	84 787	-	1 827 402	112 496	1 714 906
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*	677 509	497 083	(NA)	(NA)	140 502	30 842	-	646 667	39 924	606 743
CHRISTIAN CO	677 509	497 083	(NA)	(NA)	140 502	30 842	-	646 667	39 924	606 743
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W. VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*	1 738 381	1 289 800	(NA)	(NA)	301 341	68 951	-	1 669 430	147 240	1 522 190
BOYD CO	1 073 230	790 077	(NA)	(NA)	195 077	44 905	-	1 028 325	88 076	940 249
GREENUP CO	665 151	499 723	(NA)	(NA)	106 264	24 046	-	641 105	59 164	581 941
NON-SMSA PORTION	27 583 772	19 223 922	(NA)	(NA)	5 514 448	1 483 533	-	26 100 239	2 845 402	23 254 837
ADAIR	197 420	148 526	(NA)	(NA)	26 447	15 910	-	181 510	22 447	159 063
ALLEN	174 379	125 073	(NA)	(NA)	35 266	13 533	-	160 846	14 040	146 806
ANDERSON	259 900	170 846	(NA)	(NA)	49 028	11 217	-	248 683	40 026	208 657
BALLARD	122 921	83 899	(NA)	(NA)	24 335	10 057	-	112 864	14 687	98 177
BARREN	503 927	383 112	(NA)	(NA)	79 762	28 846	-	475 081	41 053	434 028
BATH	124 650	84 693	(NA)	(NA)	15 071	8 117	-	116 533	24 886	91 647
BELL	393 958	217 227	(NA)	(NA)	140 883	23 530	-	370 428	35 848	334 580
BOYLE	464 651	348 501	(NA)	(NA)	79 786	19 818	-	444 833	36 364	408 469
BRACKEN	108 056	78 778	(NA)	(NA)	12 780	7 283	-	100 773	16 498	84 275
BREATHITT	207 093	99 358	(NA)	(NA)	92 982	12 998	-	194 095	14 753	179 342
BRECKINRIDGE	256 810	192 586	(NA)	(NA)	34 350	13 554	-	243 256	29 874	213 382
BUTLER	142 812	99 194	(NA)	(NA)	33 781	8 874	-	133 938	9 837	124 101
CALDWELL	198 513	133 878	(NA)	(NA)	46 589	15 094	-	183 419	18 046	165 373
CALLOWAY	447 288	350 396	(NA)	(NA)	72 432	29 653	-	417 635	24 460	393 175
CARLISLE	80 737	59 344	(NA)	(NA)	12 656	7 211	-	73 526	8 737	64 789
CARROLL	211 092	108 317	(NA)	(NA)	25 374	7 292	-	203 800	77 401	126 399
CARTER	246 252	171 911	(NA)	(NA)	45 216	18 028	-	228 224	29 125	199 099
CASEY	185 542	133 182	(NA)	(NA)	26 481	14 191	-	171 351	25 879	145 472
CLAY	134 491	73 318	(NA)	(NA)	44 717	8 364	-	126 127	16 456	109 671
CLINTON	82 430	63 956	(NA)	(NA)	14 453	9 479	-	72 951	4 021	68 930
CRITTENDEN	146 431	110 856	(NA)	(NA)	26 466	10 196	-	136 235	9 109	127 126
CUMBERLAND	76 837	59 438	(NA)	(NA)	12 193	6 549	-	70 288	5 206	65 082
EDMONSON	84 052	61 087	(NA)	(NA)	16 278	7 096	-	76 956	6 687	70 269
ELLIOTT	51 307	33 896	(NA)	(NA)	11 990	6 850	-	44 457	5 421	39 036
ESTILL	150 671	105 246	(NA)	(NA)	32 131	12 013	-	138 658	13 294	125 364
FLEMING	207 357	164 276	(NA)	(NA)	29 256	11 852	-	195 505	13 825	181 680
FLOYD	391 932	204 232	(NA)	(NA)	117 739	19 379	-	372 553	69 961	302 592
FRANKLIN	912 469	681 804	(NA)	(NA)	101 000	28 193	-	884 276	129 665	754 611
FULTON	129 271	96 803	(NA)	(NA)	24 233	11 123	-	118 148	8 235	109 913
GALLATIN	82 950	60 486	(NA)	(NA)	12 943	3 869	-	79 081	9 521	69 560
GARRARD	200 256	159 791	(NA)	(NA)	19 980	11 302	-	188 954	20 485	168 469
GRANT	215 197	139 242	(NA)	(NA)	31 320	11 187	-	204 010	44 635	159 375
GRAVES	501 335	373 029	(NA)	(NA)	96 236	35 519	-	465 816	32 070	433 746
GRAYSON	266 699	208 949	(NA)	(NA)	40 467	18 705	-	247 994	17 283	230 711
GREEN	139 223	102 425	(NA)	(NA)	17 371	11 754	-	127 469	19 427	108 042
HANCOCK	162 741	107 616	(NA)	(NA)	26 994	5 770	-	156 971	28 131	128 840
HARDIN	985 160	768 714	(NA)	(NA)	153 261	33 817	-	951 343	63 185	888 158
HARLAN	407 101	227 717	(NA)	(NA)	146 409	30 710	-	376 391	32 975	343 416
HARRISON	269 540	223 472	(NA)	(NA)	29 510	14 031	-	255 509	16 558	238 951
HART	185 613	135 562	(NA)	(NA)	25 192	15 154	-	170 459	24 859	145 600
HENRY	214 695	172 715	(NA)	(NA)	26 970	11 692	-	203 003	15 010	187 993
HICKMAN	99 734	75 320	(NA)	(NA)	15 537	6 377	-	93 357	8 877	84 480
HOPKINS	859 598	599 648	(NA)	(NA)	174 842	38 301	-	821 297	85 108	736 189

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
KENTUCKY--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
JACKSON	85 770	59 436	(NA)	(NA)	16 477	8 220	-	77 550	9 457	68 093
JOHNSON	288 979	182 972	(NA)	(NA)	71 647	17 315	-	271 664	34 360	237 304
KNOTT	150 248	67 519	(NA)	(NA)	56 714	12 551	-	137 697	26 015	111 682
KNOX	248 251	178 156	(NA)	(NA)	49 737	19 328	-	228 927	20 358	208 569
LARUE	171 175	136 981	(NA)	(NA)	22 033	10 782	-	160 393	12 161	148 232
LAUREL	483 562	333 192	(NA)	(NA)	118 473	22 876	-	460 686	31 897	428 789
LAWRENCE	162 113	82 212	(NA)	(NA)	31 271	9 258	-	152 855	48 630	104 225
LEE	74 353	48 559	(NA)	(NA)	11 727	8 450	-	65 903	14 067	51 836
LESLIE	142 929	61 744	(NA)	(NA)	65 860	7 644	-	135 285	15 325	119 960
LETCHER	239 966	128 081	(NA)	(NA)	86 923	19 644	-	220 322	24 962	195 360
LEWIS	138 912	93 747	(NA)	(NA)	18 731	10 126	-	128 786	26 434	102 352
LINCOLN	254 726	186 293	(NA)	(NA)	29 753	15 187	-	239 539	38 680	200 859
LIVINGSTON	148 052	103 496	(NA)	(NA)	29 522	7 806	-	140 246	15 034	125 212
LOGAN	360 542	292 983	(NA)	(NA)	49 264	23 947	-	336 595	18 295	318 300
LYON	114 449	87 872	(NA)	(NA)	14 666	6 316	-	108 133	11 911	96 222
MCCRACKEN	960 090	650 992	(NA)	(NA)	240 366	40 853	-	919 237	68 732	850 505
MCCREARY	92 157	53 567	(NA)	(NA)	23 026	10 097	-	82 060	15 564	66 496
MCLEAN	202 513	160 396	(NA)	(NA)	26 096	9 376	-	193 137	16 021	177 116
MADISON	683 905	530 206	(NA)	(NA)	103 879	29 806	-	654 099	49 820	604 279
MAGOFFIN	92 899	51 302	(NA)	(NA)	32 296	6 964	-	85 935	9 301	76 634
MARION	240 429	193 351	(NA)	(NA)	26 993	12 853	-	227 576	20 085	207 491
MARSHALL	454 521	349 662	(NA)	(NA)	80 332	25 180	-	429 341	24 527	404 814
MARTIN	218 640	92 123	(NA)	(NA)	103 001	5 545	-	213 095	23 516	189 579
MASON	342 220	236 451	(NA)	(NA)	56 772	13 878	-	328 342	48 997	279 345
MEADE	229 556	165 278	(NA)	(NA)	42 722	9 670	-	219 886	21 556	198 330
MENIFEE	47 148	34 911	(NA)	(NA)	7 234	3 620	-	43 528	5 003	38 525
MERCER	321 943	248 796	(NA)	(NA)	36 840	17 753	-	304 190	36 307	267 883
METCALFE	112 493	79 796	(NA)	(NA)	10 926	9 035	-	103 458	21 771	81 687
MONROE	143 463	102 582	(NA)	(NA)	22 027	12 496	-	130 967	18 854	112 113
MONTGOMERY	291 163	216 382	(NA)	(NA)	51 478	12 179	-	278 984	23 303	255 681
MORGAN	117 777	84 686	(NA)	(NA)	22 669	8 236	-	109 541	10 422	99 119
MUHLENBERG	582 239	335 258	(NA)	(NA)	182 818	25 502	-	556 737	64 163	492 574
NELSON	543 039	313 566	(NA)	(NA)	56 083	16 657	-	526 382	173 390	352 992
NICHOLAS	101 507	81 486	(NA)	(NA)	12 624	6 726	-	94 781	7 397	87 384
OHIO	430 797	309 610	(NA)	(NA)	93 976	21 162	-	409 635	27 211	382 424
OWEN	143 665	111 619	(NA)	(NA)	16 273	8 808	-	134 857	15 773	119 084
OWSLEY	40 907	29 894	(NA)	(NA)	7 046	4 460	-	36 447	3 967	32 480
PENDLETON	142 684	107 879	(NA)	(NA)	17 758	9 356	-	133 328	17 047	116 281
PERRY	330 035	163 104	(NA)	(NA)	128 887	16 064	-	313 971	38 044	275 927
PIKE	977 578	494 685	(NA)	(NA)	374 886	33 620	-	943 958	107 807	836 151
POWELL	110 981	69 539	(NA)	(NA)	19 622	7 007	-	103 974	21 820	82 154
PULASKI	715 824	522 762	(NA)	(NA)	130 196	29 791	-	686 033	62 866	623 167
ROBERTSON	29 893	20 863	(NA)	(NA)	3 244	2 494	-	27 399	5 766	21 633
ROCKCASTLE	167 124	132 068	(NA)	(NA)	21 888	12 064	-	155 060	13 168	141 892
ROWAN	235 160	160 153	(NA)	(NA)	41 874	10 622	-	224 538	33 133	191 405
RUSSELL	165 148	132 778	(NA)	(NA)	22 457	13 838	-	151 310	9 913	141 397
SHELBY	447 237	356 948	(NA)	(NA)	61 335	17 254	-	429 983	28 954	401 029
SIMPSON	272 678	214 846	(NA)	(NA)	44 556	13 067	-	259 611	13 276	246 335
SPENCER	102 313	86 634	(NA)	(NA)	11 863	4 315	-	97 998	3 816	94 182
TAYLOR	299 839	231 556	(NA)	(NA)	41 166	14 610	-	285 229	27 117	258 112
TODD	142 942	104 278	(NA)	(NA)	20 794	10 876	-	132 066	17 870	114 196
TRIGG	175 952	140 643	(NA)	(NA)	24 387	10 180	-	165 772	10 922	154 850
TRIMBLE	117 264	81 455	(NA)	(NA)	14 017	4 709	-	112 555	21 792	90 763
UNION	315 533	206 324	(NA)	(NA)	94 075	9 939	-	305 594	15 134	290 460
WARREN	1 124 427	856 606	(NA)	(NA)	209 555	44 927	-	1 079 500	58 266	1 021 234
WASHINGTON	183 090	151 146	(NA)	(NA)	22 779	9 364	-	173 726	9 165	164 561
WAYNE	135 022	104 724	(NA)	(NA)	22 469	9 466	-	125 556	7 829	117 727
WEBSTER	265 286	154 343	(NA)	(NA)	62 523	14 456	-	250 830	48 420	202 410
WHITLEY	342 401	226 424	(NA)	(NA)	84 569	26 226	-	316 175	31 408	284 767
WOLFE	45 172	29 968	(NA)	(NA)	10 566	4 498	-	40 674	4 638	36 036

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
LOUISIANA[24]										
TOTAL	9 901 850	5 304 703	1 544 248	3 760 455	2 836 708	2 395 343	-	7 506 507	1 760 439	5 746 068
SMSA PORTION	6 065 456	3 797 830	1 031 746	2 766 084	1 393 380	1 627 915	-	4 437 541	874 246	3 563 295
ALEXANDRIA SMSA	239 841	135 894	40 560	95 334	57 970	72 188	-	167 653	45 977	121 676
GRANT PARISH	19 780	9 082	3 428	6 254	2 519	7 079	-	12 701	7 579	5 122
RAPIDES PARISH	220 061	126 212	37 132	89 080	55 451	65 109	-	154 952	38 398	116 554
BATON ROUGE SMSA	1 324 125	730 197	157 631	572 566	376 544	336 356	-	987 769	217 384	770 385
ASCENSION PARISH	158 940	53 216	14 760	38 456	79 821	27 483	-	131 457	25 903	105 554
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH[3]	1 035 275	609 294	123 142	486 152	261 886	269 941	-	765 334	164 095	601 239
LIVINGSTON PARISH	66 040	41 363	11 920	29 443	8 245	28 931	-	37 109	16 432	20 677
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH	63 870	26 324	7 809	18 515	26 592	10 001	-	53 869	10 954	42 915
LAFAYETTE SMSA	360 447	228 235	65 948	162 287	94 146	99 450	-	260 997	38 066	222 931
LAFAYETTE PARISH	360 447	228 235	65 948	162 287	94 146	99 450	-	260 997	38 066	222 931
LAFAYETTE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LAKE CHARLES SMSA	472 857	281 906	53 884	228 022	108 851	100 308	-	372 549	82 100	290 449
CALCASIEU PARISH	472 857	281 906	53 884	228 022	108 851	100 308	-	372 549	82 100	290 449
LAKE CHARLES	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MONROE SMSA	294 955	157 320	37 123	120 197	87 243	76 641	-	218 314	50 392	167 922
OUACHITA PARISH	294 955	157 320	37 123	120 197	87 243	76 641	-	218 314	50 392	167 922
MONROE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NEW ORLEANS SMSA	2 548 238	1 772 403	566 053	1 206 350	457 584	717 884	-	1 830 354	318 251	1 512 103
JEFFERSON PARISH	1 016 899	713 148	255 814	457 334	195 393	301 357	-	715 542	108 358	607 184
KENNER	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ORLEANS PARISH										
NEW ORLEANS[3]	1 190 717	826 463	228 747	597 716	204 580	287 126	-	903 591	159 674	743 917
ST. BERNARD PARISH	138 218	73 011	30 139	42 872	43 147	44 156	-	94 062	22 060	72 002
ST. TAMMANY PARISH	202 404	159 781	51 353	108 428	14 464	85 245	-	117 159	28 159	89 000
SHREVEPORT SMSA	824 993	491 875	110 547	381 328	211 042	225 088	-	599 905	122 076	477 829
BOSSIER PARISH	155 206	98 443	21 705	76 738	36 089	49 741	-	105 465	20 674	84 791
SHREVEPORT (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CADDO PARISH	601 032	358 566	81 923	276 643	153 763	154 199	-	446 833	88 703	358 130
SHREVEPORT (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WEBSTER PARISH	68 755	34 866	6 919	27 947	21 190	21 148	-	47 607	12 699	34 908
NON-SMSA PORTION	3 836 394	1 506 873	512 502	994 371	1 443 328	767 428	-	3 068 966	886 193	2 182 773
ACADIA	99 750	37 689	13 053	24 636	31 580	19 281	-	80 469	30 481	49 988
ALLEN	35 015	17 827	7 850	9 977	9 059	8 521	-	26 494	8 129	18 365
ASSUMPTION	60 609	19 135	5 108	14 027	31 528	10 340	-	50 269	9 946	40 323
AVOYELLES	56 278	38 029	13 717	24 912	8 566	22 737	-	33 541	9 083	24 458
BEAUREGARD	75 226	31 227	12 063	19 164	25 348	16 217	-	59 009	18 651	40 358
BIENVILLE	60 540	12 304	5 529	6 775	18 095	6 023	-	54 517	30 141	24 376
CALDWELL	16 836	7 861	3 215	4 646	2 418	4 540	-	12 296	6 557	5 739
CAMERON	87 854	13 931	7 505	6 426	44 452	4 560	-	83 294	29 471	53 823
CATAHOULA	21 497	11 493	6 216	5 277	5 596	4 878	-	16 619	4 408	12 211
CLAIBORNE	39 493	14 630	5 699	8 931	16 373	8 029	-	31 464	8 490	22 974
CONCORDIA	40 891	24 707	10 581	14 126	9 882	11 926	-	28 965	6 302	22 663
DE SOTO	40 958	22 724	7 919	14 805	9 224	12 618	-	28 340	9 010	19 330
EAST CARROLL	23 401	13 253	6 148	7 105	2 662	4 997	-	18 404	7 486	10 918
EAST FELICIANA	32 844	12 504	5 059	7 445	3 006	6 456	-	26 388	17 334	9 054
EVANGELINE	61 084	24 975	9 270	15 705	11 943	14 028	-	47 056	24 166	22 890
FRANKLIN	38 481	23 005	9 632	13 373	4 977	13 501	-	24 980	10 499	14 481
IBERIA	145 854	72 891	19 185	53 706	54 067	38 680	-	107 174	18 896	88 278
IBERVILLE	163 546	29 149	11 903	17 246	97 620	12 937	-	150 609	36 777	113 832
JACKSON	30 553	13 690	3 985	9 705	10 145	6 988	-	23 565	6 718	16 847
JEFFERSON DAVIS	82 990	37 508	14 860	22 648	23 382	15 805	-	67 185	22 100	45 085
LAFOURCHE	181 272	74 559	19 842	54 717	70 274	49 812	-	131 460	36 439	95 021
LA SALLE	32 613	11 404	4 258	7 146	8 134	6 405	-	26 208	13 075	13 133
LINCOLN	77 470	36 920	5 118	31 802	18 058	18 974	-	58 496	22 492	36 004
MADISON	29 990	19 682	9 012	10 630	4 428	7 315	-	22 675	5 920	16 755
MOREHOUSE	81 372	39 754	13 592	26 162	24 911	16 964	-	64 408	16 707	47 701
NATCHITOCHES	62 205	38 437	13 208	25 229	8 776	18 764	-	43 441	14 992	28 449
PLAQUEMINES	302 254	47 052	18 023	29 029	211 269	12 290	-	289 964	43 933	246 031
POINTE COUPEE	65 895	27 078	12 323	14 755	17 788	12 220	-	53 675	21 029	32 646
RED RIVER	19 063	10 127	4 424	5 703	3 991	4 966	-	14 097	4 945	9 152
RICHLAND	43 472	23 603	8 681	14 922	8 803	12 138	-	31 334	11 066	20 268
SABINE	38 402	21 326	6 570	14 756	7 502	10 967	-	27 435	9 579	17 861
ST. CHARLES	197 803	45 888	15 996	29 892	106 891	20 292	-	177 511	45 024	132 487
ST. HELENA	19 843	7 747	4 069	3 678	814	4 791	-	15 052	11 282	3 770
ST. JAMES	99 712	20 120	5 201	14 919	55 479	10 930	-	88 782	24 113	64 669
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST	70 504	36 518	11 612	24 906	24 401	22 309	-	48 195	9 585	38 610
ST. LANDRY	190 203	90 211	25 765	64 446	34 243	47 995	-	142 208	65 749	76 459

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
LOUISIANA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
ST. MARTIN	72 482	29 766	12 326	17 440	31 091	20 543	-	51 939	11 625	40 314
ST. MARY	217 802	73 838	18 377	55 461	103 202	27 692	-	190 110	40 762	149 348
TANGIPAHOA	111 671	73 824	24 091	49 733	19 216	40 914	-	70 757	18 631	52 126
TENSAS	18 333	12 360	7 615	4 745	3 395	3 964	-	14 369	2 578	11 791
TERREBONNE	294 200	110 163	35 703	74 460	133 900	55 342	-	238 858	50 137	188 721
UNION	42 595	20 560	6 934	13 626	11 533	10 910	-	31 685	10 502	21 183
VERMILION	153 293	53 171	17 669	35 502	70 077	30 457	-	122 836	30 045	92 791
VERNON	43 957	28 373	10 010	18 363	4 502	15 607	-	28 350	11 082	17 268
WASHINGTON	65 353	36 717	9 017	27 700	13 524	23 168	-	42 185	15 112	27 073
WEST CARROLL	29 204	14 282	5 725	8 557	2 481	9 156	-	20 048	12 441	7 607
WEST FELICIANA	32 317	9 658	3 307	6 351	15 914	2 523	-	29 794	6 745	23 049
WINN	29 414	14 643	5 537	9 106	8 808	6 958	-	22 456	5 963	16 493
MAINE**[2 3]										
TOTAL	19 630 228	17 119 498	5 306 130	11 813 368	2 510 730	118 208	-	19 512 020	-	19 512 020
NECMA PORTION	5 548 524	5 079 595	1 475 527	3 604 068	468 929	28 933	-	5 519 591	-	5 519 591
LEWISTON-AUBURN NECMA	1 393 150	1 269 383	339 968	929 415	123 767	10 311	-	1 382 839	-	1 382 839
ANDROSCOGGIN CO.	1 393 150	1 269 383	339 968	929 415	123 767	10 311	-	1 382 839	-	1 382 839
PORTLAND NECMA	4 155 374	3 810 212	1 135 559	2 674 653	345 162	18 622	-	4 136 752	-	4 136 752
CUMBERLAND CO.	3 645 510	3 352 765	998 016	2 354 749	292 745	15 645	-	3 629 865	-	3 629 865
PORTLAND	756 327	668 653	180 931	487 722	87 674	3 285	-	753 042	-	753 042
SAGADAHOC CO	509 864	457 447	137 543	319 904	52 417	2 977	-	506 887	-	506 887
NON-NECMA PORTION	14 081 704	12 039 903	3 830 603	8 209 300	2 041 801	89 275	-	13 992 429	-	13 992 429
AROOSTOOK	1 187 889	965 839	272 137	693 702	222 050	6 238	-	1 181 651	-	1 181 651
FRANKLIN	787 248	463 610	137 321	326 289	323 638	2 602	-	784 646	-	784 646
HANCOCK	1 105 370	964 914	366 188	598 726	140 456	5 184	-	1 100 186	-	1 100 186
KENNEBEC	1 672 435	1 539 709	410 718	1 128 991	132 726	9 854	-	1 662 581	-	1 662 581
KNOX	700 666	647 440	234 350	413 090	53 226	3 648	-	697 018	-	697 018
LINCOLN	911 221	858 455	286 999	571 456	52 766	2 772	-	908 449	-	908 449
OXFORD	951 667	750 006	251 430	498 576	201 661	5 933	-	945 734	-	945 734
PENOBSCOT	2 269 148	1 789 185	476 573	1 312 612	479 963	12 082	-	2 257 066	-	2 257 066
PISCATAQUIS	252 958	244 561	92 376	152 185	8 397	15 962	-	236 996	-	236 996
SOMERSET	900 416	709 400	260 201	449 199	191 016	5 067	-	895 349	-	895 349
WALDO	416 190	398 340	138 652	259 688	17 850	3 174	-	413 016	-	413 016
WASHINGTON	529 384	421 415	147 522	273 893	107 969	5 364	-	524 020	-	524 020
YORK	2 397 112	2 287 029	756 136	1 530 893	110 083	11 395	-	2 385 717	-	2 385 717
MARYLAND[25]										
TOTAL	42 107 761	34 926 800	(NA)	(NA)	235 227	-	-	42 107 761	6 945 734	35 162 027
SMSA PORTION	34 905 410	29 685 592	(NA)	(NA)	169 001	-	-	34 905 410	5 050 817	29 854 593
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	449 065	382 079	(NA)	(NA)	2 917	-	-	449 065	64 069	384 996
CECIL CO	449 065	382 079	(NA)	(NA)	2 917	-	-	449 065	64 069	384 996
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*	16 233 435	14 093 837	(NA)	(NA)	61 629	-	-	16 233 435	2 077 969	14 155 466
CHARLES CO	869 309	609 672	(NA)	(NA)	3 288	-	-	869 309	256 349	612 960
MONTGOMERY CO.	9 292 961	8 290 056	(NA)	(NA)	36 000	-	-	9 292 961	966 905	8 326 056
PRINCE GEORGES CO.	6 071 165	5 194 109	(NA)	(NA)	22 341	-	-	6 071 165	854 715	5 216 450
BALTIMORE SMSA	18 222 910	15 209 676	(NA)	(NA)	104 455	-	-	18 222 910	2 908 779	15 314 131
ANNE ARUNDEL CO.	3 662 521	3 171 016	(NA)	(NA)	18 000	-	-	3 662 521	473 505	3 189 016
BALTIMORE CO	6 589 966	5 530 319	(NA)	(NA)	27 035	-	-	6 589 966	1 032 612	5 557 354
BALTIMORE CITY	3 998 884	3 081 453	(NA)	(NA)	44 627	-	-	3 998 884	872 804	3 126 080
CARROLL CO	955 317	817 035	(NA)	(NA)	4 866	-	-	955 317	133 416	821 901
HARFORD CO	1 283 929	1 084 452	(NA)	(NA)	5 665	-	-	1 283 929	193 812	1 090 117
HOWARD CO.	1 732 293	1 525 401	(NA)	(NA)	4 262	-	-	1 732 293	202 630	1 529 663
NON-SMSA PORTION	7 202 351	5 241 208	(NA)	(NA)	66 226	-	-	7 202 351	1 894 917	5 307 434
ALLEGANY	608 546	368 799	(NA)	(NA)	7 409	-	-	608 546	232 338	376 208
CALVERT	1 076 805	361 939	(NA)	(NA)	2 729	-	-	1 076 805	712 137	364 668
CAROLINE	139 704	110 051	(NA)	(NA)	1 177	-	-	139 704	28 476	111 228
DORCHESTER	255 403	185 871	(NA)	(NA)	2 313	-	-	255 403	67 219	188 184
FREDERICK	1 122 700	990 982	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 122 700	131 718	990 982
GARRETT	261 816	169 210	(NA)	(NA)	3 417	-	-	261 816	89 189	172 627
KENT	167 918	139 060	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	167 918	28 858	139 060
QUEEN ANNES	307 188	276 555	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	307 188	30 633	276 555
ST. MARY'S	492 074	407 499	(NA)	(NA)	4 654	-	-	492 074	79 921	412 153
SOMERSET	132 350	102 844	(NA)	(NA)	2 785	-	-	132 350	26 721	105 629
TALBOT	379 910	357 453	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	379 910	22 457	357 453
WASHINGTON	934 655	715 544	(NA)	(NA)	11 499	-	-	934 655	207 612	727 043
WICOMICO	615 815	455 486	(NA)	(NA)	8 884	-	-	615 815	151 445	464 370
WORCESTER	707 467	599 915	(NA)	(NA)	21 359	-	-	707 467	86 193	621 274

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MASSACHUSETTS[2][3]										
TOTAL	83 025 287	79 231 972	(NA)	(NA)	3 044 928	(NA)	-	83 025 287	748 387	82 276 900
NECMA PORTION	74 670 539	71 395 980	(NA)	(NA)	2 568 774	(NA)	-	74 670 539	705 785	73 964 754
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MA.-N.H. NECMA*	54 938 120	52 884 718	(NA)	(NA)	1 555 425	(NA)	-	54 938 120	497 977	54 440 143
ESSEX CO	10 560 547	10 197 811	(NA)	(NA)	304 963	(NA)	-	10 560 547	57 773	10 502 774
LAWRENCE	689 673	657 462	(NA)	(NA)	23 024	(NA)	-	689 673	9 187	680 486
LYNN	266 214	248 093	(NA)	(NA)	16 078	(NA)	-	266 214	6 043	260 171
MIDDLESEX CO	23 577 544	22 784 565	(NA)	(NA)	600 295	(NA)	-	23 577 544	192 684	23 384 860
CAMBRIDGE	340 176	282 030	(NA)	(NA)	37 134	(NA)	-	340 176	21 012	319 164
LOWELL	184 773	165 284	(NA)	(NA)	11 966	(NA)	-	184 773	7 523	177 250
MALDEN	123 978	109 626	(NA)	(NA)	6 496	(NA)	-	123 978	7 856	116 122
MEDFORD	879 921	852 169	(NA)	(NA)	22 038	(NA)	-	879 921	5 714	874 207
NEWTON	3 106 627	3 043 732	(NA)	(NA)	46 737	(NA)	-	3 106 627	16 158	3 090 469
SOMERVILLE	137 011	115 463	(NA)	(NA)	13 664	(NA)	-	137 011	7 884	129 127
WALTHAM	575 026	543 312	(NA)	(NA)	19 789	(NA)	-	575 026	11 925	563 101
FRAMINGHAM TOWN	1 622 273	1 566 180	(NA)	(NA)	45 484	(NA)	-	1 622 273	10 609	1 611 664
NORFOLK CO	11 639 605	11 289 138	(NA)	(NA)	268 611	(NA)	-	11 639 605	81 856	11 557 749
QUINCY	276 356	250 064	(NA)	(NA)	16 441	(NA)	-	276 356	9 851	266 505
BROOKLINE TOWN	1 733 719	1 699 099	(NA)	(NA)	26 087	(NA)	-	1 733 719	8 533	1 725 186
WEYMOUTH TOWN	963 572	924 381	(NA)	(NA)	33 299	(NA)	-	963 572	5 892	957 680
PLYMOUTH CO	6 828 939	6 576 991	(NA)	(NA)	204 836	(NA)	-	6 828 939	47 112	6 781 827
BROCKTON	767 430	721 373	(NA)	(NA)	36 248	(NA)	-	767 430	9 809	757 621
SUFFOLK CO[3]	2 331 485	2 036 213	(NA)	(NA)	176 720	(NA)	-	2 331 485	118 552	2 212 933
BOSTON	1 908 701	1 635 769	(NA)	(NA)	162 192	(NA)	-	1 908 701	110 740	1 797 961
NEW BEDFORD-FALL RIVER NECMA	4 703 719	4 251 152	(NA)	(NA)	402 160	(NA)	-	4 703 719	50 407	4 653 312
BRISTOL CO	4 703 719	4 251 152	(NA)	(NA)	402 160	(NA)	-	4 703 719	50 407	4 653 312
FALL RIVER	184 441	160 519	(NA)	(NA)	15 298	(NA)	-	184 441	8 624	175 817
NEW BEDFORD	233 467	204 297	(NA)	(NA)	19 863	(NA)	-	233 467	9 307	224 160
PITTSFIELD NECMA	2 352 392	2 198 690	(NA)	(NA)	131 890	(NA)	-	2 352 392	21 812	2 330 580
BERKSHIRE CO	2 352 392	2 198 690	(NA)	(NA)	131 890	(NA)	-	2 352 392	21 812	2 330 580
PITTSFIELD	740 338	696 924	(NA)	(NA)	37 694	(NA)	-	740 338	5 720	734 618
SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE NECMA	5 512 786	5 213 492	(NA)	(NA)	234 224	(NA)	-	5 512 786	65 070	5 447 716
HAMPDEN CO	3 734 857	3 500 337	(NA)	(NA)	182 351	(NA)	-	3 734 857	52 169	3 682 688
CHICOPEE	111 857	101 575	(NA)	(NA)	5 549	(NA)	-	111 857	4 733	107 124
SPRINGFIELD	692 371	611 796	(NA)	(NA)	61 067	(NA)	-	692 371	19 508	672 863
HAMPSHIRE CO	1 777 929	1 713 155	(NA)	(NA)	51 873	(NA)	-	1 777 929	12 901	1 765 028
WORCESTER-FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER NECMA	7 163 522	6 847 928	(NA)	(NA)	245 075	(NA)	-	7 163 522	70 519	7 093 003
WORCESTER CO	7 163 522	6 847 928	(NA)	(NA)	245 075	(NA)	-	7 163 522	70 519	7 093 003
WORCESTER	466 023	413 520	(NA)	(NA)	30 400	(NA)	-	466 023	22 103	443 920
NON-NECMA PORTION	8 354 748	7 835 992	(NA)	(NA)	476 154	(NA)	-	8 354 748	42 602	8 312 146
BARNSTABLE	5 568 506	5 343 613	(NA)	(NA)	194 789	(NA)	-	5 568 506	30 104	5 538 402
DUKES	923 294	893 429	(NA)	(NA)	26 465	(NA)	-	923 294	3 400	919 894
FRANKLIN	1 176 944	944 466	(NA)	(NA)	225 562	(NA)	-	1 176 944	6 916	1 170 028
NANTUCKET	686 004	654 484	(NA)	(NA)	29 338	(NA)	-	686 004	2 182	683 822
MICHIGAN[3]										
TOTAL	92 059 132	81 492 346	(NA)	(NA)	10 566 786	-	-	92 059 132	-	92 059 132
SMSA PORTION	72 012 694	63 751 361	(NA)	(NA)	8 261 333	-	-	72 012 694	-	72 012 694
ANN ARBOR SMSA	3 106 483	2 758 711	(NA)	(NA)	347 772	-	-	3 106 483	-	3 106 483
WASHTENAW CO	3 106 483	2 758 711	(NA)	(NA)	347 772	-	-	3 106 483	-	3 106 483
ANN ARBOR	1 182 991	1 083 291	(NA)	(NA)	99 700	-	-	1 182 991	-	1 182 991
BATTLE CREEK SMSA	1 493 016	1 321 302	(NA)	(NA)	171 714	-	-	1 493 016	-	1 493 016
BARRY CO	378 419	354 345	(NA)	(NA)	24 074	-	-	378 419	-	378 419
CALHOUN CO	1 114 597	966 957	(NA)	(NA)	147 640	-	-	1 114 597	-	1 114 597
BAY CITY SMSA	1 341 366	1 231 710	(NA)	(NA)	109 656	-	-	1 341 366	-	1 341 366
BAY CO	1 341 366	1 231 710	(NA)	(NA)	109 656	-	-	1 341 366	-	1 341 366
DETROIT SMSA	42 451 461	37 496 226	(NA)	(NA)	4 955 235	-	-	42 451 461	-	42 451 461
LAPEER CO	675 187	630 628	(NA)	(NA)	44 559	-	-	675 187	-	675 187
LIVINGSTON CO	1 186 388	1 090 569	(NA)	(NA)	95 819	-	-	1 186 388	-	1 186 388
MACOMB CO	7 317 131	6 521 307	(NA)	(NA)	795 824	-	-	7 317 131	-	7 317 131
CLINTON TWP.	675 214	641 018	(NA)	(NA)	34 196	-	-	675 214	-	675 214
ROSEVILLE	434 853	388 316	(NA)	(NA)	46 537	-	-	434 853	-	434 853
ST. CLAIR SHORES	659 350	636 484	(NA)	(NA)	22 866	-	-	659 350	-	659 350
STERLING HEIGHTS	1 314 343	1 161 015	(NA)	(NA)	153 328	-	-	1 314 343	-	1 314 343
WARREN	1 936 496	1 610 657	(NA)	(NA)	325 839	-	-	1 936 496	-	1 936 496
OAKLAND CO	13 247 826	12 129 752	(NA)	(NA)	1 118 074	-	-	13 247 826	-	13 247 826
FARMINGTON HILLS	858 901	806 499	(NA)	(NA)	52 402	-	-	858 901	-	858 901
PONTIAC	691 239	517 533	(NA)	(NA)	173 706	-	-	691 239	-	691 239
ROYAL OAK	680 844	637 945	(NA)	(NA)	42 899	-	-	680 844	-	680 844
SOUTHFIELD	1 285 945	1 121 311	(NA)	(NA)	164 634	-	-	1 285 945	-	1 285 945
TROY	1 261 596	1 113 354	(NA)	(NA)	148 242	-	-	1 261 596	-	1 261 596
WATERFORD TWP.	662 080	626 243	(NA)	(NA)	35 837	-	-	662 080	-	662 080
ST. CLAIR CO	1 891 809	1 675 221	(NA)	(NA)	216 588	-	-	1 891 809	-	1 891 809

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MICHIGAN--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
DETROIT SMSA--CON.										
WAYNE CO	18 133 120	15 448 749	(NA)	(NA)	2 684 371	-	-	18 133 120	-	18 133 120
DEARBORN	1 796 174	1 416 364	(NA)	(NA)	379 810	-	-	1 796 174	-	1 796 174
DEARBORN HEIGHTS	594 542	573 635	(NA)	(NA)	20 907	-	-	594 542	-	594 542
DETROIT	5 321 090	4 300 406	(NA)	(NA)	1 020 684	-	-	5 321 090	-	5 321 090
LIVONIA	1 524 249	1 368 192	(NA)	(NA)	156 057	-	-	1 524 249	-	1 524 249
TAYLOR	568 743	524 745	(NA)	(NA)	43 998	-	-	568 743	-	568 743
WESTLAND	605 273	578 342	(NA)	(NA)	26 931	-	-	605 273	-	605 273
REDFORD TWP.	595 179	527 032	(NA)	(NA)	68 147	-	-	595 179	-	595 179
FLINT SMSA	4 699 611	4 086 150	(NA)	(NA)	613 461	-	-	4 699 611	-	4 699 611
GENESEE CO	4 124 201	3 551 152	(NA)	(NA)	573 049	-	-	4 124 201	-	4 124 201
FLINT	1 469 065	1 081 884	(NA)	(NA)	387 181	-	-	1 469 065	-	1 469 065
SHIAWASSEE CO.	575 410	534 998	(NA)	(NA)	40 412	-	-	575 410	-	575 410
GRAND RAPIDS SMSA	5 767 218	5 155 619	(NA)	(NA)	611 599	-	-	5 767 218	-	5 767 218
KENT CO	4 021 289	3 546 456	(NA)	(NA)	474 833	-	-	4 021 289	-	4 021 289
GRAND RAPIDS	1 353 340	1 172 812	(NA)	(NA)	180 528	-	-	1 353 340	-	1 353 340
WYOMING	605 963	499 486	(NA)	(NA)	106 477	-	-	605 963	-	605 963
OTTAWA CO	1 745 929	1 609 163	(NA)	(NA)	136 766	-	-	1 745 929	-	1 745 929
JACKSON SMSA	1 195 119	1 042 067	(NA)	(NA)	153 052	-	-	1 195 119	-	1 195 119
JACKSON CO	1 195 119	1 042 067	(NA)	(NA)	153 052	-	-	1 195 119	-	1 195 119
KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE SMSA	2 600 262	2 298 946	(NA)	(NA)	301 316	-	-	2 600 262	-	2 600 262
KALAMAZOO CO	2 017 476	1 775 397	(NA)	(NA)	242 079	-	-	2 017 476	-	2 017 476
KALAMAZOO	582 223	503 468	(NA)	(NA)	78 755	-	-	582 223	-	582 223
VAN BUREN CO	582 786	523 549	(NA)	(NA)	59 237	-	-	582 786	-	582 786
LANSING-EAST LANSING SMSA	3 987 957	3 571 239	(NA)	(NA)	416 718	-	-	3 987 957	-	3 987 957
CLINTON CO	531 940	497 659	(NA)	(NA)	34 281	-	-	531 940	-	531 940
LANSING (PART)	130	130	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	130	-	130
EATON CO	825 841	767 163	(NA)	(NA)	58 678	-	-	825 841	-	825 841
LANSING (PART)	21 658	21 244	(NA)	(NA)	414	-	-	21 658	-	21 658
INGHAM CO	2 252 345	1 958 482	(NA)	(NA)	293 863	-	-	2 252 345	-	2 252 345
EAST LANSING	252 414	241 675	(NA)	(NA)	10 739	-	-	252 414	-	252 414
LANSING (PART)	1 021 829	842 789	(NA)	(NA)	179 040	-	-	1 021 829	-	1 021 829
IONIA CO	377 831	347 935	(NA)	(NA)	29 896	-	-	377 831	-	377 831
MUSKEGON-MUSKEGON HEIGHTS SMSA	1 420 178	1 276 284	(NA)	(NA)	143 894	-	-	1 420 178	-	1 420 178
MUSKEGON CO	1 193 057	1 060 806	(NA)	(NA)	132 251	-	-	1 193 057	-	1 193 057
OCEANA CO	227 121	215 478	(NA)	(NA)	11 643	-	-	227 121	-	227 121
SAGINAW SMSA	2 178 610	1 893 424	(NA)	(NA)	285 186	-	-	2 178 610	-	2 178 610
SAGINAW CO	2 178 610	1 893 424	(NA)	(NA)	285 186	-	-	2 178 610	-	2 178 610
SAGINAW	594 788	467 802	(NA)	(NA)	126 986	-	-	594 788	-	594 788
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH. SMSA*	1 771 413	1 619 683	(NA)	(NA)	151 730	-	-	1 771 413	-	1 771 413
MONROE CO	1 771 413	1 619 683	(NA)	(NA)	151 730	-	-	1 771 413	-	1 771 413
NON-SMSA PORTION	20 046 438	17 740 985	(NA)	(NA)	2 305 453	-	-	20 046 438	-	20 046 438
ALCONA	194 890	188 730	(NA)	(NA)	6 160	-	-	194 890	-	194 890
ALGER	92 935	83 370	(NA)	(NA)	9 565	-	-	92 935	-	92 935
ALLEGAN	765 435	667 447	(NA)	(NA)	97 988	-	-	765 435	-	765 435
ALPENA	339 449	294 983	(NA)	(NA)	44 466	-	-	339 449	-	339 449
ANTRIM	302 416	290 133	(NA)	(NA)	12 283	-	-	302 416	-	302 416
ARENAC	175 125	165 554	(NA)	(NA)	9 571	-	-	175 125	-	175 125
BARAGA	63 732	57 272	(NA)	(NA)	6 460	-	-	63 732	-	63 732
BENZIE	165 255	158 089	(NA)	(NA)	7 166	-	-	165 255	-	165 255
BERRIEN	1 819 791	1 606 813	(NA)	(NA)	212 978	-	-	1 819 791	-	1 819 791
BRANCH	372 805	345 463	(NA)	(NA)	27 342	-	-	372 805	-	372 805
CASS	481 206	446 442	(NA)	(NA)	34 764	-	-	481 206	-	481 206
CHARLEVOIX	335 329	310 901	(NA)	(NA)	24 428	-	-	335 329	-	335 329
CHEBOYGAN	266 322	246 128	(NA)	(NA)	20 194	-	-	266 322	-	266 322
CHIPPEWA	245 683	226 645	(NA)	(NA)	19 038	-	-	245 683	-	245 683
CLARE	285 685	241 087	(NA)	(NA)	44 598	-	-	285 685	-	285 685
CRAWFORD	141 628	130 417	(NA)	(NA)	11 211	-	-	141 628	-	141 628
DELTA	319 880	261 143	(NA)	(NA)	58 737	-	-	319 880	-	319 880
DICKINSON	223 825	199 963	(NA)	(NA)	23 862	-	-	223 825	-	223 825
EMMET	361 231	326 586	(NA)	(NA)	34 645	-	-	361 231	-	361 231
GLADWIN	217 767	205 518	(NA)	(NA)	12 249	-	-	217 767	-	217 767
GOGEBIC	136 845	114 744	(NA)	(NA)	22 101	-	-	136 845	-	136 845
GRAND TRAVERSE	754 376	682 809	(NA)	(NA)	71 567	-	-	754 376	-	754 376
GRATIOT	373 235	335 876	(NA)	(NA)	37 359	-	-	373 235	-	373 235
HILLSDALE	427 152	402 366	(NA)	(NA)	24 786	-	-	427 152	-	427 152
HOUGHTON	216 377	187 506	(NA)	(NA)	28 871	-	-	216 377	-	216 377
HURON	623 975	592 637	(NA)	(NA)	31 338	-	-	623 975	-	623 975
IOSCO	292 996	272 543	(NA)	(NA)	20 453	-	-	292 996	-	292 996
IRON	145 007	126 232	(NA)	(NA)	18 775	-	-	145 007	-	145 007

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multistate Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MICHIGAN--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
ISABELLA	379 332	347 994	(NA)	(NA)	31 338	-	-	379 332	-	379 332
KALKASKA	213 671	148 066	(NA)	(NA)	65 605	-	-	213 671	-	213 671
KEWEENAW	28 206	24 290	(NA)	(NA)	3 916	-	-	28 206	-	28 206
LAKE	142 996	137 761	(NA)	(NA)	5 235	-	-	142 996	-	142 996
LEELANAU	336 860	327 198	(NA)	(NA)	9 662	-	-	336 860	-	336 860
LENAWEE	948 958	860 261	(NA)	(NA)	88 697	-	-	948 958	-	948 958
LUCE	50 794	48 838	(NA)	(NA)	1 956	-	-	50 794	-	50 794
MACKINAC	172 130	143 559	(NA)	(NA)	28 571	-	-	172 130	-	172 130
MANISTEE	282 458	219 808	(NA)	(NA)	62 650	-	-	282 458	-	282 458
MARQUETTE	650 872	596 525	(NA)	(NA)	54 347	-	-	650 872	-	650 872
MASON	502 952	459 730	(NA)	(NA)	43 222	-	-	502 952	-	502 952
MECOSTA	321 593	281 652	(NA)	(NA)	39 941	-	-	321 593	-	321 593
MENOMINEE	207 535	192 583	(NA)	(NA)	14 952	-	-	207 535	-	207 535
MIDLAND	1 268 212	798 218	(NA)	(NA)	469 994	-	-	1 268 212	-	1 268 212
MISSAUKEE	139 832	122 090	(NA)	(NA)	17 742	-	-	139 832	-	139 832
MONTCALM	415 859	365 443	(NA)	(NA)	50 416	-	-	415 859	-	415 859
MONTMORENCY	127 953	123 181	(NA)	(NA)	4 772	-	-	127 953	-	127 953
NEWAYGO	350 466	322 112	(NA)	(NA)	28 356	-	-	350 468	-	350 468
OGEMAW	213 304	196 453	(NA)	(NA)	16 851	-	-	213 304	-	213 304
ONTONAGON	98 278	86 725	(NA)	(NA)	11 553	-	-	98 278	-	98 278
OSCEOLA	224 454	186 315	(NA)	(NA)	38 139	-	-	224 454	-	224 454
OSCODA	105 808	99 248	(NA)	(NA)	6 560	-	-	105 808	-	105 808
OTSEGO	284 896	235 857	(NA)	(NA)	49 039	-	-	284 896	-	284 896
PRESQUE ISLE	190 400	170 712	(NA)	(NA)	19 688	-	-	190 400	-	190 400
ROSCOMMON	289 390	272 402	(NA)	(NA)	16 988	-	-	289 390	-	289 390
ST. JOSEPH	563 506	506 742	(NA)	(NA)	56 764	-	-	563 506	-	563 506
SANILAC	493 037	467 917	(NA)	(NA)	25 120	-	-	493 037	-	493 037
SCHOOLCRAFT	86 555	74 019	(NA)	(NA)	12 536	-	-	86 555	-	86 555
TUSCOLA	573 833	538 066	(NA)	(NA)	35 767	-	-	573 833	-	573 833
WEXFORD	241 944	219 823	(NA)	(NA)	22 121	-	-	241 944	-	241 944
MINNESOTA[2 26]										
TOTAL	25 014 841	23 464 856	(NA)	(NA)	54 009	-	-	25 014 841	1 495 976	23 518 865
SMSA PORTION	15 954 119	15 058 757	(NA)	(NA)	21 720	-	-	15 954 119	873 642	15 080 477
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*	824 808	673 563	(NA)	(NA)	3 996	-	-	824 808	147 249	677 559
ST. LOUIS CO	824 808	673 563	(NA)	(NA)	3 996	-	-	824 808	147 249	677 559
DULUTH	308 301	275 262	(NA)	(NA)	1 045	-	-	308 301	31 994	276 307
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*	13 415 732	12 834 529	(NA)	(NA)	10 393	-	-	13 415 732	570 810	12 844 922
ANOKA CO	958 300	919 800	(NA)	(NA)	4 700	-	-	958 300	33 800	924 500
CARVER CO	207 364	198 715	(NA)	(NA)	40	-	-	207 364	8 609	198 755
CHISAGO CO	111 377	97 304	(NA)	(NA)	201	-	-	111 377	13 872	97 505
DAKOTA CO	1 121 599	1 036 635	(NA)	(NA)	385	-	-	1 121 599	84 579	1 037 020
HENNEPIN CO	6 980 409	6 779 065	(NA)	(NA)	2 974	-	-	6 980 409	198 370	6 782 039
BLOOMINGTON	685 632	672 592	(NA)	(NA)	60	-	-	685 632	12 980	672 652
MINNEAPOLIS	2 422 031	2 323 180	(NA)	(NA)	86	-	-	2 422 031	98 765	2 323 266
RAMSEY CO	2 835 031	2 735 795	(NA)	(NA)	967	-	-	2 835 031	98 269	2 736 762
ST. PAUL	1 519 863	1 456 200	(NA)	(NA)	840	-	-	1 519 863	62 823	1 457 040
SCOTT CO	261 819	246 885	(NA)	(NA)	63	-	-	261 819	14 871	246 948
WASHINGTON CO	634 673	576 255	(NA)	(NA)	641	-	-	634 673	57 777	576 896
WRIGHT CO	305 160	244 075	(NA)	(NA)	422	-	-	305 160	60 663	244 497
ROCHESTER SMSA	486 731	478 072	(NA)	(NA)	698	-	-	486 731	7 961	478 770
OLMSTED CO	486 731	478 072	(NA)	(NA)	698	-	-	486 731	7 961	478 770
ROCHESTER	310 811	306 776	(NA)	(NA)	397	-	-	310 811	3 638	307 173
ST. CLOUD SMSA	777 377	646 653	(NA)	(NA)	1 675	-	-	777 377	128 849	648 528
BENTON CO	103 068	94 976	(NA)	(NA)	492	-	-	103 068	7 600	95 468
SHERBURNE CO	226 336	123 237	(NA)	(NA)	101	-	-	226 336	102 998	123 338
STEARNS CO	447 973	428 640	(NA)	(NA)	1 082	-	-	447 973	18 251	429 722
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N.DAK.-MINN.SMSA*	215 018	207 098	(NA)	(NA)	2 163	-	-	215 018	5 757	209 261
CLAY CO	215 018	207 098	(NA)	(NA)	2 163	-	-	215 018	5 757	209 261
GRAND FORKS, N.DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	234 453	218 642	(NA)	(NA)	2 795	-	-	234 453	13 016	221 437
POLK CO	234 453	218 642	(NA)	(NA)	2 795	-	-	234 453	13 016	221 437

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multistate Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MINNESOTA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION	9 060 722	8 406 099	(NA)	(NA)	32 289	-	-	9 060 722	622 334	8 438 388
AITKIN	85 174	81 582	(NA)	(NA)	173	-	-	85 174	3 419	81 755
BECKER	137 448	131 995	(NA)	(NA)	304	-	-	137 448	5 149	132 299
BELTRAMI	95 016	84 141	(NA)	(NA)	256	-	-	95 016	10 619	84 397
BIG STONE	47 304	44 685	(NA)	(NA)	348	-	-	47 304	2 271	45 033
BLUE EARTH	324 179	306 432	(NA)	(NA)	124	-	-	324 179	17 623	306 556
BROWN	193 332	191 847	(NA)	(NA)	117	-	-	193 332	1 368	191 964
CARLTON	121 467	90 004	(NA)	(NA)	99	-	-	121 467	31 364	90 103
CASS	130 335	115 642	(NA)	(NA)	1 281	-	-	130 335	13 412	116 923
CHIPPEWA	113 733	106 519	(NA)	(NA)	872	-	-	113 733	6 342	107 391
CLEARWATER	37 364	24 909	(NA)	(NA)	97	-	-	37 364	12 358	25 006
COOK	32 984	32 545	(NA)	(NA)	86	-	-	32 984	353	32 631
COTTONWOOD	157 314	156 070	(NA)	(NA)	181	-	-	157 314	1 063	156 251
CROW WING	219 081	207 712	(NA)	(NA)	930	-	-	219 081	10 439	208 642
DODGE	112 940	111 128	(NA)	(NA)	154	-	-	112 940	1 658	111 282
DOUGLAS	140 434	134 747	(NA)	(NA)	989	-	-	140 434	4 698	135 736
FARIBAULT	208 354	204 475	(NA)	(NA)	335	-	-	208 354	3 544	204 810
FILLMORE	143 174	140 103	(NA)	(NA)	76	-	-	143 174	2 995	140 179
FREEBORN	258 305	246 008	(NA)	(NA)	232	-	-	258 305	12 065	246 240
GOODHUE	360 678	240 714	(NA)	(NA)	281	-	-	360 678	119 683	240 995
GRANT	67 501	63 538	(NA)	(NA)	774	-	-	67 501	3 189	64 312
HOUSTON	78 895	76 906	(NA)	(NA)	20	-	-	78 895	1 969	76 926
HUBBARD	84 081	77 915	(NA)	(NA)	324	-	-	84 081	5 842	78 239
ISANTI	78 446	74 140	(NA)	(NA)	400	-	-	78 446	3 906	74 540
ITASCA	295 475	160 986	(NA)	(NA)	827	-	-	295 475	133 662	161 813
JACKSON	182 718	177 145	(NA)	(NA)	977	-	-	182 718	4 596	178 122
KANABEC	38 943	38 435	(NA)	(NA)	76	-	-	38 943	432	38 511
KANDIYOHI	221 125	213 584	(NA)	(NA)	2 338	-	-	221 125	5 203	215 922
KITTSON	80 120	66 423	(NA)	(NA)	848	-	-	80 120	12 849	67 271
KOOCHICHING	65 586	59 004	(NA)	(NA)	81	-	-	65 586	6 501	59 085
LAC QUI PARLE	95 270	93 091	(NA)	(NA)	598	-	-	95 270	1 581	93 689
LAKE	42 672	40 597	(NA)	(NA)	164	-	-	42 672	1 911	40 761
LAKE OF THE WOODS	18 026	16 947	(NA)	(NA)	156	-	-	18 026	923	17 103
LE SUEUR	116 591	111 983	(NA)	(NA)	98	-	-	116 591	4 510	112 081
LINCOLN	58 809	58 114	(NA)	(NA)	17	-	-	58 809	678	58 131
LYON	151 630	146 936	(NA)	(NA)	1 137	-	-	151 630	3 557	148 073
MCLEOD	156 813	153 655	(NA)	(NA)	513	-	-	156 813	2 645	154 168
MAHNOMEN	27 401	26 152	(NA)	(NA)	312	-	-	27 401	937	26 464
MARSHALL	118 385	105 333	(NA)	(NA)	738	-	-	118 385	12 314	106 071
MARTIN	239 054	228 746	(NA)	(NA)	156	-	-	239 054	10 152	228 902
MEEKER	134 101	128 576	(NA)	(NA)	965	-	-	134 101	4 560	129 541
MILLE LACS	62 736	59 604	(NA)	(NA)	256	-	-	62 736	2 876	59 860
MORRISON	118 133	110 081	(NA)	(NA)	393	-	-	118 133	7 659	110 474
MOWER	250 684	245 558	(NA)	(NA)	685	-	-	250 684	4 441	246 243
MURRAY	121 365	119 991	(NA)	(NA)	328	-	-	121 365	1 046	120 319
NICOLLET	144 203	140 992	(NA)	(NA)	98	-	-	144 203	3 113	141 090
NOBLES	175 491	173 365	(NA)	(NA)	303	-	-	175 491	1 823	173 668
NORMAN	91 867	88 046	(NA)	(NA)	1 029	-	-	91 867	2 792	89 075
OTTER TAIL	252 696	230 315	(NA)	(NA)	1 008	-	-	252 696	21 373	231 323
PENNINGTON	72 882	66 379	(NA)	(NA)	773	-	-	72 882	5 730	67 152
PINE	84 498	72 949	(NA)	(NA)	327	-	-	84 498	11 222	73 276
PIPESTONE	76 664	73 568	(NA)	(NA)	716	-	-	76 664	2 380	74 284
POPE	77 402	72 063	(NA)	(NA)	246	-	-	77 402	5 093	72 309
RED LAKE	34 112	29 510	(NA)	(NA)	311	-	-	34 112	4 291	29 821
REDWOOD	201 567	199 446	(NA)	(NA)	93	-	-	201 567	2 028	199 539
RENVILLE	218 507	212 118	(NA)	(NA)	1 053	-	-	218 507	5 336	213 171
RICE	197 756	188 684	(NA)	(NA)	214	-	-	197 756	8 858	188 898
ROCK	98 849	97 459	(NA)	(NA)	189	-	-	98 849	1 201	97 648
ROSEAU	77 408	71 371	(NA)	(NA)	618	-	-	77 408	5 419	71 989
SIBLEY	130 822	128 573	(NA)	(NA)	30	-	-	130 822	2 219	128 603
STEELE	185 444	180 122	(NA)	(NA)	350	-	-	185 444	4 972	180 472
STEVENS	79 414	75 135	(NA)	(NA)	585	-	-	79 414	3 694	75 720
SWIFT	100 240	93 610	(NA)	(NA)	1 227	-	-	100 240	5 403	94 837
TODD	87 160	82 493	(NA)	(NA)	200	-	-	87 160	4 467	82 693
TRAVERSE	59 420	56 123	(NA)	(NA)	560	-	-	59 420	2 737	56 683
WABASHA	100 633	98 545	(NA)	(NA)	140	-	-	100 633	1 948	98 685
WADENA	41 899	38 461	(NA)	(NA)	563	-	-	41 899	2 875	39 024
WASECA	132 984	128 758	(NA)	(NA)	136	-	-	132 984	4 090	128 894
WATONWAN	116 021	115 799	(NA)	(NA)	92	-	-	116 021	2 130	115 891
WILKIN	88 404	86 100	(NA)	(NA)	783	-	-	88 404	1 521	86 883
WINONA	193 447	188 312	(NA)	(NA)	29	-	-	193 447	5 106	188 341
YELLOW MEDICINE	115 756	113 105	(NA)	(NA)	500	-	-	115 756	2 151	113 605

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MISSISSIPPI										
TOTAL	5 289 291	2 537 658	(NA)	(NA)	1 649 837	1 159 628	-	4 129 663	1 101 796	3 027 867
SMSA PORTION	1 568 000	761 664	(NA)	(NA)	503 959	339 365	-	1 228 635	302 377	926 258
BILOXI-GULFPORT SMSA	317 412	134 819	(NA)	(NA)	97 200	52 506	-	264 906	85 393	179 513
HANCOCK CO	56 459	34 996	(NA)	(NA)	10 977	10 523	-	45 936	10 486	35 450
HARRISON CO	245 015	92 482	(NA)	(NA)	79 787	38 956	-	206 059	72 746	133 313
STONE CO	15 938	7 341	(NA)	(NA)	6 436	3 027	-	12 911	2 161	10 750
JACKSON SMSA	830 324	437 080	(NA)	(NA)	268 141	187 506	-	642 818	125 103	517 715
HINDS CO	676 096	364 795	(NA)	(NA)	207 317	145 731	-	530 365	103 984	426 381
JACKSON (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RANKIN CO	154 228	72 285	(NA)	(NA)	60 824	41 775	-	112 453	21 119	91 334
JACKSON (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PASCAGOULA-MOSS POINT SMSA	308 634	124 180	(NA)	(NA)	102 483	59 769	-	248 865	81 971	166 894
JACKSON CO	308 634	124 180	(NA)	(NA)	102 483	59 769	-	248 865	81 971	166 894
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*	111 630	65 585	(NA)	(NA)	36 135	39 584	-	72 046	9 910	62 136
DE SOTO CO	111 630	65 585	(NA)	(NA)	36 135	39 584	-	72 046	9 910	62 136
NON-SMSA PORTION	3 721 291	1 775 994	(NA)	(NA)	1 145 878	820 263	-	2 901 028	799 419	2 101 609
ADAMS	120 981	55 263	(NA)	(NA)	43 378	25 121	-	95 860	22 340	73 520
ALCORN	39 533	16 018	(NA)	(NA)	15 478	9 767	-	29 766	8 037	21 729
AMITE	26 883	13 276	(NA)	(NA)	6 901	6 327	-	20 556	6 706	13 850
ATTALA	39 092	17 425	(NA)	(NA)	11 320	8 802	-	30 290	10 347	19 943
BENTON	11 812	6 636	(NA)	(NA)	3 168	3 379	-	8 433	2 008	6 425
BOLIVAR	95 979	55 382	(NA)	(NA)	19 374	17 465	-	78 514	21 223	57 291
CALHOUN	24 169	12 237	(NA)	(NA)	9 287	6 992	-	17 177	2 645	14 532
CARROLL	20 821	13 600	(NA)	(NA)	4 315	5 489	-	15 332	2 906	12 426
CHICKASAW	33 820	20 500	(NA)	(NA)	11 569	10 924	-	22 896	1 751	21 145
CHOCTAW	18 474	11 546	(NA)	(NA)	5 089	6 739	-	11 735	1 839	9 896
CLAIBORNE	215 731	8 587	(NA)	(NA)	10 829	4 150	-	211 581	196 315	15 266
CLARKE	33 903	13 072	(NA)	(NA)	11 012	6 627	-	27 276	9 819	17 457
CLAY	42 821	24 637	(NA)	(NA)	13 442	11 984	-	30 837	4 742	26 095
COAHOMA	91 790	58 994	(NA)	(NA)	24 326	18 761	-	73 029	8 470	64 559
COPIAH	46 038	24 848	(NA)	(NA)	14 497	13 143	-	32 895	6 693	26 202
COVINGTON	36 030	9 072	(NA)	(NA)	10 552	5 788	-	30 242	16 406	13 836
FORREST	165 139	96 780	(NA)	(NA)	43 304	40 307	-	124 832	25 095	99 737
FRANKLIN	19 906	8 099	(NA)	(NA)	5 407	4 273	-	15 633	6 400	9 233
GEORGE	24 729	13 014	(NA)	(NA)	7 849	7 073	-	17 656	3 866	13 790
GREENE	17 546	10 182	(NA)	(NA)	4 930	4 398	-	13 148	2 434	10 714
GRENADA	41 893	18 883	(NA)	(NA)	15 259	8 550	-	33 343	7 791	25 552
HOLMES	31 163	17 646	(NA)	(NA)	8 023	7 168	-	24 015	5 514	18 501
HUMPHREYS	25 806	14 509	(NA)	(NA)	6 136	4 013	-	21 793	5 161	16 632
ISSAQUENA	12 034	8 036	(NA)	(NA)	1 780	797	-	11 237	2 218	9 019
ITAWAMBA	21 674	8 759	(NA)	(NA)	10 279	6 045	-	15 629	2 636	12 993
JASPER	29 920	10 738	(NA)	(NA)	8 950	5 828	-	24 092	10 232	13 860
JEFFERSON	17 487	9 360	(NA)	(NA)	4 330	3 466	-	14 021	3 797	10 224
JEFFERSON DAVIS	22 210	7 152	(NA)	(NA)	7 181	4 669	-	17 541	7 877	9 664
JONES	120 514	50 610	(NA)	(NA)	48 611	28 541	-	91 973	21 293	70 680
KEMPER	20 975	14 335	(NA)	(NA)	4 392	5 916	-	15 059	2 248	12 811
LAFAYETTE	36 850	17 742	(NA)	(NA)	14 490	9 442	-	27 408	4 618	22 790
LAMAR	39 986	16 603	(NA)	(NA)	15 980	9 242	-	30 744	7 403	23 341
LAUDERDALE	172 388	91 376	(NA)	(NA)	49 040	43 250	-	129 138	31 972	97 166
LAWRENCE	32 434	11 804	(NA)	(NA)	17 779	6 010	-	26 424	2 851	23 573
LEAKE	24 496	12 766	(NA)	(NA)	8 646	7 251	-	17 245	3 084	14 161
LEE	110 452	54 854	(NA)	(NA)	45 157	27 877	-	82 575	10 441	72 134
LEFLORE	96 724	61 335	(NA)	(NA)	24 402	19 362	-	77 362	10 987	66 375
LINCOLN	39 949	15 730	(NA)	(NA)	17 792	9 451	-	30 498	6 427	24 071
LOWNDES	100 112	54 253	(NA)	(NA)	34 563	25 171	-	74 941	11 296	63 645
MADISON	72 526	36 931	(NA)	(NA)	24 458	17 982	-	54 544	11 137	43 407
MARION	57 880	32 874	(NA)	(NA)	17 555	18 882	-	38 998	7 451	31 547
MARSHALL	34 825	17 576	(NA)	(NA)	11 336	9 537	-	25 288	5 913	19 375
MONROE	52 516	19 912	(NA)	(NA)	20 575	10 696	-	41 820	12 029	29 791
MONTGOMERY	21 438	12 001	(NA)	(NA)	6 952	6 254	-	15 184	2 485	12 699
NESHOBA	28 481	13 876	(NA)	(NA)	12 474	8 637	-	19 844	2 131	17 713
NEWTON	29 503	15 683	(NA)	(NA)	10 816	9 107	-	20 396	3 004	17 392
NOXUBEE	23 399	13 952	(NA)	(NA)	6 311	6 043	-	17 356	3 136	14 220
OKTIBBEHA	50 768	29 460	(NA)	(NA)	16 343	14 556	-	36 212	4 965	31 247
PANOLA	42 936	20 169	(NA)	(NA)	10 746	11 400	-	31 536	12 021	19 515
PEARL RIVER	52 652	27 558	(NA)	(NA)	15 585	11 846	-	40 806	9 509	31 297
PERRY	19 851	8 346	(NA)	(NA)	7 152	3 947	-	15 904	4 353	11 551
PIKE	68 661	37 771	(NA)	(NA)	18 996	20 590	-	48 071	11 894	36 177
PONTOTOC	35 213	23 497	(NA)	(NA)	8 849	13 702	-	21 511	2 867	18 644
PRENTISS	23 928	12 062	(NA)	(NA)	9 042	8 134	-	15 794	2 224	13 570
QUITMAN	25 367	14 962	(NA)	(NA)	6 199	4 836	-	20 531	4 206	16 325

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MISSISSIPPI--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
SCOTT	37 059	17 121	(NA)	(NA)	16 023	8 669	-	28 390	3 915	24 475
SHARKEY	16 616	8 947	(NA)	(NA)	4 322	1 837	-	14 779	3 347	11 432
SIMPSON	38 221	19 065	(NA)	(NA)	12 855	10 958	-	27 263	6 301	20 962
SMITH	27 253	13 475	(NA)	(NA)	11 404	8 122	-	19 131	2 374	16 757
SUNFLOWER	75 622	45 249	(NA)	(NA)	22 295	13 646	-	61 976	8 078	53 898
TALLAHATCHIE	24 439	12 331	(NA)	(NA)	6 901	4 280	-	20 159	5 207	14 952
TATE	32 169	14 832	(NA)	(NA)	9 564	8 297	-	23 872	7 773	16 099
TIPPAH	23 741	13 028	(NA)	(NA)	7 988	7 626	-	16 115	2 725	13 390
TISHOMINGO	27 608	8 461	(NA)	(NA)	16 670	4 907	-	22 701	2 477	20 224
TUNICA	20 106	11 495	(NA)	(NA)	4 522	1 944	-	18 162	4 089	14 073
UNION	27 929	12 743	(NA)	(NA)	11 363	7 381	-	20 548	3 823	16 725
WALTHALL	23 330	7 015	(NA)	(NA)	7 052	4 593	-	18 737	9 263	9 474
WARREN	196 741	83 463	(NA)	(NA)	65 297	35 196	-	161 545	47 981	113 564
WASHINGTON	203 298	91 831	(NA)	(NA)	61 001	32 245	-	171 053	50 466	120 587
WAYNE	25 686	11 699	(NA)	(NA)	10 237	6 356	-	19 330	3 750	15 580
WEBSTER	19 615	12 017	(NA)	(NA)	5 804	7 489	-	12 126	1 194	10 932
WILKINSON	19 769	11 432	(NA)	(NA)	5 952	3 413	-	16 356	2 385	13 971
WINSTON	35 214	17 392	(NA)	(NA)	14 062	11 073	-	24 141	3 760	20 381
YALOBUSHA	17 797	8 675	(NA)	(NA)	5 148	5 061	-	12 736	3 974	8 762
YAZOO	66 850	32 314	(NA)	(NA)	25 212	11 463	-	55 387	9 324	46 063
MISSOURI										
TOTAL	18 801 379	11 615 344	(NA)	(NA)	5 020 509	-	-	18 801 379	2 165 526	16 635 853
SMSA PORTION	12 734 553	8 166 741	(NA)	(NA)	3 512 251	-	-	12 734 553	1 055 561	11 678 992
COLUMBIA SMSA	313 749	244 616	(NA)	(NA)	54 212	-	-	313 749	14 921	298 828
BOONE CO	313 749	244 616	(NA)	(NA)	54 212	-	-	313 749	14 921	298 828
COLUMBIA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANSAS SMSA*	3 549 465	2 051 673	(NA)	(NA)	1 186 894	-	-	3 549 465	310 898	3 238 567
CASS CO	163 604	94 623	(NA)	(NA)	33 751	-	-	163 604	35 230	128 374
CLAY CO	655 905	418 272	(NA)	(NA)	184 380	-	-	655 905	53 253	602 652
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
JACKSON CO	2 439 800	1 356 967	(NA)	(NA)	912 604	-	-	2 439 800	170 229	2 269 571
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLATTE CO	224 131	141 905	(NA)	(NA)	45 091	-	-	224 131	37 135	186 996
KANSAS CITY (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RAY CO	66 025	39 906	(NA)	(NA)	11 068	-	-	66 025	15 051	50 974
ST. JOSEPH SMSA	315 865	174 162	(NA)	(NA)	92 249	-	-	315 865	49 454	266 411
ANDREW CO	54 020	26 015	(NA)	(NA)	13 159	-	-	54 020	14 846	39 174
BUCHANAN CO	261 845	148 147	(NA)	(NA)	79 090	-	-	261 845	34 608	227 237
ST. JOSEPH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA*	7 818 657	5 145 784	(NA)	(NA)	2 039 697	-	-	7 818 657	633 216	7 185 441
FRANKLIN CO	232 097	108 276	(NA)	(NA)	46 523	-	-	232 097	77 298	154 799
JEFFERSON CO	417 459	223 512	(NA)	(NA)	72 040	-	-	417 459	121 907	295 552
ST. CHARLES CO	609 617	448 145	(NA)	(NA)	104 296	-	-	609 617	57 176	552 441
ST. LOUIS CO	4 847 047	3 339 997	(NA)	(NA)	1 208 628	-	-	4 847 047	298 422	4 548 625
FLORISSANT	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. LOUIS CITY	1 712 437	1 025 814	(NA)	(NA)	608 210	-	-	1 712 437	78 413	1 634 024
SPRINGFIELD SMSA	736 817	550 546	(NA)	(NA)	139 149	-	-	736 817	47 072	689 745
CHRISTIAN CO	49 665	28 340	(NA)	(NA)	11 745	-	-	49 665	9 380	40 285
GREENE CO	687 152	522 006	(NA)	(NA)	127 454	-	-	687 152	37 692	649 460
SPRINGFIELD	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA PORTION	6 066 826	3 448 603	(NA)	(NA)	1 508 258	-	-	6 066 826	1 109 965	4 956 861
ADAIR	69 260	43 336	(NA)	(NA)	14 847	-	-	69 260	11 077	58 183
ATCHISON	46 909	26 624	(NA)	(NA)	11 531	-	-	46 909	8 754	38 155
AUDRAIN	92 868	56 023	(NA)	(NA)	19 577	-	-	92 868	17 268	75 600
BARRY	46 958	27 973	(NA)	(NA)	12 026	-	-	46 958	6 959	39 999
BARTON	39 778	19 021	(NA)	(NA)	10 924	-	-	39 778	9 833	29 945
BATES	55 780	26 802	(NA)	(NA)	14 798	-	-	55 780	11 180	44 600
BENTON	42 134	26 402	(NA)	(NA)	8 228	-	-	42 134	7 504	34 630
BOLLINGER	27 087	9 339	(NA)	(NA)	5 520	-	-	27 087	11 728	15 359
BUTLER	85 723	49 438	(NA)	(NA)	18 348	-	-	85 723	17 937	67 786
CALDWELL	33 267	19 406	(NA)	(NA)	6 293	-	-	33 267	7 568	25 699
CALLAWAY	205 209	63 174	(NA)	(NA)	127 992	-	-	205 209	14 043	191 166
CAMDEN	102 967	65 772	(NA)	(NA)	9 810	-	-	102 967	27 385	75 582
CAPE GIRARDEAU	222 199	143 283	(NA)	(NA)	38 984	-	-	222 199	29 932	192 267
CARROLL	77 030	30 883	(NA)	(NA)	13 958	-	-	77 030	32 189	44 841
CARTER	9 707	5 720	(NA)	(NA)	2 756	-	-	9 707	1 231	8 476
CEDAR	26 768	14 942	(NA)	(NA)	9 211	-	-	26 768	2 615	24 153
CHARITON	68 818	27 862	(NA)	(NA)	11 428	-	-	68 818	29 528	39 290
CLARK	40 137	21 543	(NA)	(NA)	11 748	-	-	40 137	6 846	33 291

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MISSOURI--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
CLINTON	58 138	38 590	(NA)	(NA)	10 843	-	-	58 138	8 905	49 233
COLE	231 668	167 590	(NA)	(NA)	52 063	-	-	231 668	12 015	219 653
COOPER	56 329	31 098	(NA)	(NA)	12 954	-	-	56 329	12 277	44 052
CRAWFORD	45 450	29 872	(NA)	(NA)	9 480	-	-	45 450	6 098	39 352
DADE	26 433	12 451	(NA)	(NA)	6 403	-	-	26 433	7 579	18 854
DALLAS	26 500	14 168	(NA)	(NA)	6 881	-	-	26 500	5 451	21 049
DAVIESS	36 786	23 410	(NA)	(NA)	9 328	-	-	36 786	4 048	32 738
DE KALB	33 019	18 877	(NA)	(NA)	8 565	-	-	33 019	5 577	27 442
DENT	42 051	25 204	(NA)	(NA)	12 271	-	-	42 051	4 576	37 475
DOUGLAS	25 153	13 064	(NA)	(NA)	7 001	-	-	25 153	4 488	20 665
DUNKLIN	79 524	47 167	(NA)	(NA)	15 567	-	-	79 524	16 790	62 734
GASCONADE	44 602	28 308	(NA)	(NA)	9 363	-	-	44 602	6 931	37 671
GENTRY	31 499	19 694	(NA)	(NA)	6 469	-	-	31 499	5 336	26 163
GRUNDY	40 880	23 799	(NA)	(NA)	10 746	-	-	40 880	6 335	34 545
HARRISON	42 697	25 935	(NA)	(NA)	12 096	-	-	42 697	4 666	38 031
HENRY	67 683	36 197	(NA)	(NA)	18 264	-	-	67 683	13 222	54 461
HICKORY	18 672	11 345	(NA)	(NA)	4 515	-	-	18 672	2 812	15 860
HOLT	42 059	21 941	(NA)	(NA)	10 787	-	-	42 059	9 331	32 728
HOWARD	40 583	17 991	(NA)	(NA)	9 992	-	-	40 583	13 000	27 583
HOWELL	50 434	30 262	(NA)	(NA)	13 361	-	-	50 434	6 811	43 623
IRON	65 505	40 696	(NA)	(NA)	20 788	-	-	65 505	4 021	61 484
JASPER	283 962	166 683	(NA)	(NA)	82 879	-	-	283 962	34 400	249 562
JOHNSON	107 056	65 670	(NA)	(NA)	20 805	-	-	107 056	20 581	86 475
KNOX	25 067	13 132	(NA)	(NA)	5 823	-	-	25 067	6 112	18 955
LACLEDE	60 108	32 525	(NA)	(NA)	20 651	-	-	60 108	6 932	53 176
LAFAYETTE	97 127	53 992	(NA)	(NA)	21 386	-	-	97 127	21 749	75 378
LAWRENCE	67 803	32 720	(NA)	(NA)	18 215	-	-	67 803	16 868	50 935
LEWIS	33 307	17 731	(NA)	(NA)	9 601	-	-	33 307	5 975	27 332
LINCOLN	74 443	45 742	(NA)	(NA)	14 865	-	-	74 443	13 836	60 607
LINN	46 323	26 006	(NA)	(NA)	12 373	-	-	46 323	7 944	38 379
LIVINGSTON	57 592	34 895	(NA)	(NA)	14 671	-	-	57 592	8 026	49 566
MCDONALD	25 869	13 189	(NA)	(NA)	7 472	-	-	25 869	5 208	20 661
MACON	55 225	32 696	(NA)	(NA)	12 584	-	-	55 225	9 945	45 280
MADISON	32 000	15 413	(NA)	(NA)	6 095	-	-	32 000	10 492	21 508
MARIES	23 220	10 887	(NA)	(NA)	5 048	-	-	23 220	7 285	15 935
MARION	103 532	65 849	(NA)	(NA)	28 926	-	-	103 532	8 757	94 775
MERCER	23 552	14 568	(NA)	(NA)	7 584	-	-	23 552	1 400	22 152
MILLER	67 020	26 012	(NA)	(NA)	10 971	-	-	67 020	30 037	36 983
MISSISSIPPI	57 030	38 480	(NA)	(NA)	9 890	-	-	57 030	8 660	48 370
MONITEAU	38 512	24 111	(NA)	(NA)	8 262	-	-	38 512	6 139	32 373
MONROE	37 066	21 523	(NA)	(NA)	9 370	-	-	37 066	6 173	30 893
MONTGOMERY	49 223	24 977	(NA)	(NA)	10 953	-	-	49 223	13 293	35 930
MORGAN	55 409	31 198	(NA)	(NA)	9 493	-	-	55 409	14 718	40 691
NEW MADRID	122 167	87 681	(NA)	(NA)	16 885	-	-	122 167	17 601	104 566
NEWTON	101 636	52 480	(NA)	(NA)	25 327	-	-	101 636	23 829	77 807
NODAWAY	98 119	52 547	(NA)	(NA)	32 012	-	-	98 119	13 560	84 559
OREGON	19 216	10 300	(NA)	(NA)	5 646	-	-	19 216	3 270	15 946
OSAGE	38 897	15 931	(NA)	(NA)	9 892	-	-	38 897	13 074	25 823
OZARK	20 925	12 515	(NA)	(NA)	5 336	-	-	20 925	3 074	17 851
PEMISCOT	65 032	41 389	(NA)	(NA)	9 879	-	-	65 032	13 764	51 268
PERRY	66 350	34 525	(NA)	(NA)	17 024	-	-	66 350	14 801	51 549
PETTIS	147 998	92 044	(NA)	(NA)	31 278	-	-	147 998	24 676	123 322
PHELPS	83 678	60 988	(NA)	(NA)	14 756	-	-	83 678	7 934	75 744
PIKE	86 540	49 736	(NA)	(NA)	18 244	-	-	86 540	18 560	67 980
POLK	40 561	22 169	(NA)	(NA)	10 897	-	-	40 561	7 495	33 066
PULASKI	35 968	20 955	(NA)	(NA)	10 251	-	-	35 968	5 662	30 306
PUTNAM	26 232	15 051	(NA)	(NA)	8 933	-	-	26 232	2 248	23 984
RALLS	44 127	23 143	(NA)	(NA)	10 728	-	-	44 127	10 256	33 871
RANDOLPH	120 178	43 777	(NA)	(NA)	61 954	-	-	120 178	14 447	105 731
REYNOLDS	42 091	31 615	(NA)	(NA)	8 156	-	-	42 091	2 320	39 771
RIPLEY	18 782	11 195	(NA)	(NA)	4 887	-	-	18 782	2 700	16 082
ST. CLAIR	26 050	12 523	(NA)	(NA)	7 106	-	-	26 050	6 421	19 629
ST. FRANCOIS	134 032	62 807	(NA)	(NA)	19 790	-	-	134 032	51 435	82 597
STE. GENEVIEVE	63 244	33 611	(NA)	(NA)	12 589	-	-	63 244	17 044	46 200
SALINE	97 364	52 015	(NA)	(NA)	15 561	-	-	97 364	29 788	67 576
SCHUYLER	19 132	8 833	(NA)	(NA)	4 432	-	-	19 132	5 867	13 265
SCOTLAND	28 443	17 243	(NA)	(NA)	7 353	-	-	28 443	3 847	24 596
SCOTT	99 024	59 514	(NA)	(NA)	20 335	-	-	99 024	19 175	79 849
SHANNON	13 648	6 729	(NA)	(NA)	3 830	-	-	13 648	3 089	10 559
SHELBY	32 117	19 610	(NA)	(NA)	9 090	-	-	32 117	3 417	28 700
STODDARD	82 699	48 219	(NA)	(NA)	13 088	-	-	82 699	21 392	61 307
STONE	45 868	26 557	(NA)	(NA)	14 431	-	-	45 868	4 880	40 988
SULLIVAN	25 863	15 006	(NA)	(NA)	8 101	-	-	25 863	2 756	23 107
TANEY	68 398	49 887	(NA)	(NA)	13 006	-	-	68 398	5 505	62 893
TEXAS	40 002	20 294	(NA)	(NA)	14 220	-	-	40 002	5 488	34 514

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MISSOURI--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
VERNON	67 949	34 194	(NA)	(NA)	20 570	-	-	67 949	13 185	54 764
WARREN	52 032	31 615	(NA)	(NA)	13 213	-	-	52 032	7 004	45 028
WASHINGTON	58 465	32 772	(NA)	(NA)	14 796	-	-	58 465	10 897	47 568
WAYNE	22 377	10 511	(NA)	(NA)	5 672	-	-	22 377	6 194	16 183
WEBSTER	44 617	25 309	(NA)	(NA)	12 238	-	-	44 617	7 070	37 547
WORTH	18 624	11 876	(NA)	(NA)	3 853	-	-	18 624	2 895	15 729
WRIGHT	29 671	15 436	(NA)	(NA)	9 266	-	-	29 671	4 969	24 702
MONTANA[23]										
TOTAL	2 020 635	744 841	277 698	467 143	507 587	-	-	2 020 635	768 207	1 252 428
SMSA PORTION	295 924	177 231	50 402	126 829	81 611	-	-	295 924	37 082	258 842
BILLINGS SMSA	200 672	118 372	34 263	84 109	57 990	-	-	200 672	24 310	176 362
YELLOWSTONE CO	200 672	118 372	34 263	84 109	57 990	-	-	200 672	24 310	176 362
BILLINGS	110 395	76 693	20 032	56 661	24 148	-	-	110 395	9 554	100 841
GREAT FALLS SMSA	95 252	58 859	16 139	42 720	23 621	-	-	95 252	12 772	82 480
CASCADE CO	95 252	58 859	16 139	42 720	23 621	-	-	95 252	12 772	82 480
GREAT FALLS	61 084	43 142	9 110	34 032	13 402	-	-	61 084	4 540	56 544
NON-SMSA PORTION	1 724 711	567 610	227 296	340 314	425 976	-	-	1 724 711	731 125	993 586
BEAVERHEAD	15 815	6 657	2 832	3 825	6 384	-	-	15 815	2 774	13 041
BIG HORN	108 079	10 256	3 970	6 284	22 116	-	-	108 079	75 709	32 370
BLAINE	35 218	6 369	4 042	2 327	5 110	-	-	35 218	23 739	11 479
BROADWATER	7 574	3 135	1 337	1 798	3 017	-	-	7 574	1 422	6 152
CARBON	26 603	8 540	3 472	5 068	4 945	-	-	26 603	13 118	13 485
CARTER	7 698	2 630	1 746	884	2 869	-	-	7 698	2 199	5 499
CHOUTEAU	29 717	16 833	12 800	4 033	9 414	-	-	29 717	3 470	26 247
CUSTER	20 262	11 535	4 189	7 346	6 129	-	-	20 262	2 598	17 664
DANIELS	8 689	4 242	2 367	1 875	3 701	-	-	8 689	746	7 943
DAWSON	27 145	10 648	3 700	6 948	8 591	-	-	27 145	7 906	19 239
DEER LODGE	15 351	6 444	1 051	5 393	6 797	-	-	15 351	2 110	13 241
FALLON	65 863	3 800	1 548	2 252	5 373	-	-	65 863	56 690	9 173
FERGUS	23 356	12 457	6 803	5 654	9 159	-	-	23 356	1 740	21 616
FLATHEAD	84 968	51 946	17 426	34 520	24 438	-	-	84 968	8 584	76 384
GALLATIN	63 008	33 557	9 147	24 410	22 096	-	-	63 008	7 355	55 653
GARFIELD	6 691	3 320	2 595	725	2 932	-	-	6 691	439	6 252
GLACIER	47 745	7 645	3 672	3 973	6 656	-	-	47 745	33 444	14 301
GOLDEN VALLEY	4 362	1 715	1 159	556	1 270	-	-	4 362	1 377	2 985
GRANITE	5 935	2 244	1 063	1 181	2 159	-	-	5 935	1 532	4 403
HILL	43 714	18 676	8 517	10 159	11 109	-	-	43 714	13 929	29 785
JEFFERSON	11 533	4 102	971	3 131	4 039	-	-	11 533	3 392	8 141
JUDITH BASIN	10 018	4 738	3 281	1 457	3 055	-	-	10 018	2 225	7 793
LAKE	28 003	17 636	7 845	9 791	7 144	-	-	28 003	3 223	24 780
LEWIS AND CLARK	58 661	34 185	6 150	28 035	14 574	-	-	58 661	9 902	48 759
LIBERTY	18 945	5 658	3 703	1 955	3 585	-	-	18 945	9 702	9 243
LINCOLN	31 122	14 423	5 377	9 046	10 105	-	-	31 122	6 594	24 528
MCCONE	11 926	5 058	3 435	1 623	4 542	-	-	11 926	2 326	9 600
MADISON	14 899	7 725	3 365	4 360	5 479	-	-	14 899	1 695	13 204
MEAGHER	5 329	2 630	1 649	981	2 332	-	-	5 329	367	4 962
MINERAL	4 891	1 995	760	1 235	1 697	-	-	4 891	1 199	3 692
MISSOULA	136 727	78 979	27 529	51 450	46 823	-	-	136 727	10 925	125 802
MUSSELSHELL	24 029	3 742	1 943	1 799	3 270	-	-	24 029	17 017	7 012
PARK	18 747	10 239	3 237	7 002	4 579	-	-	18 747	3 929	14 818
PETROLEUM	3 367	834	653	181	948	-	-	3 367	1 585	1 782
PHILLIPS	26 646	7 044	3 901	3 143	5 051	-	-	26 646	14 551	12 095
PONDERA	23 989	9 468	5 422	4 046	5 843	-	-	23 989	8 678	15 311
POWDER RIVER	63 538	2 786	1 892	894	4 111	-	-	63 538	56 641	6 897
POWELL	12 406	5 068	2 058	3 010	3 327	-	-	12 406	4 011	8 395
PRAIRIE	5 669	1 972	1 123	849	2 100	-	-	5 669	1 597	4 072
RAVALLI	24 233	13 383	3 357	10 026	8 342	-	-	24 233	2 508	21 725
RICHLAND	106 560	10 862	4 352	6 510	16 978	-	-	106 560	78 720	27 840
ROOSEVELT	39 543	8 357	4 108	4 249	7 674	-	-	39 543	23 512	16 031
ROSEBUD	121 208	15 370	3 271	12 099	32 659	-	-	121 208	73 179	48 029
SANDERS	20 073	5 263	2 133	3 130	3 837	-	-	20 073	10 973	9 100
SHERIDAN	75 350	7 049	3 775	3 274	6 144	-	-	75 350	62 157	13 193
SILVER BOW	52 950	22 712	4 850	17 862	20 074	-	-	52 950	10 164	42 786
STILLWATER	14 130	6 131	2 952	3 179	3 986	-	-	14 130	4 013	10 117
SWEET GRASS	7 416	3 428	1 635	1 793	2 501	-	-	7 416	1 487	5 929
TETON	19 294	8 755	5 303	3 452	5 833	-	-	19 294	4 706	14 588
TOOLE	40 299	8 846	5 334	3 512	6 217	-	-	40 299	25 236	15 063
TREASURE	3 954	1 197	788	409	1 326	-	-	3 954	1 431	2 523
VALLEY	23 091	11 063	5 170	5 893	8 501	-	-	23 091	3 527	19 564
WHEATLAND	5 175	2 248	1 310	938	1 799	-	-	5 175	1 128	4 047
WIBAUX	13 197	2 017	1 228	789	3 236	-	-	13 197	7 944	5 253

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NEBRASKA[27]										
TOTAL	37 262 456	31 696 075	15 855 849	15 840 226	4 937 527	1 234 045	17 106	36 011 305	628 854	35 382 451
SMSA PORTION	12 206 351	10 062 509	2 457 947	7 604 562	2 063 578	492 507	4 437	11 709 407	80 264	11 629 143
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*	307 312	259 444	100 310	159 134	45 979	12 227	244	294 841	1 889	292 952
DAKOTA CO.	307 312	259 444	100 310	159 134	45 979	12 227	244	294 841	1 889	292 952
LINCOLN SMSA	3 709 430	3 082 649	941 579	2 141 070	602 754	149 469	1 620	3 558 341	24 027	3 534 314
LANCASTER CO	3 709 430	3 082 649	941 579	2 141 070	602 754	149 469	1 620	3 558 341	24 027	3 534 314
LINCOLN	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*	8 189 609	6 720 416	1 416 058	5 304 358	1 414 845	330 811	2 573	7 856 225	54 348	7 801 877
DOUGLAS CO	7 050 522	5 736 272	1 143 276	4 592 996	1 266 111	297 298	2 344	6 750 880	48 139	6 702 741
OMAHA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SARPY CO	1 139 087	984 144	272 782	711 362	148 734	33 513	229	1 105 345	6 209	1 099 136
NON-SMSA PORTION	25 056 105	21 633 566	13 397 902	8 235 664	2 873 949	741 538	12 669	24 301 898	548 590	23 753 308
ADAMS	773 600	662 080	292 133	369 947	97 518	28 009	461	745 130	14 002	731 128
ANTELOPE	295 643	268 176	200 355	67 821	26 093	7 212	94	288 337	1 374	286 963
ARTHUR	36 449	34 499	31 446	3 053	1 905	139	-	36 310	45	36 265
BANNER	74 306	57 994	50 831	7 163	16 355	139	11	74 246	47	74 199
BLAINE	39 866	34 423	28 552	5 871	2 081	402	12	39 452	3 362	36 090
BOONE	250 501	226 519	155 114	71 405	21 029	6 611	47	243 843	2 953	240 890
BOX BUTTE	282 875	232 576	121 180	111 396	40 774	10 144	516	272 215	9 525	262 690
BOYD	77 294	68 632	49 728	18 904	8 573	3 238	66	73 990	89	73 901
BROWN	148 125	133 525	88 694	44 831	14 054	5 355	79	142 691	546	142 145
BUFFALO	770 203	631 100	309 631	321 469	110 910	22 602	694	746 907	28 193	718 714
BURT	287 208	252 449	179 556	72 893	32 732	7 611	68	279 529	2 027	277 502
BUTLER	326 279	290 138	211 237	78 901	31 714	7 937	72	318 270	4 427	313 843
CASS	492 277	414 040	241 354	172 686	71 444	13 221	165	478 891	6 793	472 098
CEDAR	298 220	266 652	184 654	81 998	30 715	8 359	103	289 758	853	288 905
CHASE	222 267	197 142	151 225	45 917	24 400	3 573	107	218 587	725	217 862
CHERRY	282 426	253 306	187 914	65 392	27 252	4 561	114	277 751	1 868	275 883
CHEYENNE	334 103	255 958	162 160	93 798	53 461	9 125	218	324 760	24 684	300 076
CLAY	350 421	315 787	230 942	84 845	26 097	5 735	43	344 643	8 537	336 106
COLFAX	277 962	245 448	144 539	100 909	23 466	9 863	99	268 000	9 048	258 952
CUMING	350 418	314 866	203 323	111 543	34 934	8 730	76	341 612	618	340 994
CUSTER	475 738	417 368	301 675	115 693	45 078	11 614	142	463 982	13 292	450 690
DAWES	169 025	134 841	63 371	71 470	25 045	7 402	205	161 418	9 139	152 279
DAWSON	677 932	563 271	326 983	236 288	90 024	17 363	356	660 213	24 637	635 576
DEUEL	107 711	80 532	60 277	20 255	14 906	1 866	33	105 812	12 273	93 539
DIXON	190 634	173 033	117 476	57 557	14 890	7 392	59	183 183	711	182 472
DODGE	677 979	565 600	264 070	301 530	96 815	31 086	253	646 640	15 564	631 076
DUNDY	151 788	136 442	117 311	19 131	12 465	1 507	2	150 279	2 881	147 398
FILLMORE	325 358	295 541	215 909	79 632	25 730	6 233	22	319 103	4 087	315 016
FRANKLIN	196 374	178 364	145 702	32 662	16 451	3 729	46	192 599	1 559	191 040
FRONTIER	206 916	190 539	162 220	28 319	15 102	2 538	63	204 315	1 275	203 040
FURNAS	218 466	196 769	153 364	43 405	18 238	5 799	42	212 625	3 459	209 166
GAGE	575 383	485 563	243 769	241 794	81 079	26 028	193	549 162	8 741	540 421
GARDEN	130 542	116 531	94 141	22 390	10 462	2 282	25	128 235	3 549	124 686
GARFIELD	71 645	64 995	44 984	20 011	6 460	2 895	66	68 684	190	68 494
GOSPER	163 907	146 138	117 319	28 819	16 557	1 820	26	161 661	812	160 849
GRANT	45 669	37 614	32 983	4 631	3 436	287	10	45 372	4 619	40 753
GREELEY	105 987	94 628	69 776	24 852	9 148	2 860	71	103 056	2 211	100 845
HALL	1 098 058	910 622	346 529	564 093	181 056	41 995	690	1 055 373	26 380	1 028 993
HAMILTON	446 919	403 859	286 657	117 202	36 495	5 211	142	441 566	6 565	435 001
HARLAN	189 035	171 870	136 017	35 853	14 197	4 130	14	184 891	2 968	181 923
HAYES	104 933	98 756	83 892	14 864	5 738	473	1	104 459	439	104 020
HITCHCOCK	177 506	162 009	141 749	20 260	12 675	2 914	12	174 580	2 822	171 758
HOLT	370 362	332 655	230 766	101 889	35 807	10 837	179	359 346	1 900	357 446
HOOKER	35 578	27 963	20 906	7 057	2 318	793	32	34 753	5 297	29 456
HOWARD	207 110	182 946	126 324	56 622	18 147	5 736	37	201 337	6 017	195 320
JEFFERSON	283 500	246 781	162 395	84 386	29 163	10 689	54	272 757	7 556	265 201
JOHNSON	150 820	131 275	96 031	35 244	15 392	5 207	37	145 576	4 153	141 423
KEARNEY	327 484	295 103	212 877	82 226	29 526	4 650	40	322 794	2 855	319 939
KEITH	269 199	205 528	109 478	96 050	39 706	7 470	270	261 459	23 965	237 494
KEYA PAHA	39 651	35 826	25 970	9 856	3 802	690	32	38 929	23	38 906
KIMBALL	204 223	153 365	103 522	49 843	33 905	3 704	142	200 377	16 953	183 424
KNOX	269 451	248 454	171 493	76 961	20 834	11 621	146	257 684	163	257 521
LINCOLN	922 769	732 702	340 493	392 209	136 834	33 832	1 666	887 271	53 233	834 038
LOGAN	45 261	43 036	36 340	6 696	2 210	815	5	44 441	15	44 426
LOUP	37 884	35 929	31 432	4 497	1 941	433	-	37 451	14	37 437
MCPHERSON	38 162	35 735	31 043	4 692	2 414	249	21	37 892	13	37 879
MADISON	666 939	550 833	218 599	332 234	112 615	30 849	357	635 733	3 491	632 242
MERRICK	311 210	263 112	178 870	84 242	25 892	6 938	95	304 177	22 206	281 971
MORRILL	181 656	146 323	101 170	45 153	25 035	5 363	167	176 126	10 298	165 828
NANCE	157 149	140 223	95 558	44 665	13 755	5 677	33	151 439	3 171	148 268

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NEBRASKA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
NEMAHA	219 390	194 348	130 679	63 669	21 933	6 849	57	212 484	3 109	209 375
NUCKOLLS	241 671	218 916	161 536	57 380	19 179	6 590	15	235 066	3 576	231 490
OTOE	363 069	313 398	168 272	145 126	44 715	14 641	278	348 150	4 956	343 194
PAWNEE	124 745	111 305	90 174	21 131	10 995	3 237	33	121 475	2 445	119 030
PERKINS	213 601	192 108	153 407	38 701	19 807	2 298	149	211 154	1 686	209 468
PHELPS	447 950	389 684	264 828	124 856	55 889	7 850	210	439 890	2 377	437 513
PIERCE	238 972	216 835	150 342	66 493	20 981	9 175	149	229 648	1 156	228 492
PLATTE	651 828	529 935	211 751	318 184	107 229	26 512	307	625 009	14 664	610 345
POLK	270 460	246 651	188 659	57 992	20 742	5 115	24	265 321	3 067	262 254
RED WILLOW	339 284	295 481	163 861	131 620	40 398	13 951	294	325 039	3 405	321 634
RICHARDSON	327 768	292 633	184 051	108 582	27 905	12 885	224	314 659	7 230	307 429
ROCK	111 782	104 339	79 320	25 019	7 074	1 804	24	109 954	369	109 585
SALINE	399 159	357 796	219 733	138 063	37 524	13 314	87	385 758	3 839	381 919
SAUNDERS	489 293	424 450	271 587	152 863	57 434	15 862	125	473 306	7 409	465 897
SCOTTS BLUFF	660 264	540 355	172 975	367 380	109 394	30 811	772	628 681	10 515	618 166
SEWARD	395 503	347 702	211 952	135 750	40 513	11 336	147	384 020	7 288	376 732
SHERIDAN	212 987	181 594	111 605	69 989	23 514	5 158	170	207 659	7 879	199 780
SHERMAN	131 376	114 090	84 788	29 302	12 842	3 797	35	127 544	4 444	123 100
SIOUX	76 518	64 973	51 699	13 274	8 680	519	12	75 987	2 865	73 122
STANTON	198 121	169 397	120 697	48 700	28 372	4 251	81	193 789	352	193 437
THAYER	280 075	246 633	190 964	55 669	26 249	6 559	58	273 458	7 193	266 265
THOMAS	34 584	24 440	18 487	5 953	3 406	318	35	34 231	6 738	27 493
THURSTON	141 419	124 206	88 992	35 214	16 102	3 712	84	137 623	1 111	136 512
VALLEY	151 391	134 006	86 521	47 485	14 989	5 860	98	145 433	2 396	143 037
WASHINGTON	334 218	293 151	150 937	142 214	40 449	10 752	104	323 362	618	322 744
WAYNE	238 892	217 977	135 591	82 386	20 658	7 679	98	231 115	257	230 858
WEBSTER	179 191	163 644	125 145	38 499	13 075	4 941	53	174 197	2 472	171 725
WHEELER	75 482	73 902	63 263	9 739	2 455	569	4	74 909	25	74 884
YORK	511 066	460 533	302 077	158 456	44 596	9 650	111	501 305	5 937	495 368
NEVADA[2 3 28 29]										
TOTAL	8 596 095	6 880 689	(NA)	(NA)	807 593	25 788	-	8 570 307	907 813	7 662 494
SMSA PORTION	6 594 774	5 503 944	(NA)	(NA)	619 551	18 424	-	6 576 350	471 279	6 105 071
LAS VEGAS SMSA	4 049 071	3 313 401	(NA)	(NA)	399 940	10 804	-	4 038 267	335 730	3 702 537
CLARK CO	4 049 071	3 313 401	(NA)	(NA)	399 940	10 804	-	4 038 267	335 730	3 702 537
LAS VEGAS	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RENO SMSA	2 545 703	2 190 543	(NA)	(NA)	219 611	7 620	-	2 538 083	135 549	2 402 534
WASHOE CO	2 545 703	2 190 543	(NA)	(NA)	219 611	7 620	-	2 538 083	135 549	2 402 534
RENO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA PORTION	2 001 321	1 376 745	(NA)	(NA)	188 042	7 364	-	1 993 957	436 534	1 557 423
CHURCHILL	87 602	59 601	(NA)	(NA)	10 622	970	-	86 632	17 379	69 253
DOUGLAS	536 371	492 960	(NA)	(NA)	26 302	318	-	536 053	15 109	520 944
ELKO	210 901	142 840	(NA)	(NA)	37 167	891	-	210 010	30 894	179 116
ESMERALDA	21 725	4 172	(NA)	(NA)	1 797	57	-	21 668	15 756	5 912
EUREKA	71 485	9 461	(NA)	(NA)	5 172	67	-	71 418	56 852	14 566
HUMBOLDT	132 290	59 465	(NA)	(NA)	17 714	445	-	131 845	55 111	76 734
LANDER	55 408	23 426	(NA)	(NA)	5 625	179	-	55 229	26 357	28 872
LINCOLN	36 687	12 717	(NA)	(NA)	4 444	224	-	36 463	19 526	16 937
LYON	136 788	88 220	(NA)	(NA)	15 370	680	-	136 108	33 198	102 910
MINERAL	37 918	10 075	(NA)	(NA)	8 047	536	-	37 382	19 796	17 586
NYE	189 222	97 503	(NA)	(NA)	15 632	354	-	188 868	76 087	112 781
CARSON CITY	339 934	296 117	(NA)	(NA)	27 857	1 525	-	338 409	15 960	322 449
PERSHING	52 330	22 975	(NA)	(NA)	4 069	247	-	52 083	25 286	26 797
STOREY	38 220	25 088	(NA)	(NA)	1 387	95	-	38 125	11 745	26 380
WHITE PINE	54 440	32 125	(NA)	(NA)	4 837	776	-	53 664	17 478	36 186
NEW HAMPSHIRE**[2 30]										
TOTAL	14 963 753	14 937 095	4 140 138	10 796 957	-	153 366	-	14 810 387	26 658	14 783 729
NECMA PORTION, BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA*	7 698 690	7 697 522	1 935 835	5 761 687	-	62 983	-	7 635 707	1 168	7 634 539
ROCKINGHAM CO. MANCHESTER-NASHUA NECMA	3 650 792	3 650 683	943 409	2 707 274	-	25 102	-	3 625 690	109	3 625 581
HILLSBOROUGH CO.	4 047 898	4 046 839	992 426	3 054 413	-	37 881	-	4 010 017	1 059	4 008 958
MANCHESTER	661 111	661 111	117 659	543 452	-	15 258	-	645 853	-	645 853
NASHUA	1 503 929	1 503 929	382 144	1 121 785	-	8 115	-	1 495 814	-	1 495 814
NON-NECMA PORTION	7 265 063	7 239 573	2 204 303	5 035 270	-	90 383	-	7 174 680	25 490	7 149 190
BELKNAP	837 673	837 261	304 153	533 108	-	7 386	-	830 287	412	829 875
CARROLL	950 773	945 610	382 095	563 515	-	5 659	-	945 114	5 163	939 951
CHESHIRE	997 772	996 386	283 457	712 929	-	11 714	-	986 058	1 386	984 672
COOS	484 453	481 487	109 769	371 718	-	10 203	-	474 250	2 966	471 284
GRAFTON	1 063 331	1 054 986	327 751	727 235	-	12 888	-	1 050 443	8 345	1 042 098
MERRIMACK	1 485 425	1 478 470	402 019	1 076 451	-	23 046	-	1 462 379	6 955	1 455 424
STRAFFORD	910 178	910 176	235 028	675 148	-	10 890	-	899 288	2	899 286
SULLIVAN	535 458	535 197	160 031	375 166	-	8 597	-	526 861	261	526 600

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NEW JERSEY[2]										
TOTAL	111 156 081	109 247 245	35 540 766	73 706 479	1 815 018	59 751	-	111 096 330	93 818	111 002 512
SMSA PORTION	97 327 173	95 609 073	30 612 293	64 996 780	1 624 854	36 167	-	97 291 006	93 246	97 197 760
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	725 193	702 504	145 488	557 016	22 626	-	-	725 193	63	725 130
SALEM CO	725 193	702 504	145 488	557 016	22 626	-	-	725 193	63	725 130
ATLANTIC CITY SMSA	4 230 057	4 170 232	1 605 035	2 565 197	59 603	125	-	4 229 932	222	4 229 710
ATLANTIC CO	4 230 057	4 170 232	1 605 035	2 565 197	59 603	125	-	4 229 932	222	4 229 710
JERSEY CITY SMSA	3 628 671	3 509 875	1 159 738	2 350 137	81 508	2 341	-	3 626 330	37 288	3 589 042
HUDSON CO	3 628 671	3 509 875	1 159 738	2 350 137	81 508	2 341	-	3 626 330	37 288	3 589 042
BAYONNE	412 045	404 402	132 055	272 347	7 077	-	-	412 045	566	411 479
JERSEY CITY	807 804	755 354	307 148	448 206	32 837	709	-	807 095	19 613	787 482
LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK SMSA	7 003 276	6 896 942	2 102 704	4 794 238	105 893	-	-	7 003 276	441	7 002 835
MONMOUTH CO	7 003 276	6 896 942	2 102 704	4 794 238	105 893	-	-	7 003 276	441	7 002 835
MIDDLETOWN TWP	683 106	677 044	241 931	435 113	6 061	-	-	683 106	1	683 105
NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE SMSA	9 191 263	9 014 438	2 552 362	6 462 076	167 769	-	-	9 191 263	9 056	9 182 207
MIDDLESEX CO	9 191 263	9 014 438	2 552 362	6 462 076	167 769	-	-	9 191 263	9 056	9 182 207
EDISON TWP	1 136 975	1 124 925	300 162	824 763	11 410	-	-	1 136 975	640	1 136 335
WOODBRIDGE TWP	1 210 800	1 194 739	366 988	827 751	13 156	-	-	1 210 800	2 905	1 207 895
NEWARK SMSA	27 920 537	27 372 678	9 144 774	18 227 904	512 453	8 203	-	27 912 334	35 406	27 876 928
ESSEX CO	8 087 037	7 857 991	2 511 439	5 346 552	208 033	3 925	-	8 083 112	21 013	8 062 099
NEWARK	1 066 560	952 934	250 728	702 206	94 011	3 749	-	1 062 811	19 615	1 043 196
MORRIS CO	7 139 715	7 017 313	2 397 391	4 619 922	121 404	195	-	7 139 520	998	7 138 522
PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TWP	608 813	603 760	181 660	422 100	5 050	103	-	608 710	3	608 707
SOMERSET CO	4 856 628	4 785 366	1 640 170	3 145 196	70 477	3 300	-	4 853 328	785	4 852 543
UNION CO	7 837 157	7 712 008	2 595 774	5 116 234	112 539	783	-	7 836 374	12 610	7 823 764
ELIZABETH	981 767	946 980	288 249	658 731	25 073	-	-	981 767	9 714	972 053
PATERSON-CLIFTON-PASSAIC SMSA	4 779 977	4 703 590	1 519 170	3 184 420	75 238	1 690	-	4 778 287	1 149	4 777 138
PASSAIC CO	4 779 977	4 703 590	1 519 170	3 184 420	75 238	1 690	-	4 778 287	1 149	4 777 138
CLIFTON	1 119 513	1 109 995	413 259	696 736	9 398	-	-	1 119 513	120	1 119 393
PATERSON	624 981	604 428	105 168	499 260	19 819	-	-	624 981	734	624 247
TRENTON SMSA	3 935 481	3 853 347	1 017 824	2 835 523	79 399	1 598	-	3 933 883	2 735	3 931 148
MERCER CO	3 935 481	3 853 347	1 017 824	2 835 523	79 399	1 598	-	3 933 883	2 735	3 931 148
HAMILTON TWP	1 115 214	1 087 322	256 187	831 135	27 682	-	-	1 115 214	210	1 115 004
TRENTON	334 162	311 798	66 048	245 750	20 666	1 333	-	332 829	1 698	331 131
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON SMSA	1 381 242	1 347 277	292 521	1 054 756	33 743	2 859	-	1 378 383	222	1 378 161
CUMBERLAND CO	1 381 242	1 347 277	292 521	1 054 756	33 743	2 859	-	1 378 383	222	1 378 161
VINELAND	622 429	607 535	135 478	472 057	14 810	1 061	-	620 768	84	620 684
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA*	19 951 960	19 729 574	7 371 949	12 357 625	219 085	1 318	-	19 950 642	3 301	19 947 341
BERGEN CO	19 951 960	19 729 574	7 371 949	12 357 625	219 085	1 318	-	19 950 642	3 301	19 947 341
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J. SMSA*	1 447 779	1 423 136	386 902	1 036 234	24 179	1 724	-	1 446 055	464	1 445 591
WARREN CO	1 447 779	1 423 136	386 902	1 036 234	24 179	1 724	-	1 446 055	464	1 445 591
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA*	13 131 737	12 885 480	3 313 826	9 571 654	243 358	16 309	-	13 115 428	2 899	13 112 529
BURLINGTON CO	5 074 145	4 988 531	1 247 464	3 741 067	85 518	1 563	-	5 072 582	96	5 072 486
CAMDEN CO	5 259 147	5 143 685	1 327 984	3 815 701	112 792	5 035	-	5 254 112	2 670	5 251 442
CHERRY HILL TWP	1 170 615	1 157 684	325 110	832 574	12 931	-	-	1 170 615	-	1 170 615
GLOUCESTER CO	2 798 445	2 753 264	738 378	2 014 886	45 048	9 711	-	2 788 734	133	2 788 601
NON-SMSA PORTION	13 828 908	13 638 172	4 928 473	8 709 699	190 164	23 584	-	13 805 324	572	13 804 752
CAPE MAY	2 777 084	2 749 285	1 133 540	1 615 745	27 754	1	-	2 777 083	45	2 777 038
HUNTERDON	2 262 836	2 222 141	724 391	1 497 750	40 603	1	-	2 262 835	92	2 262 743
OCEAN	6 977 574	6 884 349	2 518 441	4 365 908	92 795	23 527	-	6 954 047	430	6 953 617
BRICK TWP	643 332	636 125	196 293	439 832	7 207	-	-	643 332	-	643 332
DOVER TWP	1 087 423	1 072 970	325 307	747 663	14 447	16 392	-	1 071 031	6	1 071 025
SUSSEX	1 811 414	1 782 397	552 101	1 230 296	29 012	55	-	1 811 359	5	1 811 354
NEW MEXICO										
TOTAL	5 647 801	3 496 984	1 273 959	2 223 025	341 622	122 243	-	5 525 558	1 809 195	3 716 363
SMSA TOTAL	1 857 337	1 578 835	601 218	977 617	61 943	45 029	-	1 812 308	216 559	1 595 749
ALBUQUERQUE SMSA	1 857 337	1 578 835	601 218	977 617	61 943	45 029	-	1 812 308	216 559	1 595 749
BERNALILLO CO	1 704 951	1 460 853	534 731	926 122	57 175	42 616	-	1 662 335	186 923	1 475 412
ALBUQUERQUE	1 548 485	1 378 113	391 364	986 749	45 293	-	-	1 548 485	125 079	1 423 406
SANDOVAL CO	152 386	117 982	66 487	51 495	4 768	2 413	-	149 973	29 636	120 337
NON-SMSA PORTION	3 790 464	1 918 149	672 741	1 245 408	279 679	77 214	-	3 713 250	1 592 636	2 120 614
CATRON	19 400	7 084	5 190	1 894	2 970	336	-	19 064	9 346	9 718
CHAVES	137 160	96 269	21 729	74 540	11 033	5 641	-	131 519	29 858	101 661
COLFAX	79 525	46 203	19 968	26 235	5 821	1 906	-	77 619	27 501	50 118
CURRY	121 359	94 406	28 604	65 802	9 299	3 746	-	117 613	17 654	99 959
DE BACA	12 955	7 488	3 316	4 172	1 590	476	-	12 479	3 877	8 602
DONA ANA	357 540	283 558	108 487	175 071	28 576	8 715	-	348 825	45 406	303 419
EDDY	303 390	112 790	18 386	94 404	17 949	5 112	-	298 278	172 651	125 627
GRANT	146 184	40 081	11 463	28 618	7 051	3 024	-	143 160	99 052	44 108
GUADALUPE	17 157	9 400	4 670	4 730	2 322	634	-	16 523	5 435	11 088

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NEW MEXICO--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
HARDING	6 478	3 830	2 352	1 478	2 142	189	-	6 289	506	5 783
HIDALGO	89 728	10 506	4 506	6 000	3 358	507	-	89 221	75 864	13 357
LEA	237 232	83 215	19 712	63 503	34 372	4 662	-	232 570	119 645	112 925
LINCOLN	93 046	71 490	23 281	48 209	5 547	1 027	-	92 019	16 009	76 010
LOS ALAMOS	109 654	99 386	25 906	73 480	5 123	1 308	-	108 346	5 145	103 201
LUNA	67 776	42 038	20 524	21 514	7 504	1 507	-	66 269	18 234	48 035
MCKINLEY	269 480	65 197	20 816	44 381	11 308	1 808	-	267 672	192 975	74 697
MORA	11 656	8 123	4 201	3 922	1 154	730	-	10 926	2 379	8 547
OTERO	165 498	139 931	60 171	79 760	9 952	3 393	-	162 105	15 615	146 490
QUAY	38 313	24 739	10 914	13 825	4 971	1 520	-	36 793	8 603	28 190
RIO ARRIBA	56 484	22 343	11 284	11 059	6 285	2 610	-	53 874	27 856	26 018
ROOSEVELT	48 950	33 465	9 758	23 707	5 358	1 902	-	47 048	10 127	36 921
SAN JUAN	650 879	120 233	24 225	96 008	43 309	3 531	-	647 348	487 337	160 011
SAN MIGUEL	66 362	50 236	23 778	26 458	6 036	2 717	-	63 645	10 090	53 555
SANTA FE	230 064	180 497	44 616	135 881	14 100	8 636	-	221 428	35 467	185 961
SIERRA	32 873	19 170	8 150	11 020	4 679	948	-	31 925	9 024	22 901
SOCORRO	43 168	24 828	14 256	10 572	3 763	1 318	-	41 850	14 577	27 273
TAOS	80 040	43 353	14 869	28 484	3 324	2 604	-	77 436	33 363	44 073
TORRANCE	39 675	22 771	15 118	7 653	5 309	847	-	38 828	11 595	27 233
UNION	22 799	14 872	6 399	8 473	4 088	565	-	22 234	3 839	18 395
VALENCIA	235 639	140 647	86 092	54 555	11 386	5 295	-	230 344	83 606	146 738
NEW YORK[2 31]										
TOTAL	107 926 725	101 935 461	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 372 820	-	100 553 905	5 991 264	94 562 641
SMSA PORTION	90 974 331	85 797 830	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 707 723	-	84 266 608	5 176 501	79 090 107
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY SMSA	6 144 248	5 792 869	(NA)	(NA)	-	296 889	-	5 847 359	351 379	5 495 980
ALBANY CO	1 103 740	1 043 634	(NA)	(NA)	-	61 455	-	1 042 285	60 105	982 179
ALBANY	286 255	262 650	(NA)	(NA)	-	19 630	-	266 625	23 605	243 020
COLONIE TOWN	161 993	155 013	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 428	-	154 565	6 980	147 585
MONTGOMERY CO	170 031	159 873	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 394	-	161 637	10 158	151 479
RENSSELAER CO	1 653 224	1 558 023	(NA)	(NA)	-	106 211	-	1 547 013	95 201	1 451 812
TROY	239 985	220 569	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 378	-	224 607	19 416	205 191
SARATOGA CO	2 343 486	2 204 261	(NA)	(NA)	-	74 372	-	2 269 114	139 225	2 129 889
SCHENECTADY CO	873 767	827 078	(NA)	(NA)	-	46 457	-	827 310	46 689	780 621
SCHENECTADY	184 671	173 947	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 156	-	168 515	10 724	157 791
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA*	639 895	612 078	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 093	-	613 802	27 817	585 985
BROOME CO	511 895	489 682	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 447	-	490 448	22 213	468 235
BINGHAMTON	145 374	137 109	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 333	-	137 041	8 265	128 776
UNION TOWN	124 897	122 328	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 926	-	119 971	2 569	117 402
TIOGA CO	128 000	122 396	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 646	-	123 354	5 604	117 750
BUFFALO SMSA	3 829 181	3 638 516	(NA)	(NA)	-	291 970	-	3 537 211	190 665	3 346 546
ERIE CO	2 615 972	2 476 888	(NA)	(NA)	-	249 514	-	2 366 458	139 084	2 227 374
BUFFALO	1 056 704	976 804	(NA)	(NA)	-	136 664	-	920 040	79 900	840 140
AMHERST TOWN	382 349	362 817	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 204	-	361 145	19 532	341 613
CHEEKTOWAGA TN	160 060	152 356	(NA)	(NA)	-	12 693	-	147 367	7 704	139 663
TONAWANDA TN	247 546	240 735	(NA)	(NA)	-	18 842	-	228 704	6 811	221 893
NIAGARA CO	1 213 209	1 161 628	(NA)	(NA)	-	42 456	-	1 170 753	51 581	1 119 172
NIAGARA FALLS	347 739	331 108	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 745	-	330 994	16 631	314 363
ELMIRA SMSA	367 071	349 759	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 610	-	350 461	17 312	333 149
CHEMUNG CO	367 071	349 759	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 610	-	350 461	17 312	333 149
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	10 005 643	9 624 015	(NA)	(NA)	-	679 559	-	9 326 084	381 628	8 944 456
NASSAU CO	3 746 099	3 595 155	(NA)	(NA)	-	210 616	-	3 535 483	150 944	3 384 539
HEMPSTEAD TOWN	1 848 622	1 769 283	(NA)	(NA)	-	117 339	-	1 731 283	79 339	1 651 944
NORTH HEMPSTEAD TN	825 883	798 072	(NA)	(NA)	-	33 541	-	792 342	27 811	764 531
OYSTER BAY TN	915 159	889 535	(NA)	(NA)	-	51 983	-	863 176	25 624	837 552
SUFFOLK CO	6 259 544	6 028 860	(NA)	(NA)	-	468 943	-	5 790 601	230 684	5 559 917
BABYLON TOWN	267 619	256 200	(NA)	(NA)	-	22 025	-	245 594	11 419	234 175
BROOKHAVEN TN	472 298	455 873	(NA)	(NA)	-	37 930	-	434 368	16 425	417 943
HUNTINGTON TN	324 493	313 409	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 043	-	297 450	11 084	286 366
ISLIP TOWN	4 083 206	3 934 673	(NA)	(NA)	-	316 028	-	3 767 178	148 533	3 618 645
SMITHTOWN TOWN	206 924	200 284	(NA)	(NA)	-	18 774	-	188 150	6 640	181 510
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA*	56 775 842	53 176 718	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 760 137	-	52 015 705	3 597 124	48 418 581
NEW YORK CITY	46 860 169	43 829 810	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 518 845	-	42 341 324	3 030 359	39 310 965
PUTNAM CO	350 048	340 214	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 546	-	334 502	9 834	324 668
ROCKLAND CO	3 269 638	3 050 981	(NA)	(NA)	-	74 986	-	3 194 652	218 657	2 975 995
CLARKSTOWN TN	1 408 232	1 322 006	(NA)	(NA)	-	29 219	-	1 379 013	86 226	1 292 787
RAMAPO TOWN	1 488 941	1 380 924	(NA)	(NA)	-	33 966	-	1 454 975	108 017	1 346 958
WESTCHESTER CO	6 295 987	5 957 713	(NA)	(NA)	-	150 760	-	6 145 227	338 274	5 806 953
NEW ROCHELLE	394 367	363 380	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 071	-	385 296	30 987	354 309
YONKERS	728 118	673 157	(NA)	(NA)	-	35 038	-	693 080	54 961	638 119
GREENBURGH TN	613 889	575 067	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 977	-	599 912	38 822	561 090
POUGHKEEPSIE SMSA	2 391 734	2 314 946	(NA)	(NA)	-	121 059	-	2 270 675	76 788	2 193 887
DUTCHESS CO	2 391 734	2 314 946	(NA)	(NA)	-	121 059	-	2 270 675	76 788	2 193 887
ROCHESTER SMSA	7 088 212	6 754 467	(NA)	(NA)	-	294 235	-	6 793 977	333 745	6 460 232
LIVINGSTON CO	969 726	926 921	(NA)	(NA)	-	54 783	-	914 943	42 805	872 138
MONROE CO	2 875 928	2 705 348	(NA)	(NA)	-	132 554	-	2 743 374	170 580	2 572 794
ROCHESTER	751 648	701 218	(NA)	(NA)	-	57 250	-	694 398	50 430	643 968
IRONDEQUOIT TN	132 218	128 303	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 387	-	123 831	3 915	119 916
ONTARIO CO	1 385 217	1 337 828	(NA)	(NA)	-	44 291	-	1 340 926	47 389	1 293 537
ORLEANS CO	579 012	559 029	(NA)	(NA)	-	20 764	-	558 248	19 983	538 265
WAYNE CO	1 278 329	1 225 341	(NA)	(NA)	-	41 843	-	1 236 486	52 988	1 183 498

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NEW YORK--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
SYRACUSE SMSA. . .	2 928 983	2 784 744	(NA)	(NA)	-	169 308	-	2 759 675	144 239	2 615 436
MADISON CO. . . .	923 544	881 572	(NA)	(NA)	-	22 522	-	901 022	41 972	859 050
ONONDAGA CO. . .	1 676 951	1 583 579	(NA)	(NA)	-	90 847	-	1 586 104	93 372	1 492 732
SYRACUSE	499 769	465 455	(NA)	(NA)	-	53 072	-	446 697	34 314	412 383
OSWEGO CO. . . .	328 488	319 593	(NA)	(NA)	-	55 939	-	272 549	8 895	263 654
UTICA-ROME SMSA. .	803 522	747 718	(NA)	(NA)	-	51 863	-	751 659	55 804	695 855
HERKIMER CO. . .	149 208	132 401	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 132	-	141 076	16 807	124 269
ONEIDA CO. . . .	654 314	615 317	(NA)	(NA)	-	43 731	-	610 583	38 997	571 586
UTICA.	161 696	148 865	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 447	-	140 249	12 831	127 418
NON-SMSA PORTION . .	16 952 394	16 137 631	(NA)	(NA)	-	665 097	-	16 287 297	814 763	15 472 534
ALLEGANY	186 561	177 566	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 997	-	175 564	8 995	166 569
CATTARAUGUS. . . .	320 130	302 285	(NA)	(NA)	-	12 408	-	307 722	17 845	289 877
CAYUGA	742 228	708 790	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 839	-	715 389	33 438	681 951
CHAUTAUQUA	1 250 449	1 202 633	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 942	-	1 222 507	47 816	1 174 691
CHENANGO	619 736	596 509	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 751	-	591 985	23 227	568 758
CLINTON.	854 600	817 037	(NA)	(NA)	-	24 056	-	830 544	37 563	792 981
COLUMBIA	465 793	449 798	(NA)	(NA)	-	35 049	-	430 744	15 995	414 749
CORTLAND	604 333	583 748	(NA)	(NA)	-	18 866	-	585 467	20 585	564 882
DELAWARE	498 587	484 743	(NA)	(NA)	-	19 325	-	479 262	13 844	465 418
ESSEX.	70 873	58 588	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 033	-	66 840	12 285	54 555
FRANKLIN	102 399	89 673	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 034	-	99 365	12 526	86 839
FULTON	95 188	86 294	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 344	-	88 844	8 894	79 950
GENESEE.	966 058	922 818	(NA)	(NA)	-	31 623	-	934 435	43 240	891 195
GREENE	175 447	165 050	(NA)	(NA)	-	6 439	-	169 008	10 397	158 611
HAMILTON	18 937	8 924	(NA)	(NA)	-	221	-	18 716	10 013	8 703
JEFFERSON.	399 609	380 092	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 057	-	378 552	19 517	359 035
LEWIS.	127 541	120 489	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 577	-	122 964	7 052	115 912
ORANGE	3 699 614	3 513 153	(NA)	(NA)	-	171 841	-	3 527 773	186 461	3 341 312
OTSEGO	393 824	380 401	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 095	-	383 729	13 423	370 306
ST. LAWRENCE . . .	307 528	289 030	(NA)	(NA)	-	28 714	-	278 814	18 498	260 316
SCHOHARIE.	38 764	37 353	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 977	-	36 787	1 411	35 376
SCHUYLER	150 049	144 591	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 003	-	146 046	5 458	140 588
SENECA	347 779	333 137	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 178	-	337 601	14 642	322 959
STEUBEN.	463 638	443 846	(NA)	(NA)	-	27 489	-	436 149	19 792	416 357
SULLIVAN	271 888	260 540	(NA)	(NA)	-	10 991	-	260 897	11 348	249 549
TOMPKINS	1 310 757	1 271 568	(NA)	(NA)	-	24 181	-	1 286 576	39 189	1 247 387
ULSTER	1 305 348	1 212 220	(NA)	(NA)	-	54 836	-	1 250 512	93 128	1 157 384
WARREN	583 619	541 927	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 334	-	568 285	41 692	526 593
WASHINGTON	128 028	119 901	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 389	-	118 639	8 127	110 512
WYOMING.	134 168	128 023	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 589	-	126 579	6 145	120 434
YATES.	318 921	306 704	(NA)	(NA)	-	7 919	-	311 002	12 217	298 785
NORTH CAROLINA[32]										
TOTAL.	112 760 536	68 466 113	(NA)	(NA)	33 887 619	1 016 985	334 022	111 409 529	10 406 804	101 002 725
SMSA PORTION	57 839 400	34 505 305	(NA)	(NA)	17 119 376	429 645	175 269	57 234 486	6 214 719	51 019 767
ASHEVILLE SMSA . .	2 497 025	1 453 948	(NA)	(NA)	834 231	43 400	14 851	2 438 774	208 846	2 229 928
BUNCOMBE CO. . .	2 307 389	1 318 273	(NA)	(NA)	804 754	38 060	14 851	2 254 478	184 362	2 070 116
ASHEVILLE. . . .	819 004	483 949	(NA)	(NA)	264 550	16 143	4 546	798 315	70 505	727 810
MADISON CO. . . .	189 636	135 675	(NA)	(NA)	29 477	5 340	(NA)	184 296	24 484	159 812
BURLINGTON SMSA. .	1 695 752	1 018 648	(NA)	(NA)	580 450	26 460	426	1 668 866	96 654	1 572 212
ALAMANCE CO. . .	1 695 752	1 018 648	(NA)	(NA)	580 450	26 460	426	1 668 866	96 654	1 572 212
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA SMSA . .	15 133 239	8 190 647	(NA)	(NA)	4 687 085	101 262	69 785	14 962 192	2 255 507	12 706 685
GASTON CO. . . .	3 314 235	1 889 976	(NA)	(NA)	1 054 728	45 000	33 000	3 236 235	369 531	2 866 704
MECKLENBURG CO .	10 445 915	5 463 650	(NA)	(NA)	3 164 050	41 910	31 174	10 372 831	1 818 215	8 554 616
CHARLOTTE. . . .	6 723 551	4 002 842	(NA)	(NA)	2 210 394	31 186	24 204	6 668 161	510 315	6 157 846
UNION CO	1 373 089	837 021	(NA)	(NA)	468 307	14 352	5 611	1 353 126	67 761	1 285 365
FAYETTEVILLE SMSA.	3 143 167	2 230 758	(NA)	(NA)	772 412	19 374	(NA)	3 123 793	139 997	2 983 796
CUMBERLAND CO. .	3 143 167	2 230 758	(NA)	(NA)	772 412	19 374	(NA)	3 123 793	139 997	2 983 796
FAYETTEVILLE . .	1 139 450	901 501	(NA)	(NA)	174 831	7 826	(NA)	1 131 624	63 118	1 068 506

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NORTH CAROLINA--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
GREENSBORO-WINSTON-SALEM-HIGH POINT SMSA	19 801 541	12 291 289	(NA)	(NA)	6 269 797	157 566	69 720	19 574 255	1 240 455	18 333 800
DAVIDSON CO.	2 492 497	1 704 214	(NA)	(NA)	656 292	30 223	10 620	2 451 654	131 991	2 319 663
HIGH POINT (PART)	7 727	6 934	(NA)	(NA)	785	(NA)	3	7 724	8	7 716
FORSYTH CO	5 410 136	3 159 735	(NA)	(NA)	1 996 714	40 250	19 471	5 350 415	253 687	5 096 728
WINSTON-SALEM	3 448 545	1 840 160	(NA)	(NA)	1 453 921	22 944	11 871	3 413 730	154 464	3 259 266
GUILFORD CO.	9 002 129	6 085 092	(NA)	(NA)	2 430 797	49 448	27 693	8 924 988	486 240	8 438 748
GREENSBORO	4 289 939	2 915 939	(NA)	(NA)	1 139 492	19 872	13 083	4 256 984	234 508	4 022 476
HIGH POINT (PART)	1 603 027	1 083 092	(NA)	(NA)	476 932	13 628	169	1 589 230	43 003	1 546 227
RANDOLPH CO.	1 454 491	874 448	(NA)	(NA)	505 049	21 352	9 184	1 423 955	74 994	1 348 961
HIGH POINT (PART)	25 752	9 094	(NA)	(NA)	16 411	(NA)	1	25 751	247	25 504
STOKES CO.	1 047 738	205 781	(NA)	(NA)	569 535	7 193	-	1 040 545	272 422	768 123
YADKIN CO.	394 550	262 019	(NA)	(NA)	111 410	9 100	2 752	382 698	21 121	361 577
RALEIGH-DURHAM SMSA	11 362 877	6 818 085	(NA)	(NA)	3 072 817	61 297	11 194	11 290 386	1 471 975	9 818 411
DURHAM CO.	2 916 202	1 698 564	(NA)	(NA)	1 040 286	23 612	11 194	2 881 396	177 352	2 704 044
DURHAM	1 458 809	912 856	(NA)	(NA)	440 297	18 216	7 650	1 432 943	105 656	1 327 287
ORANGE CO.	1 810 862	1 485 475	(NA)	(NA)	235 330	9 219	(NA)	1 801 643	90 057	1 711 586
WAKE CO.	6 635 813	3 634 046	(NA)	(NA)	1 797 201	28 466	(NA)	6 607 347	1 204 566	5 402 781
RALEIGH	2 778 105	1 797 431	(NA)	(NA)	791 743	(NA)	(NA)	2 778 105	188 931	2 589 174
WILMINGTON SMSA	3 851 383	2 199 190	(NA)	(NA)	868 175	17 483	8 147	3 825 753	784 018	3 041 735
BRUNSWICK CO	1 715 791	917 840	(NA)	(NA)	225 508	(NA)	(NA)	1 715 791	572 443	1 143 348
NEW HANOVER CO	2 135 592	1 281 350	(NA)	(NA)	642 667	17 483	8 147	2 109 962	211 575	1 898 387
WILMINGTON	743 355	448 953	(NA)	(NA)	230 051	10 181	3 505	729 669	64 351	665 318
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*	354 416	302 740	(NA)	(NA)	34 409	2 803	1 146	350 467	17 267	333 200
CURRITUCK CO	354 416	302 740	(NA)	(NA)	34 409	2 803	1 146	350 467	17 267	333 200
NON-SMSA PORTION	54 921 136	33 960 808	-	(NA)	16 768 243	587 340	158 753	54 175 043	4 192 085	49 982 958
ALEXANDER	312 536	195 557	-	(NA)	94 901	4 963	124	307 449	22 078	285 371
ALLEGHANY	174 871	135 097	-	(NA)	34 538	3 568	1 091	170 212	5 236	164 976
ANSON	424 287	269 834	-	(NA)	116 619	6 878	1 644	415 765	37 834	377 931
ASHE	342 692	240 046	-	(NA)	92 674	7 694	(NA)	334 998	9 972	325 026
AVERY	323 631	265 715	-	(NA)	47 571	5 033	1 361	317 237	10 345	306 892
BEAUFORT	974 028	489 798	-	(NA)	453 360	6 924	4 137	962 967	30 870	932 097
BERTIE	282 069	181 797	-	(NA)	83 351	5 623	(NA)	276 446	16 921	259 525
BLADEN	412 157	233 886	-	(NA)	151 620	7 854	2 485	401 818	26 651	375 167
BURKE	1 352 746	825 737	-	(NA)	448 402	18 623	5 987	1 328 136	78 607	1 249 529
CABARRUS	1 240 532	716 505	-	(NA)	445 692	22 051	7 604	1 210 877	78 335	1 132 542
CALDWELL	1 319 983	897 227	-	(NA)	364 659	12 876	3 423	1 303 684	58 097	1 245 587
CAMDEN	121 484	101 458	-	(NA)	15 384	(NA)	(NA)	121 484	4 642	116 842
CARTERET	1 071 387	900 088	-	(NA)	125 735	10 051	(NA)	1 061 336	45 564	1 015 772
CASWELL	242 882	172 643	-	(NA)	48 694	(NA)	(NA)	242 882	21 545	221 337
CATAWBA	2 444 225	1 248 563	-	(NA)	874 067	25 138	9 588	2 409 499	321 595	2 087 904
CHATHAM	733 751	435 229	-	(NA)	196 252	7 256	3 282	723 213	102 270	620 943
CHEROKEE	380 142	299 464	-	(NA)	66 336	11 332	2 860	365 950	14 342	351 608
CHOWAN	161 120	81 329	-	(NA)	71 772	(NA)	(NA)	161 120	8 019	153 101
CLAY	105 957	85 794	-	(NA)	15 705	3 211	669	102 077	4 458	97 619
CLEVELAND	1 543 462	905 982	-	(NA)	534 174	(NA)	(NA)	1 543 462	103 306	1 440 156
COLUMBUS	767 132	479 720	-	(NA)	246 662	12 401	356	754 375	40 750	713 625
CRAVEN	857 267	553 948	-	(NA)	249 478	12 257	(NA)	845 010	53 841	791 169
DARE	421 413	359 695	-	(NA)	35 403	3 276	13	418 124	26 315	391 809
DAVIE	803 532	338 679	-	(NA)	443 128	5 789	2 187	795 556	21 725	773 831
DUPLIN	650 432	412 238	-	(NA)	206 621	8 993	(NA)	641 439	31 573	609 866
EDGECOMBE	774 866	411 906	-	(NA)	283 624	7 981	4 563	762 322	79 336	682 986
FRANKLIN	305 236	187 501	-	(NA)	94 046	5 716	2 236	297 284	23 689	273 595
GATES	89 002	58 924	-	(NA)	22 696	2 054	(NA)	86 948	7 382	79 566
GRAHAM	161 870	120 808	-	(NA)	36 400	(NA)	(NA)	161 870	4 662	157 208
GRANVILLE	374 352	194 088	-	(NA)	150 648	5 760	2 485	366 107	29 616	336 491
GREENE	274 726	214 990	-	(NA)	50 529	2 424	1 376	270 926	9 207	261 719
HALIFAX	666 692	380 778	-	(NA)	225 258	11 398	4 401	650 893	60 656	590 237
HARNETT	676 380	456 591	-	(NA)	167 093	11 478	(NA)	664 902	52 696	612 206
HAYWOOD	854 963	592 690	-	(NA)	213 567	13 305	448	841 210	48 706	792 504
HENDERSON	955 441	577 539	-	(NA)	330 348	11 220	4 813	939 408	47 554	891 854
HERTFORD	352 499	228 515	-	(NA)	102 971	5 867	(NA)	346 632	21 013	325 619
HOKE	220 216	107 469	-	(NA)	96 433	3 184	1 458	215 574	16 314	199 260
HYDE	195 415	153 747	-	(NA)	37 488	2 034	760	192 621	4 180	188 441
IREDELL	1 491 040	852 903	-	(NA)	533 900	21 626	(NA)	1 469 414	104 237	1 365 177
JACKSON	499 063	379 346	-	(NA)	85 748	6 390	72	492 601	33 969	458 632
JOHNSTON	1 102 154	644 441	-	(NA)	397 226	14 966	757	1 086 431	60 487	1 025 944
JONES	128 014	89 765	-	(NA)	30 148	1 727	143	126 144	8 101	118 043
LEE	836 540	533 482	-	(NA)	266 428	(NA)	(NA)	836 540	36 630	799 910
LENOIR	1 301 226	879 229	-	(NA)	383 653	9 668	4 927	1 286 631	38 344	1 248 287
LINCOLN	617 297	324 707	-	(NA)	233 642	(NA)	(NA)	617 297	58 948	558 349
MCDOWELL	662 967	390 660	-	(NA)	212 102	(NA)	(NA)	662 967	60 205	602 762

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NORTH CAROLINA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
MACON	524 753	390 258	(NA)	(NA)	108 815	7 712	(NA)	517 041	25 680	491 361
MARTIN	545 531	230 485	(NA)	(NA)	291 551	6 213	2 286	537 032	23 495	513 537
MITCHELL	266 383	177 072	(NA)	(NA)	63 157	6 165	952	259 266	26 154	233 112
MONTGOMERY	477 447	331 763	(NA)	(NA)	115 273	4 983	1 701	470 763	30 411	440 352
MOORE	1 179 157	925 196	(NA)	(NA)	196 810	(NA)	(NA)	1 179 157	57 151	1 122 006
NASH	1 085 604	619 865	(NA)	(NA)	419 317	(NA)	(NA)	1 085 604	46 422	1 039 182
NORTHAMPTON	315 618	201 663	(NA)	(NA)	59 377	6 374	696	308 548	54 578	253 970
ONSLOW	961 544	697 879	(NA)	(NA)	200 219	8 075	3 459	950 010	63 446	886 564
PAMLICO	185 878	149 288	(NA)	(NA)	28 273	3 524	923	181 431	6 317	173 114
PASQUOTANK	288 794	170 153	(NA)	(NA)	99 503	2 891	1 378	284 525	19 138	265 387
PENDER	440 574	345 131	(NA)	(NA)	69 063	6 619	2 456	431 499	26 380	405 119
PERQUIMANS	117 520	76 968	(NA)	(NA)	31 084	2 264	670	114 586	9 468	105 118
PERSON	975 339	272 618	(NA)	(NA)	150 884	5 339	(NA)	970 000	551 837	418 163
PITT	2 146 376	1 587 249	(NA)	(NA)	506 207	9 908	8 853	2 127 615	52 920	2 074 695
POLK	280 620	205 531	(NA)	(NA)	57 041	2 841	2 740	275 039	18 048	256 991
RICHMOND	738 518	421 632	(NA)	(NA)	250 177	(NA)	(NA)	738 518	66 709	671 809
ROBESON	1 200 811	761 304	(NA)	(NA)	328 599	(NA)	18 600	1 182 211	110 908	1 071 303
ROCKINGHAM	1 714 061	866 686	(NA)	(NA)	675 733	21 774	7 962	1 684 325	171 642	1 512 683
ROWAN	1 576 279	746 847	(NA)	(NA)	678 925	26 732	(NA)	1 549 547	150 507	1 399 040
RUTHERFORD	815 914	414 141	(NA)	(NA)	250 197	(NA)	(NA)	815 914	151 576	664 338
SAMPSON	762 112	544 924	(NA)	(NA)	192 044	17 121	(NA)	764 991	35 144	729 847
SCOTLAND	619 466	338 481	(NA)	(NA)	239 328	8 596	(NA)	610 870	41 657	569 213
STANLY	625 851	510 182	(NA)	(NA)	266 315	13 950	83	811 818	49 354	762 464
SURRY	911 101	488 308	(NA)	(NA)	364 990	14 308	6 157	890 636	57 803	832 833
SWAIN	150 687	112 240	(NA)	(NA)	27 797	(NA)	(NA)	150 687	10 650	140 037
TRANSYLVANIA	561 857	360 377	(NA)	(NA)	171 926	5 842	2 141	553 874	29 554	524 320
TYRRELL	124 028	102 362	(NA)	(NA)	18 441	1 797	(NA)	122 231	3 225	119 006
VANCE	410 623	225 788	(NA)	(NA)	150 781	6 013	225	404 385	34 054	370 331
WARREN	215 214	144 939	(NA)	(NA)	49 652	2 547	1 539	211 128	20 623	190 505
WASHINGTON	327 618	247 870	(NA)	(NA)	66 863	3 568	986	323 064	12 885	310 179
WATAUGA	642 776	534 497	(NA)	(NA)	89 559	12 533	2 521	627 722	18 720	609 002
WAYNE	1 470 380	939 600	(NA)	(NA)	404 996	14 796	5 802	1 449 882	125 884	1 323 998
WILKES	796 204	444 139	(NA)	(NA)	303 923	11 824	5 056	779 324	48 142	731 182
WILSON	1 025 711	598 309	(NA)	(NA)	383 344	8 627	4 912	1 012 172	44 058	968 114
YANCEY	217 010	160 955	(NA)	(NA)	41 333	5 815	1 405	209 790	14 722	195 068
NORTH DAKOTA[2 33]										
TOTAL	929 205	863 892	533 323	330 569	-	7 443	-	921 762	65 313	856 449
SMSA PORTION	274 895	253 515	103 087	150 428	-	2 184	-	272 711	21 380	251 331
PISMARK SMSA	97 729	89 852	35 836	54 016	-	1 154	-	96 575	7 877	88 698
BURLEIGH CO.	66 060	61 681	22 815	38 866	-	566	-	65 494	4 379	61 115
MORTON CO.	31 669	28 171	13 021	15 150	-	588	-	31 081	3 498	27 583
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	111 929	103 075	39 607	63 468	-	505	-	111 424	8 854	102 570
CASS CO.	111 929	103 075	39 607	63 468	-	505	-	111 424	8 854	102 570
FARGO	68 280	62 593	12 904	49 688	-	405	-	67 875	5 687	62 188
GRAND FORKS, N.DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	65 237	60 588	27 644	32 944	-	525	-	64 712	4 649	60 063
GRAND FORKS CO	65 237	60 588	27 644	32 944	-	525	-	64 712	4 649	60 063
NON-SMSA PORTION	654 310	610 377	430 236	180 141	-	5 259	-	649 051	43 933	605 118
ADAMS	6 872	6 378	4 639	1 739	-	54	-	6 818	494	6 324
BARNES	23 374	21 379	15 575	5 804	-	171	-	23 203	1 995	21 208
BENSON	12 106	11 105	9 630	1 475	-	65	-	12 041	1 001	11 040
BILLINGS	4 814	3 456	2 031	1 425	-	1	-	4 813	1 358	3 455
BOTTINEAU	17 004	15 963	11 739	4 224	-	145	-	16 859	1 041	15 818
BOWMAN	7 068	6 657	4 404	2 253	-	36	-	7 032	411	6 621
BURKE	6 859	6 490	5 589	901	-	37	-	6 822	369	6 453
CAVALIER	16 439	15 970	13 134	2 836	-	103	-	16 336	469	15 867
DICKEY	12 484	11 739	9 041	2 698	-	80	-	12 404	745	11 659
DIVIDE	8 512	8 286	7 012	1 274	-	24	-	8 488	226	8 262
DUNN	8 011	7 245	6 308	937	-	35	-	7 976	766	7 210
EDDY	5 886	5 482	4 260	1 222	-	64	-	5 822	404	5 418
EMMONS	9 810	9 492	7 961	1 531	-	205	-	9 605	318	9 287
FOSTER	8 311	7 527	5 494	2 033	-	58	-	8 253	784	7 469
GOLDEN VALLEY	5 230	4 916	3 510	1 006	-	19	-	5 211	714	4 497
GRANT	8 689	8 150	7 088	1 062	-	74	-	8 615	539	8 076
GRIGGS	7 362	7 105	6 047	1 058	-	48	-	7 314	257	7 057
HETTINGER	8 040	7 546	6 046	1 500	-	62	-	7 978	494	7 484

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
NORTH DAKOTA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
KIDDER	7 913	7 399	6 537	862	-	75	-	7 838	514	7 324
LA MOURE	12 690	12 122	10 348	1 774	-	83	-	12 607	568	12 039
LOGAN	6 696	6 363	5 434	929	-	70	-	6 626	333	6 293
MCHENRY	12 982	11 569	9 623	1 946	-	108	-	12 874	1 413	11 461
MCINTOSH	7 660	7 233	5 737	1 496	-	186	-	7 474	427	7 047
MCKENZIE	11 923	10 321	7 712	2 609	-	25	-	11 898	1 602	10 296
MCLEAN	17 393	16 640	11 812	4 828	-	133	-	17 260	753	16 507
MERCER	10 758	10 111	5 370	4 741	-	143	-	10 615	647	9 968
MOUNTRAIL	11 338	10 589	8 702	1 887	-	83	-	11 255	749	10 506
NELSON	10 088	9 476	7 628	1 848	-	62	-	10 026	612	9 414
OLIVER	4 368	3 913	3 414	499	-	13	-	4 355	455	3 900
PEMBINA	22 992	22 194	17 672	4 522	-	119	-	22 873	798	22 075
PIERCE	9 750	8 754	6 351	2 403	-	112	-	9 638	996	8 642
RAMSEY	18 425	16 962	11 110	5 852	-	151	-	18 274	1 463	16 811
RANSOM	10 644	9 948	8 080	1 868	-	128	-	10 516	696	9 820
RENVILLE	6 600	6 382	5 517	865	-	17	-	6 583	218	6 365
RICHLAND	29 047	26 829	18 805	8 024	-	254	-	28 793	2 218	26 575
ROLETTE	8 406	7 803	5 628	2 175	-	89	-	8 317	603	7 714
SARGENT	9 663	9 076	7 776	1 300	-	54	-	9 609	587	9 022
SHERIDAN	5 637	5 282	4 927	355	-	54	-	5 583	355	5 228
SIOUX	2 288	2 240	2 079	161	-	8	-	2 280	48	2 232
SLOPE	4 237	4 174	4 140	34	-	1	-	4 236	63	4 173
STARK	29 142	26 728	11 649	15 079	-	483	-	28 659	2 414	26 245
STEELE	9 175	8 769	7 957	812	-	18	-	9 157	406	8 751
STUTSMAN	39 372	36 354	19 257	17 097	-	356	-	39 016	3 018	35 998
TOWNER	10 606	10 303	8 808	1 495	-	27	-	10 579	303	10 276
TRAILL	18 696	17 861	13 534	4 327	-	116	-	18 580	835	17 745
WALSH	27 642	26 565	20 332	6 233	-	240	-	27 402	1 077	26 325
WARD	55 723	51 863	20 820	31 043	-	430	-	55 293	3 860	51 433
WELLS	13 209	12 182	9 845	2 337	-	132	-	13 077	1 027	12 050
WILLIAMS	32 376	29 886	14 124	15 762	-	208	-	32 168	2 490	29 678
OHIO										
TOTAL	89 380 631	63 797 301	18 961 988	44 835 313	5 053 224	-	-	89 380 631	20 530 106	68 850 525
SMSA PORTION	71 112 810	51 333 285	14 630 108	36 703 177	4 044 169	-	-	71 112 810	15 735 356	55 377 454
AKRON SMSA	5 038 998	3 866 678	1 050 939	2 815 739	245 888	-	-	5 038 998	926 432	4 112 566
PORTAGE CO	929 934	722 393	241 375	481 018	40 416	-	-	929 934	167 125	762 809
SUMMIT CO	4 109 064	3 144 285	809 564	2 334 721	205 472	-	-	4 109 064	759 307	3 349 757
AKRON	(NA)	1 031 581	204 502	827 079	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CANTON SMSA	3 258 712	2 341 374	728 219	1 613 155	189 027	-	-	3 258 712	728 311	2 530 401
CARROLL CO	199 857	145 844	53 681	92 163	13 872	-	-	199 857	40 141	159 716
STARK CO	3 058 855	2 195 530	674 538	1 520 992	175 155	-	-	3 058 855	688 170	2 370 685
CANTON	(NA)	405 814	94 100	311 714	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	9 445 595	6 509 794	1 571 452	4 938 342	632 546	-	-	9 445 595	2 303 255	7 142 340
CLERMONT CO	1 088 996	744 714	241 723	502 991	24 026	-	-	1 088 996	320 256	768 740
HAMILTON CO	7 646 833	5 197 162	1 141 740	4 055 422	588 600	-	-	7 646 833	1 861 071	5 785 762
CINCINNATI	(NA)	1 767 952	397 743	1 370 209	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WARREN CO	709 766	567 918	187 989	379 929	19 920	-	-	709 766	121 928	587 838
CLEVELAND SMSA	16 482 981	12 104 540	3 430 451	8 674 089	1 036 866	-	-	16 482 981	3 341 575	13 141 406
CUYAHOGA CO	12 648 455	9 104 529	2 527 443	6 577 086	849 371	-	-	12 648 455	2 694 555	9 953 900
CLEVELAND	(NA)	2 061 241	588 183	1 473 057	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	(NA)	331 845	77 595	254 250	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
EUCLID	(NA)	401 753	100 989	300 765	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LAKEWOOD	(NA)	348 108	90 256	257 852	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PARMA	(NA)	658 147	187 937	470 210	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GEAUGA CO	769 802	659 882	235 688	424 194	36 942	-	-	769 802	72 978	696 824
LAKE CO	2 051 235	1 512 516	406 725	1 105 791	100 086	-	-	2 051 235	438 633	1 612 602
MEDINA CO	1 013 489	827 613	260 595	567 018	50 467	-	-	1 013 489	135 409	878 080
COLUMBUS SMSA	9 608 816	7 412 225	2 423 598	4 988 627	419 530	-	-	9 608 816	1 777 061	7 831 755
DELAWARE CO	606 416	475 079	205 387	269 692	15 872	-	-	606 416	115 465	490 951
FAIRFIELD CO	709 728	554 050	209 623	344 427	27 447	-	-	709 728	128 231	581 497
COLUMBUS(PART)	(NA)	515	326	189	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FRANKLIN CO	7 622 913	5 894 989	1 776 917	4 118 072	359 876	-	-	7 622 913	1 368 048	6 254 865
COLUMBUS(PART)	(NA)	3 434 618	969 268	2 465 350	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MADISON CO	272 003	221 881	109 743	112 138	7 801	-	-	272 003	42 321	229 682
PICKAWAY CO	397 756	266 226	121 928	144 298	8 534	-	-	397 756	122 996	274 760

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
OHIO--CON.										
SMSA PORTION-- CON.										
DAYTON SMSA	6 428 748	4 796 074	1 411 324	3 384 750	382 880	-	-	6 428 748	1 249 794	5 178 954
GREENE CO.	927 572	771 680	220 734	550 946	45 681	-	-	927 572	110 211	817 361
MIAMI CO.	730 042	528 521	158 411	370 110	47 993	-	-	730 042	153 528	576 514
MONTGOMERY CO.	4 466 239	3 234 819	917 861	2 316 958	282 751	-	-	4 466 239	948 669	3 517 570
DAYTON (PART)	(NA)	726 428	184 968	541 460	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KETTERING	(NA)	455 349	138 946	316 403	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PREBLE CO.	304 895	261 054	114 318	146 736	6 455	-	-	304 895	37 386	267 509
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN SMSA	2 337 124	1 635 118	460 454	1 174 664	183 786	-	-	2 337 124	518 220	1 818 904
BUTLER CO.	2 337 124	1 635 118	460 454	1 174 664	183 786	-	-	2 337 124	518 220	1 818 904
HAMILTON	(NA)	323 382	77 779	245 603	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LIMA SMSA	1 916 073	1 287 620	441 491	846 129	107 111	-	-	1 916 073	521 342	1 394 731
ALLEN CO.	993 256	584 324	177 490	406 834	49 260	-	-	993 256	359 672	633 584
LIMA	(NA)	169 438	38 878	130 560	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
AUGLAIZE CO.	376 818	281 689	103 845	177 844	29 930	-	-	376 818	65 199	311 619
PUTNAM CO.	270 160	217 268	81 586	135 682	13 320	-	-	270 160	39 572	230 588
VAN WERT CO.	275 839	204 339	78 570	125 769	14 601	-	-	275 839	56 899	218 940
LORAIN-ELYRIA SMSA	2 230 760	1 517 961	425 369	1 092 592	79 494	-	-	2 230 760	633 305	1 597 455
LORAIN CO.	2 230 760	1 517 961	425 369	1 092 592	79 494	-	-	2 230 760	633 305	1 597 455
ELYRIA	(NA)	306 316	79 002	227 314	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LORAIN	(NA)	377 097	97 691	279 406	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MANSFIELD SMSA	1 143 279	824 541	241 173	583 368	68 763	-	-	1 143 279	249 975	893 304
RICHLAND CO.	1 143 279	824 541	241 173	583 368	68 763	-	-	1 143 279	249 975	893 304
MANSFIELD	(NA)	308 753	73 547	235 206	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SPRINGFIELD SMSA	1 226 910	910 992	289 033	621 959	61 404	-	-	1 226 910	254 514	972 396
CHAMPAIGN CO.	264 937	206 264	85 513	120 751	11 670	-	-	264 937	47 003	217 934
CLARK CO.	961 973	704 728	203 520	501 208	49 734	-	-	961 973	207 511	754 462
SPRINGFIELD	(NA)	270 928	59 757	211 171	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W. VA. SMSA*	827 999	313 906	69 913	243 993	25 604	-	-	827 999	488 489	339 510
JEFFERSON CO.	827 999	313 906	69 913	243 993	25 604	-	-	827 999	488 489	339 510
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH. SMSA*	5 527 076	3 816 839	1 086 740	2 730 099	316 517	-	-	5 527 076	1 393 720	4 133 356
FULTON CO.	348 751	261 896	95 797	166 099	17 846	-	-	348 751	69 009	279 742
LUCAS CO.	3 647 920	2 508 332	636 216	1 872 116	217 152	-	-	3 647 920	922 436	2 725 484
TOLEDO	(NA)	1 696 903	401 193	1 295 710	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OTTAWA CO.	483 901	254 286	79 663	174 623	12 850	-	-	483 901	216 765	267 136
WOOD CO.	1 046 504	792 325	275 064	517 261	68 669	-	-	1 046 504	185 510	860 994
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN SMSA	4 186 074	3 068 610	752 758	2 315 852	223 030	-	-	4 186 074	894 434	3 291 640
MAHONING CO.	2 012 970	1 552 953	386 880	1 166 073	103 870	-	-	2 012 970	356 147	1 656 823
YOUNGSTOWN (PART)	(NA)	429 056	96 099	332 957	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TRUMBULL CO.	2 173 104	1 515 657	365 878	1 149 779	119 160	-	-	2 173 104	538 287	1 634 817
WARREN	(NA)	290 447	62 112	228 335	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
YOUNGSTOWN (PART)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W. VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*	339 840	235 314	66 687	168 627	20 406	-	-	339 840	84 120	255 720
LAWRENCE CO.	339 840	235 314	66 687	168 627	20 406	-	-	339 840	84 120	255 720
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W. VA.-OHIO SMSA*	554 404	339 043	93 385	245 658	23 521	-	-	554 404	191 840	362 564
WASHINGTON CO.	554 404	339 043	93 385	245 658	23 521	-	-	554 404	191 840	362 564
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	559 421	352 656	87 122	265 534	27 796	-	-	559 421	178 969	380 452
BELMONT CO.	559 421	352 656	87 122	265 534	27 796	-	-	559 421	178 969	380 452
NON-SMSA PORTION	18 267 821	12 464 016	4 331 880	8 132 136	1 009 055	-	-	18 267 821	4 794 750	13 473 071
ADAMS	311 302	91 941	45 361	46 580	4 014	-	-	311 302	215 347	95 955
ASHLAND	378 009	270 751	91 540	179 211	23 086	-	-	378 009	84 172	293 837
ASHTABULA	877 216	583 245	167 683	415 562	54 748	-	-	877 216	239 223	637 993
ATHENS	275 716	191 396	70 039	121 357	7 382	-	-	275 716	76 938	198 778
BROWN	204 816	159 676	67 284	92 392	4 537	-	-	204 816	40 603	164 213
CLINTON	310 155	245 358	101 194	144 164	18 769	-	-	310 155	46 028	264 127
COLUMBIANA	705 659	518 963	143 464	375 499	45 552	-	-	705 659	141 144	564 515
COSHOCTON	444 586	191 461	70 240	121 221	14 555	-	-	444 586	238 570	206 016
CRAWFORD	437 219	303 236	90 627	212 609	20 270	-	-	437 219	113 713	323 506
DARKE	441 007	360 705	148 616	212 089	11 550	-	-	441 007	68 752	372 255
DEFIANCE	383 399	263 348	91 875	171 473	22 922	-	-	383 399	97 129	286 270
ERIE	705 824	489 647	107 339	382 308	46 517	-	-	705 824	169 660	536 164
FAYETTE	231 475	179 524	90 765	88 759	7 169	-	-	231 475	44 782	186 693
GALLIA	388 931	133 466	49 771	83 695	11 166	-	-	388 931	244 299	144 632
GUERNSEY	294 342	181 101	58 534	122 567	17 181	-	-	294 342	86 060	198 282
HANCOCK	704 637	527 096	178 914	348 182	29 804	-	-	704 637	147 737	556 900
HARDIN	262 111	200 285	84 912	115 373	5 782	-	-	262 111	56 044	206 067
HARRISON	161 194	97 317	34 482	62 835	5 263	-	-	161 194	58 614	102 580
HENRY	265 254	192 083	73 768	118 315	7 982	-	-	265 254	65 189	200 065

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
OHIO--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
HIGHLAND	210 693	165 411	79 866	85 545	8 820	-	-	210 693	36 462	174 231
HOCKING	171 950	119 479	47 924	71 555	6 388	-	-	171 950	46 083	125 867
HOLMES	217 526	162 302	59 565	102 737	14 742	-	-	217 526	40 482	177 044
HURON	403 896	290 717	97 437	193 280	33 153	-	-	403 896	80 026	323 870
JACKSON	194 663	132 593	47 864	84 729	14 322	-	-	194 663	47 748	146 915
KNOX	375 256	287 010	116 281	170 729	17 493	-	-	375 256	70 753	304 503
LICKING	1 060 223	790 114	258 310	531 804	34 864	-	-	1 060 223	235 245	824 978
LOGAN	313 403	237 174	100 028	137 146	14 863	-	-	313 403	61 366	252 037
MARION	571 387	396 029	105 029	291 000	20 185	-	-	571 387	155 173	416 214
MEIGS	147 661	90 477	38 383	52 094	3 861	-	-	147 661	53 323	94 338
MERCER	351 027	276 412	91 759	184 653	18 581	-	-	351 027	56 034	294 993
MONROE	205 707	77 715	24 090	53 625	29 804	-	-	205 707	98 188	107 519
MORGAN	168 312	58 327	27 885	30 442	2 101	-	-	168 312	107 884	60 428
MORROW	194 591	166 392	83 681	82 711	2 570	-	-	194 591	25 629	168 962
MUSKINGUM	519 567	327 360	89 160	238 200	31 673	-	-	519 567	160 534	359 033
NOBLE	91 521	54 827	26 339	28 488	2 579	-	-	91 521	34 115	57 406
PAULDING	177 272	137 967	63 586	74 381	10 241	-	-	177 272	29 064	148 208
PERRY	173 119	131 097	50 570	80 527	3 720	-	-	173 119	38 302	134 817
PIKE	143 727	98 884	40 882	58 002	4 911	-	-	143 727	39 932	103 795
ROSS	463 336	310 850	105 559	205 291	13 463	-	-	463 336	139 023	324 313
SANDUSKY	502 036	333 417	107 213	226 204	42 723	-	-	502 036	125 896	376 140
SCIOTO	472 495	308 478	87 751	220 727	43 625	-	-	472 495	120 392	352 103
SENECA	549 165	358 713	112 638	246 075	45 315	-	-	549 165	145 137	404 028
SHELBY	406 048	279 738	104 576	175 162	42 590	-	-	406 048	83 720	322 328
TUSCARAWAS	654 741	454 998	140 037	314 961	52 948	-	-	654 741	146 795	507 946
UNION	304 315	199 336	68 427	110 909	13 115	-	-	304 315	91 864	212 451
VINTON	82 254	44 655	22 266	22 389	4 342	-	-	82 254	33 257	48 997
WAYNE	836 179	616 513	185 569	430 944	59 571	-	-	836 179	160 095	676 084
WILLIAMS	308 130	198 269	76 848	121 421	48 402	-	-	308 130	61 459	246 671
WYANDOT	224 769	178 163	85 949	92 214	9 841	-	-	224 769	36 765	188 004
OKLAHOMA										
TOTAL	8 039 342	4 893 800	1 424 042	3 469 758	1 501 269	681 561	106 766	7 251 015	1 644 273	5 606 742
SMSA PORTION	4 608 410	3 046 658	720 512	2 326 146	828 888	379 056	55 983	4 173 371	732 864	3 440 507
FORT SMITH, ARK.- OKLA. SMSA*	85 973	54 358	15 684	38 674	11 059	16 808	2 129	67 036	20 556	46 480
LE FLORE CO.	52 840	31 056	10 386	20 670	7 996	9 227	1 177	42 436	13 788	28 648
SEQUOYAH CO.	33 133	23 302	5 298	18 004	3 063	7 581	952	24 600	6 768	17 832
LAWTON SMSA	163 133	107 108	14 538	92 570	27 624	16 368	3 485	143 280	28 401	114 879
COMANCHE CO.	163 133	107 108	14 538	92 570	27 624	16 368	3 485	143 280	28 401	114 879
LAWTON	113 650	83 236	7 728	75 508	17 666	13 019	2 851	97 780	12 748	85 032
OKLAHOMA CITY SMSA	2 165 943	1 446 081	346 003	1 100 078	406 284	187 617	24 423	1 953 903	313 578	1 640 325
CANADIAN CO.	195 871	125 345	34 205	91 140	24 984	13 203	2 606	180 062	45 542	134 520
CLEVELAND CO	294 229	231 080	45 131	185 949	31 902	25 603	3 825	264 801	31 247	233 554
NORMAN	147 751	114 768	23 488	91 280	18 749	11 154	1 570	135 027	14 234	120 793
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	39 617	30 151	4 400	25 751	3 448	3 063	598	35 956	6 018	29 938
MCCLAIN CO	48 541	29 725	9 684	20 041	6 773	4 769	758	43 015	12 043	30 972
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	225	165	19	146	11	38	-	187	49	138
OKLAHOMA CO.	1 527 909	993 550	238 941	754 609	329 175	131 345	15 613	1 380 951	205 184	1 175 767
MIDWEST	82 960	63 148	14 495	48 653	12 209	10 899	1 279	70 782	7 603	63 179
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	1 109 554	701 906	165 983	535 923	271 709	85 992	9 588	1 013 974	135 939	878 035
POTTAWATOMIE CO.	99 393	66 381	18 042	48 339	13 450	12 698	1 621	85 074	19 562	65 512
TULSA SMSA	2 193 361	1 439 111	344 287	1 094 824	383 921	158 263	25 946	2 009 152	370 329	1 638 823
CREEK CO	120 268	70 629	12 687	57 942	16 400	12 344	2 285	105 639	33 239	72 400
MAYES CO	66 156	39 967	17 347	22 620	19 282	7 650	809	57 697	6 907	50 790
OSAGE CO	94 181	61 224	15 311	45 913	11 840	8 879	1 594	83 708	21 117	62 591
TULSA (PART)	12 352	10 929	(NA)	(NA)	855	1 425	256	10 671	568	10 103
ROGERS CO.	187 717	76 435	17 232	59 203	18 426	10 707	1 416	175 594	92 856	82 738
TULSA (PART)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA CO	1 652 639	1 138 806	272 479	866 327	308 390	108 890	18 096	1 525 653	205 443	1 320 210
TULSA (PART)	1 254 668	906 971	212 865	694 106	247 485	81 057	13 306	1 160 305	100 212	1 060 093
WAGONER CO	72 400	52 050	9 231	42 819	9 583	9 793	1 746	60 861	10 767	50 094

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
OKLAHOMA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION	3 430 932	1 847 142	703 530	1 143 612	672 381	302 505	50 783	3 077 644	911 409	2 166 235
ADAIR	18 084	11 906	3 339	8 567	4 094	3 334	628	14 122	2 084	12 038
ALFALFA	41 896	25 822	19 857	5 965	8 071	2 038	351	39 507	8 003	31 504
ATOKA	22 257	13 945	7 321	6 624	3 323	2 647	286	19 324	4 989	14 335
BEAVER	64 199	18 338	12 355	5 983	10 843	1 628	318	62 253	35 018	27 235
BECKHAM	54 666	26 058	7 990	18 068	14 177	4 554	838	49 274	14 431	34 843
BLAINE	56 958	27 296	15 098	12 198	15 696	3 048	641	53 269	13 966	39 303
BRYAN	50 947	32 314	10 627	21 687	6 404	6 790	457	43 700	12 229	31 471
CADDO	85 173	37 086	15 294	21 792	16 821	6 268	973	77 932	31 266	46 666
CARTER	89 372	53 425	9 748	43 677	16 933	9 383	975	79 014	19 014	60 000
CHEROKEE	36 791	26 898	5 742	21 156	6 327	6 541	1 183	29 067	3 566	25 501
CHOCTAW	20 386	12 144	4 386	7 758	3 107	3 651	668	16 067	5 135	10 932
CIMARRON	26 530	15 078	11 088	3 990	4 697	885	153	25 492	6 755	18 737
COAL	21 518	8 020	3 813	4 207	2 869	1 410	269	19 839	10 629	9 210
COTTON	18 479	12 868	7 334	5 534	3 454	1 804	320	16 355	2 157	14 198
CRAIG	35 519	22 031	10 353	11 678	7 788	3 809	435	31 275	5 700	25 575
CUSTER	73 165	36 931	13 165	23 766	13 203	5 029	875	67 261	23 031	44 230
DELAWARE	49 306	36 446	10 674	25 772	9 565	5 740	1 009	42 557	3 295	39 262
DEWEY	35 166	13 317	8 702	4 615	6 236	1 630	246	33 290	15 613	17 677
ELLIS	29 752	13 543	7 663	5 880	5 281	1 494	275	27 983	10 928	17 055
GARFIELD	187 760	96 384	35 188	61 196	61 262	14 964	3 130	169 666	30 114	139 552
ENID CITY	119 718	67 929	14 244	53 685	41 179	11 869	2 505	105 344	10 610	94 734
GARVIN	59 768	32 186	10 573	21 613	13 590	6 983	1 115	51 670	13 992	37 678
GRADY	91 163	50 124	14 200	35 924	18 187	9 128	1 627	80 408	22 852	57 556
GRANT	49 311	31 491	25 671	5 820	9 236	1 861	257	47 193	8 584	38 609
GREER	22 020	14 030	6 985	7 045	6 372	2 161	409	19 450	1 618	17 832
HARMON	17 084	12 249	6 926	5 323	2 760	1 304	214	15 566	2 075	13 491
HARPER	31 224	9 089	5 446	3 643	5 997	1 306	255	29 663	16 138	13 525
HASKELL	22 316	9 900	3 251	6 649	4 958	2 690	533	19 093	7 458	11 635
HUGHES	31 634	14 242	6 027	8 215	4 347	3 489	649	27 496	13 045	14 451
JACKSON	45 249	30 199	10 992	19 207	8 208	5 065	872	39 312	6 842	32 470
JEFFERSON	20 085	13 140	7 303	5 837	3 739	1 888	348	17 849	3 206	14 643
JOHNSTON	20 956	12 186	4 964	7 222	3 494	2 268	398	18 290	5 276	13 014
KAY	158 794	93 922	25 262	68 660	44 843	12 955	2 578	143 261	20 029	123 232
KINGFISHER	68 341	31 788	15 920	15 868	17 882	3 507	625	64 209	18 671	45 538
KIOWA	37 466	23 406	13 852	9 554	7 693	3 022	486	33 958	6 367	27 591
LATIMER	20 843	8 158	3 511	4 647	2 239	1 989	353	18 501	10 446	8 055
LINCOLN	58 040	33 470	14 755	18 715	6 926	6 454	461	51 125	17 644	33 481
LOGAN	68 881	38 283	15 592	22 691	8 561	5 774	921	62 186	22 037	40 149
LOVE	16 190	10 323	5 224	5 099	2 421	1 855	298	14 037	3 446	10 591
MCCURTAIN	59 753	29 393	22 431	6 962	17 722	7 722	1 317	50 714	12 638	38 076
MCINTOSH	25 496	17 953	6 960	10 593	3 654	3 707	717	21 072	4 289	16 783
MAJOR	48 478	20 695	13 106	7 589	10 994	2 146	386	45 946	16 789	29 157
MARSHALL	21 475	12 903	2 932	9 971	3 911	2 722	482	18 271	4 661	13 610
MURRAY	29 940	15 064	5 136	9 928	4 192	3 133	336	26 521	10 734	15 787
MUSKOGEE	170 604	73 556	14 133	59 423	21 379	14 949	2 317	153 338	75 669	77 669
NOBLE	102 397	21 811	12 194	9 617	9 072	2 787	489	99 121	71 514	27 607
NOWATA	25 975	16 996	6 759	10 237	5 189	2 784	528	22 663	3 790	18 873
OKFUSKEE	29 634	12 882	5 625	7 257	4 218	2 654	386	26 594	12 534	14 060
OKMULGEE	67 908	38 355	8 852	29 503	15 194	8 968	1 697	56 843	13 959	42 884
OTTAWA	57 922	38 342	12 415	25 927	12 045	7 904	1 519	48 499	7 535	40 964
PAWNEE	41 301	25 365	9 559	15 806	5 767	3 402	680	37 219	10 169	27 050
PAYNE	118 323	82 421	21 949	60 472	18 896	10 544	1 826	105 953	17 006	88 947
PITTSBURG	67 523	42 606	13 609	28 997	7 630	9 753	1 920	55 850	17 287	38 563
PONTOTOC	70 245	40 011	7 519	32 492	12 261	7 661	1 032	61 552	17 973	43 579
PUSHMATAHA	18 137	12 552	6 685	5 867	2 321	2 832	467	14 838	3 264	11 574
ROGER MILLS	25 727	10 070	7 420	2 650	6 233	1 138	182	24 407	9 424	14 983
SEMINOLE	70 600	21 770	6 694	15 076	8 821	6 145	1 150	63 305	40 009	23 296
STEPHENS	91 508	55 609	11 130	44 479	21 885	11 278	2 214	78 016	14 014	64 002
TEXAS	87 639	40 669	19 369	21 300	22 188	3 639	689	83 311	24 782	58 529
TILLMAN	38 766	26 249	13 604	10 645	11 122	2 872	512	35 382	3 395	31 987
WASHINGTON	132 574	100 173	18 876	81 297	18 177	13 146	1 980	117 448	14 224	103 224
WASHITA	41 576	23 083	15 322	7 761	10 931	2 929	373	38 274	7 562	30 712
WOODS	46 297	22 327	13 446	8 881	8 332	2 794	346	43 157	15 638	27 519
WOODWARD	84 195	40 651	11 614	29 037	18 643	4 550	809	78 836	24 901	53 935
OREGON[34]										
TOTAL	68 626 013	61 019 564	22 375 294	38 644 270	3 468 419	156 329	19 360	68 450 324	4 138 030	64 312 294
SMSA PORTION	41 347 671	37 682 149	12 875 092	24 807 057	1 954 414	93 405	9 247	41 245 019	1 711 108	39 533 911
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD SMSA	6 749 997	6 185 472	2 263 055	3 922 417	378 752	13 536	2 501	6 733 960	185 773	6 548 187
LANE CO.	6 749 997	6 185 472	2 263 055	3 922 417	378 752	13 536	2 501	6 733 960	185 773	6 548 187
EUGENE	2 724 957	2 545 301	887 053	1 658 248	121 383	4 917	411	2 719 629	58 273	2 661 356

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
OREGON--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*	29 206 781	26 593 600	8 926 544	17 667 056	1 330 012	64 404	4 147	29 138 230	1 283 169	27 855 061
CLACKAMAS CO	6 817 899	6 343 010	2 496 702	3 846 308	244 209	12 804	1 637	6 803 458	230 680	6 572 778
PORTLAND (PART)	23 196	22 917	6 461	16 456	-	79	-	23 117	279	22 838
MULTNOMAH CO	15 209 667	13 667 952	4 305 266	9 362 686	723 169	40 894	1 223	15 167 550	818 546	14 349 004
PORTLAND (PART)	10 496 262	9 296 864	2 847 089	6 449 775	546 255	29 408	59	10 466 795	653 143	9 813 652
WASHINGTON CO	7 179 215	6 582 638	2 124 576	4 458 062	362 634	10 706	1 287	7 167 222	233 943	6 933 279
PORTLAND (PART)	18 440	17 328	3 904	13 424	611	6	-	18 434	501	17 933
SALEM SMSA	5 390 893	4 903 077	1 685 493	3 217 584	245 650	15 465	2 599	5 372 829	242 166	5 130 663
MARION CO	4 445 108	4 024 727	1 387 393	2 637 334	214 204	12 467	2 337	4 430 304	206 177	4 224 127
SALEM (PART)	1 885 683	1 708 562	561 364	1 147 198	94 355	4 972	693	1 880 018	82 766	1 797 252
POLK CO	945 785	878 350	298 100	580 250	31 446	2 998	262	942 525	35 989	906 536
SALEM (PART)	237 288	227 516	66 396	161 120	4 183	985	-	236 303	5 589	230 714
NON-SMSA PORTION	27 278 342	23 337 415	9 500 202	13 837 213	1 514 005	62 924	10 113	27 205 305	2 426 922	24 778 383
BAKER	389 603	286 806	85 405	201 401	14 167	1 612	48	387 943	88 630	299 313
BENTON	1 407 178	1 298 303	487 047	811 256	55 795	3 161	513	1 403 504	53 080	1 350 424
CLATSOP	1 081 382	995 733	388 407	607 326	45 271	2 981	229	1 078 172	40 378	1 037 794
COLUMBIA	1 270 211	800 899	261 372	539 527	37 118	2 137	176	1 267 898	432 194	835 704
COOS	1 394 081	1 227 070	512 923	714 147	107 889	5 305	818	1 387 958	59 122	1 328 836
CROOK	301 101	270 875	103 743	167 132	15 119	900	64	300 137	15 107	285 030
CURRY	528 442	489 629	266 741	222 888	28 371	1 205	154	527 083	10 442	516 641
DESCHUTES	2 013 291	1 857 607	819 720	1 037 887	69 707	4 130	416	2 008 745	85 977	1 922 768
DOUGLAS	2 307 127	1 998 201	781 794	1 216 407	183 178	5 656	1 392	2 300 079	125 748	2 174 331
GILLIAM	89 142	66 429	38 467	27 962	2 289	118	15	89 009	20 424	68 585
GRANT	167 171	137 750	56 334	81 416	18 876	409	107	166 655	10 545	156 110
HARNEY	174 293	138 036	61 322	76 714	6 446	386	16	173 891	29 811	144 080
HOOD RIVER	392 436	345 458	126 426	219 032	22 749	988	139	391 309	24 229	367 080
JACKSON	3 001 173	2 649 316	1 111 272	1 538 044	192 700	2 442	157	2 998 574	159 157	2 839 417
JEFFERSON	319 370	200 660	101 672	98 988	18 724	341	157	318 872	99 986	218 886
JOSEPHINE	1 371 047	1 223 843	624 998	598 845	86 655	4 906	1 403	1 364 738	60 549	1 304 189
KLAMATH	1 487 690	1 233 733	506 141	727 592	91 173	3 328	474	1 483 888	162 784	1 321 104
LAKE	219 521	181 761	101 226	80 535	14 413	473	37	219 011	23 347	195 664
LINCOLN	1 385 907	1 255 550	611 131	644 419	82 280	2 834	769	1 382 304	48 077	1 334 227
LINN	2 045 034	1 803 518	595 974	1 207 544	135 497	5 074	853	2 039 107	106 019	1 933 088
MALHEUR	566 884	488 791	200 953	287 838	36 655	1 346	385	565 153	41 438	523 715
MORROW	664 677	226 913	95 321	131 592	16 795	305	149	664 223	420 969	243 254
SHERMAN	79 224	65 275	42 734	22 541	1 754	169	6	79 049	12 195	66 854
TILLAMOOK	657 691	600 861	281 591	319 270	33 474	2 144	265	655 282	23 356	631 926
UMATILLA	1 278 432	1 119 999	407 233	712 766	56 386	3 591	405	1 274 436	102 047	1 172 389
UNION	481 037	411 816	140 226	271 590	22 263	1 898	103	479 036	46 938	432 098
WALLOWA	212 754	165 538	72 072	93 466	7 737	478	31	212 245	39 479	172 766
WASCO	555 359	484 244	177 119	307 125	29 992	1 329	173	553 857	41 123	512 734
WHEELER	36 315	33 535	21 324	12 211	1 208	134	-	36 181	1 572	34 609
YAMHILL	1 400 769	1 279 266	419 514	859 752	79 304	3 144	659	1 396 966	42 199	1 354 767
PENNSYLVANIA[2]										
TOTAL	30 482 588	30 482 588	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	30 482 588	-	30 482 588
SMSA PORTION BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA*	25 593 359	25 593 359	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	25 593 359	-	25 593 359
SUSQUEHANNA CO	98 469	98 469	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	98 469	-	98 469
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J. SMSA*	2 266 515	2 266 515	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	2 266 515	-	2 266 515
CARBON CO	113 870	113 870	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	113 870	-	113 870
LEHIGH CO	1 348 763	1 348 763	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 348 763	-	1 348 763
ALLENTOWN	410 466	410 466	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	410 466	-	410 466
BETHLEHEM (PART)	108 721	108 721	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	108 721	-	108 721
NORTHAMPTON CO	803 882	803 882	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	803 882	-	803 882
BETHLEHEM (PART)	184 897	184 897	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	184 897	-	184 897

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
PENNSYLVANIA--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
ALTOONA SMSA	257 536	257 536	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	257 536	-	257 536
BLAIR CO	257 536	257 536	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	257 536	-	257 536
ALTOONA	97 120	97 120	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	97 120	-	97 120
ERIE SMSA	631 134	631 134	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	631 134	-	631 134
ERIE CO	631 134	631 134	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	631 134	-	631 134
ERIE	231 870	231 870	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	231 870	-	231 870
HARRISBURG SMSA	1 150 478	1 150 478	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 150 478	-	1 150 478
CUMBERLAND CO	487 306	487 306	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	487 306	-	487 306
DAUPHIN CO	611 895	611 895	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	611 895	-	611 895
HARRISBURG	106 068	106 068	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	106 068	-	106 068
PERRY CO	51 277	51 277	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	51 277	-	51 277
JOHNSTOWN SMSA	622 125	622 125	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	622 125	-	622 125
CAMBRIA CO	441 516	441 516	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	441 516	-	441 516
SOMERSET CO	180 609	180 609	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	180 609	-	180 609
LANCASTER SMSA	537 593	537 593	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	537 593	-	537 593
LANCASTER CO	537 593	537 593	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	537 593	-	537 593
LANCASTER	64 580	64 580	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	64 580	-	64 580
NORTHEAST PA. SMSA	1 150 255	1 150 255	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 150 255	-	1 150 255
LACKAWANNA CO.	356 039	356 039	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	356 039	-	356 039
SCRANTON	130 985	130 985	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	130 985	-	130 985
LUZERNE CO	515 904	515 904	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	515 904	-	515 904
WILKES-BARRE	70 612	70 612	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	70 612	-	70 612
MONROE CO.	278 312	278 312	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	278 312	-	278 312
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA[2]	10 602 056	10 602 056	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	10 602 056	-	10 602 056
BUCKS CO	1 135 154	1 135 154	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 135 154	-	1 135 154
BRISTOL TWP	96 166	96 166	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	96 166	-	96 166
CHESTER CO	1 011 729	1 011 729	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 011 729	-	1 011 729
DELAWARE CO.	726 155	726 155	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	726 155	-	726 155
HAVERFORD TWP.	68 927	68 927	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	68 927	-	68 927
UPPER DARBY TWP	97 703	97 703	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	97 703	-	97 703
MONTGOMERY CO.	1 873 654	1 873 654	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 873 654	-	1 873 654
ABINGTON TWP	163 793	163 793	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	163 793	-	163 793
LOWER MERION TWP	272 705	272 705	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	272 705	-	272 705
PHILADELPHIA[3]	5 855 364	5 855 364	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	5 855 364	-	5 855 364
PITTSBURGH SMSA	6 820 603	6 820 603	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	6 820 603	-	6 820 603
ALLEGHENY CO	5 634 892	5 634 892	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	5 634 892	-	5 634 892
PENN HILLS TWP	196 260	196 260	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	196 260	-	196 260
PITTSBURGH	1 461 881	1 461 881	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 461 881	-	1 461 881
BEAVER CO.	344 177	344 177	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	344 177	-	344 177
WASHINGTON CO.	335 146	335 146	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	335 146	-	335 146
WESTMORELAND CO.	506 388	506 388	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	506 388	-	506 388
READING SMSA	565 388	565 388	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	565 388	-	565 388
BERKS CO	565 388	565 388	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	565 388	-	565 388
READING	108 135	108 135	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	108 135	-	108 135
WILLIAMSPORT SMSA	282 114	282 114	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	282 114	-	282 114
LYCOMING CO.	282 114	282 114	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	282 114	-	282 114
YORK SMSA	609 093	609 093	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	609 093	-	609 093
ADAMS CO	158 744	158 744	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	158 744	-	158 744
YORK CO.	450 349	450 349	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	450 349	-	450 349
NON-SMSA PORTION	4 889 229	4 889 229	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	4 889 229	-	4 889 229
ARMSTRONG	89 132	89 132	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	89 132	-	89 132
BEDFORD	58 579	58 579	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	58 579	-	58 579
BRADFORD	160 460	160 460	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	160 460	-	160 460
BUTLER	260 716	260 716	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	260 716	-	260 716
CAMERON	9 640	9 640	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	9 640	-	9 640
CENTRE	165 343	165 343	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	165 343	-	165 343
CLARION	92 030	92 030	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	92 030	-	92 030
CLEARFIELD	112 329	112 329	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	112 329	-	112 329
CLINTON	74 718	74 718	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	74 718	-	74 718
COLUMBIA	82 700	82 700	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	82 700	-	82 700
CRAWFORD	131 332	131 332	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	131 332	-	131 332
ELK	33 575	33 575	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	33 575	-	33 575
FAYETTE	196 983	196 983	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	196 983	-	196 983
FOREST	14 208	14 208	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	14 208	-	14 208
FRANKLIN	238 841	238 841	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	238 841	-	238 841
FULTON	31 824	31 824	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	31 824	-	31 824
GREENE	149 922	149 922	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	149 922	-	149 922
HUNTINGDON	171 829	171 829	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	171 829	-	171 829
INDIANA	176 055	176 055	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	176 055	-	176 055

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
PENNSYLVANIA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
JEFFERSON	84 639	84 639	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	84 639	-	84 639
JUNIATA	61 646	61 646	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	61 646	-	61 646
LAWRENCE	309 617	309 617	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	309 617	-	309 617
LEBANON	330 329	330 329	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	330 329	-	330 329
MCKEAN	112 549	112 549	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	112 549	-	112 549
MERCER	274 860	274 860	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	274 860	-	274 860
MIFFLIN	45 441	45 441	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	45 441	-	45 441
MONTOUR	31 869	31 869	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	31 869	-	31 869
NORTHUMBERLAND	126 330	126 330	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	126 330	-	126 330
PIKE	146 548	146 548	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	146 548	-	146 548
POTTER	54 775	54 775	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	54 775	-	54 775
SCHUYLKILL	419 688	419 688	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	419 688	-	419 688
SNYDER	54 890	54 890	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	54 890	-	54 890
SULLIVAN	14 326	14 326	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	14 326	-	14 326
TIOGA	98 296	98 296	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	98 296	-	98 296
UNION	85 021	85 021	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	85 021	-	85 021
VENANGO	77 086	77 086	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	77 086	-	77 086
WARREN	115 017	115 017	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	115 017	-	115 017
WAYNE	156 614	156 614	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	156 614	-	156 614
WYOMING	39 472	39 472	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	39 472	-	39 472
RHODE ISLAND[2]										
TOTAL	9 469 939	7 493 101	(NA)	(NA)	1 976 838	198 988	27 806	9 243 145	-	9 243 145
NECMA PORTION	8 445 294	6 615 042	(NA)	(NA)	1 830 252	176 841	25 738	8 242 715	-	8 242 715
PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET NECMA	8 445 294	6 615 042	(NA)	(NA)	1 830 252	176 841	25 738	8 242 715	-	8 242 715
BRISTOL CO	481 331	393 197	(NA)	(NA)	88 134	6 840	572	473 919	-	473 919
KENT CO	2 233 489	1 834 055	(NA)	(NA)	399 434	44 997	6 074	2 182 418	-	2 182 418
WARWICK	1 400 026	1 128 510	(NA)	(NA)	271 516	17 145	4 914	1 377 967	-	1 377 967
PROVIDENCE CO	4 387 443	3 244 804	(NA)	(NA)	1 142 639	101 829	17 139	4 268 475	-	4 268 475
CRANSTON	476 880	339 699	(NA)	(NA)	137 181	21 529	2 372	452 979	-	452 979
PAWTUCKET	401 222	289 565	(NA)	(NA)	111 657	6 224	1 857	393 141	-	393 141
PROVIDENCE	1 413 323	1 031 266	(NA)	(NA)	382 057	28 187	3 583	1 381 553	-	1 381 553
WASHINGTON CO	1 343 031	1 142 986	(NA)	(NA)	200 045	23 175	1 953	1 317 903	-	1 317 903
NON-NECMA PORTION	1 024 645	878 059	(NA)	(NA)	146 586	22 147	2 068	1 000 430	-	1 000 430
NEWPORT	1 024 645	878 059	(NA)	(NA)	146 586	22 147	2 068	1 000 430	-	1 000 430
SOUTH CAROLINA[2 22 33]										
TOTAL	3 035 243	1 601 270	(NA)	(NA)	819 644	(NA)	-	3 035 243	614 329	2 420 914
SMSA PORTION	1 514 672	824 651	(NA)	(NA)	390 894	(NA)	-	1 514 672	299 127	1 215 545
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA*	95 131	47 783	(NA)	(NA)	26 074	(NA)	-	95 131	21 274	73 857
AIKEN CO	95 131	47 783	(NA)	(NA)	26 074	(NA)	-	95 131	21 274	73 857
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON SMSA	501 911	330 760	(NA)	(NA)	99 234	(NA)	-	501 911	71 917	429 994
BERKELEY CO	155 714	105 292	(NA)	(NA)	32 947	(NA)	-	155 714	17 475	138 239
CHARLESTON CO	303 472	202 317	(NA)	(NA)	53 450	(NA)	-	303 472	47 705	255 767
CHARLESTON	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NORTH CHARLESTON	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DORCHESTER CO	42 725	23 151	(NA)	(NA)	12 837	(NA)	-	42 725	6 737	35 988
COLUMBIA SMSA	378 167	208 994	(NA)	(NA)	77 754	(NA)	-	378 167	91 419	286 748
LEXINGTON CO	151 141	87 315	(NA)	(NA)	34 815	(NA)	-	151 141	29 011	122 130
RICHLAND CO	227 026	121 679	(NA)	(NA)	42 939	(NA)	-	227 026	62 408	164 618
COLUMBIA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG SMSA	539 463	237 114	(NA)	(NA)	187 832	(NA)	-	539 463	114 517	424 946
GREENVILLE CO	282 499	125 377	(NA)	(NA)	99 048	(NA)	-	282 499	58 074	224 425
GREENVILLE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PICKENS CO	64 123	29 729	(NA)	(NA)	16 229	(NA)	-	64 123	18 165	45 958
SPARTANBURG CO	192 841	82 008	(NA)	(NA)	72 555	(NA)	-	192 841	38 278	154 563
NON-SMSA PORTION	1 520 571	776 619	(NA)	(NA)	428 750	(NA)	-	1 520 571	315 202	1 205 369
ABBEVILLE	16 736	7 954	(NA)	(NA)	5 757	(NA)	-	16 736	3 025	13 711
ALLENDALE	12 139	5 933	(NA)	(NA)	4 222	(NA)	-	12 139	1 984	10 155
ANDERSON	118 205	45 522	(NA)	(NA)	46 459	(NA)	-	118 205	26 224	91 981
BAMBERG	9 759	4 629	(NA)	(NA)	2 583	(NA)	-	9 759	2 547	7 212
BARNWELL	19 166	10 951	(NA)	(NA)	4 414	(NA)	-	19 166	3 801	15 365
BEAUFORT	111 705	90 793	(NA)	(NA)	10 048	(NA)	-	111 705	10 864	100 841
CALHOUN	26 496	8 404	(NA)	(NA)	16 620	(NA)	-	26 496	1 472	25 024
CHEROKEE	36 845	11 871	(NA)	(NA)	14 928	(NA)	-	36 845	10 046	26 799
CHESTER	28 126	14 829	(NA)	(NA)	8 191	(NA)	-	28 126	5 106	23 020
CHESTERFIELD	25 444	11 996	(NA)	(NA)	8 882	(NA)	-	25 444	4 566	20 878
CLARENDON	23 594	16 213	(NA)	(NA)	4 356	(NA)	-	23 594	3 025	20 569
COLLETON	21 872	6 695	(NA)	(NA)	4 865	(NA)	-	21 872	10 312	11 560
DARLINGTON	89 112	41 279	(NA)	(NA)	23 193	(NA)	-	89 112	24 640	64 472
DILLON	17 565	8 500	(NA)	(NA)	5 038	(NA)	-	17 565	4 027	13 538
EDGEFIELD	12 640	6 467	(NA)	(NA)	3 540	(NA)	-	12 640	2 633	10 007
FAIRFIELD	27 872	4 922	(NA)	(NA)	3 003	(NA)	-	27 872	19 947	7 925
FLORENCE	84 376	35 893	(NA)	(NA)	29 225	(NA)	-	84 376	19 258	65 118
GEORGETOWN	43 202	23 470	(NA)	(NA)	14 002	(NA)	-	43 202	5 730	37 472
GREENWOOD	61 851	32 417	(NA)	(NA)	19 647	(NA)	-	61 851	9 787	52 064

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
SOUTH CAROLINA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
HAMPTON	11 129	3 724	(NA)	(NA)	3 983	(NA)	-	11 129	3 422	7 707
HORRY	120 289	79 439	(NA)	(NA)	24 063	(NA)	-	120 289	16 787	103 502
JASPER	13 378	8 738	(NA)	(NA)	1 560	(NA)	-	13 378	3 080	10 298
KERSHAW	55 986	31 677	(NA)	(NA)	17 863	(NA)	-	55 986	6 446	49 540
LANCASTER	39 640	20 529	(NA)	(NA)	13 826	(NA)	-	39 640	5 285	34 355
LAURENS	43 353	24 171	(NA)	(NA)	12 586	(NA)	-	43 353	6 596	36 757
LEE	11 065	5 600	(NA)	(NA)	3 140	(NA)	-	11 065	2 125	8 940
MCCORMICK	6 762	2 263	(NA)	(NA)	2 138	(NA)	-	6 762	2 361	4 401
MARION	20 715	9 040	(NA)	(NA)	6 333	(NA)	-	20 715	4 742	15 973
MARLBORO	17 556	1 657	(NA)	(NA)	12 646	(NA)	-	17 556	3 253	14 303
NEWBERRY	24 944	11 415	(NA)	(NA)	7 174	(NA)	-	24 944	6 355	18 589
OCONEE	67 417	21 207	(NA)	(NA)	11 521	(NA)	-	67 417	34 689	32 728
ORANGEBURG	68 277	37 911	(NA)	(NA)	19 778	(NA)	-	68 277	10 588	57 689
SALUDA	12 525	7 695	(NA)	(NA)	2 494	(NA)	-	12 525	2 336	10 189
SUMTER	71 223	46 220	(NA)	(NA)	14 670	(NA)	-	71 223	10 333	60 890
UNION	30 671	19 263	(NA)	(NA)	7 361	(NA)	-	30 671	4 047	26 624
WILLIAMSBURG	24 910	13 570	(NA)	(NA)	7 086	(NA)	-	24 910	4 254	20 656
YORK	94 026	42 962	(NA)	(NA)	31 555	(NA)	-	94 026	19 509	74 517
SOUTH DAKOTA										
TOTAL	5 654 645	5 346 083	(NA)	(NA)	-	108 571	-	5 546 074	308 562	5 237 512
SMSA PORTION	1 229 972	1 139 015	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 122	-	1 221 850	90 957	1 130 893
RAPID CITY SMSA	490 848	458 351	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 469	-	488 379	32 497	455 882
MEADE CO	93 240	89 136	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 597	-	91 643	4 104	87 539
PENNINGTON CO	397 608	369 215	(NA)	(NA)	-	872	-	396 736	28 393	368 343
RAPID CITY	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SIOUX FALLS SMSA	739 124	680 664	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 653	-	733 471	58 460	675 011
MINNEHAHA CO	739 124	680 664	(NA)	(NA)	-	5 653	-	733 471	58 460	675 011
SIOUX FALLS (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA PORTION	4 424 673	4 207 068	(NA)	(NA)	-	100 449	-	4 324 224	217 605	4 106 619
AURORA	43 578	43 203	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 388	-	42 190	375	41 815
BEADLE	153 554	143 240	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 992	-	150 562	10 314	140 248
BENNETT	26 346	26 320	(NA)	(NA)	-	887	-	25 459	26	25 433
BON HOMME	57 205	55 934	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 363	-	54 842	1 271	53 571
BROOKINGS	171 156	169 085	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 592	-	167 564	2 071	165 493
BROWN	299 805	282 341	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 412	-	296 393	17 464	278 929
BRULE	42 436	41 189	(NA)	(NA)	-	985	-	41 451	1 247	40 204
BUFFALO	10 002	9 947	(NA)	(NA)	-	185	-	9 817	55	9 762
BUTTE	64 806	61 328	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 388	-	63 418	3 478	59 940
CAMPBELL	40 931	40 422	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 093	-	39 838	509	39 329
CHARLES MIX	56 263	54 198	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 465	-	54 798	2 065	52 733
CLARK	50 482	48 431	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 578	-	48 904	2 051	46 853
CLAY	87 416	85 379	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 975	-	85 441	2 037	83 404
CODINGTON	165 846	156 324	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 154	-	163 692	9 522	154 170
CORSON	34 371	32 666	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 043	-	33 328	1 505	31 823
CUSTER	44 245	41 608	(NA)	(NA)	-	608	-	43 637	2 637	41 000
DAVISON	181 923	173 758	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 651	-	180 272	8 165	172 107
DAY	85 954	82 253	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 506	-	83 448	3 701	79 747
DEUEL	45 747	44 905	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 924	-	43 823	842	42 981
DEWEY	17 519	17 323	(NA)	(NA)	-	531	-	16 988	196	16 792
DOUGLAS	42 095	41 693	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 046	-	40 049	402	39 647
EDMUNDS	90 744	88 187	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 082	-	88 662	2 557	86 105
FALL RIVER	60 884	55 063	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 083	-	59 801	5 821	53 980
FAULK	44 574	43 975	(NA)	(NA)	-	859	-	43 715	599	43 116
GRANT	127 335	64 814	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 905	-	125 430	62 521	62 909
GREGORY	47 497	46 167	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 785	-	45 712	1 330	44 382
HAAKON	31 666	31 501	(NA)	(NA)	-	991	-	30 675	165	30 510
HAMLIN	48 490	47 396	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 617	-	46 873	1 094	45 779
HAND	83 440	82 266	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 104	-	81 336	1 174	80 162
HANSON	42 796	41 659	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 083	-	40 713	1 137	39 576
HARDING	32 430	31 623	(NA)	(NA)	-	749	-	31 681	807	30 874
HUGHES	114 166	110 686	(NA)	(NA)	-	684	-	113 482	3 480	110 002
HUTCHINSON	100 330	98 318	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 588	-	96 742	2 012	94 730
HYDE	24 314	23 994	(NA)	(NA)	-	369	-	23 945	320	23 625
JACKSON[36]	22 864	22 743	(NA)	(NA)	-	528	-	22 336	121	22 215
JERAULD	26 152	25 626	(NA)	(NA)	-	874	-	25 278	526	24 752
JONES	23 512	22 774	(NA)	(NA)	-	333	-	23 179	738	22 441
KINGSBURY	69 264	65 540	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 642	-	66 622	3 724	62 898
LAKE	88 674	86 218	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 925	-	86 749	2 456	84 293
LAWRENCE	106 312	93 901	(NA)	(NA)	-	675	-	105 637	12 411	93 226
LINCOLN	149 488	144 432	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 601	-	145 887	5 056	140 831
SIOUX FALLS (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LYMAN	42 612	42 028	(NA)	(NA)	-	614	-	41 998	584	41 414
MCCOOK	74 077	71 779	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 886	-	71 191	2 298	68 893
MCPHERSON	69 537	68 509	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 221	-	68 316	1 028	67 288

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
SOUTH DAKOTA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
MARSHALL	51 956	50 198	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 509	-	50 447	1 758	48 689
MELLETTE	21 781	21 749	(NA)	(NA)	-	484	-	21 297	32	21 265
MINER	49 619	48 365	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 985	-	47 634	1 254	46 380
MOODY	69 529	68 135	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 866	-	66 663	1 394	65 269
PERKINS	95 602	94 658	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 806	-	93 796	944	92 852
POTTER	43 038	41 865	(NA)	(NA)	-	550	-	42 488	1 173	41 315
ROBERTS	66 110	63 649	(NA)	(NA)	-	2 434	-	63 676	2 461	61 215
SANBORN	28 444	27 139	(NA)	(NA)	-	591	-	27 853	1 305	26 548
SHANNON	5 496	5 297	(NA)	(NA)	-	134	-	5 362	199	5 163
SPINK	108 910	105 009	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 085	-	105 825	3 901	101 924
STANLEY	34 317	33 423	(NA)	(NA)	-	333	-	33 984	894	33 090
SULLY	65 558	65 173	(NA)	(NA)	-	618	-	64 940	385	64 555
TODD	14 902	14 599	(NA)	(NA)	-	338	-	14 564	303	14 261
TRIPP	77 466	75 238	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 205	-	76 261	2 228	74 033
TURNER	93 451	92 121	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 842	-	89 609	1 330	88 279
UNION	103 487	100 671	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 735	-	98 752	2 816	95 936
WALWORTH	80 103	74 865	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 142	-	78 961	5 238	73 723
WASHABAUGH[36]	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	-	-	-
YANKTON	159 026	150 996	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 499	-	157 527	8 030	149 497
ZIEBACH	13 040	12 972	(NA)	(NA)	-	329	-	12 711	68	12 643
TENNESSEE[37]										
TOTAL	17 350 627	14 356 742	(NA)	(NA)	1 610 128	-	-	17 350 627	1 383 757	15 966 870
SMSA PORTION	11 887 270	9 835 474	(NA)	(NA)	1 171 692	-	-	11 887 270	880 104	11 007 166
CHATTANOOGA,TENN.-GA. SMSA*	1 806 341	1 470 907	(NA)	(NA)	166 966	-	-	1 806 341	168 468	1 637 873
HAMILTON CO.	1 749 655	1 428 610	(NA)	(NA)	159 472	-	-	1 749 655	161 573	1 588 082
CHATTANOOGA	1 161 596	900 106	(NA)	(NA)	144 757	-	-	1 161 596	116 733	1 044 863
MARION CO.	38 514	28 212	(NA)	(NA)	4 841	-	-	38 514	5 461	33 053
SEQUATCHIE CO.	18 172	14 085	(NA)	(NA)	2 653	-	-	18 172	1 434	16 738
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*	254 571	194 529	(NA)	(NA)	36 240	-	-	254 571	23 802	230 769
MONTGOMERY CO.	254 571	194 529	(NA)	(NA)	36 240	-	-	254 571	23 802	230 769
CLARKSVILLE	138 521	120 276	(NA)	(NA)	7 078	-	-	138 521	11 167	127 354
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL TENN.-VA. SMSA*	1 229 651	950 599	(NA)	(NA)	191 725	-	-	1 229 651	87 327	1 142 324
CARTER CO.	126 211	112 844	(NA)	(NA)	7 057	-	-	126 211	6 310	119 901
HAWKINS CO	150 200	123 218	(NA)	(NA)	11 888	-	-	150 200	15 094	135 106
SULLIVAN CO.	661 384	478 931	(NA)	(NA)	139 212	-	-	661 384	43 241	618 143
UNICOI CO.	53 810	43 555	(NA)	(NA)	3 867	-	-	53 810	6 388	47 422
WASHINGTON CO.	238 046	192 051	(NA)	(NA)	29 701	-	-	238 046	16 294	221 752
KNOXVILLE SMSA	1 541 000	1 295 473	(NA)	(NA)	135 680	-	-	1 541 000	109 847	1 431 153
ANDERSON CO.	334 410	292 987	(NA)	(NA)	14 758	-	-	334 410	26 665	307 745
BLOUNT CO.	272 109	220 758	(NA)	(NA)	33 374	-	-	272 109	17 977	254 132
KNOX CO.	919 402	769 686	(NA)	(NA)	85 629	-	-	919 402	64 087	855 315
KNOXVILLE	511 589	408 300	(NA)	(NA)	58 393	-	-	511 589	44 896	466 693
UNION CO	15 079	12 042	(NA)	(NA)	1 919	-	-	15 079	1 118	13 961
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*	3 779 562	3 210 131	(NA)	(NA)	309 921	-	-	3 779 562	259 510	3 520 052
SHELBY CO.	3 642 798	3 091 031	(NA)	(NA)	306 349	-	-	3 642 798	245 418	3 397 380
MEMPHIS	2 901 817	2 447 109	(NA)	(NA)	247 353	-	-	2 901 817	207 355	2 694 462
TIPTON CO.	136 764	119 100	(NA)	(NA)	3 572	-	-	136 764	14 092	122 672
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON SMSA	3 276 145	2 713 835	(NA)	(NA)	331 160	-	-	3 276 145	231 150	3 044 995
CHEATHAM CO.	76 681	65 084	(NA)	(NA)	3 612	-	-	76 681	7 985	68 696
DAVIDSON-NASHVILLE CO[3]	1 918 498	1 542 716	(NA)	(NA)	257 610	-	-	1 918 498	118 172	1 800 326
DICKSON CO	110 890	96 173	(NA)	(NA)	4 054	-	-	110 890	10 663	100 227
ROBERTSON CO	166 344	145 736	(NA)	(NA)	3 612	-	-	166 344	16 996	149 348
RUTHERFORD CO.	272 797	229 581	(NA)	(NA)	26 367	-	-	272 797	16 849	255 948
SUMNER CO.	259 359	228 241	(NA)	(NA)	15 387	-	-	259 359	15 731	243 628
WILLIAMSON CO.	313 487	267 691	(NA)	(NA)	15 451	-	-	313 487	30 345	283 142
WILSON CO.	158 089	138 613	(NA)	(NA)	5 067	-	-	158 089	14 409	143 680
NON-SMSA PORTION	5 463 357	4 521 268	(NA)	(NA)	438 436	-	-	5 463 357	503 653	4 959 704
BEDFORD	91 402	78 049	(NA)	(NA)	3 619	-	-	91 402	9 734	81 668
BENTON	19 852	17 586	(NA)	(NA)	750	-	-	19 852	1 516	18 336
BLEDSOE	17 320	14 294	(NA)	(NA)	683	-	-	17 320	2 343	14 977
BRADLEY	204 177	164 071	(NA)	(NA)	26 844	-	-	204 177	13 262	190 915
CAMPBELL	58 662	44 267	(NA)	(NA)	7 888	-	-	58 662	6 507	52 155
CANNON	46 806	42 934	(NA)	(NA)	752	-	-	46 806	3 120	43 686
CARROLL	100 610	88 567	(NA)	(NA)	3 966	-	-	100 610	8 077	92 533
CHESTER	51 980	46 963	(NA)	(NA)	307	-	-	51 980	4 710	47 270
CLAIBORNE	109 858	97 002	(NA)	(NA)	2 386	-	-	109 858	10 470	99 388
CLAY	11 637	9 943	(NA)	(NA)	595	-	-	11 637	1 099	10 538
COCKE	53 149	42 543	(NA)	(NA)	6 352	-	-	53 149	4 254	48 895
COFFEE	215 618	191 031	(NA)	(NA)	10 942	-	-	215 618	13 645	201 973
CROCKETT	77 785	69 851	(NA)	(NA)	1 600	-	-	77 785	6 334	71 451
CUMBERLAND	107 262	93 673	(NA)	(NA)	5 232	-	-	107 262	8 357	98 905
DECATUR	40 856	36 644	(NA)	(NA)	835	-	-	40 856	3 377	37 479
DE KALB	25 661	22 312	(NA)	(NA)	1 496	-	-	25 661	1 853	23 808
DYER	105 870	88 686	(NA)	(NA)	4 860	-	-	105 870	12 324	93 546

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
TENNESSEE--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
FAYETTE	56 857	45 998	(NA)	(NA)	3 408	-	-	56 857	7 451	49 406
FENTRESS	26 061	22 060	(NA)	(NA)	1 512	-	-	26 061	2 489	23 572
FRANKLIN	50 515	43 702	(NA)	(NA)	2 096	-	-	50 515	4 717	45 798
GIBSON	105 871	88 789	(NA)	(NA)	8 348	-	-	105 871	8 734	97 137
GILES	54 463	43 188	(NA)	(NA)	5 703	-	-	54 463	5 572	48 891
GRAINGER	23 222	20 227	(NA)	(NA)	657	-	-	23 222	2 338	20 884
GREENE	135 060	117 351	(NA)	(NA)	9 945	-	-	135 060	7 764	127 296
GRUNDY	46 061	37 478	(NA)	(NA)	962	-	-	46 061	7 621	38 440
HAMBLEN	149 954	109 468	(NA)	(NA)	30 703	-	-	149 954	9 783	140 171
HANCOCK	6 402	5 609	(NA)	(NA)	36	-	-	6 402	757	5 645
HARDEMAN	95 619	78 433	(NA)	(NA)	5 835	-	-	95 619	11 351	84 268
HARDIN	115 130	74 926	(NA)	(NA)	31 097	-	-	115 130	9 107	106 023
HAYWOOD	113 132	89 164	(NA)	(NA)	7 649	-	-	113 132	16 319	96 813
HENDERSON	29 135	24 784	(NA)	(NA)	1 898	-	-	29 135	2 453	26 682
HENRY	65 572	54 541	(NA)	(NA)	5 329	-	-	65 572	5 702	59 870
HICKMAN	38 328	29 356	(NA)	(NA)	2 057	-	-	38 328	6 915	31 413
HOUSTON	20 501	17 219	(NA)	(NA)	842	-	-	20 501	2 440	18 061
HUMPHREYS	69 513	43 899	(NA)	(NA)	20 698	-	-	69 513	4 916	64 597
JACKSON	16 937	14 077	(NA)	(NA)	533	-	-	16 937	2 327	14 610
JEFFERSON	145 576	126 164	(NA)	(NA)	6 335	-	-	145 576	13 077	132 499
JOHNSON	44 851	36 606	(NA)	(NA)	5 127	-	-	44 851	3 118	41 733
LAKE	18 231	15 922	(NA)	(NA)	961	-	-	18 231	1 348	16 883
LAUDERDALE	45 819	38 369	(NA)	(NA)	3 022	-	-	45 819	4 428	41 391
LAWRENCE	57 517	47 343	(NA)	(NA)	6 044	-	-	57 517	4 130	53 387
LEWIS	22 360	17 513	(NA)	(NA)	211	-	-	22 360	4 636	17 724
LINCOLN	71 671	61 842	(NA)	(NA)	5 524	-	-	71 671	4 305	67 366
LOUDON	48 007	41 595	(NA)	(NA)	2 909	-	-	48 007	3 503	44 504
MCMINN	123 974	76 323	(NA)	(NA)	41 171	-	-	123 974	6 480	117 494
MCNAIRY	51 094	41 577	(NA)	(NA)	2 873	-	-	51 094	6 644	44 450
MACON	36 661	28 469	(NA)	(NA)	1 844	-	-	36 661	6 348	30 313
MADISON	398 184	351 730	(NA)	(NA)	16 404	-	-	398 184	30 050	368 134
MARSHALL	83 414	65 971	(NA)	(NA)	10 204	-	-	83 414	7 239	76 175
MAURY	144 632	98 322	(NA)	(NA)	33 932	-	-	144 632	12 378	132 254
MEIGS	22 784	18 705	(NA)	(NA)	1 140	-	-	22 784	2 939	19 845
MONROE	96 353	81 679	(NA)	(NA)	2 155	-	-	96 353	12 519	83 834
MOORE	20 874	15 950	(NA)	(NA)	4 123	-	-	20 874	801	20 073
MORGAN	50 580	35 618	(NA)	(NA)	2 446	-	-	50 580	12 516	38 064
OBION	167 825	144 089	(NA)	(NA)	5 726	-	-	167 825	18 010	149 815
OVERTON	74 061	63 963	(NA)	(NA)	2 156	-	-	74 061	7 942	66 119
PERRY	26 609	20 489	(NA)	(NA)	199	-	-	26 609	5 921	20 688
PICKETT	14 934	13 183	(NA)	(NA)	672	-	-	14 934	1 079	13 855
POLK	46 587	29 083	(NA)	(NA)	12 034	-	-	46 587	5 470	41 117
PUTNAM	142 025	118 151	(NA)	(NA)	12 190	-	-	142 025	11 684	130 341
RHEA	51 831	39 306	(NA)	(NA)	4 190	-	-	51 831	8 335	43 496
ROANE	124 741	106 118	(NA)	(NA)	5 473	-	-	124 741	13 150	111 591
SCOTT	66 515	44 718	(NA)	(NA)	8 987	-	-	66 515	12 810	53 705
SEVIER	220 628	210 192	(NA)	(NA)	2 068	-	-	220 628	8 368	212 260
SMITH	40 163	33 302	(NA)	(NA)	3 163	-	-	40 163	3 698	36 465
STEWART	18 156	15 845	(NA)	(NA)	167	-	-	18 156	2 344	15 812
TROUSDALE	15 820	12 549	(NA)	(NA)	711	-	-	15 820	2 560	13 260
VAN BUREN	25 957	23 441	(NA)	(NA)	864	-	-	25 957	1 652	24 305
WARREN	169 216	150 955	(NA)	(NA)	6 868	-	-	169 216	11 393	157 823
WAYNE	71 341	58 274	(NA)	(NA)	918	-	-	71 341	12 149	59 192
WEAKLEY	112 191	100 787	(NA)	(NA)	3 141	-	-	112 191	8 263	103 928
WHITE	35 337	28 640	(NA)	(NA)	4 069	-	-	35 337	2 628	32 709
TEXAS[38 39]										
TOTAL	312 853 425	274 487 408	(NA)	(NA)	37 296 996	6 088 105	-	306 765 320	1 069 021	305 696 299
SMSA PORTION	199 225 979	169 329 021	(NA)	(NA)	29 443 063	4 982 442	-	194 243 537	453 895	193 789 642
ABILENE SMSA	1 894 821	1 634 773	(NA)	(NA)	244 418	46 398	-	1 848 423	15 630	1 832 793
CALLAHAN CO.	159 637	147 750	(NA)	(NA)	6 959	176	-	159 461	4 928	154 533
JONES CO	299 088	279 617	(NA)	(NA)	16 875	330	-	298 758	2 396	296 362
TAYLOR CO.	1 436 096	1 207 206	(NA)	(NA)	220 584	45 892	-	1 390 204	8 306	1 381 898
ABILENE (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
AMARILLO SMSA	3 555 140	2 914 444	(NA)	(NA)	623 054	73 253	-	3 481 887	17 642	3 464 245
POTTER CO.	2 293 175	1 925 099	(NA)	(NA)	355 226	48 965	-	2 244 210	12 850	2 231 360
AMARILLO(PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RANDALL CO	1 261 965	989 345	(NA)	(NA)	267 828	24 288	-	1 237 677	4 792	1 232 885
AMARILLO(PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
TEXAS--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
AUSTIN SMSA. . . .	7 015 485	5 950 472	(NA)	(NA)	1 044 552	136 800	-	6 878 685	20 461	6 858 224
HAYS CO.	293 258	278 691	(NA)	(NA)	2 931	10 152	-	283 106	11 636	271 470
TRAVIS CO.	6 414 638	5 407 864	(NA)	(NA)	1 001 544	115 772	-	6 298 866	5 230	6 293 636
AUSTIN (PART).	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WILLIAMSON CO. .	307 589	263 917	(NA)	(NA)	40 077	10 876	-	296 713	3 595	293 118
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA.	9 145 670	6 826 208	(NA)	(NA)	2 223 893	281 277	-	8 864 393	95 569	8 768 824
HARDIN CO. . . .	584 504	510 486	(NA)	(NA)	-	22 548	-	561 956	74 018	487 938
JEFFERSON CO . .	6 505 028	4 433 042	(NA)	(NA)	2 059 013	217 395	-	6 287 633	12 973	6 274 660
BEAUMONT . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PORT ARTHUR. .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ORANGE CO. . . .	2 056 138	1 882 080	(NA)	(NA)	164 880	41 334	-	2 014 804	8 578	2 006 226
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA .	1 671 754	1 394 153	(NA)	(NA)	258 002	47 200	-	1 624 554	19 599	1 604 955
CAMERON CO . . .	1 671 754	1 394 153	(NA)	(NA)	258 002	47 200	-	1 624 554	19 599	1 604 955
BROWNSVILLE. .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION SMSA. . .	1 090 105	972 797	(NA)	(NA)	112 308	55 532	-	1 034 573	5 000	1 029 573
BRAZOS CO. . . .	1 090 105	972 797	(NA)	(NA)	112 308	55 532	-	1 034 573	5 000	1 029 573
CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA	7 502 129	7 055 673	(NA)	(NA)	429 445	235 812	-	7 266 317	17 011	7 249 306
NUECES CO. . . .	6 025 028	5 584 834	(NA)	(NA)	429 445	205 236	-	5 819 792	10 749	5 809 043
CORPUS CHRISTI (PART). . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SAN PATRICIO CO.	1 477 101	1 470 839	(NA)	(NA)	-	30 576	-	1 446 525	6 262	1 440 263
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	39 864 487	32 938 378	(NA)	(NA)	6 847 958	950 053	-	38 914 434	78 151	38 836 283
COLLIN CO. . . .	2 537 503	2 234 271	(NA)	(NA)	298 655	39 987	-	2 497 516	4 577	2 492 939
DALLAS (PART).	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GARLAND (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLANO (PART) .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RICHARDSON (PART). . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DALLAS CO. . . .	21 709 947	17 490 739	(NA)	(NA)	4 202 487	532 388	-	21 177 559	16 721	21 160 838
DALLAS (PART).	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GARLAND (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART). . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
IRVING	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MESQUITE . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RICHARDSON (PART). . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DENTON CO. . . .	2 348 587	2 258 379	(NA)	(NA)	79 888	43 311	-	2 305 276	10 320	2 294 956
DALLAS (PART).	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLANO (PART) .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ELLIS CO	672 013	542 489	(NA)	(NA)	125 066	641	-	671 372	4 458	666 914
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART). . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOOD CO.	448 835	428 127	(NA)	(NA)	19 839	17 582	-	431 253	869	430 384
JOHNSON CO . . .	720 710	590 552	(NA)	(NA)	127 512	40 708	-	680 002	2 646	677 356
KAUFMAN CO . . .	514 255	410 271	(NA)	(NA)	102 231	411	-	513 844	1 753	512 091
PARKER CO. . . .	633 462	586 605	(NA)	(NA)	42 594	8 858	-	624 604	4 263	620 341
ROCKWALL CO. . .	354 964	316 550	(NA)	(NA)	16 984	6 817	-	348 147	19 430	328 717
DALLAS (PART).	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GARLAND (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TARRANT CO . . .	9 027 541	7 203 972	(NA)	(NA)	1 814 394	258 945	-	8 768 596	9 175	8 759 421
ARLINGTON. . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FORT WORTH . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART). . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WISE CO.	896 670	876 423	(NA)	(NA)	16 308	405	-	896 265	3 939	892 326
EL PASO SMSA . . .	7 652 998	6 661 661	(NA)	(NA)	979 937	273 532	-	7 379 466	11 400	7 368 066
EL PASO CO . . .	7 652 998	6 661 661	(NA)	(NA)	979 937	273 532	-	7 379 466	11 400	7 368 066
EL PASO. . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA	6 494 598	6 336 335	(NA)	(NA)	152 798	255 397	-	6 239 201	5 465	6 233 736
GALVESTON CO . .	6 494 598	6 336 335	(NA)	(NA)	152 798	255 397	-	6 239 201	5 465	6 233 736
GALVESTON. . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOUSTON SMSA . . .	72 299 515	61 239 450	(NA)	(NA)	10 992 438	1 307 874	-	70 991 641	67 627	70 924 014
BRAZORIA CO. . .	6 716 293	5 555 235	(NA)	(NA)	1 152 046	78 164	-	6 638 129	9 012	6 629 117
FORT BEND CO . .	4 207 852	4 164 143	(NA)	(NA)	43 709	49 570	-	4 158 282	-	4 158 282
HOUSTON (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	-	(NA)
HARRIS CO. . . .	56 454 620	46 781 334	(NA)	(NA)	9 631 365	1 067 510	-	55 387 110	41 921	55 345 189
BAYTOWN (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOUSTON (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PASADENA . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LIBERTY CO . . .	1 209 324	1 133 532	(NA)	(NA)	66 249	31 301	-	1 178 023	9 543	1 168 480
MONTGOMERY CO. .	3 300 478	3 251 152	(NA)	(NA)	42 605	65 557	-	3 234 921	6 721	3 228 200
HOUSTON (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WALLER CO. . . .	1 446 932	1 385 351	(NA)	(NA)	58 773	15 772	-	1 431 160	2 808	1 428 352
KILLEEN-TEMPLE SMSA.	2 130 087	1 754 559	(NA)	(NA)	372 031	73 909	-	2 056 178	3 497	2 052 681
BELL CO.	1 824 537	1 483 108	(NA)	(NA)	338 389	72 713	-	1 751 824	3 040	1 748 784
KILLEEN. . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CORYELL.	305 550	271 451	(NA)	(NA)	33 642	1 196	-	304 354	457	303 897

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
TEXAS--CON.										
SMSA PORTION--CON.										
LAREDO SMSA	2 424 505	2 214 841	(NA)	(NA)	205 383	30 208	-	2 394 297	4 281	2 390 016
WEBB CO.	2 424 505	2 214 841	(NA)	(NA)	205 383	30 208	-	2 394 297	4 281	2 390 016
LAREDO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL SMSA	4 564 809	4 092 176	(NA)	(NA)	458 316	75 842	-	4 488 967	14 317	4 474 650
GREGG CO	3 506 760	3 101 298	(NA)	(NA)	397 520	59 027	-	3 447 733	7 942	3 439 791
LONGVIEW(PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HARRISON CO.	1 058 049	990 878	(NA)	(NA)	60 796	16 815	-	1 041 234	6 375	1 034 859
LONGVIEW(PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LUBBOCK SMSA	1 808 069	1 364 527	(NA)	(NA)	439 919	42 376	-	1 765 693	3 623	1 762 070
LUBBOCK CO	1 808 069	1 364 527	(NA)	(NA)	439 919	42 376	-	1 765 693	3 623	1 762 070
LUBBOCK	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MCALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA	3 085 395	2 826 360	(NA)	(NA)	254 495	103 401	-	2 981 994	4 540	2 977 454
HIDALGO CO	3 085 395	2 826 360	(NA)	(NA)	254 495	103 401	-	2 981 994	4 540	2 977 454
MCALLEN	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MIDLAND SMSA	1 692 489	1 333 315	(NA)	(NA)	349 618	15 667	-	1 676 822	9 556	1 667 266
MIDLAND CO	1 692 489	1 333 315	(NA)	(NA)	349 618	15 667	-	1 676 822	9 556	1 667 266
MIDLAND	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ODESSA SMSA	4 615 694	4 020 933	(NA)	(NA)	583 069	49 814	-	4 565 880	11 692	4 554 188
ECTOR CO	4 615 694	4 020 933	(NA)	(NA)	583 069	49 814	-	4 565 880	11 692	4 554 188
ODESSA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SAN ANGELO SMSA	888 384	783 782	(NA)	(NA)	101 753	34 048	-	854 336	2 849	851 487
TOM GREEN CO	888 384	783 782	(NA)	(NA)	101 753	34 048	-	854 336	2 849	851 487
SAN ANGELO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SAN ANTONIO SMSA	10 105 897	9 220 613	(NA)	(NA)	873 557	530 237	-	9 575 660	11 727	9 563 933
BEXAR CO	8 779 030	8 058 780	(NA)	(NA)	713 832	503 344	-	8 275 686	6 418	8 269 268
SAN ANTONIO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
COMAL CO	698 222	536 266	(NA)	(NA)	159 725	25 513	-	672 709	2 231	670 478
GUADALUPE CO	628 645	625 567	(NA)	(NA)	-	1 380	-	627 265	3 078	624 187
SHERMAN-DENNISON SMSA	1 993 447	1 571 949	(NA)	(NA)	414 633	74 458	-	1 918 989	6 865	1 912 124
GRAYSON CO	1 993 447	1 571 949	(NA)	(NA)	414 633	74 458	-	1 918 989	6 865	1 912 124
TEXARKANA, TEX.-ARK, SMSA*	621 976	556 629	(NA)	(NA)	54 459	23 077	-	598 899	10 888	588 011
BOWIE CO	621 976	556 629	(NA)	(NA)	54 459	23 077	-	598 899	10 888	588 011
TYLER SMSA	2 251 044	1 681 645	(NA)	(NA)	565 142	100 930	-	2 150 114	4 257	2 145 857
SMITH CO	2 251 044	1 681 645	(NA)	(NA)	565 142	100 930	-	2 150 114	4 257	2 145 857
TYLER	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WACO SMSA	1 788 810	1 299 210	(NA)	(NA)	485 781	87 890	-	1 700 920	3 819	1 697 101
MCLENNAN CO.	1 788 810	1 299 210	(NA)	(NA)	485 781	87 890	-	1 700 920	3 819	1 697 101
WACO	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WICHITA FALLS SMSA	2 032 687	1 652 841	(NA)	(NA)	373 795	77 457	-	1 955 230	6 051	1 949 179
CLAY CO.	239 089	218 877	(NA)	(NA)	16 288	180	-	238 909	3 924	234 985
WICHITA CO	1 793 598	1 433 964	(NA)	(NA)	357 507	77 277	-	1 716 321	2 127	1 714 194
WICHITA FALLS (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA PORTION	113 627 446	105 158 387	(NA)	(NA)	7 853 933	1 105 663	-	112 521 783	615 126	111 906 657
ANDERSON	752 969	679 615	(NA)	(NA)	70 271	16 806	-	736 163	3 083	733 080
ANDREWS	2 735 153	2 733 578	(NA)	(NA)	1 575	3 187	-	2 731 966	-	2 731 966
ANGELINA	597 475	496 448	(NA)	(NA)	87 468	26 589	-	570 886	13 559	557 327
ARANSAS	336 785	312 955	(NA)	(NA)	22 787	5 642	-	331 143	1 043	330 100
ARCHER	346 497	310 244	(NA)	(NA)	32 065	1 831	-	344 666	4 188	340 478
ARMSTRONG	53 211	42 805	(NA)	(NA)	9 569	764	-	52 447	837	51 610
ATASCOSA	723 408	675 338	(NA)	(NA)	44 295	22 464	-	700 944	3 775	697 169
AUSTIN	288 405	243 185	(NA)	(NA)	40 846	8 138	-	280 267	4 374	275 893
BAILEY	225 832	172 644	(NA)	(NA)	51 063	86	-	225 746	2 125	223 621
BANDERA	138 823	126 830	(NA)	(NA)	11 702	330	-	138 493	291	138 202
BASTROP	266 528	245 788	(NA)	(NA)	17 706	833	-	265 695	3 034	262 661
BAYLOR	98 952	79 140	(NA)	(NA)	19 747	179	-	98 773	65	98 708
BEE	403 637	361 661	(NA)	(NA)	38 060	8 810	-	394 827	3 916	390 911
BLANCO	129 735	119 696	(NA)	(NA)	8 418	90	-	129 645	1 621	128 024
BORDEN	564 907	561 711	(NA)	(NA)	1 501	67	-	564 840	1 695	563 145
BOSQUE	329 068	296 079	(NA)	(NA)	30 737	352	-	328 716	2 252	326 464
BREWSTER	35 037	31 824	(NA)	(NA)	1 035	850	-	34 187	2 178	32 009
BRISCOE	96 915	75 261	(NA)	(NA)	21 527	16	-	96 899	127	96 772
BROOKS	591 047	582 778	(NA)	(NA)	5 868	3 216	-	587 831	2 401	585 430
BROWN	347 585	281 744	(NA)	(NA)	63 856	14 703	-	332 882	1 985	330 897
BURLESON	1 051 703	983 449	(NA)	(NA)	66 791	11 689	-	1 040 014	1 463	1 038 551
BURNET	583 777	553 351	(NA)	(NA)	29 685	722	-	583 055	741	582 314
CALDWELL	328 871	293 562	(NA)	(NA)	33 604	4 820	-	324 051	1 705	322 346
CALHOUN	1 184 360	1 084 879	(NA)	(NA)	97 084	8 332	-	1 176 028	2 397	1 173 631
CAMP	125 926	107 875	(NA)	(NA)	17 355	5 711	-	120 215	696	119 519
CARSON	551 661	523 367	(NA)	(NA)	24 950	88	-	551 573	3 344	548 229
CASS	467 368	461 019	(NA)	(NA)	4 475	11 336	-	456 032	1 874	454 158
CASTRO	238 365	208 869	(NA)	(NA)	28 085	52	-	238 313	1 411	236 902
CHAMBERS	2 711 473	2 186 577	(NA)	(NA)	523 008	6 318	-	2 705 160	1 893	2 703 267
CHEROKEE	653 302	592 470	(NA)	(NA)	58 218	21 804	-	631 498	2 614	628 884

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
TEXAS--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
CHILDRESS	123 218	104 122	(NA)	(NA)	17 366	143	-	123 075	1 730	121 345
COCHRAN	921 543	885 828	(NA)	(NA)	34 452	56	-	921 487	1 263	920 224
COKE	289 479	278 848	(NA)	(NA)	8 750	85	-	289 394	1 881	287 513
COLEMAN	181 893	155 666	(NA)	(NA)	24 787	268	-	181 625	1 440	180 185
COLLINGSWORTH	115 779	100 182	(NA)	(NA)	15 209	4 761	-	111 018	388	110 630
COLORADO	509 563	452 258	(NA)	(NA)	54 167	10 862	-	498 701	3 138	495 563
COMANCHE	137 080	109 465	(NA)	(NA)	26 660	303	-	136 777	955	135 822
CONCHO	110 679	86 291	(NA)	(NA)	23 916	51	-	110 628	472	110 156
COOKE	521 427	426 049	(NA)	(NA)	89 948	14 963	-	506 464	5 430	501 034
COTTLE	111 921	99 260	(NA)	(NA)	11 883	53	-	111 868	778	111 090
CRANE	2 179 291	2 121 300	(NA)	(NA)	51 719	379	-	2 178 912	6 272	2 172 640
CROCKETT	591 130	559 608	(NA)	(NA)	27 102	15	-	591 115	4 420	586 695
CROSBY	276 139	242 630	(NA)	(NA)	32 700	80	-	276 059	809	275 250
CULBERSON	244 213	233 937	(NA)	(NA)	5 193	226	-	243 987	5 083	238 904
DALLAM	195 520	163 164	(NA)	(NA)	31 090	66	-	195 454	1 266	194 188
DAWSON	480 452	440 835	(NA)	(NA)	36 062	9 474	-	470 978	3 555	467 423
DEAF SMITH	416 962	302 612	(NA)	(NA)	112 177	8 707	-	408 255	2 173	406 082
DELTA	55 426	47 135	(NA)	(NA)	7 254	3 785	-	51 641	1 037	50 604
DE WITT	336 573	303 899	(NA)	(NA)	31 940	301	-	336 272	734	335 538
DICKENS	89 528	73 494	(NA)	(NA)	15 577	23	-	89 505	457	89 048
DIMMIT	403 701	389 358	(NA)	(NA)	10 036	87	-	403 614	4 307	399 307
DONLEY	64 971	46 519	(NA)	(NA)	15 332	101	-	64 870	3 120	61 750
DUVAL	463 264	400 066	(NA)	(NA)	60 108	4 701	-	458 563	3 090	455 473
EASTLAND	289 043	274 744	(NA)	(NA)	8 816	5 904	-	283 139	5 483	277 656
EDWARDS	117 818	102 711	(NA)	(NA)	14 646	16	-	117 802	461	117 341
ERATH	363 158	331 005	(NA)	(NA)	27 765	22 504	-	340 654	4 388	336 266
FALLS	129 306	111 943	(NA)	(NA)	15 767	7 420	-	121 886	1 596	120 290
FANNIN	215 618	206 994	(NA)	(NA)	5 635	13 344	-	202 274	2 989	199 285
FAYETTE	594 679	541 035	(NA)	(NA)	50 001	18 190	-	576 489	3 643	572 846
FISHER	312 067	295 425	(NA)	(NA)	14 723	5 613	-	306 454	1 919	304 535
FLOYD	302 974	238 343	(NA)	(NA)	63 383	120	-	302 854	1 248	301 606
FOARD	69 798	56 361	(NA)	(NA)	12 821	32	-	69 766	616	69 150
FRANKLIN	369 393	355 135	(NA)	(NA)	12 763	7 002	-	362 391	1 495	360 896
FREESTONE	523 945	516 026	(NA)	(NA)	7 901	8 168	-	515 777	18	515 759
FRIO	358 737	313 883	(NA)	(NA)	41 976	3 937	-	354 800	2 878	351 922
GAINES	3 972 069	3 893 910	(NA)	(NA)	73 123	4 680	-	3 967 389	5 036	3 962 353
GARZA	602 685	571 887	(NA)	(NA)	29 306	4 305	-	598 380	1 492	596 888
GILLESPIE	231 848	166 822	(NA)	(NA)	62 752	333	-	231 515	2 274	229 241
GLASSCOCK	273 768	271 558	(NA)	(NA)	1 328	282	-	273 486	882	272 604
GOLIAD	378 422	354 123	(NA)	(NA)	23 519	4 389	-	374 033	780	373 253
GONZALES	208 508	169 517	(NA)	(NA)	37 162	216	-	208 292	1 829	206 463
GRAY	882 222	838 822	(NA)	(NA)	41 316	17 541	-	864 681	2 084	862 597
GRIMES	256 831	201 807	(NA)	(NA)	51 548	6 632	-	250 199	3 476	246 723
HALE	1 272 290	1 065 932	(NA)	(NA)	204 057	295	-	1 271 995	2 301	1 269 694
HALL	132 521	110 256	(NA)	(NA)	21 471	72	-	132 449	794	131 655
HAMILTON	214 436	193 953	(NA)	(NA)	19 902	210	-	214 226	581	213 645
HANSFORD	355 709	326 229	(NA)	(NA)	28 709	1 932	-	353 777	771	353 006
HARDEMAN	187 931	165 112	(NA)	(NA)	21 580	118	-	187 813	1 239	186 574
HARTLEY	169 295	155 112	(NA)	(NA)	9 155	20	-	169 275	5 028	164 247
HASKELL	184 880	162 305	(NA)	(NA)	19 800	5 962	-	178 918	2 775	176 143
HEMPHILL	1 155 407	1 081 045	(NA)	(NA)	71 218	7 160	-	1 148 247	3 144	1 145 103
HENDERSON	959 725	936 116	(NA)	(NA)	20 437	45 547	-	914 178	3 172	911 006
HILL	518 339	438 249	(NA)	(NA)	73 363	738	-	517 601	6 727	510 874
HOCKLEY	2 882 849	2 798 362	(NA)	(NA)	80 876	11 342	-	2 871 507	3 611	2 867 896
HOPKINS	464 222	339 266	(NA)	(NA)	24 045	18 860	-	445 362	100 911	344 451
HOUSTON	261 814	203 708	(NA)	(NA)	55 340	232	-	261 582	2 766	258 816
HOWARD	1 289 655	1 148 453	(NA)	(NA)	135 829	13 736	-	1 275 919	5 373	1 270 546
HUDSPETH	126 574	104 416	(NA)	(NA)	15 955	303	-	126 271	6 203	120 068
HUNT	469 677	417 496	(NA)	(NA)	51 415	18 871	-	450 806	766	450 040
HUTCHINSON	1 254 129	1 059 140	(NA)	(NA)	192 077	23 142	-	1 230 987	2 912	1 228 075
IRION	245 814	222 093	(NA)	(NA)	21 760	920	-	244 894	1 961	242 933
JACK	414 245	359 730	(NA)	(NA)	49 889	7 553	-	406 692	4 626	402 066
JACKSON	785 606	752 399	(NA)	(NA)	26 710	7 683	-	777 923	6 497	771 426
JASPER	453 426	449 738	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 273	-	438 153	3 688	434 465
JEFF DAVIS	50 269	47 114	(NA)	(NA)	2 690	12	-	50 257	465	49 792
JIM HOGG	116 907	114 434	(NA)	(NA)	1 340	1 037	-	115 870	1 133	114 737
JIM WELLS	923 581	912 948	(NA)	(NA)	9 222	9 903	-	913 678	1 411	912 267
KARNES	288 934	266 633	(NA)	(NA)	20 574	5 475	-	283 459	1 727	281 732
KENDALL	273 165	51 449	(NA)	(NA)	221 099	361	-	272 804	617	272 187
KENEDY	260 164	256 300	(NA)	(NA)	2 724	-	-	260 164	1 140	259 024
KENT	659 007	650 653	(NA)	(NA)	6 985	1 277	-	657 730	1 369	656 361

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
TEXAS--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
KERR	829 082	748 343	(NA)	(NA)	80 234	1 213	-	827 869	505	827 364
KIMBLE	61 481	48 255	(NA)	(NA)	10 743	92	-	61 389	2 483	58 906
KING	192 346	190 121	(NA)	(NA)	1 324	-	-	192 346	901	191 445
KINNEY	87 935	77 678	(NA)	(NA)	9 640	4 306	-	83 629	617	83 012
KLEBERG	2 168 992	2 146 027	(NA)	(NA)	21 273	7 850	-	2 161 142	1 692	2 159 450
CORPUS CHRISTI (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KNOX	122 420	95 191	(NA)	(NA)	24 744	97	-	122 323	2 485	119 838
LAMAR	323 158	263 634	(NA)	(NA)	56 420	16 632	-	306 526	3 104	303 422
LAMB	438 048	368 826	(NA)	(NA)	66 472	214	-	437 834	2 750	435 084
LAMPASAS	191 389	163 057	(NA)	(NA)	27 838	3 812	-	187 577	494	187 083
LA SALLE	77 846	74 124	(NA)	(NA)	650	945	-	76 901	3 072	73 829
LAVACA	659 468	579 998	(NA)	(NA)	78 643	402	-	659 066	827	658 239
LEE	808 255	767 134	(NA)	(NA)	37 342	10 985	-	797 270	3 779	793 491
LEON	261 303	253 025	(NA)	(NA)	7 749	7 352	-	253 951	529	253 422
LIMESTONE	356 655	326 488	(NA)	(NA)	29 838	98	-	356 557	329	356 228
LIPSCOMB	647 255	608 849	(NA)	(NA)	36 644	41	-	647 214	1 762	645 452
LIVE OAK	479 103	468 018	(NA)	(NA)	11 085	1 801	-	477 302	-	477 302
LLANO	318 702	288 968	(NA)	(NA)	29 154	514	-	318 188	580	317 608
LOVING	306 915	267 234	(NA)	(NA)	37 725	18	-	306 897	1 956	304 941
LYNN	275 576	229 255	(NA)	(NA)	46 250	1 471	-	274 105	71	274 034
MCCULLOCH	85 666	78 406	(NA)	(NA)	6 380	1 141	-	84 525	880	83 645
MCMULLEN	205 486	197 250	(NA)	(NA)	7 736	-	-	205 488	502	204 986
MADISON	147 649	136 041	(NA)	(NA)	9 134	82	-	147 567	2 474	145 093
MARION	137 008	134 689	(NA)	(NA)	2 319	220	-	136 788	-	136 788
MARTIN	531 481	515 053	(NA)	(NA)	15 764	26	-	531 455	664	530 791
MASON	68 638	61 542	(NA)	(NA)	25 167	84	-	88 554	1 929	86 625
MATAGORDA	1 687 099	1 630 266	(NA)	(NA)	48 627	12 555	-	1 674 544	8 206	1 666 338
MAVERICK	298 622	268 690	(NA)	(NA)	29 932	4 255	-	294 367	-	294 367
MEDINA	322 275	272 798	(NA)	(NA)	47 531	558	-	321 717	1 946	319 771
MENARD	80 697	62 099	(NA)	(NA)	18 294	54	-	80 643	304	80 339
MILAM	729 967	688 987	(NA)	(NA)	38 110	12 719	-	717 248	2 870	714 378
MILLS	32 119	26 457	(NA)	(NA)	5 288	88	-	32 031	374	31 657
MITCHELL	558 281	482 646	(NA)	(NA)	69 508	9 536	-	548 745	6 127	542 618
MONTAGUE	485 874	480 615	(NA)	(NA)	-	355	-	485 519	5 259	480 260
MOORE	967 558	874 245	(NA)	(NA)	91 765	7 621	-	959 937	1 548	958 389
MORRIS	222 768	58 480	(NA)	(NA)	150 437	3 651	-	219 117	13 851	205 266
MOTLEY	27 903	22 514	(NA)	(NA)	4 415	34	-	27 869	974	26 895
NACOGDOCHES	604 781	517 312	(NA)	(NA)	69 684	16 809	-	587 972	17 785	570 187
NAVARRO	882 625	823 863	(NA)	(NA)	50 865	28 468	-	854 157	7 897	846 260
NEWTON	179 797	173 711	(NA)	(NA)	4 719	4 222	-	175 575	1 367	174 208
NOLAN	388 878	329 945	(NA)	(NA)	54 006	8 363	-	380 515	4 927	375 588
OCHILTREE	543 950	473 966	(NA)	(NA)	67 420	6 530	-	537 420	2 564	534 856
OLDHAM	152 718	126 580	(NA)	(NA)	22 998	1 131	-	151 587	3 140	148 447
PALO PINTO	392 452	346 304	(NA)	(NA)	43 770	13 160	-	379 292	2 378	376 914
PANOLA	1 220 551	972 692	(NA)	(NA)	246 013	16 838	-	1 203 713	1 846	1 201 867
PARMER	203 050	116 427	(NA)	(NA)	82 952	69	-	202 981	3 671	199 310
PECOS	7 095 855	7 081 613	(NA)	(NA)	4 919	4 059	-	7 091 796	9 323	7 082 473
POLK	518 008	455 766	(NA)	(NA)	57 809	9 006	-	509 002	4 433	504 569
PRESIDIO	56 724	41 171	(NA)	(NA)	14 748	78	-	56 646	805	55 841
RAINS	145 333	132 460	(NA)	(NA)	12 655	1 552	-	143 781	218	143 563
REAGAN	498 861	488 459	(NA)	(NA)	5 943	1 498	-	497 363	4 459	492 904
REAL	90 505	16 269	(NA)	(NA)	74 188	2 264	-	88 241	48	88 193
RED RIVER	130 881	115 985	(NA)	(NA)	13 241	3 224	-	127 657	2 155	125 502
REEVES	547 682	482 119	(NA)	(NA)	62 201	6 129	-	541 553	3 362	538 191
REFUGIO	2 156 168	2 111 529	(NA)	(NA)	40 296	5 576	-	2 150 592	4 343	2 146 249
ROBERTS	388 863	369 040	(NA)	(NA)	18 496	15	-	388 848	1 327	387 521
ROBERTSON	390 185	344 528	(NA)	(NA)	42 891	289	-	389 896	2 766	387 130
RUNNELS	293 631	278 194	(NA)	(NA)	14 072	3 887	-	289 744	1 365	288 379
RUSK	1 664 288	1 568 250	(NA)	(NA)	87 861	13 545	-	1 650 743	8 177	1 642 566
SABINE	108 164	104 840	(NA)	(NA)	2 963	5 838	-	102 326	361	101 965
SAN AUGUSTINE	48 876	46 332	(NA)	(NA)	2 218	2 711	-	46 165	326	45 839
SAN JACINTO	306 999	303 571	(NA)	(NA)	2 737	9 192	-	297 807	691	297 116
SAN SABA	63 029	50 082	(NA)	(NA)	12 647	115	-	62 914	300	62 614
SCHLEICHER	222 138	198 243	(NA)	(NA)	21 052	29	-	222 159	2 893	219 266
SCURRY	2 757 751	2 721 435	(NA)	(NA)	29 171	12 720	-	2 745 031	7 145	2 737 886
SHACKELFORD	215 280	183 610	(NA)	(NA)	29 167	103	-	215 177	2 503	212 674
SHELBY	122 843	121 739	(NA)	(NA)	-	466	-	122 377	1 104	121 273
SHERMAN	358 764	289 840	(NA)	(NA)	66 454	2 806	-	355 958	2 470	353 488
SOMERVELL	585 590	581 468	(NA)	(NA)	3 511	2 065	-	583 525	611	582 914
STARR	497 437	471 346	(NA)	(NA)	23 466	81	-	497 356	2 625	494 731
STEPHENS	523 876	481 343	(NA)	(NA)	40 640	10 757	-	513 119	1 893	511 226
STERLING	300 976	285 792	(NA)	(NA)	14 057	6	-	300 970	1 127	299 843
STONEWALL	255 792	250 186	(NA)	(NA)	3 663	31	-	255 761	1 943	253 818
SUTTON	448 146	433 135	(NA)	(NA)	14 844	23	-	448 123	167	447 956
SWISHER	251 850	191 544	(NA)	(NA)	59 637	10 026	-	241 824	669	241 155
TERRELL	191 382	180 516	(NA)	(NA)	9 958	1 437	-	189 945	908	189 037

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multistate Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
TEXAS--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
TERRY	1 194 006	1 134 356	(NA)	(NA)	57 820	8 839	-	1 185 167	1 830	1 183 337
THROCKMORTON	133 329	102 979	(NA)	(NA)	28 576	31	-	133 298	1 774	131 524
TITUS	830 625	824 262	(NA)	(NA)	4 759	12 085	-	818 540	1 604	816 936
TRINITY	168 592	166 339	(NA)	(NA)	2 253	5 326	-	163 266	-	163 266
TYLER	257 047	244 621	(NA)	(NA)	4 388	8 547	-	248 500	8 038	240 462
UPSHUR	319 743	313 008	(NA)	(NA)	2 184	7 885	-	311 858	4 551	307 307
UPTON	790 181	752 422	(NA)	(NA)	30 700	421	-	789 760	7 059	782 701
UVALDE	181 333	141 500	(NA)	(NA)	38 595	9 271	-	172 062	1 238	170 824
VAL VERDE	338 316	319 508	(NA)	(NA)	17 241	7 325	-	330 991	1 567	329 424
VAN ZANDT	661 096	642 819	(NA)	(NA)	15 882	6 872	-	654 224	2 395	651 829
VICTORIA	1 826 712	1 599 080	(NA)	(NA)	220 665	39 851	-	1 786 861	6 967	1 779 894
WALKER	483 106	404 278	(NA)	(NA)	36 058	14 589	-	428 517	2 770	425 747
WARD	1 457 892	1 316 774	(NA)	(NA)	137 761	3 960	-	1 453 932	3 357	1 450 575
WASHINGTON	303 172	261 329	(NA)	(NA)	40 902	12 436	-	290 736	941	289 795
WHARTON	1 320 279	1 239 852	(NA)	(NA)	76 934	21 066	-	1 299 213	3 493	1 295 720
WHEELER	783 975	757 866	(NA)	(NA)	21 464	-	-	783 975	4 645	779 330
WILBARGER	372 398	338 657	(NA)	(NA)	32 390	239	-	372 159	1 351	370 808
WILLACY	338 619	313 023	(NA)	(NA)	19 556	1 808	-	332 811	2 040	330 771
WILSON	268 757	233 029	(NA)	(NA)	34 517	239	-	268 518	1 211	267 307
WINKLER	1 206 691	1 187 156	(NA)	(NA)	12 534	9 024	-	1 197 667	7 001	1 190 666
WOOD	1 814 536	1 740 120	(NA)	(NA)	72 129	19 327	-	1 795 209	2 287	1 792 922
YOAKUM	3 754 290	3 719 239	(NA)	(NA)	31 903	5 599	-	3 748 691	3 148	3 745 543
YOUNG	423 327	359 146	(NA)	(NA)	57 763	14 792	-	408 535	6 418	402 117
ZAPATA	639 121	629 694	(NA)	(NA)	8 394	2 910	-	636 211	833	635 378
ZAVALA	182 560	153 462	(NA)	(NA)	25 206	3 304	-	179 256	3 892	175 364
UTAH[3]										
TOTAL	6 010 966	3 972 920	1 196 969	2 775 951	737 688	27 086	-	5 979 708	1 296 180	4 683 522
SMSA PORTION	4 095 744	3 132 244	885 556	2 246 688	543 198	23 076	-	4 071 780	419 414	3 652 366
PROVO-OREM SMSA	609 697	464 550	138 927	325 623	95 289	1 962	-	607 549	49 672	557 877
UTAH CO	609 697	464 550	138 927	325 623	95 289	1 962	-	607 549	49 672	557 877
PROVO	162 355	136 054	41 571	94 483	17 006	599	-	161 753	9 292	152 461
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN SMSA	3 486 047	2 667 694	746 629	1 921 065	447 909	21 114	-	3 464 231	369 742	3 094 489
DAVIS CO	398 327	329 264	77 470	251 794	45 911	10 539	-	387 731	23 095	364 636
SALT LAKE CO	2 508 303	1 902 466	543 994	1 358 472	327 919	7 972	-	2 499 828	277 415	2 222 413
SALT LAKE CITY	828 382	601 135	163 726	437 409	135 773	4 000	-	824 111	91 203	732 908
TOOELE CO	82 520	39 984	8 845	31 139	6 941	207	-	82 273	35 555	46 718
WEBER CO	496 897	395 980	116 320	279 660	67 138	2 396	-	494 399	33 677	460 722
OGDEN	225 469	181 836	50 156	131 680	30 934	1 522	-	223 917	12 669	211 248
NON-SMSA PORTION	1 915 222	840 676	311 413	529 263	194 490	4 010	-	1 907 928	876 772	1 031 156
BEAVER	18 372	10 949	4 109	6 840	3 281	89	-	18 267	4 126	14 141
BOX ELDER	152 558	97 526	26 637	70 889	32 357	391	-	151 856	22 364	129 492
CACHE	164 114	125 399	32 805	92 594	27 263	739	-	163 337	11 414	151 923
CARBON	102 100	43 633	14 108	29 525	10 891	351	-	101 621	47 448	54 173
DAGGETT	12 118	2 787	1 492	1 295	1 384	3	-	12 115	7 947	4 168
DUCHESNE	165 197	39 082	21 554	17 528	11 756	108	-	165 071	114 341	50 730
EMERY	220 866	16 628	4 112	12 516	6 263	71	-	219 156	196 336	22 820
GARFIELD	30 613	11 345	4 917	6 428	3 423	73	-	30 505	15 810	14 695
GRAND	50 536	18 034	4 268	13 766	10 169	67	-	50 442	22 306	28 136
IRON	82 064	47 414	19 437	27 977	7 330	184	-	81 482	26 922	54 560
JUAB	24 834	11 735	5 549	6 186	4 470	134	-	24 653	8 582	16 071
KANE	21 889	17 607	8 950	8 657	2 012	43	-	21 827	2 251	19 576
MILLARD	52 305	28 192	11 187	17 005	8 067	203	-	51 911	15 855	36 056
MORGAN	23 247	14 451	5 483	8 968	2 408	80	-	23 161	6 382	16 779
PIUTE	6 737	3 961	1 403	2 558	906	38	-	6 686	1 857	4 829
RICH	27 722	18 895	12 664	6 231	2 448	16	-	27 689	6 362	21 327
SAN JUAN	143 360	10 853	3 425	7 428	4 076	28	-	143 287	128 386	14 901
SANPETE	47 813	36 177	15 612	20 565	6 603	257	-	47 531	5 008	42 523
SEVIER	59 157	36 792	13 702	23 090	7 791	178	-	58 901	14 496	44 405
SUMMIT	216 797	94 858	49 031	45 827	8 868	140	-	216 636	113 050	103 586
UINTAH	149 870	38 338	7 110	31 228	15 140	181	-	149 671	96 374	53 297
WASATCH	43 736	35 242	18 505	16 737	5 078	99	-	43 631	3 410	40 221
WASHINGTON	92 429	76 055	23 608	52 447	10 949	459	-	91 787	5 242	86 545
WAYNE	6 788	4 723	1 745	2 978	1 557	78	-	6 705	503	6 202

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. **Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981**—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
VERMONT[2 22 40]										
TOTAL	74 915	69 351	(NA)	(NA)	5 564	(NA)	(NA)	74 915	-	74 915
ADDISON	3 544	3 344	(NA)	(NA)	200	(NA)	(NA)	3 544	-	3 544
BENNINGTON	5 599	5 148	(NA)	(NA)	451	(NA)	(NA)	5 599	-	5 599
CALEDONIA	3 300	3 098	(NA)	(NA)	202	(NA)	(NA)	3 300	-	3 300
CHITTENDEN	16 143	14 712	(NA)	(NA)	1 431	(NA)	(NA)	16 143	-	16 143
ESSEX	791	711	(NA)	(NA)	80	(NA)	(NA)	791	-	791
FRANKLIN	3 834	3 626	(NA)	(NA)	208	(NA)	(NA)	3 834	-	3 834
GRAND ISLE	767	757	(NA)	(NA)	10	(NA)	(NA)	767	-	767
LAMOILLE	3 529	3 398	(NA)	(NA)	131	(NA)	(NA)	3 529	-	3 529
ORANGE	2 757	2 663	(NA)	(NA)	94	(NA)	(NA)	2 757	-	2 757
ORLEANS	2 827	2 693	(NA)	(NA)	134	(NA)	(NA)	2 827	-	2 827
RUTLAND	6 570	5 913	(NA)	(NA)	657	(NA)	(NA)	6 570	-	6 570
WASHINGTON	7 616	7 163	(NA)	(NA)	453	(NA)	(NA)	7 616	-	7 616
WINDHAM	9 113	8 595	(NA)	(NA)	518	(NA)	(NA)	9 113	-	9 113
WINDSOR	8 525	7 530	(NA)	(NA)	995	(NA)	(NA)	8 525	-	8 525
VIRGINIA[22]										
TOTAL	122 374 900	104 098 568	34 483 863	69 614 705	9 522 273	(NA)	(NA)	122 374 900	8 754 059	113 620 841
SMSA PORTION	87 715 789	76 513 470	23 930 277	52 583 193	6 369 913	(NA)	(NA)	87 715 789	4 832 406	82 883 383
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*	40 929 002	36 919 476	12 289 219	24 630 257	2 155 948	(NA)	(NA)	40 929 002	1 853 578	39 075 424
ARLINGTON CO	7 060 425	6 471 691	2 531 192	3 940 499	243 436	(NA)	(NA)	7 060 425	345 298	6 715 127
FAIRFAX CO	21 901 207	20 025 948	6 281 987	13 743 961	1 196 770	(NA)	(NA)	21 901 207	678 489	21 222 718
LOUDOUN CO	2 181 920	1 943 623	775 789	1 167 834	110 391	(NA)	(NA)	2 181 920	127 906	2 054 014
PRINCE WILLIAM CO.	3 721 456	3 106 379	1 070 450	2 035 929	266 150	(NA)	(NA)	3 721 456	348 927	3 372 529
ALEXANDRIA CITY	4 242 156	3 738 435	1 106 876	2 631 559	213 354	(NA)	(NA)	4 242 156	290 367	3 951 789
FAIRFAX CITY	804 592	721 391	226 268	495 123	52 176	(NA)	(NA)	804 592	31 025	773 567
FALLS CHURCH CITY	439 640	411 061	147 189	263 872	19 699	(NA)	(NA)	439 640	8 880	430 760
MANASSAS CITY	495 403	427 461	129 660	297 801	47 376	(NA)	(NA)	495 403	20 566	474 837
MANASSAS PARK CITY	82 203	73 487	19 808	53 679	6 596	(NA)	(NA)	82 203	2 120	80 083
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL TENN.-VA. SMSA*	1 475 118	1 258 504	400 125	858 379	154 268	(NA)	(NA)	1 475 118	62 346	1 412 772
SCOTT CO	288 583	239 240	101 559	137 681	27 182	(NA)	(NA)	288 583	22 161	266 422
WASHINGTON CO	873 910	729 069	236 748	492 321	111 896	(NA)	(NA)	873 910	32 945	840 965
BRISTOL CITY	312 625	290 195	61 818	228 377	15 190	(NA)	(NA)	312 625	7 240	305 385
LYNCHBURG SMSA	2 810 577	2 350 720	619 268	1 731 452	259 769	(NA)	(NA)	2 810 577	200 088	2 610 489
AMHERST CO	372 748	320 927	113 522	207 405	23 914	(NA)	(NA)	372 748	27 907	344 841
APPOMATTOX CO	180 873	145 110	61 191	83 919	12 392	(NA)	(NA)	180 873	23 371	157 502
CAMPBELL CO	942 574	764 448	253 951	510 493	106 424	(NA)	(NA)	942 574	71 706	870 868
LYNCHBURG CITY	1 314 382	1 120 239	190 604	929 635	117 039	(NA)	(NA)	1 314 382	77 104	1 237 278
NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON SMSA	7 089 810	5 946 365	1 711 096	4 275 289	581 326	(NA)	(NA)	7 089 810	522 099	6 567 711
GLOUCESTER CO	413 940	370 572	160 888	209 684	28 383	(NA)	(NA)	413 940	14 985	398 955
JAMES CITY CO	807 854	678 750	260 702	418 048	92 708	(NA)	(NA)	807 854	36 396	771 458
YORK CO	843 573	572 815	207 169	365 646	48 947	(NA)	(NA)	843 573	221 811	621 762
HAMPTON CITY	1 916 350	1 695 875	398 678	1 297 197	136 715	(NA)	(NA)	1 916 350	83 760	1 832 590
NEWPORT NEWS CITY	2 651 594	2 257 131	542 015	1 715 116	247 574	(NA)	(NA)	2 651 594	146 889	2 504 705
WILLIAMSBURG CITY	272 406	242 824	91 524	151 300	15 107	(NA)	(NA)	272 406	14 475	257 931
POQUOSON CITY	184 093	168 418	50 120	118 298	11 892	(NA)	(NA)	184 093	3 783	180 310
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*	14 656 065	12 935 544	4 129 234	8 806 300	943 635	(NA)	(NA)	14 656 065	777 486	13 878 579
CHESAPEAKE CITY	2 458 226	2 102 790	705 600	1 397 190	167 977	(NA)	(NA)	2 458 226	187 459	2 270 767
NORFOLK CITY	3 695 778	3 054 030	681 823	2 372 207	351 065	(NA)	(NA)	3 695 778	290 683	3 405 095
PORTSMOUTH CITY	1 529 053	1 325 853	357 511	968 342	133 903	(NA)	(NA)	1 529 053	69 297	1 459 756
SUFFOLK CITY	732 018	647 524	218 212	429 312	37 963	(NA)	(NA)	732 018	46 531	685 487
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	6 240 990	5 805 347	2 166 093	3 639 249	252 127	(NA)	(NA)	6 240 990	183 516	6 057 474
PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS-HOPEWELL SMSA	2 100 904	1 701 372	530 719	1 170 653	269 010	(NA)	(NA)	2 100 904	130 522	1 970 382
DINWIDDIE CO	362 356	304 756	145 170	159 586	28 674	(NA)	(NA)	362 356	28 926	333 430
PRINCE GEORGE CO.	302 365	252 927	104 721	148 206	25 992	(NA)	(NA)	302 365	23 446	278 919
COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY	333 708	290 269	78 465	211 804	32 920	(NA)	(NA)	333 708	10 519	323 189
HOPEWELL CITY	486 735	355 920	74 792	281 128	102 723	(NA)	(NA)	486 735	28 092	458 643
PETERSBURG CITY	615 740	497 500	127 571	369 929	78 701	(NA)	(NA)	615 740	39 539	576 201
RICHMOND SMSA	14 419 926	11 799 229	3 365 060	8 434 169	1 631 035	(NA)	(NA)	14 419 926	989 612	13 430 314
CHARLES CITY CO	127 364	105 058	68 699	36 359	13 597	(NA)	(NA)	127 364	8 709	118 655
CHESTERFIELD CO	3 746 305	2 996 065	874 748	2 121 317	390 753	(NA)	(NA)	3 746 305	359 487	3 386 818
GOOCHLAND CO	336 116	296 323	177 502	118 821	19 304	(NA)	(NA)	336 116	20 489	315 627
HANOVER CO	1 243 070	1 044 151	380 595	663 556	126 193	(NA)	(NA)	1 243 070	72 726	1 170 344
HENRICO CO	4 175 917	3 576 227	983 229	2 592 998	424 229	(NA)	(NA)	4 175 917	175 461	4 000 456
NEW KENT CO	193 487	164 862	94 661	70 201	17 104	(NA)	(NA)	193 487	11 521	181 966
POWHATAN CO	63 963	48 229	20 039	28 190	12 324	(NA)	(NA)	63 963	3 410	60 553
RICHMOND CITY	4 533 704	3 563 314	705 587	2 802 727	627 581	(NA)	(NA)	4 533 704	337 809	4 195 895
ROANOKE SMSA	4 234 387	3 562 240	885 546	2 676 694	375 472	(NA)	(NA)	4 234 387	296 675	3 937 712
BOTETOURT CO	439 751	364 471	131 906	232 515	27 032	(NA)	(NA)	439 751	48 298	391 453
CRAIG CO	10 901	6 260	4 021	4 239	1 907	(NA)	(NA)	10 901	674	10 227
ROANOKE CO	1 614 921	1 413 033	368 946	1 044 087	139 479	(NA)	(NA)	1 614 921	62 409	1 552 512
ROANOKE CITY	1 685 259	1 377 015	283 219	1 093 803	140 601	(NA)	(NA)	1 685 259	167 643	1 517 616
SALEM CITY	483 555	399 511	97 461	302 050	66 393	(NA)	(NA)	483 555	17 651	465 904

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
VIRGINIA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION	34 659 111	27 585 098	10 553 586	17 031 512	3 152 350	(NA)	(NA)	34 659 111	3 921 653	30 737 458
COUNTIES										
ACCOMACK	121 713	71 686	34 549	37 137	44 321	(NA)	(NA)	121 713	5 706	116 007
ALBEMARLE	1 455 024	1 299 621	439 870	859 751	82 817	(NA)	(NA)	1 455 024	72 586	1 382 438
ALLEGHANY	219 763	166 062	55 745	130 337	20 159	(NA)	(NA)	219 763	13 522	206 241
AMELIA	20 990	14 645	8 307	6 338	5 370	(NA)	(NA)	20 990	975	20 015
AUGUSTA	1 159 656	1 039 144	337 140	702 004	59 977	(NA)	(NA)	1 159 656	60 535	1 099 121
BATH	219 765	75 948	22 232	53 716	11 779	(NA)	(NA)	219 765	132 038	87 727
BEDFORD	636 846	525 777	237 150	288 627	42 621	(NA)	(NA)	636 846	68 448	568 398
BLAND	79 283	71 633	36 206	35 427	3 230	(NA)	(NA)	79 283	4 420	74 863
BRUNSWICK	54 875	25 899	14 261	11 638	26 537	(NA)	(NA)	54 875	2 439	52 436
BUCHANAN	53 443	29 429	11 963	17 466	21 778	(NA)	(NA)	53 443	2 236	51 207
BUCKINGHAM	225 226	177 670	115 586	62 084	12 641	(NA)	(NA)	225 226	34 915	190 311
CAROLINE	381 811	333 120	180 611	152 509	19 196	(NA)	(NA)	381 811	29 495	352 316
CARROLL	436 007	391 328	179 539	211 789	26 536	(NA)	(NA)	436 007	18 143	417 864
CHARLOTTE	234 231	203 903	123 126	80 777	10 323	(NA)	(NA)	234 231	20 005	214 226
CLARKE	259 209	231 970	97 256	134 714	14 873	(NA)	(NA)	259 209	12 366	246 843
CULPEPER	529 879	455 144	177 348	277 796	33 410	(NA)	(NA)	529 879	41 325	488 554
CUMBERLAND	101 322	81 148	34 690	46 456	7 469	(NA)	(NA)	101 322	12 705	88 617
DICKENSON	410 174	346 180	217 074	149 106	23 897	(NA)	(NA)	410 174	20 097	390 077
ESSEX	263 506	237 069	132 001	105 068	18 265	(NA)	(NA)	263 506	8 172	255 334
FAUQUIER	1 137 602	971 535	441 632	529 903	96 233	(NA)	(NA)	1 137 602	69 834	1 067 768
FLOYD	188 825	174 168	95 714	78 454	5 999	(NA)	(NA)	188 825	8 658	180 167
FLUVANNA	255 255	179 562	99 850	79 712	13 375	(NA)	(NA)	255 255	62 318	192 937
FRANKLIN	518 512	465 045	179 653	285 392	28 118	(NA)	(NA)	518 512	25 349	493 163
FREDERICK	906 987	782 383	300 239	482 144	66 351	(NA)	(NA)	906 987	58 253	848 734
GILES	304 617	220 986	63 505	157 481	24 874	(NA)	(NA)	304 617	58 757	245 860
GRAYSON	279 996	260 835	141 618	119 217	9 652	(NA)	(NA)	279 996	9 509	270 487
GREENE	141 739	128 125	55 655	72 470	5 212	(NA)	(NA)	141 739	8 402	133 337
GREENSVILLE	183 853	150 066	80 658	69 408	23 869	(NA)	(NA)	183 853	9 918	173 935
HALIFAX	554 670	481 029	251 225	229 804	28 282	(NA)	(NA)	554 670	45 359	509 311
HENRY	967 468	867 703	231 086	636 617	53 928	(NA)	(NA)	967 468	45 837	921 631
HIGHLAND	12 457	9 000	5 396	3 604	2 644	(NA)	(NA)	12 457	813	11 644
ISLE OF WIGHT	650 723	355 278	139 199	216 079	269 559	(NA)	(NA)	650 723	25 886	624 837
KING AND QUEEN	141 279	117 979	80 348	37 631	13 586	(NA)	(NA)	141 279	9 714	131 565
KING GEORGE	181 083	160 150	69 631	90 519	15 306	(NA)	(NA)	181 083	5 627	175 456
KING WILLIAM	253 353	214 753	98 349	116 404	24 395	(NA)	(NA)	253 353	14 205	239 148
LANCASTER	300 355	271 754	135 862	135 892	18 907	(NA)	(NA)	300 355	9 694	290 661
LEE	444 296	358 899	153 468	205 431	57 586	(NA)	(NA)	444 296	27 811	416 485
LOUISA	1 556 497	299 436	155 004	144 432	15 401	(NA)	(NA)	1 556 497	1 241 660	314 837
LUNENBURG	24 652	15 289	7 633	7 656	7 807	(NA)	(NA)	24 652	1 556	23 096
MADISON	195 158	180 849	83 762	97 087	6 504	(NA)	(NA)	195 158	7 805	187 353
MATHEWS	274 979	251 911	129 527	122 384	16 689	(NA)	(NA)	274 979	6 379	268 600
MECKLENBURG	382 574	334 320	134 606	199 714	22 082	(NA)	(NA)	382 574	26 172	356 402
MIDDLESEX	235 606	208 556	97 206	111 350	16 867	(NA)	(NA)	235 606	10 188	225 418
MONTGOMERY	831 870	744 919	221 371	523 548	37 321	(NA)	(NA)	831 870	49 630	782 240
NELSON	354 079	307 694	144 499	163 195	20 033	(NA)	(NA)	354 079	26 352	327 727
NORTHAMPTON	178 740	153 484	64 904	88 540	10 677	(NA)	(NA)	178 740	14 619	164 121
NORTHUMBERLAND	75 231	59 393	27 914	31 479	12 275	(NA)	(NA)	75 231	3 563	71 668
NOTTOWAY	206 952	167 276	74 445	92 831	21 925	(NA)	(NA)	206 952	17 751	189 201
ORANGE	500 985	412 083	178 932	233 151	34 503	(NA)	(NA)	500 985	54 399	446 586
PAGE	369 553	315 013	105 714	209 299	36 258	(NA)	(NA)	369 553	18 282	351 271
PATRICK	246 415	218 902	91 931	126 971	17 256	(NA)	(NA)	246 415	10 257	236 158
PITTSYLVANIA	177 212	108 736	30 148	78 588	49 294	(NA)	(NA)	177 212	19 182	158 030
PRINCE EDWARD	243 448	200 518	96 226	104 292	23 766	(NA)	(NA)	243 448	19 164	224 284
PULASKI	558 553	489 604	131 074	358 530	34 457	(NA)	(NA)	558 553	34 492	524 061
RAPPAHANNOCK	20 248	12 001	5 462	6 539	7 583	(NA)	(NA)	20 248	664	19 584
RICHMOND	136 979	109 354	51 240	58 114	13 421	(NA)	(NA)	136 979	14 204	122 775
ROCKBRIDGE	371 084	322 989	133 250	189 739	24 637	(NA)	(NA)	371 084	23 458	347 626
ROCKINGHAM	1 056 936	905 863	297 398	608 465	101 173	(NA)	(NA)	1 056 936	49 900	1 007 036
RUSSELL	586 673	365 150	168 212	196 938	97 960	(NA)	(NA)	586 673	123 563	463 110
SHENANDOAH	725 421	579 685	215 615	364 070	102 039	(NA)	(NA)	725 421	43 697	681 724
SMYTH	548 196	421 357	122 224	299 133	83 128	(NA)	(NA)	548 196	43 711	504 485
SOUTHAMPTON	385 091	329 023	181 419	147 604	40 517	(NA)	(NA)	385 091	15 551	369 540
SPOTSYLVANIA	785 470	649 121	275 369	373 752	91 859	(NA)	(NA)	785 470	44 490	740 980
STAFFORD	841 865	745 147	266 291	478 856	58 345	(NA)	(NA)	841 865	38 373	803 492
SURRY	626 487	136 511	93 642	42 869	26 715	(NA)	(NA)	626 487	463 261	163 226
SUSSEX	208 746	175 430	113 385	62 045	20 381	(NA)	(NA)	208 746	12 935	195 811
TAZEWELL	835 824	645 032	247 399	397 633	157 266	(NA)	(NA)	835 824	33 526	802 298
WARREN	477 639	402 604	145 857	256 747	48 556	(NA)	(NA)	477 639	26 479	451 160
WESTMORELAND	224 127	177 153	80 609	96 544	36 095	(NA)	(NA)	224 127	10 879	213 248
WISE	797 277	652 146	267 699	384 447	102 649	(NA)	(NA)	797 277	42 482	754 795
WYTHE	417 821	290 222	122 724	167 498	89 625	(NA)	(NA)	417 821	37 974	379 847

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
VIRGINIA--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
INDEPENDENT CITIES										
BUENA VISTA	91 397	77 094	14 041	63 053	9 404	(NA)	(NA)	91 397	4 899	86 498
CHARLOTTESVILLE	842 374	739 098	159 696	579 402	44 605	(NA)	(NA)	842 374	58 671	783 703
CLIFTON FORGE	71 679	58 906	6 862	50 044	5 417	(NA)	(NA)	71 679	7 356	64 323
COVINGTON	70 958	56 090	7 236	48 854	11 293	(NA)	(NA)	70 958	3 575	67 383
DANVILLE	583 920	492 800	91 493	401 307	66 981	(NA)	(NA)	583 920	24 139	559 781
FRANKLIN	126 255	109 533	26 341	83 192	11 274	(NA)	(NA)	126 255	5 448	120 807
FREDERICKSBURG	336 268	277 086	72 245	204 841	31 648	(NA)	(NA)	336 268	27 534	308 734
GALAX	121 684	109 480	24 509	84 971	5 924	(NA)	(NA)	121 684	6 280	115 404
HARRISONBURG	398 252	361 150	88 991	272 159	20 186	(NA)	(NA)	398 252	16 916	381 336
LEXINGTON	118 197	105 005	20 771	84 234	6 932	(NA)	(NA)	118 197	6 260	111 937
MARTINSVILLE	332 113	279 391	58 248	221 143	36 790	(NA)	(NA)	332 113	15 932	316 181
NORTON	92 834	69 733	23 552	46 181	4 584	(NA)	(NA)	92 834	18 517	74 317
RADFORD	173 998	150 761	31 971	118 790	15 288	(NA)	(NA)	173 998	7 949	166 049
SOUTH BOSTON	122 241	106 216	23 424	82 792	9 192	(NA)	(NA)	122 241	6 833	115 408
STAUNTON	390 377	350 280	69 134	281 146	18 339	(NA)	(NA)	390 377	21 758	368 619
WAYNESBORO	362 941	313 736	66 442	247 294	32 346	(NA)	(NA)	362 941	16 859	346 082
WINCHESTER	448 334	370 001	89 372	280 629	61 867	(NA)	(NA)	448 394	16 526	431 868
BEDFORD	138 409	108 727	19 437	89 290	25 004	(NA)	(NA)	138 409	4 678	133 731
EMPORIA	92 639	76 634	17 388	59 246	7 152	(NA)	(NA)	92 639	8 853	83 786
WASHINGTON										
TOTAL	124 473 177	102 950 143	36 317 350	66 632 793	16 676 683	965 679	340 008	123 167 490	4 846 351	118 321 139
SMSA PORTION	88 655 427	73 779 936	24 316 682	49 463 054	11 714 741	609 626	96 239	87 949 562	3 160 750	84 788 812
PORTLAND, OREG.--WASH. SMSA*	5 255 630	4 511 330	1 563 192	2 948 138	584 962	43 233	12 304	5 200 093	159 338	5 040 755
CLARK CO	5 255 630	4 511 330	1 563 192	2 948 138	584 962	43 233	12 304	5 200 093	159 338	5 040 755
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO SMSA	4 400 316	3 542 084	1 276 009	2 266 075	634 633	21 820	18 242	4 360 254	223 599	4 136 655
BENTON CO	3 232 160	2 638 707	866 544	1 772 163	419 532	17 687	6 560	3 207 913	173 921	3 033 992
FRANKLIN CO	1 168 156	903 377	409 465	493 912	215 101	4 133	11 682	1 152 341	49 678	1 102 663
SEATTLE-EVERETT SMSA	58 910 257	49 150 969	16 647 815	32 503 154	7 826 795	287 859	20 923	58 601 475	1 932 493	56 668 982
KING CO	47 431 116	40 054 842	13 117 277	26 937 565	5 757 731	208 345	6 480	47 216 291	1 618 543	45 597 748
BELLEVUE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SEATTLE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SNOHOMISH CO	11 479 141	9 096 127	3 530 538	5 565 589	2 069 064	79 514	14 443	11 385 184	313 950	11 071 234
EVERETT	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SPOKANE SMSA	6 874 576	5 570 569	1 368 154	4 202 415	936 673	88 257	8 110	6 778 209	367 334	6 410 875
SPOKANE CO	6 874 576	5 570 569	1 368 154	4 202 415	936 673	88 257	8 110	6 778 209	367 334	6 410 875
SPOKANE	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TACOMA SMSA	9 424 070	7 973 890	2 352 888	5 621 002	1 142 712	116 252	9 718	9 298 100	307 468	8 990 632
PIERCE CO	9 424 070	7 973 890	2 352 888	5 621 002	1 142 712	116 252	9 718	9 298 100	307 468	8 990 632
TACOMA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
YAKIMA SMSA	3 790 578	3 031 094	1 108 824	1 922 270	588 966	52 205	26 942	3 711 431	170 518	3 540 913
YAKIMA CO	3 790 578	3 031 094	1 108 824	1 922 270	588 966	52 205	26 942	3 711 431	170 518	3 540 913
YAKIMA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA PORTION	35 817 750	29 170 207	12 000 468	17 169 739	4 961 942	356 053	243 769	35 217 928	1 685 601	33 532 327
ADAMS	607 809	449 364	267 393	181 971	108 730	1 888	10 563	595 358	49 715	545 643
ASOTIN	265 676	228 696	71 871	156 825	26 376	7 629	2 392	255 655	10 604	245 051
CHELAN	1 171 706	929 988	298 996	630 992	199 491	16 760	638	1 154 308	42 227	1 112 081
CLALLAM	1 529 325	1 256 703	533 959	722 744	241 890	16 044	2 606	1 510 675	30 732	1 479 943
COLUMBIA	152 333	127 368	88 374	38 994	17 383	1 839	2 208	148 286	7 582	140 704
COWLITZ	3 029 113	2 520 372	589 984	1 930 388	429 732	23 032	1 884	3 004 197	79 009	2 925 188
DOUGLAS	568 720	495 445	234 929	260 516	60 023	5 264	4 631	558 825	13 252	545 573
FERRY	130 403	103 303	62 358	40 945	21 678	1 062	3 096	126 245	5 422	120 823
GARFIELD	108 154	83 166	56 380	26 786	21 305	814	2 604	104 736	3 683	101 053
GRANT	1 330 127	998 764	470 432	528 332	290 232	11 686	33 088	1 285 353	41 131	1 244 222
GRAYS HARBOR	1 942 350	1 502 438	489 525	1 012 913	279 170	26 377	6 565	1 909 408	160 742	1 748 666
ISLAND	1 426 844	1 324 011	746 554	577 457	70 028	10 428	2 241	1 414 175	32 805	1 381 370
JEFFERSON	872 418	625 023	325 653	299 370	226 462	9 387	1 141	861 890	20 933	840 957
KITSAP	3 693 658	3 431 445	1 467 510	1 963 935	144 390	27 702	2 442	3 663 514	117 823	3 545 691
KITTITAS	630 415	506 922	220 332	286 590	84 565	8 047	13 880	608 488	38 928	569 560
KLICKITAT	500 846	375 982	130 927	245 055	91 492	4 601	8 726	487 519	33 372	454 147
LEWIS	1 494 246	972 658	364 596	608 062	287 738	32 349	14 058	1 447 839	233 850	1 213 989
LINCOLN	417 344	300 540	189 053	111 487	76 141	2 722	8 842	405 780	40 663	365 117
MASON	1 054 060	924 241	464 095	460 146	111 978	10 649	388	1 043 023	17 841	1 025 182
OKANOGAN	727 911	568 878	260 805	308 073	132 926	11 290	16 130	700 491	26 107	674 384
PACIFIC	486 630	412 495	182 894	229 601	65 414	9 858	2 971	473 801	8 721	465 080
PEND OREILLE	192 872	167 025	94 260	72 765	18 758	3 450	1 857	187 565	7 089	180 476
SAN JUAN	784 826	749 463	527 296	222 167	33 992	1 907	786	782 133	1 371	780 762
SKAGIT	2 251 491	1 687 515	707 083	980 435	452 814	25 312	14 501	2 211 678	111 162	2 100 516
SKAMANIA	269 273	159 208	70 405	88 803	54 626	2 117	252	266 904	55 439	211 465
STEVENS	689 576	487 292	154 627	332 665	157 743	8 468	9 140	671 968	44 541	627 427
THURSTON	3 405 532	2 970 953	1 087 666	1 883 287	294 979	26 894	8 747	3 369 891	139 600	3 230 291
WAHKIAKUM	90 542	67 215	30 325	36 890	21 846	1 260	2 331	86 951	1 481	85 470
WALLA WALLA	1 379 172	1 124 576	368 398	756 178	176 142	14 303	22 415	1 342 454	78 454	1 264 000
WHATCOM	3 801 267	2 991 791	1 145 365	1 846 426	657 127	28 291	35 603	3 737 373	152 349	3 585 024
WHITMAN	813 111	627 367	298 426	328 941	106 771	4 623	7 043	801 445	78 973	722 472

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
WEST VIRGINIA[2]										
TOTAL	14 448 851	6 334 962	(NA)	(NA)	5 814 585	473 606	-	13 975 245	2 299 304	11 675 941
SMSA PORTION	5 707 706	2 600 680	(NA)	(NA)	2 440 985	192 310	-	5 515 396	666 041	4 849 355
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W. VA. SMSA*	547 841	240 506	(NA)	(NA)	269 074	17 582	-	530 259	38 261	491 998
BROOKE CO.	211 907	91 359	(NA)	(NA)	103 603	7 813	-	204 094	16 945	187 149
HANCOCK CO.	335 934	149 147	(NA)	(NA)	165 471	9 769	-	326 165	21 316	304 849
CHARLESTON SMSA	2 544 670	1 178 518	(NA)	(NA)	1 006 828	61 068	-	2 483 602	359 324	2 124 278
KANAWHA CO.	2 074 415	934 450	(NA)	(NA)	867 009	53 183	-	2 021 232	272 956	1 748 276
CHARLESTON	747 291	360 105	(NA)	(NA)	305 855	(NA)	-	747 291	81 331	665 960
PUTNAM CO.	470 255	244 068	(NA)	(NA)	139 819	7 885	-	462 370	86 368	376 002
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*	1 059 438	445 538	(NA)	(NA)	472 944	61 676	-	997 762	140 956	856 806
CABELL CO.	820 268	366 417	(NA)	(NA)	374 113	54 312	-	765 956	79 738	686 218
HUNTINGTON (PART)[4]	494 756	224 191	(NA)	(NA)	224 071	(NA)	-	494 756	46 494	448 262
WAYNE CO.	239 170	79 121	(NA)	(NA)	98 831	7 364	-	231 806	61 218	170 588
HUNTINGTON (PART)[4]	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	628 454	367 165	(NA)	(NA)	204 561	26 535	-	601 919	56 728	545 191
WIRT CO.	37 072	19 536	(NA)	(NA)	12 694	1 642	-	35 430	4 842	30 588
WOOD CO.	591 382	347 629	(NA)	(NA)	191 867	24 893	-	566 489	51 886	514 603
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	927 303	368 953	(NA)	(NA)	487 578	25 449	-	901 854	70 772	831 082
MARSHALL CO.	492 375	184 305	(NA)	(NA)	265 362	8 962	-	483 413	42 708	440 705
OHIO CO.	434 928	184 648	(NA)	(NA)	222 216	16 487	-	418 441	28 064	390 377
NON-SMSA PORTION	8 741 145	3 734 282	(NA)	(NA)	3 373 600	281 296	-	8 459 849	1 633 263	6 826 586
BARBOUR	121 405	48 255	(NA)	(NA)	58 204	3 476	-	117 929	14 946	102 983
BERKELEY	337 060	152 385	(NA)	(NA)	153 559	9 797	-	327 263	31 116	296 147
BOONE	261 101	80 218	(NA)	(NA)	145 565	3 965	-	257 136	35 318	221 818
BRAXTON	117 408	60 736	(NA)	(NA)	37 585	3 130	-	114 278	19 087	95 191
CALHOUN	68 733	30 637	(NA)	(NA)	22 543	2 919	-	65 814	15 553	50 261
CLAY	80 215	39 478	(NA)	(NA)	26 388	2 352	-	77 863	14 349	63 514
DODDRIDGE	67 559	22 069	(NA)	(NA)	19 805	2 205	-	65 354	25 685	39 669
FAYETTE	323 304	143 502	(NA)	(NA)	117 416	13 282	-	310 022	62 386	247 636
GILMER	102 227	29 382	(NA)	(NA)	49 389	2 596	-	99 631	23 456	76 175
GRANT	160 540	39 606	(NA)	(NA)	32 580	2 523	-	158 017	88 354	69 663
GREENBRIER	252 269	97 490	(NA)	(NA)	110 754	8 534	-	243 735	44 025	199 710
HAMPSHIRE	126 984	63 109	(NA)	(NA)	35 698	3 161	-	123 823	28 177	95 646
HARDY	90 130	45 310	(NA)	(NA)	27 361	2 963	-	87 167	17 459	69 708
HARRISON	627 299	258 248	(NA)	(NA)	219 848	27 120	-	600 179	149 203	450 976
JACKSON	227 216	102 021	(NA)	(NA)	93 829	5 189	-	222 027	31 366	190 661
JEFFERSON	208 261	137 547	(NA)	(NA)	49 197	5 983	-	202 278	21 517	180 761
LEWIS	170 383	65 270	(NA)	(NA)	55 060	6 359	-	164 024	50 053	113 971
LINCOLN	124 683	50 994	(NA)	(NA)	37 222	4 383	-	120 300	36 467	83 833
LOGAN	305 564	72 241	(NA)	(NA)	192 199	5 671	-	299 893	41 124	258 769
MCDOWELL	293 822	79 657	(NA)	(NA)	155 961	5 108	-	288 714	58 204	230 510
MARION	509 000	282 939	(NA)	(NA)	173 221	22 959	-	486 041	52 840	433 201
MASON	205 279	60 242	(NA)	(NA)	88 454	4 879	-	200 400	56 583	143 817
MERCER	404 797	215 000	(NA)	(NA)	117 664	14 971	-	389 826	72 133	317 693
MINERAL	153 447	84 627	(NA)	(NA)	50 526	6 796	-	146 651	18 294	128 357
MINGO	211 220	61 523	(NA)	(NA)	99 355	3 146	-	208 074	50 342	157 732
MONONGALIA	471 345	237 083	(NA)	(NA)	169 075	16 050	-	455 295	65 187	390 108
MONROE	70 891	40 645	(NA)	(NA)	16 957	3 759	-	67 132	13 289	53 843
MORGAN	77 797	44 989	(NA)	(NA)	23 846	3 110	-	74 687	8 962	65 725
NICHOLAS	233 646	95 234	(NA)	(NA)	118 536	5 131	-	228 515	19 876	208 639
PENDLETON	52 714	22 157	(NA)	(NA)	19 979	1 594	-	51 120	10 578	40 542
PLEASANTS	202 018	21 971	(NA)	(NA)	97 933	1 161	-	200 857	82 114	118 743
POCAHONTAS	84 176	50 373	(NA)	(NA)	23 541	3 323	-	80 853	10 262	70 591
PRESTON	201 433	88 128	(NA)	(NA)	68 216	7 679	-	193 754	45 089	148 665
RALEIGH	515 535	256 416	(NA)	(NA)	189 928	15 946	-	499 569	69 191	430 378
RANDOLPH	163 560	69 721	(NA)	(NA)	62 728	5 774	-	157 786	31 111	126 675
RITCHIE	84 063	35 615	(NA)	(NA)	32 342	3 690	-	80 373	16 106	64 267
ROANE	126 803	77 094	(NA)	(NA)	24 063	10 452	-	116 351	25 646	90 705
SUMMERS	83 161	37 024	(NA)	(NA)	19 972	4 434	-	78 729	26 165	52 564
TAYLOR	91 637	45 567	(NA)	(NA)	31 038	4 084	-	87 553	15 032	72 521
TUCKER	47 491	21 013	(NA)	(NA)	19 062	2 220	-	45 271	7 396	37 875
TYLER	76 275	23 334	(NA)	(NA)	39 148	3 031	-	73 244	13 793	59 451
UPSHUR	160 617	62 390	(NA)	(NA)	73 345	5 429	-	155 188	24 882	130 306
WEBSTER	92 789	54 774	(NA)	(NA)	29 376	3 334	-	89 455	8 639	80 816
WETZEL	134 245	56 933	(NA)	(NA)	36 539	4 525	-	129 720	40 773	88 947
WYOMING	221 043	71 335	(NA)	(NA)	108 573	3 085	-	217 958	41 135	176 823

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
WISCONSIN[42]										
TOTAL	70 634 231	67 902 274	21 069 856	46 832 418	2 731 957	-	-	70 634 231	-	70 634 231
SMSA PORTION	43 070 542	41 236 697	11 364 017	29 872 680	1 833 845	-	-	43 070 542	-	43 070 542
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*	608 837	562 593	128 673	433 920	46 244	-	-	608 837	-	608 837
DOUGLAS CO	608 837	562 593	128 673	433 920	46 244	-	-	608 837	-	608 837
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*	756 725	744 271	308 162	436 109	12 454	-	-	756 725	-	756 725
ST. CROIX CO	756 725	744 271	308 162	436 109	12 454	-	-	756 725	-	756 725
APPLETON-OSHKOSH SMSA	4 245 450	4 066 756	1 032 823	3 033 933	178 694	-	-	4 245 450	-	4 245 450
CALUMET CO	578 439	559 153	175 193	383 960	19 286	-	-	578 439	-	578 439
APPLETON (PART)	87 015	86 381	17 714	68 667	634	-	-	87 015	-	87 015
OUTAGAMIE CO	2 010 938	1 923 668	481 062	1 442 606	87 070	-	-	2 010 938	-	2 010 938
APPLETON (PART)	1 026 759	981 168	198 216	782 952	45 591	-	-	1 026 759	-	1 026 759
WINNEBAGO CO	1 656 073	1 583 735	376 568	1 207 167	72 338	-	-	1 656 073	-	1 656 073
APPLETON (PART)	1 052	1 025	313	712	27	-	-	1 052	-	1 052
EAU CLAIRE SMSA	1 865 951	1 792 528	467 297	1 325 231	73 423	-	-	1 865 951	-	1 865 951
CHIPPEWA CO	670 405	650 373	215 036	435 337	20 032	-	-	670 405	-	670 405
EAU CLAIRE CO	1 195 546	1 142 155	252 261	889 894	53 391	-	-	1 195 546	-	1 195 546
GREEN BAY SMSA	2 466 203	2 350 661	548 100	1 802 561	115 542	-	-	2 466 203	-	2 466 203
BROWN CO	2 466 203	2 350 661	548 100	1 802 561	115 542	-	-	2 466 203	-	2 466 203
GREEN BAY	1 366 852	1 282 353	252 488	1 029 865	84 499	-	-	1 366 852	-	1 366 852
JANESVILLE-BELOIT SMSA	2 230 401	2 137 678	614 480	1 523 198	92 723	-	-	2 230 401	-	2 230 401
ROCK CO	2 230 401	2 137 678	614 480	1 523 198	92 723	-	-	2 230 401	-	2 230 401
JANESVILLE	888 622	846 204	174 328	671 876	42 418	-	-	888 622	-	888 622
KENOSHA SMSA	2 878 599	2 815 103	974 694	1 840 409	63 496	-	-	2 878 599	-	2 878 599
KENOSHA CO	2 878 599	2 815 103	974 694	1 840 409	63 496	-	-	2 878 599	-	2 878 599
KENOSHA	1 494 304	1 446 017	373 635	1 072 382	48 287	-	-	1 494 304	-	1 494 304
LA CROSSE SMSA	1 608 729	1 521 069	475 924	1 045 145	87 660	-	-	1 608 729	-	1 608 729
LA CROSSE CO	1 608 729	1 521 069	475 924	1 045 145	87 660	-	-	1 608 729	-	1 608 729
MADISON SMSA	7 073 047	6 780 595	1 980 337	4 800 258	292 452	-	-	7 073 047	-	7 073 047
DANE CO	7 073 047	6 780 595	1 980 337	4 800 258	292 452	-	-	7 073 047	-	7 073 047
MADISON	4 046 896	3 822 382	964 174	2 858 208	224 514	-	-	4 046 896	-	4 046 896
MILWAUKEE SMSA	17 264 333	16 491 387	4 440 284	12 051 103	772 946	-	-	17 264 333	-	17 264 333
MILWAUKEE CO	11 275 447	10 655 686	2 680 468	7 975 218	619 761	-	-	11 275 447	-	11 275 447
MILWAUKEE (PART)	7 454 543	6 991 800	1 668 195	5 323 605	462 743	-	-	7 454 543	-	7 454 543
WAUWATOSA	672 860	641 018	176 858	464 160	31 842	-	-	672 860	-	672 860
WEST ALLIS	429 046	406 613	110 876	295 737	22 433	-	-	429 046	-	429 046
OZAUKEE CO	1 500 335	1 466 347	460 521	1 005 826	33 988	-	-	1 500 335	-	1 500 335
WASHINGTON CO	1 736 169	1 688 927	524 620	1 164 307	47 242	-	-	1 736 169	-	1 736 169
MILWAUKEE (PART)	92	86	34	52	6	-	-	92	-	92
WAUKESHA CO	2 752 382	2 680 427	774 675	1 905 752	71 955	-	-	2 752 382	-	2 752 382
RACINE SMSA	2 072 267	1 974 056	393 243	1 580 813	98 211	-	-	2 072 267	-	2 072 267
RACINE CO	2 072 267	1 974 056	393 243	1 580 813	98 211	-	-	2 072 267	-	2 072 267
RACINE	577 740	548 245	97 879	446 366	33 495	-	-	577 740	-	577 740
NON-SMSA PORTION	27 563 689	26 645 577	9 705 839	16 959 738	898 112	-	-	27 563 689	-	27 563 689
ADAMS	313 297	303 848	148 399	155 449	9 449	-	-	313 297	-	313 297
ASHLAND	114 677	109 353	35 359	73 994	5 324	-	-	114 677	-	114 677
BARRON	610 868	595 992	235 405	360 587	14 876	-	-	610 868	-	610 868
BAYFIELD	222 152	217 576	96 604	120 972	4 576	-	-	222 152	-	222 152
BUFFALO	243 284	237 542	105 518	132 024	5 742	-	-	243 284	-	243 284
BURNETT	246 261	240 765	114 300	126 465	5 496	-	-	246 261	-	246 261
CLARK	380 377	367 058	136 302	230 756	13 319	-	-	380 377	-	380 377
COLUMBIA	616 484	600 477	218 583	381 894	16 007	-	-	616 484	-	616 484
CRAWFORD	175 275	169 002	71 689	97 313	6 273	-	-	175 275	-	175 275
DODGE	1 017 708	987 748	333 099	654 649	29 960	-	-	1 017 708	-	1 017 708
DOOR	741 759	724 558	294 092	430 466	17 201	-	-	741 759	-	741 759
DUNN	432 808	423 998	180 799	243 199	8 810	-	-	432 808	-	432 808
FLORENCE	45 114	44 012	16 700	27 312	1 102	-	-	45 114	-	45 114
FOND DU LAC	1 288 967	1 249 064	405 816	843 248	39 903	-	-	1 288 967	-	1 288 967
FOREST	109 397	106 772	51 742	55 030	2 625	-	-	109 397	-	109 397
GRANT	731 745	713 396	294 144	419 252	18 349	-	-	731 745	-	731 745
GREEN	694 089	675 300	295 556	379 744	18 789	-	-	694 089	-	694 089
GREEN LAKE	278 381	271 913	109 949	162 464	6 468	-	-	278 381	-	278 381
IOWA	378 225	369 967	195 615	174 352	8 258	-	-	378 225	-	378 225
IRON	91 209	87 711	38 222	49 489	3 498	-	-	91 209	-	91 209
JACKSON	264 516	252 678	111 666	140 962	11 888	-	-	264 516	-	264 516
JEFFERSON	1 058 079	1 027 080	297 310	729 770	30 999	-	-	1 058 079	-	1 058 079
JUNEAU	283 253	274 587	115 480	159 107	8 666	-	-	283 253	-	283 253
KEWAUNEE	344 723	335 739	126 631	209 108	8 984	-	-	344 723	-	344 723
LAFAYETTE	311 231	307 488	171 414	136 074	3 743	-	-	311 231	-	311 231
LANGLADE	188 567	183 100	74 695	108 405	5 467	-	-	188 567	-	188 567
LINCOLN	350 569	332 367	107 101	225 266	18 202	-	-	350 569	-	350 569
MANITOWOC	1 425 158	1 375 690	351 786	1 023 904	49 468	-	-	1 425 158	-	1 425 158

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
WISCONSIN--CON.										
NON-SMSA PORTION--CON.										
MARATHON	1 533 658	1 447 684	406 534	1 041 150	85 974	-	-	1 533 658	-	1 533 658
MARINETTE	585 748	550 355	160 221	390 134	35 393	-	-	585 748	-	585 748
MARQUETTE	181 076	177 145	79 951	97 194	3 931	-	-	181 076	-	181 076
MENOMINEE	39 959	39 567	17 912	21 655	392	-	-	39 959	-	39 959
MONROE	437 820	426 763	154 252	272 511	11 057	-	-	437 820	-	437 820
OCONTO	483 374	470 303	187 226	283 077	13 071	-	-	483 374	-	483 374
ONEIDA	698 381	675 491	263 477	412 014	22 890	-	-	698 381	-	698 381
PEPIN	121 674	119 029	52 834	66 195	2 645	-	-	121 674	-	121 674
PIERCE	437 491	429 455	169 070	260 385	8 036	-	-	437 491	-	437 491
POLK	557 545	545 892	230 417	315 475	11 653	-	-	557 545	-	557 545
PORTAGE	1 010 432	951 441	288 142	663 299	58 991	-	-	1 010 432	-	1 010 432
PRICE	199 323	193 084	79 020	114 064	6 239	-	-	199 323	-	199 323
RICHLAND	218 823	215 445	108 485	106 960	3 378	-	-	218 823	-	218 823
RUSK	165 342	160 970	62 130	98 840	4 372	-	-	165 342	-	165 342
SAUK	761 465	737 374	289 817	447 557	24 091	-	-	761 465	-	761 465
SAWYER	237 392	229 139	97 778	131 361	8 253	-	-	237 392	-	237 392
SHAWANO	501 367	488 068	183 267	304 801	13 299	-	-	501 367	-	501 367
SHEBOYGAN	1 461 201	1 403 566	338 574	1 064 992	57 635	-	-	1 461 201	-	1 461 201
TAYLOR	200 566	193 936	76 171	117 765	6 630	-	-	200 566	-	200 566
TREMPEALEAU	377 853	369 865	148 056	221 809	7 988	-	-	377 853	-	377 853
VERNON	343 198	335 766	128 430	207 336	7 432	-	-	343 198	-	343 198
VILAS	538 040	524 084	236 796	287 288	13 956	-	-	538 040	-	538 040
WALWORTH	1 491 354	1 461 576	553 979	907 597	29 778	-	-	1 491 354	-	1 491 354
WASHBURN	249 337	244 564	110 528	134 036	4 773	-	-	249 337	-	249 337
WAUPACA	553 172	533 402	181 924	351 478	19 770	-	-	553 172	-	553 172
WAUSHARA	321 664	315 655	146 750	168 905	6 009	-	-	321 664	-	321 664
WOOD	898 261	841 227	220 622	620 605	57 034	-	-	898 261	-	898 261
WYOMING[2 3]										
TOTAL	6 247 676	929 714	263 657	666 057	539 624	107 316	-	6 140 360	4 778 338	1 362 022
ALBANY	91 612	52 828	14 380	38 448	9 556	6 136	-	85 476	29 228	56 248
BIG HORN	142 465	18 188	5 839	12 349	11 284	2 842	-	139 623	112 993	26 630
CAMPBELL	1 003 258	78 920	15 386	63 534	62 381	4 073	-	999 185	861 957	137 228
CARBON	445 068	39 779	14 264	25 515	46 284	5 113	-	439 955	359 005	80 950
CONVERSE	373 962	28 705	11 286	17 419	27 249	3 172	-	370 790	318 008	52 782
CROOK	94 391	14 897	7 723	7 174	10 095	1 389	-	93 006	69 399	23 607
FREMONT	353 792	56 412	14 258	42 154	45 547	7 853	-	345 939	251 833	94 106
GOSHEN	52 232	30 764	13 092	17 672	12 365	3 777	-	48 455	9 103	39 352
HOT SPRINGS	232 398	11 022	3 476	7 546	8 224	1 589	-	230 809	213 152	17 657
JOHNSON	115 349	24 421	10 177	14 244	14 675	2 147	-	113 202	76 253	36 949
LARAMIE	208 849	124 215	26 137	98 078	30 239	16 322	-	192 527	54 395	138 132
LINCOLN	201 913	22 039	6 746	15 293	12 425	3 150	-	198 763	167 449	31 314
NATRONA	345 173	135 250	29 576	105 674	45 013	16 592	-	328 581	164 910	163 671
NIOBRARA	62 860	12 720	7 488	5 232	6 914	1 042	-	61 818	43 226	18 592
PARK	527 335	45 844	12 882	32 962	22 379	5 229	-	522 106	459 112	62 994
PLATTE	179 312	19 290	8 044	11 246	9 342	2 655	-	176 657	150 680	25 977
SHERIDAN	146 767	51 894	13 296	38 598	13 791	6 242	-	140 525	81 082	59 443
SUBLETTE	126 600	15 285	6 856	8 429	10 959	1 055	-	125 545	100 356	25 189
SWEETWATER	1 056 050	52 444	9 982	42 462	96 362	7 840	-	1 048 210	907 244	140 966
TETON	52 722	39 021	14 204	24 817	7 272	1 047	-	51 675	6 429	45 246
UINTA	242 062	21 192	7 228	13 964	15 212	3 263	-	238 799	205 658	33 141
WASHAKIE	92 509	20 122	5 522	14 600	11 199	2 613	-	89 896	61 188	28 708
WESTON	100 997	14 462	5 815	8 647	10 857	2 179	-	98 818	75 678	23 140

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MULTI-STATE SMSAS										
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA.	391 897	242 571	(NA)	(NA)	96 793	16 808	2 129	372 960	52 533	320 427
ARKANSAS PORTION	305 924	188 213	(NA)	(NA)	85 734	-	-	305 924	31 977	273 947
CRAWFORD CO.	58 322	31 065	(NA)	(NA)	19 356	-	-	58 322	7 901	50 421
SEBASTIAN CO	247 602	157 148	(NA)	(NA)	66 378	-	-	247 602	24 076	223 526
FORT SMITH	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OKLAHOMA PORTION	85 973	54 358	15 684	38 674	11 059	16 808	2 129	67 036	20 556	46 480
LEFLORE CO	52 840	31 056	10 386	20 670	7 996	9 227	1 177	42 436	13 788	28 648
SEQUOYAH CO.	33 133	23 302	5 298	18 004	3 063	7 581	952	24 600	6 768	17 832
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD.	4 081 699	3 992 024	(NA)	(NA)	25 543	54 051	-	4 027 648	64 132	3 963 516
DELAWARE PORTION[2]	2 907 441	2 907 441	767 219	2 140 222	-	54 051	-	2 853 390	-	2 853 390
NEW CASTLE CO.	2 907 441	2 907 441	767 219	2 140 222	-	54 051	-	2 853 390	-	2 853 390
WILMINGTON	434 090	434 090	104 876	329 214	-	16 143	-	417 947	-	417 947
MARYLAND PORTION[23]	449 065	382 079	(NA)	(NA)	2 917	-	-	449 065	64 069	384 996
CECIL CO	449 065	382 079	(NA)	(NA)	2 917	-	-	449 065	64 069	384 996
NEW JERSEY PORTION[2]	725 193	702 504	145 488	557 016	22 626	-	-	725 193	63	725 130
SALEM CO	725 193	702 504	145 488	557 016	22 626	-	-	725 193	63	725 130
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA.	75 855 064	68 879 798	(NA)	(NA)	3 043 719	(NA)	(NA)	75 228 601	3 931 547	71 297 054
D.C. PORTION	18 692 627	17 866 485	6 463 165	11 403 320	826 142	626 463	-	18 066 164	-	18 066 164
WASHINGTON, D.C.	18 692 627	17 866 485	6 463 165	11 403 320	826 142	626 463	-	18 066 164	-	18 066 164
MARYLAND PORTION[23]	16 233 435	14 093 837	(NA)	(NA)	61 629	-	-	16 233 435	2 077 969	14 155 466
CHARLES CO	869 309	609 672	(NA)	(NA)	3 288	-	-	869 309	256 349	612 960
MONTGOMERY CO.	9 292 961	8 290 056	(NA)	(NA)	36 000	-	-	9 292 961	966 905	8 326 056
PRINCE GEORGES CO.	6 071 165	5 194 109	(NA)	(NA)	22 341	-	-	6 071 165	854 715	5 216 450
VIRGINIA PORTION[22]	40 929 002	36 919 476	12 289 219	24 630 257	2 155 948	(NA)	(NA)	40 929 002	1 853 578	39 075 424
ARLINGTON CO	7 060 425	6 471 691	2 531 192	3 940 499	243 436	(NA)	(NA)	7 060 425	345 298	6 715 127
FAIRFAX CO	21 901 207	20 025 948	6 281 987	13 743 961	1 196 770	(NA)	(NA)	21 901 207	678 489	21 222 718
LOUDOUN CO	2 181 920	1 943 623	775 789	1 167 834	110 391	(NA)	(NA)	2 181 920	127 906	2 054 014
PRINCE WILLIAM CO.	3 721 456	3 106 379	1 070 450	2 035 929	266 150	(NA)	(NA)	3 721 456	348 927	3 372 529
ALEXANDRIA CITY	4 242 156	3 738 435	1 106 876	2 631 559	213 354	(NA)	(NA)	4 242 156	290 367	3 951 789
FAIRFAX CITY	804 592	721 391	226 268	495 123	52 176	(NA)	(NA)	804 592	31 025	773 567
FALLS CHURCH CITY	439 640	411 061	147 189	263 872	19 699	(NA)	(NA)	439 640	8 880	430 760
MANASSAS CITY	495 403	427 461	129 660	297 801	47 376	(NA)	(NA)	495 403	20 566	474 837
MANASSAS PARK CITY	82 203	73 487	19 808	53 679	6 596	(NA)	(NA)	82 203	2 120	80 083
AUGUSTA,GA.-S.C.	1 532 358	1 034 222	(NA)	(NA)	391 192	(NA)	-	1 440 652	106 944	1 333 708
GEORGIA PORTION	1 437 227	986 439	(NA)	(NA)	365 118	91 706	-	1 345 521	85 670	1 259 851
COLUMBIA CO.	278 125	214 474	(NA)	(NA)	46 070	23 401	-	254 724	17 581	237 143
RICHMOND CO.	1 159 102	771 965	(NA)	(NA)	319 048	68 305	-	1 090 797	68 089	1 022 708
AUGUSTA	290 463	183 076	(NA)	(NA)	75 665	41 725	-	248 738	31 722	217 016
S.C. PORTION[2 33]	95 131	47 783	(NA)	(NA)	26 074	(NA)	-	95 131	21 274	73 857
AIKEN CO	95 131	47 783	(NA)	(NA)	26 074	(NA)	-	95 131	21 274	73 857
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA.	1 137 805	793 775	(NA)	(NA)	267 290	103 889	-	1 033 916	76 740	957 176
ALABAMA PORTION[2 3]	64 692	44 035	(NA)	(NA)	11 959	13 764	-	50 928	8 698	42 230
RUSSELL CO	64 692	44 035	(NA)	(NA)	11 959	13 764	-	50 928	8 698	42 230
GEORGIA PORTION[14]	1 073 113	749 740	(NA)	(NA)	255 331	90 125	-	982 988	68 042	914 946
CHATTAHOOCHEE CO.	10 676	6 761	(NA)	(NA)	2 575	676	-	10 000	1 340	8 660
MUSCOGEE-CO COLUMBUS	1 062 437	742 979	(NA)	(NA)	252 756	89 449	-	972 988	66 702	906 286
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY.	2 260 129	1 499 220	(NA)	(NA)	594 615	75 203	100	2 184 826	166 294	2 018 532
INDIANA PORTION[2]	1 419 293	846 995	(NA)	(NA)	460 543	50 068	100	1 369 125	111 755	1 257 370
GIBSON CO.	191 180	100 017	(NA)	(NA)	51 342	5 464	4	185 712	39 821	145 891
POSEY CO	228 743	123 075	(NA)	(NA)	83 121	4 427	37	224 279	22 547	201 732
VANDERBURGH CO	723 169	501 869	(NA)	(NA)	192 724	32 393	53	690 723	28 576	662 147
EVANSVILLE	512 108	351 929	(NA)	(NA)	140 968	25 248	28	486 832	19 211	467 621
WARRICK CO	276 201	122 034	(NA)	(NA)	133 356	7 784	6	268 411	20 811	247 600
KENTUCKY PORTION	840 836	652 225	(NA)	(NA)	134 072	25 135	-	815 701	54 539	761 162
HENDERSON CO	840 836	652 225	(NA)	(NA)	134 072	25 135	-	815 701	54 539	761 162
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL.	4 662 065	4 294 976	(NA)	(NA)	132 384	(NA)	-	4 488 455	234 705	4 253 750
ILLINOIS PORTION	1 615 494	1 613 453	(NA)	(NA)	-	173 610	-	1 441 884	2 041	1 439 843
HENRY CO	441 582	440 843	(NA)	(NA)	-	46 665	-	394 917	739	394 178
ROCK ISLAND CO	1 173 912	1 172 610	(NA)	(NA)	-	126 945	-	1 046 967	1 302	1 045 665
IOWA PORTION[20 21 22]	3 046 571	2 681 523	(NA)	(NA)	132 384	(NA)	-	3 046 571	232 664	2 813 907
SCOTT CO	3 046 571	2 681 523	(NA)	(NA)	132 384	(NA)	-	3 046 571	232 664	2 813 907
DAVENPORT	1 790 032	1 606 592	(NA)	(NA)	64 869	(NA)	-	1 790 032	118 571	1 671 461

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CON.										
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR.	2 154 032	1 656 607	(NA)	(NA)	118 164	(NA)	244	2 141 561	379 261	1 762 300
IOWA PORTION[20,21,22]	1 846 720	1 397 163	(NA)	(NA)	72 185	(NA)	-	1 846 720	377 372	1 469 348
WOODBURY CO.	1 846 720	1 397 163	(NA)	(NA)	72 185	(NA)	-	1 846 720	377 372	1 469 348
SIOUX CITY	1 077 995	927 297	(NA)	(NA)	52 772	(NA)	-	1 077 995	97 926	980 069
NEBRASKA PORTION	307 312	259 444	100 310	159 134	45 979	12 227	244	294 841	1 889	292 952
DAKOTA CO.	307 312	259 444	100 310	159 134	45 979	12 227	244	294 841	1 889	292 952
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND.	15 127 402	11 411 154	(NA)	(NA)	2 639 891	474 939	9	14 652 454	1 076 357	13 576 097
INDIANA PORTION[2]	539 541	400 015	(NA)	(NA)	95 050	26 538	9	512 994	44 476	468 518
CLARK CO	308 336	216 297	(NA)	(NA)	66 875	14 637	5	293 694	25 164	268 530
FLOYD CO	231 205	183 718	(NA)	(NA)	28 175	11 901	4	219 300	19 312	199 988
KENTUCKY PORTION	14 587 861	11 011 139	(NA)	(NA)	2 544 841	448 401	-	14 139 460	1 031 881	13 107 579
BULLITT CO	606 397	436 031	(NA)	(NA)	65 248	14 446	-	591 951	103 118	488 833
JEFFERSON CO	13 418 032	10 102 206	(NA)	(NA)	2 422 700	424 602	-	12 993 430	893 126	12 100 304
LOUISVILLE	5 058 870	3 527 832	(NA)	(NA)	1 099 365	239 106	-	4 819 764	431 673	4 388 091
OLDHAM CO.	563 432	470 902	(NA)	(NA)	56 893	9 353	-	554 079	35 637	518 442
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA.	58 588 912	56 535 401	(NA)	(NA)	1 555 425	(NA)	-	58 563 810	498 086	58 065 724
MASSACHUSETTS PORTION[22]	54 938 120	52 884 718	(NA)	(NA)	1 555 425	(NA)	-	54 938 120	497 977	54 440 143
ESSEX CO	10 560 547	10 197 811	(NA)	(NA)	304 963	(NA)	-	10 560 547	57 773	10 502 774
LAWRENCE	689 673	657 462	(NA)	(NA)	23 024	(NA)	-	689 673	9 187	680 486
LYNN	266 214	244 093	(NA)	(NA)	16 078	(NA)	-	266 214	6 043	260 171
MIDDLESEX CO	23 577 544	22 784 565	(NA)	(NA)	600 295	(NA)	-	23 577 544	192 684	23 384 860
CAMBRIDGE	340 176	282 030	(NA)	(NA)	37 134	(NA)	-	340 176	21 012	319 164
LOWELL	184 773	165 284	(NA)	(NA)	11 966	(NA)	-	184 773	7 523	177 250
MALDEN	123 978	109 626	(NA)	(NA)	6 496	(NA)	-	123 978	7 856	116 122
MEDFORD	879 921	852 169	(NA)	(NA)	22 038	(NA)	-	879 921	5 714	874 207
NEWTON	3 106 627	3 043 732	(NA)	(NA)	46 737	(NA)	-	3 106 627	16 158	3 090 469
SOMERVILLE	137 011	115 463	(NA)	(NA)	13 664	(NA)	-	137 011	7 884	129 127
WALTHAM	575 026	543 312	(NA)	(NA)	19 789	(NA)	-	575 026	11 925	563 101
FRAMINGHAM TOWN	1 622 273	1 566 180	(NA)	(NA)	45 484	(NA)	-	1 622 273	10 609	1 611 664
NORFOLK CO	11 639 605	11 289 138	(NA)	(NA)	268 611	(NA)	-	11 639 605	81 856	11 557 749
QUINCY	276 356	250 064	(NA)	(NA)	16 441	(NA)	-	276 356	9 851	266 505
BROOKLINE TOWN	1 733 719	1 699 099	(NA)	(NA)	26 087	(NA)	-	1 733 719	8 533	1 725 186
WEYMOUTH TOWN	963 572	924 381	(NA)	(NA)	33 299	(NA)	-	963 572	5 892	957 680
PLYMOUTH CO.	6 828 939	6 576 991	(NA)	(NA)	204 836	(NA)	-	6 828 939	47 112	6 781 827
BROCKTON	767 430	721 373	(NA)	(NA)	36 248	(NA)	-	767 430	9 809	757 621
SUFFOLK CO[3]	2 331 485	2 036 213	(NA)	(NA)	176 720	(NA)	-	2 331 485	118 552	2 212 933
BOSTON	1 908 701	1 635 769	(NA)	(NA)	162 192	(NA)	-	1 908 701	110 740	1 797 961
NEW HAMPSHIRE PORTION[2,30]	3 650 792	3 650 683	943 409	2 707 274	-	25 102	-	3 625 690	109	3 625 581
ROCKINGHAM CO.	3 650 792	3 650 683	943 409	2 707 274	-	25 102	-	3 625 690	109	3 625 581
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS.	1 433 645	1 236 196	(NA)	(NA)	50 240	-	-	1 433 645	147 249	1 286 396
MINNESOTA PORTION[2,26]	824 808	673 563	(NA)	(NA)	3 996	-	-	824 808	147 249	677 559
ST. LOUIS CO	824 808	673 563	(NA)	(NA)	3 996	-	-	824 808	147 249	677 559
DULUTH	308 301	275 262	(NA)	(NA)	1 045	-	-	308 301	31 994	276 307
WISCONSIN PORTION[42]	608 837	562 593	128 673	433 920	46 244	-	-	608 837	-	608 837
DOUGLAS CO	608 837	562 593	128 673	433 920	46 244	-	-	608 837	-	608 837
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS.	14 172 457	13 578 800	(NA)	(NA)	22 847	-	-	14 172 457	570 810	13 601 647
MINNESOTA PORTION[2,26]	13 415 732	12 834 529	(NA)	(NA)	10 393	-	-	13 415 732	570 810	12 844 922
ANOKA CO	958 300	919 800	(NA)	(NA)	4 700	-	-	958 300	33 800	924 500
CARVER CO.	207 364	198 715	(NA)	(NA)	40	-	-	207 364	8 609	198 755
CHISAGO CO	111 377	97 304	(NA)	(NA)	201	-	-	111 377	13 872	97 505
DAKOTA CO.	1 121 599	1 036 635	(NA)	(NA)	385	-	-	1 121 599	84 579	1 037 020
HENNEPIN CO.	6 980 409	6 779 065	(NA)	(NA)	2 974	-	-	6 980 409	198 370	6 782 039
BLOOMINGTON	685 632	672 592	(NA)	(NA)	60	-	-	685 632	12 980	672 652
MINNEAPOLIS	2 422 031	2 323 180	(NA)	(NA)	86	-	-	2 422 031	98 765	2 323 266
RAMSEY CO.	2 835 031	2 735 795	(NA)	(NA)	967	-	-	2 835 031	98 269	2 736 762
ST. PAUL	1 519 863	1 456 200	(NA)	(NA)	840	-	-	1 519 863	62 823	1 457 040
SCOTT CO	261 819	246 885	(NA)	(NA)	63	-	-	261 819	14 871	246 948
WASHINGTON CO.	634 673	576 255	(NA)	(NA)	641	-	-	634 673	57 777	576 896
WRIGHT CO.	305 160	244 075	(NA)	(NA)	422	-	-	305 160	60 663	244 497
WISCONSIN PORTION[42]	756 725	744 271	308 162	436 109	12 454	-	-	756 725	-	756 725
ST. CROIX CO	756 725	744 271	308 162	436 109	12 454	-	-	756 725	-	756 725

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
		Real			Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CON.										
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS.	4 748 551	2 935 052	(NA)	(NA)	1 386 108	(NA)	(NA)	4 748 551	427 391	4 321 160
KANSAS PORTION[22 23]	1 199 086	883 379	192 095	691 284	199 214	(NA)	(NA)	1 199 086	116 493	1 082 593
JOHNSON CO	826 747	647 958	135 844	512 114	100 656	(NA)	(NA)	826 747	78 133	748 614
OVERLAND PARK	260 124	209 299	42 021	167 278	28 565	(NA)	(NA)	260 124	22 260	237 864
WYANDOTTE CO	372 339	235 421	56 251	179 170	98 558	(NA)	(NA)	372 339	38 360	333 979
KANSAS CITY	347 795	220 784	52 009	168 775	92 363	(NA)	(NA)	347 795	34 648	313 147
MISSOURI PORTION	3 549 465	2 051 673	(NA)	(NA)	1 186 894	-	-	3 549 465	310 898	3 238 567
CASS CO.	163 604	94 623	(NA)	(NA)	33 751	-	-	163 604	35 230	128 374
CLAY CO.	655 905	418 272	(NA)	(NA)	184 380	-	-	655 905	53 253	602 652
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
JACKSON CO	2 439 800	1 356 967	(NA)	(NA)	912 604	-	-	2 439 800	170 229	2 269 571
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLATTE CO.	224 131	141 905	(NA)	(NA)	45 091	-	-	224 131	37 135	186 996
KANSAS CITY (PART)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RAY CO	66 025	39 906	(NA)	(NA)	11 068	-	-	66 025	15 051	50 974
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL.	10 846 456	8 154 114	(NA)	(NA)	2 039 697	373 150	-	10 473 306	652 645	9 820 661
ILLINOIS PORTION	3 027 799	3 008 370	(NA)	(NA)	-	373 150	-	2 654 649	19 429	2 635 220
CLINTON CO	218 695	217 737	(NA)	(NA)	-	24 690	-	194 005	958	193 047
MADISON CO	1 499 478	1 494 033	(NA)	(NA)	-	183 956	-	1 315 522	5 445	1 310 077
MONROE CO.	143 365	142 826	(NA)	(NA)	-	16 989	-	126 366	529	125 837
ST. CLAIR CO	1 166 271	1 153 774	(NA)	(NA)	-	147 515	-	1 018 756	12 497	1 006 259
EAST ST. LOUIS	(19)	71 625	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 216	-	62 409	(19)	(19)
MISSOURI PORTION	7 818 657	5 145 744	(NA)	(NA)	2 039 697	-	-	7 818 657	633 216	7 185 441
FRANKLIN CO.	232 097	108 276	(NA)	(NA)	46 523	-	-	232 097	77 298	154 799
JEFFERSON CO	417 459	223 512	(NA)	(NA)	72 040	-	-	417 459	121 907	295 552
ST. CHARLES CO	609 617	448 145	(NA)	(NA)	104 296	-	-	609 617	57 176	552 441
ST. LOUIS CO	4 847 047	3 339 997	(NA)	(NA)	1 208 628	-	-	4 847 047	298 422	4 548 625
FLORISSANT	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. LOUIS CITY	1 712 437	1 025 814	(NA)	(NA)	608 210	-	-	1 712 437	78 413	1 634 024
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA	9 548 570	7 815 827	(NA)	(NA)	1 457 997	(NA)	2 573	9 211 186	270 746	8 940 440
IOWA PORTION[20 21 22]	1 354 961	1 095 411	(NA)	(NA)	43 152	(NA)	-	1 354 961	216 398	1 138 563
POTTAWATTAMIE CO.	1 354 961	1 095 411	(NA)	(NA)	43 152	(NA)	-	1 354 961	216 398	1 138 563
COUNCIL BLUFFS	663 911	518 248	(NA)	(NA)	20 450	(NA)	-	663 911	125 213	538 698
NEBRASKA PORTION	8 189 609	6 720 416	1 416 058	5 304 358	1 414 845	330 811	2 573	7 856 225	54 348	7 801 877
DOUGLAS CO	7 050 522	5 736 272	1 143 276	4 592 996	1 266 111	297 298	2 344	6 750 880	48 139	6 702 741
OMAHA	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SARPY CO	1 139 087	984 144	272 782	711 362	148 734	33 513	229	1 105 345	6 209	1 099 136
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA.	738 364	710 547	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 093	-	712 271	27 817	684 454
NEW YORK PORTION[2 21]	639 895	612 078	(NA)	(NA)	-	26 093	-	613 802	27 817	585 985
BROOME CO.	511 895	489 682	(NA)	(NA)	-	21 447	-	490 448	22 213	468 235
BINGHAMTON	145 374	137 109	(NA)	(NA)	-	8 333	-	137 041	8 265	128 776
UNION TOWN	124 897	122 328	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 926	-	119 971	2 569	117 402
TIOGA CO	128 000	122 396	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 646	-	123 354	5 604	117 750
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION[2]	98 469	98 469	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	98 469	-	98 469
SUSQUEHANNA CO	98 469	98 469	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	98 469	-	98 469
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J.	76 727 802	72 908 292	(NA)	(NA)	219 085	4 761 455	-	71 966 347	3 600 425	68 365 922
NEW JERSEY PORTION[3]	19 951 960	19 729 574	7 371 949	12 357 625	219 085	1 318	-	19 950 642	3 301	19 947 341
BERGEN CO.	19 951 960	19 729 574	7 371 949	12 357 625	219 085	1 318	-	19 950 642	3 301	19 947 341
NEW YORK PORTION[2 21]	56 775 842	53 178 718	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 760 137	-	52 015 705	3 597 124	48 418 581
NEW YORK CITY	46 860 169	43 829 810	(NA)	(NA)	-	4 518 845	-	42 341 324	3 030 359	39 310 965
PUTNAM CO.	350 048	340 214	(NA)	(NA)	-	15 546	-	334 502	9 834	324 668
ROCKLAND CO.	3 269 638	3 050 981	(NA)	(NA)	-	74 986	-	3 194 652	218 657	2 975 995
CLARKSTOWN TN.	1 408 232	1 322 006	(NA)	(NA)	-	29 219	-	1 379 013	86 226	1 292 787
RAMAPO TOWN.	1 488 941	1 380 924	(NA)	(NA)	-	33 966	-	1 454 975	108 017	1 346 958
WESTCHESTER CO	6 295 987	5 957 713	(NA)	(NA)	-	150 760	-	6 145 227	338 274	5 806 953
NEW ROCHELLE	394 367	363 380	(NA)	(NA)	-	9 071	-	385 296	30 987	354 309
YONKERS.	728 118	673 157	(NA)	(NA)	-	35 038	-	693 080	54 961	638 119
GREENBURGH TN.	613 889	575 067	(NA)	(NA)	-	13 977	-	599 912	38 822	561 090
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N.DAK.-MINN.	326 947	310 173	(NA)	(NA)	2 163	505	-	326 442	14 611	311 831
MINNESOTA PORTION[2 26]	215 018	207 098	(NA)	(NA)	2 163	-	-	215 018	5 757	209 261
CLAY CO.	215 018	207 098	(NA)	(NA)	2 163	-	-	215 018	5 757	209 261
NORTH DAKOTA PORTION[2 33]	111 929	103 075	39 607	63 468	-	505	-	111 424	8 854	102 570
CASS CO.	111 929	103 075	39 607	63 468	-	505	-	111 424	8 854	102 570
FARGO.	68 280	62 593	12 904	49 688	-	405	-	67 875	5 687	62 188

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CON.										
GRAND FORKS, N.DAK.-MINN.	299 690	279 230	(NA)	(NA)	2 795	525	-	299 165	17 665	281 500
MINNESOTA PORTION[2 26]	234 453	218 642	(NA)	(NA)	2 795	-	-	234 453	13 016	221 437
POLK CO.	234 453	218 642	(NA)	(NA)	2 795	-	-	234 453	13 016	221 437
NORTH DAKOTA PORTION[2 33]	65 237	60 588	27 644	32 944	-	525	-	64 712	4 649	60 063
GRAND FORKS CO	65 237	60 588	27 644	32 944	-	525	-	64 712	4 649	60 063
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND.	13 770 236	9 881 634	(NA)	(NA)	1 272 351	169 012	4	13 601 220	2 616 251	10 984 969
INDIANA PORTION[2]	170 047	97 895	(NA)	(NA)	47 139	7 180	4	162 863	25 013	137 850
DEARBORN CO.	170 047	97 895	(NA)	(NA)	47 139	7 180	4	162 863	25 013	137 850
KENTUCKY PORTION	4 154 594	3 273 945	(NA)	(NA)	592 666	161 832	-	3 992 762	287 983	3 704 779
BOONE CO	1 164 334	804 783	(NA)	(NA)	251 335	19 048	-	1 145 286	108 216	1 037 070
CAMPBELL CO.	1 078 071	902 078	(NA)	(NA)	108 722	57 997	-	1 020 074	67 271	952 803
KENTON CO.	1 912 189	1 567 084	(NA)	(NA)	232 609	84 787	-	1 827 402	112 496	1 714 906
OHIO PORTION	9 445 595	6 509 794	1 571 452	4 938 342	632 546	-	-	9 445 595	2 303 255	7 142 340
CLERMONT CO.	1 088 996	744 714	241 723	502 991	24 026	-	-	1 088 996	320 256	768 740
HAMILTON CO.	7 646 833	5 197 162	1 141 740	4 055 422	588 600	-	-	7 646 833	1 861 071	5 785 762
CINCINNATI	(NA)	1 767 952	397 743	1 370 209	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WARREN CO.	709 766	567 918	187 989	379 929	19 920	-	-	709 766	121 928	587 838
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA.	1 375 840	554 412	(NA)	(NA)	294 678	17 582	-	1 358 258	526 750	831 508
OHIO PORTION	827 999	313 906	69 913	243 993	25 604	-	-	827 999	488 489	339 510
JEFFERSON CO	827 999	313 906	69 913	243 993	25 604	-	-	827 999	488 489	339 510
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION[2]	547 841	240 506	(NA)	(NA)	269 074	17 582	-	530 259	38 261	491 998
BROOKE CO.	211 907	91 359	(NA)	(NA)	103 603	7 813	-	204 094	16 945	187 149
HANCOCK CO	335 934	149 147	(NA)	(NA)	165 471	9 769	-	326 165	21 316	304 849
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH.	7 298 489	5 436 522	(NA)	(NA)	468 247	-	-	7 298 489	1 393 720	5 904 769
MICHIGAN PORTION[2]	1 771 413	1 619 683	(NA)	(NA)	151 730	-	-	1 771 413	-	1 771 413
MONROE CO.	1 771 413	1 619 683	(NA)	(NA)	151 730	-	-	1 771 413	-	1 771 413
OHIO PORTION	5 527 076	3 816 839	1 086 740	2 730 099	316 517	-	-	5 527 076	1 393 720	4 133 356
FULTON CO.	348 751	261 896	95 797	166 099	17 846	-	-	348 751	69 009	279 742
LUCAS CO	3 647 920	2 508 332	636 216	1 872 116	217 152	-	-	3 647 920	922 436	2 725 484
TOLEDO	(NA)	1 696 903	401 193	1 295 710	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OTTAWA CO.	483 901	254 286	79 663	174 623	12 850	-	-	483 901	216 765	267 136
WOOD CO.	1 046 504	792 325	275 064	517 261	68 669	-	-	1 046 504	185 510	860 994
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH.	34 462 411	31 104 930	10 489 736	20 615 194	1 914 974	107 637	16 451	34 338 323	1 442 507	32 895 816
OREGON PORTION[3 4]	29 206 781	26 593 600	8 926 544	17 667 056	1 330 012	64 404	4 147	29 138 230	1 283 169	27 855 061
CLACKAMAS CO	6 817 899	6 343 010	2 496 702	3 846 308	244 209	12 804	1 037	6 803 458	230 680	6 572 778
PORTLAND (PART)	23 196	22 917	6 461	16 456	-	79	-	23 117	279	22 838
MULTNOMAH CO	15 209 667	13 667 952	4 305 266	9 362 686	723 169	40 894	1 223	15 167 550	818 546	14 349 004
PORTLAND (PART)	10 496 262	9 296 864	2 847 089	6 449 775	546 255	29 408	59	10 466 795	653 143	9 813 652
WASHINGTON CO.	7 179 215	6 582 638	2 124 576	4 458 062	362 634	10 706	1 287	7 167 222	233 943	6 933 279
PORTLAND (PART)	18 440	17 328	3 904	13 424	611	6	-	18 434	501	17 933
WASHINGTON PORTION	5 255 630	4 511 330	1 563 192	2 948 138	584 962	43 233	12 304	5 200 093	159 338	5 040 755
CLARK CO	5 255 630	4 511 330	1 563 192	2 948 138	584 962	43 233	12 304	5 200 093	159 338	5 040 755
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J.	3 714 294	3 689 651	(NA)	(NA)	24 179	1 724	-	3 712 570	464	3 712 106
NEW JERSEY PORTION[2]	1 447 779	1 423 136	386 902	1 036 234	24 179	1 724	-	1 446 055	464	1 445 591
WARREN CO.	1 447 779	1 423 136	386 902	1 036 234	24 179	1 724	-	1 446 055	464	1 445 591
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION[2]	2 266 515	2 266 515	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	2 266 515	-	2 266 515
CARBON CO.	113 870	113 870	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	113 870	-	113 870
LEHIGH CO.	1 348 763	1 348 763	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 348 763	-	1 348 763
ALLENTOWN	410 466	410 466	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	410 466	-	410 466
BETHLEHEM (PART)	108 721	108 721	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	108 721	-	108 721
NORTHAMPTON CO	803 882	803 882	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	803 882	-	803 882
BETHLEHEM (PART)	184 897	184 897	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	184 897	-	184 897
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J.	23 733 793	23 487 536	(NA)	(NA)	243 358	16 309	-	23 717 484	2 899	23 714 585
NEW JERSEY PORTION[2]	13 131 737	12 885 480	3 313 826	9 571 654	243 358	16 309	-	13 115 428	2 899	13 112 529
BURLINGTON CO.	5 074 145	4 988 531	1 247 464	3 741 067	85 518	1 563	-	5 072 582	96	5 072 486
CAMDEN CO.	5 259 147	5 143 685	1 327 984	3 815 701	112 792	5 035	-	5 254 112	2 670	5 251 442
CHERRY HILL TWP	1 170 615	1 157 684	325 110	832 574	12 931	-	-	1 170 615	-	1 170 615
GLOUCESTER CO.	2 798 445	2 753 264	738 378	2 014 886	45 048	9 711	-	2 788 734	133	2 788 601
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION[2]	10 602 056	10 602 056	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	10 602 056	-	10 602 056
BUCKS CO	1 135 154	1 135 154	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 135 154	-	1 135 154
BRISTOL TWP.	96 166	96 166	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	96 166	-	96 166
CHESTER CO	1 011 729	1 011 729	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 011 729	-	1 011 729
DELAWARE CO.	726 155	726 155	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	726 155	-	726 155
HAVERFORD TWP.	68 927	68 927	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	68 927	-	68 927
UPPER DARBY TWP	97 703	97 703	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	97 703	-	97 703
MONTGOMERY CO.	1 873 654	1 873 654	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	1 873 654	-	1 873 654
ABINGTON TWP	163 793	163 793	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	163 793	-	163 793
LOWER MERION TWP	272 705	272 705	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	272 705	-	272 705
PHILADELPHIA[3]	5 855 364	5 855 364	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	2 405 171	-	2 204 981

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property								
			Real		Personal	Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CON.										
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA.	2 468 086	1 963 361	(NA)	(NA)	304 535	62 915	-	2 405 171	200 190	2 204 981
GEORGIA PORTION	661 745	492 454	(NA)	(NA)	137 569	62 915	-	598 830	31 722	567 108
CATOOSA CO	235 452	173 260	(NA)	(NA)	52 236	18 785	-	216 667	9 956	206 711
DADE CO.	72 927	55 979	(NA)	(NA)	12 013	5 757	-	67 170	4 935	62 235
WALKER CO.	353 366	263 215	(NA)	(NA)	73 320	38 373	-	314 993	16 831	298 162
TENNESSEE PORTION[37]	1 806 341	1 470 907	(NA)	(NA)	166 966	-	-	1 806 341	168 468	1 637 873
HAMILTON CO.	1 749 655	1 428 610	(NA)	(NA)	159 472	-	-	1 749 655	161 573	1 588 082
CHATTANOOGA	1 161 596	900 106	(NA)	(NA)	144 757	-	-	1 161 596	116 733	1 044 863
MARION CO.	38 514	28 212	(NA)	(NA)	4 841	-	-	38 514	5 461	33 053
SEQUATCHIE CO.	18 172	14 085	(NA)	(NA)	2 653	-	-	18 172	1 434	16 738
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY.	932 080	691 612	(NA)	(NA)	176 742	30 842	-	901 238	63 726	837 512
KENTUCKY PORTION	677 509	497 083	(NA)	(NA)	140 502	30 842	-	646 667	39 924	606 743
CHRISTIAN CO	677 509	497 083	(NA)	(NA)	140 502	30 842	-	646 667	39 924	606 743
TENNESSEE PORTION[37]	254 571	194 529	(NA)	(NA)	36 240	-	-	254 571	23 802	230 769
MONTGOMERY CO.	254 571	194 529	(NA)	(NA)	36 240	-	-	254 571	23 802	230 769
CLARKSVILLE	138 521	120 276	(NA)	(NA)	7 078	-	-	138 521	11 167	127 354
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA.	2 704 769	2 209 103	(NA)	(NA)	345 993	(NA)	(NA)	2 704 769	149 673	2 555 096
TENNESSEE PORTION[37]	1 229 651	950 599	(NA)	(NA)	191 725	-	-	1 229 651	87 327	1 142 324
CARTER CO.	126 211	112 844	(NA)	(NA)	7 057	-	-	126 211	6 310	119 901
HAWKINS CO	150 200	123 218	(NA)	(NA)	11 888	-	-	150 200	15 094	135 106
SULLIVAN CO.	661 384	478 931	(NA)	(NA)	139 212	-	-	661 384	43 241	618 143
UNICOI CO.	53 810	43 555	(NA)	(NA)	3 867	-	-	53 810	6 388	47 422
WASHINGTON CO.	238 046	192 051	(NA)	(NA)	29 701	-	-	238 046	16 294	221 752
VIRGINIA PORTION[22]	1 475 118	1 258 504	400 125	858 379	154 268	(NA)	(NA)	1 475 118	62 346	1 412 772
SCOTT CO	288 583	239 240	101 559	137 681	27 182	(NA)	(NA)	288 583	22 161	266 422
WASHINGTON CO.	873 910	729 069	236 748	492 321	111 896	(NA)	(NA)	873 910	32 945	840 965
BRISTOL CITY	312 625	290 195	61 818	228 377	15 190	(NA)	(NA)	312 625	7 240	305 385
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS.	3 976 703	3 324 773	(NA)	(NA)	371 552	39 584	-	3 937 119	280 378	3 656 741
ARKANSAS PORTION	85 511	49 057	(NA)	(NA)	25 496	-	-	85 511	10 958	74 553
CRITTENDEN CO.	85 511	49 057	(NA)	(NA)	25 496	-	-	85 511	10 958	74 553
MISSISSIPPI PORTION	111 630	65 585	(NA)	(NA)	36 135	39 584	-	72 046	9 910	62 136
DESOTO CO.	111 630	65 585	(NA)	(NA)	36 135	39 584	-	72 046	9 910	62 136
TENNESSEE PORTION[37]	3 779 562	3 210 131	(NA)	(NA)	309 921	-	-	3 779 562	259 510	3 520 052
SHELBY CO.	3 642 798	3 091 031	(NA)	(NA)	306 349	-	-	3 642 798	245 418	3 397 380
MEMPHIS	2 901 817	2 447 109	(NA)	(NA)	247 353	-	-	2 901 817	207 355	2 694 462
TIPTON CO.	136 764	119 100	(NA)	(NA)	3 572	-	-	136 764	14 092	122 672
TEXARKANA, TEX.-ARK.	766 402	637 158	(NA)	(NA)	101 747	23 077	-	743 325	27 497	715 828
ARKANSAS PORTION	144 426	80 529	(NA)	(NA)	47 288	-	-	144 426	16 609	127 817
LITTLE RIVER CO.	66 830	36 711	(NA)	(NA)	24 974	-	-	66 830	5 145	61 685
MILLER CO.	77 596	43 818	(NA)	(NA)	22 314	-	-	77 596	11 464	66 132
TEXAS PORTION[38]	621 976	556 629	(NA)	(NA)	54 459	23 077	-	598 899	10 888	588 011
BOWIE CO	621 976	556 629	(NA)	(NA)	54 459	23 077	-	598 899	10 888	588 011
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C	15 010 481	13 238 284	(NA)	(NA)	977 444	(NA)	(NA)	15 006 532	794 753	14 211 779
NORTH CAROLINA PORTION[32]	354 416	302 740	(NA)	(NA)	34 409	2 803	1 146	350 467	17 267	333 200
CURRITUCK CO	354 416	302 740	(NA)	(NA)	34 409	2 803	1 146	350 467	17 267	333 200
VIRGINIA PORTION[22]	14 656 065	12 935 544	4 129 244	8 806 300	943 035	(NA)	(NA)	14 656 065	777 486	13 878 579
CHESAPEAKE CITY	2 458 226	2 102 790	705 600	1 397 190	167 977	(NA)	(NA)	2 458 226	187 459	2 270 767
NORFOLK CITY	3 695 778	3 054 030	681 823	2 372 207	351 065	(NA)	(NA)	3 695 778	290 683	3 405 095
PORTSMOUTH CITY	1 529 053	1 325 853	357 511	968 342	133 903	(NA)	(NA)	1 529 053	69 297	1 459 756
SUFFOLK CITY	732 018	647 524	218 212	429 312	37 963	(NA)	(NA)	732 018	46 531	685 487
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	6 240 990	5 805 347	2 166 098	3 639 249	252 127	(NA)	(NA)	6 240 990	183 516	6 057 474
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W. VA.-KY.-OHIO	3 137 659	1 970 652	(NA)	(NA)	794 691	130 627	-	3 007 032	372 316	2 634 716
KENTUCKY PORTION	1 738 381	1 289 800	(NA)	(NA)	301 341	68 951	-	1 669 430	147 240	1 522 190
BOYD CO.	1 073 230	790 077	(NA)	(NA)	195 077	44 905	-	1 028 325	88 076	940 249
GREENUP CO	665 151	499 723	(NA)	(NA)	106 264	24 046	-	641 105	59 164	581 941
OHIO PORTION	339 840	235 314	66 687	168 627	20 406	-	-	339 840	84 120	255 720
LAWRENCE CO.	339 840	235 314	66 687	168 627	20 406	-	-	339 840	84 120	255 720
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION[2]	1 059 438	445 538	(NA)	(NA)	472 944	61 676	-	997 762	140 956	856 806
CABELL CO.	820 268	366 417	(NA)	(NA)	374 113	54 312	-	765 956	79 738	686 218
HUNTINGTON (PART)[41]	494 756	224 191	(NA)	(NA)	224 071	(NA)	-	494 756	46 494	448 262
WAYNE CO	239 170	79 121	(NA)	(NA)	98 831	7 364	-	231 806	61 218	170 588
HUNTINGTON (PART)[41]	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 20. Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Counties, and Selected Major Cities by States and Multi-State Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1981—Con.

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)					Tax-exempt portion of locally assessed value[1]		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions		
	Total, including State-assessed property	Locally assessed property				Real property	Personal property	Total	State-assessed property	Locally assessed property
		Real			Personal					
		Total	Land	Improvements						
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CON.										
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W. VA.-OHIO.	1 182 858	706 208	(NA)	(NA)	228 082	26 535	-	1 156 323	248 568	907 755
OHIO PORTION . . .	554 404	339 043	93 385	245 658	23 521	-	-	554 404	191 840	362 564
WASHINGTON CO. .	554 404	339 043	93 385	245 658	23 521	-	-	554 404	191 840	362 564
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION[2].	628 454	367 165	(NA)	(NA)	204 561	26 535	-	601 919	56 728	545 191
WIRT CO.	37 072	19 536	(NA)	(NA)	12 694	1 642	-	35 430	4 842	30 588
WOOD CO.	591 382	347 629	(NA)	(NA)	191 867	24 893	-	566 489	51 886	514 603
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO	1 486 724	721 609	(NA)	(NA)	515 374	25 449	-	1 461 275	249 741	1 211 534
OHIO PORTION . . .	559 421	352 656	87 122	265 534	27 796	-	-	559 421	178 969	380 452
BELMONT CO . . .	559 421	352 656	87 122	265 534	27 796	-	-	559 421	178 969	380 452
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION[2].	927 303	368 953	(NA)	(NA)	487 578	25 449	-	901 854	70 772	831 082
MARSHALL CO. . .	492 375	184 305	(NA)	(NA)	265 362	8 962	-	483 413	42 708	440 705
OHIO CO.	434 928	184 648	(NA)	(NA)	222 216	16 487	-	418 441	28 064	390 377

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

*Denotes multi-State SMSA, separately identified following State-by-State display. **For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1]In some instances these data are not shown because there were no tax-exempt portions or because the aggregates involved were not identified in reported data. [2]For 1981, valuation date other than Jan. 1, 1981; see text table H. [3]Exempt portion of personal property assessed value, if any, included with exempt portion of real property assessed value for the following States: Alabama, Maine, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming. [4]Alaska State-assessed values exclude $3.99 billion of oil and gas property value in the unorganized territory. [5]County, borough, or parish governments are consolidated with city governments in the following instances: Juneau and Sitka, Alaska; San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Duval County (Jacksonville), Fla.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Marion County (Indianapolis), Ind.; Lexington-Fayette Urban County, Ky.; East Baton Rouge Parish (Baton Rouge) and Orleans Parish (New Orleans), La.; Suffolk County (Boston), Mass.; Philadelphia, Pa; and Davidson County (Nashville), Tenn. [6]Jurisdictions shown under the "cities" heading for Alaska do not meet the 50,000 population criteria applicable for display of cities in other States. These cities are displayed because they are primary assessing jurisdictions outside the corporate limits of boroughs. [7]Gross assessed value for Arizona is not available. Each amount shown constitutes "full cash value" after application of percentages based on property classification and minus any applicable partial exemptions, and is therefore net assessed value. Taxes levied against such value are "secondary taxes," those used for debt retirement, budget overrides, and maintenance and operation of special service districts. In contrast, "primary taxes," those used for maintenance and operation of governmental units involved, are levied against "limited property value" after application of percentages based on property classification. Limited property value is identical with full cash value for personalty (other than mobile homes), and for centrally assessed mines and utility property (other than railroads). For an existing real property, "limited property value" is the lesser of its 1980 limited property value plus 10 percent, or its 1981 full cash value. For a property modified by new construction or other substantial change, "limited property value" reflects the application of a ratio of full cash to limited value for existing properties of the same type and/or use. [8]Arizona city data shown for locally assessed real property include unallocable amounts for locally assessed personal property. [9]For Hot Spring County, Ark., values shown for personal property and for the real property component of State-assessed property are as of Jan. 1, 1980. [10]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard for California valuations became 100 percent, instead of 25 percent, of "full cash value" as that term is defined in article XIII A, section 2, of the California Constitution. When the 100 percent level went into effect, tax rates were adjusted to prevent generation of additional revenues from this change. [11]California personalty excludes business inventories which became totally exempt effective March 1, 1980. [12]Allocation of Colorado city data (except for Denver) among State-assessed and locally assessed components not available. [13]Florida real property assessed values include "classified use" assessments for eligible properties, rather than assessments based on "highest and best use." [14]Georgia assessed values include 1978 data for Monroe County, 1979 data for Spalding County, and 1980 data for Chattahoochee, Gordon, Jeff Davis, and Twiggs Counties. Data for Long and Webster Counties are tentative 1981 values. [15]Prior to July 1, 1981, assessment administration in Hawaii was a function of the State government. As of that date, each of the four counties assumed such responsibility. Assessment remains a State responsibility in the fifth county, Kalawao, which is used as a treatment center for those afflicted with Hansen's disease. [16]Idaho values shown are "market value for assessment purposes" as of Jan. 1, 1981, which values are based on 104.04 percent of market value as of Dec. 31, 1978, in accordance with statutory change effective Jan. 1, 1980. Detail for State-assessed property in Idaho will not add to total because $13.5 million in State-assessed value could not be allocated among the counties. Total gross assessed value will not add to sub-State detail because the State-assessed component of the detail is actually net of $110.0 million in partial exemptions and excludes an unallocable amount of $13.5 million. [17]Illinois personalty became exempt from taxation effective Jan. 1, 1979. Illinois realty assessed values and related partial exemptions include State-supplied estimates for the following counties: Champaign, Clay, De Kalb, Peoria, Sangamon, and Will. City data are State-supplied estimates for municipalities in Champaign, Cook, and Will Counties. [18]Allocation of Illinois city data among State-assessed and locally assessed components not available. [19]Indiana assessed values include other than March 1, 1981, data for Jennings County (March 1, 1980) and Vermillion County (March 1, 1979). [20]Realty values shown for Iowa reflect application of equalization adjustments and rollback factors, where applicable. This presentation differs from that in previous census reports where unadjusted values were shown. Personal property assessed values reflect reductions, where applicable, required by chapter 427A of the code of Iowa. [21]State-assessed values shown for Iowa municipalities are overstated to the extent that they may include components for railroad property not adjusted by the applicable rollback factors. [22]Any net amount shown may represent an overstatement to the extent that the amount of appropriate deduction from the gross total is not available. [23]Kansas locally assessed personal property total includes the amount of $166 million for intangibles which was not allocable among the counties. Land component of real property assessed value includes an amount of $6.8 million for mineral interest value. [24]Allocation of Louisiana manufacturing plant value between realty and personalty was inconsistent in reported data. This resulted in overstatement of one category and corresponding understatement of the other category. Such property was valued at $946.6 million, in total, for both building and machinery and equipment components. [25]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but assessed values are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text. [26]Minnesota State-assessed values include, among other items, electric utility systems, structures, and attached machinery which are valued by the State for the county assessors. In previous census publications, such property was reported as locally assessed. [27]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard in Nebraska for assessed values became "actual value," instead of 35 percent thereof. Nebraska land component of locally assessed real property includes a value amount for mineral interests in 19 counties. [28]Nevada real property values may be understated and personal property values overstated to the extent that realty amounts have been reduced by the value of fully exempt property, which may include personalty. This treatment of fully exempt values differs from that used in Mineral County, where such values were allocated between real and personal property based on estimates of composition. [29]Nevada valuations do not reflect modifying adjustments required by legislation that changed the legal standard of assessment for the collection of 1981-82 taxes from 35 percent of "full cash value" to 35 percent of "adjusted cash value"; amounts based on 35 percent of "adjusted cash value" not available. [30]New Hampshire State-assessed values apply to State and Federal forest lands, State recreation lands, and Federal flood control lands. Although such values were taxable in the past, they were not reported on previous Census Bureau surveys of assessed value. [31]New York realty exempt values may, in selected instances, include prior year data. [32]In North Carolina data for personalty include full value amounts for stored tobacco, peanuts, and cotton which are subject to reduced rates of taxation. Exempt amounts shown for real property apply only to those 85 counties reporting such data; similar amounts shown for personal property apply only to those 66 counties reporting such data. [33]North Dakota personal property no longer assessed effective with 1981 assessments. [34]Oregon assessed values reflect reductions mandated by the Tax Relief Act (chapter 241, laws 1979). Effective Jan. 1, 1980, all inventories and farm machinery became exempt from taxation. [35]"Manufacturing property" in South Carolina is assessed by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed." Motor vehicle valuations included in personal property are as of Dec. 31, 1979. [36]South Dakota assessed value amounts for Washabaugh County are combined with those for Jackson County. [37]In Tennessee amounts shown for locally assessed real property include $24.5 million in locally assessed public utility value which could not be allocated to real and personal property components. [38]The legal standard for property valuation in Texas changed to "appraised value" or "100 percent value," effective Jan. 1, 1981; formerly assessed values were set at locally determined percentages of market value. Real property values shown are net of any reductions for productivity values of agricultural lands and open-space lands. This treatment is a departure from previous census reports, but is consistent with survey procedures for this type of circumstance in other States. Real property values are overstated and personal property values understated to the extent that intangible personal property of banks and tangible personal property of utilities are included in realty values rather than in personal property values; actual amounts for such items were not available. [39]Data for Bastrop, Polk, Presidio, and Smith Counties are market values as of Jan. 1, 1980. [40]Vermont assessed values shown are the "grand list" values, which are based on one percent of fair market value. Fair market values as of April 1, 1981, are shown (in thousands of dollars): Total gross assessed value, $7,491,581; State-assessed property, none; locally assessed property, $7,491,581; real property, $6,935,158; personal property, $556,423; and tax-exempt portion of gross assessed value, not available. This presentation of grand list values represent a departure from previous policy. Earlier Bureau of the Census publications reported listed or fair market values as assessed values for Vermont. [41]Amounts shown under Cabell County for Huntington City, W. Va., include values for the portion of that city which is located in Wayne County. [42]Wisconsin manufacturing property is assessed by a State agency. To assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property, are shown here as "locally assessed." Effective Jan. 1, 1981, merchants' stock in trade, manufacturers' materials and finished products, and livestock became completely exempt from general property taxation.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
ALABAMA										
SMSA PORTION	2 411 304	886 296	3 790 560	100.0	61.1	55.4	10.7	4.5	22.9	0.8
ANNISTON SMSA	116 936	49 735	126 175	100.0	69.3	64.3	10.5	2.9	17.3	-
CALHOUN COUNTY	116 936	49 735	126 175	100.0	69.3	64.3	10.5	2.9	17.3	-
BIRMINGHAM SMSA:										
JEFFERSON COUNTY	671 197	238 564	1 201 977	100.0	63.0	60.6	6.3	2.7	28.0	-
BIRMINGHAM	284 413	87 394	(NA)	100.0	54.5	51.7	3.7	2.6	39.2	-
FLORENCE SMSA:										
LAUDERDALE COUNTY	80 504	25 081	141 418	100.0	67.8	54.5	12.1	3.5	16.6	-
GADSDEN SMSA	103 057	46 339	132 645	100.0	58.1	53.1	6.2	6.3	29.3	0.1
ETOWAH COUNTY	103 057	46 339	132 645	100.0	58.1	53.1	6.2	6.3	29.3	0.1
HUNTSVILLE SMSA	308 593	96 245	461 416	100.0	65.9	53.7	11.3	2.9	20.0	-
LIMESTONE COUNTY	46 005	11 292	60 091	100.0	59.7	44.5	29.3	0.7	10.3	-
MADISON COUNTY	196 966	52 172	315 032	100.0	65.9	51.6	8.1	2.5	23.2	-
HUNTSVILLE	142 513	36 202	(NA)	100.0	75.3	60.4	3.6	2.9	21.2	-
MARSHALL COUNTY	65 622	32 781	86 293	100.0	69.6	67.7	11.9	4.4	14.1	-
MOBILE SMSA	442 819	162 742	738 185	100.0	55.6	49.7	16.5	8.4	19.4	0.1
BALDWIN COUNTY	78 440	40 758	210 447	100.0	47.4	46.9	29.8	12.1	10.7	-
MOBILE COUNTY	364 379	121 984	527 738	100.0	59.1	51.0	10.7	6.6	23.2	0.2
MOBILE	200 452	59 918	(NA)	100.0	56.4	45.9	7.5	6.1	29.8	0.1
MONTGOMERY SMSA:										
ELMORE COUNTY	43 390	21 826	53 280	100.0	56.4	53.5	23.1	1.6	18.8	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	197 038	61 727	329 895	100.0	58.5	58.3	6.8	2.7	24.7	7.2
MONTGOMERY	178 157	45 523	(NA)	100.0	62.2	62.1	0.4	2.5	28.6	6.3
TUSCALOOSA SMSA	137 473	60 202	199 661	100.0	64.9	53.4	0.4	13.1	21.2	0.5
TUSCALOOSA COUNTY	137 473	60 202	199 661	100.0	64.9	53.4	0.4	13.1	21.2	0.5
TUSCALOOSA	75 143	20 626	(NA)	100.0	67.8	51.9	-	5.3	26.1	0.8
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA*	47 356	21 093	44 035	100.0	46.8	35.8	23.3	3.2	26.7	-
RUSSELL COUNTY	47 356	21 093	44 035	100.0	46.8	35.8	23.3	3.2	26.7	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
DALLAS COUNTY	53 981	20 477	59 649	100.0	47.2	38.2	29.9	3.7	18.8	0.5
HOUSTON COUNTY	74 632	23 386	100 138	100.0	57.4	54.0	10.5	5.4	26.6	-
MORGAN COUNTY	90 231	32 876	124 076	100.0	57.8	50.8	7.3	2.9	31.9	-
TALLADEGA COUNTY	73 826	36 969	81 633	100.0	52.2	47.8	14.2	5.7	27.8	0.1
ALASKA										
SMSA PORTION	173 017	59 335	5 955 807	100.0	59.6	45.1	5.8	7.5	27.1	(Z)
ANCHORAGE SMSA	173 017	59 335	5 955 807	100.0	59.6	45.1	5.8	7.5	27.1	(Z)
GREATER ANCHORAGE BOROUGH	173 017	59 335	5 955 807	100.0	59.6	45.1	5.8	7.5	27.1	(Z)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
FAIRBANKS-NORTH STAR BOROUGH	53 983	27 869	1 383 031	100.0	56.9	52.5	7.2	10.9	25.0	-
ARIZONA[4,5]										
SMSA PORTION	2 039 293	845 492	5 007 320	100.0	61.9	51.9	0.9	11.2	25.2	0.7
PHOENIX SMSA	1 508 030	630 143	3 933 153	100.0	60.9	51.7	1.1	11.7	25.3	0.9
MARICOPA COUNTY	1 508 030	630 143	3 933 153	100.0	60.9	51.7	1.1	11.7	25.3	0.9
GLENDALE	96 988	31 716	189 080	100.0	70.0	61.5	0.7	8.8	20.2	0.3
MESA	152 453	52 862	382 696	100.0	64.8	54.4	0.6	7.9	24.7	2.0
PHOENIX	764 911	274 185	2 179 657	100.0	60.6	49.8	0.1	8.7	30.0	0.6
SCOTTSDALE	88 364	45 399	404 360	100.0	56.4	47.5	-	17.4	25.5	0.7
TEMPE	106 743	27 397	332 404	100.0	59.0	43.5	-	7.2	32.2	1.7
TUCSON SMSA	531 263	215 349	1 074 167	100.0	65.7	52.9	0.3	9.4	24.6	(Z)
PIMA COUNTY	531 263	215 349	1 074 167	100.0	65.7	52.9	0.3	9.4	24.6	(Z)
TUCSON	330 537	102 134	721 657	100.0	65.8	47.3	-	2.6	31.6	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
COCHISE COUNTY	86 717	96 314	114 900	100.0	59.7	52.8	8.8	13.0	15.8	2.8
NAVAJO COUNTY	67 709	59 667	98 057	100.0	57.7	47.7	1.7	16.7	23.1	0.8
PINAL COUNTY	90 918	86 733	127 503	100.0	47.8	40.9	12.7	20.4	17.8	1.3
YUMA COUNTY	90 554	51 125	166 083	100.0	48.7	38.4	10.4	8.1	32.5	0.3
ARKANSAS										
SMSA PORTION	894 699	464 820	1 440 624	100.0	67.5	61.7	7.4	3.6	21.4	0.1
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE SMSA	177 850	120 395	254 430	100.0	66.9	60.9	8.4	5.4	19.1	0.1
BENTON COUNTY	78 115	72 243	126 573	100.0	65.7	60.0	9.1	8.1	16.7	0.3
WASHINGTON COUNTY	99 735	48 152	127 857	100.0	68.1	61.8	7.8	2.8	21.4	-
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*	131 822	65 841	188 213	100.0	66.2	56.6	7.3	2.6	23.9	-
CRAWFORD COUNTY	36 892	20 158	31 065	100.0	57.6	56.0	20.8	1.0	20.6	-
SEBASTIAN COUNTY	94 930	45 683	157 148	100.0	67.7	56.7	5.0	2.9	24.4	-
FORT SMITH	71 384	31 009	(NA)	100.0	69.6	57.5	0.4	3.1	26.9	-
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK SMSA	393 494	168 157	765 727	100.0	70.0	64.3	4.3	3.3	22.3	0.2
PULASKI COUNTY	340 613	130 001	709 035	100.0	70.4	64.4	3.7	3.0	22.7	0.2
LITTLE ROCK	158 461	59 889	406 380	100.0	64.3	58.1	0.6	3.4	31.3	0.4
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	64 419	19 196	117 728	100.0	81.5	74.6	1.9	0.6	16.1	-
SALINE COUNTY	52 881	38 156	56 692	100.0	65.1	63.0	11.3	7.1	16.4	-
PINE BLUFF SMSA	90 718	57 253	102 668	100.0	65.4	62.4	7.0	3.7	23.9	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY	90 718	57 253	102 668	100.0	65.4	62.4	7.0	3.7	23.9	-
PINE BLUFF	56 576	30 936	72 202	100.0	67.3	63.1	0.5	3.2	29.1	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														ALABAMA
7 538	28 590	334 781	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	886 296	3 791	4 277	44 386	50 081	SMSA PORTION
490	1 016	13 082	7.8	2.9	12.2	3.8	6.7	(3)	49 735	126	2 537	1 624	32 647	ANNISTON SMSA
490	1 016	13 082	7.8	2.9	12.2	3.8	6.7	(3)	49 735	126	2 537	1 624	32 647	CALHOUN COUNTY
														BIRMINGHAM SMSA:
2 376	10 052	142 158	7.1	1.0	9.1	1.1	6.5	61.3	232 564	1 202	5 168	16 997	73 085	JEFFERSON COUNTY
1 026	3 997	45 738	8.7	(X)	11.4	(X)	8.4	69.5	87 394	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BIRMINGHAM
														FLORENCE SMSA:
160	488	5 258	9.3	4.0	11.4	3.8	8.4	69.2	25 081	141	5 638	1 525	60 800	LAUDERDALE COUNTY
220	458	5 461	8.4	3.7	14.3	5.1	11.5	75.8	46 339	133	2 862	1 581	34 109	GADSDEN SMSA
220	458	5 461	8.4	3.7	14.3	5.1	11.5	75.8	46 339	133	2 862	1 581	34 109	ETOWAH COUNTY
1 112	4 078	46 209	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	96 245	461	4 794	5 228	54 324	HUNTSVILLE SMSA
126	318	3 591	8.9	1.8	11.3	3.2	9.4	54.7	11 292	60	5 322	678	60 083	LIMESTONE COUNTY
770	3 472	37 899	9.2	1.6	10.8	2.2	7.7	56.6	52 172	315	6 038	3 440	65 932	MADISON COUNTY
616	2 829	29 579	9.6	(X)	11.5	(X)	7.8	60.1	36 202	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	HUNTSVILLE
216	288	4 719	6.1	1.7	14.0	6.0	6.9	(3)	32 781	86	2 632	1 418	43 255	MARSHALL COUNTY
798	3 835	39 185	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	162 742	738	4 536	7 543	46 347	MOBILE SMSA
462	2 881	28 103	10.3	2.0	11.8	4.8	8.5	72.6	40 758	210	5 163	2 052	50 354	BALDWIN COUNTY
336	954	11 082	8.6	3.3	10.1	3.2	7.7	72.0	121 984	528	4 326	6 140	50 331	MOBILE COUNTY
154	482	7 907	6.1	(X)	6.8	(X)	5.2	48.9	59 918	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MOBILE
														MONTGOMERY SMSA:
222	973	8 062	12.1	3.9	11.4	2.6	8.9	62.2	21 826	53	2 441	442	20 239	ELMORE COUNTY
756	3 185	30 588	10.4	3.1	10.6	2.6	7.5	59.4	61 727	330	5 344	3 169	51 338	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
672	2 958	26 759	11.1	(X)	11.1	(X)	7.5	64.5	45 523	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MONTGOMERY
364	1 790	17 569	10.2	1.8	12.0	3.6	8.9	71.4	60 202	200	3 317	1 959	32 541	TUSCALOOSA SMSA
364	1 790	17 569	10.2	1.8	12.0	3.6	8.9	71.4	60 202	200	3 317	1 959	32 541	TUSCALOOSA COUNTY
154	1 367	13 311	10.3	(X)	9.5	(X)	8.2	37.9	20 626	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	TUSCALOOSA
144	327	2 995	10.9	3.6	14.0	3.3	11.2	65.5	21 093	44	2 088	402	19 076	COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA*
144	327	2 995	10.9	3.6	14.0	3.3	11.2	65.5	21 093	44	2 088	402	19 076	RUSSELL COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
98	365	3 605	10.1	5.4	30.1	18.5	9.9	(3)	20 477	60	2 913	590	28 813	DALLAS COUNTY
287	813	12 665	6.4	1.6	9.5	2.1	7.7	61.4	23 386	100	4 282	1 563	66 841	HOUSTON COUNTY
350	1 050	12 476	8.4	1.8	11.7	4.3	8.1	79.0	32 876	124	3 774	1 475	44 857	MORGAN COUNTY
154	263	3 390	7.8	2.1	10.2	3.5	9.1	57.7	36 969	82	2 208	1 049	28 364	TALLADEGA COUNTY
														ALASKA
1 017	85 696	112 465	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	59 335	5 956	100 376	7 816	131 731	SMSA PORTION
1 017	85 696	112 465	76.2	3.3	76.2	2.3	76.5	12.6	59 335	5 956	100 376	7 816	131 731	ANCHORAGE SMSA
1 017	85 696	112 465	76.2	3.3	76.2	2.3	76.5	12.6	59 335	5 956	100 376	7 816	131 731	GREATER ANCHORAGE BOROUGH
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
345	16 204	23 319	69.5	4.5	71.1	5.0	72.2	23.8	27 869	1 383	49 626	1 990	71 414	FAIRBANKS-NORTH STAR BOROUGH
														ARIZONA[4 5]
9 164	42 013	768 188	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	845 492	5 007	5 922	91 556	108 288	SMSA PORTION
6 545	28 924	569 400	5.1	0.6	5.7	0.3	5.5	32.4	630 143	3 933	6 242	77 439	122 891	PHOENIX SMSA
6 545	28 924	569 400	5.1	0.6	5.7	0.3	5.5	32.4	630 143	3 933	6 242	77 439	122 891	MARICOPA COUNTY
484	1 821	37 138	4.9	(X)	5.1	(X)	5.3	24.4	31 716	189	5 962	3 857	121 613	GLENDALE
924	4 458	68 453	6.5	(X)	5.7	(X)	5.5	23.4	52 862	383	7 240	5 878	111 198	MESA
2 233	9 221	174 762	5.3	(X)	6.1	(X)	5.4	30.3	274 185	2 180	7 950	41 312	150 673	PHOENIX
638	3 866	67 107	5.8	(X)	5.9	(X)	5.7	17.3	45 399	404	8 907	7 021	154 646	SCOTTSDALE
341	1 542	25 723	6.0	(X)	6.2	(X)	5.7	20.3	27 397	332	12 133	5 548	202 498	TEMPE
2 619	13 089	198 788	6.6	0.9	7.4	0.8	6.2	44.5	215 349	1 074	4 988	16 312	75 745	TUCSON SMSA
2 619	13 089	198 788	6.6	0.9	7.4	0.8	6.2	44.5	215 349	1 074	4 988	16 312	75 745	PIMA COUNTY
756	3 757	52 533	7.2	(X)	7.2	(X)	6.2	35.5	102 134	722	7 066	10 086	98 751	TUCSON
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
892	1 518	26 218	5.8	0.5	6.9	0.6	6.2	53.6	96 314	115	1 193	1 985	20 611	COCHISE COUNTY
336	518	11 734	4.4	0.6	4.8	0.5	4.3	49.8	59 667	98	1 643	2 224	37 279	NAVAJO COUNTY
405	833	14 478	5.7	1.8	6.4	1.0	5.6	61.2	86 733	128	1 470	2 219	25 585	PINAL COUNTY
558	1 618	22 305	7.2	2.0	7.5	1.2	6.6	49.7	51 125	166	3 249	2 291	44 821	YUMA COUNTY
														ARKANSAS
4 963	15 520	178 777	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	464 820	1 441	3 099	16 595	35 701	SMSA PORTION
2 039	2 500	49 490	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	120 395	254	2 113	5 037	41 835	FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE SMSA
1 479	1 636	31 794	5.1	1.0	4.8	0.6	4.7	52.7	72 243	127	1 752	2 460	34 049	BENTON COUNTY
560	864	17 696	4.9	1.1	5.3	1.4	4.6	55.9	48 152	128	2 655	2 618	54 359	WASHINGTON COUNTY
672	1 655	23 617	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	65 841	188	2 859	2 686	40 792	FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*
182	236	5 161	4.6	1.8	6.6	2.2	6.0	72.9	20 158	31	1 541	679	33 693	CRAWFORD COUNTY
490	1 419	18 456	7.7	1.8	9.8	2.0	7.9	65.9	45 683	157	3 440	2 047	44 799	SEBASTIAN COUNTY
350	1 142	14 254	8.0	(X)	11.5	(X)	10.1	58.6	31 009	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT SMITH
1 836	10 626	93 900	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	168 157	766	4 554	6 767	40 240	LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK SMSA
1 666	10 100	86 924	11.6	1.6	12.3	1.8	10.6	49.7	130 001	709	5 454	6 102	46 938	PULASKI COUNTY
812	5 945	48 072	12.4	(X)	13.1	(X)	11.3	47.7	59 889	406	6 786	3 285	54 858	LITTLE ROCK
196	1 006	10 344	9.7	(X)	9.3	(X)	8.2	30.5	19 196	118	6 133	1 212	63 115	NORTH LITTLE ROCK
170	526	6 976	7.5	0.8	10.6	7.5	7.5	80.0	38 156	57	1 486	753	19 731	SALINE COUNTY
220	416	6 802	6.1	5.5	19.5	9.7	12.0	(3)	57 253	103	1 793	1 679	29 331	PINE BLUFF SMSA
220	416	6 802	6.1	5.5	19.5	9.7	12.0	(3)	57 253	103	1 793	1 679	29 331	JEFFERSON COUNTY
70	120	724	16.6	(X)	20.2	(X)	14.8	98.1	30 936	72	2 334	436	14 095	PINE BLUFF

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
ALABAMA														
SMSA PORTION	4 962	17 941	247 142	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	588 587	2 101 345	3 570	28 947	49 180
ANNISTON SMSA	308	653	10 462	6.2	1.8	10.3	3.5	6.1	93.8	31 552	81 076	2 570	1 299	41 164
CALHOUN COUNTY	308	653	10 462	6.2	1.8	10.3	3.5	6.1	93.8	31 552	81 076	2 570	1 299	41 164
BIRMINGHAM SMSA:														
JEFFERSON COUNTY	1 854	6 995	114 594	6.1	0.4	8.0	1.1	6.2	48.3	176 580	728 403	4 125	11 930	67 562
BIRMINGHAM	774	2 048	30 967	6.6	(X)	10.0	(X)	6.2	80.3	71 630	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FLORENCE SMSA:														
LAUDERDALE COUNTY	120	327	4 563	7.2	1.8	9.0	2.6	7.1	54.9	16 640	77 099	4 634	1 075	64 608
GADSDEN SMSA	130	304	4 118	7.4	3.5	11.3	3.4	11.8	44.5	27 472	70 409	2 563	953	34 675
ETOWAH COUNTY	130	304	4 118	7.4	3.5	11.3	3.4	11.8	44.5	27 472	70 409	2 563	953	34 675
HUNTSVILLE SMSA	758	2 769	35 749	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	66 912	247 639	3 701	3 197	47 781
LIMESTONE COUNTY	60	132	1 750	7.5	2.0	8.4	2.2	7.9	42.2	8 176	26 719	3 268	354	43 317
MADISON COUNTY	602	2 465	30 213	8.2	0.7	9.2	1.4	7.6	35.6	39 584	162 424	4 103	1 990	50 285
HUNTSVILLE	532	2 259	27 179	8.3	(X)	9.5	(X)	7.6	37.4	31 232	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MARSHALL COUNTY	96	172	3 786	4.5	1.0	5.4	1.6	5.6	51.7	19 152	58 421	3 050	1 288	67 255
MOBILE SMSA	406	1 997	24 911	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	104 561	367 218	3 512	4 581	43 809
BALDWIN COUNTY	210	1 445	16 027	9.0	2.2	7.9	1.4	7.6	25.8	23 441	98 716	4 211	1 095	46 702
MOBILE COUNTY	196	552	8 884	6.2	1.3	7.1	1.7	5.8	43.5	81 120	269 019	3 316	4 335	53 441
MOBILE	140	419	7 503	5.6	(X)	5.9	(X)	5.2	33.9	45 248	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MONTGOMERY SMSA:														
ELMORE COUNTY	120	479	4 821	9.9	2.2	11.1	3.5	8.5	57.1	41 690	28 529	2 440	287	24 564
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	602	2 676	25 337	10.6	3.6	10.3	3.1	7.4	55.9	45 609	192 487	4 220	1 823	39 970
MONTGOMERY	560	2 554	23 405	10.9	(X)	10.5	(X)	7.4	58.9	38 750	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TUSCALOOSA SMSA	140	364	5 631	6.5	1.5	7.2	2.1	6.8	31.5	33 440	106 639	3 189	1 650	49 335
TUSCALOOSA COUNTY	140	364	5 631	6.5	1.5	7.2	2.1	6.8	31.5	33 440	106 639	3 189	1 650	49 335
TUSCALOOSA	84	240	3 623	6.6	(X)	6.5	(X)	6.2	12.8	15 488	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA*	78	176	1 781	9.9	4.3	12.0	4.6	7.5	92.6	11 270	15 748	1 397	159	14 137
RUSSELL COUNTY	78	176	1 781	9.9	4.3	12.0	4.6	7.5	92.6	11 270	15 748	1 397	159	14 137
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
DALLAS COUNTY	49	172	2 836	6.1	2.3	8.6	4.0	6.5	52.4	9 552	22 811	2 388	376	39 356
HOUSTON COUNTY	196	686	9 749	7.0	1.5	7.7	1.4	5.8	52.0	16 804	54 041	3 214	769	45 759
MORGAN COUNTY	238	726	10 056	7.2	1.5	9.8	5.3	6.4	82.2	23 632	63 010	2 666	872	36 902
TALLADEGA COUNTY	63	175	2 263	7.8	2.2	8.9	2.7	9.1	39.0	17 672	39 036	2 209	502	28 412
ALASKA														
SMSA PORTION	711	52 654	69 067	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	33 130	2 684 229	81 021	3 521	106 277
ANCHORAGE SMSA	711	52 654	69 067	76.2	2.2	76.2	2.4	77.1	10.8	33 130	2 684 229	81 021	3 521	106 276
GREATER ANCHORAGE BOROUGH	711	52 654	69 067	76.2	2.2	76.2	2.4	77.1	10.8	33 130	2 684 229	81 021	3 521	106 276
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
FAIRBANKS-NORTH STAR BOROUGH	200	11 927	16 220	73.5	3.5	73.6	5.1	73.4	17.0	13 713	726 289	52 964	988	72 025
ARIZONA[4 5]														
SMSA PORTION	6 915	29 634	516 004	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	537 424	2 601 210	4 840	45 294	84 279
PHOENIX SMSA	5 115	21 908	390 513	5.6	0.2	6.0	0.3	5.5	24.8	391 506	2 033 411	5 194	36 251	92 594
MARICOPA COUNTY	5 115	21 908	390 513	5.6	0.2	6.0	0.3	5.5	24.8	391 506	2 033 411	5 194	36 251	92 594
GLENDALE	418	1 677	32 742	5.1	(X)	5.5	(X)	5.5	18.6	22 848	116 369	5 093	2 274	99 513
MESA	825	3 094	56 287	5.5	(X)	5.7	(X)	5.6	18.6	37 200	208 185	5 596	3 788	101 829
PHOENIX	1 969	7 818	139 749	5.6	(X)	6.2	(X)	5.4	28.3	192 881	1 086 172	5 631	19 416	100 663
SCOTTSDALE	605	3 445	60 292	5.7	(X)	5.9	(X)	5.7	17.3	26 592	192 211	7 228	3 365	126 528
TEMPE	330	1 504	25 019	6.0	(X)	6.2	(X)	5.8	20.4	19 872	144 547	7 274	2 405	121 029
TUCSON SMSA	1 800	7 726	125 491	6.2	0.3	6.4	0.4	6.2	23.4	145 918	567 749	3 891	9 220	63 188
PIMA COUNTY	1 800	7 726	125 491	6.2	0.3	6.4	0.4	6.2	23.4	145 918	567 749	3 891	9 220	63 188
TUCSON	603	2 336	36 072	6.5	(X)	6.7	(X)	6.2	25.0	78 613	341 196	4 340	5 267	67 005
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
COCHISE COUNTY	368	1 125	18 492	6.1	0.5	6.2	0.5	6.3	27.9	20 358	60 682	2 981	997	48 982
NAVAJO COUNTY	108	358	7 163	5.0	0.7	5.1	0.8	4.4	44.0	14 715	46 771	3 178	938	63 731
PINAL COUNTY	95	298	4 209	7.1	0.4	8.1	1.9	7.0	25.3	21 276	52 162	2 452	738	34 674
YUMA COUNTY	324	1 049	12 952	8.1	1.2	8.1	1.4	7.1	39.7	21 184	63 807	3 012	788	37 214
ARKANSAS														
SMSA PORTION	2 325	10 365	101 803	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	231 850	888 246	3 831	8 724	37 629
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE SMSA	652	1 608	22 984	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 160	154 977	3 357	2 215	47 989
BENTON COUNTY	442	1 173	16 338	7.2	0.8	6.9	0.9	7.1	25.0	20 784	75 950	3 654	1 058	50 894
WASHINGTON COUNTY	210	435	6 646	6.6	1.5	7.5	3.1	5.4	54.0	25 376	78 977	3 112	1 204	47 459
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*	271	880	9 615	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	37 616	106 458	2 830	1 163	30 922
CRAWFORD COUNTY	91	149	1 900	7.9	2.1	9.5	3.5	7.2	64.4	8 576	17 395	2 028	222	25 835
SEBASTIAN COUNTY	180	731	7 715	9.5	1.5	9.4	1.4	9.5	26.4	29 040	89 044	3 066	940	32 360
FORT SMITH	160	693	7 270	9.5	(X)	9.6	(X)	9.6	27.3	23 840	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK SMSA	1 220	7 455	64 590	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	100 952	492 195	4 876	4 264	42 242
PULASKI COUNTY	1 120	7 011	59 116	11.9	1.0	10.9	0.9	10.7	33.2	89 560	456 435	5 096	3 849	42 974
LITTLE ROCK	574	3 747	30 882	12.1	(X)	11.2	(X)	11.0	33.8	45 120	235 971	5 230	1 944	43 094
NORTH LITTLE ROCK	168	960	8 829	10.9	(X)	9.6	(X)	8.2	26.7	17 160	87 804	5 117	808	47 084
SALINE COUNTY	100	444	5 474	8.1	0.8	8.3	0.9	8.2	13.9	11 392	35 727	3 136	441	38 682
PINE BLUFF SMSA	70	169	851	19.8	6.2	28.5	12.1	30.6	41.4	23 362	64 104	2 744	323	13 834
JEFFERSON COUNTY	70	169	851	19.8	6.2	28.5	12.1	30.6	41.4	23 362	64 104	2 744	323	13 834
PINE BLUFF	50	119	690	17.2	(X)	27.2	(X)	18.0	82.0	17 778	45 568	2 563	264	14 864

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots								All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														ALABAMA
1 181	2 651	19 689	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	129 406	170 490	1 317	1 266	9 785	SMSA PORTION
70	281	1 336	21.0	4.1	27.3	15.1	22.5	48.1	8 640	3 698	428	18	2 035	ANNISTON SMSA
70	281	1 336	21.0	4.1	27.3	15.1	22.5	48.1	8 640	3 698	428	18	2 035	CALHOUN COUNTY
														BIRMINGHAM SMSA:
252	284	3 144	9.0	3.7	14.3	3.5	13.3	42.1	17 514	32 810	1 873	364	20 766	JEFFERSON COUNTY
144	152	1 052	14.4	(X)	15.9	(X)	15.4	32.4	4 933	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BIRMINGHAM
														FLORENCE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAUDERDALE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GADSDEN SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ETOWAH COUNTY
132	222	1 048	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	9 902	13 181	1 331	62	6 284	HUNTSVILLE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LIMESTONE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MADISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTSVILLE
78	72	535	13.4	9.4	18.8	10.5	9.1	(3)	6 972	3 813	547	28	4 067	MARSHALL COUNTY
231	846	6 777	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	32 613	61 993	1 901	497	15 227	MOBILE SMSA
147	761	5 923	12.9	5.6	14.5	12.1	10.2	89.9	9 701	25 419	2 620	198	20 389	BALDWIN COUNTY
84	85	854	9.9	6.0	7.6	4.2	7.1	62.0	22 912	35 905	1 567	361	15 768	MOBILE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MOBILE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTGOMERY SMSA:
84	319	2 311	13.8	3.9	16.1	5.3	15.8	31.3	1 077	8 953	8 313	65	60 248	ELMORE COUNTY
84	319	2 311	13.8	(X)	16.1	(X)	15.8	31.3	900	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
														MONTGOMERY
126	489	3 671	13.3	2.6	13.1	5.4	10.7	48.4	23 040	26 093	1 133	196	8 493	TUSCALOOSA SMSA
126	489	3 671	13.3	2.6	13.1	5.4	10.7	48.4	23 040	26 093	1 133	196	8 493	TUSCALOOSA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TUSCALOOSA
30	15	85	17.3	8.9	16.1	7.6	10.0	62.9	2 758	1 414	513	8	2 969	COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA*
30	15	85	17.3	8.9	16.1	7.6	10.0	62.9	2 758	1 414	513	8	2 969	RUSSELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
49	58	411	14.0	2.3	15.3	3.4	14.0	27.0	2 054	5 425	2 641	39	18 815	DALLAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HOUSTON COUNTY
42	22	336	6.6	2.2	6.9	2.4	7.1	41.9	10 048	4 657	463	70	6 996	MORGAN COUNTY
														TALLADEGA COUNTY
														ALASKA
81	1 552	2 444	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	14 010	448 752	32 031	707	50 440	SMSA PORTION
81	1 552	2 444	63.5	6.7	65.5	5.6	66.7	10.7	14 010	448 752	32 031	707	50 432	ANCHORAGE SMSA
81	1 552	2 444	63.5	6.7	65.5	5.6	66.7	10.7	14 010	448 752	32 031	707	50 432	GREATER ANCHORAGE BOROUGH
90	1 322	1 970	67.1	14.9	72.3	12.6	77.9	32.2	12 033	151 015	12 550	225	18 700	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
														FAIRBANKS-NORTH STAR BOROUGH
														ARIZONA[4 5]
1 223	2 571	64 717	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	229 123	563 012	2 457	14 172	61 854	SMSA PORTION
737	990	44 879	2.2	0.9	3.9	1.1	2.5	(3)	181 311	462 047	2 548	20 946	115 523	PHOENIX SMSA
737	990	44 879	2.2	0.9	3.9	1.1	2.5	(3)	181 311	462 047	2 548	20 946	115 523	MARICOPA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GLENDALE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MESA
55	36	1 269	2.9	(X)	2.6	(X)	1.9	53.6	51 250	189 005	3 688	6 599	128 770	PHOENIX
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCOTTSDALE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TEMPE
486	1 581	19 838	8.0	3.2	9.8	3.9	7.2	85.1	47 812	101 065	2 114	1 268	26 530	TUCSON SMSA
486	1 581	19 838	8.0	3.2	9.8	3.9	7.2	85.1	47 812	101 065	2 114	1 268	26 530	PIMA COUNTY
72	470	3 696	12.7	(X)	9.2	(X)	6.3	83.7	8 544	18 435	2 158	145	16 965	TUCSON
392	133	2 283	5.8	1.8	7.8	1.1	5.9	84.2	69 265	14 904	215	256	3 702	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
144	77	1 924	4.0	0.8	4.8	0.8	4.2	53.1	42 435	16 341	385	409	9 638	COCHISE COUNTY
200	133	2 869	4.6	1.3	5.2	1.1	3.9	70.1	59 874	25 989	434	561	9 373	NAVAJO COUNTY
135	66	1 329	4.9	0.8	4.6	0.9	4.8	32.5	23 200	13 475	581	275	11 842	PINAL COUNTY
														YUMA COUNTY
														ARKANSAS
1 508	1 359	18 682	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	129 553	51 296	396	705	5 443	SMSA PORTION
905	275	10 033	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 208	13 699	296	500	10 816	FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE SMSA
765	227	8 846	2.6	0.6	3.5	0.9	2.7	75.0	38 176	10 306	270	402	10 523	BENTON COUNTY
140	48	1 187	4.0	1.0	4.3	1.3	3.7	44.3	8 032	3 545	441	88	10 969	WASHINGTON COUNTY
178	203	3 224	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	9 952	4 963	499	79	7 920	FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CRAWFORD COUNTY
150	196	3 044	6.4	3.4	12.7	5.3	5.5	(3)	7 456	4 593	616	72	9 602	SEBASTIAN COUNTY
120	184	2 709	6.8	(X)	14.9	(X)	17.3	58.2	4 000	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT SMITH
262	772	4 230	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	37 793	24 908	659	136	3 611	LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK SMSA
252	770	4 160	18.5	6.6	20.2	9.7	13.0	87.1	22 241	20 963	943	113	5 095	PULASKI COUNTY
84	431	2 273	19.0	(X)	29.6	(X)	16.8	93.6	9 521	13 954	1 466	74	7 731	LITTLE ROCK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTH LITTLE ROCK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SALINE COUNTY
100	60	505	11.8	3.8	20.1	18.2	12.0	(3)	23 632	3 803	161	32	1 367	PINE BLUFF SMSA
100	60	505	11.8	3.8	20.1	18.2	12.0	(3)	23 632	3 803	161	32	1 367	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PINE BLUFF

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
ARKANSAS--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA[6]	49 097	26 333	49 057	100.0	44.2	42.8	33.6	2.1	20.1	-
CRITTENDEN COUNTY	49 097	26 333	49 057	100.0	44.2	42.8	33.6	2.1	20.1	-
TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA[6]	51 718	26 841	80 529	100.0	68.3	63.9	18.1	3.7	9.8	-
LITTLE RIVER COUNTY	13 952	11 622	36 711	100.0	61.8	60.8	23.6	6.2	8.3	-
MILLER COUNTY	37 766	15 219	43 818	100.0	75.2	67.1	12.3	1.0	11.5	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY	63 218	29 704	74 516	100.0	59.2	58.5	20.7	0.3	19.8	-
GARLAND COUNTY	69 916	60 872	266 106	100.0	69.0	67.6	3.7	9.0	18.2	(Z)
MISSISSIPPI COUNTY	59 517	25 420	160 309	100.0	40.9	40.0	45.8	1.2	12.1	-
CALIFORNIA[7]										
SMSA PORTION	21 862 666	7 186 940	540 319 518	100.0	67.6	55.9	3.0	5.2	23.3	0.9
ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN GROVE SMSA	1 931 570	567 810	55 765 535	100.0	68.5	58.6	0.8	6.4	24.0	0.3
ORANGE COUNTY	1 931 570	567 810	55 765 535	100.0	68.5	58.6	0.8	6.4	24.0	0.3
ANAHEIM	221 847	58 075	5 600 969	100.0	59.1	46.6	0.2	4.4	36.0	0.3
BUENA PARK	64 165	16 912	1 457 582	100.0	59.0	49.4	-	2.6	38.4	-
COSTA MESA	82 291	21 054	2 365 844	100.0	60.5	46.6	0.4	2.3	36.4	0.5
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	55 080	14 605	1 258 702	100.0	75.7	73.8	0.1	3.2	20.9	0.1
FULLERTON	102 034	28 661	2 625 678	100.0	67.1	55.7	0.5	3.3	28.8	0.3
GARDEN GROVE	123 351	31 489	2 235 838	100.0	72.8	61.5	0.2	3.1	23.8	(Z)
HUNTINGTON BEACH	170 505	51 102	4 676 844	100.0	77.7	64.8	-	6.5	14.8	0.9
NEWPORT BEACH	63 475	23 817	4 156 810	100.0	71.2	56.5	0.1	3.9	24.7	0.1
ORANGE	91 788	26 278	2 433 077	100.0	63.1	53.2	0.4	4.9	31.5	0.2
SANTA ANA	203 713	50 652	4 158 376	100.0	60.5	50.3	(Z)	2.1	36.7	0.6
WESTMINSTER	71 133	17 615	1 313 612	100.0	78.7	67.6	-	1.8	19.5	-
BAKERSFIELD SMSA	403 089	286 991	19 877 947	100.0	20.3	18.2	9.1	2.7	67.1	0.8
KERN COUNTY	403 089	286 991	19 877 947	100.0	20.3	18.2	9.1	2.7	67.1	0.8
BAKERSFIELD	105 611	44 754	2 332 534	100.0	67.7	60.1	3.1	1.0	28.0	0.2
FRESNO SMSA	515 013	187 876	12 423 811	100.0	49.3	42.7	28.1	4.4	16.4	1.8
FRESNO COUNTY	515 013	187 876	12 423 811	100.0	49.3	42.7	28.1	4.4	16.4	1.8
FRESNO	218 202	74 510	4 444 937	100.0	65.5	54.5	1.9	4.9	27.6	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	7 477 657	1 983 495	167 624 879	100.0	71.5	55.7	0.4	2.8	25.2	0.1
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	7 477 657	1 983 495	167 624 879	100.0	71.5	55.7	0.4	2.8	25.2	0.1
ALHAMBRA	64 615	16 643	1 156 851	100.0	74.6	44.8	-	1.4	24.0	-
BELLFLOWER	53 441	11 866	740 557	100.0	84.7	53.8	-	1.7	13.6	-
BURBANK	84 625	26 043	2 308 700	100.0	67.2	51.7	-	1.3	31.1	0.5
CARSON	81 221	20 411	2 553 560	100.0	32.3	28.9	-	4.1	63.3	0.3
CERRITOS	52 756	13 988	1 458 980	100.0	70.7	70.4	0.5	1.1	27.7	-
COMPTON	81 286	18 735	779 527	100.0	53.4	39.9	-	6.3	40.3	-
DOWNEY	82 602	22 722	1 605 321	100.0	79.1	64.8	-	0.5	20.4	-
EL MONTE	79 494	18 597	986 799	100.0	58.3	40.5	-	0.6	41.1	-
GLENDALE	139 060	36 202	3 361 864	100.0	76.8	57.0	-	1.4	21.7	-
HAWTHORNE	56 447	10 666	1 194 095	100.0	56.5	36.5	-	0.2	43.3	-
INGLEWOOD	94 245	17 724	1 343 021	100.0	70.5	38.8	-	0.5	28.9	-
LAKEWOOD	74 654	22 905	1 360 500	100.0	84.4	79.3	-	0.3	15.4	-
LONG BEACH	361 334	91 233	8 638 901	100.0	81.1	53.0	-	1.4	17.5	-
LOS ANGELES	2 966 763	690 879	65 584 771	100.0	71.9	53.6	0.1	2.0	26.1	(Z)
MONTEREY PARK	54 338	14 372	1 113 844	100.0	77.7	64.7	-	3.0	19.3	-
NORWALK	85 232	21 127	1 029 205	100.0	82.7	75.8	-	0.9	16.4	-
PASADENA	119 374	32 705	2 760 198	100.0	71.7	52.1	-	1.9	25.5	0.9
PICO RIVERA	53 459	14 131	730 599	100.0	64.8	55.4	-	3.3	31.9	-
POMONA	92 742	25 432	1 388 990	100.0	68.7	55.2	-	4.3	26.9	-
REDONDO BEACH	57 102	16 974	1 799 735	100.0	85.7	58.8	-	0.9	13.5	-
SANTA MONICA	88 314	16 985	3 239 312	100.0	71.1	41.3	-	2.5	26.4	-
SOUTH GATE	66 784	14 841	960 437	100.0	60.7	41.1	-	0.7	38.6	-
TORRANCE	131 497	32 657	4 181 027	100.0	70.7	57.9	-	3.2	26.0	-
WEST COVINA	80 094	23 454	1 655 166	100.0	84.5	74.8	-	2.4	13.0	-
WHITTIER	68 872	20 905	1 448 973	100.0	79.4	68.9	-	1.5	19.1	-
MODESTO SMSA	265 902	93 441	6 026 246	100.0	53.5	46.5	13.9	6.4	26.2	-
STANISLAUS COUNTY	265 902	93 441	6 026 246	100.0	53.5	46.5	13.9	6.4	26.2	-
MODESTO	106 105	39 145	2 330 271	100.0	68.7	58.5	-	6.6	24.7	-
OXNARD-SIMI VALLEY-VENTURA SMSA	529 899	175 702	14 365 503	100.0	62.3	57.6	5.4	11.4	20.8	(Z)
VENTURA COUNTY[8]	529 899	175 702	14 365 503	100.0	62.3	57.6	5.4	11.4	20.8	(Z)
OXNARD	108 195	27 117	1 926 353	100.0	65.0	55.4	2.2	5.8	27.0	-
VENTURA	74 474	23 638	1 865 574	100.0	70.6	60.1	0.2	9.4	19.8	-
SIMI VALLEY	77 500	24 449	1 715 353	100.0	82.1	79.9	0.7	7.9	9.2	-
THOUSAND OAKS	77 797	28 314	2 914 510	100.0	73.7	71.2	-	12.3	13.8	0.2
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO-ONTARIO SMSA	1 557 080	946 556	36 275 625	100.0	65.0	59.8	2.8	13.5	18.4	0.1
RIVERSIDE COUNTY[9]	663 923	397 876	17 893 814	100.0	61.5	57.5	4.4	15.2	18.6	0.2
RIVERSIDE	170 876	54 675	3 225 495	100.0	71.7	64.7	1.1	4.8	22.5	-
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	893 157	548 678	18 381 811	100.0	68.6	62.1	1.1	12.0	18.1	0.1
ONTARIO	88 820	28 061	1 696 691	100.0	71.7	62.5	0.5	7.2	20.6	-
SAN BERNARDINO	118 057	41 509	1 844 826	100.0	66.1	52.1	0.5	9.3	23.6	0.4
SACRAMENTO SMSA	1 014 002	382 431	22 331 158	100.0	72.2	61.2	3.8	3.7	19.0	1.2
PLACER COUNTY	117 247	71 773	3 870 726	100.0	77.8	72.5	3.1	2.8	13.3	2.9
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	783 381	274 157	15 770 344	100.0	73.1	61.0	1.9	4.4	19.6	1.0
SACRAMENTO	275 741	104 672	5 352 209	100.0	67.8	55.0	0.5	4.6	27.1	(Z)
YOLO COUNTY	113 374	36 501	2 690 088	100.0	58.5	46.3	16.7	1.4	23.1	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														ARKANSAS--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
119	231	3 611	6.4	1.2	5.9	1.6	5.5	47.7	26 333	49	1 863	765	29 065	MEMPHIS,TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA[6]
119	231	3 611	6.4	1.2	5.9	1.6	5.5	47.7	26 333	49	1 863	765	29 065	CRITTENDEN COUNTY
77	92	1 357	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 841	81	3 000	1 188	44 253	TEXARKANA,TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA[7]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
56	78	937	8.3	5.1	17.0	16.7	6.4	(3)	15 219	44	2 879	525	34 516	MILLER COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
190	810	8 386	9.7	3.5	9.4	2.7	7.8	57.4	29 704	75	2 509	772	25 975	CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARLAND COUNTY
180	1 084	5 747	18.2	4.1	24.4	9.5	18.0	65.1	25 420	160	6 306	883	34 732	MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
														CALIFORNIA[7]
87 195	6 306 237	11 213 647	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	7 186 940	540 320	75 181	960 787	133 685	SMSA PORTION
8 880	740 881	1 306 489	56.7	4.6	57.5	3.2	60.8	34.7	567 810	55 766	98 212	98 338	173 189	ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN GROVE SMSA
8 880	740 881	1 306 489	56.7	4.6	57.5	3.2	60.8	34.7	567 810	55 766	98 212	98 338	173 189	ORANGE COUNTY
720	52 295	86 448	60.5	(X)	62.5	(X)	66.1	35.2	54 075	5 601	103 578	9 259	171 218	ANAHEIM
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUENA PARK
520	51 754	84 576	61.2	(X)	58.2	(X)	62.2	36.9	21 054	2 366	112 370	3 866	183 632	COSTA MESA
320	18 340	41 700	44.0	(X)	43.3	(X)	38.3	33.3	14 605	1 259	86 183	2 862	195 962	FOUNTAIN VALLEY
520	42 631	66 802	63.8	(X)	66.1	(X)	70.6	31.2	28 661	2 626	91 612	4 114	143 553	FULLERTON
320	17 932	31 236	57.4	(X)	60.1	(X)	58.9	34.0	31 489	2 236	71 004	3 895	123 684	GARDEN GROVE
760	84 781	132 318	64.1	(X)	58.0	(X)	51.4	47.4	51 102	4 677	91 520	7 299	142 837	HUNTINGTON BEACH
280	52 053	122 780	42.4	(X)	48.5	(X)	50.3	42.5	23 817	4 157	174 531	9 805	411 675	NEWPORT BEACH
360	26 980	43 620	61.9	(X)	61.1	(X)	60.6	33.5	26 278	2 433	92 590	3 934	149 690	ORANGE
1 040	59 151	105 568	56.0	(X)	55.6	(X)	59.7	35.4	50 652	4 158	82 097	7 422	146 521	SANTA ANA
240	15 599	28 524	54.7	(X)	54.0	(X)	53.2	27.0	17 615	1 314	74 573	2 402	136 369	WESTMINSTER
2 920	103 238	170 821	60.4	19.4	53.7	7.2	49.8	50.5	286 991	19 878	69 263	32 891	114 605	BAKERSFIELD SMSA
2 920	103 238	170 821	60.4	19.4	53.7	7.2	49.8	50.5	286 991	19 878	69 263	32 891	114 605	KERN COUNTY
880	31 266	44 826	69.7	(X)	63.7	(X)	70.2	36.1	44 754	2 333	52 119	3 344	74 723	BAKERSFIELD
1 564	139 001	210 389	66.1	10.7	64.0	8.0	62.4	37.1	187 876	12 424	66 128	18 805	100 090	FRESNO SMSA
1 564	139 001	210 389	66.1	10.7	64.0	8.0	62.4	37.1	187 876	12 424	66 128	18 805	100 090	FRESNO COUNTY
714	44 116	69 626	63.4	(X)	67.3	(X)	58.7	32.3	74 510	4 445	59 656	7 015	94 155	FRESNO
15 760	1 292 307	2 341 773	55.2	3.3	57.2	2.7	55.6	42.0	1 983 495	167 625	84 510	303 751	153 139	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA
15 760	1 292 307	2 341 773	55.2	3.3	57.2	2.7	55.6	42.0	1 983 495	167 625	84 510	303 751	153 139	LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALHAMBRA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BELLFLOWER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BURBANK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CARSON
200	18 865	28 784	65.5	(X)	69.0	(X)	63.9	34.6	13 988	1 459	104 302	2 226	159 146	CERRITOS
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COMPTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DOWNEY
200	17 223	20 320	84.8	(X)	81.7	(X)	80.7	17.8	14 597	987	67 603	1 164	79 763	EL MONTE
400	55 614	114 040	48.8	(X)	55.0	(X)	41.1	64.0	36 202	3 362	92 864	6 894	190 423	GLENDALE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAWTHORNE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INGLEWOOD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAKEWOOD
1 280	89 744	164 306	54.6	(X)	58.0	(X)	56.8	37.1	91 233	8 639	94 691	15 816	173 362	LONG BEACH
4 520	416 273	732 199	56.9	(X)	58.0	(X)	56.6	39.4	690 879	65 585	94 929	115 359	166 975	LOS ANGELES
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTEREY PARK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORWALK
320	22 154	57 590	38.5	(X)	49.0	(X)	38.9	57.4	32 705	2 760	84 397	7 175	219 390	PASADENA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PICO RIVERA
320	13 236	23 764	55.7	(X)	56.8	(X)	56.3	45.5	25 432	1 389	54 616	2 494	98 056	POMONA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	REDONDO BEACH
240	37 941	58 440	64.9	(X)	67.5	(X)	75.6	39.9	16 985	3 239	190 716	4 990	293 764	SANTA MONICA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOUTH GATE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TORRANCE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST COVINA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WHITTIER
1 240	71 461	123 564	57.8	18.2	64.8	11.6	59.8	41.5	93 441	6 026	64 493	10 420	111 514	MODESTO SMSA
1 240	71 461	123 564	57.8	18.2	64.8	11.6	59.8	41.5	93 441	6 026	64 493	10 420	111 514	STANISLAUS COUNTY
480	23 642	54 486	43.4	(X)	60.4	(X)	67.3	33.8	39 145	2 330	59 529	5 369	137 144	MODESTO
3 560	309 036	557 720	55.4	7.2	60.5	5.4	66.7	32.6	175 702	14 366	81 761	25 926	147 554	OXNARD-SIMI VALLEY-VENTURA SMSA
3 560	309 036	557 720	55.4	7.2	60.5	5.4	66.7	32.6	175 702	14 366	81 761	25 926	147 554	VENTURA COUNTY[8]
560	37 865	69 305	54.6	(X)	61.6	(X)	63.9	28.5	27 117	1 926	71 039	3 526	130 020	OXNARD
520	34 799	58 320	59.7	(X)	63.5	(X)	70.3	27.7	23 638	1 866	78 923	3 127	132 268	VENTURA
440	35 010	58 329	60.0	(X)	64.8	(X)	70.5	29.1	24 449	1 715	70 160	2 858	116 895	SIMI VALLEY
760	85 768	135 656	63.2	(X)	66.1	(X)	70.5	33.1	28 314	2 915	102 935	4 610	162 810	THOUSAND OAKS
15 568	642 614	1 098 611	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	946 554	36 276	38 324	62 017	65 518	RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO-ONTARIO SMSA
10 200	466 129	771 204	60.4	4.6	64.4	5.2	64.3	42.5	397 876	17 894	44 973	29 605	74 408	RIVERSIDE COUNTY[9]
1 240	64 557	118 523	54.5	(X)	61.8	(X)	63.7	32.7	54 675	3 225	58 994	5 922	108 309	RIVERSIDE
5 368	176 485	327 407	53.9	15.1	67.9	10.0	62.5	48.8	548 678	18 382	33 502	34 101	62 152	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
264	14 857	21 707	68.4	(X)	66.5	(X)	67.8	24.1	28 061	1 697	60 464	2 479	88 348	ONTARIO
308	14 330	22 003	65.1	(X)	65.3	(X)	68.5	32.7	41 509	1 845	44 444	2 833	68 240	SAN BERNARDINO
5 990	437 610	862 756	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	382 431	22 331	58 393	44 026	115 122	SACRAMENTO SMSA
1 230	84 601	154 599	54.7	12.3	62.4	10.3	57.2	47.0	71 773	3 871	53 930	7 073	98 552	PLACER COUNTY
4 280	324 391	659 589	49.2	19.7	69.7	5.0	71.1	30.1	274 157	15 770	57 523	32 066	116 963	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
1 160	104 984	149 780	70.1	(X)	75.0	(X)	72.5	32.4	104 672	5 352	51 133	7 636	72 952	SACRAMENTO
480	28 618	48 568	58.9	9.5	64.4	8.8	60.5	30.3	36 501	2 690	73 699	4 565	125 075	YOLO COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ, of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
ARKANSAS--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*	77	217	3 075	7.1	1.0	7.6	1.8	7.7	29.1	11 024	20 983	1 903	297	26 913
CRITTENDEN COUNTY	77	217	3 075	7.1	1.0	7.6	1.8	7.7	29.1	11 024	20 983	1 903	297	26 913
TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA*	35	36	688	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	12 736	51 418	4 037	983	77 156
LITTLE RIVER COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MILLER COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY	120	338	4 714	7.2	1.3	7.0	1.3	7.1	27.1	16 656	43 582	2 617	608	36 488
GARLAND COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MISSISSIPPI COUNTY	120	654	3 117	21.0	4.3	27.5	13.1	20.0	62.7	14 513	64 103	4 417	306	21 054
CALIFORNIA[7]														
SMSA PORTION	61 992	4 365 079	7 314 853	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	4 943 698	301 958 800	61 080	506 012	102 355
ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN GROVE SMSA	7 960	628 650	1 118 287	56.2	4.7	57.5	3.3	61.1	33.7	469 185	32 656 246	69 602	58 091	123 813
ORANGE COUNTY	7 960	628 650	1 118 287	56.2	4.7	57.5	3.3	61.1	33.7	469 185	32 656 246	69 602	58 091	123 813
ANAHEIM	720	52 295	86 448	60.5	(X)	62.5	(X)	66.1	35.2	43 252	2 612 423	60 400	4 318	99 844
BUENA PARK	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
COSTA MESA	400	26 560	48 496	54.8	(X)	54.9	(X)	50.6	47.4	17 056	1 102 463	64 638	2 013	118 022
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	320	18 340	41 700	44.0	(X)	43.3	(X)	38.3	33.3	13 922	928 850	66 718	2 112	151 703
FULLERTON	520	42 631	66 802	63.8	(X)	66.1	(X)	70.6	31.2	23 857	1 463 146	61 330	2 293	96 102
GARDEN GROVE	280	15 362	27 316	56.2	(X)	59.3	(X)	52.2	40.2	28 034	1 375 832	49 077	2 446	87 268
HUNTINGTON BEACH	560	49 135	90 880	54.1	(X)	53.6	(X)	48.4	37.8	42 237	3 030 804	71 757	5 606	132 723
NEWPORT BEACH	280	52 053	122 780	42.4	(X)	48.5	(X)	50.3	42.5	17 260	2 348 630	136 074	5 540	320 963
ORANGE	320	20 772	37 120	56.0	(X)	56.7	(X)	57.4	32.2	20 624	1 294 092	62 747	2 312	112 125
SANTA ANA	880	48 818	84 260	57.9	(X)	57.1	(X)	68.7	31.1	41 043	2 093 521	51 008	3 613	88 041
WESTMINSTER	240	15 599	28 524	54.7	(X)	54.0	(X)	53.2	27.0	16 001	888 027	55 498	1 624	101 487
BAKERSFIELD SMSA	1 040	42 793	65 421	65.4	9.3	61.6	10.1	63.8	34.5	98 564	3 612 715	36 655	5 523	56 034
KERN COUNTY	1 040	42 793	65 421	65.4	9.3	61.6	10.1	63.8	34.5	98 564	3 612 715	36 655	5 523	56 034
BAKERSFIELD	440	21 702	30 695	70.7	(X)	70.7	(X)	82.1	25.2	34 123	1 401 371	41 068	1 982	58 086
FRESNO SMSA	782	40 269	58 393	69.0	9.6	69.2	9.2	76.2	24.7	123 242	5 299 049	42 997	7 684	62 348
FRESNO COUNTY	782	40 269	58 393	69.0	9.6	69.2	9.2	76.2	24.7	123 242	5 299 049	42 997	7 684	62 348
FRESNO	442	21 094	30 578	69.0	(X)	72.2	(X)	76.2	23.4	60 739	2 422 394	39 885	3 512	57 814
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	11 480	864 993	1 473 130	58.7	4.1	60.3	3.1	61.2	37.3	1 403 432	93 319 142	66 494	158 928	113 242
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	11 480	864 993	1 473 130	58.7	4.1	60.3	3.1	61.2	37.3	1 403 432	93 319 142	66 494	158 928	113 242
ALHAMBRA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BELLFLOWER	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BURBANK	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CARSON	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CERRITOS	200	18 865	28 784	65.5	(X)	69.0	(X)	63.9	34.6	13 312	1 027 069	77 154	1 567	117 722
COMPTON	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
DOWNEY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
EL MONTE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
GLENDALE	280	24 728	43 280	57.1	(X)	64.4	(X)	82.6	32.2	25 252	1 916 385	75 890	3 354	132 827
HAWTHORNE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
INGLEWOOD	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LAKEWOOD	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LONG BEACH	1 040	63 825	111 006	57.5	(X)	59.2	(X)	55.7	38.6	65 178	4 581 864	70 294	7 969	122 265
LOS ANGELES	3 440	276 076	476 797	57.9	(X)	60.0	(X)	61.2	36.3	484 629	35 172 394	72 576	60 745	125 342
MONTEREY PARK	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NORWALK	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PASADENA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PICO RIVERA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
POMONA	240	9 090	16 164	56.2	(X)	54.9	(X)	56.3	38.5	19 616	766 677	39 084	1 363	69 499
REDONDO BEACH	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SANTA MONICA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SOUTH GATE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TORRANCE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WEST COVINA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WHITTIER	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MODESTO SMSA	1 040	59 220	103 166	57.4	20.9	63.1	9.5	62.0	34.2	63 921	2 801 054	43 821	4 880	76 338
STANISLAUS COUNTY	1 040	59 220	103 166	57.4	20.9	63.1	9.5	62.0	34.2	63 921	2 801 054	43 821	4 880	76 338
MODESTO	440	22 651	49 866	45.4	(X)	64.0	(X)	74.9	26.7	29 824	1 362 622	45 689	3 000	100 584
OXNARD-SIMI VALLEY-VENTURA SMSA	2 960	257 918	423 700	60.9	6.7	64.1	5.4	68.4	28.6	130 006	8 269 300	63 607	13 585	104 492
VENTURA COUNTY[8]	2 960	257 918	423 700	60.9	6.7	64.1	5.4	68.4	28.6	130 006	8 269 300	63 607	13 585	104 492
OXNARD	400	20 957	32 905	63.7	(X)	63.7	(X)	67.2	21.6	21 427	1 067 683	49 829	1 676	78 233
VENTURA	400	27 136	46 560	58.3	(X)	61.7	(X)	64.5	33.1	18 272	1 121 395	61 373	1 924	105 305
SIMI VALLEY	440	35 010	58 329	60.0	(X)	64.8	(X)	70.5	29.1	21 794	1 370 030	62 863	2 283	104 736
THOUSAND OAKS	720	83 606	121 296	68.9	(X)	68.9	(X)	70.6	30.6	25 104	2 076 519	82 717	3 013	120 006
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO-ONTARIO SMSA	8 744	474 880	711 098	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	456 322	21 691 653	47 534	32 482	71 181
RIVERSIDE COUNTY[9]	5 840	325 912	477 462	68.3	4.7	67.7	4.1	71.7	29.2	192 786	10 294 297	53 394	15 081	78 228
RIVERSIDE	960	53 250	87 277	61.0	(X)	65.3	(X)	68.3	27.4	46 338	2 087 580	45 051	3 422	73 839
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	2 904	148 968	233 636	63.8	6.7	66.2	4.0	73.3	27.2	263 536	11 416 222	43 319	17 905	67 941
ONTARIO	264	14 857	21 707	68.4	(X)	66.5	(X)	67.8	24.1	22 402	1 060 502	47 340	1 550	69 170
SAN BERNARDINO	242	12 731	17 559	72.5	(X)	72.3	(X)	78.5	23.0	29 666	961 449	32 409	1 326	44 699
SACRAMENTO SMSA	4 170	241 008	341 956	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	292 665	13 677 175	46 735	19 406	66 308
PLACER COUNTY	630	50 660	71 204	71.1	10.3	71.9	10.7	72.6	27.0	54 645	2 805 199	51 334	3 943	72 152
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3 160	170 333	239 757	71.0	5.9	71.5	5.1	72.7	26.3	213 220	9 626 174	45 147	13 550	63 547
SACRAMENTO	840	36 984	52 462	70.5	(X)	76.1	(X)	74.2	29.9	79 169	2 942 966	37 175	4 175	52 731
YOLO COUNTY	380	20 015	30 995	64.6	8.9	68.4	9.7	64.8	28.7	24 800	1 244 969	50 200	1 928	77 738

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														ARKANSAS--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
42	14	536	2.6	1.2	2.7	1.3	2.2	64.7	7 808	1 020	131	39	5 005	MEMPHS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*
42	14	536	2.6	1.2	2.7	1.3	2.2	64.7	7 808	1 020	131	39	5 005	CRITTENDEN COUNTY
														TEXARKANA,TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARK. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MILLER COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARLAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MISSISSIPPI COUNTY
														CALIFORNIA[7]
9 272	238 861	495 524	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 134 442	28 051 574	24 727	58 194	51 297	SMSA PORTION
200	5 623	23 060	24.4	7.0	25.4	18.5	25.6	61.3	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-GARDEN
200	5 623	23 060	24.4	7.0	25.4	18.5	25.6	61.3	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	GROVE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ORANGE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ANAHEIM
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUENA PARK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COSTA MESA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FOUNTAIN VALLEY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FULLERTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARDEN GROVE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON BEACH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEWPORT BEACH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ORANGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANTA ANA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WESTMINSTER
840	3 816	10 032	38.0	11.3	42.6	10.0	47.1	37.5	133 460	542 825	4 067	1 427	10 693	BAKERSFIELD SMSA
840	3 816	10 032	38.0	11.3	42.6	10.0	47.1	37.5	133 460	542 825	4 067	1 427	10 693	KERN COUNTY
200	725	3 117	23.3	(X)	34.6	(X)	26.6	58.5	2 660	23 757	8 931	102	38 358	BAKERSFIELD
170	7 174	16 026	44.8	9.1	58.0	18.4	57.1	29.2	23 925	545 780	22 812	1 219	50 958	FRESNO SMSA
170	7 174	16 026	44.8	9.1	58.0	18.4	57.1	29.2	23 925	545 780	22 812	1 219	50 958	FRESNO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRESNO
880	25 655	64 833	39.6	9.6	35.4	9.7	32.3	54.1	186 293	4 632 759	24 868	11 707	62 843	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA
880	25 655	64 833	39.6	9.6	35.4	9.7	32.3	54.1	186 293	4 632 759	24 868	11 707	62 843	LOS ANGELES COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALHAMBRA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BELLFLOWER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BURBANK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CARSON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CERRITOS
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COMPTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DOWNEY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EL MONTE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GLENDALE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAWTHORNE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INGLEWOOD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAKEWOOD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LONG BEACH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOS ANGELES
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTEREY PARK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORWALK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PASADENA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PICO RIVERA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POMONA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	REDONDO BEACH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANTA MONICA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOUTH GATE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TORRANCE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST COVINA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WHITTIER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MODESTO SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STANISLAUS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MODESTO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OXNARD-SIMI VALY-VENTURA SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VENTURA COUNTY[8]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OXNARD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VENTURA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SIMI VALLEY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	THOUSAND OAKS
3 766	44 806	73 945	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	403 479	4 946 511	12 260	8 163	20 233	RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO-ONTARIO SMSA
2 160	32 811	53 898	60.9	15.4	74.0	19.6	69.7	58.8	156 592	2 722 851	17 388	4 473	28 563	RIVERSIDE COUNTY[9]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RIVERSIDE
1 606	11 995	20 047	59.8	7.6	63.5	9.3	51.8	57.4	246 887	2 210 503	8 954	3 694	14 962	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ONTARIO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN BERNARDINO
700	14 616	41 877	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	30 389	837 324	27 554	2 399	78 945	SACRAMENTO SMSA
240	5 611	10 994	51.0	17.8	52.2	19.5	47.5	51.7	1 413	109 282	77 340	214	151 544	PLACER COUNTY
440	8 126	29 434	27.6	24.0	66.9	23.8	72.5	46.3	26 608	586 211	25 790	2 486	93 430	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SACRAMENTO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YOLO COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY SMSA	290 444	90 095	6 961 237	100.0	57.8	48.4	11.6	3.8	20.0	6.8
MONTEREY COUNTY	290 444	90 095	6 961 237	100.0	57.8	48.4	11.6	3.8	20.0	6.8
SALINAS	80 479	20 616	1 363 017	100.0	68.6	49.8	0.3	3.6	25.7	1.8
SAN DIEGO SMSA	1 861 846	590 671	43 222 498	100.0	72.6	62.0	1.8	9.2	16.3	0.1
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	1 861 846	590 671	43 222 498	100.0	72.6	62.0	1.8	9.2	16.3	0.1
CHULA VISTA	83 927	22 436	1 524 149	100.0	76.1	65.1	-	4.1	19.8	-
EL CAJON	73 892	17 480	1 529 336	100.0	72.1	51.0	-	3.1	24.8	-
ESCONDIDO	62 480	19 885	1 432 728	100.0	70.4	55.4	-	10.1	19.5	-
LA MESA	50 382	16 159	1 041 828	100.0	82.1	66.5	-	3.5	14.4	-
OCEANSIDE	76 698	26 883	1 755 618	100.0	78.3	65.4	1.3	12.2	8.2	-
SAN DIEGO	875 504	251 288	19 688 474	100.0	72.9	60.9	0.3	5.9	20.9	(Z)
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	3 252 721	998 623	86 833 298	100.0	73.4	58.1	1.1	2.7	22.0	0.8
ALAMEDA COUNTY	1 105 379	328 333	23 318 571	100.0	74.2	56.8	1.0	2.8	20.2	1.8
ALAMEDA	63 852	18 860	1 219 176	100.0	83.7	52.9	-	2.8	12.4	1.1
BERKELEY	103 328	25 539	1 885 121	100.0	75.5	47.7	-	0.9	21.1	2.6
FREMONT	131 945	41 370	3 164 203	100.0	81.2	69.8	0.8	4.4	12.5	1.2
HAYWARD	94 167	26 162	2 150 226	100.0	63.5	46.4	-	4.9	30.1	1.4
OAKLAND	339 288	97 096	5 999 950	100.0	70.9	46.3	-	1.3	24.6	3.1
SAN LEANDRO	63 952	20 187	1 838 331	100.0	65.1	53.3	-	1.9	32.6	0.4
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	657 252	248 178	19 454 903	100.0	70.6	64.9	2.8	2.4	23.3	0.9
CONCORD	103 251	33 019	2 496 622	100.0	77.3	69.9	1.0	2.7	19.1	-
RICHMOND	74 676	25 621	1 760 295	100.0	40.5	33.9	0.7	0.2	58.2	0.4
MARIN COUNTY	222 952	82 987	7 633 780	100.0	86.0	76.7	1.1	3.1	9.8	-
SAN FRANCISCO CITY-COUNTY[11]	678 974	154 822	18 003 948	100.0	64.0	34.0	-	1.2	34.2	0.7
SAN MATEO COUNTY[9]	588 164	192 303	18 422 096	100.0	79.5	68.2	0.3	4.4	15.8	-
DALY CITY	78 519	21 158	1 412 499	100.0	87.4	75.0	-	1.8	10.8	-
REDWOOD CITY	54 965	14 362	1 557 816	100.0	78.0	59.7	0.1	3.8	18.2	-
SAN MATEO	77 561	24 805	2 504 573	100.0	79.1	60.7	-	5.2	15.7	-
SAN JOSE SMSA	1 295 071	363 765	32 004 931	100.0	72.1	62.7	1.4	5.8	17.4	3.2
SANTA CLARA COUNTY	1 295 071	363 765	32 004 931	100.0	72.1	62.7	1.4	5.8	17.4	3.2
MOUNTAIN VIEW	58 655	14 548	1 601 755	100.0	74.0	53.7	0.8	4.1	18.9	2.3
PALO ALTO	55 225	17 867	2 083 282	100.0	68.7	58.8	0.6	2.8	26.8	1.0
SAN JOSE	636 550	169 773	13 370 415	100.0	75.7	66.6	0.5	5.4	15.4	2.9
SANTA CLARA	87 746	21 830	2 642 043	100.0	49.0	36.0	(Z)	10.6	27.7	12.7
SUNNYVALE	106 618	25 403	3 072 119	100.0	60.1	45.5	0.1	5.9	27.3	6.6
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC SMSA	298 660	86 974	8 438 836	100.0	66.4	54.7	3.9	3.6	16.2	9.9
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	298 660	86 974	8 438 836	100.0	66.4	54.7	3.9	3.6	16.2	9.9
SANTA BARBARA	74 542	20 824	2 178 289	100.0	74.5	49.4	0.2	2.7	22.6	-
SANTA CRUZ SMSA	188 141	80 077	4 787 240	100.0	72.9	65.6	7.1	0.9	19.0	(Z)
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	188 141	80 077	4 787 240	100.0	72.9	65.6	7.1	0.9	19.0	(Z)
SANTA ROSA SMSA	299 827	128 435	8 326 779	100.0	60.6	54.0	4.9	12.7	13.6	8.2
SONOMA COUNTY	299 827	128 435	8 326 779	100.0	60.6	54.0	4.9	12.7	13.6	8.2
SANTA ROSA	83 205	29 725	2 090 419	100.0	70.9	60.9	0.1	5.6	23.0	0.4
STOCKTON SMSA	347 342	114 308	7 447 817	100.0	59.1	46.8	17.2	3.8	18.3	1.6
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	347 342	114 308	7 447 817	100.0	59.1	46.8	17.2	3.8	18.3	1.6
STOCKTON	149 779	45 295	2 763 293	100.0	74.3	54.0	2.3	2.6	18.2	2.5
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA SMSA	334 402	109 692	7 606 178	100.0	58.3	53.3	11.2	6.6	23.9	-
NAPA COUNTY	99 199	34 271	2 542 748	100.0	49.5	43.7	26.1	6.7	17.7	-
SOLANO COUNTY	235 203	75 421	5 063 430	100.0	62.6	58.0	3.8	6.6	27.0	-
FAIRFIELD	58 099	14 118	1 023 938	100.0	57.1	51.2	0.2	5.4	37.3	-
VALLEJO	80 188	25 036	1 154 251	100.0	85.6	79.9	-	4.6	9.8	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BUTTE COUNTY	143 851	68 338	3 083 380	100.0	57.4	49.2	15.9	8.8	15.9	1.9
HUMBOLDT COUNTY	108 024	55 389	2 083 855	100.0	42.7	36.8	26.7	3.6	24.1	2.8
MERCED COUNTY	134 560	47 112	2 889 105	100.0	41.1	34.6	42.9	3.4	12.6	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	155 345	87 224	3 779 613	100.0	49.0	47.1	23.6	8.5	18.8	0.1
SHASTA COUNTY	115 715	59 130	2 522 198	100.0	58.5	53.2	13.8	3.6	24.1	-
SUTTER COUNTY	52 246	20 261	1 470 145	100.0	41.8	34.9	27.3	15.8	14.5	0.6
TULARE COUNTY	245 751	96 763	4 503 798	100.0	45.8	41.3	27.6	7.6	18.9	0.1
COLORADO[12]										
SMSA PORTION	2 335 973	980 337	8 433 024	100.0	64.5	59.2	2.2	3.9	26.4	3.0
COLORADO SPRINGS SMSA	317 458	147 706	1 014 950	100.0	65.6	61.4	3.5	5.5	25.3	0.1
EL PASO COUNTY	309 424	125 818	970 222	100.0	66.2	61.8	3.2	4.3	26.3	(Z)
COLORADO SPRINGS	215 150	75 820	852 998	100.0	64.3	59.4	1.9	2.0	31.8	-
TELLER COUNTY	8 034	21 888	44 728	100.0	51.4	51.4	9.8	34.1	2.5	2.2
DENVER-BOULDER SMSA	1 619 921	597 454	5 940 904	100.0	66.5	60.0	1.4	3.3	28.2	0.5
ADAMS COUNTY	245 944	76 526	696 002	100.0	62.4	56.5	2.3	2.2	33.0	-
ARVADA (PART)	1 229	256	3 735	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-
AURORA (PART)	29 193	(11)	82 663	100.0	34.1	29.8	-	-	65.9	-
ARAPAHOE COUNTY	293 621	116 884	1 243 634	100.0	70.4	68.6	0.5	3.8	25.3	(Z)
AURORA (PART)	129 395	54 921	531 962	100.0	78.2	75.4	-	3.6	18.2	-
BOULDER COUNTY	189 625	76 695	617 726	100.0	71.7	64.4	3.8	3.9	19.7	0.8
BOULDER	76 685	(NA)	317 027	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DENVER CITY-COUNTY[13]	491 396	156 313	1 736 926	100.0	56.1	48.2	-	2.2	40.3	1.4
DOUGLAS COUNTY	25 153	23 081	118 921	100.0	57.6	56.2	12.4	11.5	18.4	-
GILPIN COUNTY	2 441	5 952	14 909	100.0	65.6	65.6	16.6	11.9	5.9	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY	371 741	142 003	1 512 786	100.0	75.9	71.2	1.4	3.5	19.1	-
ARVADA (PART)	83 347	23 098	295 838	100.0	86.8	79.9	0.9	0.4	11.8	-
LAKEWOOD	112 848	36 775	493 061	100.0	78.9	72.8	(Z)	2.8	18.4	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
1 060	94 444	160 940	58.7	8.5	67.2	7.1	76.7	29.0	90 095	6 961	77 266	11 862	131 663	SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY SMSA
1 060	94 444	160 940	58.7	8.5	67.2	7.1	76.7	29.0	90 095	6 961	77 266	11 862	131 663	MONTEREY COUNTY
280	17 233	32 130	53.6	(X)	66.8	(X)	72.5	31.0	20 616	1 363	66 115	2 541	123 257	SALINAS
7 480	591 331	974 077	60.7	5.3	62.0	3.6	58.2	37.1	590 671	43 222	73 175	71 199	120 539	SAN DIEGO SMSA
7 480	591 331	974 077	60.7	5.3	62.0	3.6	58.2	37.1	590 671	43 222	73 175	71 199	120 539	SAN DIEGO COUNTY
240	23 316	33 864	68.8	(X)	59.9	(X)	62.0	32.4	22 436	1 524	67 933	2 214	98 668	CHULA VISTA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)		(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EL CAJON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)		(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ESCONDIDO
240	17 594	25 212	69.8	(X)	67.2	(X)	53.6	36.0	16 159	1 042	64 474	1 493	92 389	LA MESA
400	38 350	48 554	79.0	(X)	68.3	(X)	78.1	28.8	26 883	1 756	65 306	2 223	82 683	OCEANSIDE
3 280	270 572	428 254	63.2	(X)	65.1	(X)	63.3	33.7	251 288	19 688	78 350	31 162	124 011	SAN DIEGO
11 983	1 107 739	1 916 137	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	998 623	86 833	86 953	150 202	150 409	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA
3 629	265 388	461 959	57.4	4.3	57.1	3.3	54.4	35.9	328 333	23 319	71 021	40 590	123 626	ALAMEDA COUNTY
190	14 129	21 775	64.9	(X)	60.4	(X)	61.9	26.7	18 860	1 219	64 643	1 879	99 624	ALAMEDA
247	17 754	33 670	52.7	(X)	53.5	(X)	51.8	48.2	25 539	1 885	73 813	3 575	139 980	BERKELEY
608	39 671	67 763	58.5	(X)	58.0	(X)	62.8	32.6	41 370	3 164	76 485	5 405	130 649	FREMONT
228	32 040	58 241	55.0	(X)	62.9	(X)	61.9	24.2	26 162	2 150	82 189	3 909	149 399	HAYWARD
950	63 737	108 082	59.0	(X)	54.7	(X)	50.6	36.4	97 094	6 000	61 795	10 174	104 789	OAKLAND
266	13 788	29 137	47.3	(X)	49.4	(X)	40.5	29.2	20 187	1 838	91 065	3 885	192 434	SAN LEANDRO
3 540	332 693	526 732	63.2	6.4	62.9	5.3	65.4	26.5	240 178	19 455	81 002	30 802	128 245	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
600	111 642	176 982	63.1	(X)	66.6	(X)	66.4	28.6	33 019	2 497	75 612	3 958	119 865	CONCORD
360	19 013	23 187	82.0	(X)	80.7	(X)	79.2	5.9	25 621	1 760	68 705	2 147	83 788	RICHMOND
1 140	102 823	178 722	57.5	11.3	63.3	8.1	65.9	33.9	82 987	7 634	91 988	13 269	159 888	MARIN COUNTY
2 162	264 427	484 488	54.6	8.5	51.0	5.5	46.2	50.2	154 822	18 004	116 288	32 987	213 065	SAN FRANCISCO CITY-COUNTY[11]
1 512	142 408	264 236	53.9	5.4	55.9	5.7	53.5	35.0	192 303	18 422	95 797	34 182	177 752	SAN MATEO COUNTY[9]
135	8 413	14 007	60.1	(X)	61.9	(X)	67.1	21.2	21 158	1 412	66 760	2 352	111 155	DALY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)		(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	REDWOOD CITY
378	32 333	60 781	53.2	(X)	56.7	(X)	61.5	25.7	24 805	2 505	100 970	4 708	189 809	SAN MATEO
4 000	284 019	569 379	49.9	4.9	52.4	4.6	52.1	39.3	363 765	32 005	87 982	64 161	176 381	SAN JOSE SMSA
4 000	284 019	569 379	49.9	4.9	52.4	4.6	52.1	39.3	363 765	32 005	87 982	64 161	176 381	SANTA CLARA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)		(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MOUNTAIN VIEW
360	34 222	94 865	36.1	(X)	35.1	(X)	34.4	29.3	17 867	2 083	116 599	5 775	323 235	PALO ALTO
2 000	132 810	227 115	58.5	(X)	57.8	(X)	62.7	29.5	169 773	13 370	78 755	22 864	134 676	SAN JOSE
240	12 590	28 812	43.7	(X)	46.9	(X)	44.6	45.7	21 830	2 642	121 028	6 046	276 958	SANTA CLARA
200	9 975	26 600	37.5	(X)	39.6	(X)	30.6	36.9	25 403	3 072	120 935	8 193	322 508	SUNNYVALE
														SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC SMSA
1 920	171 143	330 534	51.8	7.8	56.1	6.0	60.3	29.9	86 974	8 439	97 027	16 298	187 391	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
1 920	171 143	330 534	51.8	7.8	56.1	6.0	60.3	29.9	86 974	8 439	97 027	16 298	187 391	SANTA BARBARA
480	44 020	78 680	55.9	(X)	57.6	(X)	63.9	27.0	20 824	2 178	104 605	3 893	186 971	
880	40 303	97 959	41.1	6.3	43.5	6.7	37.5	47.5	80 077	4 787	59 783	11 635	145 301	SANTA CRUZ SMSA
880	40 303	97 959	41.1	6.3	43.5	6.7	37.5	47.5	80 077	4 787	59 783	11 635	145 301	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
1 460	91 522	174 511	52.4	6.8	54.4	5.8	56.8	38.1	128 435	8 327	64 833	15 877	123 622	SANTA ROSA SMSA
1 460	91 522	174 511	52.4	6.8	54.4	5.8	56.8	38.1	128 435	8 327	64 833	15 877	123 622	SONOMA COUNTY
500	40 366	72 019	56.0	(X)	56.5	(X)	63.1	29.1	29 725	2 090	70 325	3 730	125 472	SANTA ROSA
1 320	99 350	174 165	57.0	17.1	67.6	7.9	72.5	24.1	114 308	7 448	65 156	13 056	114 217	STOCKTON SMSA
1 320	99 350	174 165	57.0	17.1	67.6	7.9	72.5	24.1	114 308	7 448	65 156	13 056	114 217	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
560	29 897	45 846	65.2	(X)	67.5	(X)	72.4	23.9	45 295	2 763	61 007	4 237	93 550	STOCKTON
1 610	90 238	143 822	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	109 692	7 606	69 341	12 123	110 517	VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA SMSA
320	20 529	34 789	59.0	8.8	57.0	7.4	53.1	31.3	34 271	2 543	74 195	4 309	125 740	NAPA COUNTY
1 290	69 709	109 033	63.9	7.0	64.9	6.1	66.7	24.8	75 421	5 063	67 136	7 920	105 008	SOLANO COUNTY
240	15 819	23 094	68.5	(X)	71.4	(X)	69.7	28.1	14 118	1 024	72 527	1 495	105 885	FAIRFIELD
360	16 586	32 144	51.6	(X)	51.9	(X)	46.3	33.3	25 036	1 154	46 104	2 237	89 345	VALLEJO
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
840	34 823	88 853	39.2	18.7	63.1	11.9	60.2	43.1	68 338	3 083	45 120	7 867	115 125	BUTTE COUNTY
630	21 419	33 276	64.4	8.2	61.3	7.3	59.6	32.7	55 389	2 084	37 622	3 237	58 442	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
600	20 142	34 841	57.8	8.6	58.0	8.2	58.3	34.1	47 112	2 889	61 324	4 997	106 070	MERCED COUNTY
2 600	108 744	179 325	60.6	7.0	62.3	7.1	70.0	34.9	87 224	3 780	43 332	6 233	71 458	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
690	24 846	44 161	56.3	12.2	56.5	11.1	58.3	39.0	59 130	2 522	42 655	4 483	75 812	SHASTA COUNTY
340	29 139	39 257	74.2	6.9	66.8	9.3	66.2	37.6	20 261	1 470	72 560	1 981	97 755	SUTTER COUNTY
1 020	46 503	173 873	26.7	16.5	62.1	16.5	71.8	42.5	96 763	4 504	46 545	16 840	174 034	TULARE COUNTY
														COLORADO[12]
18 535	165 408	1 906 196	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	980 337	8 433	8 602	97 184	99 133	SMSA PORTION
3 001	38 461	529 763	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	147 706	1 015	6 871	13 980	94 647	COLORADO SPRINGS SMSA
2 485	37 311	518 027	7.2	3.4	11.3	1.2	11.7	29.1	125 818	970	7 711	13 471	107 070	EL PASO COUNTY
1 785	33 282	483 519	6.9	(X)	11.2	(X)	11.7	30.9	75 820	853	11 250	12 393	163 447	COLORADO SPRINGS
516	1 150	11 736	9.8	2.1	15.6	4.4	12.4	56.3	21 888	45	2 043	457	20 863	TELLER COUNTY
11 552	100 965	1 121 378	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	597 454	5 941	9 944	65 983	110 441	DENVER-BOULDER SMSA
1 628	9 067	97 650	9.3	0.9	9.5	1.0	9.2	33.2	76 526	696	9 095	7 497	97 971	ADAMS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)		(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARVADA (PART)
132	538	7 161	7.5	(X)	7.8	(X)	8.7	36.7	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	AURORA (PART)
2 704	29 654	341 122	8.7	0.9	9.7	0.4	10.1	16.2	116 884	1 244	10 640	14 307	122 407	ARAPAHOE COUNTY
1 274	11 446	119 934	9.5	(X)	10.4	(X)	10.4	10.0	54 921	532	9 686	5 575	101 502	AURORA (PART)
1 368	9 082	112 110	8.1	0.8	9.7	2.9	8.6	37.6	76 695	618	8 054	7 625	99 425	BOULDER COUNTY
722	5 568	69 828	8.0	(X)	10.3	(X)	8.1	50.9	(NA)	317	(NA)	398	(NA)	BOULDER
2 480	23 959	280 981	8.5	1.8	9.2	1.2	8.1	40.5	156 313	1 737	11 112	20 369	130 311	DENVER CITY-COUNTY[11]
546	2 473	33 810	7.3	3.0	12.6	7.1	8.5	94.2	23 081	119	5 152	1 627	70 480	DOUGLAS COUNTY
108	381	3 042	12.5	4.1	10.4	5.8	9.6	65.3	5 952	15	2 505	119	19 987	GILPIN COUNTY
2 718	26 349	252 663	10.4	1.1	11.3	0.7	11.3	24.8	142 003	1 513	10 653	14 505	102 147	JEFFERSON COUNTY
558	5 776	45 750	12.6	(X)	12.8	(X)	12.7	20.7	23 098	296	12 808	2 343	101 447	ARVADA (PART)
738	6 537	60 790	10.8	(X)	11.1	(X)	10.8	26.0	36 775	493	13 408	4 585	124 670	LAKEWOOD

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY SMSA	820	71 739	102 450	70.0	7.1	72.7	7.3	80.7	22.6	56 019	3 367 037	60 105	4 808	85 835
MONTEREY COUNTY	820	71 739	102 450	70.0	7.1	72.7	7.3	80.7	22.6	56 019	3 367 037	60 105	4 808	85 835
SALINAS	240	13 026	20 990	62.1	(X)	70.9	(X)	79.5	25.7	14 944	678 885	45 420	1 094	73 199
SAN DIEGO SMSA	5 320	366 861	586 539	62.5	4.3	63.1	3.9	59.3	33.7	445 448	26 807 947	60 182	42 861	96 220
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	5 320	366 861	586 539	62.5	4.3	63.1	3.9	59.3	33.7	445 448	26 807 947	60 182	42 861	96 220
CHULA VISTA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
EL CAJON	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ESCONDIDO	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LA MESA	240	17 594	25 212	69.8	(X)	67.2	(X)	53.6	36.0	14 466	692 803	47 892	993	68 628
OCEANSIDE	280	17 730	24 954	71.1	(X)	68.9	(X)	71.9	22.7	22 128	1 147 902	51 874	1 616	73 013
SAN DIEGO	2 400	159 344	254 756	62.5	(X)	63.9	(X)	60.1	32.5	203 549	11 991 457	58 912	19 172	94 188
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA	9 336	755 913	1 300 450	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	749 690	50 415 630	67 240	86 734	115 693
ALAMEDA COUNTY	2 945	184 640	324 823	56.8	3.9	58.1	3.4	56.7	32.8	239 785	13 235 028	55 194	23 283	97 100
ALAMEDA	133	11 490	17 072	67.3	(X)	63.3	(X)	62.9	25.8	11 938	644 762	54 009	958	80 250
BERKELEY	228	15 284	31 200	49.0	(X)	49.6	(X)	45.9	50.2	16 432	898 502	54 680	1 834	111 616
FREMONT	551	32 684	58 003	56.3	(X)	58.0	(X)	62.1	31.0	34 290	2 208 004	64 392	3 919	114 276
HAYWARD	171	9 811	14 775	66.4	(X)	66.5	(X)	71.1	20.8	19 456	998 022	51 294	1 503	77 247
OAKLAND	703	37 779	71 492	52.8	(X)	54.0	(X)	51.6	29.9	61 520	2 778 194	45 159	5 257	85 456
SAN LEANDRO	228	11 870	26 059	45.6	(X)	47.0	(X)	39.1	24.9	16 320	979 207	60 000	2 150	131 710
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	2 520	205 714	315 165	65.3	6.3	65.2	6.4	69.1	26.3	193 734	12 635 493	65 221	19 358	99 922
CONCORD	360	25 054	39 102	64.1	(X)	70.5	(X)	66.4	37.4	29 985	1 746 002	58 229	2 725	90 880
RICHMOND	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MARIN COUNTY	930	87 964	145 932	60.3	12.8	63.7	9.6	52.9	45.9	65 407	5 856 966	89 546	9 717	148 558
SAN FRANCISCO CITY-COUNTY[11]	1 564	143 277	275 458	52.0	8.2	50.2	6.4	44.3	52.8	100 522	6 128 989	60 972	11 783	117 220
SAN MATEO COUNTY[1]	1 377	134 318	239 072	56.2	5.3	58.7	5.6	63.5	27.8	150 242	12 569 937	83 664	22 373	148 915
DALY CITY	135	8 413	14 007	60.1	(X)	61.9	(X)	67.1	21.2	19 072	1 059 103	55 539	1 763	92 461
REDWOOD CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SAN MATEO	351	30 170	50 926	59.2	(X)	59.4	(X)	64.1	21.5	19 491	1 519 582	77 964	2 565	131 598
SAN JOSE SMSA	3 400	228 858	449 461	50.9	4.8	53.5	4.8	54.5	36.5	302 829	20 056 284	66 230	39 389	130 071
SANTA CLARA COUNTY	3 400	228 858	449 461	50.9	4.8	53.5	4.8	54.5	36.5	302 829	20 056 284	66 230	39 389	130 071
MOUNTAIN VIEW	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PALO ALTO	280	16 768	47 140	35.6	(X)	33.3	(X)	30.6	34.2	15 745	1 225 958	77 864	3 447	218 915
SAN JOSE	1 760	113 774	199 322	57.1	(X)	58.7	(X)	63.2	27.0	145 624	8 907 369	61 167	15 605	107 159
SANTA CLARA	200	10 977	21 612	50.8	(X)	51.8	(X)	59.6	28.6	17 379	950 947	54 718	1 872	107 725
SUNNYVALE	200	9 975	26 600	37.5	(X)	39.6	(X)	30.6	36.9	21 506	1 397 980	65 004	3 728	173 352
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC SMSA	1 160	107 057	209 634	51.1	10.6	57.6	7.5	59.3	29.5	61 472	4 616 902	75 104	9 041	147 067
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	1 160	107 057	209 634	51.1	10.6	57.6	7.5	59.3	29.5	61 472	4 616 902	75 104	9 041	147 067
SANTA BARBARA	360	31 477	62 480	50.4	(X)	51.3	(X)	50.4	34.3	13 831	1 077 081	77 874	2 138	154 576
SANTA CRUZ SMSA	640	35 065	80 208	43.7	7.0	45.5	6.8	41.4	39.9	51 284	3 141 786	61 269	7 186	140 127
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	640	35 065	80 208	43.7	7.0	45.5	6.8	41.4	39.9	51 284	3 141 786	61 269	7 186	140 127
SANTA ROSA SMSA	1 000	65 811	110 938	59.3	5.3	58.8	6.2	64.0	29.5	80 961	4 494 233	55 511	7 576	93 577
SONOMA COUNTY	1 000	65 811	110 938	59.3	5.3	58.8	6.2	64.0	29.5	80 961	4 494 233	55 511	7 576	93 577
SANTA ROSA	420	29 581	50 116	59.0	(X)	58.9	(X)	63.4	28.1	22 992	1 272 126	55 329	2 155	93 740
STOCKTON SMSA	920	58 251	77 568	75.1	8.3	75.2	6.6	78.5	16.3	78 032	3 486 712	44 684	4 643	59 500
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	920	58 251	77 568	75.1	8.3	75.2	6.6	78.5	16.3	78 032	3 486 712	44 684	4 643	59 500
STOCKTON	400	21 908	32 598	67.2	(X)	71.8	(X)	78.1	19.1	32 320	1 491 708	46 154	2 220	68 675
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA SMSA	1 220	65 793	102 454	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	80 626	4 051 796	50 254	6 310	78 257
NAPA COUNTY	230	16 967	27 459	61.8	10.5	61.6	8.6	54.6	30.8	20 080	1 112 407	55 399	1 800	89 660
SOLANO COUNTY	990	48 826	74 995	65.1	6.2	65.5	6.9	66.7	23.8	60 546	2 936 504	48 500	4 510	74 495
FAIRFIELD	210	9 219	14 520	63.5	(X)	70.7	(X)	68.3	31.0	11 776	523 828	44 489	825	70 064
VALLEJO	180	6 349	11 720	54.2	(X)	53.0	(X)	50.4	29.5	21 121	921 848	43 644	1 701	80 556
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BUTTE COUNTY	300	14 359	21 357	67.2	9.6	66.1	10.5	68.9	23.5	38 404	1 517 735	39 520	2 257	58 777
HUMBOLDT COUNTY	270	10 630	16 338	65.1	10.1	64.9	9.9	64.1	27.8	19 697	766 217	38 900	1 177	59 780
MERCED COUNTY	400	15 969	23 179	68.9	7.2	66.3	8.7	70.4	23.2	24 928	999 156	40 080	1 450	58 177
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	1 360	72 540	102 011	71.1	6.9	73.0	7.0	83.4	18.1	36 096	1 781 204	49 344	2 505	69 395
SHASTA COUNTY	420	19 886	29 652	67.1	10.7	65.7	11.2	65.5	26.6	32 240	1 342 273	41 634	2 001	62 080
SUTTER COUNTY	220	10 242	14 321	71.5	10.0	71.5	9.5	73.4	28.7	11 160	513 693	46 030	718	64 367
TULARE COUNTY	540	21 862	30 383	72.0	16.7	76.6	16.0	89.2	20.5	56 756	1 860 081	32 774	2 585	45 548
COLORADO[12]														
SMSA PORTION	12 885	102 585	1 015 213	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	660 583	4 989 284	7 554	49 376	74 745
COLORADO SPRINGS SMSA	1 613	13 750	126 378	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	90 624	623 469	6 880	5 730	63 233
EL PASO COUNTY	1 505	13 219	121 261	10.9	1.8	12.0	0.9	12.0	16.8	85 632	600 020	7 007	5 504	64 278
COLORADO SPRINGS	1 120	10 166	97 740	10.4	(X)	11.6	(X)	11.8	17.1	60 992	506 283	8 301	4 867	79 805
TELLER COUNTY	108	531	5 117	10.4	3.0	12.6	4.7	10.8	54.9	4 992	23 008	4 609	222	44 380
DENVER-BOULDER SMSA	8 824	70 986	741 808	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	447 783	3 563 198	7 957	37 236	83 156
ADAMS COUNTY	1 210	6 708	72 109	9.3	0.8	9.4	0.8	9.5	24.3	58 000	393 006	6 776	4 225	72 840
ARVADA (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
AURORA (PART)	132	538	7 161	7.5	(X)	7.8	(X)	8.7	36.7	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
ARAPAHOE COUNTY	2 210	21 090	226 888	9.3	0.7	10.0	0.4	10.1	13.1	94 016	853 381	9 077	9 181	97 655
AURORA (PART)	1 144	9 248	88 675	10.4	(X)	10.5	(X)	10.4	8.9	45 536	401 238	8 811	3 847	84 490
BOULDER COUNTY	1 102	7 944	94 881	8.4	0.7	9.9	3.6	8.6	37.9	51 377	397 573	7 738	4 749	92 436
BOULDER	684	5 356	65 595	8.2	(X)	10.6	(X)	8.3	50.3	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DENVER CITY-COUNTY[11]	1 740	10 197	130 513	7.8	0.7	9.0	1.6	7.8	39.1	124 310	767 721	6 176	9 825	79 036
DOUGLAS COUNTY	168	1 823	16 845	10.8	1.4	11.2	1.3	12.1	11.6	8 288	66 861	8 067	618	74 576
GILPIN COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
JEFFERSON COUNTY	2 340	22 860	197 944	11.5	0.5	11.9	0.6	11.7	18.8	109 744	1 077 152	9 814	9 327	84 986
ARVADA (PART)	522	5 090	39 180	13.0	(X)	13.2	(X)	12.7	18.5	21 760	236 264	10 858	1 819	83 579
LAKEWOOD	630	5 959	51 646	11.5	(X)	12.0	(X)	11.4	20.8	32 304	358 840	11 108	3 110	96 276

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ, of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ, of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED
100	4 947	13 080	37.8	29.1	60.3	30.2	71.7	41.5	7 863	264 155	33 595	698	88 822	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
100	4 947	13 080	37.8	29.1	60.3	30.2	71.7	41.5	7 863	264 155	33 595	698	88 822	SALINAS-SEASIDE-MONTEREY SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTEREY COUNTY
														SALINAS
840	77 236	119 160	64.8	17.0	60.8	13.6	59.4	42.1	88 769	3 992 092	44 972	6 159	69 381	SAN DIEGO SMSA
840	77 236	119 160	64.8	17.0	60.8	13.6	59.4	42.1	88 769	3 992 092	44 972	6 159	69 381	SAN DIEGO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHULA VISTA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EL CAJON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ESCONDIDO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LA MESA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OCEANSIDE
320	34 502	46 500	74.2	(X)	64.6	(X)	59.5	41.6	21 690	1 166 768	53 793	1 572	72 497	SAN DIEGO
706	24 318	46 396	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	82 213	2 369 813	28 825	4 521	54 995	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA
209	9 233	16 216	56.9	22.9	44.2	16.4	41.7	52.8	24 023	663 542	27 621	1 165	48 514	ALAMEDA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALAMEDA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BERKELEY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FREMONT
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAYWARD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OAKLAND
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN LEANDRO
420	12 183	24 045	50.7	15.4	51.8	11.0	55.9	18.1	18 632	460 839	24 734	910	48 814	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CONCORD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHMOND
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MARIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN FRANCISCO CITY-COUNTY[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN MATEO COUNTY[9]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	REDWOOD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN MATEO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN JOSE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANTA CLARA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MOUNTAIN VIEW
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PALO ALTO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN JOSE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANTA CLARA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SUNNYVALE
280	14 198	35 060	40.5	15.3	49.1	12.3	42.0	32.4	8 931	306 017	34 265	756	84 611	SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC SMSA
280	14 198	35 060	40.5	15.3	49.1	12.3	42.0	32.4	8 931	306 017	34 265	756	84 611	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANTA BARBARA
100	625	2 287	27.3	4.4	44.6	30.6	30.3	68.3	2 145	45 319	21 128	166	77 410	SANTA CRUZ SMSA
100	625	2 287	27.3	4.4	44.6	30.6	30.3	68.3	2 145	45 319	21 128	166	77 410	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
240	6 512	15 810	41.2	18.6	45.4	17.4	51.8	51.6	33 643	1 055 932	31 386	2 563	76 197	SANTA ROSA SMSA
240	6 512	15 810	41.2	18.6	45.4	17.4	51.8	51.6	33 643	1 055 932	31 386	2 563	76 197	SONOMA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANTA ROSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STOCKTON SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STOCKTON
90	2 486	3 500	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	14 100	503 899	35 738	709	50 314	VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NAPA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOLANO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFIELD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VALLEJO
240	8 263	14 930	55.3	8.6	54.0	14.5	52.6	42.7	15 910	272 606	17 134	493	30 959	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
75	666	977	68.2	8.9	70.5	23.2	65.8	27.6	8 610	76 002	8 827	111	12 945	BUTTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
520	11 991	23 204	51.7	19.4	52.9	17.1	51.5	51.6	33 984	321 537	9 461	622	18 310	MERCED COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SHASTA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SUTTER COUNTY
														TULARE COUNTY
														COLORADO[12]
2 192	10 799	142 370	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	203 464	330 866	1 626	4 362	21 439	SMSA PORTION
496	756	6 144	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	39 136	55 914	1 429	454	11 611	COLORADO SPRINGS SMSA
280	583	4 709	12.4	8.8	12.1	8.1	8.3	94.7	24 448	41 939	1 715	339	13 859	EL PASO COUNTY
175	347	2 801	12.4	(X)	11.6	(X)	5.7	(3)	7 168	16 992	2 371	137	19 156	COLORADO SPRINGS
216	173	1 435	12.0	1.5	20.3	9.5	13.9	66.7	14 688	15 233	1 037	127	8 630	TELLER COUNTY
1 182	7 880	120 344	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	90 296	196 381	2 175	2 999	33 215	DENVER-BOULDER SMSA
132	194	5 474	3.5	3.2	3.9	2.0	3.2	65.3	10 529	15 581	1 480	440	41 745	ADAMS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARVADA (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AURORA (PART)
234	4 891	67 172	7.3	1.9	7.4	1.5	8.3	22.1	16 133	47 240	2 928	649	40 220	ARAPAHOE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AURORA (PART)
190	559	8 348	6.7	2.2	9.2	3.5	9.7	32.6	15 968	24 270	1 520	362	22 685	BOULDER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOULDER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DENVER CITY-COUNTY[11]
294	538	7 565	7.1	2.7	16.2	12.7	7.4	(3)	11 776	13 677	1 161	192	16 339	DOUGLAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GILPIN COUNTY
216	1 218	28 139	4.3	1.0	5.1	1.7	4.3	55.4	25 332	53 634	2 117	1 238	48 883	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARVADA (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAKEWOOD

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
COLORADO--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
FORT COLLINS SMSA	149 184	78 562	565 238	100.0	61.7	61.5	3.5	7.2	27.6	0.1
LARIMER COUNTY	149 184	78 562	565 238	100.0	61.7	61.5	3.5	7.2	27.6	0.1
FORT COLLINS	64 632	45 958	257 678	100.0	59.8	59.5	4.0	7.1	29.0	0.2
GREELEY SMSA	123 438	62 326	591 835	100.0	47.8	46.3	4.4	1.2	12.3	34.3
WELD COUNTY	123 438	62 326	591 835	100.0	47.8	46.3	4.4	1.2	12.3	34.3
GREELEY	53 006	(NA)	181 588	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PUEBLO SMSA	125 972	94 289	320 097	100.0	61.0	58.2	6.4	9.7	22.5	0.3
PUEBLO COUNTY	125 972	94 289	320 097	100.0	61.0	58.2	6.4	9.7	22.5	0.3
PUEBLO	101 686	38 254	263 193	100.0	73.3	70.1	1.3	1.0	24.4	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
MESA COUNTY	81 530	45 702	271 896	100.0	68.5	65.7	5.1	4.3	22.0	-
CONNECTICUT**										
NECMA PORTION	2 744 452	905 793	36 313 282	100.0	73.8	68.4	1.6	2.8	21.8	(Z)
BRIDGEPORT-STAMFORD-NORWALK NECMA:										
FAIRFIELD COUNTY:										
BRIDGEPORT CITY	142 546	31 098	676 150	100.0	70.4	40.0	-	1.2	28.4	-
DANBURY CITY	60 470	18 471	801 226	100.0	70.1	68.9	0.1	4.0	25.7	-
NORWALK CITY	77 767	25 057	751 186	100.0	75.0	71.7	-	4.1	20.7	0.2
STAMFORD CITY	102 453	28 439	1 236 741	100.0	64.3	62.4	2.7	0.8	32.0	0.2
FAIRFIELD TOWN	54 849	19 355	775 420	100.0	79.9	79.6	0.5	2.6	16.9	-
GREENWICH TOWN	59 578	19 453	2 774 240	100.0	81.9	78.1	-	1.3	16.9	-
STRATFORD TOWN	50 541	16 647	609 593	100.0	71.4	70.6	-	2.9	25.8	-
HARTFORD-NEW BRITAIN-BRISTOL NECMA:										
HARTFORD COUNTY:										
BRISTOL CITY	57 370	15 958	523 413	100.0	72.6	58.8	0.8	5.5	21.1	-
HARTFORD CITY	136 392	17 508	956 891	100.0	35.6	15.8	-	2.0	62.4	-
NEW BRITAIN CITY	73 840	15 495	464 417	100.0	72.4	41.9	-	1.3	26.3	-
EAST HARTFORD TOWN	52 563	13 456	403 669	100.0	52.6	40.6	-	1.7	45.7	-
MANCHESTER TOWN	49 761	15 130	503 833	100.0	75.0	59.6	-	2.5	22.5	-
WEST HARTFORD TOWN	61 301	19 992	1 147 514	100.0	82.4	74.6	-	1.7	15.9	-
NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN-WATERBURY-MERIDEN NECMA:										
NEW HAVEN COUNTY:										
MERIDEN CITY	57 118	16 823	541 220	100.0	77.7	64.3	-	1.2	21.1	-
MILFORD CITY	49 101	17 693	1 026 662	100.0	63.7	59.5	7.3	0.1	28.9	-
NEW HAVEN CITY	126 109	24 432	888 832	100.0	69.1	54.8	0.9	1.3	28.7	-
WATERBURY CITY	103 266	24 823	851 258	100.0	67.0	56.2	0.5	3.4	29.2	-
WEST HAVEN CITY	53 184	15 299	574 852	100.0	75.1	67.6	-	3.0	21.5	0.4
HAMDEN TOWN	51 071	15 936	762 396	100.0	81.7	73.6	1.3	1.1	15.9	-
DELAWARE										
SMSA PORTION	399 002	127 410	2 907 441	100.0	62.2	55.6	5.8	1.3	30.7	-
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	399 002	127 410	2 907 441	100.0	62.2	55.6	5.8	1.3	30.7	-
NEW CASTLE COUNTY	399 002	127 410	2 907 441	100.0	62.2	55.6	5.8	1.3	30.7	-
WILMINGTON	70 195	22 911	434 090	100.0	43.1	38.6	-	0.1	56.8	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
KENT COUNTY	98 219	35 236	367 938	100.0	59.8	53.9	13.1	1.3	25.8	-
SUSSEX COUNTY	98 004	78 071	850 068	100.0	64.6	64.1	15.2	5.5	14.8	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA[13]										
ALL SMSA:										
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*	637 651	145 168	17 866 485	100.0	65.3	48.9	-	2.5	31.5	0.7
WASHINGTON	637 651	145 168	17 866 485	100.0	65.3	48.9	-	2.5	31.5	0.7
FLORIDA										
SMSA PORTION	8 328 601	4 130 279	180 600 109	100.0	69.3	61.2	4.6	7.5	17.9	0.8
BRADENTON SMSA	148 442	72 326	3 507 480	100.0	66.4	60.8	10.1	4.7	18.8	-
MANATEE COUNTY	148 442	72 326	3 507 480	100.0	66.4	60.8	10.1	4.7	18.8	-
DAYTONA BEACH SMSA	258 762	196 112	5 084 904	100.0	68.9	64.9	3.5	8.1	19.4	0.1
VOLUSIA COUNTY	258 762	196 112	5 084 904	100.0	68.9	64.9	3.5	8.1	19.4	0.1
FORT LAUDERDALE-HOLLYWOOD SMSA	1 014 043	451 363	25 886 667	100.0	73.8	61.5	4.0	6.4	14.5	1.3
BROWARD COUNTY	1 014 043	451 363	25 886 667	100.0	73.8	61.5	4.0	6.4	14.5	1.3
FORT LAUDERDALE	153 256	63 193	(NA)	100.0	74.5	56.9	0.3	3.9	20.9	0.3
HOLLYWOOD	117 188	49 948	(NA)	100.0	79.4	62.3	1.1	4.7	14.7	-
POMPANO BEACH	52 618	31 605	(NA)	100.0	79.1	67.4	1.4	2.1	16.8	0.7
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL SMSA	205 266	339 238	6 025 662	100.0	67.2	63.9	4.3	18.9	9.3	0.4
LEE COUNTY	205 266	339 238	6 025 662	100.0	67.2	63.9	4.3	18.9	9.3	0.4
GAINESVILLE SMSA	151 348	55 033	1 961 647	100.0	70.7	61.8	5.1	8.6	15.6	-
ALACHUA COUNTY	151 348	55 033	1 961 647	100.0	70.7	61.8	5.1	8.6	15.6	-
GAINESVILLE	81 371	21 748	(NA)	100.0	77.2	66.7	-	5.6	17.2	-
JACKSONVILLE SMSA:										
BAKER COUNTY	15 289	6 797	109 814	100.0	40.6	40.2	53.1	3.4	2.9	-
JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL[11]	540 898	210 469	8 066 206	100.0	66.5	57.5	2.0	3.6	27.9	-
ST. JOHNS COUNTY	51 303	39 446	1 004 911	100.0	59.5	56.8	12.4	11.5	16.6	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														COLORADO--CONTINUED
1 566	11 478	114 142	10.1	1.6	10.9	1.5	11.3	41.1	78 562	565	7 195	5 620	71 541	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
1 566	11 478	114 142	10.1	1.6	10.9	1.5	11.3	41.1	78 562	565	7 195	5 620	71 541	FORT COLLINS SMSA
666	6 627	55 257	12.0	(X)	11.8	(X)	12.9	17.8	45 958	258	5 607	2 148	46 742	LARIMER COUNTY
														FORT COLLINS
1 606	11 750	117 382	10.0	1.9	11.8	2.0	11.6	45.6	62 326	592	9 496	5 912	94 857	GREELEY SMSA
1 606	11 750	117 382	10.0	1.9	11.8	2.0	11.6	45.6	62 326	592	9 496	5 912	94 857	WELD COUNTY
726	6 442	45 445	14.2	(X)	15.8	(X)	13.4	38.5	(NA)	162	(NA)	128	(NA)	GREELEY
810	2 754	23 531	11.7	1.2	12.3	1.4	11.5	29.4	94 289	320	3 395	2 736	29 018	PUEBLO SMSA
810	2 754	23 531	11.7	1.2	12.3	1.4	11.5	29.4	94 289	320	3 395	2 736	29 018	PUEBLO COUNTY
540	2 091	17 137	12.2	(X)	13.0	(X)	12.1	28.3	38 254	263	6 880	2 158	56 405	PUEBLO
816	6 565	89 563	7.3	4.2	10.2	2.2	9.7	46.7	45 702	272	5 949	3 709	81 152	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: MESA COUNTY
														CONNECTICUT**
7 149	241 385	643 463	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	905 793	36 313	40 090	96 801	106 869	NECMA PORTION
														BRIDGEPORT-STAMFORD-NORWALK NECMA:
310	5 549	17 664	31.4	4.1	32.9	4.4	34.1	32.5	31 098	676	21 743	2 152	69 204	FAIRFIELD COUNTY:
140	6 368	28 588	22.3	10.1	37.1	6.6	38.3	23.5	18 471	801	43 378	3 597	194 736	BRIDGEPORT CITY
210	5 973	25 533	23.4	2.5	24.2	1.8	25.9	11.8	25 057	751	29 979	3 211	128 156	DANBURY CITY
360	11 728	45 298	25.9	2.2	24.7	2.0	23.8	19.3	28 439	1 237	43 487	4 777	167 976	NORWALK CITY
100	5 281	17 665	29.9	2.7	30.1	3.0	30.4	14.4	19 355	775	40 063	2 594	134 011	STAMFORD CITY
160	16 031	32 977	48.6	5.7	49.2	5.3	49.1	18.3	19 453	2 774	142 612	5 707	293 388	FAIRFIELD TOWN
110	2 752	8 990	30.6	7.0	32.5	4.0	33.8	12.8	16 647	610	36 619	1 991	119 619	GREENWICH TOWN
														STRATFORD TOWN
														HARTFORD-NEW BRITAIN-BRISTOL NECMA:
80	2 450	4 884	50.2	14.2	57.1	23.6	43.7	40.1	15 958	523	32 799	1 044	65 393	HARTFORD COUNTY:
221	7 446	28 913	25.8	12.0	36.8	9.4	29.8	56.1	17 508	957	54 655	3 716	212 218	BRISTOL CITY
130	2 165	5 514	39.3	12.5	43.7	13.0	37.2	44.6	15 495	464	29 972	1 183	76 346	HARTFORD CITY
90	1 479	6 036	24.5	3.1	24.6	3.3	25.5	16.5	13 456	404	29 999	1 648	122 489	NEW BRITAIN CITY
70	1 659	4 112	40.3	4.0	38.1	5.7	40.1	14.7	15 130	504	33 300	1 249	82 563	EAST HARTFORD TOWN
200	5 501	17 985	30.6	2.3	31.9	2.3	32.0	11.5	19 992	1 148	57 399	3 753	187 704	MANCHESTER TOWN
														WEST HARTFORD TOWN
														NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN-WATERBURY-MERIDEN NECMA:
100	2 242	4 525	49.5	3.8	51.2	4.9	49.3	13.1	16 823	541	32 171	1 093	64 956	NEW HAVEN COUNTY:
150	3 265	9 195	35.5	2.2	35.7	2.5	33.6	10.4	17 693	1 027	58 026	2 892	163 429	MERIDEN CITY
130	4 282	9 442	45.4	8.3	49.2	8.6	44.8	27.9	24 432	889	36 380	1 959	80 188	MILFORD CITY
140	5 415	9 800	55.3	10.2	49.9	4.3	49.5	13.3	24 823	851	34 293	1 541	62 068	NEW HAVEN CITY
60	2 371	4 939	48.0	7.2	42.4	7.8	41.5	18.1	15 299	575	37 574	1 198	78 304	WATERBURY CITY
115	2 797	8 205	34.1	3.2	34.7	3.1	32.7	18.0	15 936	762	47 841	2 237	140 371	WEST HAVEN CITY
														HAMDEN TOWN
														DELAWARE
950	20 305	80 062	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	127 410	2 907	22 820	11 464	89 977	SMSA PORTION
950	20 305	80 062	25.4	2.8	30.8	4.9	26.8	30.7	127 410	2 907	22 820	11 464	89 977	WILMNGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*
950	20 305	80 062	25.4	2.8	30.8	4.9	26.8	30.7	127 410	2 907	22 820	11 464	89 977	NEW CASTLE COUNTY
190	2 504	10 324	24.3	(X)	29.4	(X)	26.9	37.8	22 911	434	18 947	1 790	78 114	WILMINGTON
339	2 683	12 870	20.8	1.1	24.1	3.4	20.7	45.7	35 236	368	10 442	1 765	50 092	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: KENT COUNTY
990	10 391	41 399	25.1	2.1	29.0	2.6	25.9	40.5	78 071	850	10 888	3 387	43 378	SUSSEX COUNTY
														DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA[13]
1 673	143 598	211 513	67.9	8.5	79.2	2.7	79.6	20.0	145 168	17 866	123 075	26 316	181 283	ALL SMSA:
1 673	143 598	211 513	67.9	8.5	79.2	2.7	79.6	20.0	145 168	17 866	123 075	26 316	181 283	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*
														WASHINGTON
														FLORIDA
39 322	1457 390	2 412 927	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	4 130 279	180 600	43 726	299 010	72 395	SMSA PORTION
880	32 711	75 130	43.5	27.1	67.0	4.8	66.7	17.7	72 326	3 507	48 495	8 056	111 387	BRADENTON SMSA
880	32 711	75 130	43.5	27.1	67.0	4.8	66.7	17.7	72 326	3 507	48 495	8 056	111 387	MANATEE COUNTY
2 250	54 179	92 332	58.7	10.5	71.2	8.0	68.6	27.6	196 112	5 085	25 929	8 665	44 186	DAYTONA BEACH SMSA
2 250	54 179	92 332	58.7	10.5	71.2	8.0	68.6	27.6	196 112	5 085	25 929	8 665	44 186	VOLUSIA COUNTY
2 680	122 444	205 601	59.6	2.8	61.7	2.4	60.1	17.0	451 363	25 887	57 352	43 468	96 303	FRT LAUDERDLE-HOLLYWOOD SMSA
2 680	122 444	205 601	59.6	2.8	61.7	2.4	60.1	17.0	451 363	25 887	57 352	43 468	96 303	BROWARD COUNTY
320	20 183	34 078	59.2	(X)	59.6	(X)	59.6	20.8	63 193	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT LAUDERDALE
300	14 655	27 620	53.1	(X)	60.8	(X)	60.0	23.1	49 948	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	HOLLYWOOD
160	6 852	11 840	57.9	(X)	59.7	(X)	53.6	21.1	31 605	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	POMPANO BEACH
3 680	75 099	152 600	49.2	8.8	60.2	8.6	60.8	45.5	339 238	6 026	17 762	12 244	36 093	FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL SMSA
3 680	75 099	152 600	49.2	8.8	60.2	8.6	60.8	45.5	339 238	6 026	17 762	12 244	36 093	LEE COUNTY
380	11 343	19 321	58.7	6.7	59.1	9.8	63.6	37.1	55 033	1 962	35 645	3 342	60 724	GAINESVILLE SMSA
380	11 343	19 321	58.7	6.7	59.1	9.8	63.6	37.1	55 033	1 962	35 645	3 342	60 724	ALACHUA COUNTY
150	6 714	9 572	70.1	(X)	70.6	(X)	71.7	9.7	21 748	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GAINESVILLE
														JACKSONVILLE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BAKER COUNTY
1 960	74 454	97 697	76.2	7.8	94.8	15.8	80.9	35.2	210 469	8 066	38 325	10 584	50 289	JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL[11]
119	5 155	13 486	38.2	32.8	42.8	20.7	34.0	72.1	39 446	1 005	25 476	2 629	66 653	ST. JOHNS COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
COLORADO--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
FORT COLLINS SMSA	954	7 610	64 729	11.8	0.8	11.7	1.0	12.5	21.0	41 728	347 345	8 324	2 954	70 791
LARIMER COUNTY	954	7 610	64 729	11.8	0.8	11.7	1.0	12.5	21.0	41 728	347 345	8 324	2 954	70 791
FORT COLLINS	540	4 869	39 626	12.3	(X)	12.4	(X)	13.0	13.2	24 768	153 217	6 186	1 247	50 335
GREELEY SMSA	990	8 167	64 780	12.6	1.1	13.7	2.5	12.9	30.3	40 896	273 955	6 699	2 173	53 136
WELD COUNTY	990	8 167	64 780	12.6	1.1	13.7	2.5	12.9	30.3	40 896	273 955	6 699	2 173	53 136
GREELEY	528	4 821	34 498	14.0	(X)	15.9	(X)	13.4	29.0	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PUEBLO SMSA	504	2 072	17 518	11.8	1.3	12.0	1.3	12.1	23.4	39 552	186 414	4 713	1 575	39 830
PUEBLO COUNTY	504	2 072	17 518	11.8	1.3	12.0	1.3	12.1	23.4	39 552	186 414	4 713	1 575	39 830
PUEBLO	432	1 676	14 200	11.8	(X)	12.1	(X)	12.1	21.1	32 384	184 413	5 698	1 562	48 235
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
MESA COUNTY	312	2 133	20 390	10.5	0.9	10.5	1.3	11.0	15.2	25 584	178 662	6 983	1 707	66 728
CONNECTICUT**														
NECMA PORTION	5 117	184 527	470 359	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	689 549	24 841 927	36 026	63 322	91 831
BRIDGEPORT-STAMFORD-NORWALK NECMA:														
FAIRFIELD COUNTY:														
BRIDGEPORT CITY	170	1 936	8 212	23.6	3.3	25.9	4.2	23.0	28.7	17 536	270 745	15 439	1 148	65 480
DANBURY CITY	130	4 154	12 498	33.2	11.4	38.9	6.1	38.8	20.0	15 056	551 922	36 658	1 661	110 292
NORWALK CITY	190	5 181	22 183	23.4	2.4	24.4	1.7	25.9	9.9	19 586	538 263	27 482	2 304	117 653
STAMFORD CITY	310	9 674	37 983	25.5	2.0	24.8	1.9	23.9	16.6	24 464	771 314	31 529	3 028	123 791
FAIRFIELD TOWN	100	5 281	17 665	29.9	2.7	30.1	3.0	30.4	14.4	17 408	617 283	35 460	2 065	118 613
GREENWICH TOWN	130	14 185	27 615	51.4	6.1	52.5	5.1	51.0	14.5	17 523	2 167 385	123 688	4 220	240 815
STRATFORD TOWN	100	2 633	8 565	30.7	7.4	33.0	4.3	34.3	12.1	14 848	430 163	28 971	1 399	94 231
HARTFORD-NEW BRITAIN-BRISTOL NECMA:														
HARTFORD COUNTY:														
BRISTOL CITY	60	1 914	3 807	50.3	18.2	59.4	31.2	40.4	49.6	10 816	307 958	28 472	613	56 638
HARTFORD CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NEW BRITAIN CITY	50	755	2 260	33.4	8.1	34.9	6.2	38.3	11.1	8 960	194 495	21 707	582	64 980
EAST HARTFORD TOWN	90	1 479	6 036	24.5	3.1	24.6	3.3	25.5	16.5	11 328	163 927	14 471	669	59 086
MANCHESTER TOWN	49	1 330	3 173	41.9	3.8	41.1	2.9	41.6	7.9	10 689	300 080	28 074	716	66 971
WEST HARTFORD TOWN	180	4 824	15 965	30.2	2.4	31.7	2.5	31.9	12.2	16 960	856 381	50 494	2 835	167 141
NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN-WATERBURY-MERIDEN NECMA:														
NEW HAVEN COUNTY:														
MERIDEN CITY	70	1 751	3 543	49.4	4.1	48.3	3.9	48.8	8.6	12 577	347 896	27 661	704	55 994
MILFORD CITY	130	2 864	8 320	34.4	1.9	34.0	1.6	33.6	6.1	15 232	610 959	40 110	1 775	116 554
NEW HAVEN CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WATERBURY CITY	60	1 547	3 050	50.7	3.8	51.0	3.8	52.0	8.5	18 048	477 991	26 484	943	52 232
WEST HAVEN CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HAMDEN TOWN	90	2 409	7 008	34.4	3.5	34.6	3.0	32.5	14.2	14 096	561 067	39 803	1 632	115 751
DELAWARE														
SMSA PORTION	790	15 470	57 015	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	107 534	1 616 255	15 030	5 957	55 394
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	790	15 470	57 015	27.1	1.2	28.5	1.8	26.9	17.9	107 534	1 616 255	15 030	5 957	55 394
NEW CASTLE COUNTY	790	15 470	57 015	27.1	1.2	28.5	1.8	26.9	17.9	107 534	1 616 255	15 030	5 957	55 394
WILMINGTON	140	2 014	8 104	24.9	(X)	28.6	(X)	26.5	32.0	18 658	167 675	8 987	675	36 159
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
KENT COUNTY	216	2 112	9 856	21.4	1.0	22.3	1.2	20.8	21.3	23 712	198 343	8 365	926	39 035
SUSSEX COUNTY	342	4 444	17 702	25.1	2.3	32.0	6.2	26.1	46.5	48 744	544 946	11 180	2 170	44 527
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA[13]														
ALL SMSA:														
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*	1 232	105 040	131 852	79.7	3.9	81.1	2.5	81.3	15.9	103 523	8 734 426	84 372	10 964	105 908
WASHINGTON	1 232	105 040	131 852	79.7	3.9	81.1	2.5	81.3	15.9	103 523	8 734 426	84 372	10 964	105 908
FLORIDA														
SMSA PORTION	23 586	1 026 886	1 494 005	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 512 942	110 567 294	43 999	160 863	64 014
BRADENTON SMSA	600	23 918	36 424	65.7	5.0	68.6	4.9	67.9	15.1	51 106	2 131 827	41 718	3 247	63 525
MANATEE COUNTY	600	23 918	36 424	65.7	5.0	68.6	4.9	67.9	15.1	51 106	2 131 827	41 718	3 247	63 525
DAYTONA BEACH SMSA	1 020	40 096	60 808	65.9	9.5	70.4	4.4	70.6	14.0	92 627	3 302 635	35 658	5 009	54 072
VOLUSIA COUNTY	1 020	40 096	60 808	65.9	9.5	70.4	4.4	70.6	14.0	92 627	3 302 635	35 658	5 009	54 072
FORT LAUDERDALE-HOLLYWOOD SMSA	2 380	105 538	176 753	59.7	2.9	61.2	2.5	60.0	16.2	337 191	15 910 572	47 186	26 647	79 026
BROWARD COUNTY	2 380	105 538	176 753	59.7	2.9	61.2	2.5	60.0	16.2	337 191	15 910 572	47 186	26 647	79 026
FORT LAUDERDALE	260	14 467	25 278	57.2	(X)	57.9	(X)	56.7	23.5	43 395	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOLLYWOOD	240	9 321	18 072	51.6	(X)	57.5	(X)	57.4	19.7	36 644	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
POMPANO BEACH	120	5 473	9 340	58.6	(X)	56.8	(X)	53.6	17.5	24 516	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL SMSA	760	34 525	52 038	66.3	8.6	63.9	10.2	70.3	19.9	86 869	3 847 619	44 292	5 799	66 761
LEE COUNTY	760	34 525	52 038	66.3	8.6	63.9	10.2	70.3	19.9	86 869	3 847 619	44 292	5 799	66 761
GAINESVILLE SMSA	220	8 931	13 641	65.5	6.2	70.2	11.8	70.4	22.4	33 536	1 212 907	36 167	1 853	55 240
ALACHUA COUNTY	220	8 931	13 641	65.5	6.2	70.2	11.8	70.4	22.4	33 536	1 212 907	36 167	1 853	55 240
GAINESVILLE	140	6 066	8 719	69.6	(X)	70.2	(X)	71.5	10.1	16 384	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
JACKSONVILLE SMSA:														
BAKER COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL[11]	1 440	58 284	74 214	78.5	5.6	84.6	4.8	80.9	18.9	149 779	4 635 244	30 947	5 902	39 406
ST. JOHNS COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														COLORADO--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
180	1 571	11 831	13.3	6.3	14.7	6.4	13.0	60.0	26 416	40 678	1 540	306	11 592	FORT COLLINS SMSA
180	1 571	11 831	13.3	6.3	14.7	6.4	13.0	60.0	26 416	40 678	1 540	306	11 592	LARIMER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FORT COLLINS
154	418	2 581	16.2	11.3	12.3	8.6	7.0	(3)	8 192	7 194	878	45	5 433	GREELEY SMSA
154	418	2 581	16.2	11.3	12.3	8.6	7.0	(3)	8 192	7 194	878	45	5 433	WELD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREELEY
180	174	1 470	11.8	1.6	14.1	4.0	11.3	34.4	39 424	30 969	786	263	6 682	PUEBLO SMSA
180	174	1 470	11.8	1.6	14.1	4.0	11.3	34.4	39 424	30 969	786	263	6 682	PUEBLO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PUEBLO
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
288	773	6 756	11.4	8.3	11.0	5.4	7.1	95.8	12 544	11 801	941	103	8 220	MESA COUNTY
														CONNECTICUT**
589	8 079	25 433	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	112 556	1 034 788	9 194	3 258	28 942	NECMA PORTION
														BRIDGEPORT-STAMFORD-NORWALK NECMA:
														FAIRFIELD COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRIDGEPORT CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DANBURY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORWALK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STAMFORD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFIELD TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREENWICH TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STRATFORD TOWN
														HARTFORD-NEW BRITAIN-BRISTOL NECMA:
														HARTFORD COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRISTOL CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HARTFORD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEW BRITAIN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EAST HARTFORD TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANCHESTER TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST HARTFORD TOWN
														NEW HAVEN-WEST HAVEN-WATERBURY-MERIDEN NECMA:
														NEW HAVEN COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MERIDEN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MILFORD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEW HAVEN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WATERBURY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST HAVEN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMDEN TOWN
														DELAWARE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEW CASTLE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILMINGTON
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
51	72	470	15.4	6.5	35.7	20.2	20.4	(3)	5 952	4 916	826	32	5 375	KENT COUNTY
540	2 204	9 838	22.4	2.9	27.0	2.3	25.2	37.9	16 848	46 505	2 760	208	12 321	SUSSEX COUNTY
														DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA[13]
														ALL SMSA:
42	823	1 106	74.4	28.2	96.0	39.0	74.8	45.9	13 219	449 714	34 020	604	45 719	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*
42	823	1 106	74.4	28.2	96.0	39.0	74.8	45.9	13 219	449 714	34 020	604	45 719	WASHINGTON
														FLORIDA
10 602	168 177	395 928	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1076 232	13525 213	12 567	31 842	29 586	SMSA PORTION
160	2 793	4 222	66.1	5.8	68.6	6.2	68.7	11.6	11 129	165 815	14 899	251	22 524	BRADENTON SMSA
160	2 793	4 222	66.1	5.8	68.6	6.2	68.7	11.6	11 129	165 815	14 899	251	22 524	MANATEE COUNTY
960	5 823	17 391	33.5	20.4	75.9	17.4	67.7	41.4	87 782	413 426	4 710	1 235	14 065	DAYTONA BEACH SMSA
960	5 823	17 391	33.5	20.4	75.9	17.4	67.7	41.4	87 782	413 426	4 710	1 235	14 065	VOLUSIA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRT LAUDERDLE-HOLLYWOOD SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROWARD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FORT LAUDERDALE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HOLLYWOOD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POMPANO BEACH
2 440	26 530	60 390	43.9	8.2	62.8	12.1	57.0	53.6	240 550	1 140 604	4 742	2 596	10 793	FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL SMSA
2 440	26 530	60 390	43.9	8.2	62.8	12.1	57.0	53.6	240 550	1 140 604	4 742	2 596	10 793	LEE COUNTY
100	1 423	2 510	56.6	18.4	55.4	17.9	49.0	54.9	13 760	169 166	12 294	299	21 707	GAINESVILLE SMSA
100	1 423	2 510	56.6	18.4	55.4	17.9	49.0	54.9	13 760	169 166	12 294	299	21 707	ALACHUA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GAINESVILLE
														JACKSONVILLE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BAKER COUNTY
260	3 562	4 049	88.0	35.3	162.3	106.3	93.1	(3)	35 418	289 975	8 187	330	9 306	JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. JOHNS COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN SMSA	321 652	180 927	5 578 446	100.0	56.2	51.2	13.1	5.3	22.3	3.1
POLK COUNTY	321 652	180 927	5 578 446	100.0	56.2	51.2	13.1	5.3	22.3	3.1
LAKELAND	47 406	16 620	(NA)	100.0	70.9	56.6	1.4	4.3	23.4	-
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-COCOA SMSA	272 959	210 550	5 230 432	100.0	74.1	70.3	4.0	9.5	12.4	-
BREVARD COUNTY	272 959	210 550	5 230 432	100.0	74.1	70.3	4.0	9.5	12.4	-
MIAMI SMSA	1 625 979	508 244	41 964 166	100.0	69.1	57.9	3.4	6.0	21.5	(Z)
DADE COUNTY	1 625 979	508 244	41 964 166	100.0	69.1	57.9	3.4	6.0	21.5	(Z)
HIALEAH	145 254	33 529	(NA)	100.0	68.0	53.7	0.8	2.4	28.8	-
MIAMI	346 931	81 945	(NA)	100.0	60.2	40.0	0.1	4.7	34.9	-
MIAMI BEACH	96 298	27 011	(NA)	100.0	76.1	54.9	-	1.1	22.8	-
ORLANDO SMSA	700 699	307 744	12 640 468	100.0	65.4	58.8	6.3	8.8	19.4	-
ORANGE COUNTY	471 660	177 807	8 382 063	100.0	64.0	56.9	5.3	8.4	22.3	-
ORLANDO	128 394	36 359	(NA)	100.0	60.5	50.0	0.9	7.9	30.8	-
OSCEOLA COUNTY	49 287	46 605	1 153 504	100.0	49.5	43.6	19.6	10.5	20.4	-
SEMINOLE COUNTY	179 752	83 332	3 104 901	100.0	74.5	69.0	4.4	9.4	11.6	-
PANAMA CITY SMSA	97 740	59 138	1 479 252	100.0	66.9	63.2	7.6	11.8	13.5	0.1
BAY COUNTY	97 740	59 138	1 479 252	100.0	66.9	63.2	7.6	11.8	13.5	0.1
PENSACOLA SMSA:										
ESCAMBIA COUNTY	233 794	105 581	2 955 706	100.0	67.0	62.9	1.2	11.2	20.2	0.4
PENSACOLA	57 619	22 604	(NA)	100.0	79.8	75.1	-	7.0	12.7	0.4
SARASOTA SMSA	202 251	184 108	7 015 439	100.0	74.5	70.8	0.6	11.0	12.6	1.3
SARASOTA COUNTY	202 251	184 108	7 015 439	100.0	74.5	70.8	0.6	11.0	12.6	1.3
SARASOTA	48 868	21 119	(NA)	100.0	76.0	66.5	-	2.9	20.7	0.5
TALLAHASSEE SMSA:										
LEON COUNTY[6 14]	148 655	56 981	2 494 242	100.0	66.8	53.7	3.0	9.7	20.4	-
TALLAHASSEE	81 548	24 856	(NA)	100.0	63.6	45.9	-	8.5	27.9	-
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG SMSA	1 569 492	687 561	28 044 593	100.0	71.5	65.0	2.7	7.2	18.4	0.1
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	646 960	240 144	9 451 644	100.0	65.8	59.7	4.0	5.5	24.7	(Z)
TAMPA	271 523	97 362	(NA)	100.0	63.6	57.2	0.6	3.9	32.0	-
PASCO COUNTY[6]	194 123	132 086	3 454 784	100.0	69.6	68.5	0.2	22.0	7.9	0.4
PINELLAS COUNTY	728 409	315 331	15 138 165	100.0	75.6	67.5	2.6	4.9	17.0	(Z)
CLEARWATER	85 450	35 771	(NA)	100.0	71.1	61.8	0.7	4.8	23.4	-
LARGO	58 977	17 389	(NA)	100.0	79.7	64.9	2.2	4.5	13.6	-
ST. PETERSBURG	236 893	99 183	(NA)	100.0	78.7	68.2	1.0	2.6	17.8	(Z)
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON SMSA	573 125	334 864	18 309 977	100.0	70.5	64.1	6.4	6.8	12.5	3.9
PALM BEACH COUNTY	573 125	334 864	18 309 977	100.0	70.5	64.1	6.4	6.8	12.5	3.9
WEST PALM BEACH	62 530	23 399	(NA)	100.0	64.4	51.2	-	3.6	31.6	0.5
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
COLLIER COUNTY	85 791	128 139	4 214 135	100.0	64.9	61.2	7.8	12.5	10.4	4.4
INDIAN RIVER COUNTY	59 896	50 827	2 088 738	100.0	61.5	57.9	7.3	17.5	13.6	-
MARION COUNTY	122 488	120 510	2 170 026	100.0	49.5	46.7	15.7	19.7	15.0	-
MARTIN COUNTY	64 014	55 069	2 037 544	100.0	66.8	65.7	7.3	17.4	8.1	0.4
OKALOOSA COUNTY[6]	109 920	49 453	1 419 786	100.0	75.3	72.8	6.0	0.1	18.6	-
GEORGIA										
SMSA PORTION	3 146 997	1 056 615	19 119 124	100.0	67.6	60.2	5.6	3.4	23.4	0.1
ALBANY SMSA	112 662	31 618	641 635	100.0	62.7	57.7	6.5	2.4	28.4	-
DOUGHERTY COUNTY	100 978	28 041	561 065	100.0	60.1	54.7	6.3	2.0	31.6	-
ALBANY	73 934	27 988	344 097	100.0	66.0	62.1	5.5	2.3	26.1	-
LEE COUNTY	11 684	3 577	80 570	100.0	83.1	82.0	8.0	5.5	3.4	-
ATLANTA SMSA:										
BUTTS COUNTY	13 665	6 306	53 770	100.0	60.8	58.7	26.9	0.4	11.9	-
CLAYTON COUNTY	150 357	45 920	1 008 129	100.0	70.2	59.4	2.2	2.0	25.6	-
COBB COUNTY	297 694	98 440	2 100 549	100.0	73.6	68.6	0.8	5.5	20.2	-
DEKALB COUNTY	483 024	139 335	3 118 254	100.0	75.2	65.2	-	3.9	20.7	0.2
ATLANTA (PART)	37 283	9 855	94 611	100.0	94.3	85.1	-	-	5.7	-
FAYETTE COUNTY	29 043	11 986	228 664	100.0	81.8	81.0	2.8	8.8	6.6	-
FULTON COUNTY	589 904	174 562	4 394 312	100.0	61.5	49.4	-	4.6	33.9	-
ATLANTA (PART)	387 739	99 827	2 536 679	100.0	54.6	42.2	-	2.6	42.8	-
GWINNETT COUNTY	166 903	67 014	1 267 119	100.0	75.1	71.0	8.4	-	16.5	-
HENRY COUNTY	36 309	18 324	217 626	100.0	67.4	66.9	19.6	1.6	11.4	-
WALTON COUNTY	31 211	13 186	147 875	100.0	65.0	64.6	21.6	0.7	12.5	0.3
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA[8]										
RICHMOND COUNTY	181 629	53 832	771 965	100.0	74.2	70.6	4.9	2.2	18.8	-
AUGUSTA	47 532	14 699	183 076	100.0	66.8	63.1	-	3.9	29.3	-
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA[8]	191 173	60 800	749 740	100.0	58.0	45.3	5.4	0.6	36.0	-
CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]	21 732	1 794	6 761	100.0	47.0	47.0	39.2	1.0	12.8	-
COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	169 441	59 006	742 979	100.0	58.4	45.3	4.3	0.6	36.7	-
MACON SMSA:										
BIBB COUNTY	151 085	52 261	661 392	100.0	68.5	63.4	1.8	1.9	27.8	-
MACON (PART)	116 465	29 068	464 427	100.0	76.5	74.0	-	0.7	22.8	-
HOUSTON COUNTY	77 605	29 857	364 491	100.0	59.0	52.5	20.6	2.7	17.7	-
TWIGGS COUNTY[15]	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
SAVANNAH SMSA:										
CHATHAM COUNTY	202 226	55 802	1 136 801	100.0	70.6	65.3	2.1	3.6	23.3	0.4
SAVANNAH	141 634	42 627	658 934	100.0	76.3	70.1	1.3	2.3	20.2	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														FLORIDA--CONTINUED
2 130	49 780	94 428	52.7	15.2	66.0	11.2	67.8	53.6	180 927	5 578	30 833	10 582	58 490	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
2 130	49 780	94 428	52.7	15.2	66.0	11.2	67.8	53.6	180 927	5 578	30 833	10 582	58 490	LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN SMSA
210	7 441	8 597	86.5	(X)	86.7	(X)	85.8	10.3	16 620	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	POLK COUNTY
														LAKELAND
2 560	66 207	115 488	57.3	7.1	55.3	5.3	56.3	31.1	210 550	5 230	24 842	9 124	43 333	MELBRNE-TITUSVLE-COCOA SMSA
2 560	66 207	115 488	57.3	7.1	55.3	5.3	56.3	31.1	210 550	5 230	24 842	9 124	43 333	BREVARD COUNTY
3 380	284 222	415 640	68.4	8.1	75.8	2.4	77.4	15.0	508 244	41 964	82 567	61 367	120 744	MIAMI SMSA
3 380	284 222	415 640	68.4	8.1	75.8	2.4	77.4	15.0	508 244	41 964	82 567	61 367	120 744	DADE COUNTY
120	5 158	6 541	78.9	(X)	79.5	(X)	77.5	9.3	33 529	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	HIALEAH
520	37 506	63 593	59.0	(X)	71.3	(X)	73.7	21.2	81 945	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MIAMI
240	60 504	85 070	71.1	(X)	70.2	(X)	73.6	22.3	27 011	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MIAMI BEACH
3 816	151 742	245 137	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	307 744	12 640	41 075	20 420	66 355	ORLANDO SMSA
2 190	97 548	157 959	61.8	7.2	68.7	5.0	71.3	22.6	177 807	8 382	47 141	13 573	76 333	ORANGE COUNTY
420	19 283	31 176	61.9	(X)	74.6	(X)	75.0	22.9	36 359	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ORLANDO
306	6 825	9 720	70.2	8.1	62.6	10.0	68.6	26.0	46 605	1 154	24 751	1 643	35 252	OSCEOLA COUNTY
1 320	47 369	77 458	61.2	3.7	60.9	9.0	63.5	30.1	83 332	3 105	37 259	5 077	60 926	SEMINOLE COUNTY
320	7 993	11 593	68.9	4.6	68.0	4.4	68.9	20.3	59 138	1 479	25 014	2 145	36 279	PANAMA CITY SMSA
320	7 993	11 593	68.9	4.6	68.0	4.4	68.9	20.3	59 138	1 479	25 014	2 145	36 279	BAY COUNTY
940	25 747	37 110	69.4	9.1	77.0	7.1	76.8	23.0	105 581	2 956	27 995	4 260	40 349	PENSACOLA SMSA:
260	8 380	12 866	65.1	(X)	68.6	(X)	76.5	21.8	22 604	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ESCAMBIA COUNTY
														PENSACOLA
1 760	60 839	88 692	68.6	5.6	62.4	5.0	65.3	30.3	184 108	7 015	38 105	10 227	55 550	SARASOTA SMSA
1 760	60 839	88 692	68.6	5.6	62.4	5.0	65.3	30.3	184 108	7 015	38 105	10 227	55 550	SARASOTA COUNTY
180	8 551	11 960	71.5	(X)	70.4	(X)	65.5	18.6	21 119	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SARASOTA
740	23 632	29 518	80.7	3.6	85.2	8.5	80.9	20.4	56 981	2 494	43 773	3 089	54 216	TALLAHASSEE SMSA:
260	8 968	10 895	82.3	(X)	89.6	(X)	85.5	14.4	24 856	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LEON COUNTY[8 14]
														TALLAHASSEE
7 520	232 415	355 886	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	687 561	28 045	40 789	42 943	62 458	TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG SMSA
2 920	83 677	127 228	65.8	4.4	69.7	4.6	68.3	19.6	240 144	9 452	39 358	14 371	59 843	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
1 280	32 566	49 811	65.4	(X)	69.0	(X)	67.5	17.4	97 362	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	TAMPA
2 760	59 222	106 111	55.8	12.8	64.3	5.4	65.4	28.8	132 086	3 455	26 156	6 190	46 863	PASCO COUNTY[8]
1 840	89 516	122 547	73.0	11.2	71.4	6.6	67.8	22.8	315 331	15 138	48 007	20 724	65 721	PINELLAS COUNTY
520	39 233	49 456	79.3	(X)	70.9	(X)	74.5	19.5	35 771	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	CLEARWATER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LARGO
600	24 166	36 140	66.9	(X)	67.2	(X)	67.2	9.9	99 183	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ST. PETERSBURG
3 600	163 090	332 144	49.1	16.7	61.4	4.1	65.7	22.7	334 864	18 310	54 679	37 289	111 357	WST PLM BEACH-BOCA RATN SMSA
3 600	163 090	332 144	49.1	16.7	61.4	4.1	65.7	22.7	334 864	18 310	54 679	37 289	111 357	PALM BEACH COUNTY
320	8 898	15 408	57.7	(X)	58.2	(X)	60.8	20.3	23 399	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	WEST PALM BEACH
420	13 295	23 617	56.3	12.6	118.6	98.1	64.1	(3)	128 139	4 214	32 887	7 487	58 428	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
585	20 426	32 470	62.9	7.0	65.8	9.8	62.5	35.1	50 827	2 089	41 095	3 320	65 324	COLLIER COUNTY
940	18 191	31 167	58.4	14.2	74.7	14.5	63.8	53.2	120 510	2 170	18 007	3 718	30 853	INDIAN RIVER COUNTY
676	23 243	41 237	56.4	6.7	55.2	6.9	61.2	31.7	55 069	2 038	37 000	3 615	65 643	MARION COUNTY
580	14 993	28 257	53.1	13.1	61.0	7.1	60.0	24.3	49 453	1 420	28 710	2 676	54 114	MARTIN COUNTY
														OKALOOSA COUNTY[8]
														GEORGIA
9 691	167 322	602 987	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 056 615	19 119	18 095	68 901	65 209	SMSA PORTION
326	5 389	15 694	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	31 618	642	20 293	1 869	59 099	ALBANY SMSA
290	4 977	13 937	35.7	3.4	37.6	4.8	33.9	26.8	28 041	561	20 009	1 572	56 058	DOUGHERTY COUNTY
160	2 772	7 994	34.7	(X)	40.2	(X)	34.0	27.3	27 988	344	12 294	993	35 474	ALBANY
36	412	1 757	23.5	5.1	28.9	7.1	27.5	40.0	3 577	81	22 524	343	95 817	LEE COUNTY
64	475	1 444	33.0	7.5	39.9	10.7	34.3	45.2	6 306	54	8 527	163	25 854	ATLANTA SMSA:
530	11 289	43 215	26.1	4.4	30.1	2.5	31.3	21.4	45 920	1 008	21 954	3 859	84 041	BUTTS COUNTY
1 032	41 768	137 244	30.4	2.5	29.0	1.5	28.1	14.6	98 440	2 101	21 338	6 902	70 111	CLAYTON COUNTY
156	2 040	7 644	26.7	5.7	29.6	12.7	27.6	44.1	139 335	3 118	22 380	11 679	83 817	COBB COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DEKALB COUNTY
210	2 381	8 519	28.0	2.0	26.3	2.5	26.8	20.3	11 986	229	19 078	818	68 219	ATLANTA (PART)
1 680	32 970	132 327	24.9	1.9	27.4	2.2	27.1	32.5	174 562	4 394	25 173	17 636	101 028	FAYETTE COUNTY
1 110	18 314	79 868	22.9	(X)	26.9	(X)	24.6	44.8	99 827	2 537	25 411	11 061	110 799	FULTON COUNTY
864	10 855	43 215	25.1	3.6	27.3	3.6	29.0	26.3	67 014	1 267	18 908	5 045	75 278	ATLANTA (PART)
126	751	2 738	27.5	4.0	36.1	9.8	30.0	41.2	18 324	218	11 877	793	43 258	GWINNETT COUNTY
182	1 301	3 748	34.7	8.8	42.0	11.0	38.0	34.7	13 186	148	11 215	426	32 278	HENRY COUNTY
														WALTON COUNTY
320	4 928	14 156	34.8	2.3	36.1	3.0	34.8	20.6	53 832	772	14 340	2 218	41 202	AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA:*
75	845	2 801	30.2	(X)	34.3	(X)	29.3	34.2	14 699	183	12 455	606	41 254	RICHMOND COUNTY
														AUGUSTA
310	5 053	18 383	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	60 800	750	12 331	2 728	44 862	COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA*
10	128	417	30.7	-	38.2	-	37.9	17.0	1 794	7	3 769	22	12 259	CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]
300	4 925	17 966	27.4	4.7	30.9	3.4	32.7	21.0	59 006	743	12 592	2 710	45 928	COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE
470	6 996	26 333	26.6	5.5	31.3	3.9	28.2	32.8	52 261	661	12 656	2 489	47 619	MACON SMSA:
360	5 757	22 132	26.0	(X)	32.1	(X)	28.2	36.7	29 068	464	15 977	1 785	61 400	BIBB COUNTY
480	5 839	24 394	23.9	8.1	31.1	3.0	31.8	23.4	29 857	364	12 208	1 523	51 008	MACON (PART)
36	244	896	27.4	9.2	108.5	81.4	29.7	(3)	3 086	40	12 871	145	46 981	HOUSTON COUNTY
														TWIGGS COUNTY[15]
910	15 617	50 592	30.9	2.7	32.4	2.3	34.3	23.0	55 802	1 137	20 372	3 683	65 999	SAVANNAH SMSA:
520	9 410	31 538	29.8	(X)	32.1	(X)	34.2	24.6	42 627	659	15 458	2 209	51 813	CHATHAM COUNTY
														SAVANNAH

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
FLORIDA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN SMSA	840	23 574	35 710	66.0	10.7	65.2	13.6	68.3	38.4	83 215	2 854 922	34 308	4 325	51 973
POLK COUNTY	840	23 574	35 710	66.0	10.7	65.2	13.6	68.3	38.4	83 215	2 854 922	34 308	4 325	51 973
LAKELAND	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-COCOA SMSA	1 040	45 781	71 406	64.1	4.3	66.2	4.1	69.2	10.9	87 761	3 679 530	41 927	5 739	65 393
BREVARD COUNTY	1 040	45 781	71 406	64.1	4.3	66.2	4.1	69.2	10.9	87 761	3 679 530	41 927	5 739	65 393
MIAMI SMSA	2 660	170 904	220 633	77.5	2.5	78.8	1.9	78.2	10.9	392 666	24316 907	61 928	31 393	79 948
DADE COUNTY	2 660	170 904	220 633	77.5	2.5	78.8	1.9	78.2	10.9	392 666	24316 907	61 928	31 393	79 948
HIALEAH	120	5 158	6 541	78.9	(X)	79.5	(X)	77.5	9.3	27 410	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MIAMI	280	14 239	17 906	79.5	(X)	79.5	(X)	75.4	12.3	49 996	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MIAMI BEACH	160	9 383	13 070	71.8	(X)	72.0	(X)	73.6	16.0	22 271	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ORLANDO SMSA	2 653	117 011	170 685	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	193 850	7 426 820	38 319	10 834	55 886
ORANGE COUNTY	1 680	74 392	103 104	72.2	4.8	71.7	4.6	71.9	16.8	122 802	4 770 392	38 846	6 611	53 837
ORLANDO	330	15 534	19 626	79.2	(X)	79.7	(X)	75.9	16.5	28 752	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OSCEOLA COUNTY	153	5 503	7 466	73.7	9.3	72.7	11.2	77.6	19.2	16 100	502 773	31 228	682	42 369
SEMINOLE COUNTY	820	37 116	60 115	61.7	3.4	67.2	12.7	64.1	24.9	54 948	2 141 477	38 973	3 468	63 123
PANAMA CITY SMSA	190	6 295	8 918	70.6	4.7	70.1	4.6	71.8	15.2	30 768	934 922	30 386	1 324	43 043
BAY COUNTY	190	6 295	8 918	70.6	4.7	70.1	4.6	71.8	15.2	30 768	934 922	30 386	1 324	43 043
PENSACOLA SMSA:														
ESCAMBIA COUNTY	660	22 148	30 132	73.5	6.4	76.1	5.9	76.5	16.8	67 856	1 857 710	27 377	2 527	37 248
PENSACOLA	200	7 642	11 636	65.7	(X)	68.2	(X)	74.2	20.2	17 216	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SARASOTA SMSA	760	41 989	57 329	73.2	4.9	73.6	4.7	73.6	14.1	90 184	4 966 837	55 074	6 781	75 196
SARASOTA COUNTY	760	41 989	57 329	73.2	4.9	73.6	4.7	73.6	14.1	90 184	4 966 837	55 074	6 781	75 196
SARASOTA	160	7 871	11 090	71.0	(X)	69.4	(X)	65.3	18.6	17 601	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TALLAHASSEE SMSA:														
LEON COUNTY[8 14]	420	19 666	24 081	81.7	2.7	82.7	2.8	80.9	6.5	31 924	1 338 859	41 939	1 639	51 353
TALLAHASSEE	160	6 302	7 541	83.6	(X)	85.0	(X)	85.0	5.7	14 962	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG SMSA	5 210	167 302	249 180	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	494 127	18 236 892	36 907	27 162	54 970
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	2 000	67 401	96 805	69.6	3.7	73.2	5.4	69.0	18.5	163 780	5 646 287	34 475	8 110	49 515
TAMPA	920	24 307	35 551	68.4	(X)	71.7	(X)	68.4	18.6	70 370	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PASCO COUNTY[8]	2 010	50 670	79 068	64.1	5.7	63.4	5.4	68.4	23.5	77 652	2 366 199	30 479	3 692	47 550
PINELLAS COUNTY	1 200	49 231	73 307	67.2	7.2	70.7	8.3	67.5	21.7	252 695	10 222 223	40 453	15 221	60 235
CLEARWATER	320	13 697	23 396	58.5	(X)	62.1	(X)	66.0	24.2	30 050	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LARGO	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ST. PETERSBURG	440	17 468	27 040	64.6	(X)	66.0	(X)	67.2	8.6	83 942	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON SMSA	2 360	125 621	191 072	65.7	5.1	66.6	3.9	67.7	16.8	216 516	11 737 092	54 209	17 852	82 453
PALM BEACH COUNTY	2 360	125 621	191 072	65.7	5.1	66.6	3.9	67.7	16.8	216 516	11 737 092	54 209	17 852	82 453
WEST PALM BEACH	280	6 721	11 808	56.9	(X)	57.9	(X)	61.1	22.9	16 940	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
COLLIER COUNTY	200	11 215	18 590	60.3	10.4	63.1	11.0	65.1	19.3	38 523	2 578 257	66 928	4 274	110 954
INDIAN RIVER COUNTY	221	12 724	17 890	71.1	6.4	72.2	7.6	73.3	18.7	20 727	1 209 945	58 375	1 701	82 074
MARION COUNTY	440	8 135	14 476	56.2	7.0	61.0	12.1	57.3	35.1	39 394	1 013 857	25 736	1 804	45 798
MARTIN COUNTY	390	17 671	28 757	61.4	4.3	61.4	6.2	64.0	19.2	26 625	1 338 469	50 271	2 178	81 809
OKALOOSA COUNTY[8]	400	13 072	21 384	61.1	6.4	64.7	6.4	61.4	18.2	41 223	1 034 111	25 086	1 692	41 040
GEORGIA														
SMSA PORTION	6 454	113 984	390 007	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	763 532	11504 450	15 067	39 364	51 555
ALBANY SMSA	201	4 349	11 856	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	23 915	370 252	15 482	1 009	42 206
DOUGHERTY COUNTY	180	4 043	10 845	37.3	4.1	40.8	6.4	34.0	26.4	21 472	306 704	14 284	823	38 334
ALBANY	100	2 045	5 639	36.2	(X)	42.3	(X)	33.8	30.2	21 472	213 569	9 946	589	27 440
LEE COUNTY	21	306	1 011	30.3	2.9	36.8	8.6	30.2	32.0	2 443	66 040	27 039	218	89 262
ATLANTA SMSA:														
BUTTS COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CLAYTON COUNTY	440	6 907	22 093	31.3	1.9	31.9	2.0	32.2	16.1	35 040	599 105	17 098	1 916	54 688
COBB COUNTY	888	20 590	72 138	28.5	1.5	28.7	1.5	27.9	13.3	71 569	1 441 306	20 139	5 049	70 553
DEKALB COUNTY	104	1 525	4 880	31.3	6.1	35.7	17.0	28.9	41.5	124 711	2 034 558	16 314	6 510	52 203
ATLANTA (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
FAYETTE COUNTY	84	1 863	6 262	29.8	1.9	29.3	2.1	29.0	11.9	8 095	185 173	22 875	622	76 857
FULTON COUNTY	1 395	28 141	110 418	25.5	2.1	28.2	2.4	27.1	32.5	123 921	2 172 338	17 530	8 524	68 783
ATLANTA (PART)	855	14 282	60 663	23.5	(X)	28.1	(X)	24.4	47.0	74 433	1 069 837	14 373	4 544	61 045
GWINNETT COUNTY	504	8 566	31 166	27.5	2.7	27.5	4.0	29.6	19.6	44 037	899 466	20 425	3 273	74 316
HENRY COUNTY	42	444	1 541	28.9	1.9	29.5	2.5	27.9	9.1	10 591	145 531	13 741	504	47 586
WALTON COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA:*														
RICHMOND COUNTY	240	3 863	10 875	35.5	1.5	36.1	2.3	35.7	13.0	42 130	545 148	12 940	1 535	36 432
AUGUSTA	35	493	1 246	39.6	(X)	40.6	(X)	30.6	39.7	11 456	115 486	10 081	292	25 476
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA*	285	4 196	13 830	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	45 056	339 710	7 540	1 120	24 851
CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]	5	27	69	39.0	-	42.3	-	41.0	15.1	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)
COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	280	4 169	13 761	30.3	3.7	31.9	3.4	32.8	18.9	43 984	336 250	7 645	1 110	25 229
MACON SMSA:														
BIBB COUNTY	350	4 273	14 027	30.5	1.9	33.3	4.4	29.7	29.0	37 760	419 584	11 112	1 377	36 469
MACON (PART)	280	3 192	10 377	30.8	(X)	34.3	(X)	29.6	32.8	24 224	343 825	14 194	1 117	46 130
HOUSTON COUNTY	340	5 119	16 247	31.5	2.1	31.9	2.0	32.2	14.5	20 616	191 483	9 288	608	29 478
TWIGGS COUNTY[15]	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
SAVANNAH SMSA:														
CHATHAM COUNTY	510	9 574	28 262	33.9	1.7	34.8	2.1	34.5	15.1	40 578	742 577	18 300	2 192	54 021
SAVANNAH	290	4 970	14 798	33.6	(X)	34.8	(X)	34.9	16.1	32 736	461 916	14 110	1 375	42 011

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														FLORIDA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
720	4 666	10 467	44.6	28.1	78.3	25.4	79.6	63.2	42 224	297 034	7 035	666	15 783	LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN SMSA
720	4 666	10 467	44.6	28.1	78.3	25.4	79.6	63.2	42 224	297 034	7 035	666	15 783	POLK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAKELAND
1 120	11 528	29 703	38.8	15.1	46.6	9.0	44.8	38.9	94 004	498 882	5 307	1 285	13 674	MELBRNE-TITUSVLE-COCOA SMSA
1 120	11 528	29 703	38.8	15.1	46.6	9.0	44.8	38.9	94 004	498 882	5 307	1 285	13 674	BREVARD COUNTY
260	25 054	58 210	43.0	10.5	62.2	12.6	59.2	32.3	35 352	2 509 065	70 974	5 829	164 892	MIAMI SMSA
260	25 054	58 210	43.0	10.5	62.2	12.6	59.2	32.3	35 352	2 509 065	70 974	5 829	164 892	DADE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HIALEAH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MIAMI
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MIAMI BEACH
792	10 081	19 085	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	81 217	1 116 353	13 745	2 113	26 022	ORLANDO SMSA
270	5 561	10 065	55.3	14.6	71.9	18.5	68.6	35.2	36 687	702 358	19 145	1 271	34 646	ORANGE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ORLANDO
102	718	1 191	60.3	15.1	56.8	15.4	60.1	28.2	23 037	120 965	5 251	201	8 713	OSCEOLA COUNTY
420	3 802	7 829	48.6	13.5	47.6	9.7	43.4	45.6	21 493	293 271	13 645	604	28 095	SEMINOLE COUNTY
85	763	1 216	62.8	18.3	65.9	11.7	64.1	30.0	22 516	175 122	7 778	279	12 389	PANAMA CITY SMSA
85	763	1 216	62.8	18.3	65.9	11.7	64.1	30.0	22 516	175 122	7 778	279	12 389	BAY COUNTY
140	1 617	2 064	78.4	14.2	85.7	24.2	86.0	24.4	26 656	331 007	12 418	422	15 842	PENSACOLA SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ESCAMBIA COUNTY
														PENSACOLA
920	13 335	23 593	56.5	6.0	51.9	7.5	42.9	42.7	88 426	774 503	8 759	1 370	15 496	SARASOTA SMSA
920	13 335	23 593	56.5	6.0	51.9	7.5	42.9	42.7	88 426	774 503	8 759	1 370	15 496	SARASOTA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SARASOTA
220	1 908	2 092	91.2	15.8	93.8	24.9	96.3	34.4	18 585	242 348	13 040	266	14 295	TALLAHASSEE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEON COUNTY[8 14]
														TALLAHASSEE
1 430	36 792	43 866	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	127 844	2 026 154	15 849	2 416	18 896	TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG SMSA
440	3 910	5 403	72.4	13.3	71.4	11.8	69.7	19.8	43 786	522 002	11 922	721	16 470	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TAMPA
630	4 639	8 083	57.4	15.9	69.2	14.8	59.6	40.4	51 308	759 441	14 802	1 323	25 784	PASCO COUNTY[8]
360	28 243	30 380	93.0	15.4	77.4	9.4	81.0	14.3	32 750	738 932	22 563	795	24 270	PINELLAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLEARWATER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LARGO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. PETERSBURG
680	17 371	108 002	16.1	15.8	48.1	12.5	48.1	43.9	43 116	1 236 723	28 684	7 689	178 337	WST PLM BEACH-BOCA RATN SMSA
680	17 371	108 002	16.1	15.8	48.1	12.5	48.1	43.9	43 116	1 236 723	28 684	7 689	178 337	PALM BEACH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST PALM BEACH
120	1 085	1 833	59.2	17.5	60.4	16.6	57.8	30.1	56 992	526 884	9 245	891	15 627	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
312	4 376	8 522	51.3	12.7	63.2	17.2	46.2	55.4	25 598	366 044	14 300	713	27 849	COLLIER COUNTY
340	5 326	6 439	82.7	16.3	91.7	22.4	87.0	41.3	67 248	428 074	6 366	518	7 696	INDIAN RIVER COUNTY
208	3 673	7 984	46.0	24.3	51.6	14.4	56.8	43.4	15 263	354 558	23 230	771	50 504	MARION COUNTY
140	1 378	2 501	55.1	8.9	60.4	17.2	58.5	31.8	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	MARTIN COUNTY
														OKALOOSA COUNTY[8]
														GEORGIA
1 603	8 635	34 947	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	145 557	642 079	4 411	2 599	17 853	SMSA PORTION
79	312	1 015	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 913	15 575	5 347	51	17 394	ALBANY SMSA
70	295	932	31.7	7.2	32.5	8.7	31.6	34.0	1 984	11 435	5 764	36	18 185	DOUGHERTY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALBANY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEE COUNTY
														ATLANTA SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUTTS COUNTY
50	1 127	4 100	27.5	4.8	20.8	9.5	25.3	35.2	8 385	20 328	2 424	74	8 822	CLAYTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COBB COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DEKALB COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ATLANTA (PART)
70	242	1 105	22.0	3.1	23.1	3.4	23.4	21.4	3 480	20 190	5 802	92	26 412	FAYETTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FULTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ATLANTA (PART)
264	949	4 816	19.7	13.5	29.6	7.6	28.7	32.2	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GWINNETT COUNTY
63	190	770	24.6	10.3	42.6	18.2	38.1	47.3	2 160	3 483	1 612	14	6 543	HENRY COUNTY
70	82	269	30.6	8.6	32.8	6.9	32.4	18.5	1 008	969	961	3	3 137	WALTON COUNTY
35	259	646	40.0	12.7	43.6	20.5	35.0	58.7	5 568	16 679	2 996	42	7 495	AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHMOND COUNTY
														AUGUSTA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA. SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE[15]
														MACON SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BIBB COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MACON (PART)
80	219	621	35.2	15.8	36.4	11.4	31.6	40.8	5 232	9 730	1 860	28	5 277	HOUSTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TWIGGS COUNTY[15]
260	2 247	9 123	24.6	6.1	27.4	5.6	33.2	36.9	8 770	40 392	4 606	164	18 700	SAVANNAH SMSA:
110	895	4 230	21.2	(X)	25.1	(X)	23.1	43.9	5 056	14 980	2 963	71	14 008	CHATHAM COUNTY
														SAVANNAH

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Nonfarm residential property: Total	Nonfarm residential property: Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
GEORGIA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA:*										
WALKER COUNTY	56 470	23 907	263 215	100.0	59.7	58.2	22.6	1.8	15.9	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
CLARKE COUNTY	74 498	20 650	353 164	100.0	70.1	61.0	3.5	2.5	23.9	-
HALL COUNTY	75 649	37 628	488 224	100.0	61.5	59.9	13.3	2.9	22.3	-
LOWNDES COUNTY	67 972	24 477	337 329	100.0	65.7	60.8	5.7	2.8	25.8	-
HAWAII[16]										
SMSA PORTION	762 874	185 779	14 217 688	100.0	71.5	65.4	2.7	2.5	21.7	1.6
HONOLULU SMSA	762 874	185 779	14 217 688	100.0	71.5	65.4	2.7	2.5	21.7	1.6
HONOLULU CITY-COUNTY[11]	762 874	185 779	14 217 688	100.0	71.5	65.4	2.7	2.5	21.7	1.6
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
HAWAII COUNTY	92 053	114 243	2 005 423	100.0	34.0	32.7	30.6	16.4	15.0	4.0
MAUI COUNTY	70 847	40 576	3 109 559	100.0	57.4	55.9	17.2	4.8	12.6	8.1
IDAHO[17]										
SMSA PORTION	173 036	68 142	3 917 778	100.0	74.4	72.9	2.7	1.7	21.1	0.1
BOISE CITY SMSA	173 036	68 142	3 917 778	100.0	74.4	72.9	2.7	1.7	21.1	0.1
ADA COUNTY	173 036	68 142	3 917 778	100.0	74.4	72.9	2.7	1.7	21.1	0.1
BOISE CITY	102 451	39 861	2 453 775	100.0	76.6	74.5	(Z)	1.2	22.1	0.1
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BANNOCK COUNTY	65 421	29 954	1 031 130	100.0	66.7	66.7	7.0	3.1	23.2	-
BONNEVILLE COUNTY	65 980	30 771	1 248 480	100.0	63.7	63.7	14.6	1.5	20.1	-
CANYON COUNTY	83 756	33 122	1 332 316	100.0	55.5	53.9	25.6	1.7	17.2	(Z)
KOOTENAI COUNTY	59 770	39 665	1 635 809	100.0	50.3	44.2	32.6	7.1	9.9	(Z)
TWIN FALLS COUNTY	52 927	23 414	893 872	100.0	49.0	49.0	29.7	3.0	18.3	-
ILLINOIS[18]										
SMSA PORTION	9 247 147	2 935 877	65 156 804	100.0	55.6	46.7	4.7	3.2	33.5	3.0
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL SMSA	119 149	43 906	1 079 550	100.0	47.1	46.8	34.0	1.4	17.5	-
MCLEAN COUNTY	119 149	43 906	1 079 550	100.0	47.1	46.8	34.0	1.4	17.5	-
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL SMSA	168 392	51 870	1 155 518	100.0	50.3	44.8	27.8	3.7	17.3	0.9
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	168 392	51 870	1 155 518	100.0	50.3	44.8	27.8	3.7	17.3	0.9
CHAMPAIGN	58 133	15 567	349 283	100.0	64.7	54.4	-	1.0	31.6	2.6
CHICAGO SMSA	7 102 328	2 102 127	50 875 274	100.0	54.9	44.6	1.3	3.5	36.6	3.7
COOK COUNTY	5 253 190	1 396 557	33 398 286	100.0	47.9	33.9	0.1	2.9	45.3	3.8
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS VILLAGE (PART)	66 116	21 283	566 570	100.0	49.0	42.2	-	3.6	41.9	5.5
CHICAGO (PART)	3 005 061	618 306	14 368 600	100.0	47.4	25.4	-	2.0	47.1	3.6
CICERO	61 232	15 585	312 100	100.0	39.5	26.1	-	0.3	58.7	1.6
DES PLAINES	53 568	17 850	685 370	100.0	33.4	27.2	-	1.8	59.4	5.4
ELGIN (PART)	11 020	4 003	86 550	100.0	66.7	56.3	-	6.7	23.2	3.3
EVANSTON	73 706	18 060	548 100	100.0	67.0	52.3	-	0.8	26.6	5.7
MOUNT PROSPECT VILLAGE	52 634	14 306	437 670	100.0	57.2	44.1	-	-	39.8	3.0
OAK LAWN VILLAGE	60 590	21 628	350 890	100.0	46.2	35.5	-	1.5	48.3	4.0
OAK PARK VILLAGE	54 887	14 384	241 770	100.0	81.6	65.1	-	-	18.4	-
SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)	52 302	19 887	643 460	100.0	36.6	29.6	0.7	9.1	52.9	0.7
SKOKIE VILLAGE	60 278	24 879	704 540	100.0	48.0	38.2	-	1.0	47.8	3.3
DU PAGE COUNTY	658 177	232 237	6 859 968	100.0	68.6	67.3	0.3	4.2	18.8	8.1
AURORA (PART)	1 683	(NA)	67 381	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
CHICAGO (PART)	11	(NA)	3 520	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)	17	(NA)	827	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANE COUNTY[9]	278 405	102 135	2 213 145	100.0	68.4	68.4	7.4	4.8	19.3	-
AURORA (PART)	79 610	23 405	444 517	100.0	74.0	74.0	(Z)	4.6	21.3	-
ELGIN (PART)	52 778	15 861	376 543	100.0	72.2	72.2	-	1.5	26.4	-
LAKE COUNTY	440 372	183 144	4 417 795	100.0	75.0	70.3	2.9	5.2	16.7	0.2
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS VILLAGE (PART)	-	(NA)	5	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WAUKEGAN	67 653	17 632	427 293	100.0	74.2	54.2	-	2.4	23.3	-
MCHENRY COUNTY	147 724	57 859	1 408 106	100.0	65.0	59.8	11.9	7.2	15.9	-
WILL COUNTY	324 460	130 195	2 577 974	100.0	66.3	63.7	9.5	4.2	20.0	-
JOLIET	77 956	(NA)	425 215	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DECATUR SMSA	131 375	53 759	952 512	100.0	58.4	54.4	17.7	1.7	21.8	0.5
MACON COUNTY	131 375	53 759	952 512	100.0	58.4	54.4	17.7	1.7	21.8	0.5
DECATUR	94 081	26 690	616 959	100.0	61.7	58.6	0.5	0.9	36.4	0.6
KANKAKEE SMSA	102 926	40 602	562 876	100.0	48.8	47.5	27.3	1.9	22.0	-
KANKAKEE COUNTY	102 926	40 602	562 876	100.0	48.8	47.5	27.3	1.9	22.0	-
PEORIA SMSA:										
PEORIA COUNTY	200 466	77 279	1 468 360	100.0	63.9	58.6	6.9	1.8	27.2	0.1
PEORIA	124 160	40 707	840 645	100.0	70.8	63.7	-	0.8	28.4	-
TAZEWELL COUNTY	132 078	53 834	1 015 173	100.0	67.4	65.5	14.4	1.5	16.7	-
ROCKFORD SMSA	279 514	106 208	1 702 620	100.0	70.0	61.0	7.6	2.5	19.8	0.2
BOONE COUNTY	28 630	15 021	234 054	100.0	50.5	39.0	27.2	6.0	16.3	-
WINNEBAGO COUNTY	250 884	91 187	1 468 566	100.0	72.8	64.2	4.7	2.0	20.3	0.2
ROCKFORD	139 712	(NA)	765 962	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SPRINGFIELD SMSA:										
SANGAMON COUNTY	176 089	78 560	1 301 472	100.0	58.4	56.0	19.3	1.9	20.1	0.4
SPRINGFIELD	99 637	35 465	707 468	100.0	68.1	65.9	0.2	1.6	30.1	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														GEORGIA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
98	666	1 882	35.4	4.6	38.6	8.2	36.5	34.7	23 907	263	11 010	743	31 082	CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA, SMSA:* WALKER COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
304	5 919	19 299	30.7	7.1	29.9	4.8	28.5	30.3	20 650	353	17 102	1 151	55 757	CLARKE COUNTY
288	3 578	11 916	30.0	4.3	31.0	3.8	32.7	31.0	37 628	488	12 975	1 626	43 213	HALL COUNTY
336	3 404	11 003	30.9	2.8	41.6	15.6	30.1	61.6	24 477	337	13 781	1 090	44 549	LOWNDES COUNTY
														HAWAII[16]
1 089	68 580	175 081	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	185 779	14 218	76 530	36 297	195 377	SMSA PORTION
1 089	68 580	175 081	39.2	3.1	41.3	1.6	41.3	16.1	185 779	14 218	76 530	36 297	195 379	HONOLULU SMSA
1 089	68 580	175 081	39.2	3.1	41.3	1.6	41.3	16.1	185 779	14 218	76 530	36 297	195 379	HONOLULU CITY-COUNTY[11]
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
666	12 484	38 391	32.5	5.1	37.4	3.8	36.8	33.4	114 243	2 005	17 554	6 167	53 982	HAWAII COUNTY
210	16 331	36 614	44.6	8.4	51.7	5.6	54.0	27.5	40 576	3 110	76 635	6 973	171 838	MAUI COUNTY
														IDAHO[17]
852	44 058	55 719	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	68 142	3 918	57 494	4 955	72 712	SMSA PORTION
852	44 058	55 719	79.1	7.8	84.3	5.5	86.8	17.9	68 142	3 918	57 494	4 955	72 711	BOISE CITY SMSA
852	44 058	55 719	79.1	7.8	84.3	5.5	86.8	17.9	68 142	3 918	57 494	4 955	72 711	ADA COUNTY
504	28 707	33 913	84.7	(X)	87.2	(X)	88.0	14.8	39 861	2 454	61 558	2 899	72 721	BOISE CITY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
384	13 282	24 755	53.6	28.6	76.8	12.0	87.8	31.6	29 954	1 031	34 424	1 922	64 166	BANNOCK COUNTY
282	12 742	16 614	76.7	9.9	78.9	7.0	86.9	21.8	30 771	1 248	40 573	1 628	52 913	BONNEVILLE COUNTY
224	6 877	10 327	66.6	23.1	75.5	11.9	82.5	31.3	33 122	1 332	40 225	2 001	60 403	CANYON COUNTY
288	11 439	13 270	86.2	7.9	89.3	9.1	82.1	24.5	39 665	1 636	41 241	1 897	47 831	KOOTENAI COUNTY
360	16 216	25 649	63.2	12.6	66.1	8.0	68.6	26.1	23 414	894	38 177	1 414	60 392	TWIN FALLS COUNTY
														ILLINOIS[18]
9 518	175 483	661 041	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 935 877	65 157	22 193	245 444	83 602	SMSA PORTION
285	4 932	18 460	26.7	6.9	31.2	5.5	30.0	26.1	43 906	1 080	24 588	4 040	92 022	BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL SMSA
285	4 932	18 460	26.7	6.9	31.2	5.5	30.0	26.1	43 906	1 080	24 588	4 040	92 022	MCLEAN COUNTY
250	2 752	10 720	25.7	2.6	25.6	2.8	26.0	22.4	51 870	1 156	22 277	4 499	86 732	CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL SMSA
250	2 752	10 720	25.7	2.6	25.6	2.8	26.0	22.4	51 870	1 156	22 277	4 499	86 732	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
80	780	2 685	29.1	(X)	29.2	(X)	29.2	13.6	15 567	349	22 437	1 201	77 138	CHAMPAIGN
5 705	117 091	463 577	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 102 127	50 875	24 202	201 421	95 818	CHICAGO SMSA
3 050	51 514	236 567	21.8	2.9	21.8	1.3	19.9	26.1	1 396 557	33 398	23 915	153 377	109 825	COOK COUNTY
50	922	4 590	20.1	(X)	20.0	(X)	20.1	5.3	21 283	567	26 621	2 822	132 581	ARLINGTON HGTS. VILLAGE (PART)
1 300	14 608	77 300	18.9	(X)	21.1	(X)	18.7	29.0	618 306	14 369	23 239	76 030	122 965	CHICAGO (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CICERO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DES PLAINES
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELGIN (PART)
100	2 709	10 228	26.5	(X)	29.2	(X)	21.7	45.2	18 060	548	30 349	2 070	114 641	EVANSTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MOUNT PROSPECT VILLAGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OAK LAWN VILLAGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OAK PARK VILLAGE
70	1 329	6 771	19.6	(X)	19.6	(X)	20.2	7.3	15 887	643	40 502	3 278	206 320	SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SKOKIE VILLAGE
1 095	30 953	102 512	30.2	1.5	31.0	1.0	31.3	11.2	232 237	6 860	29 539	22 717	97 820	DU PAGE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AURORA (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHICAGO (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)
350	6 341	20 099	31.5	1.6	32.5	2.8	31.5	16.4	102 135	2 213	21 669	7 017	68 698	KANE COUNTY[9]
90	1 531	4 505	34.0	(X)	37.8	(X)	34.4	26.1	23 405	445	18 992	1 309	55 924	AURORA (PART)
90	1 597	5 110	31.3	(X)	31.3	(X)	31.5	9.0	15 861	377	23 740	1 205	75 957	ELGIN (PART)
690	20 887	72 813	28.7	2.0	31.3	3.1	30.6	18.3	183 144	4 418	24 122	15 401	84 094	LAKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARLINGTON HGTS. VILLAGE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WAUKEGAN
224	3 602	16 603	21.7	1.2	21.1	1.6	22.2	12.5	57 859	1 408	24 337	6 489	112 154	MCHENRY COUNTY
296	3 794	14 983	25.3	2.1	25.1	2.0	24.8	18.5	130 195	2 578	19 801	10 181	78 199	WILL COUNTY
48	447	1 818	24.6	(X)	25.7	(X)	28.3	11.9	(NA)	425	(NA)	173	(NA)	JOLIET
160	2 531	8 623	29.3	5.0	32.6	9.2	28.1	33.6	53 759	953	17 718	3 247	60 390	DECATUR SMSA
160	2 531	8 623	29.3	5.0	32.6	9.2	28.1	33.6	53 759	953	17 718	3 247	60 390	MACON COUNTY
100	1 517	4 985	30.4	(X)	35.4	(X)	27.4	49.8	26 690	617	23 116	2 028	75 989	DECATUR
168	3 973	12 641	31.4	7.4	32.5	4.6	32.4	30.0	40 602	563	13 863	1 791	44 102	KANKAKEE SMSA
168	3 973	12 641	31.4	7.4	32.5	4.6	32.4	30.0	40 602	563	13 863	1 791	44 102	KANKAKEE COUNTY
399	6 356	20 681	30.7	1.6	35.2	5.5	31.5	29.6	77 279	1 468	19 001	4 777	61 815	PEORIA SMSA:
273	4 558	14 694	31.0	(X)	33.5	(X)	31.5	22.7	40 707	841	20 651	2 710	66 569	PEORIA COUNTY
231	3 622	11 213	32.3	4.4	33.1	3.3	34.6	21.3	53 834	1 015	18 857	3 143	58 374	PEORIA
														TAZEWELL COUNTY
714	9 564	32 149	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	106 208	1 703	16 031	5 723	53 888	ROCKFORD SMSA
65	804	3 143	25.6	8.4	29.3	7.5	28.0	37.3	15 021	234	15 582	916	60 975	BOONE COUNTY
649	8 760	29 006	30.2	1.3	39.7	8.4	30.8	47.4	91 187	1 469	16 105	4 862	53 323	WINNEBAGO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCKFORD
336	4 691	16 144	29.1	4.7	30.9	2.4	32.3	19.8	78 560	1 301	16 567	4 478	56 997	SPRINGFIELD SMSA:
224	3 051	9 909	30.8	(X)	30.8	(X)	30.8	21.3	35 465	707	19 948	2 297	64 770	SANGAMON COUNTY
														SPRINGFIELD

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600683602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
GEORGIA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA:*														
WALKER COUNTY	63	514	1 475	34.8	4.0	35.7	7.0	33.8	24.3	15 306	153 076	10 001	439	28 712
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
CLARKE COUNTY	240	3 077	12 537	24.5	3.2	26.2	2.7	27.6	21.3	14 630	215 470	14 728	878	59 993
HALL COUNTY	120	1 885	6 091	31.0	4.3	30.2	4.6	34.2	22.3	19 524	292 495	14 981	945	48 404
LOWNDES COUNTY	192	2 509	8 017	31.3	3.4	29.4	3.5	29.0	24.8	16 303	205 082	12 579	655	40 187
HAWAII[16]														
SMSA PORTION	963	55 488	137 091	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	165 529	9 295 862	56 159	22 967	138 748
HONOLULU SMSA	963	55 488	137 091	40.5	1.6	41.8	1.5	42.1	14.5	165 529	9 295 862	56 159	22 966	138 746
HONOLULU CITY-COUNTY[11]	963	55 488	137 091	40.5	1.6	41.8	1.5	42.1	14.5	165 529	9 295 862	56 159	22 966	138 746
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
HAWAII COUNTY	108	4 105	11 835	34.7	7.0	34.0	7.3	32.4	30.4	16 962	655 640	38 653	1 890	111 454
MAUI COUNTY	130	12 089	22 978	52.6	8.8	56.6	5.6	56.9	21.0	22 585	1 739 348	77 013	3 306	146 388
IDAHO[17]														
SMSA PORTION	636	31 964	40 024	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	52 384	2 857 214	54 544	3 578	68 297
BOISE CITY SMSA	636	31 964	40 024	79.9	9.0	85.3	4.3	86.8	12.6	52 384	2 857 214	54 544	3 578	68 297
ADA COUNTY	636	31 964	40 024	79.9	9.0	85.3	4.3	86.8	12.6	52 384	2 857 214	54 544	3 578	68 297
BOISE CITY	396	20 370	24 478	83.2	(X)	85.8	(X)	86.8	14.4	33 626	1 827 099	54 336	2 196	65 295
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BANNOCK COUNTY	252	9 682	11 293	85.7	10.1	89.1	10.5	91.7	17.9	19 382	687 298	35 461	802	41 367
BONNEVILLE COUNTY	222	10 273	12 080	85.0	5.1	85.2	5.5	87.8	15.3	18 097	795 777	43 973	936	51 716
CANYON COUNTY	160	5 981	6 926	86.4	7.7	87.8	7.2	90.2	16.0	18 839	717 991	38 113	831	44 133
KOOTENAI COUNTY	171	8 293	9 674	85.7	9.3	90.4	9.0	83.2	18.2	16 644	722 640	43 417	843	50 644
TWIN FALLS COUNTY	192	6 289	9 231	68.1	5.5	70.4	8.4	70.4	18.0	12 976	437 947	33 751	643	49 544
ILLINOIS[18]														
SMSA PORTION	7 917	146 499	555 838	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 834 679	30 408 992	16 575	115 376	62 886
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL SMSA	240	4 222	14 334	29.5	4.1	30.3	3.7	30.0	18.0	26 321	505 016	19 187	1 715	65 143
MCLEAN COUNTY	240	4 222	14 334	29.5	4.1	30.3	3.7	30.0	18.0	26 321	505 016	19 187	1 715	65 143
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL SMSA	180	2 308	8 945	25.8	2.8	25.7	2.9	25.1	20.9	36 576	518 071	14 164	2 007	54 881
CHAMPAIGN COUNTY	180	2 308	8 945	25.8	2.8	25.7	2.9	25.1	20.9	36 576	518 071	14 164	2 007	54 881
CHAMPAIGN	50	510	1 870	27.3	(X)	26.9	(X)	27.0	11.9	12 704	189 934	14 951	696	54 787
CHICAGO SMSA	4 926	100 292	405 432	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 311 811	22 684 977	17 293	91 704	69 907
COOK COUNTY	2 500	38 187	192 392	19.8	0.8	20.7	1.0	19.9	19.4	860 256	11 312 722	13 150	56 999	66 258
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS VILLAGE (PART)	50	922	4 590	20.1	(X)	20.0	(X)	20.1	5.3	14 720	239 080	16 242	1 191	80 890
CHICAGO (PART)	880	10 334	55 014	18.8	(X)	20.2	(X)	18.5	23.4	335 200	3 655 975	10 907	19 463	58 064
CICERO	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
DES PLAINES	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ELGIN (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
EVANSTON	100	2 709	10 228	26.5	(X)	29.2	(X)	21.7	45.2	13 376	286 804	21 442	1 083	80 995
MOUNT PROSPECT VILLAGE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
OAK LAWN VILLAGE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
OAK PARK VILLAGE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)	70	1 329	6 771	19.6	(X)	19.6	(X)	20.2	7.3	12 608	190 244	15 089	969	76 865
SKOKIE VILLAGE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
DU PAGE COUNTY	1 035	28 755	93 143	30.9	1.1	31.3	1.0	31.6	10.8	161 088	4 615 911	28 655	14 951	92 813
AURORA (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CHICAGO (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
KANE COUNTY[9]	260	5 420	17 297	31.3	1.6	32.0	1.6	31.6	11.1	70 127	1 514 504	21 597	4 834	68 927
AURORA (PART)	60	947	2 790	33.9	(X)	34.1	(X)	33.9	12.1	17 844	329 111	18 444	970	54 363
ELGIN (PART)	70	1 401	4 485	31.2	(X)	31.8	(X)	31.5	9.0	12 708	271 703	21 380	870	68 448
LAKE COUNTY	675	20 866	72 790	28.7	2.0	29.9	1.6	30.4	14.2	111 076	3 106 177	27 964	10 836	97 558
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS VILLAGE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WAUKEGAN	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MCHENRY COUNTY	200	3 507	15 779	22.2	0.9	21.8	1.2	22.3	8.9	27 296	841 636	30 834	3 787	138 730
WILL COUNTY	256	3 557	14 031	25.3	2.2	25.4	1.9	24.8	15.9	81 968	1 642 930	20 044	6 482	79 085
JOLIET	48	447	1 818	24.6	(X)	25.7	(X)	28.3	11.9	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DECATUR SMSA	120	2 071	7 015	29.5	4.6	28.1	3.0	26.7	14.2	38 640	517 880	13 403	1 754	45 399
MACON COUNTY	120	2 071	7 015	29.5	4.6	28.1	3.0	26.7	14.2	38 640	517 880	13 403	1 754	45 399
DECATUR	60	1 057	3 377	31.3	(X)	28.3	(X)	25.6	18.3	22 880	361 620	15 805	1 155	50 474
KANKAKEE SMSA	120	1 821	5 534	32.9	3.0	34.2	4.4	32.4	24.0	21 120	267 374	12 660	813	38 477
KANKAKEE COUNTY	120	1 821	5 534	32.9	3.0	34.2	4.4	32.4	24.0	21 120	267 374	12 660	813	38 477
PEORIA SMSA:														
PEORIA COUNTY	329	5 906	18 712	31.6	1.5	33.1	2.0	31.7	16.9	54 912	861 179	15 683	2 729	49 689
PEORIA	245	4 388	14 022	31.3	(X)	32.8	(X)	31.5	17.6	34 240	535 499	15 640	1 711	49 980
TAZEWELL COUNTY	182	3 326	9 383	35.5	1.9	36.0	2.5	34.7	14.7	39 616	664 573	16 775	1 875	47 319
ROCKFORD SMSA	524	8 348	28 021	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	67 265	1 037 805	15 420	3 484	51 788
BOONE COUNTY	40	702	2 550	27.5	10.1	28.9	5.8	28.6	23.1	4 945	91 374	18 478	332	67 161
WINNEBAGO COUNTY	484	7 646	25 471	30.0	1.2	30.4	2.4	29.9	16.2	62 320	942 743	15 127	3 141	50 394
ROCKFORD	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SPRINGFIELD SMSA:														
SANGAMON COUNTY	296	4 221	13 137	32.1	2.0	32.0	2.5	33.1	18.0	44 960	728 665	16 207	2 267	50 430
SPRINGFIELD	200	2 903	9 312	31.2	(X)	31.4	(X)	31.1	20.8	26 016	466 055	17 914	1 495	57 454

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														GEORGIA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WALKER COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
40	351	778	45.1	20.5	49.5	26.7	46.1	48.9	3 836	8 934	2 329	20	5 164	CLARKE COUNTY
104	305	1 287	23.8	10.6	26.4	5.4	26.5	35.2	10 472	14 141	1 350	59	5 682	HALL COUNTY
80	319	812	39.2	6.9	43.3	9.4	39.9	33.3	4 809	9 592	1 995	24	5 085	LOWNDES COUNTY
														HAWAII[16]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HONOLULU SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HONOLULU CITY-COUNTY[11]
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
360	3 776	8 639	43.7	3.7	43.0	4.8	40.0	25.3	48 185	328 215	6 812	751	15 586	HAWAII COUNTY
45	1 700	3 090	55.0	7.4	54.6	12.6	57.8	24.6	3 586	147 924	41 250	269	74 990	MAUI COUNTY
														IDAHO[17]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOISE CITY SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ADA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOISE CITY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BANNOCK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BONNEVILLE COUNTY
40	240	561	42.8	24.3	53.4	38.0	40.0	82.0	5 920	22 987	3 883	54	9 081	CANYON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KOOTENAI COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TWIN FALLS COUNTY
														ILLINOIS[18]
571	2 541	8 740	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	442 542	2 077 828	4 695	7 147	16 150	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCLEAN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHAMPAIGN-URBANA-RANTOUL SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHAMPAIGN
192	688	2 434	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	311 549	1 776 624	5 703	6 285	20 174	CHICAGO SMSA
70	236	700	33.8	7.8	53.2	27.6	37.4	71.7	145 418	973 325	6 693	2 882	19 819	COOK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARLINGTON HGTS. VILLAGE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHICAGO (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CICERO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DES PLAINES
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELGIN (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EVANSTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MOUNT PROSPECT VILLAGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OAK LAWN VILLAGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OAK PARK VILLAGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SKOKIE VILLAGE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DU PAGE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AURORA (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHICAGO (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE (PART)
60	204	607	33.5	8.3	35.6	14.1	26.8	39.6	18 816	107 026	5 688	319	16 971	KANE COUNTY[9]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AURORA (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELGIN (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARLINGTON HGTS. VILLAGE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WAUKEGAN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCHENRY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JOLIET
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DECATUR SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MACON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DECATUR
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANKAKEE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANKAKEE COUNTY
														PEORIA SMSA:
49	155	767	20.3	11.7	53.0	40.6	28.3	(3)	9 792	26 422	2 698	130	13 319	PEORIA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PEORIA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TAZEWELL COUNTY
92	288	1 041	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	15 888	42 221	2 657	153	9 605	ROCKFORD SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOONE COUNTY
77	252	926	27.3	4.8	54.9	44.5	25.4	(3)	11 088	28 779	2 596	105	9 507	WINNEBAGO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCKFORD
														SPRINGFIELD SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SANGAMON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SPRINGFIELD

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*	223 936	72 693	1 613 453	100.0	58.3	57.0	16.9	1.4	23.2	0.1
HENRY COUNTY	57 968	25 219	440 843	100.0	48.9	48.0	40.7	0.2	10.1	-
ROCK ISLAND COUNTY	165 968	47 474	1 172 610	100.0	62.5	61.1	6.1	1.9	29.2	0.2
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA:*										
MADISON COUNTY	247 671	100 762	1 496 033	100.0	55.1	52.0	8.8	2.2	33.8	0.1
MONROE COUNTY	20 117	10 442	142 826	100.0	37.6	37.6	50.1	1.4	11.0	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY	265 469	93 153	1 153 774	100.0	60.8	57.7	11.7	2.5	25.0	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	55 200	19 612	71 625	100.0	35.2	33.1	-	3.3	61.5	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
JACKSON COUNTY'	61 522	27 920	247 251	100.0	47.6	47.6	24.5	3.2	24.6	0.1
LA SALLE COUNTY	109 139	42 865	1 263 497	100.0	42.8	41.7	37.5	1.9	17.8	-
WHITESIDE COUNTY	65 970	25 697	452 797	100.0	49.6	47.2	34.6	0.8	14.9	(Z)
INDIANA										
SMSA PORTION	3 831 940	1 630 186	12 003 092	100.0	61.2	58.0	10.4	2.4	25.9	0.1
ANDERSON SMSA	139 336	70 192	392 242	100.0	67.8	64.5	13.1	1.6	16.1	1.4
MADISON COUNTY	139 336	70 192	392 242	100.0	67.8	64.5	13.1	1.6	16.1	1.4
ANDERSON	64 695	27 447	188 806	100.0	70.8	66.0	1.0	1.6	25.4	1.1
BLOOMINGTON SMSA	98 387	33 386	253 690	100.0	71.0	55.2	7.0	2.8	19.1	0.1
MONROE COUNTY	98 387	33 386	253 690	100.0	71.0	55.2	7.0	2.8	19.1	0.1
BLOOMINGTON	51 646	13 419	130 034	100.0	75.9	50.9	0.2	2.2	21.5	0.2
ELKHART SMSA	137 330	61 754	515 770	100.0	54.9	52.5	11.9	2.1	30.9	0.2
ELKHART COUNTY	137 330	61 754	515 770	100.0	54.9	52.5	11.9	2.1	30.9	0.2
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA:*										
VANDERBURGH COUNTY	167 515	70 930	501 869	100.0	76.2	73.5	3.1	2.5	18.1	-
EVANSVILLE	130 496	51 818	351 929	100.0	76.2	73.9	0.3	2.7	20.9	-
FORT WAYNE SMSA:										
ADAMS COUNTY	29 619	15 137	105 827	100.0	40.3	38.1	41.0	0.9	17.8	(Z)
ALLEN COUNTY	294 335	107 679	1 022 846	100.0	67.6	60.4	7.6	2.2	22.5	-
FORT WAYNE	172 196	71 730	537 381	100.0	69.3	59.3	0.7	2.4	27.6	-
DE KALB COUNTY	33 606	20 158	121 563	100.0	47.0	45.1	33.8	1.6	17.4	0.3
GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO SMSA	642 781	323 372	1 676 119	100.0	62.8	61.0	3.0	3.4	30.8	0.1
LAKE COUNTY	522 965	278 560	1 169 594	100.0	60.4	59.4	3.3	3.3	33.0	(Z)
GARY	151 953	69 701	247 136	100.0	55.3	55.1	1.7	2.6	40.4	-
HAMMOND	93 714	46 446	174 407	100.0	68.3	67.6	2.0	4.1	25.7	-
PORTER COUNTY	119 816	44 812	506 525	100.0	69.6	65.8	2.3	3.5	24.5	0.1
INDIANAPOLIS SMSA:										
BOONE COUNTY	36 446	17 810	153 258	100.0	53.5	51.5	35.5	0.9	9.9	0.2
HAMILTON COUNTY	82 381	37 347	351 677	100.0	71.1	67.8	16.8	0.8	11.1	0.2
HANCOCK COUNTY	43 939	21 247	149 048	100.0	65.9	62.8	21.8	1.0	11.1	0.2
HENDRICKS COUNTY	69 804	26 568	217 581	100.0	66.7	64.3	24.5	1.5	7.3	-
MARION COUNTY''	765 233	267 320	2 730 572	100.0	54.8	53.8	0.5	3.9	40.9	-
INDIANAPOLIS	700 807	226 861	1 179 139	100.0	55.2	54.7	0.6	3.8	40.4	-
KOKOMO SMSA:										
HOWARD COUNTY	86 896	36 091	282 430	100.0	57.9	56.4	13.9	0.7	27.4	0.2
KOKOMO	47 808	18 562	157 022	100.0	49.9	48.6	2.2	0.5	47.4	-
LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE SMSA	121 702	37 035	421 874	100.0	65.2	60.8	8.8	1.8	24.2	-
TIPPECANOE COUNTY	121 702	37 035	421 874	100.0	65.2	60.8	8.8	1.8	24.2	-
MUNCIE SMSA	128 587	48 836	316 659	100.0	62.8	58.7	10.4	1.5	24.9	0.3
DELAWARE COUNTY	128 587	48 836	316 659	100.0	62.8	58.7	10.4	1.5	24.9	0.3
MUNCIE	77 216	28 265	154 397	100.0	59.4	54.3	1.3	1.2	37.5	0.6
SOUTH BEND SMSA	280 772	120 519	834 371	100.0	65.6	60.3	11.5	1.4	21.5	(Z)
MARSHALL COUNTY	39 155	24 006	145 970	100.0	52.6	50.9	29.6	1.7	16.2	-
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY	241 617	96 513	688 401	100.0	68.3	62.3	7.6	1.4	22.6	0.1
SOUTH BEND	109 727	41 756	(NA)	100.0	66.6	60.9	0.3	1.7	31.4	-
TERRE HAUTE SMSA:										
VERMILLION COUNTY''	18 229	8 423	33 714	100.0	48.9	47.1	38.1	0.7	12.3	-
VIGO COUNTY	112 385	51 586	218 636	100.0	64.7	61.4	9.6	1.2	24.5	-
TERRE HAUTE	61 125	24 489	93 148	100.0	69.2	68.4	1.1	2.2	27.6	-
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*										
CLARK COUNTY	88 838	31 580	216 297	100.0	72.3	66.8	7.0	1.4	19.2	0.1
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY	65 088	23 621	251 063	100.0	54.7	49.4	15.7	0.9	28.0	0.7
HENRY COUNTY	53 336	28 765	158 057	100.0	57.1	53.9	27.6	1.3	14.0	0.1
LA PORTE COUNTY	108 632	56 130	318 522	100.0	61.7	58.3	15.7	2.5	20.2	-
IOWA''										
SMSA PORTION	1 086 935	473 935	16 717 948	100.0	61.9	57.0	11.8	1.4	24.9	-
CEDAR RAPIDS SMSA	169 775	67 759	2 786 775	100.0	62.5	58.3	11.0	1.0	25.6	-
LINN COUNTY	169 775	67 759	2 786 775	100.0	62.5	58.3	11.0	1.0	25.6	-
CEDAR RAPIDS	110 243	38 301	1 893 068	100.0	64.9	59.8	0.3	0.9	34.0	-
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*	160 022	61 911	2 681 523	100.0	60.8	51.3	9.1	0.5	29.6	-
SCOTT COUNTY	160 022	61 911	2 681 523	100.0	60.8	51.3	9.1	0.5	29.6	-
DAVENPORT	103 264	34 713	1 606 592	100.0	63.1	50.1	1.1	0.3	35.5	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														ILLINOIS--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
280	7 457	26 206	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	72 693	1 613	22 195	5 670	78 001	DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*
70	747	2 526	29.6	4.7	28.3	4.6	28.7	25.5	25 219	441	17 481	1 490	59 092	HENRY COUNTY
210	6 710	23 680	28.3	3.6	33.7	3.7	30.5	19.8	47 474	1 173	24 700	4 138	87 171	ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
285	3 619	11 999	30.2	10.9	31.8	10.2	26.8	53.5	100 762	1 494	14 827	4 955	49 177	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA:*
60	506	1 478	34.2	7.3	39.2	9.4	34.3	41.9	10 442	143	13 678	417	39 961	MADISON COUNTY
450	6 332	20 003	31.7	3.9	33.7	6.4	33.5	37.8	93 153	1 154	12 386	3 645	39 131	MONROE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. CLAIR COUNTY
														EAST ST. LOUIS
120	919	5 647	16.3	7.5	25.2	5.0	23.3	40.2	27 920	247	8 856	1 516	54 305	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
120	1 021	3 263	31.3	5.5	33.9	10.4	29.5	48.2	42 865	1 263	29 476	4 039	94 214	JACKSON COUNTY[9]
95	1 194	3 919	30.4	3.6	31.9	5.8	30.9	28.8	25 697	453	17 621	1 487	57 868	LA SALLE COUNTY
														WHITESIDE COUNTY
														INDIANA
9 990	68 275	423 880	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 630 186	12 003	7 363	74 520	45 713	SMSA PORTION
405	4 902	21 955	22.3	5.6	29.7	8.1	20.5	68.4	70 192	392	5 588	1 757	25 034	ANDERSON SMSA
405	4 902	21 955	22.3	5.6	29.7	8.1	20.5	68.4	70 192	392	5 588	1 757	25 034	MADISON COUNTY
165	2 338	8 923	26.2	(X)	32.5	(X)	23.6	61.4	27 447	189	6 879	721	26 254	ANDERSON
240	1 690	11 497	14.7	5.2	25.9	13.4	18.0	82.4	33 386	254	7 599	1 725	51 668	BLOOMINGTON SMSA
240	1 690	11 497	14.7	5.2	25.9	13.4	18.0	82.4	33 386	254	7 599	1 725	51 668	MONROE COUNTY
105	1 049	5 832	18.0	(X)	18.4	(X)	19.5	30.3	13 419	130	9 690	722	53 831	BLOOMINGTON
390	3 187	18 314	17.4	3.2	18.7	2.5	17.0	28.7	61 754	516	8 352	2 963	47 987	ELKHART SMSA
390	3 187	18 314	17.4	3.2	18.7	2.5	17.0	28.7	61 754	516	8 352	2 963	47 987	ELKHART COUNTY
345	2 145	13 980	15.3	1.9	21.7	7.0	15.6	64.6	70 930	502	7 076	3 271	46 112	EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA:*
330	1 987	12 975	15.3	(X)	22.0	(X)	15.3	69.0	51 818	352	6 792	2 298	44 338	VANDERBURGH COUNTY
														EVANSVILLE
78	632	3 012	21.0	11.2	45.3	25.2	19.7	(3)	15 137	106	6 991	503	33 233	FORT WAYNE SMSA:
750	6 628	35 499	18.7	1.3	21.0	2.5	19.1	28.2	107 679	1 023	9 499	5 480	50 888	ADAMS COUNTY
600	5 560	29 213	19.0	(X)	21.8	(X)	19.2	29.5	71 730	537	7 492	2 824	39 365	ALLEN COUNTY
126	522	3 127	16.7	3.5	21.9	5.3	17.6	46.8	20 158	122	6 031	726	36 005	FORT WAYNE
														DE KALB COUNTY
820	5 311	41 423	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	323 372	1 676	5 183	13 073	40 427	GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO SMSA
580	3 138	28 454	11.0	1.9	20.5	10.1	11.0	(3)	278 560	1 170	4 199	10 607	38 080	LAKE COUNTY
130	661	3 107	21.2	(X)	45.8	(X)	15.0	(3)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	GARY
70	239	3 169	7.5	(X)	11.5	(X)	9.5	61.2	46 446	174	3 755	2 317	49 876	HAMMOND
240	2 173	12 969	16.7	1.8	18.3	2.3	17.5	22.5	44 812	507	11 303	3 026	67 525	PORTER COUNTY
130	1 025	7 471	13.7	7.9	23.3	12.5	18.1	67.7	17 810	153	8 605	1 117	62 697	INDIANAPOLIS SMSA:
435	4 753	39 260	12.1	4.6	15.6	3.1	16.3	35.4	37 347	352	9 416	2 905	77 796	BOONE COUNTY
119	579	4 146	14.0	2.8	13.2	2.5	14.1	28.6	21 247	149	7 015	1 066	50 150	HAMILTON COUNTY
160	1 369	10 830	12.6	3.3	13.3	2.4	14.1	27.2	26 568	218	8 190	1 722	64 812	HANCOCK COUNTY
2 100	16 813	92 331	18.2	2.3	20.4	2.9	17.5	42.6	267 320	2 731	10 215	14 995	56 093	HENDRICKS COUNTY
1 000	8 431	49 450	17.1	(X)	16.6	(X)	17.2	24.5	226 861	1 179	5 198	6 915	30 482	MARION COUNTY[11]
														INDIANAPOLIS
162	1 086	8 648	12.6	4.9	20.6	7.0	16.1	55.9	36 091	282	7 825	2 248	62 298	KOKOMO SMSA:
102	599	3 692	16.2	(X)	22.0	(X)	16.1	60.1	18 562	157	8 459	967	52 081	HOWARD COUNTY
														KOKOMO
420	2 521	16 061	15.7	3.1	18.9	4.7	17.2	45.2	37 035	422	11 391	2 685	72 511	LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE SMSA
420	2 521	16 061	15.7	3.1	18.9	4.7	17.2	45.2	37 035	422	11 391	2 685	72 511	TIPPECANOE COUNTY
195	1 850	8 167	22.7	8.2	32.4	14.5	24.0	80.9	48 836	317	6 484	1 398	28 617	MUNCIE SMSA
195	1 850	8 167	22.7	8.2	32.4	14.5	24.0	80.9	48 836	317	6 484	1 398	28 617	DELAWARE COUNTY
105	898	3 433	26.2	(X)	38.4	(X)	28.7	80.8	28 265	154	5 462	590	20 879	MUNCIE
1 075	4 362	26 180	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	120 519	834	6 923	5 008	41 552	SOUTH BEND SMSA
340	534	4 481	11.9	4.3	7.7	3.7	3.7	(3)	24 006	146	6 081	1 223	50 948	MARSHALL COUNTY
735	3 828	21 699	17.6	2.2	19.0	3.3	17.0	44.0	96 513	688	7 133	3 901	40 422	ST. JOSEPH COUNTY
420	1 850	9 875	18.7	(X)	23.2	(X)	17.9	45.3	41 756	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SOUTH BEND
48	99	1 096	9.1	3.3	16.9	9.8	14.1	88.3	8 423	34	4 003	371	44 100	TERRE HAUTE SMSA:
375	1 513	11 422	13.3	2.2	13.7	2.9	12.6	42.8	51 586	219	4 238	1 650	31 985	VERMILLION COUNTY[12]
225	643	5 199	12.4	(X)	15.0	(X)	13.2	38.7	24 489	93	3 804	752	30 722	VIGO COUNTY
														TERRE HAUTE
140	429	2 826	15.2	2.2	21.1	9.0	14.7	69.0	31 580	216	6 849	1 424	45 101	LOUISVILLE, Ky.-IND. SMSA:*
														CLARK COUNTY
161	1 253	7 948	15.8	2.7	17.5	1.7	18.7	17.3	23 621	251	10 629	1 592	67 377	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
174	959	6 159	15.6	7.9	22.6	4.6	19.8	46.0	28 745	158	5 499	1 016	35 332	BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY
180	4 965	36 688	13.5	1.1	13.6	4.2	12.2	47.7	56 130	319	5 675	2 354	41 944	HENRY COUNTY
														LA PORTE COUNTY
														IOWA[10]
1 985	62 601	105 267	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	473 935	16 718	35 275	28 112	59 316	SMSA PORTION
310	11 473	17 549	65.4	4.8	66.6	5.4	66.8	15.7	67 759	2 787	41 128	4 263	62 907	CEDAR RAPIDS SMSA
310	11 473	17 549	65.4	4.8	66.6	5.4	66.8	15.7	67 759	2 787	41 128	4 263	62 907	LINN COUNTY
200	7 389	11 269	65.6	(X)	66.3	(X)	67.0	10.6	38 301	1 893	49 426	2 887	75 380	CEDAR RAPIDS
350	10 396	19 169	54.2	5.1	54.3	5.8	60.2	28.1	61 911	2 682	43 313	4 944	79 863	DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*
350	10 396	19 169	54.2	5.1	54.3	5.8	60.2	28.1	61 911	2 682	43 313	4 944	79 863	SCOTT COUNTY
160	5 390	8 706	61.9	(X)	63.0	(X)	61.1	13.5	34 713	1 607	46 282	2 595	74 757	DAVENPORT

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*	240	3 744	11 691	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	50 000	920 190	18 404	2 873	57 468
HENRY COUNTY	60	700	2 246	31.2	4.4	30.4	4.6	29.6	21.4	16 016	211 678	13 217	679	42 402
ROCK ISLAND COUNTY	180	3 044	9 445	32.2	3.2	33.2	3.6	30.7	17.6	33 984	716 845	21 094	2 225	65 458
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA*														
MADISON COUNTY	195	1 971	7 248	27.2	5.7	30.7	9.2	27.1	34.4	63 616	777 262	12 218	2 860	44 957
MONROE COUNTY	30	336	1 048	32.1	8.0	36.0	12.0	30.7	34.7	4 960	53 664	10 819	167	33 730
ST. CLAIR COUNTY	405	6 195	19 494	31.8	4.0	33.9	7.1	34.2	39.4	48 961	666 167	13 606	2 096	42 817
EAST ST. LOUIS	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
JACKSON COUNTY[3]	80	728	2 816	25.9	3.6	25.9	3.6	26.1	23.8	11 393	117 761	10 336	455	39 916
LA SALLE COUNTY	80	901	2 608	34.5	5.5	41.5	12.5	34.8	40.3	23 320	527 456	22 618	1 528	65 514
WHITESIDE COUNTY	65	754	2 463	30.6	3.0	34.2	6.5	31.2	23.9	14 235	213 760	15 017	699	49 095
INDIANA														
SMSA PORTION	7 441	54 557	327 394	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 028 599	6 966 754	6 773	41 807	40 645
ANDERSON SMSA	240	2 320	9 717	23.9	5.6	31.2	11.4	20.3	70.8	39 520	252 881	6 399	1 059	26 804
MADISON COUNTY	240	2 320	9 717	23.9	5.6	31.2	11.4	20.3	70.8	39 520	252 881	6 399	1 059	26 804
ANDERSON	105	1 043	4 835	21.6	(X)	26.4	(X)	20.5	46.7	18 864	124 593	6 605	577	30 607
BLOOMINGTON SMSA	210	1 658	9 613	17.3	2.9	21.8	9.6	18.0	52.2	17 680	140 037	7 921	812	45 900
MONROE COUNTY	210	1 658	9 613	17.3	2.9	21.8	9.6	18.0	52.2	17 680	140 037	7 921	812	45 900
BLOOMINGTON	105	1 049	5 832	18.0	(X)	18.4	(X)	19.5	30.3	7 408	66 192	8 935	368	49 636
ELKHART SMSA	330	2 653	15 930	16.7	3.0	17.9	2.2	16.9	23.2	36 448	270 662	7 426	1 625	44 580
ELKHART COUNTY	330	2 653	15 930	16.7	3.0	17.9	2.2	16.9	23.2	36 448	270 662	7 426	1 625	44 580
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA:*														
VANDERBURGH COUNTY	300	1 889	11 850	15.9	2.0	23.2	7.8	15.6	69.8	53 057	368 807	6 951	2 313	43 604
EVANSVILLE	285	1 731	10 845	16.0	(X)	23.6	(X)	15.6	73.7	40 353	260 098	6 446	1 629	40 372
FORT WAYNE SMSA:														
ADAMS COUNTY	66	536	1 836	29.3	12.7	51.8	28.3	31.5	(3)	6 740	40 300	5 979	138	20 417
ALLEN COUNTY	660	6 250	32 493	19.2	1.1	21.1	2.7	19.1	26.4	76 272	617 850	8 101	3 212	42 117
FORT WAYNE	555	5 333	27 520	19.4	(X)	21.9	(X)	19.1	27.9	57 280	318 688	5 564	1 645	28 711
DE KALB COUNTY	96	346	1 719	20.2	3.1	22.5	5.1	18.5	35.8	7 765	54 838	7 062	272	35 024
GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO SMSA	620	4 065	33 751	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	196 880	1 023 049	5 196	8 494	43 144
LAKE COUNTY	430	2 235	22 423	10.0	1.4	17.3	12.4	10.3	94.4	167 392	694 187	4 147	6 967	41 621
GARY	90	298	1 992	14.9	(X)	45.5	(X)	15.0	(3)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
HAMMOND	50	154	2 884	5.3	(X)	7.1	(X)	7.8	34.8	36 368	117 853	3 241	2 209	60 731
PORTER COUNTY	190	1 830	11 328	16.1	1.8	16.4	1.5	16.4	16.4	29 488	333 350	11 305	2 064	70 007
INDIANAPOLIS SMSA:														
BOONE COUNTY	90	896	4 804	18.7	2.4	20.8	4.2	18.8	22.8	9 120	78 893	8 651	423	46 360
HAMILTON COUNTY	330	4 386	26 230	16.7	1.4	17.5	1.7	16.4	18.3	19 940	238 579	11 965	1 427	71 565
HANCOCK COUNTY	91	517	3 774	13.7	2.9	13.5	2.4	14.5	22.2	10 784	93 653	8 684	683	63 307
HENDRICKS COUNTY	130	1 190	7 828	15.2	1.5	14.6	1.7	14.3	19.6	16 689	139 904	8 383	920	55 140
MARION COUNTY[11]	1 720	12 520	75 786	16.5	1.2	17.9	1.5	17.1	27.5	193 413	1 468 901	7 595	8 892	45 972
INDIANAPOLIS	900	8 327	48 481	17.2	(X)	17.2	(X)	17.3	21.4	166 596	644 571	3 869	3 753	22 526
KOKOMO SMSA:														
HOWARD COUNTY	144	1 027	6 579	15.6	1.6	18.1	3.8	16.1	33.9	23 696	159 279	6 722	1 020	43 046
KOKOMO	90	559	3 521	15.9	(X)	17.0	(X)	16.1	28.1	13 056	76 258	5 841	480	36 735
LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE SMSA	270	2 088	13 601	15.4	3.5	18.0	3.6	17.4	31.9	27 120	256 304	9 451	1 668	61 523
TIPPECANOE COUNTY	270	2 088	13 601	15.4	3.5	18.0	3.6	17.4	31.9	27 120	256 304	9 451	1 668	61 523
MUNCIE SMSA	105	532	3 526	15.1	8.9	18.4	8.5	16.2	58.8	31 696	186 014	5 869	1 233	38 915
DELAWARE COUNTY	105	532	3 526	15.1	8.9	18.4	8.5	16.2	58.8	31 696	186 014	5 869	1 233	38 915
MUNCIE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SOUTH BEND SMSA	665	4 101	22 593	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	82 172	503 160	6 123	2 772	33 734
MARSHALL COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY	585	3 641	20 118	18.1	2.2	19.1	3.1	17.3	36.3	69 392	428 892	6 181	2 369	34 141
SOUTH BEND	330	1 724	9 243	18.7	(X)	22.2	(X)	17.9	37.9	32 000	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TERRE HAUTE SMSA:														
VERMILLION COUNTY[13]	36	83	1 039	8.0	2.9	9.9	5.9	6.7	(3)	5 385	15 874	2 948	198	36 831
VIGO COUNTY	285	1 360	9 669	14.1	2.2	13.6	2.2	13.2	30.3	32 576	134 258	4 121	953	29 263
TERRE HAUTE	180	554	4 606	12.1	(X)	13.0	(X)	12.9	26.8	19 328	63 692	3 295	528	27 342
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*														
CLARK COUNTY	100	413	2 728	15.2	2.2	18.6	6.4	14.7	43.8	22 931	144 401	6 297	953	41 557
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY	126	974	5 224	18.6	1.1	18.8	1.2	19.4	10.6	14 857	124 007	8 347	666	44 795
HENRY COUNTY	120	654	2 983	21.9	2.4	22.3	3.0	22.4	23.0	13 872	85 242	6 145	389	28 022
LA PORTE COUNTY	90	529	3 328	15.9	4.2	16.4	4.3	14.2	32.2	30 240	185 819	6 145	1 170	38 676
IOWA[22]														
SMSA PORTION	1 559	52 576	82 204	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	294 257	9 524 536	32 368	14 892	50 608
CEDAR RAPIDS SMSA	260	9 919	15 174	65.4	3.4	65.9	3.1	66.8	9.6	47 296	1 623 400	34 324	2 483	52 506
LINN COUNTY	260	9 919	15 174	65.4	3.4	65.9	3.1	66.8	9.6	47 296	1 623 400	34 324	2 483	52 506
CEDAR RAPIDS	200	7 389	11 269	65.6	(X)	66.3	(X)	67.0	10.6	31 776	1 131 805	35 618	1 726	54 321
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*	270	9 976	16 073	62.1	1.8	65.0	4.5	61.4	15.1	42 336	1 375 237	32 484	2 216	52 335
SCOTT COUNTY	270	9 976	16 073	62.1	1.8	65.0	4.5	61.4	15.1	42 336	1 375 237	32 484	2 216	52 335
DAVENPORT	155	5 367	8 668	61.9	(X)	63.0	(X)	61.1	13.9	26 880	804 149	29 918	1 299	48 317

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														ILLINOIS--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENRY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
														ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MADISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONROE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. CLAIR COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EAST ST. LOUIS
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JACKSON COUNTY[9]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LA SALLE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WHITESIDE COUNTY
														INDIANA
844	1 108	10 546	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	357 790	285 421	798	2 717	7 593	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ANDERSON SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MADISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ANDERSON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BLOOMINGTON SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONROE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BLOOMINGTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELKHART SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELKHART COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VANDERBURGH COUNTY
														EVANSVILLE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FORT WAYNE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ADAMS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALLEN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FORT WAYNE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DE KALB COUNTY
100	137	1 589	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	112 756	56 375	500	654	5 799	GARY-HAMMOND-EAST CHICAGO SMSA
90	129	1 549	8.3	7.1	27.8	19.1	13.1	(3)	99 572	38 621	388	464	4 665	LAKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMMOND
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDIANAPOLIS SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOONE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMILTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HANCOCK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENDRICKS COUNTY
100	117	977	12.1	5.2	11.9	7.7	13.9	56.1	54 328	105 602	1 944	874	16 094	MARION COUNTY[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDIANAPOLIS
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KOKOMO SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HOWARD COUNTY
														KOKOMO
90	199	1 215	16.4	11.5	20.0	17.7	12.8	91.0	4 128	7 464	1 808	46	11 037	LAFAYETTE-WEST LAFAYETTE SMSA
90	199	1 215	16.4	11.5	20.0	17.7	12.8	91.0	4 128	7 464	1 808	46	11 037	TIPPECANOE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MUNCIE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DELAWARE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MUNCIE
130	60	1 143	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	20 536	12 063	587	230	11 190	SOUTH BEND SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MARSHALL COUNTY
90	49	825	5.9	5.3	10.7	6.7	11.6	55.6	16 736	9 629	575	163	9 762	ST. JOSEPH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOUTH BEND
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TERRE HAUTE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VERMILLION COUNTY[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VIGO COUNTY
														TERRE HAUTE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*
														CLARK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENRY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LA PORTE COUNTY
														IOWA[12]
254	1 809	7 703	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	60 981	226 175	3 709	963	15 793	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CEDAR RAPIDS SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LINN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CEDAR RAPIDS
65	187	2 343	8.0	6.0	12.7	8.0	3.0	(3)	4 162	13 357	3 209	167	40 189	DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL. SMSA*
65	187	2 343	8.0	6.0	12.7	8.0	3.0	(3)	4 162	13 357	3 209	167	40 189	SCOTT COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DAVENPORT

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
IOWA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
DES MOINES SMSA	338 048	136 932	5 321 648	100.0	68.1	62.6	5.9	1.4	24.5	-
POLK COUNTY	303 170	115 211	4 837 719	100.0	69.4	63.9	3.7	1.5	25.5	-
DES MOINES	191 003	68 259	2 777 282	100.0	70.0	64.1	0.2	1.4	28.4	-
WARREN COUNTY	34 878	21 721	483 929	100.0	50.9	45.2	37.8	1.1	10.3	-
DUBUQUE SMSA	93 745	42 386	1 259 650	100.0	58.0	54.3	17.6	2.6	21.8	-
DUBUQUE COUNTY	93 745	42 386	1 259 650	100.0	58.0	54.3	17.6	2.6	21.8	-
DUBUQUE	62 321	21 768	739 448	100.0	71.0	65.3	2.6	2.7	23.7	-
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*	100 884	56 313	1 397 163	100.0	49.2	45.4	23.6	2.3	24.9	-
WOODBURY COUNTY	100 884	56 313	1 397 163	100.0	49.2	45.4	23.6	2.3	24.9	-
SIOUX CITY	82 003	36 541	927 297	100.0	65.4	60.5	0.3	2.8	31.6	-
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS SMSA	137 961	59 900	2 175 778	100.0	57.8	55.3	12.1	1.2	28.9	-
BLACK HAWK COUNTY	137 961	59 900	2 175 778	100.0	57.8	55.3	12.1	1.2	28.9	-
WATERLOO	75 985	29 622	1 258 662	100.0	58.2	55.5	0.7	1.0	40.2	-
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*	86 500	48 734	1 095 411	100.0	54.9	53.6	32.9	1.5	10.6	-
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY	86 500	48 734	1 095 411	100.0	54.9	53.6	32.9	1.5	10.6	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	56 449	23 882	518 248	100.0	79.2	76.5	-	2.5	18.3	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
JOHNSON COUNTY	81 717	24 688	1 202 722	100.0	59.2	47.3	16.6	2.0	22.3	-
STORY COUNTY	72 326	29 126	1 103 726	100.0	53.7	43.1	27.8	1.3	17.2	-
KANSAS										
SMSA PORTION	1 106 999	461 015	2 145 471	100.0	63.5	54.6	10.8	3.6	22.0	0.1
LAWRENCE SMSA	67 640	27 967	119 126	100.0	56.3	42.8	14.0	1.1	28.5	-
DOUGLAS COUNTY	67 640	27 967	119 126	100.0	56.3	42.8	14.0	1.1	28.5	-
LAWRENCE	52 738	17 373	83 333	100.0	70.3	52.9	0.4	1.2	28.2	-
TOPEKA SMSA	185 442	93 602	343 700	100.0	59.3	53.2	17.3	1.4	22.0	(Z)
JEFFERSON COUNTY	15 207	15 264	25 141	100.0	37.7	37.7	52.3	8.4	1.6	-
OSAGE COUNTY	15 319	12 144	29 991	100.0	34.2	34.2	53.4	0.7	11.7	-
SHAWNEE COUNTY	154 916	66 194	288 568	100.0	63.8	56.6	10.5	0.9	24.8	(Z)
TOPEKA	115 266	44 843	211 584	100.0	67.6	57.9	0.3	1.0	31.2	-
WICHITA SMSA	411 313	174 847	799 266	100.0	57.2	47.1	12.1	6.3	24.4	-
BUTLER COUNTY	44 782	29 523	84 400	100.0	45.1	40.4	43.7	1.2	10.0	-
SEDGWICK COUNTY	366 531	145 324	714 866	100.0	58.7	47.9	8.0	6.9	26.3	-
WICHITA	279 272	103 818	543 825	100.0	67.7	53.7	-	1.8	30.5	-
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS. SMSA*	442 604	164 599	883 379	100.0	73.3	65.3	5.9	2.2	18.4	0.2
JOHNSON COUNTY	270 269	107 014	647 958	100.0	72.3	62.7	7.0	1.9	18.5	0.2
OVERLAND PARK	81 784	28 298	209 299	100.0	71.3	59.5	4.7	1.5	22.3	0.2
WYANDOTTE COUNTY	172 335	57 585	235 421	100.0	76.6	74.0	2.3	3.2	17.9	-
KANSAS CITY	161 087	53 376	220 784	100.0	78.7	75.8	1.0	2.9	17.4	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
RENO COUNTY	64 983	37 015	134 380	100.0	47.0	43.6	30.1	1.1	21.8	-
KENTUCKY										
SMSA PORTION	1 628 907	556 533	23 600 571	100.0	71.0	64.5	8.3	2.1	18.6	0.1
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA*	40 849	13 948	652 225	100.0	41.3	39.8	15.4	-	42.8	0.5
HENDERSON COUNTY	40 849	13 948	652 225	100.0	41.3	39.8	15.4	-	42.8	0.5
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE SMSA:										
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE CITY-COUNTY[1]	204 165	57 325	4 042 343	100.0	72.2	64.9	5.1	2.4	20.2	(Z)
JESSAMINE COUNTY	26 653	7 919	329 679	100.0	63.1	60.9	23.7	1.7	11.5	-
WOODFORD COUNTY	17 778	6 133	396 249	100.0	54.9	53.5	30.3	1.5	13.3	-
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*										
JEFFERSON COUNTY	684 793	232 455	10 102 206	100.0	78.9	68.9	1.0	2.9	17.2	-
LOUISVILLE	298 451	100 525	3 527 832	100.0	75.6	63.0	-	1.6	22.8	-
OWENSBORO SMSA	85 949	32 089	1 147 102	100.0	63.7	61.3	18.3	1.9	15.7	0.4
DAVIESS COUNTY	85 949	32 089	1 147 102	100.0	63.7	61.3	18.3	1.9	15.7	0.4
OWENSBORO	54 450	12 868	388 787	100.0	77.4	71.9	-	1.6	21.0	-
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	266 217	87 936	3 273 945	100.0	72.6	68.7	10.8	0.8	15.7	0.2
BOONE COUNTY	45 842	15 333	804 783	100.0	51.4	47.6	23.4	1.2	24.0	-
CAMPBELL COUNTY	83 317	26 886	902 078	100.0	77.0	72.6	9.7	0.7	12.7	-
KENTON COUNTY	137 058	45 717	1 567 084	100.0	82.0	78.3	4.4	0.5	12.7	0.4
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*	66 878	19 820	497 083	100.0	50.1	49.0	28.4	0.6	20.8	(Z)
CHRISTIAN COUNTY	66 878	19 820	497 083	100.0	50.1	49.0	28.4	0.6	20.8	(Z)
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W. VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA:*										
GREENUP COUNTY	39 132	18 076	499 723	100.0	63.8	63.2	12.4	2.3	21.5	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
HARDIN COUNTY	88 917	22 514	768 714	100.0	65.3	62.9	19.7	1.1	13.9	-
MCCRACKEN COUNTY	61 310	23 701	650 992	100.0	65.9	61.2	14.8	1.4	18.0	-
MADISON COUNTY	53 352	14 707	530 206	100.0	61.9	59.0	21.3	2.1	14.7	-
WARREN COUNTY	71 828	22 058	856 606	100.0	65.0	61.7	14.4	2.0	18.6	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														IOWA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
523	19 096	32 674	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	136 932	5 322	38 863	9 106	66 497	DES MOINES SMSA
468	17 214	28 585	60.2	4.6	57.7	6.8	64.0	24.9	115 211	4 838	41 990	8 033	69 727	POLK COUNTY
144	4 184	6 112	68.5	(X)	68.7	(X)	67.9	17.2	68 259	2 777	40 687	4 057	59 434	DES MOINES
55	1 882	4 089	46.0	13.1	48.9	10.5	60.9	25.8	21 721	484	22 279	1 051	48 389	WARREN COUNTY
112	4 009	6 667	60.1	5.9	64.0	5.1	63.2	15.5	42 386	1 260	29 719	2 096	49 443	DUBUQUE SMSA
112	4 009	6 667	60.1	5.9	64.0	5.1	63.2	15.5	42 386	1 260	29 719	2 096	49 443	DUBUQUE COUNTY
76	3 034	4 625	65.6	(X)	69.3	(X)	65.4	12.7	21 768	739	33 969	1 127	51 792	DUBUQUE
185	4 374	7 118	61.5	6.9	68.4	7.9	62.4	24.6	56 313	1 397	24 811	2 272	40 354	SIOUX CTY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*
185	4 374	7 118	61.5	6.9	68.4	7.9	62.4	24.6	56 313	1 397	24 811	2 272	40 354	WOODBURY COUNTY
140	3 758	5 710	65.9	(X)	66.9	(X)	63.3	16.8	36 541	927	25 377	1 408	38 527	SIOUX CITY
315	8 294	14 268	58.1	9.5	58.7	7.9	65.1	32.1	59 900	2 176	36 324	3 743	62 490	WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS SMSA
315	8 294	14 268	58.1	9.5	58.7	7.9	65.1	32.1	59 900	2 176	36 324	3 743	62 490	BLACK HAWK COUNTY
196	5 479	9 265	59.1	(X)	59.0	(X)	66.4	36.6	29 622	1 259	42 491	2 128	71 852	WATERLOO
190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	48 734	1 095	22 477	1 728	35 453	OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*
190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	48 734	1 095	22 477	1 728	35 453	POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
130	3 903	5 893	66.2	(X)	65.3	(X)	66.4	15.7	23 882	518	21 700	783	32 768	COUNCIL BLUFFS
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
266	7 424	15 342	48.4	8.6	48.5	7.1	54.3	32.0	24 688	1 203	48 717	2 485	100 668	JOHNSON COUNTY
200	7 844	15 317	51.2	8.1	56.3	6.8	58.2	25.8	29 126	1 104	37 895	2 155	73 999	STORY COUNTY
														KANSAS
5 324	23 358	291 687	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	461 015	2 145	4 654	26 792	58 115	SMSA PORTION
304	1 082	12 132	8.9	0.9	11.4	5.2	8.4	62.2	27 967	119	4 260	1 336	47 783	LAWRENCE SMSA
304	1 082	12 132	8.9	0.9	11.4	5.2	8.4	62.2	27 967	119	4 260	1 336	47 783	DOUGLAS COUNTY
224	982	10 269	9.6	(X)	9.5	(X)	9.5	25.6	17 373	83	4 797	872	50 165	LAWRENCE
1 040	3 188	36 924	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	93 602	344	3 672	3 981	42 529	TOPEKA SMSA
132	172	2 083	8.3	3.5	10.8	4.4	11.2	55.8	15 264	25	1 647	303	19 869	JEFFERSON COUNTY
80	176	2 262	7.8	1.7	8.7	1.3	8.1	18.1	12 144	30	2 470	386	31 804	OSAGE COUNTY
828	2 840	32 579	8.7	1.3	9.5	2.1	7.8	54.4	56 194	289	4 359	3 309	49 992	SHAWNEE COUNTY
564	2 003	22 962	8.7	(X)	10.4	(X)	8.1	53.5	44 843	212	4 718	2 424	54 059	TOPEKA
2 076	8 087	97 919	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	174 847	799	4 571	9 678	55 349	WICHITA SMSA
496	788	8 799	9.0	1.4	12.5	2.1	9.6	61.8	29 523	84	2 859	941	31 877	BUTLER COUNTY
1 580	7 299	89 120	8.2	0.8	7.8	0.6	7.6	38.4	145 324	715	4 919	8 729	60 067	SEDGWICK COUNTY
1 230	5 910	70 750	8.4	(X)	8.3	(X)	7.7	35.9	103 818	544	5 238	6 510	62 705	WICHITA
1 904	11 001	144 712	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	164 599	883	5 367	11 620	70 598	KANSAS CTY, MO.-KANS. SMSA*
1 344	8 952	124 273	7.2	1.3	7.5	0.5	7.5	22.2	107 014	648	6 055	8 996	84 063	JOHNSON COUNTY
468	3 689	45 478	8.1	(X)	8.2	(X)	7.4	25.4	28 298	209	7 396	2 580	91 177	OVERLAND PARK
560	2 049	20 439	10.0	0.8	15.9	3.5	10.3	75.5	57 585	235	4 088	2 348	40 783	WYANDOTTE COUNTY
530	1 936	19 253	10.1	(X)	16.3	(X)	10.3	78.3	53 376	221	4 136	2 196	41 135	KANSAS CITY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
340	1 266	13 783	9.2	1.4	13.3	4.7	9.8	66.0	37 015	134	3 630	1 463	39 535	RENO COUNTY
														KENTUCKY
4 717	191 589	235 791	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	556 533	23 601	42 406	29 046	52 190	SMSA PORTION
112	3 350	4 879	68.6	15.4	74.2	15.7	71.9	37.6	13 948	652	46 761	950	68 135	EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA*
112	3 350	4 879	68.6	15.4	74.2	15.7	71.9	37.6	13 948	652	46 761	950	68 135	HENDERSON COUNTY
666	34 968	41 838	83.6	6.5	88.0	5.5	90.2	19.8	57 325	4 042	70 516	4 837	84 375	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE SMSA:
90	4 300	6 702	64.1	16.3	77.5	12.9	84.8	28.5	7 919	330	41 631	514	64 908	LEXNGTN-FAYTE CTY-CNTY[11]
75	3 051	3 103	98.3	4.2	94.2	5.1	96.0	8.5	6 133	396	64 609	403	65 711	JESSAMINE COUNTY
														WOODFORD COUNTY
1 920	78 738	95 533	82.4	4.7	83.4	3.6	84.2	20.3	232 455	10 102	43 459	12 256	52 725	LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*
720	24 570	32 507	75.6	(X)	77.5	(X)	77.5	26.3	100 525	3 528	35 094	4 667	46 427	JEFFERSON COUNTY
														LOUISVILLE
340	13 333	17 951	74.3	7.3	79.5	8.5	77.3	27.3	32 089	1 147	35 748	1 544	48 131	OWENSBORO SMSA
340	13 333	17 951	74.3	7.3	79.5	8.5	77.3	27.3	32 089	1 147	35 748	1 544	48 131	DAVIESS COUNTY
260	9 094	12 089	75.2	(X)	78.2	(X)	76.9	25.8	12 868	389	30 213	517	40 168	OWENSBORO
462	16 088	19 359	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	87 936	3 274	37 231	3 940	44 801	CINCINTI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*
56	2 814	3 371	83.5	4.7	83.8	5.6	81.2	8.5	15 333	805	52 487	964	62 871	BOONE COUNTY
176	5 458	6 304	86.5	17.4	110.2	31.3	92.6	59.2	26 886	902	33 552	1 042	38 769	CAMPBELL COUNTY
230	7 816	9 684	80.7	8.5	80.5	7.5	83.3	19.0	45 717	1 567	34 278	1 942	42 470	KENTON COUNTY
280	11 751	13 731	85.6	14.7	76.3	12.7	81.6	29.5	19 820	497	25 080	581	29 311	CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*
280	11 751	13 731	85.6	14.7	76.3	12.7	81.6	29.5	19 820	497	25 080	581	29 311	CHRISTIAN COUNTY
100	1 936	2 346	82.6	13.1	77.1	15.7	63.8	50.3	18 076	500	27 646	605	33 480	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA:*
														GREENUP COUNTY
510	13 003	18 959	68.6	15.6	78.8	7.9	81.7	27.0	22 514	769	34 144	1 121	49 783	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
168	5 228	6 943	75.3	12.0	84.0	25.6	74.0	51.6	23 701	651	27 467	865	36 481	HARDIN COUNTY
116	3 719	4 658	79.9	9.2	88.2	7.5	87.5	19.0	14 707	530	36 051	664	45 146	MCCRACKEN COUNTY
224	7 550	11 591	65.1	10.1	66.6	11.9	68.9	36.6	22 058	857	38 834	1 315	59 622	MADISON COUNTY
														WARREN COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
IOWA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
DES MOINES SMSA	400	14 088	22 632	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	92 881	3 333 377	35 889	5 355	57 654
POLK COUNTY	360	12 542	20 006	62.7	4.1	62.1	4.4	64.9	15.1	85 713	3 090 780	36 060	4 930	57 520
DES MOINES	132	3 696	5 650	65.4	(X)	65.3	(X)	65.3	13.9	55 344	1 781 267	32 185	2 723	49 201
WARREN COUNTY	40	1 546	2 626	58.9	6.7	59.9	5.0	62.6	9.5	7 168	218 558	30 491	371	51 780
DUBUQUE SMSA	84	2 955	4 669	63.3	3.0	64.2	3.3	65.1	9.5	20 000	683 391	34 170	1 080	53 993
DUBUQUE COUNTY	84	2 955	4 669	63.3	3.0	64.2	3.3	65.1	9.5	20 000	683 391	34 170	1 080	53 993
DUBUQUE	64	2 329	3 567	65.3	(X)	65.9	(X)	65.3	8.5	15 328	482 973	31 509	740	48 252
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*	145	3 709	5 794	64.0	3.3	65.8	5.1	61.9	17.7	26 960	634 963	23 552	991	36 774
WOODBURY COUNTY	145	3 709	5 794	64.0	3.3	65.8	5.1	61.9	17.7	26 960	634 963	23 552	991	36 774
SIOUX CITY	125	3 406	5 242	65.0	(X)	66.1	(X)	62.8	15.2	23 120	561 078	24 268	863	37 327
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS SMSA	210	6 970	10 040	69.4	7.0	69.8	4.3	70.2	13.6	39 280	1 203 668	30 643	1 734	44 138
BLACK HAWK COUNTY	210	6 970	10 040	69.4	7.0	69.8	4.3	70.2	13.6	39 280	1 203 668	30 643	1 734	44 138
WATERLOO	140	4 885	7 079	69.0	(X)	69.5	(X)	68.9	15.5	22 864	698 189	30 537	1 012	44 250
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*	190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	25 504	587 059	23 018	926	36 307
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY	190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	25 504	587 059	23 018	926	36 307
COUNCIL BLUFFS	130	3 903	5 893	66.2	(X)	65.3	(X)	66.4	15.7	18 400	396 286	21 537	598	32 522
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
JOHNSON COUNTY	154	5 465	9 161	59.7	2.9	60.7	4.3	61.4	12.1	14 433	568 297	39 375	953	66 010
STORY COUNTY	125	4 255	7 009	60.7	4.2	61.8	7.0	58.6	18.5	13 640	475 853	34 887	784	57 468
KANSAS														
SMSA PORTION	3 825	16 579	202 254	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	271 544	1 170 819	4 312	14 283	52 600
LAWRENCE SMSA	200	885	9 403	9.4	0.8	9.1	0.7	9.5	15.9	13 680	51 010	3 729	542	39 648
DOUGLAS COUNTY	200	885	9 403	9.4	0.8	9.1	0.7	9.5	15.9	13 680	51 010	3 729	542	39 648
LAWRENCE	160	835	8 647	9.6	(X)	9.7	(X)	9.6	13.0	10 800	44 070	4 081	457	42 299
TOPEKA SMSA	659	2 271	27 123	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	51 072	182 922	3 582	2 185	42 776
JEFFERSON COUNTY	55	110	1 475	7.5	2.9	10.0	3.1	12.5	22.0	3 008	9 475	3 150	126	41 916
OSAGE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SHAWNEE COUNTY	588	2 085	24 864	8.4	0.5	9.0	1.0	8.3	26.7	44 672	163 203	3 653	1 946	43 552
TOPEKA	456	1 525	18 302	8.3	(X)	9.2	(X)	8.1	29.4	36 864	122 602	3 328	1 470	39 890
WICHITA SMSA	1 442	5 846	71 599	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	95 620	376 203	3 934	4 608	48 186
BUTLER COUNTY	272	526	5 620	9.4	0.7	11.8	1.7	9.2	39.9	10 624	34 125	3 212	364	34 285
SEDGWICK COUNTY	1 170	5 320	65 979	8.1	0.4	8.2	0.5	7.9	26.9	84 996	342 637	4 031	4 249	49 995
WICHITA	920	4 000	49 212	8.1	(X)	8.3	(X)	7.8	26.5	72 324	291 875	4 036	3 591	49 645
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS. SMSA*	1 524	7 577	94 129	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	111 172	577 020	5 190	7 168	64 479
JOHNSON COUNTY	1 104	6 481	83 047	7.8	0.2	8.0	0.5	7.8	16.8	66 084	406 412	6 150	5 207	78 800
OVERLAND PARK	408	2 133	27 550	7.7	(X)	8.4	(X)	7.4	21.4	20 195	124 476	6 164	1 607	79 580
WYANDOTTE COUNTY	420	1 096	11 082	9.9	1.3	16.9	4.4	10.6	85.7	45 088	174 254	3 865	1 763	39 112
KANSAS CITY	390	983	9 896	9.9	(X)	17.5	(X)	10.9	88.0	42 592	167 331	3 929	1 686	39 583
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
RENO COUNTY	250	1 017	10 024	10.1	0.9	11.5	3.3	9.8	36.1	18 000	58 543	3 252	577	32 065
KENTUCKY														
SMSA PORTION	3 396	137 700	168 677	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	434 554	15 229 052	35 045	18 655	42 929
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA*	56	2 312	3 179	72.7	22.4	74.2	23.8	93.7	28.7	11 861	259 533	21 881	357	30 089
HENDERSON COUNTY	56	2 312	3 179	72.7	22.4	74.2	23.8	93.7	28.7	11 861	259 533	21 881	357	30 089
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE SMSA:														
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE CITY-COUNTY[11]	540	29 337	33 902	86.5	4.3	88.6	4.6	90.0	14.4	47 938	2 623 967	54 737	3 032	63 255
JESSAMINE COUNTY	50	1 996	3 773	52.9	19.7	65.7	16.9	77.7	30.5	5 629	200 824	35 677	380	67 465
WOODFORD COUNTY	35	1 653	1 706	96.9	6.5	95.4	6.3	94.1	6.9	4 467	212 013	47 462	219	48 999
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*														
JEFFERSON COUNTY	1 584	60 831	72 617	83.8	3.6	84.8	3.7	84.6	18.6	190 102	6 958 359	36 603	8 306	43 693
LOUISVILLE	528	13 731	17 192	79.9	(X)	79.9	(X)	79.0	24.1	81 826	2 222 643	27 163	2 783	34 009
OWENSBORO SMSA	250	9 191	12 330	74.5	8.3	80.2	10.4	77.7	28.0	22 976	703 739	30 629	944	41 095
DAVIESS COUNTY	250	9 191	12 330	74.5	8.3	80.2	10.4	77.7	28.0	22 976	703 739	30 629	944	41 095
OWENSBORO	200	7 207	9 620	74.9	(X)	80.3	(X)	79.3	26.0	11 072	279 621	25 255	373	33 714
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	314	12 156	14 256	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	70 324	2 249 890	31 997	2 639	37 520
BOONE COUNTY	48	2 612	3 091	84.5	4.8	85.7	5.3	85.1	7.7	10 881	382 901	35 190	453	41 646
CAMPBELL COUNTY	96	3 103	3 508	88.4	14.6	94.9	29.6	91.7	37.3	21 363	655 307	30 675	741	34 694
KENTON COUNTY	170	6 441	7 657	84.1	9.4	84.0	8.2	86.1	15.4	38 080	1 227 751	32 241	1 460	38 328
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*	180	4 861	6 758	71.9	12.8	71.2	10.2	77.6	22.8	12 920	243 528	18 849	339	26 210
CHRISTIAN COUNTY	180	4 861	6 758	71.9	12.8	71.2	10.2	77.6	22.8	12 920	243 528	18 849	339	26 210
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W. VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA:*														
GREENUP COUNTY	35	1 736	1 962	88.5	12.3	81.1	20.0	99.2	19.4	11 298	316 033	27 972	357	31 617
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
HARDIN COUNTY	290	9 674	11 582	83.5	5.4	86.8	7.0	84.0	16.1	15 181	483 142	31 825	578	38 101
MCCRACKEN COUNTY	91	3 491	4 144	84.3	13.2	81.6	13.9	95.7	23.7	16 260	398 580	24 513	473	29 094
MADISON COUNTY	88	2 868	3 299	86.9	5.2	87.6	6.0	88.2	14.2	9 688	312 927	32 300	360	37 156
WARREN COUNTY	128	4 757	6 385	74.5	13.0	72.1	18.6	73.9	40.5	18 560	528 495	28 475	709	38 216

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														IOWA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
77	1 178	3 528	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	17 106	76 323	4 462	229	13 363	DES MOINES SMSA
72	1 176	3 510	33.5	16.8	21.2	15.2	19.7	97.3	14 034	70 673	5 036	211	15 026	POLK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DES MOINES
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WARREN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DUBUQUE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DUBUQUE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DUBUQUE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SIOUX CTY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WOODBURY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SIOUX CITY
70	251	1 505	16.7	13.0	23.4	13.8	15.3	(3)	9 728	26 896	2 765	161	16 588	WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS SMSA
70	251	1 505	16.7	13.0	23.4	13.8	15.3	(3)	9 728	26 896	2 765	161	16 588	BLACK HAWK COUNTY
35	35	1 010	3.5	(X)	4.0	(X)	2.7	91.4	4 544	12 125	2 668	349	76 775	WATERLOO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COUNCIL BLUFFS
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
63	186	1 634	11.4	11.8	23.8	16.4	16.4	(3)	3 392	23 603	6 958	207	60 955	JOHNSON COUNTY
25	105	332	31.5	28.4	38.4	34.2	11.2	(3)	2 250	14 101	6 267	45	19 904	STORY COUNTY
														KANSAS
681	584	15 974	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	98 242	78 266	797	2 141	21 791	SMSA PORTION
48	46	469	9.8	6.3	26.0	29.9	6.2	(3)	5 952	1 345	226	14	2 307	LAWRENCE SMSA
48	46	469	9.8	6.3	26.0	29.9	6.2	(3)	5 952	1 345	226	14	2 307	DOUGLAS COUNTY
40	42	466	9.1	(X)	8.7	(X)	5.4	78.2	3 648	976	268	11	2 943	LAWRENCE
195	73	2 805	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	17 856	4 760	267	183	10 243	TOPEKA SMSA
55	20	419	4.9	5.3	8.6	8.6	2.7	(3)	6 528	2 115	324	44	6 667	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OSAGE COUNTY
132	52	2 380	2.2	2.6	4.3	4.0	3.3	(3)	9 408	2 600	276	119	12 671	SHAWNEE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TOPEKA
350	147	5 073	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	40 385	50 081	1 240	1 728	42 796	WICHITA SMSA
160	36	602	6.0	2.7	15.5	5.2	12.1	74.0	6 528	1 020	156	17	2 595	BUTLER COUNTY
190	111	4 471	2.5	1.9	2.6	1.6	0.9	(3)	33 857	49 486	1 462	2 000	59 086	SEDGWICK COUNTY
100	106	3 553	3.0	(X)	4.2	(X)	1.6	(3)	18 144	9 646	532	324	17 837	WICHITA
88	318	7 627	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	34 049	19 552	574	469	13 773	KANSAS CTY, MO.-KANS. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JOHNSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OVERLAND PARK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WYANDOTTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANSAS CITY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RENO COUNTY
														KENTUCKY
469	4 021	5 648	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	53 048	487 374	9 187	685	12 905	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENDERSON COUNTY
														LEXINGTON-FAYETTE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEXNGTN-FAYTE CTY-CNTY[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JESSAMINE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WOODFORD COUNTY
120	1 493	2 268	65.9	33.4	73.4	18.1	82.9	27.7	26 929	289 649	10 756	440	16 332	LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JEFFERSON COUNTY
														LOUISVILLE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OWENSBORO SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DAVIESS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OWENSBORO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CINCINTI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOONE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMPBELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENTON COUNTY
60	187	365	51.2	28.6	79.2	48.0	67.2	72.7	1 200	3 198	2 665	6	5 210	CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*
60	187	365	51.2	28.6	79.2	48.0	67.2	72.7	1 200	3 198	2 665	6	5 210	CHRISTIAN COUNTY
35	84	125	67.3	24.0	79.9	31.8	54.5	58.4	2 549	11 365	4 459	17	6 626	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY-OHIO SMSA:*
														GREENUP COUNTY
110	280	516	54.3	19.2	66.5	19.3	64.9	42.5	2 640	8 585	3 252	16	5 993	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
35	170	209	81.3	52.4	120.6	107.2	72.7	(3)	2 741	8 862	3 233	11	3 975	HARDIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCCRACKEN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MADISON COUNTY
														WARREN COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
LOUISIANA[11]										
SMSA PORTION	2 665 635	917 777	3 797 830	100.0	61.4	54.6	6.0	5.2	27.3	0.3
ALEXANDRIA SMSA:										
RAPIDES PARISH	135 282	39 924	126 212	100.0	64.9	62.4	13.0	2.6	19.6	-
BATON ROUGE SMSA:										
ASCENSION PARISH	50 068	21 026	53 216	100.0	39.8	39.8	26.7	5.5	27.3	0.7
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH:										
BATON ROUGE[11]	346 002	76 597	609 294	100.0	69.3	65.5	1.7	4.4	24.6	-
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH	19 086	6 163	26 324	100.0	46.4	45.6	18.5	2.4	32.8	-
LAFAYETTE SMSA	150 017	54 040	228 235	100.0	61.3	54.9	8.0	6.2	24.5	-
LAFAYETTE PARISH	150 017	54 040	228 235	100.0	61.3	54.9	8.0	6.2	24.5	-
LAFAYETTE	81 961	25 392	(NA)	100.0	58.0	50.1	3.1	5.8	33.1	-
LAKE CHARLES SMSA	167 048	73 239	281 906	100.0	73.8	70.9	9.4	4.7	12.1	-
CALCASIEU PARISH	167 048	73 239	281 906	100.0	73.8	70.9	9.4	4.7	12.1	-
LAKE CHARLES	75 051	25 433	(NA)	100.0	78.6	71.8	0.4	4.7	16.2	-
MONROE SMSA	139 241	55 364	157 320	100.0	65.8	59.5	6.2	4.2	20.8	3.0
OUACHITA PARISH	139 241	55 364	157 320	100.0	65.8	59.5	6.2	4.2	20.8	3.0
MONROE	57 597	19 157	(NA)	100.0	63.8	56.2	1.8	2.6	26.8	5.1
NEW ORLEANS SMSA:										
JEFFERSON PARISH	454 592	141 954	713 148	100.0	54.2	52.3	12.7	1.8	31.3	-
KENNER	66 382	23 208	(NA)	100.0	64.5	60.9	4.3	3.1	28.1	-
ORLEANS PARISH:										
NEW ORLEANS[11]	557 482	142 031	826 463	100.0	54.8	38.8	-	5.7	39.1	0.4
ST. TAMMANY PARISH	110 554	58 738	159 781	100.0	75.4	75.1	2.4	17.1	5.2	-
SHREVEPORT SMSA	376 646	167 043	491 875	100.0	69.3	64.5	4.1	4.1	22.6	-
BOSSIER PARISH	80 721	29 783	98 443	100.0	68.9	63.2	7.1	3.5	20.5	-
SHREVEPORT (PART)	872	111	(NA)	100.0	12.0	12.0	-	-	88.0	-
CADDO PARISH	252 294	116 876	358 566	100.0	69.0	64.5	2.6	4.5	23.8	-
SHREVEPORT (PART)	204 943	75 623	(NA)	100.0	70.0	64.5	0.3	2.6	27.1	-
WEBSTER PARISH	43 631	20 384	34 866	100.0	72.5	67.8	9.5	1.6	16.3	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
ST. LANDRY PARISH	84 128	31 322	90 211	100.0	54.9	54.3	21.0	3.9	20.1	-
ST. MARY PARISH	64 395	22 037	73 838	100.0	54.3	45.5	13.1	4.7	27.9	-
TERREBONNE PARISH	94 393	30 169	110 163	100.0	60.6	55.9	11.6	5.5	21.5	0.9
VERNON PARISH	53 475	13 894	28 373	100.0	59.0	52.8	26.6	1.5	12.9	-
MAINE[**]										
NECMA PORTION	256 003	151 205	5 079 595	100.0	71.7	63.4	5.3	1.2	21.6	0.2
PORTLAND NECMA:										
CUMBERLAND COUNTY:										
PORTLAND CITY	61 572	19 126	668 653	100.0	55.7	35.8	4.7	0.4	38.9	0.2
MARYLAND[11]										
SMSA PORTION	3 551 328	1 123 153	29 685 592	100.0	75.1	68.0	4.3	3.2	17.2	0.2
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	60 430	27 440	382 079	100.0	58.9	58.9	11.7	6.9	22.6	-
CECIL COUNTY	60 430	27 440	382 079	100.0	58.9	58.9	11.7	6.9	22.6	-
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*	1 316 875	411 903	14 093 837	100.0	75.3	67.8	6.3	2.8	15.4	0.3
CHARLES COUNTY	72 751	28 503	609 672	100.0	72.4	70.2	3.9	7.8	15.9	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY[3]	579 053	197 403	8 290 056	100.0	78.5	72.3	7.2	0.8	13.0	0.5
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	665 071	185 997	5 194 109	100.0	70.6	60.6	5.2	5.2	19.0	-
BALTIMORE SMSA[3]	2 174 023	683 810	15 209 676	100.0	75.3	68.4	2.3	3.6	18.7	0.1
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY[3]	370 775	135 419	3 171 016	100.0	80.1	77.2	0.7	5.1	14.2	-
BALTIMORE COUNTY	655 615	209 030	5 530 319	100.0	75.1	67.2	2.0	3.0	19.9	-
BALTIMORE CITY	786 775	213 141	3 081 453	100.0	71.1	59.0	0.1	1.7	26.8	0.3
CARROLL COUNTY	96 356	38 649	817 035	100.0	71.3	68.8	13.2	4.5	11.0	-
HARFORD COUNTY	145 930	44 422	1 084 452	100.0	77.5	72.4	6.5	3.1	12.9	-
HOWARD COUNTY	118 572	43 149	1 525 401	100.0	75.5	70.8	2.3	6.0	16.2	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
FREDERICK COUNTY	114 263	52 222	990 982	100.0	70.0	68.3	9.9	4.3	15.8	-
ST. MARY'S COUNTY	59 895	24 136	407 499	100.0	73.8	72.7	8.1	6.6	11.2	0.3
WASHINGTON COUNTY	113 086	40 399	715 544	100.0	65.3	63.1	5.1	3.2	26.4	-
MASSACHUSETTS[**]										
NECMA PORTION	4 892 199	1 733 551	71 395 980	100.0	75.7	64.6	1.5	2.1	20.6	(Z)
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA:*										
ESSEX COUNTY:										
LAWRENCE CITY	63 175	11 877	657 462	100.0	50.5	31.4	-	0.1	49.4	-
LYNN CITY	78 471	17 934	244 093	100.0	65.1	39.3	1.5	1.9	31.6	-
MIDDLESEX COUNTY:										
CAMBRIDGE CITY	95 322	15 208	282 030	100.0	52.1	22.9	-	2.4	45.5	-
LOWELL CITY	92 418	19 591	165 284	100.0	61.6	39.6	1.6	0.8	36.0	-
MALDEN CITY	53 386	11 540	109 626	100.0	75.1	31.7	-	3.6	21.4	-
MEDFORD CITY	58 076	16 280	852 169	100.0	84.6	46.6	0.5	1.4	13.5	-
NEWTON CITY	83 622	23 715	3 043 732	100.0	85.0	69.1	-	0.7	14.3	-
SOMERVILLE CITY	77 372	13 078	115 463	100.0	75.0	18.2	-	0.7	24.3	-
WALTHAM CITY	58 200	13 647	543 312	100.0	54.6	36.2	-	0.8	44.6	-
FRAMINGHAM TOWN	65 113	16 305	1 566 180	100.0	73.7	58.2	-	1.8	24.5	-
NORFOLK COUNTY:										
QUINCY CITY	84 743	21 214	250 064	100.0	66.6	37.1	0.2	1.2	31.9	0.1
BROOKLINE TOWN	55 062	11 655	1 699 099	100.0	89.0	52.8	-	1.3	9.7	-
WEYMOUTH TOWN	55 601	15 736	924 381	100.0	88.1	78.5	0.4	1.3	10.2	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	Area
														LOUISIANA[2]
6 839	24 169	388 104	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	917 777	3 798	4 138	60 985	66 449	SMSA PORTION
														ALEXANDRIA SMSA:
360	961	13 073	7.3	0.9	8.0	1.5	7.5	39.5	39 924	126	3 161	1 718	43 025	RAPIDES PARISH
														BATON ROUGE SMSA:
196	620	6 690	9.3	3.5	9.8	2.9	6.7	83.5	21 026	53	2 531	574	27 317	ASCENSION PARISH
														EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH:
600	2 816	36 561	7.7	0.8	7.8	0.9	7.0	29.1	76 597	609	7 955	7 909	103 252	BATON ROUGE[3]
85	160	4 682	3.4	1.5	7.9	3.9	5.6	75.8	6 163	26	4 271	771	125 177	WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH
585	2 366	53 703	4.4	0.8	5.1	0.5	5.1	23.2	54 040	228	4 223	5 183	95 918	LAFAYETTE SMSA
585	2 366	53 703	4.4	0.8	5.1	0.5	5.1	23.2	54 040	228	4 223	5 183	95 918	LAFAYETTE PARISH
330	1 437	32 747	4.4	(X)	4.9	(X)	4.9	19.9	25 392	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LAFAYETTE
460	1 518	17 622	8.6	1.8	10.0	1.6	9.5	32.1	73 239	282	3 849	3 275	44 723	LAKE CHARLES SMSA
460	1 518	17 622	8.6	1.8	10.0	1.6	9.5	32.1	73 239	282	3 849	3 275	44 723	CALCASIEU PARISH
190	856	8 216	10.4	(X)	11.0	(X)	9.6	24.7	25 433	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LAKE CHARLES
272	664	9 955	6.7	1.7	6.9	0.9	7.1	31.8	55 364	157	2 842	2 354	42 517	MONROE SMSA
272	664	9 955	6.7	1.7	6.9	0.9	7.1	31.8	55 364	157	2 842	2 354	42 517	OUACHITA PARISH
104	330	3 761	8.8	(X)	8.3	(X)	8.1	26.3	19 157	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MONROE
														NEW ORLEANS SMSA:
1 620	6 738	110 436	6.1	0.8	6.5	0.7	5.5	42.9	141 954	713	5 024	11 690	82 351	JEFFERSON PARISH
480	1 849	32 960	5.6	(X)	6.1	(X)	5.4	33.1	23 208	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KENNER
														ORLEANS PARISH:
352	2 232	31 573	7.1	2.8	7.4	1.5	6.2	56.0	142 031	826	5 819	11 694	82 336	NEW ORLEANS[1]
480	1 383	23 541	5.9	1.3	6.0	1.1	6.8	43.8	58 738	160	2 720	2 719	46 287	ST. TAMMANY PARISH
1 085	2 823	47 783	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	167 043	492	2 945	8 326	49 841	SHREVEPORT SMSA
324	752	14 011	5.4	1.6	8.2	2.1	6.8	54.2	29 783	98	3 305	1 835	61 607	BOSSIER PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SHREVEPORT (PART)
600	1 837	28 556	6.4	0.9	7.3	1.0	6.3	40.0	116 876	359	3 068	5 575	47 704	CADDO PARISH
444	1 576	24 298	6.5	(X)	7.5	(X)	6.3	42.2	75 623	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SHREVEPORT (PART)
161	234	5 216	4.5	0.8	6.3	2.3	4.9	73.9	20 384	35	1 710	778	38 155	WEBSTER PARISH
96	432	9 070	4.8	2.7	6.2	1.9	7.2	45.1	31 322	90	2 880	1 890	60 346	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
104	230	4 556	5.0	1.6	6.2	2.5	5.6	49.2	22 037	74	3 351	1 463	66 409	ST. LANDRY PARISH
132	361	5 095	7.1	1.9	6.8	1.2	5.8	40.2	30 169	110	3 652	1 559	51 682	ST. MARY PARISH
30	54	1 026	5.3	1.5	6.7	3.0	5.7	79.3	13 894	28	2 042	538	38 698	TERREBONNE PARISH
														VERNON PARISH
														MAINE**
1 384	44 855	66 113	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	151 205	5 080	33 594	7 487	49 515	NECMA PORTION
														PORTLAND NECMA:
														CUMBERLAND COUNTY:
145	3 038	6 821	44.5	6.5	49.4	4.4	48.8	21.6	19 126	669	34 960	1 501	78 477	PORTLAND CITY
														MARYLAND[2]
9 176	239 944	727 451	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 123 153	29 686	26 431	89 999	80 131	SMSA PORTION
290	3 369	12 341	27.3	4.2	31.7	6.7	32.0	40.6	27 440	382	13 924	1 400	51 019	WILMNGTN, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*
290	3 369	12 341	27.3	4.2	31.7	6.7	32.0	40.6	27 440	382	13 924	1 400	51 019	CECIL COUNTY
3 724	122 061	385 799	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	411 903	14 094	34 216	44 546	108 148	WASHNGTN, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*
312	8 313	23 728	35.0	8.0	37.7	6.2	33.0	28.0	28 503	610	21 390	1 740	61 048	CHARLES COUNTY
2 100	81 326	268 085	30.3	2.9	32.0	1.7	33.4	17.7	197 403	8 290	41 996	27 328	138 436	MONTGOMERY COUNTY*
1 312	32 422	93 986	34.5	1.3	34.7	1.8	35.1	13.4	185 997	5 194	27 926	15 056	80 948	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
5 162	114 514	329 311	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	683 810	15 210	22 243	43 739	63 963	BALTIMORE SMSA
798	19 063	64 166	29.7	3.4	30.3	2.3	32.2	20.8	135 419	3 171	23 416	10 673	78 813	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY*
1 224	29 838	84 352	35.4	1.5	34.6	1.8	36.0	15.0	209 030	5 530	26 457	15 634	74 794	BALTIMORE COUNTY
1 856	18 943	59 074	32.1	2.3	49.1	17.7	31.8	80.7	213 141	3 081	14 457	9 609	45 085	BALTIMORE CITY
372	6 830	22 871	29.9	7.0	44.2	16.7	36.7	53.2	38 649	817	21 140	2 736	70 792	CARROLL COUNTY
416	18 613	45 921	40.5	3.4	38.0	2.5	36.9	14.3	44 422	1 084	24 412	2 676	60 234	HARFORD COUNTY
496	21 227	52 927	40.1	9.1	34.7	3.3	34.0	16.3	43 149	1 525	35 352	3 803	88 145	HOWARD COUNTY
390	7 435	28 063	26.5	7.2	32.2	5.3	32.2	36.7	52 222	991	18 976	3 741	71 632	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
198	3 432	9 067	37.9	8.2	43.4	8.8	34.4	39.7	24 136	407	16 883	1 076	44 594	FREDERICK COUNTY
250	6 205	16 736	37.1	7.5	34.6	4.0	36.6	23.0	40 399	716	17 712	1 930	47 777	ST. MARY'S COUNTY
														WASHINGTON COUNTY
														MASSACHUSETTS**
12 458	449 196	880 544	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 733 551	71 396	41 185	139 955	80 733	NECMA PORTION
														BOSTON-LOWELL-BRCKTN-LWRNCE-HAVRHL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA:*
														ESSEX COUNTY:
70	365	2 510	14.5	5.1	15.0	4.2	11.3	57.0	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	LAWRENCE CITY
180	1 726	7 437	23.2	4.8	23.9	4.7	20.7	34.7	17 934	244	13 611	1 054	58 763	LYNN CITY
														MIDDLESEX COUNTY:
260	3 132	23 465	13.3	4.0	15.6	3.7	16.7	33.2	15 208	282	18 545	2 113	138 938	CAMBRIDGE CITY
120	657	4 967	13.2	2.0	14.4	3.0	12.5	40.7	19 591	165	8 437	1 253	63 934	LOWELL CITY
78	1 207	9 038	13.4	2.2	15.7	6.8	12.2	41.8	11 540	110	9 500	821	71 156	MALDEN CITY
70	3 508	4 856	72.2	6.3	72.9	6.1	77.0	8.5	16 280	852	52 345	1 180	72 459	MEDFORD CITY
240	30 964	36 520	84.8	14.9	93.8	8.7	95.5	14.7	23 715	3 044	128 346	3 590	151 376	NEWTON CITY
90	587	5 274	11.1	4.3	30.2	36.1	9.8	(3)	13 078	115	8 829	1 037	79 324	SOMERVILLE CITY
110	4 339	16 945	25.6	2.0	29.1	3.2	28.4	13.9	13 647	543	39 812	2 123	155 530	WALTHAM CITY
100	7 295	8 089	90.2	3.3	89.7	3.9	89.5	5.4	16 305	1 566	96 055	1 737	106 510	FRAMINGHAM TOWN
														NORFOLK COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	QUINCY CITY
200	23 514	24 870	94.5	14.8	92.7	14.1	87.7	18.3	11 655	1 699	145 783	1 797	154 190	BROOKLINE TOWN
150	6 983	7 763	90.0	11.0	92.5	12.2	91.2	19.6	15 736	924	58 743	1 028	65 305	WEYMOUTH TOWN

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
LOUISIANA														
SMSA PORTION	4 239	18 163	280 692	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	579 007	2 075 102	3 584	32 069	55 386
ALEXANDRIA SMSA:														
RAPIDES PARISH	210	668	8 770	7.6	0.6	8.1	1.3	7.9	24.1	30 208	78 722	2 606	1 033	34 181
BATON ROUGE SMSA:														
ASCENSION PARISH	84	362	2 882	12.6	4.0	13.3	5.2	12.8	55.5	8 160	21 198	2 598	169	20 675
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH:														
BATON ROUGE[11]	456	2 077	28 993	7.2	0.5	7.3	0.6	7.0	19.0	52 256	399 074	7 637	5 570	106 593
WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH	30	70	1 214	5.8	0.9	6.4	1.5	5.5	21.3	4 620	12 009	2 599	207	44 869
LAFAYETTE SMSA	480	2 190	46 205	4.7	0.6	5.2	0.5	5.2	18.4	35 713	125 244	3 507	2 644	74 026
LAFAYETTE PARISH	480	2 190	46 205	4.7	0.6	5.2	0.5	5.2	18.4	35 713	125 244	3 507	2 644	74 026
LAFAYETTE	315	1 420	32 387	4.4	(X)	4.9	(X)	5.0	20.0	19 649	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LAKE CHARLES SMSA	160	701	7 387	9.5	0.8	10.4	2.4	9.6	22.0	48 640	199 736	4 106	2 106	43 301
CALCASIEU PARISH	160	701	7 387	9.5	0.8	10.4	2.4	9.6	22.0	48 640	199 736	4 106	2 106	43 301
LAKE CHARLES	90	434	4 419	9.8	(X)	9.9	(X)	9.6	5.9	20 000	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MONROE SMSA	136	342	5 615	6.1	1.8	6.9	1.2	7.5	26.0	33 984	93 600	2 754	1 535	45 168
OUACHITA PARISH	136	342	5 615	6.1	1.8	6.9	1.2	7.5	26.0	33 984	93 600	2 754	1 535	45 168
MONROE	64	148	2 113	7.0	(X)	7.5	(X)	7.5	14.5	12 224	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NEW ORLEANS SMSA:														
JEFFERSON PARISH	1 140	5 549	89 507	6.2	0.9	6.5	0.9	5.4	41.6	107 553	373 150	3 469	6 020	55 974
KENNER	320	1 499	26 158	5.7	(X)	5.7	(X)	5.4	25.4	17 216	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ORLEANS PARISH:														
NEW ORLEANS[11]	208	1 480	17 585	8.4	4.8	8.3	2.2	6.5	57.4	81 947	320 548	3 912	3 809	46 482
ST. TAMMANY PARISH	216	1 112	16 177	6.9	1.3	7.3	1.0	7.6	23.1	28 041	120 067	4 282	1 745	62 240
SHREVEPORT SMSA	734	2 148	35 058	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	104 804	317 200	3 027	5 177	49 398
BOSSIER PARISH	216	684	10 793	6.3	1.3	7.4	1.5	6.9	29.9	18 780	62 250	3 315	982	52 311
SHREVEPORT (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CADDO PARISH	420	1 251	19 831	6.3	0.7	7.9	1.1	6.7	34.0	73 824	231 353	3 134	3 667	49 673
SHREVEPORT (PART)	312	1 018	16 260	6.3	(X)	7.9	(X)	6.3	38.3	59 424	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WEBSTER PARISH	98	213	4 434	4.8	0.8	5.5	1.0	5.2	32.2	12 200	23 633	1 937	491	40 283
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
ST. LANDRY PARISH	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ST. MARY PARISH	64	185	2 852	6.5	1.8	8.1	3.3	5.6	48.8	13 504	33 575	2 486	518	38 328
TERREBONNE PARISH	68	200	3 307	6.0	0.8	6.7	1.3	6.3	31.7	18 793	61 528	3 274	1 018	54 176
VERNON PARISH	18	47	756	6.2	1.4	6.4	1.2	6.4	28.8	8 511	14 980	1 760	243	28 539
MAINE**														
NECMA PORTION	855	34 816	50 892	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	99 795	3 219 273	32 259	4 706	47 154
PORTLAND NECMA:														
CUMBERLAND COUNTY:														
PORTLAND CITY	85	1 830	4 258	43.0	8.9	47.8	5.0	48.8	19.3	10 625	239 209	22 514	557	52 390
MARYLAND[22]														
SMSA PORTION	7 352	183 975	542 491	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	853 020	20 190 367	23 669	59 536	69 794
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*	180	2 526	8 083	31.2	3.9	32.5	4.1	34.3	20.7	16 320	225 223	13 800	721	44 173
CECIL COUNTY	180	2 526	8 083	31.2	3.9	32.5	4.1	34.3	20.7	16 320	225 223	13 800	721	44 173
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*	3 250	100 760	297 910	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	305 559	9 559 369	31 285	28 264	92 498
CHARLES COUNTY	260	7 278	20 828	34.9	9.0	38.1	7.3	33.6	30.0	17 507	427 919	24 443	1 224	69 942
MONTGOMERY COUNTY[3]	1 806	63 477	192 409	33.0	1.3	33.1	1.3	33.6	13.5	158 658	5 997 448	37 801	18 179	114 582
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	1 184	30 005	84 673	35.4	0.9	35.1	1.0	35.2	9.2	129 394	3 150 130	24 345	8 889	68 698
BALTIMORE SMSA	3 922	80 689	236 498	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	531 141	10 409 574	19 599	30 510	57 443
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY[3]	588	16 590	49 803	33.3	2.1	33.7	1.9	34.1	13.4	95 652	2 449 463	25 608	7 352	76 865
BALTIMORE COUNTY	1 037	26 407	74 508	35.4	1.3	35.2	1.5	36.3	11.8	165 216	3 713 724	22 478	10 478	63 423
BALTIMORE CITY	1 472	15 861	50 600	31.3	2.5	40.9	6.6	31.8	56.2	180 512	1 816 818	10 065	5 796	32 108
CARROLL COUNTY	180	4 726	12 472	37.9	2.2	37.5	2.5	38.3	10.5	24 384	562 290	23 060	1 484	60 862
HARFORD COUNTY	325	7 948	21 394	37.1	1.6	37.2	2.1	36.5	11.0	33 056	785 085	23 750	2 113	63 935
HOWARD COUNTY	320	9 157	27 721	33.0	2.0	33.7	1.7	34.4	8.3	32 321	1 080 680	33 434	3 271	101 219
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
FREDERICK COUNTY	210	4 852	13 865	35.0	5.0	37.7	4.9	39.8	18.1	31 808	676 398	21 265	1 933	60 768
ST. MARY'S COUNTY	126	3 203	8 538	37.5	8.7	37.9	7.2	34.1	27.3	13 026	296 153	22 734	789	60 588
WASHINGTON COUNTY	170	3 071	8 588	35.8	2.9	35.4	3.9	37.5	17.6	27 072	451 305	16 671	1 262	46 627
MASSACHUSETTS**														
NECMA PORTION	8 247	319 847	590 751	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 101 935	46 148 318	41 879	85 235	77 350
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA:*														
ESSEX COUNTY:														
LAWRENCE CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LYNN CITY	100	928	4 202	22.0	6.6	23.5	7.0	19.2	40.4	9 664	95 850	9 918	435	45 007
MIDDLESEX COUNTY:														
CAMBRIDGE CITY	160	1 980	13 835	14.3	5.7	16.3	4.1	17.4	25.5	5 952	64 487	10 835	451	75 705
LOWELL CITY	85	447	3 724	12.0	1.5	12.5	1.7	11.8	25.0	11 136	65 370	5 870	546	49 001
MALDEN CITY	30	180	1 502	12.0	0.5	12.1	0.4	12.2	3.7	5 760	34 754	6 034	290	50 361
MEDFORD CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NEWTON CITY	180	22 321	23 190	96.3	6.7	99.1	6.0	97.7	10.0	18 081	2 101 804	116 244	2 184	120 769
SOMERVILLE CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WALTHAM CITY	80	1 466	5 445	26.9	2.3	27.4	1.9	27.5	8.5	8 832	196 627	22 263	731	82 717
FRAMINGHAM TOWN	100	7 295	8 089	90.2	3.3	89.7	3.9	89.5	5.4	13 296	911 458	68 551	1 011	76 013
NORFOLK COUNTY:														
QUINCY CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BROOKLINE TOWN	120	9 872	11 720	84.2	19.3	86.5	19.0	81.7	18.6	7 892	897 503	113 723	1 066	135 012
WEYMOUTH TOWN	130	6 234	7 148	87.2	11.1	88.1	12.6	91.1	17.6	13 568	725 676	53 484	832	61 326

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public_Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														LOUISIANA
1 556	2 094	39 826	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	187 892	195 679	1 041	3 722	19 807	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALEXANDRIA SMSA:
														RAPIDES PARISH
77	175	2 040	8.6	8.2	8.3	3.7	6.7	71.2	5 160	2 901	562	34	6 571	BATON ROUGE SMSA:
														ASCENSION PARISH
60	120	1 802	6.7	2.4	5.4	2.2	4.8	37.3	19 139	26 887	1 405	403	21 054	EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH:
25	30	301	9.8	4.2	15.2	10.7	9.3	91.1	960	619	645	6	6 557	BATON ROUGE[11]
														WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH
90	135	5 675	2.4	3.4	5.2	2.1	5.4	40.4	8 241	14 082	1 709	591	71 746	LAFAYETTE SMSA
90	135	5 675	2.4	3.4	5.2	2.1	5.4	40.4	8 241	14 082	1 709	591	71 746	LAFAYETTE PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAFAYETTE
180	213	2 665	8.0	2.3	8.7	1.6	9.5	23.0	17 696	13 364	755	167	9 464	LAKE CHARLES SMSA
180	213	2 665	8.0	2.3	8.7	1.6	9.5	23.0	17 696	13 364	755	167	9 464	CALCASIEU PARISH
50	57	660	8.7	(X)	8.1	(X)	8.4	14.3	4 128	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LAKE CHARLES
64	71	860	8.2	1.3	7.2	1.5	7.2	26.2	7 744	6 560	847	80	10 292	MONROE SMSA
64	71	860	8.2	1.3	7.2	1.5	7.2	26.2	7 744	6 560	847	80	10 292	OUACHITA PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONROE
400	674	12 449	5.4	1.9	6.6	1.6	5.8	46.1	17 888	12 819	717	237	13 236	NEW ORLEANS SMSA:
140	290	5 002	5.8	(X)	7.6	(X)	6.0	41.8	4 416	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	JEFFERSON PARISH
														KENNER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ORLEANS PARISH:
204	249	4 366	5.7	2.1	5.8	1.8	6.1	54.7	29 416	27 278	927	479	16 280	NEW ORLEANS[11]
														ST. TAMMANY PARISH
172	108	3 893	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	35 786	20 141	563	726	20 287	SHREVEPORT SMSA
60	31	2 152	1.5	1.4	7.5	4.6	6.7	65.9	4 490	3 468	772	239	53 265	BOSSIER PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SHREVEPORT (PART)
84	68	1 489	4.6	1.9	5.9	2.6	4.5	63.3	28 896	16 173	560	355	12 293	CADDO PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SHREVEPORT (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEBSTER PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. LANDRY PARISH
36	32	709	4.5	0.9	4.8	0.6	5.1	16.8	4 884	6 035	1 236	133	27 290	ST. MARY PARISH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TERREBONNE PARISH
														VERNON PARISH
														MAINE**
174	1 295	2 356	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	11 935	62 931	5 273	114	9 593	NECMA PORTION
														PORTLAND NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CUMBERLAND COUNTY:
														PORTLAND CITY
														MARYLAND[12]
749	18 323	67 474	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	154 656	960 597	6 211	3 537	22 873	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILMNGTN, DEL.-N.J.-MD. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CECIL COUNTY
182	14 667	51 978	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	48 264	390 907	8 099	1 385	28 703	WASHNGTN, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHARLES COUNTY
105	14 162	48 883	29.0	1.5	24.3	10.6	28.5	36.8	4 341	64 491	14 856	223	51 281	MONTGOMERY COUNTY*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
527	3 592	15 190	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	98 967	541 860	5 475	2 291	23 154	BALTIMORE SMSA
98	889	7 306	12.2	5.9	19.6	5.3	19.7	30.3	35 205	160 276	4 553	1 318	37 435	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY*
119	836	3 043	27.5	9.0	26.2	8.3	27.1	34.2	31 384	166 567	5 307	606	19 315	BALTIMORE COUNTY
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	BALTIMORE CITY
108	556	1 550	35.8	16.8	72.4	52.2	37.4	(3)	8 144	36 803	4 519	103	12 614	CARROLL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HARFORD COUNTY
80	974	2 462	39.5	15.8	38.9	16.3	33.7	36.7	9 233	92 125	9 978	233	25 231	HOWARD COUNTY
90	797	2 301	34.7	11.8	36.7	12.0	35.4	37.3	13 760	42 810	3 111	123	8 974	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
48	123	334	36.8	5.0	41.9	11.8	35.6	29.9	8 092	26 943	3 330	73	9 043	FREDERICK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. MARY'S COUNTY
														WASHINGTON COUNTY
														MASSACHUSETTS**
837	17 555	29 056	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	237 304	1 534 567	6 467	2 540	10 703	NECMA PORTION
														BOSTON-LOWELL-BRCKTN-LWRNCE-HAVRHL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ESSEX COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAWRENCE CITY
														LYNN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MIDDLESEX COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMBRIDGE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOWELL CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MALDEN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MEDFORD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEWTON CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOMERVILLE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WALTHAM CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRAMINGHAM TOWN
														NORFOLK COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	QUINCY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROOKLINE TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEYMOUTH TOWN

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED										
NECMA PORTION--CONTINUED										
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA*--CONTINUED										
PLYMOUTH COUNTY:										
BROCKTON CITY	95 172	24 720	721 373	100.0	72.7	53.8	2.9	1.4	22.4	0.5
SUFFOLK COUNTY:										
BOSTON CITY[11]	562 994	97 972	1 635 769	100.0	38.9	13.8	-	2.9	58.2	-
NEW BEDFORD-FALL RIVER NECMA:										
BRISTOL COUNTY:										
FALL RIVER CITY	92 574	16 261	160 519	100.0	64.2	35.2	3.4	0.6	31.9	-
NEW BEDFORD CITY	98 478	30 005	204 297	100.0	64.5	39.0	1.0	0.6	33.4	0.5
PITTSFIELD NECMA:										
BERKSHIRE COUNTY:										
PITTSFIELD CITY	51 974	16 132	696 924	100.0	74.2	63.4	-	1.8	24.0	-
SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE NECMA:										
HAMPDEN COUNTY:										
CHICOPEE CITY	55 112	15 654	101 575	100.0	72.6	52.5	-	1.8	25.6	-
SPRINGFIELD CITY	152 319	40 379	611 796	100.0	56.2	36.2	-	2.6	41.1	-
WORCESTER-FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER NECMA:										
WORCESTER COUNTY:										
WORCESTER CITY	161 799	37 012	413 520	100.0	53.3	39.0	-	2.1	44.6	-
MICHIGAN										
SMSA PORTION	7 489 476	2 543 327	63 751 361	100.0	68.1	62.5	7.8	1.9	22.3	(Z)
ANN ARBOR SMSA:										
WASHTENAW COUNTY:										
ANN ARBOR CITY	107 316	21 975	1 083 291	100.0	76.6	62.2	-	0.5	22.9	-
DETROIT SMSA:										
MACOMB COUNTY:										
ROSEVILLE CITY	54 311	18 668	388 316	100.0	76.6	72.6	-	2.3	21.1	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES CITY	76 210	26 607	636 484	100.0	92.6	82.3	0.2	0.6	6.6	-
STERLING HEIGHTS CITY	108 999	33 528	1 161 015	100.0	76.3	73.1	0.8	3.2	19.7	-
WARREN CITY	161 134	53 145	1 610 657	100.0	64.1	61.4	0.8	0.9	34.2	-
CLINTON T#P	72 400	22 774	641 018	100.0	92.4	82.1	-	1.3	6.3	-
OAKLAND COUNTY:										
FARMINGTON HILLS CITY	58 056	22 115	806 499	100.0	77.9	70.8	0.5	2.1	19.5	-
PONTIAC CITY	76 715	23 721	517 533	100.0	47.1	40.4	0.5	1.8	50.6	-
ROYAL OAK CITY	70 893	23 088	637 945	100.0	85.6	78.3	-	0.4	14.0	-
SOUTHFIELD CITY	75 568	21 681	1 121 311	100.0	62.1	53.1	1.1	1.7	35.1	0.1
TROY CITY	67 102	23 292	1 113 354	100.0	63.7	59.8	1.2	1.7	33.5	-
WATERFORD TWP	64 437	24 449	626 243	100.0	79.1	72.0	1.7	1.6	17.6	-
WAYNE COUNTY:										
DEARBORN CITY	90 660	32 795	1 416 364	100.0	53.7	52.4	0.4	1.2	44.7	0.1
DEARBORN HEIGHTS CITY	67 706	24 253	573 635	100.0	91.2	87.7	-	0.9	7.9	-
DETROIT CITY	1 203 339	353 549	4 300 406	100.0	69.3	54.9	0.2	0.6	30.0	-
LIVONIA CITY	104 814	36 489	1 368 192	100.0	66.1	65.0	1.1	1.8	31.1	-
TAYLOR CITY	77 568	19 512	524 745	100.0	66.7	58.9	3.7	1.2	28.4	-
WESTLAND CITY	84 603	23 494	578 342	100.0	82.3	71.0	2.9	1.0	13.8	-
REDFORD T#P	58 441	23 083	527 032	100.0	78.1	77.7	-	1.1	20.8	-
FLINT SMSA:										
GENESEE COUNTY:										
FLINT CITY	159 611	55 369	1 081 884	100.0	63.0	56.0	1.0	0.3	35.7	-
GRAND RAPIDS SMSA:										
KENT COUNTY:										
GRAND RAPIDS CITY	181 843	58 901	1 172 812	100.0	74.9	66.1	0.4	0.9	23.7	-
WYOMING CITY	59 616	19 446	499 486	100.0	67.4	62.6	0.2	0.2	32.1	-
KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE SMSA:										
KALAMAZOO COUNTY:										
KALAMAZOO CITY	79 722	24 375	503 468	100.0	68.3	52.2	1.0	3.3	27.1	0.2
LANSING-EAST LANSING SMSA:										
CLINTON COUNTY:										
LANSING CITY (PART)	-	(NA)	130	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
EATON COUNTY:										
LANSING CITY (PART)	4 440	772	21 244	100.0	100.0	82.0	-	-	-	-
INGHAM COUNTY:										
EAST LANSING CITY	48 309	6 381	241 675	100.0	90.3	79.7	-	-	9.7	-
LANSING CITY (PART)	125 974	43 763	842 789	100.0	73.1	66.8	-	0.6	26.3	-
SAGINAW SMSA:										
SAGINAW COUNTY:										
SAGINAW CITY	77 508	25 181	467 802	100.0	59.3	57.3	2.2	1.6	37.0	-
MINNESOTA										
SMSA PORTION	2 632 011	1 012 672	15 058 757	100.0	64.0	55.1	7.3	2.6	26.1	(Z)
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*	222 229	133 859	673 563	100.0	64.8	57.9	3.7	2.6	28.6	0.3
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	222 229	133 859	673 563	100.0	64.8	57.9	3.7	2.6	28.6	0.3
DULUTH	92 811	39 984	275 262	100.0	66.9	56.3	0.5	2.7	30.0	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED
														NECMA PORTION--CONTINUED
														BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA*--CONTINUED
														PLYMOUTH COUNTY:
160	3 912	6 641	58.9	6.5	66.3	17.7	55.0	34.9	24 720	721	29 182	1 225	49 555	BROCKTON CITY
														SUFFOLK COUNTY:
730	9 113	61 449	14.8	2.8	15.6	2.1	12.9	52.9	97 972	1 636	16 696	11 030	112 584	BOSTON CITY[11]
														NEW BEDFRD-FALL RIVERNECMA:
														BRISTOL COUNTY:
60	394	2 389	16.5	6.6	15.0	5.5	13.0	49.1	16 261	161	9 871	975	59 940	FALL RIVER CITY
110	568	3 641	15.6	6.7	18.4	5.7	17.4	38.3	30 005	204	6 809	1 312	43 740	NEW BEDFORD CITY
														PITTSFIELD NECMA:
														BERKSHIRE COUNTY:
110	4 197	4 513	93.0	5.0	92.3	4.9	92.4	7.3	16 132	697	43 201	749	46 456	PITTSFIELD CITY
														SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE NECMA:
														HAMPDEN COUNTY:
102	354	3 163	11.2	0.9	11.6	1.3	11.1	18.4	15 654	102	6 489	910	58 153	CHICOPEE CITY
300	3 826	11 679	32.8	3.4	33.3	4.0	31.4	21.2	40 379	612	15 151	1 868	46 258	SPRINGFIELD CITY
														WORCESTER-FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER NECMA:
														WORCESTER COUNTY:
210	1 342	7 840	17.1	2.7	18.5	3.7	16.0	33.2	37 012	414	11 173	2 415	65 262	WORCESTER CITY
														MICHIGAN
7 956	174 504	407 438	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 543 327	63 751	25 066	148 849	58 525	SMSA PORTION
														ANN ARBOR SMSA:
180	5 686	13 568	41.9	3.7	41.9	3.3	42.7	11.8	21 975	1 083	49 297	2 585	117 640	WASHTENAW COUNTY: ANN ARBOR CITY
														DETROIT SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MACOMB COUNTY:
72	1 626	4 289	37.9	2.5	38.3	2.4	39.6	5.5	26 607	636	23 922	1 679	63 096	ROSEVILLE CITY
108	2 737	5 994	45.7	3.0	46.2	3.7	44.1	9.4	33 528	1 161	34 628	2 542	75 830	ST. CLAIR SHORES CITY
132	2 155	5 230	41.2	3.9	44.1	6.3	41.7	16.6	53 145	1 611	30 307	3 908	73 538	STERLING HEIGHTS CITY
84	1 374	4 272	32.2	14.6	31.8	10.4	36.5	31.6	22 774	641	28 147	1 993	87 524	WARREN CITY
														CLINTON TWP.
128	3 920	9 318	42.1	4.2	42.3	3.9	43.6	12.9	22 115	806	36 468	1 917	86 703	OAKLAND COUNTY:
30	298	668	44.7	1.3	44.9	1.0	45.0	2.1	23 721	518	21 818	1 158	48 805	FARMINGTON HILLS CITY
96	4 931	14 084	35.0	(X)	44.2	(X)	44.6	18.0	23 088	638	27 631	1 822	78 934	PONTIAC CITY
40	1 235	2 760	44.7	(X)	44.2	(X)	46.0	7.3	21 681	1 121	51 719	2 507	115 614	ROYAL OAK CITY
114	4 677	10 837	43.2	(X)	43.6	(X)	45.9	12.8	23 292	1 113	47 800	2 580	110 749	SOUTHFIELD CITY
33	737	1 536	48.0	4.2	48.5	4.1	47.0	15.0	24 449	626	25 614	1 306	53 415	TROY CITY
														WATERFORD TWP.
72	1 777	5 201	34.2	3.5	42.3	8.6	36.1	26.7	32 795	1 416	43 188	4 144	126 364	WAYNE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DEARBORN CITY
297	2 336	4 608	50.7	(X)	56.7	(X)	52.4	29.4	353 549	4 300	12 164	8 483	23 995	DEARBORN HEIGHTS CITY
126	4 422	9 223	47.9	(X)	46.6	(X)	48.4	8.1	36 489	1 368	37 496	2 854	78 222	DETROIT CITY
54	725	1 268	57.1	(X)	81.7	(X)	55.3	58.2	19 512	525	26 893	919	47 103	LIVONIA CITY
108	2 278	4 771	47.8	(X)	47.8	(X)	47.1	9.2	23 494	578	24 617	1 211	51 536	TAYLOR CITY
72	1 735	3 016	57.5	14.4	60.3	14.3	59.2	30.3	23 083	527	22 832	916	39 682	WESTLAND CITY
														REDFORD TWP.
														FLINT SMSA:
204	2 547	5 485	46.4	6.2	55.9	16.3	46.2	44.0	55 369	1 082	19 540	2 330	42 088	GENESEE COUNTY: FLINT CITY
														GRAND RAPIDS SMSA:
240	4 235	9 308	45.5	3.9	63.4	21.4	45.1	55.7	58 901	1 173	19 912	2 578	43 761	KENT COUNTY:
96	1 361	3 196	42.6	3.9	43.6	4.7	39.9	12.2	19 446	499	25 686	1 173	60 319	GRAND RAPIDS CITY
														WYOMING CITY
														KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE SMSA:
156	1 548	3 916	39.5	5.5	58.1	32.7	43.5	59.7	24 375	503	20 655	1 274	52 287	KALAMAZOO COUNTY: KALAMAZOO CITY
														LANSING-EAST LANSING SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLINTON COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LANSING CITY (PART)
														EATON COUNTY:
														LANSING CITY (PART)
108	4 140	9 889	41.9	4.9	42.0	5.0	42.4	13.5	6 381	242	37 874	577	90 472	INGHAM COUNTY:
276	4 698	10 352	45.4	4.4	46.0	5.4	43.5	22.6	43 763	843	19 258	1 857	42 436	EAST LANSING CITY
														LANSING CITY (PART)
														SAGINAW SMSA:
57	636	1 355	47.1	4.5	46.8	3.4	45.4	13.4	25 181	468	18 578	994	39 478	SAGINAW COUNTY: SAGINAW CITY
														MINNESOTA
6 445	77 387	421 312	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 012 672	15 059	14 870	81 983	80 957	SMSA PORTION
590	4 651	29 626	15.7	1.6	22.0	6.2	15.1	76.2	133 859	674	5 032	4 289	32 044	DLTH-SUPERIOR,MINN&WIS SMSA
590	4 651	29 626	15.7	1.6	22.0	6.2	15.1	76.2	133 859	674	5 032	4 289	32 044	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
200	1 504	9 956	15.1	(X)	15.4	(X)	14.1	31.4	39 984	275	6 884	1 822	45 579	DULUTH

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED														
NECMA PORTION--CONTINUED														
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA*--CONTINUED														
PLYMOUTH COUNTY:														
BROCKTON CITY	90	2 109	3 912	53.9	5.4	55.2	6.2	52.3	13.1	15 936	387 941	24 344	720	45 175
SUFFOLK COUNTY:														
BOSTON CITY[11]	400	2 788	26 670	10.5	1.9	12.7	2.0	10.6	46.2	31 568	225 904	7 156	2 161	68 456
NEW BEDFORD-FALL RIVER NECMA:														
BRISTOL COUNTY:														
FALL RIVER CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NEW BEDFORD CITY	60	294	2 365	12.4	8.2	15.5	6.1	15.9	36.9	11 648	79 641	6 837	642	55 095
PITTSFIELD NECMA:														
BERKSHIRE COUNTY:														
PITTSFIELD CITY	90	3 589	3 873	92.7	5.6	91.9	5.7	92.4	7.5	10 752	441 836	41 093	477	44 351
SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE NECMA:														
HAMPDEN COUNTY:														
CHICOPEE CITY	72	280	2 477	11.3	1.1	11.3	1.2	11.2	15.7	10 176	53 363	5 244	473	46 523
SPRINGFIELD CITY	210	1 911	6 314	30.3	2.0	30.6	2.2	29.9	13.7	23 296	233 692	10 031	772	33 155
WORCESTER-FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER NECMA:														
WORCESTER COUNTY:														
WORCESTER CITY	110	721	4 898	14.7	1.8	14.8	2.0	15.4	16.2	21 504	161 104	7 495	1 094	50 889
MICHIGAN														
SMSA PORTION	6 289	149 807	339 189	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1825 883	39821 566	21 809	90 163	49 380
ANN ARBOR SMSA:														
WASHTENAW COUNTY:														
ANN ARBOR CITY	144	4 913	11 162	44.0	2.4	43.8	2.5	43.1	8.5	18 352	674 231	36 739	1 532	83 471
DETROIT SMSA:														
MACOMB COUNTY:														
ROSEVILLE CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ST. CLAIR SHORES CITY	72	1 626	4 289	37.9	2.5	38.3	2.4	39.6	5.5	23 281	523 757	22 497	1 381	59 339
STERLING HEIGHTS CITY	96	2 653	5 792	45.8	3.1	46.8	4.0	44.4	9.9	28 128	848 383	30 169	1 852	65 853
WARREN CITY	132	2 155	5 230	41.2	3.9	44.1	6.3	41.7	16.6	47 232	988 563	20 930	2 399	50 785
CLINTON TWP.	60	1 247	3 738	33.4	16.6	34.9	13.7	37.9	28.8	20 418	525 979	25 761	1 577	77 237
OAKLAND COUNTY:														
FARMINGTON HILLS CITY	104	3 783	8 930	42.4	4.3	43.3	4.0	44.0	11.6	16 352	570 973	34 918	1 348	82 437
PONTIAC CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ROYAL OAK CITY	72	1 544	3 125	49.4	(X)	46.8	(X)	46.1	12.2	21 376	499 573	23 371	1 012	47 328
SOUTHFIELD CITY	40	1 235	2 760	44.7	(X)	44.2	(X)	46.0	7.3	19 328	594 899	30 779	1 330	68 805
TROY CITY	96	4 291	9 383	45.7	(X)	46.2	(X)	46.5	7.0	17 776	665 872	37 459	1 456	81 910
WATERFORD TWP.	30	714	1 495	47.7	4.3	47.7	4.3	46.7	14.6	17 408	450 787	25 895	945	54 257
WAYNE COUNTY:														
DEARBORN CITY	60	1 677	5 072	33.1	2.6	35.2	2.3	34.7	8.8	29 010	742 581	25 597	2 245	77 404
DEARBORN HEIGHTS CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
DETROIT CITY	234	2 007	4 071	49.3	(X)	52.6	(X)	45.6	28.6	246 144	2 359 885	9 587	4 787	19 450
LIVONIA CITY	108	3 263	7 039	46.3	(X)	45.9	(X)	46.7	8.6	32 016	889 035	27 768	1 918	59 915
TAYLOR CITY	48	672	1 247	53.8	(X)	60.6	(X)	55.0	21.4	15 776	308 829	19 576	574	36 358
WESTLAND CITY	108	2 278	4 771	47.8	(X)	47.8	(X)	47.1	9.2	20 448	410 614	20 081	860	42 041
REDFORD TWP.	60	1 698	2 970	57.2	14.7	56.8	15.4	44.6	33.3	19 936	409 471	20 539	716	35 904
FLINT SMSA:														
GENESEE COUNTY:														
FLINT CITY	168	2 240	4 753	47.1	7.1	59.6	19.1	48.6	46.8	45 280	606 370	13 395	1 287	28 425
GRAND RAPIDS SMSA:														
KENT COUNTY:														
GRAND RAPIDS CITY	204	3 675	7 935	46.3	4.4	62.8	24.1	45.3	54.1	44 864	774 774	17 269	1 673	37 291
WYOMING CITY	84	1 289	3 016	42.7	4.1	44.2	5.2	39.9	13.9	16 896	312 844	18 518	732	43 324
KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE SMSA:														
KALAMAZOO COUNTY:														
KALAMAZOO CITY	108	1 051	2 687	39.1	4.9	40.7	5.8	43.5	17.6	16 912	262 745	15 536	672	39 754
LANSING-EAST LANSING SMSA:														
CLINTON COUNTY:														
LANSING CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
EATON COUNTY:														
LANSING CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
INGHAM COUNTY:														
EAST LANSING CITY	108	4 140	9 889	41.9	4.9	42.0	5.0	42.4	13.5	5 984	192 649	32 194	460	76 903
LANSING CITY (PART)	252	4 315	9 404	45.9	4.8	46.6	5.8	43.7	23.9	38 144	563 174	14 768	1 227	32 177
SAGINAW SMSA:														
SAGINAW COUNTY:														
SAGINAW CITY	48	552	1 177	47.0	5.0	46.9	3.5	45.5	11.7	21 760	268 161	12 324	571	26 232
MINNESOTA														
SMSA PORTION	4 689	51 419	300 069	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	684 613	8 291 048	12 111	48 385	70 674
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*	410	2 288	16 480	13.9	2.0	15.4	2.3	14.5	35.1	70 176	389 911	5 556	2 808	40 008
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	410	2 288	16 480	13.9	2.0	15.4	2.3	14.5	35.1	70 176	389 911	5 556	2 808	40 008
DULUTH	180	1 155	8 421	13.7	(X)	14.3	(X)	13.9	26.7	24 352	154 939	6 362	1 130	46 393

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED
														NECMA PORTION--CONTINUED
														BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H. NECMA*--CONTINUED
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PLYMOUTH COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROCKTON CITY
														SUFFOLK COUNTY:
														BOSTON CITY[11]
														NEW BEDFRD-FALL RIVER NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRISTOL COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FALL RIVER CITY
														NEW BEDFORD CITY
														PITTSFIELD NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BERKSHIRE COUNTY:
														PITTSFIELD CITY
														SPRINGFIELD-CHICOPEE-HOLYOKE NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMPDEN COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHICOPEE CITY
														SPRINGFIELD CITY
														WORCESTER-FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WORCESTER COUNTY:
														WORCESTER CITY
														MICHIGAN
937	4 882	13 248	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	303 779	1 198 477	3 945	3 252	10 706	SMSA PORTION
														ANN ARBOR SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WASHTENAW COUNTY:
														ANN ARBOR CITY
														DETROIT SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MACOMB COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROSEVILLE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. CLAIR SHORES CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STERLING HEIGHTS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WARREN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLINTON TWP.
														OAKLAND COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FARMINGTON HILLS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PONTIAC CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROYAL OAK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOUTHFIELD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TROY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WATERFORD TWP.
														WAYNE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DEARBORN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DEARBORN HEIGHTS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DETROIT CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LIVONIA CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TAYLOR CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WESTLAND CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	REDFORD TWP.
														FLINT SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GENESEE COUNTY:
														FLINT CITY
														GRAND RAPIDS SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENT COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND RAPIDS CITY
														WYOMING CITY
														KALAMAZOO-PORTAGE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KALAMAZOO COUNTY:
														KALAMAZOO CITY
														LANSING-EAST LANSING SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLINTON COUNTY:
														LANSING CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EATON COUNTY:
														LANSING CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INGHAM COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EAST LANSING CITY
														LANSING CITY (PART)
														SAGINAW SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAGINAW COUNTY:
														SAGINAW CITY
														MINNESOTA
900	4 033	21 203	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	143 284	392 794	2 741	2 065	14 412	SMSA PORTION
80	156	788	19.8	13.9	44.8	34.9	29.7	(3)	29 568	17 646	597	89	3 022	DLTH-SUPERIOR, MINN-WIS. SMSA
80	156	788	19.8	13.9	44.8	34.9	29.7	(3)	29 568	17 646	597	89	3 022	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	DULUTH

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Nonfarm residential property: Total	Nonfarm residential property: Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
MINNESOTA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS. SMSA*										
ANOKA COUNTY	195 998	67 183	919 800	100.0	72.6	67.8	0.6	2.2	24.6	-
CHISAGO COUNTY	25 717	14 112	97 304	100.0	56.4	53.2	27.8	0.9	14.9	-
DAKOTA COUNTY	194 111	75 194	1 036 635	100.0	65.1	58.6	8.6	3.5	22.8	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY	941 411	296 532	6 779 065	100.0	68.1	56.7	0.5	2.5	28.9	-
BLOOMINGTON	81 831	23 785	672 592	100.0	63.5	55.7	-	4.1	32.5	-
MINNEAPOLIS	370 951	105 032	2 323 180	100.0	67.2	49.6	-	0.5	32.4	-
RAMSEY COUNTY	459 784	131 758	2 735 795	100.0	66.3	55.9	0.8	1.4	31.5	-
ST. PAUL	270 230	76 827	1 456 200	100.0	66.1	54.9	0.2	1.3	32.4	-
SCOTT COUNTY	43 784	19 887	246 885	100.0	51.3	44.3	19.0	4.1	25.5	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY	113 571	53 498	576 255	100.0	74.1	72.1	6.5	5.9	13.6	-
WRIGHT COUNTY	58 962	29 434	244 075	100.0	53.0	49.6	35.9	3.4	7.6	-
ROCHESTER SMSA	91 971	35 822	478 072	100.0	49.1	40.8	20.5	2.7	27.7	-
OLMSTED COUNTY	91 971	35 822	478 072	100.0	49.1	40.8	20.5	2.7	27.7	-
ROCHESTER	57 855	19 628	306 776	100.0	57.2	44.6	1.5	1.8	39.5	-
ST. CLOUD SMSA:										
STEARNS COUNTY	108 161	48 198	428 640	100.0	50.7	38.8	24.9	6.5	17.9	-
GRAND FORKS, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	34 844	21 831	218 642	100.0	21.3	19.6	67.3	0.1	11.2	-
POLK COUNTY	34 844	21 831	218 642	100.0	21.3	19.6	67.3	0.1	11.2	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BLUE EARTH COUNTY	52 314	20 385	306 432	100.0	34.5	24.4	50.8	0.8	13.9	-
MISSISSIPPI										
SMSA PORTION	684 288	332 559	761 664	100.0	65.0	59.6	7.9	3.6	23.3	-
BILOXI-GULFPORT SMSA	191 918	123 706	134 819	100.0	64.9	63.3	7.3	8.3	19.6	-
HANCOCK COUNTY	24 537	46 053	34 996	100.0	62.5	62.5	6.9	23.2	7.5	-
HARRISON COUNTY	157 665	71 667	92 482	100.0	68.5	66.2	4.9	3.3	23.3	-
STONE COUNTY	9 716	5 986	7 341	100.0	33.8	33.8	35.9	0.5	29.8	-
JACKSON SMSA	320 425	123 632	437 080	100.0	62.0	53.7	9.8	1.7	26.5	-
HINDS COUNTY	250 998	87 728	364 795	100.0	60.9	50.9	8.3	1.6	29.2	-
JACKSON (PART)	202 893	65 763	(NA)	100.0	59.8	48.3	4.6	1.5	34.1	-
RANKIN COUNTY	69 427	35 904	72 285	100.0	67.3	67.3	16.9	2.4	13.3	-
JACKSON (PART)	2	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PASCAGOULA-MOSS POINT SMSA	118 015	60 373	124 180	100.0	70.0	69.1	2.9	6.2	20.8	-
JACKSON COUNTY	118 015	60 373	124 180	100.0	70.0	69.1	2.9	6.2	20.8	-
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*	53 930	24 848	65 585	100.0	77.0	75.4	5.2	4.7	13.1	-
DE SOTO COUNTY	53 930	24 848	65 585	100.0	77.0	75.4	5.2	4.7	13.1	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
FORREST COUNTY	66 018	28 670	96 740	100.0	70.9	64.7	6.9	1.4	20.8	-
JONES COUNTY	61 912	27 011	50 610	100.0	69.7	67.3	12.6	0.6	17.1	-
LAUDERDALE COUNTY	77 285	31 697	91 376	100.0	69.8	65.0	5.9	3.4	20.9	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY	72 344	24 523	91 831	100.0	48.4	46.9	36.1	1.4	14.0	-
MISSOURI										
SMSA PORTION	3 083 766	1 101 920	8 166 741	100.0	67.5	60.0	2.6	2.2	27.7	(Z)
COLUMBIA SMSA	100 376	35 670	244 616	100.0	61.9	60.4	12.6	2.5	23.1	-
BOONE COUNTY	100 376	35 670	244 616	100.0	61.9	60.4	12.6	2.5	23.1	-
COLUMBIA	62 061	18 423	(NA)	100.0	56.3	54.3	12.0	1.6	30.2	-
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS. SMSA:*										
CASS COUNTY	51 029	27 619	94 623	100.0	65.3	63.5	27.1	2.4	5.2	-
CLAY COUNTY	136 488	56 057	418 272	100.0	67.5	60.2	5.1	1.0	26.4	-
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	60 574	21 550	(NA)	100.0	74.9	68.0	3.5	1.0	20.6	-
JACKSON COUNTY	629 180	219 365	1 356 967	100.0	67.5	65.7	0.9	2.5	29.1	-
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	111 806	39 444	(NA)	100.0	81.3	79.7	0.1	1.5	17.1	-
KANSAS CITY (PART)	371 991	122 846	(NA)	100.0	62.6	60.2	1.0	1.4	35.0	-
PLATTE COUNTY	46 341	21 687	141 905	100.0	74.3	66.7	7.5	3.2	15.0	-
KANSAS CITY (PART)	15 594	6 487	(NA)	100.0	71.7	61.9	1.1	2.9	24.2	-
ST. JOSEPH SMSA	101 868	43 929	174 162	100.0	53.6	45.9	17.1	2.4	26.9	-
ANDREW COUNTY	13 980	6 624	26 015	100.0	25.4	25.4	62.6	5.9	6.1	-
BUCHANAN COUNTY	87 888	37 305	148 147	100.0	58.9	49.8	8.6	1.8	30.7	-
ST. JOSEPH	76 691	29 625	(NA)	100.0	61.9	51.1	-	1.8	36.3	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA:*										
JEFFERSON COUNTY	146 814	61 415	223 512	100.0	70.3	68.6	0.3	8.8	20.6	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	143 455	55 565	448 145	100.0	84.9	82.6	0.6	1.8	12.7	-
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	974 815	326 819	3 339 997	100.0	69.0	62.1	0.3	2.0	28.7	(Z)
FLORISSANT	55 372	17 011	(NA)	100.0	79.4	74.4	0.6	1.1	18.9	-
ST. LOUIS CITY	453 085	122 774	1 025 814	100.0	56.8	35.0	0.8	1.6	40.8	-
SPRINGFIELD SMSA	207 704	90 325	550 546	100.0	66.4	55.5	8.2	1.6	23.8	0.1
CHRISTIAN COUNTY	22 402	11 736	28 540	100.0	64.7	63.4	20.3	4.2	10.8	-
GREENE COUNTY	185 302	78 589	522 006	100.0	66.5	55.0	7.5	1.4	24.6	0.1
SPRINGFIELD	133 116	48 403	(NA)	100.0	65.8	51.4	2.0	1.0	31.1	0.1
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
COLE COUNTY	56 663	21 549	167 590	100.0	76.9	73.5	7.1	1.2	14.8	-
JASPER COUNTY	86 958	43 641	166 683	100.0	53.9	49.9	21.7	0.7	23.7	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MINNESOTA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS. SMSA:*
616	5 234	29 233	17.9	2.9	20.3	3.7	16.9	40.3	67 163	920	13 691	5 138	76 480	ANOKA COUNTY
160	1 003	7 043	14.2	4.7	16.0	6.6	16.6	57.3	14 112	97	6 895	683	48 403	CHISAGO COUNTY
731	6 980	48 868	14.3	1.5	14.7	1.8	14.8	27.7	75 194	1 037	13 786	7 258	96 517	DAKOTA COUNTY
1 480	32 196	150 225	21.4	2.1	19.9	1.3	18.2	27.8	296 532	6 779	22 861	31 630	106 668	HENNEPIN COUNTY
150	2 184	10 908	20.0	(X)	22.5	(X)	20.0	30.6	23 745	673	28 326	3 361	141 536	BLOOMINGTON
300	7 971	36 360	21.9	(X)	19.2	(X)	16.5	28.1	105 032	2 323	22 119	10 598	100 904	MINNEAPOLIS
830	10 968	57 510	19.1	1.6	19.9	2.4	18.1	34.0	131 758	2 736	20 764	14 343	108 855	RAMSEY COUNTY
410	5 198	26 508	19.6	(X)	19.3	(X)	18.5	19.7	76 827	1 456	18 954	7 425	96 644	ST. PAUL
126	967	6 354	15.2	2.0	19.1	4.9	14.8	48.7	19 887	247	12 414	1 622	81 581	SCOTT COUNTY
460	5 143	26 334	19.5	5.5	19.5	5.6	15.6	36.3	53 598	576	10 751	2 950	55 036	WASHINGTON COUNTY
210	1 266	8 798	14.4	2.1	15.4	2.0	14.7	31.9	29 434	244	8 292	1 695	57 590	WRIGHT COUNTY
300	2 999	17 569	17.1	1.6	16.9	3.7	16.2	35.2	35 822	478	13 346	2 801	78 195	ROCHESTER SMSA
300	2 999	17 569	17.1	1.6	16.9	3.7	16.2	35.2	35 822	478	13 346	2 801	78 195	OLMSTED COUNTY
160	1 450	8 390	17.3	(X)	15.4	(X)	16.5	31.6	19 628	307	15 630	1 776	90 477	ROCHESTER
290	1 730	10 159	17.0	1.7	20.8	5.0	15.8	56.4	48 198	429	8 893	2 517	52 216	ST. CLOUD SMSA: STEARNS COUNTY
78	507	3 699	13.7	3.8	15.6	2.7	15.2	36.7	21 831	219	10 015	1 600	73 267	GRND FRKS, N.DAK.-MINN. SMSA*
78	507	3 699	13.7	3.8	15.6	2.7	15.2	36.7	21 831	219	10 015	1 600	73 267	POLK COUNTY
216	991	6 714	14.8	2.9	17.3	3.0	15.8	39.8	20 385	306	15 032	2 073	101 684	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: BLUE EARTH COUNTY
														MISSISSIPPI
1 948	5 029	78 808	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	332 559	762	2 290	11 936	35 891	SMSA PORTION
688	801	20 173	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	123 706	135	1 090	3 395	27 447	BILOXI-GULFPORT SMSA
328	297	5 362	5.5	2.0	6.8	2.0	4.2	(3)	46 053	35	760	633	13 740	HANCOCK COUNTY
336	479	14 264	3.3	0.6	4.2	1.2	3.2	67.3	71 667	92	1 290	2 761	38 524	HARRISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STONE COUNTY
860	3 054	43 267	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	123 632	437	3 535	6 192	50 086	JACKSON SMSA
584	2 346	32 014	7.3	1.4	6.9	0.7	6.6	35.8	87 728	365	4 158	4 977	56 738	HINDS COUNTY
416	1 655	22 830	7.3	(X)	7.0	(X)	6.1	42.3	65 763	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	JACKSON (PART)
276	708	11 253	6.3	1.4	7.2	2.7	6.0	61.0	35 904	72	2 013	1 147	31 943	RANKIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JACKSON (PART)
248	782	10 515	7.4	1.0	9.2	2.3	7.4	51.0	60 373	124	2 057	1 671	27 681	PASCAGOULA-MOSS POINT SMSA
248	782	10 515	7.4	1.0	9.2	2.3	7.4	51.0	60 373	124	2 057	1 671	27 681	JACKSON COUNTY
152	392	4 853	8.1	1.6	11.7	6.9	9.1	73.2	24 848	66	2 639	814	32 758	MPHS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*
152	392	4 853	8.1	1.6	11.7	6.9	9.1	73.2	24 848	66	2 639	814	32 758	DE SOTO COUNTY
114	401	4 931	8.1	2.7	8.9	1.8	8.4	34.7	28 670	97	3 374	1 190	41 521	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: FORREST COUNTY
72	152	2 295	6.6	1.8	23.7	13.8	7.1	(3)	27 011	51	1 874	761	28 189	JONES COUNTY
72	307	3 569	8.6	3.3	10.9	3.6	10.0	43.9	31 697	91	2 883	1 068	33 687	LAUDERDALE COUNTY
210	502	6 369	7.9	2.0	10.2	2.7	8.5	55.1	24 523	92	3 745	1 166	47 527	WASHINGTON COUNTY
														MISSOURI
8 585	71 039	505 884	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 101 920	8 167	7 411	58 157	52 778	SMSA PORTION
340	1 604	11 292	14.2	2.2	12.4	2.6	10.7	62.6	35 670	245	6 858	1 725	48 353	COLUMBIA SMSA
340	1 604	11 292	14.2	2.2	12.4	2.6	10.7	62.6	35 670	245	6 858	1 725	48 353	BOONE COUNTY
140	887	5 615	15.8	(X)	12.9	(X)	14.5	48.6	18 423	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	COLUMBIA
150	550	6 888	8.0	2.2	9.9	3.2	8.0	67.1	27 619	95	3 426	1 185	42 890	KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS.SMSA:* CASS COUNTY
435	2 659	18 236	14.6	3.0	16.0	6.6	11.6	77.0	56 057	418	7 462	2 869	51 177	CLAY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
180	1 358	8 765	15.5	(X)	13.7	(X)	11.0	53.2	21 550	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
1 800	14 343	102 339	14.0	2.6	15.1	2.8	10.6	70.5	219 365	1 357	6 186	9 682	44 138	JACKSON COUNTY
360	1 237	12 868	9.6	(X)	9.6	(X)	9.7	22.3	39 444	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
1 260	11 093	73 959	15.0	(X)	16.9	(X)	10.8	86.2	122 846	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
240	1 523	12 366	12.3	1.9	11.0	1.9	13.0	41.3	21 687	142	6 543	1 151	53 080	PLATTE COUNTY
90	738	5 368	13.8	(X)	12.3	(X)	14.4	24.1	6 487	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
295	3 238	16 146	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	43 929	174	3 965	868	19 769	ST. JOSEPH SMSA
55	106	2 088	5.0	4.3	11.2	2.7	11.6	28.8	6 624	26	3 927	517	78 108	ANDREW COUNTY
240	3 132	14 058	22.3	6.3	17.7	4.0	15.9	52.3	37 305	148	3 971	665	17 829	BUCHANAN COUNTY
210	3 034	13 325	22.8	(X)	18.7	(X)	17.0	46.7	29 625	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ST. JOSEPH
450	881	13 768	6.4	3.2	13.5	5.4	10.0	92.8	61 415	224	3 639	3 498	56 961	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA:* JEFFERSON COUNTY
620	5 938	39 455	15.0	4.5	18.8	5.8	15.2	57.5	55 565	448	8 065	2 978	53 590	ST. CHARLES COUNTY
2 385	30 586	205 150	14.9	2.0	14.3	1.2	12.4	38.1	326 819	3 340	10 220	22 404	68 551	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
360	3 861	29 122	13.3	(X)	13.1	(X)	13.3	19.8	17 011	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FLORISSANT
790	4 535	27 725	16.3	2.2	26.0	11.4	14.7	96.3	122 774	1 026	8 355	6 279	51 142	ST. LOUIS CITY
748	3 639	33 975	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	90 325	551	6 095	5 140	56 907	SPRINGFIELD SMSA
108	243	8 564	2.8	2.2	8.6	4.8	5.7	(3)	11 736	29	2 432	1 009	85 936	CHRISTIAN COUNTY
640	3 396	25 411	13.4	3.7	12.6	3.1	9.6	65.4	78 589	522	6 642	3 908	49 728	GREENE COUNTY
360	1 465	12 511	11.7	(X)	12.5	(X)	9.9	59.3	48 403	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SPRINGFIELD
189	1 334	6 920	19.3	1.5	19.5	4.1	20.0	28.3	21 549	168	7 777	869	40 342	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: COLE COUNTY
444	1 672	12 296	13.6	2.2	14.0	2.9	12.3	51.5	43 641	167	3 819	1 226	28 097	JASPER COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
MINNESOTA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS. SMSA1*														
ANOKA COUNTY	440	4 364	22 021	19.8	2.8	22.4	4.3	18.3	37.8	50 880	623 686	12 25A	3 147	61 859
CHISAGO COUNTY	90	696	4 704	14.8	4.6	14.7	4.6	17.5	31.8	7 120	51 807	7 27A	350	49 170
DAKOTA COUNTY	595	6 499	44 195	14.7	1.5	15.1	1.6	14.8	21.0	49 803	607 370	12 19S	4 130	82 928
HENNEPIN COUNTY	1 170	16 729	91 543	18.3	0.7	18.6	1.1	17.8	18.9	251 032	3 846 933	15 32a	21 052	83 862
BLOOMINGTON	110	1 756	9 677	18.1	(X)	17.8	(X)	17.9	13.3	22 082	374 472	16 95R	2 065	93 511
MINNEAPOLIS	230	2 755	15 785	17.4	(X)	18.0	(X)	16.5	18.5	90 772	1 151 296	12 68S	6 598	72 690
RAMSEY COUNTY	580	7 905	40 416	19.6	1.6	20.1	2.7	17.9	28.5	110 688	1 528 903	13 81S	7 817	70 620
ST. PAUL	300	3 602	19 147	18.8	(X)	18.3	(X)	17.6	15.3	65 120	799 614	12 27o	4 250	65 269
SCOTT COUNTY	90	839	5 532	15.2	2.1	17.6	5.6	14.7	40.1	9 920	109 280	11 01A	721	72 679
WASHINGTON COUNTY	400	4 024	24 156	16.7	1.5	16.8	1.9	15.6	15.0	33 232	415 351	12 49o	2 493	75 012
WRIGHT COUNTY	126	1 006	6 544	15.4	2.5	16.0	2.0	15.1	24.1	13 097	121 018	9 24n	787	60 075
ROCHESTER SMSA	210	2 720	15 961	17.0	1.6	18.7	4.3	16.5	28.5	20 768	195 241	9 40I	1 146	55 161
OLMSTED COUNTY	210	2 720	15 961	17.0	1.6	18.7	4.3	16.5	28.5	20 768	195 241	9 40I	1 146	55 161
ROCHESTER	110	1 252	7 426	16.9	(X)	16.4	(X)	16.5	18.1	14 400	136 777	9 49R	811	56 341
ST. CLOUD SMSA1														
STEARNS COUNTY	170	1 284	8 063	15.9	1.4	17.1	2.7	15.0	26.6	21 760	166 373	7 64A	1 045	48 010
GRAND FORKS, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	51	262	1 837	14.3	1.5	14.5	1.6	14.7	23.5	8 000	42 750	5 34a	300	37 471
POLK COUNTY	51	262	1 837	14.3	1.5	14.5	1.6	14.7	23.5	8 000	42 750	5 34a	300	37 471
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BLUE EARTH COUNTY	104	830	5 110	16.2	1.4	17.8	3.3	16.5	23.6	10 432	74 700	7 16I	460	44 071
MISSISSIPPI														
SMSA PORTION	1 180	3 552	58 061	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	176 001	454 165	2 58n	7 424	42 180
BILOXI-GULFPORT SMSA	312	623	15 966	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	56 769	85 345	1 50S	2 187	38 528
HANCOCK COUNTY	88	221	4 188	5.3	2.5	5.2	1.6	4.2	56.3	12 032	21 864	1 81?	416	34 546
HARRISON COUNTY	216	378	11 298	3.3	0.6	3.5	0.6	3.4	39.6	41 921	61 215	1 46n	1 830	43 648
STONE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(o)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
JACKSON SMSA	572	1 941	29 227	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	75 136	234 897	3 12A	3 537	47 075
HINDS COUNTY	416	1 329	20 431	6.5	0.8	6.9	0.8	6.6	32.0	58 144	185 792	3 19R	2 857	49 133
JACKSON (PART)	288	655	11 791	5.6	(X)	6.5	(X)	5.6	40.2	46 976	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RANKIN COUNTY	156	612	8 796	7.0	1.1	7.3	0.9	8.0	18.3	16 992	48 677	2 86S	700	41 173
JACKSON (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PASCAGOULA-MOSS POINT SMSA	208	732	9 733	7.5	1.1	8.3	1.2	7.6	36.1	31 040	85 829	2 76R	1 141	36 770
JACKSON COUNTY	208	732	9 733	7.5	1.1	8.3	1.2	7.6	36.1	31 040	85 829	2 76R	1 141	36 770
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*	88	256	3 135	8.2	2.1	9.0	1.9	9.8	29.0	13 056	49 460	3 78R	606	46 452
DE SOTO COUNTY	88	256	3 135	8.2	2.1	9.0	1.9	9.8	29.0	13 056	49 460	3 78R	606	46 452
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
FORREST COUNTY	90	335	4 583	7.3	2.3	8.2	1.2	8.4	23.4	19 419	62 568	3 22?	857	44 140
JONES COUNTY	40	101	1 557	6.5	1.1	6.5	1.5	6.7	31.9	17 136	34 054	1 98?	525	30 663
LAUDERDALE COUNTY	36	177	1 963	9.0	2.7	10.0	2.6	10.1	26.8	18 720	59 370	3 17i	661	35 285
WASHINGTON COUNTY	108	291	4 095	7.1	2.2	8.3	1.6	8.4	27.7	16 656	43 071	2 58A	607	36 424
MISSOURI														
SMSA PORTION	6 045	39 189	302 231	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	773 651	4 900 652	6 33a	37 795	48 852
COLUMBIA SMSA	190	1 393	8 377	16.6	2.1	15.3	2.3	16.1	29.1	13 104	147 784	11 27R	890	67 886
BOONE COUNTY	190	1 393	8 377	16.6	2.1	15.3	2.3	16.1	29.1	13 104	147 784	11 27R	890	67 886
COLUMBIA	80	758	4 045	18.7	(X)	18.8	(X)	20.0	12.8	7 680	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS. SMSA1*														
CASS COUNTY	66	326	2 758	11.8	2.0	11.5	2.2	11.5	30.7	12 312	60 071	4 87o	507	41 186
CLAY COUNTY	315	2 280	15 649	14.6	3.3	13.9	2.6	14.1	37.2	36 160	251 700	6 96i	1 727	47 753
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	165	1 356	8 737	15.5	(X)	14.4	(X)	11.6	50.4	16 480	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
JACKSON COUNTY	1 380	6 149	56 025	11.0	1.1	14.4	3.3	10.2	67.8	174 269	890 977	5 11?	8 117	46 577
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	340	1 018	11 068	9.2	(X)	9.4	(X)	9.7	22.3	33 708	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	860	3 118	29 445	10.6	(X)	16.6	(X)	10.4	68.4	100 863	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLATTE COUNTY	138	1 188	8 437	14.1	1.2	13.7	1.4	14.4	19.3	12 303	94 622	7 69i	671	54 580
KANSAS CITY (PART)	72	691	4 820	14.4	(X)	13.9	(X)	14.5	14.9	4 518	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. JOSEPH SMSA	175	487	4 329	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 000	80 013	3 07?	711	27 356
ANDREW COUNTY	25	72	646	11.0	0.8	11.4	0.8	11.6	5.9	1 920	6 605	3 44n	60	31 135
BUCHANAN COUNTY	150	415	3 683	11.2	3.2	15.0	4.2	13.1	53.3	24 080	73 755	3 06?	656	27 257
ST. JOSEPH	130	318	2 973	10.7	(X)	15.2	(X)	13.1	49.4	22 160	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA1*														
JEFFERSON COUNTY	240	506	6 238	8.1	2.7	11.5	3.3	10.9	53.6	33 600	153 312	4 56?	1 892	56 306
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	460	4 068	22 240	18.3	3.8	20.4	7.5	18.1	48.9	44 960	370 334	8 23?	2 025	45 033
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	1 965	16 339	133 608	12.2	1.1	13.6	1.0	12.3	29.4	275 544	2 073 501	7 52S	16 957	61 539
FLORISSANT	345	2 599	22 102	11.8	(X)	12.8	(X)	13.2	19.3	16 209	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. LOUIS CITY	420	1 960	13 505	14.5	1.9	28.1	20.4	14.9	(3)	72 449	359 280	4 95o	2 478	34 204
SPRINGFIELD SMSA	536	3 403	22 723	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	52 700	305 388	5 79S	2 039	38 694
CHRISTIAN COUNTY	36	121	1 318	9.2	4.4	14.2	12.2	7.6	(3)	5 760	18 091	3 14i	197	34 288
GREENE COUNTY	500	3 282	21 405	15.3	3.2	14.4	3.7	11.9	56.2	46 940	287 156	6 11R	1 873	39 910
SPRINGFIELD	340	1 443	11 911	12.1	(X)	13.1	(X)	10.1	57.3	34 940	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
COLE COUNTY	112	1 086	5 694	19.1	1.3	22.4	6.0	20.4	25.8	12 870	123 235	9 57S	646	50 202
JASPER COUNTY	312	1 274	9 780	13.0	1.5	13.9	3.1	12.6	40.0	23 648	83 214	3 51o	639	27 029

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														MINNESOTA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ANOKA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHISAGO COUNTY
102	180	2 466	7.3	6.8	12.5	8.7	10.7	(3)	15 002	36 035	2 402	495	33 007	DAKOTA COUNTY
160	1 606	5 329	30.2	5.0	27.5	6.8	29.6	38.7	22 038	168 657	7 653	559	25 372	HENNEPIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BLOOMINGTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MINNEAPOLIS
90	805	5 887	13.7	3.0	15.5	9.2	12.9	75.5	13 504	38 766	2 871	283	20 972	RAMSEY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. PAUL
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCOTT COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WASHINGTON COUNTY
54	115	886	12.9	4.5	15.8	5.1	14.4	48.0	5 760	8 359	1 451	65	11 223	WRIGHT COUNTY
60	111	841	13.1	4.9	10.8	6.1	12.9	53.6	5 216	13 026	2 497	100	19 076	ROCHESTER SMSA
60	111	841	13.1	4.9	10.8	6.1	12.9	53.6	5 216	13 026	2 497	100	19 076	OLMSTED COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCHESTER
100	263	1 283	20.5	6.7	21.2	8.3	18.8	64.9	9 536	27 978	2 934	136	14 307	ST. CLOUD SMSA: STEARNS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRND FRKS, N.DAK.-MINN. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POLK COUNTY
80	106	588	18.1	5.0	18.1	5.9	19.7	45.0	1 536	2 518	1 639	14	9 032	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: BLUE EARTH COUNTY
														MISSISSIPPI
492	274	5 986	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	117 856	29 105	247	636	5 395	SMSA PORTION
240	67	1 707	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	60 096	11 134	185	284	4 720	BILOXI-GULFPORT SMSA
168	53	844	6.3	2.4	6.6	2.3	4.7	88.0	32 448	8 102	250	129	3 982	HANCOCK COUNTY
56	13	796	1.6	1.5	3.0	1.8	2.5	89.7	27 264	3 051	112	190	6 963	HARRISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STONE COUNTY
180	124	3 099	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	20 768	7 647	368	191	9 202	JACKSON SMSA
96	110	1 581	7.0	3.3	6.8	2.0	7.1	42.9	9 632	5 916	614	84	8 767	HINDS COUNTY
64	97	1 197	8.1	(X)	8.2	(X)	8.2	32.0	5 792	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	JACKSON (PART)
84	14	1 518	0.9	1.0	3.0	1.4	2.7	59.2	11 136	1 711	154	180	16 197	RANKIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JACKSON (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PASCAGOULA-MOSS POINT SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JACKSON COUNTY
48	56	926	6.0	3.5	6.2	3.3	6.4	63.8	8 768	3 102	354	52	5 909	MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA*
48	56	926	6.0	3.5	6.2	3.3	6.4	63.8	8 768	3 102	354	52	5 909	DE SOTO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
24	36	234	15.5	18.6	59.4	27.6	75.1	41.6	1 568	323	206	2	1 327	FORREST COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JONES COUNTY
36	21	286	7.5	5.5	12.1	8.9	7.8	(3)	2 616	1 306	499	17	6 633	LAUDERDALE COUNTY
														WASHINGTON COUNTY
														MISSOURI
850	885	12 060	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	176 700	179 213	1 014	2 442	13 821	SMSA PORTION
80	59	1 041	5.6	2.7	11.3	8.1	4.0	(3)	13 056	6 033	462	107	8 215	COLUMBIA SMSA
80	59	1 041	5.6	2.7	11.3	8.1	4.0	(3)	13 056	6 033	462	107	8 215	BOONE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBIA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS. SMSA:*
75	99	442	22.2	36.9	23.7	35.3	2.4	(3)	12 400	4 153	335	19	1 510	CASS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLAY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
120	138	1 507	9.1	4.7	15.0	9.2	10.2	88.3	25 892	33 743	1 303	370	14 290	KANSAS CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JACKSON COUNTY
120	138	1 507	9.1	(X)	15.0	(X)	10.2	88.3	11 233	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
60	23	684	3.3	1.6	4.3	2.1	3.0	72.9	6 877	4 490	653	134	19 548	KANSAS CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PLATTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. JOSEPH SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ANDREW COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUCHANAN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. JOSEPH
105	102	3 596	2.8	5.2	21.0	19.8	6.1	(3)	26 400	19 756	748	696	26 379	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JEFFERSON COUNTY
120	207	1 812	11.4	6.7	13.7	11.0	7.7	(3)	33 608	68 265	2 031	598	17 780	ST. CHARLES COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FLORISSANT
														ST. LOUIS CITY
112	61	1 087	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	17 897	8 692	486	155	8 654	SPRINGFIELD SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHRISTIAN COUNTY
100	55	1 006	5.4	2.4	7.2	1.7	8.0	16.5	15 521	7 446	480	138	8 904	GREENE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SPRINGFIELD
42	21	203	10.3	5.6	12.2	5.7	11.5	61.8	4 380	2 011	459	20	4 476	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: COLE COUNTY
84	132	822	16.1	9.2	13.9	8.8	9.6	98.5	6 144	1 192	194	7	1 206	JASPER COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
MONTANA[22]										
SMSA PORTION	188 731	89 833	177 231	100.0	55.2	49.3	15.3	3.8	25.7	-
BILLINGS SMSA	108 035	54 380	118 372	100.0	60.1	55.5	3.8	4.1	32.0	-
YELLOWSTONE COUNTY	108 035	54 380	118 372	100.0	60.1	55.5	3.8	4.1	32.0	-
BILLINGS	66 798	24 053	76 693	100.0	62.8	55.4	-	2.2	35.0	-
GREAT FALLS SMSA	80 696	35 453	58 859	100.0	49.3	41.9	29.0	3.5	18.2	-
CASCADE COUNTY	80 696	35 453	58 859	100.0	49.3	41.9	29.0	3.5	18.2	-
GREAT FALLS	56 725	17 818	43 142	100.0	67.7	53.5	2.4	2.4	27.5	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
FLATHEAD COUNTY	51 966	31 693	51 946	100.0	45.1	43.0	17.7	5.8	31.3	-
MISSOULA COUNTY	76 016	30 459	78 979	100.0	62.1	56.2	6.7	7.3	23.6	0.3
NEBRASKA[23]										
SMSA PORTION	693 356	258 235	10 062 509	100.0	70.7	62.5	4.8	2.5	21.9	-
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*	16 573	7 678	259 444	100.0	41.7	39.5	37.1	0.6	20.6	-
DAKOTA COUNTY	16 573	7 678	259 444	100.0	41.7	39.5	37.1	0.6	20.6	-
LINCOLN SMSA	192 884	76 822	3 082 649	100.0	69.9	62.0	8.0	2.2	19.9	-
LANCASTER COUNTY	192 884	76 822	3 082 649	100.0	69.9	62.0	8.0	2.2	19.9	-
LINCOLN	171 932	(10)	(NA)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*	483 899	173 735	6 720 416	100.0	72.3	63.7	2.1	2.7	22.9	-
DOUGLAS COUNTY	397 884	140 604	5 736 272	100.0	70.9	61.5	1.7	2.3	25.2	-
OMAHA	311 681	105 540	(NA)	100.0	69.4	59.4	-	1.1	29.4	-
SARPY COUNTY	86 015	33 131	984 144	100.0	80.5	76.8	4.6	5.1	9.8	-
NEVADA[24]										
SMSA PORTION	655 439	256 950	5 503 944	100.0	54.2	44.8	3.9	12.1	28.2	1.5
LAS VEGAS SMSA	461 816	173 726	3 313 401	100.0	56.7	47.5	2.8	11.5	28.5	0.6
CLARK COUNTY	461 816	173 726	3 313 401	100.0	56.7	47.5	2.8	11.5	28.5	0.6
LAS VEGAS	164 674	48 337	(NA)	100.0	61.4	53.4	1.7	3.6	32.4	0.9
RENO SMSA	193 623	83 224	2 190 543	100.0	50.7	40.9	5.6	13.0	27.9	2.8
WASHOE COUNTY	193 623	83 224	2 190 543	100.0	50.7	40.9	5.6	13.0	27.9	2.8
RENO	100 756	31 316	(NA)	100.0	50.3	36.4	3.1	7.5	36.1	2.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE**										
NECMA PORTION	333 836	174 054	7 697 522	100.0	68.2	60.3	3.4	2.0	26.2	0.2
MANCHESTER-NASHUA NECMA:										
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY:										
MANCHESTER CITY	90 936	25 078	661 111	100.0	61.3	43.9	-	2.1	36.6	-
NASHUA CITY	67 865	20 013	1 503 929	100.0	70.5	53.1	-	4.1	24.7	0.8
NEW JERSEY										
SMSA PORTION	6 732 374	1 907 358	95 609 073	100.0	69.1	62.7	1.2	3.5	26.1	(Z)
JERSEY CITY SMSA:										
HUDSON COUNTY:										
BAYONNE CITY	65 047	11 992	404 402	100.0	52.6	15.0	0.7	2.6	44.1	-
JERSEY CITY CITY	223 532	36 447	755 354	100.0	58.9	14.8	-	4.8	36.1	0.3
LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK SMSA:										
MONMOUTH COUNTY:										
MIDDLETOWN TWP.	62 574	22 698	677 044	100.0	88.5	88.3	1.4	2.5	7.6	-
NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE SMSA:										
MIDDLESEX COUNTY:										
EDISON TWP.	70 193	20 283	1 124 925	100.0	62.0	55.2	3.2	0.7	34.0	-
WOODBRIDGE TWP.	90 074	26 125	1 194 739	100.0	64.4	60.3	3.2	1.3	31.1	-
NEWARK SMSA:										
ESSEX COUNTY:										
NEWARK CITY	329 248	36 008	952 934	100.0	42.5	(10)	0.8	1.2	55.5	-
MORRIS COUNTY:										
PARSIPPANY TROY HILLS TWP.	49 868	11 413	603 760	100.0	66.6	54.9	-	6.2	27.2	-
UNION COUNTY:										
ELIZABETH CITY	106 201	18 024	946 980	100.0	57.6	43.1	-	3.7	38.6	-
PATERSON-CLIFTON-PASSAIC SMSA:										
PASSAIC COUNTY:										
CLIFTON CITY	74 388	21 813	1 109 995	100.0	65.2	60.8	-	0.9	33.9	-
PATERSON CITY	137 970	24 344	604 428	100.0	68.2	59.5	-	1.1	30.7	-
TRENTON SMSA:										
MERCER COUNTY:										
TRENTON CITY	92 124	26 598	311 798	100.0	63.8	60.8	-	1.3	35.0	-
HAMILTON TWP.	82 801	25 735	1 087 322	100.0	80.7	76.4	0.3	3.5	15.5	-
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON SMSA:										
CUMBERLAND COUNTY:										
VINELAND CITY	53 753	16 275	607 535	100.0	62.1	52.5	3.1	3.9	31.0	-
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*										
CAMDEN COUNTY:										
CHERRY HILL TWP.	68 785	21 452	1 157 684	100.0	70.0	66.4	0.5	2.5	27.0	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
OCEAN COUNTY:										
BRICK TWP.	53 629	28 052	636 125	100.0	85.5	83.4	-	4.5	10.0	-
DOVER TWP.	64 455	32 810	1 072 970	100.0	75.0	72.4	0.3	5.8	18.8	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MONTANA[22]
799	1 428	40 885	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	89 833	177	1 973	5 074	56 486	SMSA PORTION
511	958	28 908	3.3	0.4	5.3	1.9	3.6	80.3	54 380	118	2 177	3 564	65 530	BILLINGS SMSA
511	958	28 908	3.3	0.4	5.3	1.9	3.6	80.3	54 380	118	2 177	3 564	65 530	YELLOWSTONE COUNTY
266	516	15 163	3.4	(X)	4.0	(X)	3.7	33.4	24 053	77	3 189	2 251	93 587	BILLINGS
288	470	11 977	3.9	0.4	4.8	0.9	4.0	49.1	35 453	59	1 660	1 498	42 254	GREAT FALLS SMSA
288	470	11 977	3.9	0.4	4.8	0.9	4.0	49.1	35 453	59	1 660	1 498	42 254	CASCADE COUNTY
240	422	10 492	4.0	(X)	5.0	(X)	4.0	45.3	17 818	43	2 421	1 070	60 055	GREAT FALLS
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
240	256	7 663	3.3	0.4	9.5	7.6	3.6	(3)	31 693	52	1 639	1 558	49 167	FLATHEAD COUNTY
288	821	18 320	4.5	2.4	5.1	1.7	4.4	56.4	30 459	79	2 593	1 763	57 894	MISSOULA COUNTY
														NEBRASKA[23]
2 419	92 180	132 015	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	258 235	10 063	38 966	14 411	55 806	SMSA PORTION
45	1 463	1 972	74.2	5.0	76.8	7.2	75.1	12.8	7 678	259	33 791	350	45 527	SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*
45	1 463	1 972	74.2	5.0	76.8	7.2	75.1	12.8	7 678	259	33 791	350	45 527	DAKOTA COUNTY
572	20 637	29 613	69.7	2.2	70.1	3.0	71.8	19.6	76 822	3 083	40 127	4 423	57 579	LINCOLN SMSA
572	20 637	29 613	69.7	2.2	70.1	3.0	71.8	19.6	76 822	3 083	40 127	4 423	57 579	LANCASTER COUNTY
500	18 266	26 432	69.1	(X)	69.1	(X)	71.4	18.6	(10)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LINCOLN
1 802	70 080	100 430	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	173 735	6 720	38 682	9 631	55 434	OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*
1 378	50 719	71 728	70.7	4.1	68.8	3.8	72.0	21.2	140 604	5 736	40 797	8 113	57 698	DOUGLAS COUNTY
1 040	35 602	51 458	69.2	(X)	69.1	(X)	71.7	22.1	105 540	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	OMAHA
424	19 361	28 702	67.5	2.6	66.6	3.0	65.6	10.7	33 131	984	29 705	1 459	44 038	SARPY COUNTY
														NEVADA[24]
2 719	61 897	311 345	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	256 950	5 504	21 420	27 685	107 745	SMSA PORTION
1 666	34 491	177 825	19.4	3.5	21.7	1.5	22.0	22.4	173 726	3 313	19 073	17 084	98 337	LAS VEGAS SMSA
1 666	34 491	177 825	19.4	3.5	21.7	1.5	22.0	22.4	173 726	3 313	19 073	17 084	98 337	CLARK COUNTY
154	3 094	19 081	16.2	(X)	20.9	(X)	21.9	12.0	48 337	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LAS VEGAS
1 053	27 406	133 520	20.5	3.5	26.0	5.4	21.1	54.7	83 224	2 191	26 321	10 671	128 222	RENO SMSA
1 053	27 406	133 520	20.5	3.5	26.0	5.4	21.1	54.7	83 224	2 191	26 321	10 671	128 222	WASHOE COUNTY
416	13 301	58 939	22.6	(X)	26.0	(X)	25.4	31.1	31 316	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	RENO
														NEW HAMPSHIRE**
1 205	44 892	74 268	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	174 054	7 698	44 225	12 735	73 164	NECMA PORTION
														MANCHESTER-NASHUA NECMA:
136	2 879	8 276	34.8	1.8	35.1	2.1	35.5	14.9	25 078	661	26 362	1 900	75 768	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY:
141	7 794	8 446	92.2	1.9	93.8	2.8	91.1	8.8	20 013	1 504	75 148	1 630	81 464	MANCHESTER CITY
														NASHUA CITY
														NEW JERSEY
14 286	679 122	1 149 239	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 907 358	95 609	50 126	161 794	84 826	SMSA PORTION
														JERSEY CITY SMSA:
42	990	2 658	37.2	13.5	41.9	20.2	32.7	57.2	11 992	404	33 723	1 086	90 595	HUDSON COUNTY:
240	2 954	7 368	40.1	8.4	45.2	8.7	41.1	39.8	36 447	755	20 725	1 883	51 665	BAYONNE CITY
														JERSEY CITY CITY
														LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONMOUTH COUNTY:
														MIDDLETOWN TWP.
														NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE SMSA:
120	4 496	10 187	44.1	3.9	43.9	4.2	43.0	12.1	20 283	1 125	55 461	2 549	125 650	MIDDLESEX COUNTY:
204	6 209	13 945	44.5	3.9	46.3	6.8	42.9	18.1	26 125	1 195	45 732	2 683	102 695	EDISON TWP.
														WOODBRIDGE TWP.
														NEWARK SMSA:
204	2 826	10 056	28.1	5.8	33.0	8.4	28.2	35.4	36 008	953	26 465	3 391	94 176	ESSEX COUNTY:
														NEWARK CITY
84	2 490	6 498	38.3	4.9	37.2	5.7	39.2	14.9	11 413	604	52 901	1 576	138 119	MORRIS COUNTY:
														PARSIPPANY TROY HILLS TWP.
180	7 153	11 054	64.7	9.1	70.6	10.5	69.6	24.8	18 024	947	52 540	1 463	81 194	UNION COUNTY:
														ELIZABETH CITY
														PATERSN-CLIFTN-PASSAIC SMSA:
192	9 075	15 722	57.7	14.6	54.1	11.5	43.7	39.3	21 813	1 110	50 887	1 923	88 178	PASSAIC COUNTY:
324	7 872	12 390	63.5	15.5	56.3	8.3	49.5	30.0	24 344	604	24 829	951	39 081	CLIFTON CITY
														PATERSON CITY
														TRENTON SMSA:
156	1 690	3 294	51.3	26.0	67.0	24.3	51.6	72.5	26 598	312	11 723	608	22 852	MERCER COUNTY:
156	5 509	8 478	65.0	3.5	63.9	3.7	64.5	8.4	25 735	1 087	42 251	1 673	65 020	TRENTON CITY
														HAMILTON TWP.
														VINELND-MILLVILLE-BRDGTN SMSA:
108	2 973	4 442	66.9	23.5	74.5	16.4	81.7	18.0	16 275	608	37 329	908	55 781	CUMBERLAND COUNTY:
														VINELAND CITY
														PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*
192	6 808	13 587	50.1	5.8	47.2	8.5	53.0	20.6	21 452	1 158	53 966	2 311	107 714	CAMDEN COUNTY:
														CHERRY HILL TWP.
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
132	6 864	8 026	85.5	21.2	70.0	20.9	90.1	32.0	28 052	636	22 677	744	26 515	OCEAN COUNTY:
324	6 966	15 360	45.4	5.6	55.3	10.8	48.0	37.3	32 810	1 073	32 703	2 366	72 102	BRICK TWP.
														DOVER TWP.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
MONTANA[2]														
SMSA PORTION	543	1 145	30 273	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	50 401	87 345	1 733	2 309	45 819
BILLINGS SMSA	315	732	19 570	3.7	0.2	4.2	0.7	3.7	26.1	28 864	65 746	2 278	1 757	60 882
YELLOWSTONE COUNTY	315	732	19 570	3.7	0.2	4.2	0.7	3.7	26.1	28 864	65 746	2 278	1 757	60 882
BILLINGS	189	425	11 432	3.7	(X)	4.3	(X)	3.7	28.0	17 664	42 460	2 404	1 142	64 627
GREAT FALLS SMSA	228	413	10 703	3.9	0.4	4.9	1.0	4.1	45.8	21 537	24 640	1 144	637	29 596
CASCADE COUNTY	228	413	10 703	3.9	0.4	4.9	1.0	4.1	45.8	21 537	24 640	1 144	637	29 596
GREAT FALLS	192	371	9 309	4.0	(X)	5.2	(X)	4.1	46.2	13 729	23 089	1 682	578	42 090
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
FLATHEAD COUNTY	90	172	5 279	3.3	0.3	3.3	0.5	3.1	19.6	13 024	22 322	1 714	685	52 606
MISSOULA COUNTY	108	238	6 288	3.8	0.6	3.7	0.7	3.7	24.0	17 313	44 363	2 562	1 172	67 666
NEBRASKA[2]														
SMSA PORTION	1 941	78 818	109 891	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	186 052	6 293 137	33 825	8 774	47 160
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*	42	1 439	1 925	74.8	5.1	78.7	7.0	75.4	11.4	3 232	102 466	31 704	137	42 383
DAKOTA COUNTY	42	1 439	1 925	74.8	5.1	78.7	7.0	75.4	11.4	3 232	102 466	31 704	137	42 383
LINCOLN SMSA	464	17 630	24 514	71.9	2.1	73.9	2.8	72.8	16.0	60 883	1 909 741	31 367	2 655	43 616
LANCASTER COUNTY	464	17 630	24 514	71.9	2.1	73.9	2.8	72.8	16.0	60 883	1 909 741	31 367	2 655	43 616
LINCOLN	416	15 808	22 313	70.8	(X)	72.5	(X)	72.3	15.3	(10)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*	1 435	59 749	83 452	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	121 937	4 282 081	35 117	5 981	49 048
DOUGLAS COUNTY	1 027	40 483	55 007	73.6	3.7	72.6	3.5	75.0	16.2	102 225	3 526 220	34 495	4 791	46 871
OMAHA	767	25 604	35 650	71.8	(X)	71.5	(X)	75.0	18.8	84 048	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SARPY COUNTY	408	19 266	28 445	67.7	2.5	67.7	2.7	65.9	9.4	19 712	756 070	38 356	1 116	56 633
NEVADA[2]														
SMSA PORTION	1 911	35 377	162 844	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	148 497	2 463 519	16 590	11 340	76 364
LAS VEGAS SMSA	1 274	22 001	97 380	22.6	1.0	23.4	1.5	22.3	16.5	103 232	1 572 351	15 231	6 960	67 420
CLARK COUNTY	1 274	22 001	97 380	22.6	1.0	23.4	1.5	22.3	16.5	103 232	1 572 351	15 231	6 960	67 420
LAS VEGAS	140	2 277	10 331	22.0	(X)	22.1	(X)	22.0	7.4	38 048	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RENO SMSA	637	13 376	65 464	20.4	2.3	22.6	2.9	20.3	35.6	45 265	895 203	19 777	4 381	96 786
WASHOE COUNTY	637	13 376	65 464	20.4	2.3	22.6	2.9	20.3	35.6	45 265	895 203	19 777	4 381	96 786
RENO	286	6 449	28 348	22.8	(X)	26.4	(X)	23.4	37.6	21 569	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NEW HAMPSHIRE**														
NECMA PORTION	824	32 833	52 308	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	117 780	4 642 325	39 415	7 396	62 794
MANCHESTER-NASHUA NECMA:														
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY:														
MANCHESTER CITY	68	1 230	3 455	35.6	2.9	35.7	2.7	36.1	14.8	14 080	290 055	20 600	814	57 843
NASHUA CITY	105	6 327	6 858	92.2	2.0	92.5	2.2	91.4	6.7	13 507	799 001	59 155	866	64 130
NEW JERSEY														
SMSA PORTION	10 510	471 007	808 592	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 416 145	59 925 165	42 314	102 875	72 645
JERSEY CITY SMSA:														
HUDSON COUNTY:														
BAYONNE CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
JERSEY CITY CITY	96	945	2 766	34.2	14.4	39.6	15.3	32.1	54.9	9 408	112 054	11 911	328	34 840
LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK SMSA:														
MONMOUTH COUNTY:														
MIDDLETOWN TWP.	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE SMSA:														
MIDDLESEX COUNTY:														
EDISON TWP.	108	4 004	8 951	44.7	4.3	44.3	4.6	43.7	12.2	17 200	621 058	36 108	1 388	80 710
WOODBRIDGE TWP.	180	4 672	11 167	41.8	2.3	42.3	3.1	42.4	10.2	24 896	720 377	28 935	1 722	69 151
NEWARK SMSA:														
ESSEX COUNTY:														
NEWARK CITY	72	758	3 162	24.0	5.8	24.7	4.7	25.0	16.4	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
MORRIS COUNTY:														
PARSIPPANY TROY HILLS TWP.	84	2 490	6 498	38.3	4.9	37.2	5.7	39.2	14.9	10 496	331 732	31 604	866	82 519
UNION COUNTY:														
ELIZABETH CITY	84	2 176	3 260	66.7	11.5	72.8	12.9	69.6	22.6	14 080	408 148	28 988	612	43 442
PATERSON-CLIFTON-PASSAIC SMSA:														
PASSAIC COUNTY:														
CLIFTON CITY	120	3 668	8 714	42.1	8.2	44.2	10.8	38.2	24.4	19 841	675 316	34 036	1 605	80 881
PATERSON CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TRENTON SMSA:														
MERCER COUNTY:														
TRENTON CITY	132	881	2 424	36.4	12.1	64.2	27.1	51.6	73.5	21 888	189 626	8 667	522	23 833
HAMILTON TWP.	132	4 655	7 211	64.5	3.7	64.3	3.7	64.5	7.4	22 912	830 695	36 256	1 287	56 169
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON SMSA:														
CUMBERLAND COUNTY:														
VINELAND CITY	96	2 777	4 188	66.3	24.8	74.2	18.4	82.1	19.5	9 728	318 821	32 774	481	49 429
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*														
CAMDEN COUNTY:														
CHERRY HILL TWP.	168	6 373	12 466	51.1	4.7	48.1	7.6	53.0	15.4	18 817	769 235	40 880	1 505	79 966
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
OCEAN COUNTY:														
BRICK TWP.	96	3 744	4 711	79.5	18.3	78.0	19.6	91.5	20.6	21 122	530 265	25 105	667	31 589
DOVER TWP.	264	6 263	13 897	45.1	6.0	48.0	6.0	47.7	22.7	26 465	776 439	29 338	1 723	65 092

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MONTANA[23]
176	91	3 643	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	16 962	6 787	400	272	16 018	SMSA PORTION
140	70	3 210	2.2	2.3	9.0	6.4	2.0	(3)	12 544	4 861	388	220	17 518	BILLINGS SMSA
140	70	3 210	2.2	2.3	9.0	6.4	2.0	(3)	12 544	4 861	388	220	17 518	YELLOWSTONE COUNTY
42	20	648	3.1	(X)	3.9	(X)	4.8	36.0	3 072	1 682	548	54	17 617	BILLINGS
36	21	433	4.9	2.8	4.2	1.9	3.3	49.8	4 418	2 061	467	42	9 467	GREAT FALLS SMSA
36	21	433	4.9	2.8	4.2	1.9	3.3	49.8	4 418	2 061	467	42	9 467	CASCADE COUNTY
36	21	433	4.9	(X)	4.2	(X)	3.3	49.8	2 306	1 054	457	21	9 276	GREAT FALLS
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
110	44	1 236	3.5	2.3	16.9	15.6	5.0	(3)	8 640	3 024	350	85	9 875	FLATHEAD COUNTY
96	437	5 860	7.5	3.9	5.7	2.2	5.2	46.4	6 273	5 795	924	78	12 372	MISSOULA COUNTY
														NEBRASKA[23]
266	2 055	4 530	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	38 824	253 992	6 542	560	14 421	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DAKOTA COUNTY
52	604	1 342	45.0	7.9	44.3	12.2	36.0	53.4	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	LINCOLN SMSA
52	604	1 342	45.0	7.9	44.3	12.2	36.0	53.4	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	LANCASTER COUNTY
40	528	1 206	43.8	(X)	41.7	(X)	37.3	48.8	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LINCOLN
211	1 427	3 141	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	35 912	183 876	5 120	405	11 270	OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA SMSA*
195	1 332	2 884	46.2	11.0	58.2	14.4	55.8	41.1	24 456	133 357	5 453	289	11 814	DOUGLAS COUNTY
117	1 094	1 971	55.5	(X)	64.1	(X)	57.5	32.4	10 772	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	OMAHA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SARPY COUNTY
														NEVADA[23]
307	8 255	32 806	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	81 408	665 422	8 174	3 490	42 870	SMSA PORTION
112	355	3 086	11.5	6.3	14.0	6.1	12.2	59.8	57 184	379 473	6 636	3 295	57 627	LAS VEGAS SMSA
112	355	3 086	11.5	6.3	14.0	6.1	12.2	59.8	57 184	379 473	6 636	3 295	57 627	CLARK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAS VEGAS
195	5 900	29 720	19.9	2.4	23.2	8.5	20.0	58.3	24 224	284 995	11 765	1 435	59 259	RENO SMSA
195	5 900	29 720	19.9	2.4	23.2	8.5	20.0	58.3	24 224	284 995	11 765	1 435	59 259	WASHOE COUNTY
65	4 675	22 381	20.9	(X)	23.6	(X)	21.4	41.5	4 509	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	RENO
														NEW HAMPSHIRE**
81	729	1 460	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	20 283	156 095	7 696	313	15 413	NECMA PORTION
														MANCHESTER-NASHUA NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANCHESTER CITY
														NASHUA CITY
														NEW JERSEY
1 068	23 887	40 991	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	255 062	3 358 664	13 168	5 764	22 597	SMSA PORTION
														JERSEY CITY SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUDSON COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BAYONNE CITY
														JERSEY CITY CITY
														LONG BRANCH-ASBURY PARK SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONMOUTH COUNTY:
														MIDDLETOWN TWP.
														NEW BRUNSWICK-PERTH AMBOY-SAYREVILLE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MIDDLESEX COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EDISON TWP.
														WOODBRIDGE TWP.
														NEWARK SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ESSEX COUNTY:
														NEWARK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MORRIS COUNTY:
														PARSIPPANY TROY HILLS TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	UNION COUNTY:
														ELIZABETH CITY
														PATERSN-CLIFTN-PASSAIC SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PASSAIC COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLIFTON CITY
														PATERSON CITY
														TRENTON SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MERCER COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TRENTON CITY
														HAMILTON TWP.
														VINELND-MILLVILLE-BRDGTN SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CUMBERLAND COUNTY:
														VINELAND CITY
														PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMDEN COUNTY:
														CHERRY HILL TWP.
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OCEAN COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRICK TWP.
														DOVER TWP.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
NEW MEXICO										
SMSA PORTION	454 499	255 147	1 578 835	100.0	61.7	56.4	2.7	13.9	21.1	0.6
ALBUQUERQUE SMSA	454 499	255 147	1 578 835	100.0	61.7	56.4	2.7	13.9	21.1	0.6
BERNALILLO COUNTY	419 700	171 356	1 460 853	100.0	63.4	57.6	1.6	12.7	22.3	(Z)
ALBUQUERQUE	331 767	117 019	1 378 113	100.0	65.6	58.9	1.7	8.2	24.4	(Z)
SANDOVAL COUNTY	34 799	83 791	117 982	100.0	40.7	40.7	17.2	28.1	6.8	7.2
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
CHAVES COUNTY	51 103	24 772	96 269	100.0	72.0	67.8	4.9	2.6	20.2	0.3
DONA ANA COUNTY	96 340	37 611	283 558	100.0	51.9	46.0	25.5	3.0	19.6	-
LEA COUNTY	55 634	28 753	83 215	100.0	49.8	49.5	8.3	2.5	38.9	0.5
MCKINLEY COUNTY	54 950	8 937	65 197	100.0	45.4	45.1	15.1	6.4	32.8	0.3
SANTA FE COUNTY	75 306	31 558	180 497	100.0	68.0	63.9	1.7	9.6	20.7	-
VALENCIA COUNTY	60 853	116 488	140 647	100.0	32.9	32.9	24.1	42.7	0.3	-
NEW YORK										
SMSA PORTION	15 458 362	3 589 351	85 797 830	100.0	55.3	33.1	2.1	2.2	40.1	0.3
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY SMSA:										
ALBANY COUNTY:										
ALBANY CITY	101 727	27 380	262 650	100.0	44.6	28.7	-	1.3	54.1	-
COLONIE TOWN	74 593	25 517	155 013	100.0	63.2	54.7	-	3.3	33.5	-
RENSSELAER COUNTY:										
TROY CITY	56 638	11 795	220 569	100.0	72.9	39.5	1.1	2.8	23.2	-
SCHENECTADY COUNTY:										
SCHENECTADY CITY	67 972	20 088	173 947	100.0	54.9	28.6	-	0.6	44.5	-
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA:*										
BROOME COUNTY:										
BINGHAMTON CITY	55 860	15 805	137 109	100.0	56.3	32.8	-	0.4	41.7	1.6
UNION TOWN	61 179	21 114	122 328	100.0	59.5	46.9	0.4	1.0	38.9	0.2
BUFFALO SMSA:										
ERIE COUNTY:										
BUFFALO CITY	357 870	100 253	976 804	100.0	65.0	18.7	-	3.3	31.4	0.3
AMHERST TOWN	108 706	33 616	362 817	100.0	84.6	72.5	-	2.4	13.0	-
CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN	109 442	33 084	152 356	100.0	71.2	57.2	-	2.4	26.1	0.3
TONAWANDA TOWN	91 269	28 843	240 735	100.0	61.4	50.8	-	0.2	38.5	-
NIAGARA COUNTY:										
NIAGARA FALLS CITY	71 384	23 064	331 108	100.0	57.3	44.1	-	2.4	40.3	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA:										
NASSAU COUNTY:										
HEMPSTEAD TOWN	738 517	216 753	1 769 283	100.0	79.9	72.7	0.3	1.1	18.5	0.2
NORTH HEMPSTEAD TOWN	218 624	67 960	798 072	100.0	78.4	68.0	-	1.5	20.1	-
OYSTER BAY TOWN	305 750	93 530	889 535	100.0	78.0	76.0	-	1.7	20.1	0.3
SUFFOLK COUNTY:										
BABYLON TOWN	203 483	64 325	256 200	100.0	69.7	68.5	0.7	0.7	29.0	-
BROOKHAVEN TOWN	364 812	161 918	455 873	100.0	63.5	60.5	0.5	3.3	13.7	18.9
HUNTINGTON TOWN	201 512	59 774	313 409	100.0	60.7	56.4	5.8	6.8	25.3	1.4
ISLIP TOWN	298 897	92 664	3 934 673	100.0	72.0	68.2	2.2	5.0	20.5	0.2
SMITHTOWN TOWN	116 663	35 813	200 284	100.0	86.5	78.5	-	4.8	8.7	-
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA:*										
NEW YORK CITY	7 071 030	803 785	43 829 810	100.0	43.1	8.3	-	1.5	55.3	0.1
ROCKLAND COUNTY:										
CLARKSTOWN TOWN	77 091	22 348	1 322 006	100.0	78.6	71.9	0.6	4.8	16.1	-
RAMAPO TOWN	89 060	22 947	1 380 924	100.0	73.8	65.4	0.2	4.0	21.9	-
WESTCHESTER COUNTY:										
NEW ROCHELLE CITY	70 794	14 341	363 380	100.0	73.8	54.3	-	2.1	23.8	0.3
YONKERS CITY	195 351	32 427	673 157	100.0	70.0	32.2	-	2.9	27.0	0.1
GREENBURGH TOWN	82 881	24 842	575 067	100.0	64.8	55.6	1.6	2.7	30.9	-
ROCHESTER SMSA:										
MONROE COUNTY:										
ROCHESTER CITY	241 741	66 901	701 218	100.0	43.6	22.7	-	1.5	54.6	0.3
IRONDEQUOIT TOWN	57 648	20 170	128 303	100.0	90.1	83.0	-	0.7	9.2	-
SYRACUSE SMSA:										
ONONDAGA COUNTY:										
SYRACUSE CITY	170 105	45 745	465 455	100.0	47.3	21.7	-	2.6	49.8	0.4
UTICA-ROME SMSA:										
ONEIDA COUNTY:										
UTICA CITY	75 632	21 873	148 865	100.0	55.0	28.2	-	1.6	42.6	0.8
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
TOMPKINS COUNTY	87 085	25 572	1 271 568	100.0	62.7	46.8	8.8	2.2	26.3	(Z)
NORTH CAROLINA										
SMSA PORTION	2 669 660	1 155 553	34 505 305	100.0	63.2	58.4	9.3	4.7	22.6	0.1
ASHEVILLE SMSA:										
BUNCOMBE COUNTY	160 934	77 572	1 318 273	100.0	57.4	55.5	10.4	5.0	27.2	-
ASHEVILLE	53 281	23 887	483 949	100.0	53.7	51.1	0.1	3.6	42.6	-
BURLINGTON SMSA	99 136	46 126	1 018 648	100.0	64.9	63.5	11.4	2.1	21.5	0.1
ALAMANCE COUNTY	99 136	46 126	1 018 648	100.0	64.9	63.5	11.4	2.1	21.5	0.1
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA SMSA	637 218	248 068	8 190 647	100.0	63.1	57.4	8.8	2.9	24.9	0.3
GASTON COUNTY	162 568	68 079	1 889 976	100.0	67.1	64.9	11.7	1.9	19.4	-
MECKLENBURG COUNTY	404 270	146 941	5 463 650	100.0	63.8	56.2	3.8	3.4	28.6	0.5
CHARLOTTE	314 447	98 999	4 002 842	100.0	64.8	55.2	2.0	2.6	29.9	0.6
UNION COUNTY	70 380	33 048	837 021	100.0	49.7	48.2	34.0	2.0	14.3	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)			Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														NEW MEXICO
948	7 207	48 543	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	255 147	1 579	6 188	10 634	41 679	SMSA PORTION
948	7 207	48 543	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	255 147	1 579	6 188	10 634	41 679	ALBUQUERQUE SMSA
756	6 878	46 557	14.8	1.3	16.1	1.9	14.7	36.1	171 356	1 461	8 525	9 888	57 707	BERNALILLO COUNTY
639	5 460	37 543	14.5	(X)	16.1	(X)	14.9	33.4	117 019	1 378	11 777	9 475	80 970	ALBUQUERQUE
192	329	1 986	16.6	7.9	26.2	7.3	25.0	49.5	83 791	118	1 408	713	8 505	SANDOVAL COUNTY
335	1 250	10 864	11.5	1.9	15.7	6.3	10.6	91.2	24 772	96	3 886	835	33 709	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
536	3 278	21 397	15.3	2.2	18.8	3.5	16.5	46.8	37 611	284	7 539	1 850	49 181	CHAVES COUNTY
385	1 304	13 697	9.5	1.1	15.0	4.2	8.6	(3)	28 753	83	2 894	874	30 406	DONA ANA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEA COUNTY
344	2 900	28 056	10.3	1.4	11.0	2.2	9.5	53.9	31 558	180	5 720	1 747	55 346	MCKINLEY COUNTY
450	448	3 051	14.7	5.6	18.4	5.1	16.6	51.1	116 488	141	1 207	957	8 212	SANTA FE COUNTY
														VALENCIA COUNTY
														NEW YORK[2]
25 634	456 745	2 008 959	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	3 589 351	85 798	23 903	377 375	105 137	SMSA PORTION
280	1 620	12 260	13.2	2.3	14.7	2.7	13.6	32.1	27 380	263	9 593	1 988	72 596	ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY SMSA:
126	635	7 269	8.7	2.5	14.8	11.4	9.6	77.8	25 517	155	6 075	1 776	69 620	ALBANY COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALBANY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLONIE TOWN
														RENSSELAER COUNTY:
														TROY CITY
														SCHENECTADY COUNTY:
														SCHENECTADY CITY
120	750	3 860	19.4	3.7	19.5	3.1	17.8	16.8	15 805	137	8 675	706	44 648	BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA:*
140	989	6 881	14.4	7.2	21.3	12.5	10.1	(3)	21 114	122	5 794	852	40 337	BROOME COUNTY:
														BINGHAMTON CITY
														UNION TOWN
580	6 681	24 082	27.7	5.9	35.2	10.2	24.7	66.9	100 253	977	9 743	3 521	35 122	BUFFALO SMSA:
340	3 176	22 865	13.9	1.2	13.6	1.9	14.2	18.4	33 616	363	10 793	2 612	77 702	ERIE COUNTY:
135	394	5 355	7.4	0.5	7.1	1.0	7.1	13.9	33 084	152	4 605	2 072	62 630	BUFFALO CITY
150	840	8 111	10.3	2.0	11.0	2.1	10.5	27.7	28 843	241	8 346	2 329	80 732	AMHERST TOWN
														CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN
														TONAWANDA TOWN
180	1 626	5 302	30.6	4.5	36.1	7.1	27.6	41.9	23 064	331	14 356	1 080	46 839	NIAGARA COUNTY:
														NIAGARA FALLS CITY
980	6 446	70 758	9.1	0.7	9.3	1.0	8.5	27.8	216 753	1 769	8 163	19 420	89 594	NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA:
340	2 809	39 519	7.1	1.0	7.7	1.4	6.8	29.7	67 960	798	11 743	11 228	165 214	NASSAU COUNTY:
240	1 979	23 749	8.3	3.1	8.3	2.0	8.0	32.7	93 530	890	9 511	10 676	114 150	HEMPSTEAD TOWN
														NORTH HEMPSTEAD TOWN
														OYSTER BAY TOWN
230	1 040	12 503	8.3	6.1	10.3	8.1	5.6	(3)	64 325	256	3 983	3 080	47 886	SUFFOLK COUNTY:
1 260	2 966	56 427	5.3	0.6	5.6	0.5	5.9	24.6	161 918	456	2 815	8 672	53 558	BABYLON TOWN
500	2 150	52 280	4.1	0.4	4.3	0.5	4.3	20.4	59 774	313	5 243	7 626	127 573	BROOKHAVEN TOWN
480	18 354	25 995	70.6	19.1	82.6	8.0	79.0	20.0	92 664	3 935	42 462	5 573	60 140	HUNTINGTON TOWN
140	804	8 120	9.9	2.6	8.6	1.8	7.9	24.1	35 813	200	5 592	2 021	56 439	ISLIP TOWN
														SMITHTOWN TOWN
4 640	176 711	728 577	24.3	4.4	29.2	2.6	20.2	78.4	803 785	43 830	54 529	180 710	224 824	NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA:*
180	7 906	15 186	52.1	12.2	50.5	10.7	48.6	24.4	22 348	1 322	59 155	2 539	113 627	NEW YORK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCKLAND COUNTY:
														CLARKSTOWN TOWN
														RAMAPO TOWN
220	3 807	19 207	19.8	9.0	29.6	18.9	15.6	(3)	14 341	363	25 339	1 833	127 839	WESTCHESTER COUNTY:
204	5 763	23 189	24.9	8.0	24.7	7.8	18.6	60.7	32 427	673	20 759	2 708	83 521	NEW ROCHELLE CITY
140	8 789	31 595	27.8	15.1	16.6	4.4	14.1	32.7	24 842	575	23 149	2 067	83 225	YONKERS CITY
														GREENBURGH TOWN
1 290	9 033	52 843	17.1	3.0	17.8	3.6	14.7	40.1	66 901	701	10 481	4 102	61 318	ROCHESTER SMSA:
231	1 441	13 350	10.8	1.2	11.4	1.9	9.7	30.3	20 170	128	6 361	1 189	58 930	MONROE COUNTY:
														ROCHESTER CITY
														IRONDEQUOIT TOWN
460	2 216	14 132	15.7	3.2	23.5	12.6	15.7	85.5	45 745	465	10 175	2 970	64 932	SYRACUSE SMSA:
														ONONDAGA COUNTY:
														SYRACUSE CITY
180	1 101	5 019	21.9	6.9	32.1	13.0	21.8	67.4	21 873	149	6 806	679	31 024	UTICA-ROME SMSA:
														ONEIDA COUNTY:
														UTICA CITY
300	8 968	11 678	76.8	8.0	75.2	9.3	79.3	18.5	25 572	1 272	49 725	1 656	64 750	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
														TOMPKINS COUNTY
														NORTH CAROLINA
9 610	231 407	392 137	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 155 553	34 505	29 860	58 472	50 601	SMSA PORTION
600	7 649	20 426	37.4	7.2	43.0	8.2	38.6	48.9	77 572	1 318	16 994	3 520	45 382	ASHEVILLE SMSA:
270	3 324	9 683	34.3	(X)	49.8	(X)	38.6	57.1	23 887	484	20 260	1 410	59 021	BUNCOMBE COUNTY'
														ASHEVILLE
495	6 540	14 532	45.0	6.1	53.3	11.6	46.0	49.0	46 126	1 019	22 084	2 263	49 059	BURLINGTON SMSA
495	6 540	14 532	45.0	6.1	53.3	11.6	46.0	49.0	46 126	1 019	22 084	2 263	49 059	ALAMANCE COUNTY
1 280	31 672	57 962	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	248 068	8 191	33 018	14 989	60 425	CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA SMSA
375	10 206	12 982	78.6	3.3	80.7	7.2	78.2	13.8	68 079	1 890	27 762	2 404	35 317	GASTON COUNTY
645	17 903	38 661	46.3	6.3	52.8	5.3	50.8	23.6	146 941	5 464	37 183	11 798	80 294	MECKLENBURG COUNTY'
465	12 149	27 925	43.5	(X)	51.9	(X)	49.4	26.8	98 999	4 003	40 433	9 200	92 933	CHARLOTTE
260	3 563	6 319	56.4	5.1	55.7	11.2	55.9	36.1	33 048	837	25 327	1 485	44 932	UNION COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
NEW MEXICO														
SMSA PORTION	618	5 933	41 422	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	112 192	889 755	7 931	6 212	55 369
ALBUQUERQUE SMSA	618	5 933	41 422	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	112 192	889 755	7 931	6 212	55 369
BERNALILLO COUNTY	594	5 691	39 886	14.3	1.2	15.6	1.6	14.5	29.6	104 400	841 720	8 062	5 900	56 512
ALBUQUERQUE	522	4 583	32 340	14.2	(X)	15.7	(X)	14.5	31.3	86 932	811 939	9 340	5 730	65 910
SANDOVAL COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
CHAVES COUNTY	240	1 037	8 847	11.7	0.9	12.1	1.4	10.8	30.0	16 992	65 311	3 844	557	32 770
DONA ANA COUNTY	216	1 788	11 025	16.2	1.2	17.0	3.6	15.6	28.3	18 912	136 014	7 192	838	44 306
LEA COUNTY	215	887	9 923	8.9	0.8	12.5	6.1	8.3	70.6	18 736	41 199	2 199	461	24 605
MCKINLEY COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SANTA FE COUNTY	184	2 143	20 679	10.4	1.6	11.6	2.2	10.0	37.2	16 848	115 264	6 841	1 112	66 018
VALENCIA COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NEW YORK[3]														
SMSA PORTION	17 422	225 857	1 107 426	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 270 476	28 384 351	12 501	139 175	61 298
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY SMSA:														
ALBANY COUNTY:														
ALBANY CITY	200	1 406	10 395	13.5	2.5	14.4	2.9	13.6	26.7	14 528	75 315	5 184	557	38 328
COLONIE TOWN	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
RENSSELAER COUNTY:														
TROY CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SCHENECTADY COUNTY:														
SCHENECTADY CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA:*														
BROOME COUNTY:														
BINGHAMTON CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
UNION TOWN	112	690	4 607	15.0	9.2	22.5	15.2	10.1	(3)	14 760	57 361	3 886	383	25 942
BUFFALO SMSA:														
ERIE COUNTY:														
BUFFALO CITY	240	1 588	8 401	18.9	2.8	21.9	4.5	20.3	26.5	40 032	182 837	4 567	967	24 157
AMHERST TOWN	260	2 509	18 194	13.8	1.0	14.2	0.9	14.7	9.2	27 168	262 933	9 678	1 907	70 180
CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN	120	389	5 220	7.5	0.5	7.5	0.5	7.3	8.8	23 424	87 132	3 720	1 168	49 884
TONAWANDA TOWN	75	292	3 356	8.7	1.2	8.8	1.2	7.9	14.8	24 384	122 196	5 011	1 409	57 785
NIAGARA COUNTY:														
NIAGARA FALLS CITY	130	1 173	3 852	30.4	4.3	36.3	8.3	27.8	38.3	15 936	145 875	9 154	479	30 072
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA:														
NASSAU COUNTY:														
HEMPSTEAD TOWN	940	5 769	65 158	8.9	0.6	9.3	1.1	8.5	26.8	183 024	1 286 495	7 029	14 530	79 388
NORTH HEMPSTEAD TOWN	280	2 411	34 039	7.1	1.2	7.6	1.6	6.8	30.5	55 425	542 954	9 796	7 666	138 306
OYSTER BAY TOWN	140	926	10 679	8.7	0.9	8.5	0.8	8.4	11.8	83 472	675 988	8 098	7 800	93 444
SUFFOLK COUNTY:														
BABYLON TOWN	180	951	10 905	8.7	6.9	10.8	10.2	5.2	(3)	52 608	175 454	3 336	2 012	38 248
BROOKHAVEN TOWN	1 080	2 587	48 580	5.3	0.5	5.7	0.5	6.0	21.8	103 808	275 797	2 657	5 179	49 893
HUNTINGTON TOWN	400	1 940	47 720	4.1	0.4	4.4	0.6	4.3	19.7	48 000	176 817	3 684	4 351	90 648
ISLIP TOWN	340	12 723	20 035	63.5	19.1	79.5	9.8	76.9	21.0	76 176	2 682 653	35 217	4 224	55 457
SMITHTOWN TOWN	120	413	5 120	8.1	1.3	7.9	1.5	7.8	17.7	29 120	157 159	5 397	1 947	66 858
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA:*														
NEW YORK CITY	1 580	19 774	161 473	12.2	2.3	16.9	1.5	14.6	40.2	318 568	3 626 442	11 384	29 614	92 959
ROCKLAND COUNTY:														
CLARKSTOWN TOWN	180	7 906	15 186	52.1	12.2	50.5	10.7	48.6	24.4	18 672	949 974	50 877	1 825	97 725
RAMAPO TOWN	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WESTCHESTER COUNTY:														
NEW ROCHELLE CITY	120	2 405	10 440	23.0	8.4	36.7	30.7	19.0	(3)	9 728	197 231	20 275	856	88 011
YONKERS CITY	120	1 206	7 620	15.8	3.3	19.1	6.4	14.1	48.7	16 705	216 600	12 966	1 369	81 926
GREENBURGH TOWN	110	2 307	16 255	14.2	1.3	14.4	1.3	14.0	13.1	16 960	319 843	18 859	2 254	132 930
ROCHESTER SMSA:														
MONROE COUNTY:														
ROCHESTER CITY	817	4 171	29 376	14.2	1.7	16.2	4.8	14.3	36.4	38 144	159 383	4 178	1 122	29 428
IRONDEQUOIT TOWN	217	1 245	11 953	10.4	1.0	11.3	2.0	9.7	29.6	18 408	106 495	5 786	1 023	55 575
SYRACUSE SMSA:														
ONONDAGA COUNTY:														
SYRACUSE CITY	340	1 603	11 430	14.0	3.2	16.1	4.4	12.7	52.3	25 024	100 869	4 031	720	28 760
UTICA-ROME SMSA:														
ONEIDA COUNTY:														
UTICA CITY	90	515	2 783	18.5	8.3	33.0	23.7	19.6	90.6	12 608	41 985	3 330	227	17 973
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
TOMPKINS COUNTY	220	7 964	10 170	78.3	8.7	75.9	11.3	80.0	17.4	14 304	594 618	41 570	759	53 084
NORTH CAROLINA														
SMSA PORTION	5 398	175 643	288 566	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	684 433	20 146 799	29 436	33 099	48 360
ASHEVILLE SMSA:														
BUNCOMBE COUNTY[3]	375	6 302	15 012	42.0	7.8	44.0	9.2	40.3	41.1	44 803	731 716	16 332	1 743	38 899
ASHEVILLE	180	2 464	5 850	42.1	(X)	49.5	(X)	40.1	37.9	16 418	247 273	15 061	587	35 756
BURLINGTON SMSA	195	4 816	9 053	53.2	4.7	54.1	5.0	55.5	12.1	31 168	646 924	20 756	1 216	39 014
ALAMANCE COUNTY	195	4 816	9 053	53.2	4.7	54.1	5.0	55.5	12.1	31 168	646 924	20 756	1 216	39 014
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA SMSA	680	23 932	42 764	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	168 460	4 699 969	27 900	8 398	49 854
GASTON COUNTY	240	9 040	11 513	78.5	3.7	76.8	4.6	78.2	9.0	46 224	1 225 830	26 519	1 561	33 775
MECKLENBURG COUNTY[3]	390	13 520	29 131	46.4	7.5	50.9	4.5	50.6	14.4	106 364	3 071 395	28 876	6 618	62 216
CHARLOTTE	315	10 507	23 257	45.2	(X)	50.3	(X)	50.0	16.1	79 144	2 208 292	27 902	4 888	61 761
UNION COUNTY	50	1 372	2 120	64.7	6.4	65.0	8.4	63.6	13.0	15 872	403 801	25 441	624	39 294

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														NEW MEXICO
249	942	4 749	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	132 988	218 685	1 644	1 102	8 290	SMSA PORTION
249	942	4 749	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	132 988	218 685	1 644	1 102	8 290	ALBUQUERQUE SMSA
117	873	4 367	20.0	6.0	20.1	8.9	14.6	77.2	58 635	185 539	3 164	928	15 826	BERNALILLO COUNTY
72	563	2 899	19.4	(X)	20.2	(X)	17.8	50.8	22 942	113 527	4 948	584	25 467	ALBUQUERQUE
132	69	382	18.1	7.8	25.6	7.2	26.7	39.2	74 353	33 133	446	183	2 461	SANDOVAL COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
60	59	796	7.5	9.3	31.7	32.3	3.0	(3)	5 952	2 470	415	33	5 529	CHAVES COUNTY
200	659	3 283	20.1	2.6	21.5	6.5	17.5	52.4	6 849	8 628	1 260	43	6 277	DONA ANA COUNTY
105	172	1 291	13.4	8.4	21.0	7.9	9.5	(3)	6 880	2 116	308	16	2 302	LEA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCKINLEY COUNTY
112	323	3 323	9.7	5.6	10.5	5.4	6.1	(3)	13 761	17 405	1 265	179	13 027	SANTA FE COUNTY
270	164	1 072	15.3	9.4	19.0	6.6	16.6	50.3	90 304	60 018	665	392	4 342	VALENCIA COUNTY
														NEW YORK*
1 481	6 666	41 797	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	402 305	1 876 115	4 663	11 764	29 240	SMSA PORTION
														ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY SMSA:
														ALBANY COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALBANY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLONIE TOWN
														RENSSELAER COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TROY CITY
														SCHENECTADY COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCHENECTADY CITY
														BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA:*
														BROOME COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BINGHAMTON CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	UNION TOWN
														BUFFALO SMSA:
														ERIE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUFFALO CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AMHERST TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TONAWANDA TOWN
														NIAGARA COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NIAGARA FALLS CITY
														NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA:
														NASSAU COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HEMPSTEAD TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTH HEMPSTEAD TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OYSTER BAY TOWN
														SUFFOLK COUNTY:
50	89	1 598	5.6	4.6	8.7	4.6	9.4	43.5	7 680	1 678	218	30	3 920	BABYLON TOWN
100	167	1 677	10.0	4.5	6.4	3.0	5.0	48.8	45 920	15 089	329	152	3 299	BROOKHAVEN TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ISLIP TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SMITHTOWN TOWN
														NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA:*
280	1 940	10 418	18.6	11.9	49.4	29.2	19.0	(3)	64 388	670 310	10 410	3 600	55 905	NEW YORK CITY
														ROCKLAND COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLARKSTOWN TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RAMAPO TOWN
														WESTCHESTER COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEW ROCHELLE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YONKERS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREENBURGH TOWN
														ROCHESTER SMSA:
														MONROE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCHESTER CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	IRONDEQUOIT TOWN
														SYRACUSE SMSA:
														ONONDAGA COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SYRACUSE CITY
														UTICA-ROME SMSA:
														ONEIDA COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	UTICA CITY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TOMPKINS COUNTY
														NORTH CAROLINA
2 515	16 962	31 338	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	284 764	1 619 230	5 686	2 992	10 506	SMSA PORTION
														ASHEVILLE SMSA:
120	319	824	38.6	22.1	55.9	24.6	43.6	64.4	23 530	66 340	2 819	172	7 298	BUNCOMBE COUNTY*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ASHEVILLE
120	417	936	44.6	23.1	75.8	39.4	58.9	78.8	8 704	21 367	2 455	48	5 508	BURLINGTON SMSA
120	417	936	44.6	23.1	75.8	39.4	58.9	78.8	8 704	21 367	2 455	48	5 508	ALAMANCE COUNTY
355	2 407	5 326	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	40 470	236 908	5 854	524	12 953	CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA SMSA
105	748	915	81.6	9.5	91.3	21.7	87.5	23.2	10 752	35 372	3 290	43	4 029	GASTON COUNTY
150	1 335	3 460	38.6	16.6	54.7	15.0	50.8	39.8	24 662	185 376	7 517	481	19 493	MECKLENBURG COUNTY*
90	749	2 297	32.6	(X)	58.0	(X)	62.9	42.3	9 301	105 311	11 323	323	34 727	CHARLOTTE
100	324	951	34.0	13.4	45.7	25.8	28.8	97.3	5 056	16 531	3 270	49	9 607	UNION COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Nonfarm residential property: Total	Nonfarm residential property: Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
NORTH CAROLINA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
FAYETTEVILLE SMSA	247 160	78 019	2 230 758	100.0	69.8	63.5	6.4	3.1	20.7	-
CUMBERLAND COUNTY	247 160	78 019	2 230 738	100.0	69.8	63.5	6.4	3.1	20.7	-
FAYETTEVILLE	59 507	15 698	901 501	100.0	55.0	45.5	1.0	4.5	39.6	-
GREENSBORO-WINSTON SALEM-HIGH POINT SMSA:										
DAVIDSON COUNTY	113 162	58 283	1 704 214	100.0	74.1	72.1	10.8	2.1	13.0	-
HIGH POINT (PART)	537	(NA)	6 934	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FORSYTH COUNTY	243 683	100 248	3 159 735	100.0	70.6	65.2	1.3	8.4	19.4	0.3
WINSTON-SALEM	131 885	47 535	1 840 160	100.0	63.5	56.0	-	8.6	27.3	0.5
GUILFORD COUNTY	317 154	121 949	6 085 092	100.0	54.3	50.1	11.4	6.5	27.7	0.2
GREENSBORO	155 642	50 451	2 915 939	100.0	64.1	57.8	0.4	4.5	31.1	-
HIGH POINT (PART)	62 806	26 068	1 083 092	100.0	56.4	52.7	0.9	3.0	39.7	-
RANDOLPH COUNTY	91 861	45 232	874 448	100.0	61.4	59.7	20.4	2.5	15.7	-
HIGH POINT (PART)	764	(NA)	9 094	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
STOKES COUNTY	33 086	11 636	205 781	100.0	43.4	40.2	44.6	0.7	11.3	-
RALEIGH-DURHAM SMSA	530 673	195 193	6 818 085	100.0	69.5	62.3	5.7	2.7	22.1	-
DURHAM COUNTY	152 785	59 757	1 698 564	100.0	63.9	56.1	4.1	3.9	28.1	-
DURHAM	100 831	38 212	912 856	100.0	70.8	57.7	0.4	3.3	25.4	-
ORANGE COUNTY	77 055	25 260	1 485 475	100.0	77.8	72.4	2.0	1.0	19.2	-
WAKE COUNTY	300 833	110 176	3 634 046	100.0	69.0	61.3	7.9	2.7	20.4	-
RALEIGH	149 771	38 762	1 797 431	100.0	70.2	58.4	1.0	1.7	27.1	-
WILMINGTON SMSA	139 238	107 675	2 199 190	100.0	56.0	52.9	11.0	9.4	23.5	0.1
BRUNSWICK COUNTY[23]	35 767	53 802	917 840	100.0	45.9	45.5	24.4	15.2	14.5	-
NEW HANOVER COUNTY	103 471	53 873	1 281 350	100.0	61.5	56.8	3.9	6.3	28.2	0.1
WILMINGTON	44 000	17 329	448 953	100.0	52.5	41.3	0.3	2.2	45.0	-
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*	11 089	13 467	302 740	100.0	28.6	28.6	45.7	20.3	5.1	0.2
CURRITUCK COUNTY	11 089	13 467	302 740	100.0	28.6	28.6	45.7	20.3	5.1	0.2
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
CABARRUS COUNTY	85 895	39 539	716 505	100.0	56.9	56.1	16.1	8.0	19.0	-
CATAWBA COUNTY	105 208	51 840	1 248 563	100.0	64.4	62.4	4.5	4.7	26.4	-
IREDELL COUNTY	82 538	41 864	852 903	100.0	66.2	65.2	17.8	1.5	14.5	-
NASH COUNTY[13]	67 153	27 795	619 865	100.0	49.4	46.7	26.0	3.0	21.6	-
ONSLOW COUNTY	112 784	31 506	697 879	100.0	64.2	60.2	15.5	7.9	12.0	0.4
ROBESON COUNTY[25]	101 577	39 079	761 304	100.0	50.4	49.6	29.5	2.1	18.0	(Z)
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY	83 426	37 985	866 686	100.0	53.8	51.3	18.1	2.2	25.9	-
ROWAN COUNTY	99 186	42 418	746 847	100.0	68.4	62.8	6.3	2.3	23.0	-
SURRY COUNTY	59 449	27 963	488 308	100.0	57.0	53.0	21.6	3.1	18.1	0.2
WAYNE COUNTY	97 054	38 855	939 600	100.0	50.8	46.1	30.3	2.6	16.3	(Z)
NORTH DAKOTA										
SMSA PORTION	234 335	107 560	253 515	100.0	46.4	39.1	28.7	1.9	23.0	-
BISMARCK SMSA	79 988	44 682	89 852	100.0	63.2	49.0	20.3	3.0	13.4	-
BURLEIGH COUNTY	54 811	27 461	61 681	100.0	65.8	47.9	15.3	5.6	15.3	-
MORTON COUNTY	25 177	17 221	28 171	100.0	56.9	51.7	33.0	1.4	8.7	-
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	88 247	36 599	103 075	100.0	35.0	34.4	34.8	1.6	28.6	-
CASS COUNTY	88 247	36 599	103 075	100.0	35.0	34.4	34.8	1.6	28.6	-
FARGO	61 308	17 395	62 593	100.0	58.3	57.4	-	1.7	40.0	-
GRAND FORKS, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	66 100	26 279	60 588	100.0	42.3	33.8	30.1	1.0	26.6	-
GRAND FORKS COUNTY	66 100	26 279	60 588	100.0	42.3	33.8	30.1	1.0	26.6	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
WARD COUNTY	58 392	27 607	51 863	100.0	44.3	43.1	29.8	4.8	18.1	2.9
OHIO										
SMSA PORTION	8 545 295	3 547 481	51 333 285	100.0	69.4	62.6	7.6	3.0	19.3	0.8
AKRON SMSA	660 328	307 737	3 866 678	100.0	69.9	68.2	5.1	3.0	21.8	0.3
PORTAGE COUNTY	135 856	65 846	722 393	100.0	67.8	65.8	13.1	3.9	13.5	1.7
SUMMIT COUNTY[9]	524 472	241 891	3 144 285	100.0	70.3	68.7	3.4	2.8	23.5	-
AKRON	237 177	105 217	1 031 581	100.0	62.8	62.6	1.6	2.8	32.8	-
CANTON SMSA:										
STARK COUNTY	378 823	179 577	2 195 530	100.0	66.2	56.7	10.3	4.5	19.0	-
CANTON	94 730	38 942	405 814	100.0	69.8	53.8	0.7	2.9	26.6	-
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	1 100 895	365 268	6 509 794	100.0	72.9	64.4	6.0	1.9	19.1	-
CLERMONT COUNTY	128 483	52 533	744 714	100.0	72.0	70.4	10.7	3.1	14.3	-
HAMILTON COUNTY	873 136	273 772	5 197 162	100.0	73.1	63.0	4.6	1.8	20.5	-
CINCINNATI	385 457	103 351	1 767 952	100.0	67.3	47.6	2.0	2.0	28.6	-
WARREN COUNTY	99 276	38 963	567 918	100.0	72.9	68.9	12.5	1.6	13.1	-
CLEVELAND SMSA	1 898 720	641 323	12 104 540	100.0	72.8	65.6	2.5	3.7	18.0	2.9
CUYAHOGA COUNTY	1 498 295	461 542	9 104 529	100.0	73.1	64.3	-	3.4	19.7	3.8
CLEVELAND	573 822	158 640	2 061 241	100.0	59.6	52.3	-	1.5	36.1	2.7
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	56 438	19 888	331 845	100.0	88.8	83.2	-	0.4	9.5	1.3
EUCLID	59 999	18 965	401 753	100.0	75.2	64.0	-	1.3	22.4	1.2
LAKEWOOD	61 963	16 572	348 108	100.0	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)
PARMA	92 548	31 077	658 147	100.0	82.3	76.8	-	1.7	12.8	3.2
GEAUGA COUNTY	74 474	39 002	659 882	100.0	68.2	67.8	15.8	6.5	9.2	0.3
LAKE COUNTY	212 801	88 281	1 512 516	100.0	77.2	73.6	3.9	3.7	15.0	0.2
MEDINA COUNTY	113 150	52 498	827 613	100.0	65.7	63.1	17.6	5.2	11.3	0.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property								All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														NORTH CAROLINA--CONTINUED
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	FAYETTEVILLE SMSA
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	CUMBERLAND COUNTY
														FAYETTEVILLE
														GREENSBORO-WINSTON SALEM-HIGH POINT SMSA
240	3 129	4 623	67.7	20.0	85.5	15.4	87.9	26.6	58 263	1 704	29 240	2 518	43 208	DAVIDSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HIGH POINT (PART)
750	21 087	32 336	65.2	10.2	65.5	8.8	61.8	30.8	100 248	3 160	31 519	4 845	48 327	FORSYTH COUNTY
325	10 067	16 570	60.8	(X)	59.3	(X)	57.5	30.0	47 535	1 840	38 712	3 029	63 714	WINSTON-SALEM
836	37 872	48 630	77.9	5.1	77.5	4.5	81.3	19.4	121 949	6 085	49 899	7 814	64 074	GUILFORD COUNTY
385	19 349	25 021	77.3	(X)	76.3	(X)	82.0	17.8	50 451	2 916	57 797	3 771	74 739	GREENSBORO
209	6 241	8 596	72.6	(X)	81.3	(X)	85.2	21.2	26 068	1 083	41 549	1 492	57 228	HIGH POINT (PART)
221	1 717	4 432	38.7	11.5	49.3	11.8	50.0	33.9	45 232	874	19 333	2 259	49 944	RANDOLPH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HIGH POINT (PART)
136	878	3 010	29.2	21.1	44.6	19.2	47.7	41.2	11 636	206	17 685	705	60 593	STOKES COUNTY
1 966	59 841	114 326	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	195 193	6 818	34 930	13 026	66 734	RALEIGH-DURHAM SMSA
495	12 794	25 307	50.6	6.3	56.9	8.0	54.4	32.4	59 757	1 699	28 425	3 360	56 228	DURHAM COUNTY
270	5 593	10 595	52.8	(X)	54.1	(X)	53.5	24.6	30 212	913	30 215	1 729	57 235	DURHAM
247	11 224	13 710	81.9	7.4	86.0	8.4	85.0	13.6	25 260	1 485	58 807	1 814	71 828	ORANGE COUNTY
1 224	35 823	75 309	47.6	3.1	47.5	4.0	47.7	20.8	110 176	3 634	32 984	7 640	69 343	WAKE COUNTY
600	14 086	31 724	44.4	(X)	44.7	(X)	44.2	18.8	38 762	1 797	46 371	4 048	104 441	RALEIGH
1 643	23 903	41 277	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	107 675	2 199	20 424	3 798	35 270	WILMINGTON SMSA
833	9 499	16 306	58.3	9.0	68.1	9.5	63.3	39.4	53 802	918	17 060	1 575	29 280	BRUNSWICK COUNTY[25]
810	14 404	24 971	57.7	7.2	62.4	6.8	57.7	32.4	53 873	1 281	23 785	2 221	41 233	NEW HANOVER COUNTY
195	3 944	5 778	68.3	(X)	76.4	(X)	57.6	47.2	17 329	449	25 908	658	37 955	WILMINGTON
														NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*
104	2 350	3 240	72.5	17.4	79.1	25.3	78.2	38.5	13 467	303	22 480	417	30 987	CURRITUCK COUNTY
104	2 350	3 240	72.5	17.4	79.1	25.3	78.2	38.5	13 467	303	22 480	417	30 987	
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
195	3 654	9 243	39.5	5.6	43.0	10.4	40.0	34.3	39 539	717	18 121	1 813	45 845	CABARRUS COUNTY
390	7 300	12 594	58.0	9.9	101.6	68.0	63.6	94.1	51 840	1 249	24 085	2 154	41 545	CATAWBA COUNTY
315	6 945	14 720	47.2	4.1	56.8	10.4	48.5	36.5	41 864	853	20 373	1 807	43 168	IREDELL COUNTY
170	5 903	14 125	41.8	9.7	49.4	11.4	48.7	34.7	27 795	620	22 301	1 483	53 367	NASH COUNTY[25]
555	11 406	18 209	62.6	3.4	69.5	19.1	65.1	29.4	31 506	698	22 151	1 114	35 360	ONSLOW COUNTY
120	1 200	3 649	32.9	16.2	51.4	17.2	50.7	44.5	39 079	761	19 481	2 317	59 290	ROBESON COUNTY[25]
250	2 901	5 721	50.7	8.9	59.6	10.1	55.7	31.6	37 985	867	22 817	1 710	45 017	ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
315	4 378	9 497	46.1	6.3	51.7	11.2	43.8	42.3	42 418	747	17 607	1 620	38 196	ROWAN COUNTY
220	1 376	3 114	44.2	8.0	48.3	7.7	46.7	34.1	27 963	488	17 463	1 105	39 527	SURRY COUNTY
375	7 105	14 992	47.4	22.1	66.5	8.7	64.9	21.6	38 855	940	24 182	1 982	51 021	WAYNE COUNTY
														NORTH DAKOTA
712	2 387	38 199	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	107 560	254	2 357	4 057	37 718	SMSA PORTION
292	1 123	15 740	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	44 682	90	2 011	1 259	28 185	BISMARCK SMSA
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	BURLEIGH COUNTY
84	156	3 769	4.1	0.5	5.5	1.2	4.4	32.9	17 221	28	1 636	679	39 451	MORTON COUNTY
														FARGO-MOORHEAD, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*
224	527	14 200	3.7	0.2	4.3	0.4	4.0	33.9	36 599	103	2 816	2 775	75 834	CASS COUNTY
224	527	14 200	3.7	0.2	4.3	0.4	4.0	33.9	36 599	103	2 816	2 775	75 834	FARGO
144	380	8 848	4.3	(X)	4.9	(X)	4.2	30.4	17 395	63	3 598	1 457	83 788	
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	GRND FRKS, N.DAK.-MINN. SMSA*
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	GRAND FORKS COUNTY
200	391	7 748	5.0	1.3	7.8	3.4	4.2	99.7	27 607	52	1 879	1 030	37 291	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
														WARD COUNTY
														OHIO
14 104	239 436	845 087	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	3 547 481	51 333	14 470	181 180	51 073	SMSA PORTION
1 076	15 066	50 281	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	307 737	3 867	12 565	12 905	41 934	AKRON SMSA
248	3 607	10 785	33.4	5.9	33.8	7.3	31.5	39.9	65 846	722	10 971	2 161	32 825	PORTAGE COUNTY
828	11 459	39 496	29.0	3.0	30.2	2.6	30.2	26.4	241 891	3 144	12 999	10 836	44 797	SUMMIT COUNTY[9]
444	4 433	17 626	25.2	(X)	27.7	(X)	29.1	29.9	105 217	1 032	9 804	4 101	38 975	AKRON
560	7 019	23 312	30.1	2.2	33.5	3.1	32.5	25.8	179 577	2 196	12 226	7 294	40 616	CANTON SMSA:
150	1 337	4 176	32.0	(X)	37.4	(X)	37.6	25.5	38 942	406	10 421	1 268	32 562	STARK COUNTY
														CANTON
720	10 345	47 670	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	365 268	6 510	17 822	29 997	82 124	CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*
220	2 818	9 537	29.5	1.9	31.0	4.7	31.0	25.2	52 533	745	14 176	2 521	47 984	CLERMONT COUNTY
224	3 995	22 236	18.0	7.0	23.5	3.6	24.0	35.0	273 772	5 197	18 984	28 885	105 509	HAMILTON COUNTY
105	1 816	7 549	24.1	(X)	26.0	(X)	27.6	23.8	103 351	1 768	17 106	7 350	71 114	CINCINNATI
276	3 532	15 897	22.2	5.9	26.2	4.3	26.7	28.9	38 963	568	14 576	2 555	65 578	WARREN COUNTY
3 508	81 250	284 815	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	641 323	12 105	18 874	42 431	66 162	CLEVELAND SMSA
2 640	59 813	206 869	28.9	1.6	31.1	3.0	29.7	22.7	461 542	9 105	19 726	31 479	68 203	CUYAHOGA COUNTY
726	7 781	27 159	28.6	(X)	36.5	(X)	29.4	45.0	158 640	2 061	12 993	7 196	45 361	CLEVELAND
154	2 788	11 077	25.2	(X)	26.2	(X)	25.6	11.9	15 888	332	20 887	1 318	82 946	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS
99	1 777	6 487	27.4	(X)	30.6	(X)	30.3	14.0	18 965	402	21 184	1 466	77 308	EUCLID
77	1 446	4 757	30.4	(X)	32.0	(X)	30.8	14.9	16 572	348	21 006	1 145	69 082	LAKEWOOD
143	2 594	8 498	30.5	(X)	30.9	(X)	31.4	9.3	31 077	658	21 178	2 156	69 378	PARMA
200	5 758	19 893	29.0	2.7	28.8	3.3	29.7	17.3	39 002	660	16 919	2 274	58 297	GEAUGA COUNTY
396	9 931	38 869	25.5	6.1	37.3	6.1	32.0	30.6	88 281	1 513	17 133	5 920	67 063	LAKE COUNTY
272	5 748	19 184	30.0	1.9	30.2	2.4	30.9	16.1	52 498	828	15 765	2 762	52 618	MEDINA COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
NORTH CAROLINA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
FAYETTEVILLE SMSA	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
CUMBERLAND COUNTY	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
FAYETTEVILLE	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
GREENSBORO-WINSTON SALEM-HIGH POINT SMSA:														
DAVIDSON COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HIGH POINT (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
FORSYTH COUNTY	450	14 434	23 902	60.4	7.8	61.3	9.8	61.0	24.1	65 924	2 061 569	31 279	3 414	51 780
WINSTON-SALEM	225	8 679	13 883	62.5	(X)	64.1	(X)	60.8	22.0	34 932	1 031 316	29 524	1 650	47 224
GUILFORD COUNTY	594	30 806	37 046	83.2	3.9	81.6	4.1	82.1	15.3	69 872	3 046 698	43 604	3 664	52 437
GREENSBORO	275	15 183	18 374	82.6	(X)	79.2	(X)	82.0	14.1	36 711	1 685 424	45 911	2 040	55 560
HIGH POINT (PART)	154	5 258	6 866	76.6	(X)	81.8	(X)	84.0	19.7	17 636	570 298	32 337	745	42 227
RANDOLPH COUNTY	102	1 000	2 070	48.3	10.5	52.1	13.6	49.3	29.8	22 816	521 928	22 874	1 081	47 385
HIGH POINT (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
STOKES COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
RALEIGH-DURHAM SMSA	1 353	44 149	83 971	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	125 716	4 246 134	33 776	8 076	64 241
DURHAM COUNTY	300	8 594	15 457	55.6	6.7	57.8	8.4	56.3	25.0	36 432	952 050	26 132	1 712	46 998
DURHAM	180	4 307	8 068	53.4	(X)	54.4	(X)	52.5	22.4	22 848	526 575	23 047	986	43 173
ORANGE COUNTY	117	5 571	6 261	89.0	4.0	88.1	3.7	91.4	5.7	18 530	1 074 806	58 004	1 208	65 192
WAKE COUNTY	936	29 984	62 253	48.2	2.7	46.6	3.5	47.8	17.7	70 754	2 227 496	31 482	4 625	65 367
RALEIGH	480	12 419	28 275	43.9	(X)	42.9	(X)	43.6	18.9	30 592	1 049 116	34 294	2 389	78 084
WILMINGTON SMSA	720	18 219	31 175	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	42 114	1 162 819	27 611	1 990	47 246
BRUNSWICK COUNTY[23]	255	6 784	11 025	61.5	10.1	58.7	9.9	59.5	24.5	12 930	417 390	32 281	678	52 451
NEW HANOVER COUNTY	465	11 435	20 150	56.8	8.1	59.5	6.9	57.6	24.2	29 184	728 128	24 950	1 283	43 964
WILMINGTON	135	2 448	3 743	65.4	(X)	66.1	(X)	57.5	32.2	11 296	185 251	16 400	283	25 078
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CURRITUCK COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
CABARRUS COUNTY	105	1 770	3 801	46.6	5.0	53.0	13.1	48.6	21.9	20 576	401 906	19 537	863	41 940
CATAWBA COUNTY	135	3 642	6 480	56.2	11.8	60.7	9.6	66.1	16.6	30 944	778 923	25 172	1 386	44 783
IREDELL COUNTY	150	2 238	4 747	47.2	10.9	59.9	18.2	48.2	42.3	27 456	556 326	20 269	1 180	42 963
NASH COUNTY[25]	110	3 121	6 624	47.1	5.7	43.4	9.1	48.7	22.8	14 833	289 754	19 534	615	41 461
ONSLOW COUNTY	405	10 609	16 761	63.3	2.9	62.2	3.9	63.8	11.6	15 088	419 989	27 834	664	43 979
ROBESON COUNTY[25]	60	833	2 097	39.7	24.8	60.3	28.3	57.5	38.0	19 065	377 588	19 805	951	49 867
ROCKINGHAM COUNTY	110	1 694	3 034	55.8	9.6	56.6	11.6	54.7	26.6	21 275	444 983	20 916	797	37 468
ROWAN COUNTY	195	3 726	7 707	48.4	7.2	58.2	14.6	50.8	35.7	26 368	469 278	17 797	971	36 808
SURRY COUNTY	60	615	1 260	48.8	9.6	52.3	13.0	50.4	29.9	14 371	258 803	18 009	530	36 909
WAYNE COUNTY	225	5 724	9 085	63.0	3.2	61.8	7.6	62.8	16.1	18 767	433 003	23 073	687	36 617
NORTH DAKOTA														
SMSA PORTION	490	1 827	26 995	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	44 097	99 126	2 248	1 465	33 214
BISMARCK SMSA	176	798	10 244	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	18 593	44 049	2 369	565	30 413
BURLEIGH COUNTY	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
MORTON COUNTY	44	88	1 973	4.5	0.2	4.4	0.3	4.4	8.0	7 552	14 570	1 929	327	43 257
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	154	417	9 872	4.2	0.2	4.7	0.4	4.1	26.4	12 896	35 503	2 753	839	65 077
CASS COUNTY	154	417	9 872	4.2	0.2	4.7	0.4	4.1	26.4	12 896	35 503	2 753	839	65 077
FARGO	114	323	7 614	4.2	(X)	4.9	(X)	4.2	27.6	12 768	35 957	2 814	846	66 269
GRAND FORKS, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
GRAND FORKS COUNTY	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
WARD COUNTY	120	295	5 731	5.1	1.8	7.6	5.5	4.1	97.0	10 688	22 371	2 093	435	40 695
OHIO														
SMSA PORTION	10 386	175 081	593 891	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2202 869	32142 414	14 591	109 030	49 495
AKRON SMSA	748	9 892	31 989	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	198 080	2635 839	13 307	8 524	43 032
PORTAGE COUNTY	136	1 836	5 679	32.3	3.9	31.6	5.8	32.4	27.6	34 528	475 198	13 763	1 471	42 604
SUMMIT COUNTY[3]	612	8 056	26 310	30.6	1.5	31.3	2.3	30.5	19.1	163 552	2159 341	13 203	7 051	43 112
AKRON	300	2 473	8 068	30.7	(X)	30.9	(X)	30.5	23.6	72 416	646 027	8 921	2 108	29 103
CANTON SMSA:														
STARK COUNTY	370	5 775	18 402	31.4	2.5	35.5	4.0	32.5	26.5	104 321	1 244 689	11 931	3 967	38 025
CANTON	90	1 077	2 960	36.4	(X)	41.9	(X)	41.3	22.9	22 464	218 500	9 727	601	26 748
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*	370	6 324	21 870	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	241 668	4 190 000	17 338	14 490	59 959
CLERMONT COUNTY	170	2 729	8 986	30.4	1.6	32.4	3.9	31.5	16.6	29 440	524 446	17 814	1 727	58 666
HAMILTON COUNTY	56	1 225	4 252	28.8	3.5	31.4	6.4	29.5	21.9	186 116	3 276 325	17 604	11 364	61 059
CINCINNATI	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WARREN COUNTY	144	2 370	8 632	27.5	2.7	27.3	2.2	27.9	11.7	26 112	391 557	14 995	1 426	54 608
CLEVELAND SMSA	2 848	56 869	195 407	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	473 156	7 937 769	16 776	27 275	57 645
CUYAHOGA COUNTY	2 178	41 112	143 948	28.6	0.8	30.9	3.1	29.7	19.1	367 476	5 855 818	15 935	20 495	55 773
CLEVELAND	495	4 583	15 761	29.1	(X)	36.6	(X)	29.6	41.9	125 377	1 078 023	8 598	3 708	29 577
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	143	2 601	10 202	25.5	(X)	26.6	(X)	26.6	10.8	15 008	275 975	18 389	1 082	72 106
EUCLID	77	1 113	3 627	30.7	(X)	31.8	(X)	30.3	14.0	15 840	257 266	16 240	838	52 893
LAKEWOOD	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PARMA	143	2 594	8 498	30.5	(X)	30.9	(X)	31.4	9.3	27 904	505 288	18 108	1 655	59 321
GEAUGA COUNTY	150	4 860	16 718	29.2	2.2	27.6	2.2	30.0	10.9	18 608	447 697	24 059	1 535	82 494
LAKE COUNTY	288	5 493	17 161	32.0	2.0	32.9	2.7	32.2	14.5	59 168	1 113 045	18 812	3 477	58 769
MEDINA COUNTY	232	5 404	17 580	30.7	1.8	31.2	2.3	31.2	13.5	27 904	522 467	18 724	1 700	60 924

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														NORTH CAROLINA--CONTINUED
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAYETTEVILLE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CUMBERLAND COUNTY
														FAYETTEVILLE
														GREENSBORO-WINSTON SALEM-HIGH POINT SMSA:
100	559	742	75.3	17.3	89.8	14.3	97.6	13.7	11 328	35 331	3 119	47	4 143	DAVIDSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HIGH POINT (PART)
150	651	1 142	57.0	28.5	69.5	27.0	86.2	30.7	28 288	264 708	9 358	465	16 430	FORSYTH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WINSTON-SALEM
77	1 138	1 349	84.4	13.0	73.9	15.2	75.6	22.9	28 524	392 895	13 774	466	16 326	GUILFORD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREENSBORO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HIGH POINT (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RANDOLPH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HIGH POINT (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STOKES COUNTY
262	4 061	8 893	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	41 986	181 769	4 329	398	9 480	RALEIGH-DURHAM SMSA
90	2 170	5 458	39.7	3.7	61.2	30.7	61.1	58.8	16 864	66 704	3 955	168	9 953	DURHAM COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DURHAM
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ORANGE COUNTY
120	943	1 978	47.7	14.6	47.7	15.2	43.2	28.6	21 442	98 948	4 615	208	9 678	WAKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RALEIGH
810	4 182	6 520	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	48 369	206 128	4 262	321	6 644	WILMINGTON SMSA
510	2 091	3 417	61.2	12.1	75.2	14.1	74.2	39.9	32 945	139 642	4 239	228	6 929	BRUNSWICK COUNTY[25]
300	2 091	3 103	67.4	16.7	66.5	14.0	61.3	40.7	15 424	80 143	5 196	119	7 708	NEW HANOVER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILMINGTON
														NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CURRITUCK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CABARRUS COUNTY
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	CATAWBA COUNTY
75	199	308	64.9	10.5	62.1	14.2	59.4	21.0	5 120	13 053	2 549	20	3 930	IREDELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NASH COUNTY[25]
120	652	1 121	58.2	10.6	57.9	13.1	67.6	17.7	8 544	55 236	6 465	95	11 110	ONSLOW COUNTY
60	367	1 552	23.6	5.6	42.5	16.8	36.7	41.2	7 002	16 192	2 312	69	9 803	ROBESON COUNTY[25]
90	418	702	59.5	7.4	71.6	20.2	66.7	32.1	8 715	19 104	2 192	32	3 687	ROCKINGHAM COUNTY
90	544	1 392	39.0	9.7	44.0	18.7	34.1	36.6	7 872	17 186	2 183	44	5 591	ROWAN COUNTY
60	71	271	26.1	9.1	33.5	11.1	30.5	38.2	5 551	15 001	2 702	57	10 349	SURRY COUNTY
120	479	671	71.5	6.7	80.1	16.6	70.7	19.9	7 952	24 155	3 038	34	4 246	WAYNE COUNTY
														NORTH DAKOTA
104	159	2 616	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	17 216	4 827	280	79	4 613	SMSA PORTION
68	139	2 267	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	9 152	2 671	292	44	4 760	BISMARCK SMSA
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	BURLEIGH COUNTY
20	12	233	5.2	1.0	9.2	3.6	9.5	38.5	2 304	388	168	8	3 270	MORTON COUNTY
														FARGO-MOORHEAD, N. DAK.-MINN. SMSA*
28	12	297	3.9	1.3	4.1	1.2	3.7	68.0	6 528	1 701	261	44	6 732	CASS COUNTY
28	12	297	3.9	1.3	4.1	1.2	3.7	68.0	6 528	1 701	261	44	6 732	FARGO
10	9	151	5.7	(X)	6.3	(X)	6.1	50.0	2 304	1 057	459	18	8 017	
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRND FRKS, N.DAK.-MINN. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND FORKS COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
44	15	237	6.3	3.5	8.2	3.9	4.5	(3)	5 952	2 500	420	39	6 622	WARD COUNTY
														OHIO
1 382	8 805	34 643	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	750 793	1 528 538	2 036	6 014	8 010	SMSA PORTION
140	340	2 940	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	82 561	116 089	1 406	1 004	12 159	AKRON SMSA
56	154	674	22.7	6.7	27.1	6.1	28.1	26.7	20 352	28 204	1 386	124	6 100	PORTAGE COUNTY
84	186	2 266	8.2	10.5	17.3	11.0	15.0	90.0	62 209	88 376	1 421	1 080	17 360	SUMMIT COUNTY[9]
60	133	1 824	7.3	(X)	15.1	(X)	15.0	74.5	25 984	28 533	1 098	393	15 119	AKRON
														CANTON SMSA:
120	531	1 869	28.4	4.2	31.1	4.9	33.1	20.8	41 120	99 287	2 415	350	8 502	STARK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CANTON
160	551	3 013	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	49 399	125 456	2 540	686	13 887	CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLERMONT COUNTY
70	208	1 277	16.4	4.0	18.8	7.0	16.5	52.1	35 351	94 262	2 666	574	16 232	HAMILTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CINCINNATI
60	300	1 409	21.3	11.8	23.5	11.9	23.5	48.7	7 680	8 860	1 154	42	5 415	WARREN COUNTY
198	5 183	16 425	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	86 874	452 361	5 207	1 434	16 501	CLEVELAND SMSA
88	4 573	14 515	31.5	2.7	49.7	42.9	32.7	96.5	37 146	310 510	8 359	986	26 533	CUYAHOGA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLEVELAND
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EUCLID
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAKEWOOD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PARMA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GEAUGA COUNTY
72	342	1 028	33.2	7.4	53.7	23.9	38.0	63.0	23 232	56 032	2 412	169	7 266	LAKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MEDINA COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
					Nonfarm residential property				
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Total	Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
OHIO--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
COLUMBUS SMSA:										
FAIRFIELD COUNTY	93 678	48 521	554 050	100.0	64.4	63.3	16.7	3.4	15.5	-
COLUMBUS (PART)	45	(NA)	515	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FRANKLIN COUNTY	869 109	275 666	5 894 989	100.0	68.4	58.0	4.0	1.2	26.5	(Z)
COLUMBUS (PART)	564 826	167 937	3 434 618	100.0	63.1	49.3	2.9	1.1	32.8	(Z)
DAYTON SMSA	830 070	310 218	4 796 074	100.0	70.1	63.7	10.0	2.2	17.6	0.1
GREENE COUNTY	129 769	46 219	771 680	100.0	68.3	64.7	19.8	2.7	9.1	-
MIAMI COUNTY	90 381	36 538	528 521	100.0	72.2	70.8	15.0	0.8	12.0	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY[3]	571 697	208 336	3 234 819	100.0	71.7	63.5	4.8	2.2	21.2	0.1
DAYTON	199 538	70 552	726 428	100.0	63.9	51.6	0.7	1.4	34.0	-
KETTERING	61 186	21 430	455 349	100.0	83.3	75.4	-	1.3	15.3	-
PREBLE COUNTY	38 223	19 125	261 054	100.0	46.0	45.6	43.3	3.7	7.0	-
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN SMSA	258 787	98 366	1 635 118	100.0	76.7	71.9	6.0	2.0	15.3	-
BUTLER COUNTY	258 787	98 366	1 635 118	100.0	76.7	71.9	6.0	2.0	15.3	-
HAMILTON	63 189	25 877	323 382	100.0	75.0	67.7	0.6	2.8	21.6	-
LIMA SMSA:										
ALLEN COUNTY	112 241	53 916	584 324	100.0	63.9	57.6	15.5	2.3	18.4	-
LIMA	47 381	22 584	169 438	100.0	82.0	70.9	0.3	3.5	14.2	-
VAN WERT COUNTY	30 458	14 522	204 339	100.0	44.7	42.1	43.0	0.7	11.6	-
LORAIN-ELYRIA SMSA	274 909	118 032	1 517 961	100.0	78.1	71.2	5.2	1.8	14.6	0.4
LORAIN COUNTY	274 909	118 032	1 517 961	100.0	78.1	71.2	5.2	1.8	14.6	0.4
ELYRIA	57 504	18 532	306 316	100.0	75.9	64.6	-	1.4	22.6	-
LORAIN	75 416	26 477	377 097	100.0	80.2	67.3	1.4	1.1	17.3	-
MANSFIELD SMSA	131 205	63 649	824 541	100.0	56.8	51.5	17.9	3.5	21.6	0.1
RICHLAND COUNTY	131 205	63 649	824 541	100.0	56.8	51.5	17.9	3.5	21.6	0.1
MANSFIELD	53 927	22 268	308 753	100.0	66.0	55.5	2.2	1.7	30.0	0.1
SPRINGFIELD SMSA:										
CLARK COUNTY	150 236	59 392	704 728	100.0	72.1	67.1	11.5	1.2	15.2	-
SPRINGFIELD	72 563	24 769	270 928	100.0	74.6	63.3	-	2.4	23.0	-
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA. SMSA[6]	91 564	52 521	313 906	100.0	68.6	65.5	6.6	4.5	18.3	2.0
JEFFERSON COUNTY	91 564	52 521	313 906	100.0	68.6	65.5	6.6	4.5	18.3	2.0
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH. SMSA:[6]										
LUCAS COUNTY	471 741	187 630	2 508 332	100.0	67.6	57.6	4.0	3.8	24.6	-
TOLEDO	354 635	128 103	1 696 903	100.0	68.3	56.3	0.3	2.6	28.8	-
WOOD COUNTY	107 372	51 594	792 325	100.0	51.0	46.9	30.9	3.7	14.3	-
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN SMSA	531 350	277 718	3 068 610	100.0	70.1	64.5	6.7	4.4	18.8	-
MAHONING COUNTY	289 487	147 198	1 552 953	100.0	74.0	67.1	4.8	4.5	16.7	-
YOUNGSTOWN (PART)	115 427	60 866	429 056	100.0	79.4	71.3	-	3.5	17.1	-
TRUMBULL COUNTY	241 863	130 520	1 515 657	100.0	66.3	61.8	8.5	4.2	21.0	-
WARREN	56 629	22 877	290 447	100.0	71.8	67.9	-	3.3	25.0	-
YOUNGSTOWN (PART)	9	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA[6]	63 849	43 553	235 314	100.0	65.8	65.1	17.0	5.5	11.5	0.3
LAWRENCE COUNTY	63 849	43 553	235 314	100.0	65.8	65.1	17.0	5.5	11.5	0.3
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA[6]	64 266	47 612	339 043	100.0	51.4	48.1	22.9	6.1	17.9	1.7
WASHINGTON COUNTY	64 266	47 612	339 043	100.0	51.4	48.1	22.9	6.1	17.9	1.7
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
ASHTABULA COUNTY	104 215	67 548	583 295	100.0	60.4	58.5	17.3	4.8	17.2	0.3
COLUMBIANA COUNTY	113 572	65 434	518 963	100.0	61.0	58.4	20.6	4.4	11.7	2.2
LICKING COUNTY	120 981	58 990	790 114	100.0	66.0	62.2	15.7	2.3	16.0	-
MUSKINGUM COUNTY	83 340	50 987	327 360	100.0	62.7	58.2	13.2	5.0	17.2	1.9
TUSCARAWAS COUNTY	84 614	48 369	454 998	100.0	54.7	50.1	21.9	3.6	19.3	0.6
WAYNE COUNTY	97 408	43 447	616 513	100.0	54.3	50.6	26.9	4.6	13.4	0.8
OKLAHOMA										
SMSA PORTION	1 707 619	738 150	3 046 658	100.0	64.6	58.9	5.3	5.8	24.1	0.3
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA[6]	71 447	46 210	54 358	100.0	52.3	51.2	29.9	2.1	15.6	0.1
LE FLORE COUNTY	40 698	23 091	31 056	100.0	55.6	55.0	31.0	1.3	12.0	0.1
SEQUOYAH COUNTY	30 749	23 119	23 302	100.0	47.7	46.0	28.4	3.3	20.6	-
LAWTON SMSA	112 456	38 789	107 108	100.0	74.5	69.4	11.6	1.0	13.0	-
COMANCHE COUNTY	112 456	38 789	107 108	100.0	74.5	69.4	11.6	1.0	13.0	-
LAWTON	80 054	26 803	83 236	100.0	85.9	80.7	-	1.0	13.1	-
OKLAHOMA CITY SMSA:										
CLEVELAND COUNTY	133 173	55 278	231 080	100.0	80.6	74.7	1.3	3.0	15.1	-
NORMAN	68 020	19 380	114 768	100.0	75.7	67.7	-	1.7	22.6	-
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	17 295	7 203	30 151	100.0	93.2	83.1	-	2.1	4.7	-
MCCLAIN COUNTY	20 291	13 237	29 725	100.0	42.1	41.3	47.3	2.0	8.5	-
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	123	947	165	100.0	86.3	86.3	4.3	2.7	6.7	-
OKLAHOMA COUNTY	568 933	221 717	993 550	100.0	60.7	54.8	4.5	2.0	32.4	0.4
MIDWEST CITY	49 559	19 753	63 148	100.0	73.4	64.8	5.3	2.0	18.5	0.9
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	377 003	145 986	701 906	100.0	56.1	49.7	2.0	2.0	39.4	0.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)			Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														OHIO--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
174	2 932	9 353	31.3	2.1	30.1	2.4	30.5	17.5	48 521	554	11 419	1 773	36 537	COLUMBUS SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFIELD COUNTY
2 100	40 892	137 450	29.8	1.4	30.1	1.0	30.4	14.1	275 666	5 895	21 385	19 805	71 845	COLUMBUS (PART)
1 185	22 659	77 882	29.1	(X)	30.1	(X)	30.7	16.6	167 937	3 435	20 452	11 806	70 298	FRANKLIN COUNTY
														COLUMBUS (PART)
1 314	21 468	73 379	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	310 218	4 796	15 460	16 393	52 844	DAYTON SMSA
40	572	2 041	27.2	2.5	22.1	2.7	29.2	4.4	46 219	772	16 696	2 834	61 324	GREENE COUNTY
240	3 169	12 550	25.3	6.4	25.4	5.1	25.1	30.8	36 538	529	14 465	2 092	57 261	MIAMI COUNTY
930	16 627	55 550	29.9	1.5	31.0	1.6	30.9	15.9	208 336	3 235	15 527	10 807	51 875	MONTGOMERY COUNTY*
300	4 345	15 364	28.3	(X)	29.9	(X)	30.8	15.9	70 552	726	10 296	2 569	36 415	DAYTON
90	1 246	4 182	29.8	(X)	30.3	(X)	30.2	10.1	21 430	455	21 248	1 528	71 296	KETTERING
104	1 100	3 238	34.0	3.7	39.5	6.8	32.7	38.9	19 125	261	13 650	769	40 205	PREBLE COUNTY
480	10 641	34 505	30.8	2.2	30.8	2.2	29.8	19.3	98 366	1 635	16 623	5 303	53 914	HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN SMSA
480	10 641	34 505	30.8	2.2	30.8	2.2	29.8	19.3	98 366	1 635	16 623	5 303	53 914	BUTLER COUNTY
100	1 230	3 911	31.4	(X)	29.9	(X)	27.6	22.8	25 877	323	12 497	1 029	39 780	HAMILTON
162	2 451	8 975	27.3	1.6	31.5	8.5	27.6	30.5	53 916	584	10 838	2 139	39 679	LIMA SMSA:
60	1 040	3 848	27.0	(X)	38.4	(X)	28.2	55.4	22 584	169	7 503	626	27 739	ALLEN COUNTY
62	571	1 890	30.2	1.4	34.0	3.6	30.1	23.9	14 522	204	14 071	676	46 584	LIMA
														VAN WERT COUNTY
312	4 945	16 146	30.6	3.9	31.4	3.9	30.3	28.2	118 032	1 518	12 861	4 958	42 005	LORAIN-ELYRIA SMSA
312	4 945	16 146	30.6	3.9	31.4	3.9	30.3	28.2	118 032	1 518	12 861	4 958	42 005	LORAIN COUNTY
66	1 128	3 038	37.1	(X)	36.7	(X)	30.5	26.8	18 532	306	16 529	825	44 514	ELYRIA
36	692	1 487	46.5	(X)	40.3	(X)	39.0	60.1	26 477	377	14 242	812	30 661	LORAIN
224	2 837	11 723	24.2	4.7	24.3	3.5	23.3	30.3	63 649	825	12 955	3 406	53 516	MANSFIELD SMSA
224	2 837	11 723	24.2	4.7	24.3	3.5	23.3	30.3	63 649	825	12 955	3 406	53 518	RICHLAND COUNTY
96	1 772	5 987	29.6	(X)	28.3	(X)	28.2	19.2	22 268	309	13 865	1 043	46 836	MANSFIELD
180	2 754	9 252	29.8	2.0	30.8	3.0	30.0	15.2	55 392	705	12 723	2 367	42 728	SPRINGFIELD SMSA:
72	999	3 055	32.7	(X)	35.4	(X)	33.9	13.0	24 769	271	10 938	828	33 444	CLARK COUNTY
														SPRINGFIELD
111	905	3 893	23.3	2.7	27.8	6.1	24.2	46.8	52 521	314	5 977	1 349	25 694	STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W. VA. SMSA*
111	905	3 893	23.3	2.7	27.8	6.1	24.2	46.8	52 521	314	5 977	1 349	25 694	JEFFERSON COUNTY
630	9 198	37 243	24.7	3.4	30.4	6.1	27.8	30.6	187 630	2 508	13 369	10 158	54 138	TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH. SMSA:*
430	6 031	24 346	24.8	(X)	32.9	(X)	28.8	35.5	128 103	1 697	13 246	6 850	53 475	LUCAS COUNTY
192	3 326	11 182	29.7	2.3	28.4	2.7	30.0	22.3	51 594	792	15 357	2 664	51 632	TOLEDO
														WOOD COUNTY
686	8 531	30 351	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	277 718	3 069	11 049	10 917	39 311	YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN SMSA
470	5 686	18 830	30.2	2.3	32.5	3.4	28.1	30.1	147 198	1 553	10 550	5 144	34 949	MAHONING COUNTY
220	2 197	6 508	33.7	(X)	35.3	(X)	30.9	30.8	60 866	429	7 049	1 272	20 895	YOUNGSTOWN (PART)
216	2 845	11 521	24.7	1.1	29.9	10.0	24.8	34.6	130 520	1 516	11 612	6 140	47 045	TRUMBULL COUNTY
48	420	1 768	23.8	(X)	24.2	(X)	23.7	13.2	22 877	290	12 696	1 222	53 400	WARREN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YOUNGSTOWN (PART)
128	641	2 657	24.1	10.2	26.3	7.5	27.7	47.3	43 553	235	5 403	977	22 433	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*
128	641	2 657	24.1	10.2	26.3	7.5	27.7	47.3	43 553	235	5 403	977	22 433	LAWRENCE COUNTY
225	2 410	9 218	26.1	3.5	25.3	3.1	26.7	33.5	47 612	339	7 121	1 297	27 245	PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*
225	2 410	9 218	26.1	3.5	25.3	3.1	26.7	33.5	47 612	339	7 121	1 297	27 245	WASHINGTON COUNTY
480	3 657	13 590	26.9	2.8	30.5	5.6	26.8	32.9	67 548	583	8 635	2 167	32 086	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
228	2 754	9 637	28.6	4.0	28.2	4.5	31.8	23.1	65 434	519	7 931	1 816	27 755	ASHTABULA COUNTY
225	2 907	10 336	28.1	2.3	28.5	3.0	27.2	30.6	58 990	790	13 394	2 809	47 620	COLUMBIANA COUNTY
132	1 001	3 917	25.6	2.0	24.8	4.3	24.2	31.9	50 987	327	6 420	1 280	25 107	LICKING COUNTY
176	1 852	6 779	27.3	5.3	28.8	4.9	30.7	29.2	48 369	455	9 407	1 666	34 450	MUSKINGUM COUNTY
176	2 690	9 364	28.7	3.1	29.5	3.9	30.7	24.5	43 447	617	14 190	2 146	49 396	TUSCARAWAS COUNTY
														WAYNE COUNTY
														OKLAHOMA
8 658	39 536	557 624	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	738 150	3 047	4 127	42 971	58 214	SMSA PORTION
244	352	5 132	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 210	54	1 176	793	17 150	FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*
152	225	3 407	6.6	2.5	13.5	5.6	6.0	(3)	23 091	31	1 345	468	20 259	LE FLORE COUNTY
92	127	1 725	7.4	3.3	7.8	1.8	7.8	52.0	23 119	23	1 008	317	13 708	SEQUOYAH COUNTY
560	1 907	25 987	7.3	0.5	7.6	0.7	7.8	19.9	38 789	107	2 761	1 459	37 614	LAWTON SMSA
560	1 907	25 987	7.3	0.5	7.6	0.7	7.8	19.9	38 789	107	2 761	1 459	37 614	COMANCHE COUNTY
496	1 635	22 571	7.2	(X)	7.6	(X)	7.8	20.5	26 803	83	3 105	1 149	42 866	LAWTON
576	2 407	59 934	4.0	3.4	6.9	1.9	7.0	52.0	55 278	231	4 180	5 755	104 116	OKLAHOMA CITY SMSA:
336	1 507	44 164	3.4	(X)	6.2	(X)	5.5	66.9	19 380	115	5 922	3 364	173 596	CLEVELAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORMAN
56	82	2 475	3.4	2.4	6.7	6.2	2.1	(3)	13 237	30	2 246	886	66 935	OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCCLAIN COUNTY
3 634	15 377	232 939	6.6	0.5	7.2	0.7	6.7	34.6	221 717	994	4 481	15 052	67 889	OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)
276	837	11 844	7.1	(X)	7.0	(X)	6.9	21.6	19 753	63	3 197	894	45 240	OKLAHOMA COUNTY
2 622	11 872	176 505	6.7	(X)	7.2	(X)	6.8	31.8	145 986	702	4 808	10 436	71 484	MIDWEST CITY
														OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
OHIO--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
COLUMBUS SMSA:														
FAIRFIELD COUNTY	150	2 617	8 200	31.9	2.2	31.9	2.6	30.7	17.9	28 032	350 452	12 502	1 098	39 174
COLUMBUS (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
FRANKLIN COUNTY	1 785	32 089	105 653	30.4	0.8	30.5	1.0	30.5	12.1	204 505	3 421 086	16 729	11 255	55 034
COLUMBUS (PART)	960	15 657	51 530	30.4	(X)	30.9	(X)	31.0	13.3	120 601	1 692 545	14 034	5 570	46 188
DAYTON SMSA	1 010	17 280	57 472	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	224 548	3 053 997	13 601	10 157	45 235
GREENE COUNTY	40	572	2 041	27.2	2.5	22.1	2.7	29.2	4.4	29 136	499 545	17 145	1 835	62 973
MIAMI COUNTY	180	2 399	7 991	30.0	7.4	28.0	5.8	28.1	25.8	27 280	374 320	13 721	1 247	45 698
MONTGOMERY COUNTY[9]	750	13 823	46 039	30.0	1.6	30.6	1.4	30.4	13.1	158 512	2 053 076	12 952	6 838	43 140
DAYTON	240	3 708	13 129	28.2	(X)	29.1	(X)	29.0	14.3	51 776	375 078	7 244	1 328	25 655
KETTERING	90	1 246	4 182	29.8	(X)	30.3	(X)	30.2	10.1	18 880	343 515	18 195	1 153	61 050
PREBLE COUNTY	40	486	1 401	34.7	2.6	32.2	5.2	33.5	21.2	9 620	119 096	12 380	343	35 693
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN SMSA	390	9 178	30 085	30.5	2.4	31.4	2.2	30.0	18.2	73 216	1 174 907	16 047	3 852	52 615
BUTLER COUNTY	390	9 178	30 085	30.5	2.4	31.4	2.2	30.0	18.2	73 216	1 174 907	16 047	3 852	52 615
HAMILTON	80	935	3 011	31.0	(X)	30.0	(X)	27.6	23.3	20 288	218 855	10 787	705	34 767
LIMA SMSA:														
ALLEN COUNTY	126	1 662	6 181	26.9	1.6	33.4	10.6	27.5	32.3	29 504	336 525	11 406	1 251	42 405
LIMA	36	446	1 652	27.1	(X)	47.1	(X)	27.1	82.7	13 504	120 203	8 901	444	32 902
VAN WERT COUNTY	52	515	1 713	30.0	1.6	34.4	4.3	29.5	27.5	7 840	86 034	10 974	286	36 520
LORAIN-ELYRIA SMSA	228	3 840	12 526	30.7	3.4	31.5	3.8	30.3	19.2	70 624	1 080 401	15 298	3 525	49 910
LORAIN COUNTY	228	3 840	12 526	30.7	3.4	31.5	3.8	30.3	19.2	70 624	1 080 401	15 298	3 525	49 910
ELYRIA	66	1 128	3 038	37.1	(X)	36.7	(X)	30.5	26.8	14 016	197 854	14 116	533	38 016
LORAIN	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MANSFIELD SMSA	168	1 869	8 129	23.0	2.4	24.2	3.6	22.9	25.2	33 921	424 661	12 519	1 847	54 445
RICHLAND COUNTY	168	1 869	8 129	23.0	2.4	24.2	3.6	22.9	25.2	33 921	424 661	12 519	1 847	54 445
MANSFIELD	72	1 049	4 033	26.0	(X)	26.3	(X)	24.6	18.6	15 040	171 442	11 399	659	43 813
SPRINGFIELD SMSA:														
CLARK COUNTY	144	2 215	7 457	29.7	2.4	30.3	3.4	30.1	15.5	37 648	473 011	12 564	1 592	42 281
SPRINGFIELD	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA. SMSA*	75	705	2 934	24.0	3.2	25.1	2.7	24.2	28.9	24 662	205 688	8 340	856	34 714
JEFFERSON COUNTY	75	705	2 934	24.0	3.2	25.1	2.7	24.2	28.9	24 662	205 688	8 340	856	34 714
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH. SMSA:*														
LUCAS COUNTY	500	7 180	26 671	26.9	1.3	32.0	7.5	28.1	30.2	119 096	1 443 632	12 122	5 363	45 032
TOLEDO	360	4 471	16 192	27.6	(X)	34.2	(X)	29.1	35.4	86 855	956 056	11 007	3 463	39 869
WOOD COUNTY	90	1 380	4 225	32.7	2.8	32.4	3.3	32.2	15.2	26 691	371 272	13 910	1 137	42 593
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN SMSA	530	6 513	24 230	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	138 448	1 978 724	14 293	7 361	53 171
MAHONING COUNTY	350	3 993	14 149	28.2	1.8	31.1	3.8	27.9	24.8	75 424	1 042 458	13 821	3 695	48 987
YOUNGSTOWN (PART)	140	1 095	3 802	28.8	(X)	31.1	(X)	27.4	24.1	31 232	306 087	9 800	1 063	34 043
TRUMBULL COUNTY	180	2 520	10 081	25.0	1.1	31.4	11.9	24.8	38.1	63 024	937 277	14 879	3 751	59 510
WARREN	42	370	1 576	23.5	(X)	24.0	(X)	22.5	13.6	15 648	197 138	12 598	839	53 643
YOUNGSTOWN (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*	56	531	1 782	29.7	12.1	31.6	10.6	29.9	40.0	15 465	153 077	9 898	515	33 293
LAWRENCE COUNTY	56	531	1 782	29.7	12.1	31.6	10.6	29.9	40.0	15 465	153 077	9 898	515	33 293
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	100	1 391	4 435	31.4	4.3	30.9	4.1	31.3	24.5	12 090	163 010	13 483	520	42 981
WASHINGTON COUNTY	100	1 391	4 435	31.4	4.3	30.9	4.1	31.3	24.5	12 090	163 010	13 483	520	42 981
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
ASHTABULA COUNTY	260	3 124	11 541	27.1	3.2	31.1	8.6	26.7	30.5	26 272	341 287	12 991	1 261	47 990
COLUMBIANA COUNTY	192	2 681	9 391	28.6	4.1	29.0	4.9	31.2	24.5	26 208	302 850	11 556	1 061	40 475
LICKING COUNTY	180	2 351	8 623	27.3	2.5	27.3	2.8	26.7	26.9	37 152	491 066	13 218	1 802	48 491
MUSKINGUM COUNTY	92	881	3 254	27.1	1.6	28.9	5.1	27.2	22.7	20 804	190 535	9 159	704	33 844
TUSCARAWAS COUNTY	112	1 477	5 551	26.6	6.1	29.0	4.3	30.5	19.4	20 360	227 952	11 196	857	42 075
WAYNE COUNTY	88	1 682	5 208	32.3	3.1	33.5	3.4	33.6	14.0	20 846	312 004	14 967	966	46 334
OKLAHOMA														
SMSA PORTION	6 353	31 159	398 954	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	459 062	1 793 324	3 906	22 961	50 018
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*	80	149	2 185	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	16 866	27 850	1 651	408	24 215
LE FLORE COUNTY	48	92	1 529	6.0	1.6	9.8	5.6	5.5	93.2	10 602	17 076	1 611	283	26 699
SEQUOYAH COUNTY	32	57	656	8.6	0.8	9.5	1.6	8.4	20.8	6 264	10 728	1 713	125	19 920
LAWTON SMSA	496	1 768	24 102	7.3	0.5	7.9	0.7	7.9	17.5	24 320	74 312	3 056	1 013	41 657
COMANCHE COUNTY	496	1 768	24 102	7.3	0.5	7.9	0.7	7.9	17.5	24 320	74 312	3 056	1 013	41 657
LAWTON	464	1 611	21 926	7.3	(X)	7.9	(X)	7.9	17.6	21 312	67 172	3 152	914	42 907
OKLAHOMA CITY SMSA:														
CLEVELAND COUNTY	360	1 932	20 624	9.4	1.5	9.5	1.9	8.5	30.3	38 305	172 693	4 508	1 844	48 134
NORMAN	168	1 077	11 472	9.4	(X)	9.9	(X)	7.7	40.9	16 609	77 681	4 677	828	49 841
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MCCLAIN COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
OKLAHOMA COUNTY	3 128	14 125	207 869	6.8	0.4	7.2	0.6	6.9	27.5	160 993	544 366	3 381	8 012	49 764
MIDWEST CITY	276	837	11 844	7.1	(X)	7.0	(X)	6.9	21.6	13 504	40 941	3 032	579	42 903
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)	2 346	10 704	160 519	6.7	(X)	7.1	(X)	6.9	25.6	107 968	349 181	3 234	5 236	48 500

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														OHIO--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														COLUMBUS SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFIELD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBUS (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRANKLIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBUS (PART)
85	511	1 534	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	39 172	106 101	2 709	319	8 131	DAYTON SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREENE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MIAMI COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTGOMERY COUNTY[9]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DAYTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KETTERING
28	60	213	28.3	8.6	60.0	17.8	61.7	35.9	5 040	9 786	1 942	35	6 854	PREBLE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUTLER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMILTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LIMA SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALLEN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LIMA
														VAN WERT COUNTY
48	177	860	20.5	10.9	22.5	9.4	30.0	40.5	28 416	27 649	973	135	4 751	LORAIN-ELYRIA SMSA
48	177	860	20.5	10.9	22.5	9.4	30.0	40.5	28 416	27 649	973	135	4 751	LORAIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELYRIA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LORAIN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANSFIELD SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHLAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANSFIELD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SPRINGFIELD SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLARK COUNTY
														SPRINGFIELD
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W. VA. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JEFFERSON COUNTY
50	292	1 318	22.1	7.2	29.3	9.2	28.8	28.6	36 565	95 049	2 599	430	11 753	TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LUCAS COUNTY
30	136	505	27.0	3.0	29.2	3.9	27.2	14.9	13 344	29 583	2 217	110	8 211	TOLEDO
														WOOD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MAHONING COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YOUNGSTOWN (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TRUMBULL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WARREN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YOUNGSTOWN (PART)
48	42	559	7.5	5.4	24.6	12.6	28.6	48.3	15 161	12 895	851	172	11 367	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*
48	42	559	7.5	5.4	24.6	12.6	28.6	48.3	15 161	12 895	851	172	11 367	LAWRENCE COUNTY
55	85	510	16.7	5.9	20.2	7.2	21.0	49.6	17 521	20 590	1 175	123	7 041	PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*
55	85	510	16.7	5.9	20.2	7.2	21.0	49.6	17 521	20 590	1 175	123	7 041	WASHINGTON COUNTY
100	132	408	32.4	15.2	35.5	11.2	38.2	25.5	27 456	27 818	1 013	86	3 132	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ASHTABULA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBIANA COUNTY
20	17	117	15.1	3.0	16.5	4.1	16.4	27.7	17 797	16 440	924	109	6 124	LICKING COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MUSKINGUM COUNTY
64	266	1 276	20.8	12.3	25.0	8.2	21.0	43.2	12 255	28 083	2 292	135	11 002	TUSCARAWAS COUNTY
														WAYNE COUNTY
														OKLAHOMA
891	1 055	16 392	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	146 770	177 239	1 208	2 754	18 763	SMSA PORTION
72	49	263	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	10 800	1 149	106	6	571	FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA. SMSA*
40	45	170	26.8	9.8	27.4	13.9	25.0	54.6	3 024	389	129	1	480	LE FLORE COUNTY
32	4	93	4.5	2.9	6.3	2.5	6.8	59.2	7 776	771	99	17	2 196	SEQUOYAH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAWTON SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COMANCHE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAWTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OKLAHOMA CITY SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLEVELAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORMAN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCCLAIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)
207	115	2 881	4.0	2.2	9.0	6.1	5.2	(3)	32 322	19 986	618	501	15 505	OKLAHOMA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MIDWEST CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OKLAHOMA CITY (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Nonfarm residential property: Total	Nonfarm residential property: Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
OKLAHOMA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
TULSA SMSA:										
CREEK COUNTY	59 210	36 200	70 629	100.0	76.2	73.9	8.7	0.9	14.2	-
OSAGE COUNTY	39 327	26 404	61 224	100.0	66.7	66.2	21.8	1.1	10.4	-
TULSA (PART)	5 475	1 864	10 929	100.0	96.3	96.3	-	1.7	2.0	-
ROGERS COUNTY	46 436	22 932	76 435	100.0	67.9	67.0	9.1	3.0	20.1	-
TULSA (PART)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TULSA COUNTY	470 593	180 727	1 138 806	100.0	63.8	56.4	0.3	11.8	23.7	0.3
TULSA (PART)	355 444	131 924	906 971	100.0	62.3	53.4	-	11.7	25.8	0.2
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
GARFIELD COUNTY	62 820	35 041	96 384	100.0	64.7	62.4	3.5	15.9	15.9	-
ENID	50 363	25 569	67 929	100.0	71.6	68.7	-	9.0	19.4	-
OREGON[26]										
SMSA PORTION	1 575 081	626 592	37 682 149	100.0	60.2	50.8	9.9	3.7	25.8	0.4
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD SMSA	275 226	113 164	6 185 472	100.0	56.8	52.4	12.5	4.6	23.5	2.6
LANE COUNTY	275 226	113 164	6 185 472	100.0	56.8	52.4	12.5	4.6	23.5	2.6
EUGENE	105 624	36 520	2 545 301	100.0	64.7	57.0	2.3	5.9	24.8	2.2
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*	1 049 960	408 434	26 593 600	100.0	61.2	50.3	8.3	3.5	27.0	-
CLACKAMAS COUNTY	241 919	104 290	6 343 010	100.0	58.5	54.9	23.1	2.4	16.0	-
PORTLAND (PART)	686	(NA)	22 917	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MULTNOMAH COUNTY	562 640	209 104	13 667 952	100.0	65.0	53.3	2.3	3.6	29.1	-
PORTLAND (PART)	365 051	191 053	9 296 864	100.0	64.1	52.7	1.8	3.6	30.5	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY	245 401	95 040	6 582 638	100.0	57.6	42.6	6.2	4.2	31.9	-
PORTLAND (PART)	646	(NA)	17 328	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SALEM SMSA	249 895	104 994	4 903 077	100.0	57.7	51.4	17.2	4.1	20.9	0.1
MARION COUNTY	204 692	81 469	4 024 727	100.0	62.0	55.3	11.6	4.0	22.3	0.1
SALEM (PART)	78 694	28 196	1 708 562	100.0	60.2	51.1	0.7	3.9	35.3	-
POLK COUNTY	45 203	23 525	878 350	100.0	41.8	37.0	37.8	4.4	15.9	-
SALEM (PART)	10 539	(10)	227 516	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BENTON COUNTY	68 211	24 834	1 298 303	100.0	66.2	58.3	11.5	3.2	19.2	-
DOUGLAS COUNTY	93 748	51 402	1 998 201	100.0	43.9	39.9	24.4	5.2	26.5	0.1
JACKSON COUNTY	132 456	62 472	2 649 316	100.0	61.6	58.5	8.8	8.0	21.6	-
LINN COUNTY	89 495	44 446	1 803 518	100.0	48.9	45.7	20.4	6.6	24.2	-
UMATILLA COUNTY	58 861	31 484	1 119 999	100.0	43.0	38.7	30.8	2.7	23.5	-
PENNSYLVANIA										
SMSA PORTION	9 478 665	3 310 987	25 593 359	100.0	65.9	59.1	3.1	1.8	27.2	2.0
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA*	37 876	21 938	98 469	100.0	78.4	75.1	14.0	2.3	5.4	-
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY	37 876	21 938	98 469	100.0	78.4	75.1	14.0	2.3	5.4	-
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*										
LEHIGH COUNTY	273 582	98 738	1 348 763	100.0	71.4	62.4	3.4	0.8	24.5	-
ALLENTOWN	103 758	32 593	410 466	100.0	69.0	52.7	1.0	0.5	29.4	-
BETHLEHEM (PART)	19 865	6 704	108 721	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	225 418	83 902	803 882	100.0	74.3	70.4	4.1	0.9	20.6	0.1
BETHLEHEM (PART)	50 554	14 701	184 897	100.0	61.8	54.3	1.0	1.2	36.0	-
ALTOONA SMSA	136 621	54 368	257 536	100.0	70.6	64.9	3.6	1.1	24.0	0.6
BLAIR COUNTY	136 621	54 368	257 536	100.0	70.6	64.9	3.6	1.1	24.0	0.6
ALTOONA	57 078	21 835	97 120	100.0	74.5	68.8	-	0.8	23.0	1.6
ERIE SMSA	279 780	102 362	631 134	100.0	54.2	50.0	4.0	0.9	40.2	0.6
ERIE COUNTY	279 780	102 362	631 134	100.0	54.2	50.0	4.0	0.9	40.2	0.6
ERIE	119 123	36 650	231 870	100.0	51.2	46.9	0.5	1.4	45.9	1.0
HARRISBURG SMSA	446 072	167 786	1 150 478	100.0	67.0	61.4	4.0	1.0	27.9	(Z)
CUMBERLAND COUNTY	178 037	62 006	487 306	100.0	71.5	66.3	4.5	0.8	23.0	0.1
DAUPHIN COUNTY	232 317	89 795	611 895	100.0	62.9	56.6	1.9	1.1	34.0	-
HARRISBURG	53 264	19 185	106 068	100.0	46.0	37.1	-	0.3	53.6	-
PERRY COUNTY	35 718	15 985	51 277	100.0	71.0	69.8	22.9	1.3	4.9	-
JOHNSTOWN SMSA:										
CAMBRIA COUNTY	183 263	77 616	441 516	100.0	67.5	65.4	3.4	2.9	20.0	6.2
LANCASTER SMSA	362 346	121 018	537 593	100.0	65.9	59.2	6.8	1.3	26.0	-
LANCASTER COUNTY	362 346	121 018	537 593	100.0	65.9	59.2	6.8	1.3	26.0	-
LANCASTER	54 725	16 516	64 580	100.0	48.2	45.1	-	0.7	51.0	-
NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA SMSA:										
LACKAWANNA COUNTY	227 908	81 249	356 039	100.0	67.1	55.5	0.5	6.3	26.1	-
SCRANTON	88 117	26 484	130 985	100.0	66.2	47.0	1.3	3.2	29.3	-
LUZERNE COUNTY	343 079	128 177	515 904	100.0	72.0	57.4	1.7	3.3	23.0	-
WILKES-BARRE	51 551	14 189	70 612	100.0	62.1	43.2	-	0.7	37.2	-
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA*	3 682 709	1 178 476	10 602 056	100.0	67.0	57.5	2.4	2.0	28.4	0.3
BUCKS COUNTY	479 211	150 556	1 135 154	100.0	70.1	61.2	3.9	4.4	21.3	0.3
BRISTOL TWP.	58 733	17 239	96 166	100.0	75.4	73.4	-	5.0	19.6	-
CHESTER COUNTY	316 660	107 958	1 011 729	100.0	61.2	58.3	15.1	4.1	18.5	1.1
DELAWARE COUNTY	555 007	170 625	726 155	100.0	77.4	68.8	0.5	0.8	21.3	-
HAVERFORD TWP.	52 349	16 994	68 927	100.0	82.2	81.0	-	2.1	15.7	-
UPPER DARBY TWP.	84 054	21 751	97 703	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	643 621	211 937	1 873 654	100.0	76.0	68.8	1.7	1.5	20.5	0.3
ABINGTON TWP.	59 084	19 085	163 793	100.0	82.2	78.6	3.0	0.6	14.2	-
LOWER MERION TWP.	59 651	19 442	272 705	100.0	79.0	73.6	-	2.2	18.2	0.7
PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]	1 688 210	537 400	5 855 364	100.0	63.2	51.5	-	1.3	35.3	0.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														OKLAHOMA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														TULSA SMSA:
341	1 102	11 233	9.8	1.2	8.6	1.5	9.0	39.1	36 200	71	1 951	720	19 880	CREEK COUNTY
144	297	5 905	5.0	3.1	12.6	10.8	7.7	(3)	26 404	61	2 319	1 218	46 125	OSAGE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TULSA (PART)
120	242	3 695	6.5	2.1	7.1	1.8	8.6	36.3	22 932	76	3 333	1 168	50 935	ROGERS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TULSA (PART)
2 384	16 388	191 363	8.6	0.8	9.5	0.8	8.2	36.4	180 727	1 139	6 301	13 298	73 582	TULSA COUNTY
1 824	13 434	157 595	8.5	(X)	8.7	(X)	7.7	29.5	131 924	907	6 875	10 641	80 659	TULSA (PART)
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
480	1 736	31 935	5.4	2.0	6.2	1.0	6.2	36.6	35 041	96	2 751	1 773	50 611	GARFIELD COUNTY
416	1 598	29 635	5.4	(X)	6.4	(X)	6.2	35.6	25 569	68	2 657	1 260	49 287	ENID
														OREGON[26]
4 731	237 114	346 894	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	626 592	37 682	60 138	55 128	87 981	SMSA PORTION
870	39 348	59 077	66.6	10.4	72.6	4.6	78.2	19.7	113 164	6 185	54 659	9 287	82 065	EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD SMSA
870	39 348	59 077	66.6	10.4	72.6	4.6	78.2	19.7	113 164	6 185	54 659	9 287	82 065	LANE COUNTY
310	19 274	24 918	77.4	(X)	78.2	(X)	80.3	13.1	36 520	2 545	69 696	3 290	90 100	EUGENE
2 898	162 638	225 847	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	408 434	26 594	65 111	36 929	90 416	PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*
490	29 176	42 659	68.4	10.5	76.5	6.5	79.2	18.6	104 290	6 343	60 821	9 274	88 925	CLACKAMAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTLAND (PART)
1 368	74 890	107 928	69.4	5.0	74.4	3.3	78.4	15.7	209 104	13 668	65 364	19 697	94 197	MULTNOMAH COUNTY
1 080	60 724	89 520	67.8	(X)	73.2	(X)	77.2	16.2	191 053	9 297	48 661	13 705	71 734	PORTLAND (PART)
1 040	58 572	75 260	77.8	4.6	77.5	5.4	80.9	16.2	95 040	6 583	69 262	8 458	88 994	WASHINGTON COUNTY
220	17 216	21 669	79.4	(X)	80.6	(X)	80.6	6.6	(NA)	17	(NA)	2	(NA)	PORTLAND (PART)
963	35 128	61 970	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	104 994	4 903	46 699	8 650	82 382	SALEM SMSA
611	24 115	44 309	54.4	33.5	78.6	5.0	81.6	13.9	81 469	4 025	49 402	7 395	90 770	MARION COUNTY
273	10 731	13 423	79.9	(X)	81.6	(X)	81.7	13.9	28 196	1 709	60 596	2 137	75 796	SALEM (PART)
352	11 013	17 661	62.4	13.0	69.2	9.6	72.4	20.8	23 525	878	37 337	1 408	59 870	POLK COUNTY
144	4 572	6 050	75.6	(X)	77.8	(X)	78.4	13.3	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	SALEM (PART)
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
230	13 696	23 861	57.4	14.3	67.0	9.6	75.0	21.0	24 834	1 298	52 279	2 262	91 081	BENTON COUNTY
234	8 485	11 981	70.8	9.7	72.6	7.3	78.7	21.5	51 402	1 998	38 874	2 821	54 884	DOUGLAS COUNTY
360	16 734	27 886	60.0	10.5	67.4	7.5	71.8	21.5	62 472	2 649	42 408	4 415	70 665	JACKSON COUNTY
140	6 021	8 076	74.6	3.5	70.0	8.5	73.8	10.3	44 446	1 804	40 578	2 419	54 427	LINN COUNTY
160	5 251	7 834	67.0	11.6	62.3	13.1	77.8	25.0	31 484	1 120	35 574	1 671	53 075	UMATILLA COUNTY
														PENNSYLVANIA
18 416	141 776	916 251	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	3 310 987	25 593	7 730	165 401	49 955	SMSA PORTION
282	784	3 822	20.5	1.9	24.8	3.3	20.0	37.1	21 938	98	4 489	479	21 851	BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA*
282	784	3 822	20.5	1.9	24.8	3.3	20.0	37.1	21 938	98	4 489	479	21 851	SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
														ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*
660	6 807	31 422	21.7	5.2	24.4	2.7	23.7	28.5	98 738	1 349	13 660	6 225	63 046	LEHIGH COUNTY
255	2 886	15 747	18.3	(X)	22.8	(X)	21.0	32.3	32 593	410	12 594	2 240	68 734	ALLENTOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BETHLEHEM (PART)
430	3 553	19 756	18.0	1.9	18.5	2.2	17.6	28.8	83 902	804	9 581	4 470	53 273	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
70	679	3 150	21.6	(X)	21.8	(X)	20.0	40.2	14 701	185	12 577	858	58 348	BETHLEHEM (PART)
285	2 172	7 248	30.0	9.0	44.4	15.9	33.5	74.5	54 368	258	4 737	859	15 799	ALTOONA SMSA
285	2 172	7 248	30.0	9.0	44.4	15.9	33.5	74.5	54 368	258	4 737	859	15 799	BLAIR COUNTY
165	1 372	4 037	34.0	(X)	55.9	(X)	54.1	58.9	21 835	97	4 448	286	13 085	ALTOONA
424	3 086	21 557	14.3	2.0	13.3	2.0	13.6	38.0	102 362	631	6 166	4 411	43 088	ERIE SMSA
424	3 086	21 557	14.3	2.0	13.3	2.0	13.6	38.0	102 362	631	6 166	4 411	43 088	ERIE COUNTY
152	1 663	10 804	15.4	(X)	14.8	(X)	13.8	18.1	36 650	232	6 327	1 507	41 107	ERIE
1 074	7 685	54 485	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	167 786	1 150	6 857	8 157	48 613	HARRISBURG SMSA
456	4 635	37 700	12.3	1.6	10.5	1.7	11.8	37.7	62 006	487	7 859	3 963	63 914	CUMBERLAND COUNTY
516	2 163	13 923	15.5	3.8	20.0	6.9	13.9	64.5	89 795	612	6 814	3 940	43 874	DAUPHIN COUNTY
228	901	4 266	21.1	(X)	27.1	(X)	14.0	(3)	19 185	106	5 529	503	26 199	HARRISBURG
102	887	2 862	31.0	6.1	40.1	10.1	32.2	46.3	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	PERRY COUNTY
														JOHNSTOWN SMSA:
247	1 205	5 795	20.8	2.8	31.3	16.6	21.0	75.0	77 616	442	5 688	2 124	27 367	CAMBRIA COUNTY
768	2 606	45 092	5.8	0.9	6.7	1.9	5.8	55.8	121 018	538	4 442	9 310	76 931	LANCASTER SMSA
768	2 606	45 092	5.8	0.9	6.7	1.9	5.8	55.8	121 018	538	4 442	9 310	76 931	LANCASTER COUNTY
120	433	7 796	5.6	(X)	7.7	(X)	5.0	86.9	16 516	65	3 910	1 163	70 410	LANCASTER
														NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA SMSA:
372	1 336	8 149	16.4	3.1	19.5	4.5	14.3	59.4	81 249	356	4 382	2 172	26 730	LACKAWANNA COUNTY
72	280	1 758	15.9	(X)	19.3	(X)	13.6	53.6	26 484	131	4 946	823	31 084	SCRANTON
290	1 233	8 797	14.0	4.3	28.0	17.2	10.1	(3)	128 177	516	4 025	3 684	28 739	LUZERNE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILKES-BARRE
7 189	61 482	446 677	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 178 476	10 602	8 996	77 026	65 360	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA*
1 121	9 167	96 366	9.5	2.3	12.7	3.5	10.0	51.1	150 556	1 135	7 540	11 934	79 265	BUCKS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRISTOL TWP.
1 176	9 537	76 928	12.4	1.0	12.7	0.9	12.8	23.1	107 958	1 012	9 372	8 161	75 591	CHESTER COUNTY
1 020	4 525	64 853	7.0	2.0	7.1	1.4	6.0	36.2	170 625	726	4 256	10 406	60 986	DELAWARE COUNTY
96	348	6 860	5.1	(X)	5.1	(X)	4.9	14.0	16 994	69	4 056	1 359	79 957	HAVERFORD TWP.
144	575	7 209	8.0	(X)	7.2	(X)	6.1	25.6	21 751	98	4 492	1 225	56 339	UPPER DARBY TWP.
1 452	11 839	106 062	11.2	1.0	11.7	1.3	10.5	27.6	211 937	1 874	8 841	16 785	79 199	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
108	1 646	11 345	14.5	(X)	19.1	(X)	11.5	77.6	19 085	164	8 582	1 129	59 138	ABINGTON TWP.
168	2 598	23 658	11.0	(X)	11.4	(X)	11.7	17.5	19 442	273	14 027	2 484	127 789	LOWER MERION TWP.
2 420	26 414	102 468	25.8	3.2	34.4	4.9	26.2	59.1	537 400	5 855	10 896	22 715	42 268	PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
OKLAHOMA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
TULSA SMSA:														
CREEK COUNTY	242	1 069	10 320	10.4	1.1	10.0	1.3	10.0	24.7	16 146	52 227	3 235	504	31 224
OSAGE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TULSA (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ROGERS COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TULSA (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TULSA COUNTY	1 712	10 799	119 291	9.1	0.8	9.9	1.0	8.6	34.3	131 584	642 053	4 879	7 093	53 901
TULSA (PART)	1 200	7 957	90 467	8.8	(X)	8.8	(X)	8.0	25.3	100 032	483 940	4 838	5 502	55 007
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
GARFIELD COUNTY	352	1 429	20 961	6.8	0.7	6.4	0.8	6.7	23.0	19 808	60 121	3 035	882	44 544
ENID	320	1 322	19 689	6.7	(X)	6.3	(X)	6.2	25.1	17 312	46 641	2 694	695	40 144
OREGON[26]														
SMSA PORTION	3 293	178 596	226 908	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	399 176	19 128 259	47 919	24 303	60 882
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD SMSA	500	24 930	32 308	77.2	4.1	78.0	4.1	79.3	14.0	61 973	3 241 997	52 317	4 202	67 796
LANE COUNTY	500	24 930	32 308	77.2	4.1	78.0	4.1	79.3	14.0	61 973	3 241 997	52 317	4 202	67 796
EUGENE	240	12 605	16 145	78.1	(X)	79.2	(X)	79.3	11.9	24 947	1 450 851	58 157	1 858	74 487
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*	2 146	125 746	159 142	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	278 915	13 384 773	47 980	16 940	60 734
CLACKAMAS COUNTY	294	21 325	26 408	80.8	3.5	82.3	3.8	80.7	9.7	59 861	3 481 247	58 154	4 311	72 016
PORTLAND (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MULTNOMAH COUNTY	1 032	52 467	68 164	77.0	2.9	77.3	3.0	79.2	11.5	155 006	7 280 941	46 972	9 459	61 024
PORTLAND (PART)	804	40 352	52 648	76.6	(X)	76.9	(X)	78.4	11.2	142 231	4 895 056	34 416	6 387	44 903
WASHINGTON COUNTY	820	51 954	64 570	80.5	3.3	80.4	4.3	81.0	10.7	64 048	2 804 434	43 786	3 485	54 418
PORTLAND (PART)	200	16 286	20 569	79.2	(X)	80.2	(X)	79.6	6.9	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SALEM SMSA	647	27 920	35 458	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	58 288	2 519 413	43 224	3 200	54 893
MARION COUNTY	455	20 488	25 649	79.9	4.0	80.4	4.2	81.6	11.1	49 520	2 225 410	44 940	2 786	56 264
SALEM (PART)	195	9 449	11 806	80.0	(X)	80.4	(X)	81.7	13.8	19 008	872 748	45 915	1 091	57 374
POLK COUNTY	192	7 432	9 809	75.8	5.1	77.7	7.1	76.8	11.7	8 768	325 350	37 107	429	48 972
SALEM (PART)	96	4 040	5 396	74.9	(X)	75.3	(X)	75.5	14.9	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BENTON COUNTY	160	8 285	10 918	75.9	3.1	75.5	2.8	76.0	5.9	14 778	757 446	51 256	998	67 539
DOUGLAS COUNTY	150	6 292	7 798	80.7	5.8	80.0	6.5	80.3	14.0	19 008	796 492	41 903	987	51 939
JACKSON COUNTY	228	10 698	14 987	71.4	5.6	70.8	6.8	75.5	13.5	34 752	1 548 949	44 572	2 170	62 442
LINN COUNTY	100	5 670	7 476	75.8	3.3	76.1	3.2	74.6	3.8	21 888	824 516	37 670	1 087	49 670
UMATILLA COUNTY	120	4 537	6 109	74.3	8.5	70.4	12.8	79.5	15.6	12 240	433 497	35 416	584	47 686
PENNSYLVANIA														
SMSA PORTION	12 193	95 509	629 466	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 423 254	15 132 641	6 245	99 734	41 157
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA*	72	304	1 575	19.3	2.8	23.5	5.0	19.4	33.3	12 096	73 990	6 117	383	31 622
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY	72	304	1 575	19.3	2.8	23.5	5.0	19.4	33.3	12 096	73 990	6 117	383	31 622
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*														
LEHIGH COUNTY	465	5 004	21 685	23.1	2.5	24.1	2.9	21.6	29.0	74 464	841 054	11 295	3 645	48 945
ALLENTOWN	210	1 977	9 774	20.2	(X)	21.4	(X)	20.7	17.7	24 704	216 368	8 758	1 070	43 313
BETHLEHEM (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	290	2 169	12 737	17.0	1.5	17.3	2.0	17.5	23.5	63 104	565 913	8 968	3 323	52 660
BETHLEHEM (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ALTOONA SMSA	210	1 480	5 297	28.0	8.0	42.4	20.1	31.3	77.2	40 384	167 043	4 134	597	14 793
BLAIR COUNTY	210	1 480	5 297	28.0	8.0	42.4	20.1	31.3	77.2	40 384	167 043	4 134	597	14 793
ALTOONA	105	739	2 866	25.8	(X)	53.4	(X)	27.6	(3)	17 472	66 846	3 826	259	14 824
ERIE SMSA	248	1 474	9 537	15.4	2.0	16.0	2.5	13.9	29.9	76 097	315 611	4 147	2 044	26 861
ERIE COUNTY	248	1 474	9 537	15.4	2.0	16.0	2.5	13.9	29.9	76 097	315 611	4 147	2 044	26 861
ERIE	96	486	3 280	14.8	(X)	15.6	(X)	13.8	16.9	28 480	108 701	3 817	734	25 769
HARRISBURG SMSA	516	3 073	20 389	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	124 288	706 712	5 686	4 689	37 727
CUMBERLAND COUNTY	240	1 440	11 314	12.7	1.0	12.2	1.4	12.6	19.2	47 808	323 017	6 757	2 537	53 062
DAUPHIN COUNTY	228	1 074	7 576	14.2	1.4	25.9	14.8	13.8	(3)	65 920	346 283	5 253	2 442	37 046
HARRISBURG	84	139	760	18.3	(X)	47.6	(X)	24.6	(3)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
PERRY COUNTY	48	559	1 499	37.3	8.2	52.9	17.3	43.1	47.9	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
JOHNSTOWN SMSA:														
CAMBRIA COUNTY	143	999	4 877	20.5	3.0	36.2	27.4	20.6	93.4	50 832	288 587	5 677	1 409	27 722
LANCASTER SMSA	384	1 490	23 215	6.4	0.8	6.6	0.7	7.0	21.9	84 352	318 473	3 776	4 966	58 867
LANCASTER COUNTY	384	1 490	23 215	6.4	0.8	6.6	0.7	7.0	21.9	84 352	318 473	3 776	4 966	58 867
LANCASTER	72	185	4 545	4.1	(X)	4.9	(X)	4.9	34.2	14 400	29 108	2 021	716	49 720
NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA SMSA:														
LACKAWANNA COUNTY	216	827	6 206	13.3	2.7	13.0	2.0	12.5	25.7	60 660	197 593	3 257	1 483	24 450
SCRANTON	60	277	1 752	15.8	(X)	14.7	(X)	12.8	22.5	18 880	61 599	3 263	389	20 621
LUZERNE COUNTY	130	755	6 380	11.8	2.8	13.3	4.3	9.8	43.6	79 920	296 217	3 706	2 504	31 329
WILKES-BARRE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA*	5 423	42 161	325 701	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	938 208	6 093 532	6 495	47 074	50 174
BUCKS COUNTY	779	5 204	54 626	9.5	0.7	10.5	1.5	10.1	20.9	113 264	694 693	6 133	7 292	64 381
BRISTOL TWP.	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CHESTER COUNTY	792	7 121	55 836	12.8	0.8	13.3	0.6	13.3	15.1	76 064	590 337	7 761	4 628	60 848
DELAWARE COUNTY	864	3 156	54 185	5.8	0.3	6.4	0.5	5.9	22.8	144 128	499 245	3 464	8 570	59 460
HAVERFORD TWP.	96	348	6 860	5.1	(X)	5.1	(X)	4.9	14.0	14 208	55 803	3 928	1 100	77 426
UPPER DARBY TWP.	132	371	5 798	6.4	(X)	6.5	(X)	6.0	15.3	19 008	68 200	3 588	1 066	56 102
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	1 128	10 396	92 612	11.2	1.1	11.8	1.5	10.6	23.2	170 016	1 288 951	7 581	11 483	67 541
ABINGTON TWP.	108	1 646	11 345	14.5	(X)	19.1	(X)	11.5	77.6	17 376	128 685	7 406	887	51 032
LOWER MERION TWP.	168	2 598	23 658	11.0	(X)	11.4	(X)	11.7	17.5	16 512	200 736	12 157	1 829	110 756
PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]	1 860	16 284	68 442	23.8	2.1	34.6	5.9	25.2	62.8	434 736	3 012 901	6 930	12 663	29 129

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														OKLAHOMA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														TULSA SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CREEK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OSAGE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TULSA (PART)
48	35	370	9.6	1.9	9.2	2.2	9.9	20.7	3 879	2 260	583	24	6 069	ROGERS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TULSA (PART)
272	715	9 920	7.2	1.8	8.5	2.4	6.9	46.6	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	TULSA COUNTY
272	715	9 920	7.2	(X)	8.5	(X)	6.9	46.6	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	TULSA (PART)
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARFIELD COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ENID
														OREGON[26]
709	16 548	25 504	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	78 593	1 412 359	17 971	2 177	27 696	SMSA PORTION
160	2 801	3 690	75.9	7.5	79.2	6.4	85.1	13.3	18 453	284 160	15 399	374	20 289	EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD SMSA
160	2 801	3 690	75.9	7.5	79.2	6.4	85.1	13.3	18 453	284 160	15 399	374	20 289	LANE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EUGENE
352	10 242	17 165	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	45 388	936 953	20 643	1 570	34 597	PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLACKAMAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTLAND (PART)
156	6 310	10 877	58.0	8.5	69.7	14.2	78.3	25.9	22 800	491 622	21 562	847	37 168	MULTNOMAH COUNTY
120	5 651	10 145	55.7	(X)	64.1	(X)	65.6	32.1	21 295	337 756	15 861	606	28 474	PORTLAND (PART)
180	3 176	5 320	59.7	17.7	75.3	20.1	64.3	37.8	12 424	278 341	22 403	466	37 525	WASHINGTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTLAND (PART)
197	3 505	4 649	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	14 752	200 610	13 599	266	18 037	SALEM SMSA
117	1 779	2 267	78.6	9.6	82.7	7.5	78.9	11.9	11 344	161 135	14 204	205	18 081	MARION COUNTY
78	1 282	1 617	79.4	(X)	84.6	(X)	81.3	14.2	4 576	66 224	14 472	83	18 237	SALEM (PART)
80	1 726	2 382	72.4	4.8	77.2	9.1	71.7	10.6	3 408	38 770	11 376	54	15 703	POLK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SALEM (PART)
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BENTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DOUGLAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JACKSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LINN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	UMATILLA COUNTY
														PENNSYLVANIA
1 629	4 895	28 329	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	385 891	456 686	1 183	2 643	6 849	SMSA PORTION
30	51	213	23.9	8.4	30.8	10.1	25.0	40.7	3 648	2 237	613	9	2 561	BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA. SMSA*
30	51	213	23.9	8.4	30.8	10.1	25.0	40.7	3 648	2 237	613	9	2 561	SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
														ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEHIGH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALLENTOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BETHLEHEM (PART)
70	252	1 279	19.7	5.8	18.7	6.1	17.0	34.3	7 872	7 107	903	36	4 581	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BETHLEHEM (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALTOONA SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BLAIR COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALTOONA
80	28	622	4.5	2.5	7.1	3.8	3.6	(3)	11 328	5 718	505	128	11 339	ERIE SMSA
80	28	622	4.5	2.5	7.1	3.8	3.6	(3)	11 328	5 718	505	128	11 339	ERIE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ERIE
222	249	2 345	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	21 632	11 687	540	110	5 088	HARRISBURG SMSA
96	96	1 427	6.7	3.0	6.8	3.3	5.4	50.6	6 272	4 051	646	61	9 650	CUMBERLAND COUNTY
108	99	784	12.6	4.5	17.4	6.6	14.0	42.6	14 208	7 031	495	56	3 918	DAUPHIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HARRISBURG
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PERRY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JOHNSTOWN SMSA:
														CAMBRIA COUNTY
96	193	1 576	12.2	12.4	12.8	12.6	5.0	(3)	18 432	6 864	372	56	3 058	LANCASTER SMSA
96	193	1 576	12.2	12.4	12.8	12.6	5.0	(3)	18 432	6 864	372	56	3 058	LANCASTER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LANCASTER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LACKAWANNA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCRANTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LUZERNE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILKES-BARRE
424	2 476	13 040	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	93 773	208 307	2 221	1 097	11 699	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA*
152	1 182	3 895	30.3	27.8	29.6	20.6	10.3	(3)	20 450	50 080	2 449	165	8 070	BUCKS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRISTOL TWP.
132	435	5 897	7.4	2.9	10.1	4.6	8.9	52.1	20 449	41 259	2 018	559	27 328	CHESTER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DELAWARE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAVERFORD TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	UPPER DARBY TWP.
84	99	1 250	7.9	2.5	9.2	2.6	10.0	28.3	18 882	27 458	1 454	349	18 476	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ABINGTON TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOWER MERION TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
PITTSBURGH SMSA	2 263 894	814 275	6 820 603	100.0	63.0	59.5	2.2	1.6	27.7	5.6
ALLEGHENY COUNTY	1 450 085	483 467	5 634 892	100.0	57.7	54.8	0.2	1.5	32.4	8.3
PENN HILLS TWP.	57 632	19 530	196 260	100.0	72.5	72.5	-	0.4	22.6	4.6
PITTSBURGH	423 938	130 463	1 461 881	100.0	45.8	40.3	-	1.6	47.9	4.7
BEAVER COUNTY	204 441	75 521	344 177	100.0	80.7	72.4	3.1	1.4	14.8	0.1
WASHINGTON COUNTY	217 074	94 058	335 146	100.0	67.9	65.7	7.6	2.4	17.6	4.4
WESTMORELAND COUNTY	392 294	161 229	506 388	100.0	71.8	67.5	5.8	1.6	20.4	0.5
READING SMSA	312 509	111 736	565 388	100.0	68.7	59.2	7.5	0.9	22.8	-
BERKS COUNTY	312 509	111 736	565 388	100.0	68.7	59.2	7.5	0.9	22.8	-
READING	78 686	26 819	108 135	100.0	58.9	40.9	0.3	0.4	40.4	-
WILLIAMSPORT SMSA	118 416	46 385	282 114	100.0	65.1	57.3	9.3	2.6	23.1	-
LYCOMING COUNTY	118 416	46 385	282 114	100.0	65.1	57.3	9.3	2.6	23.1	-
YORK SMSA	381 255	152 878	609 093	100.0	64.5	60.1	8.5	3.0	24.0	-
ADAMS COUNTY	68 292	32 160	158 744	100.0	56.1	55.0	15.6	5.6	22.7	-
YORK COUNTY	312 963	120 718	450 349	100.0	67.7	62.0	5.8	2.0	24.5	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BUTLER COUNTY	147 912	69 608	260 716	100.0	74.5	68.1	5.1	2.2	18.2	-
CENTRE COUNTY	112 760	35 840	165 343	100.0	73.1	62.3	5.5	0.3	17.7	3.4
COLUMBIA COUNTY	61 967	27 026	82 700	100.0	65.0	63.6	11.3	1.8	22.0	-
FAYETTE COUNTY	160 395	69 519	196 983	100.0	63.9	61.9	14.4	2.0	19.7	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY	113 629	46 504	238 841	100.0	73.8	68.4	13.8	1.1	11.2	-
LAWRENCE COUNTY	107 150	51 295	309 617	100.0	80.6	74.1	3.6	1.2	14.5	0.1
LEBANON COUNTY	109 829	37 535	330 329	100.0	70.5	67.3	8.2	2.3	18.9	0.1
MCKEAN COUNTY	50 635	28 433	112 549	100.0	67.1	63.5	12.0	1.2	17.9	1.8
MERCER COUNTY	128 299	50 354	274 860	100.0	69.5	67.1	2.7	1.7	25.7	0.4
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY	100 381	41 632	126 330	100.0	67.3	64.3	7.3	1.5	21.9	2.0
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY	160 630	76 959	419 688	100.0	68.3	62.8	1.8	2.3	22.8	4.8
RHODE ISLAND**										
NECMA PORTION	873 130	319 440	6 615 042	100.0	72.7	58.6	1.0	4.3	22.0	-
PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET NECMA:										
KENT COUNTY:										
WARWICK CITY	87 123	36 985	1 128 510	100.0	73.1	68.7	0.3	4.4	22.1	-
PROVIDENCE COUNTY:										
CRANSTON CITY	71 992	29 231	339 699	100.0	67.8	48.9	1.3	3.3	27.6	-
PAWTUCKET CITY	71 204	22 565	289 565	100.0	60.4	33.3	1.2	1.4	37.0	-
PROVIDENCE CITY	156 804	42 321	1 031 266	100.0	58.0	29.1	0.9	3.0	38.0	-
SOUTH CAROLINA[27]										
SMSA PORTION	1 512 860	612 446	824 651	100.0	58.5	52.5	6.4	4.2	30.4	0.5
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA*	105 625	46 496	47 783	100.0	49.2	47.9	12.5	1.2	37.1	-
AIKEN COUNTY	105 625	46 496	47 783	100.0	49.2	47.9	12.5	1.2	37.1	-
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON SMSA	430 301	162 986	330 760	100.0	58.5	51.1	6.6	5.9	29.1	-
BERKELEY COUNTY	94 727	37 358	105 292	100.0	36.8	32.6	11.7	7.2	44.3	-
CHARLESTON COUNTY	277 308	94 415	202 317	100.0	64.7	56.7	5.6	5.7	24.1	-
CHARLESTON	69 510	17 122	(NA)	100.0	57.6	50.7	1.2	4.5	36.8	-
NORTH CHARLESTON	65 630	13 479	(NA)	100.0	64.9	47.1	7.0	6.8	21.2	-
DORCHESTER COUNTY	58 266	31 213	23 151	100.0	57.1	47.0	3.1	4.6	35.2	-
COLUMBIA SMSA	408 176	149 487	208 994	100.0	57.4	49.8	4.9	2.2	35.4	(Z)
LEXINGTON COUNTY	140 353	60 391	87 315	100.0	49.3	46.3	7.6	2.3	40.7	-
RICHLAND COUNTY	267 823	89 096	121 679	100.0	64.5	52.9	2.6	2.1	30.8	(Z)
COLUMBIA	99 296	23 258	(NA)	100.0	51.9	33.5	2.0	1.9	44.2	(Z)
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG SMSA	568 758	253 477	237 114	100.0	62.0	58.1	6.2	4.4	25.7	1.6
GREENVILLE COUNTY	287 913	122 420	125 377	100.0	60.3	58.1	5.4	4.5	26.6	3.2
GREENVILLE	58 242	21 348	(NA)	100.0	44.4	40.9	1.0	2.2	51.9	0.5
PICKENS COUNTY	79 292	31 581	29 729	100.0	59.5	54.6	4.1	3.4	33.0	-
SPARTANBURG COUNTY	201 553	99 476	82 008	100.0	65.2	59.4	8.1	4.8	21.9	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
ANDERSON COUNTY	133 235	55 851	45 522	100.0	43.2	43.2	3.2	1.6	52.0	-
BEAUFORT COUNTY	65 364	35 918	90 793	100.0	66.8	53.1	2.5	18.5	12.2	-
FLORENCE COUNTY	110 163	46 201	35 893	100.0	41.5	39.6	19.5	1.4	37.7	-
HORRY COUNTY	101 419	51 739	79 439	100.0	47.8	27.4	13.6	5.8	32.8	-
LAURENS COUNTY	52 214	25 629	24 171	100.0	42.2	38.2	20.9	2.4	34.5	-
ORANGEBURG COUNTY	82 276	36 589	37 911	100.0	33.9	29.7	25.2	2.8	38.2	-
SUMTER COUNTY	88 243	34 112	46 220	100.0	56.0	54.2	6.9	2.4	34.7	-
YORK COUNTY	106 720	52 472	42 962	100.0	47.9	44.6	10.5	6.4	35.1	-
SOUTH DAKOTA										
SMSA PORTION	200 285	78 706	1 139 015	100.0	60.5	53.9	16.0	2.6	20.4	0.4
RAPID CITY SMSA	90 850	37 555	458 351	100.0	59.1	55.2	18.7	3.0	18.3	1.0
MEADE COUNTY	20 717	6 658	89 136	100.0	42.6	41.1	52.7	1.2	2.4	1.0
PENNINGTON COUNTY	70 133	30 897	369 215	100.0	63.6	59.1	9.4	3.4	22.6	1.0
RAPID CITY	46 492	16 336	(NA)	100.0	68.2	61.9	1.0	3.2	27.0	0.6
SIOUX FALLS SMSA	109 435	41 151	680 664	100.0	61.5	53.1	14.3	2.4	21.9	-
MINNEHAHA COUNTY	109 435	41 151	680 664	100.0	61.5	53.1	14.3	2.4	21.9	-
SIOUX FALLS (PART)	81 182	27 067	(NA)	100.0	70.6	59.1	0.3	2.7	26.4	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED
3 849	41 036	170 557	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	814 275	6 821	8 376	28 348	34 814	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
2 670	29 950	136 254	22.0	1.2	25.1	3.1	21.4	38.2	483 467	5 635	11 655	25 637	53 028	PITTSBURGH SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALLEGHENY COUNTY
675	4 604	22 456	20.5	(X)	28.4	(X)	19.6	69.2	130 463	1 462	11 205	7 131	54 662	PENN HILLS TWP.
276	4 023	9 459	42.5	3.6	51.2	12.4	44.4	32.5	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	PITTSBURGH
288	637	8 248	7.7	2.5	12.3	3.8	8.9	63.3	94 058	335	3 563	4 338	46 121	BEAVER COUNTY
615	5 426	16 596	38.7	6.7	46.0	9.2	39.0	56.6	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	WASHINGTON COUNTY
														WESTMORELAND COUNTY
620	2 029	21 577	9.4	3.6	16.5	10.4	10.4	93.1	111 736	565	5 060	6 011	53 799	READING SMSA
620	2 029	21 577	9.4	3.6	16.5	10.4	10.4	93.1	111 736	565	5 060	6 011	53 799	BERKS COUNTY
100	567	2 796	20.3	(X)	22.9	(X)	20.6	28.8	26 819	108	4 032	533	19 883	READING
180	558	4 978	11.2	4.6	25.0	24.9	11.3	(3)	46 385	282	6 082	2 516	54 251	WILLIAMSPORT SMSA
180	558	4 978	11.2	4.6	25.0	24.9	11.3	(3)	46 385	282	6 082	2 516	54 251	LYCOMING COUNTY
1 281	4 203	56 807	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	152 878	609	3 984	8 232	53 849	YORK SMSA
81	330	2 848	11.5	1.7	17.7	7.1	10.8	(3)	32 160	159	4 936	1 377	42 816	ADAMS COUNTY
1 200	3 873	53 959	7.2	0.7	8.0	1.0	7.0	37.2	120 718	450	3 731	6 275	51 980	YORK COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
225	1 223	12 896	9.5	2.8	22.1	14.2	10.3	(3)	69 608	261	3 745	2 751	39 524	BUTLER COUNTY
400	1 289	14 629	8.8	1.2	11.2	6.0	7.8	82.3	35 840	165	4 613	1 876	52 353	CENTRE COUNTY
36	114	1 395	8.1	3.9	9.9	3.2	11.1	33.9	27 026	83	3 060	1 019	37 723	COLUMBIA COUNTY
225	422	4 091	10.3	2.9	15.9	5.6	13.3	61.7	69 519	197	2 834	1 907	27 428	FAYETTE COUNTY
300	5 567	41 072	13.6	3.7	11.1	1.7	12.1	30.7	46 504	239	5 136	1 763	37 903	FRANKLIN COUNTY
140	691	3 953	17.5	5.5	64.3	44.4	32.2	(3)	51 295	310	6 036	1 772	34 550	LAWRENCE COUNTY
180	2 361	11 424	20.6	3.2	21.1	6.4	18.4	45.0	37 535	330	8 801	1 600	42 628	LEBANON COUNTY
180	861	4 725	18.2	1.9	20.4	3.0	16.6	47.2	28 433	113	3 958	619	21 753	MCKEAN COUNTY
140	432	3 228	13.4	3.9	24.9	11.2	16.9	86.0	50 354	275	5 459	2 050	40 720	MERCER COUNTY
204	719	4 033	17.8	7.1	21.8	9.6	11.7	(3)	41 632	126	3 034	710	17 064	NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY
345	989	6 840	14.5	5.0	27.9	12.4	15.0	(3)	76 959	420	5 463	2 903	37 718	SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
														RHODE ISLAND**
1 649	35 297	84 622	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	319 440	6 615	20 708	15 859	49 647	NECMA PORTION
														PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET NECMA:
171	5 310	8 599	61.7	3.9	62.0	3.3	63.2	16.8	36 985	1 129	30 513	1 828	49 432	KENT COUNTY:
														WARWICK CITY
108	1 356	5 215	26.0	3.5	25.2	2.7	23.4	28.9	29 231	340	11 621	1 307	44 697	PROVIDENCE COUNTY:
93	1 264	4 501	28.1	3.9	30.2	6.1	24.0	38.0	22 565	290	12 832	1 030	45 662	CRANSTON CITY
228	4 539	10 978	41.4	2.3	58.0	8.4	44.3	51.2	42 321	1 031	24 368	2 493	58 908	PAWTUCKET CITY
														PROVIDENCE CITY
														SOUTH CAROLINA[27]
4 322	5 218	233 639	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	612 446	625	1 346	36 924	60 290	SMSA PORTION
312	210	7 734	2.7	0.6	4.0	1.3	2.6	86.4	46 496	48	1 028	1 759	37 841	AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA*
312	210	7 734	2.7	0.6	4.0	1.3	2.6	86.4	46 496	48	1 028	1 759	37 841	AIKEN COUNTY
1 046	1 825	64 254	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	152 986	331	2 029	11 645	71 450	CHARLESTN-NRTH CHARLESTN SMSA
174	153	6 695	2.3	0.9	2.3	0.6	2.2	65.5	37 358	105	2 818	4 607	123 320	BERKELEY COUNTY
596	1 262	40 470	3.1	0.3	3.3	0.2	3.1	26.5	94 415	202	2 143	6 477	68 606	CHARLESTON COUNTY
140	333	10 335	3.2	(X)	3.3	(X)	3.0	36.5	17 122	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	CHARLESTON
44	53	1 699	3.1	(X)	3.1	(X)	3.1	13.5	13 479	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	NORTH CHARLESTON
276	410	17 089	2.4	0.4	2.2	0.4	2.6	36.0	31 213	23	742	966	30 947	DORCHESTER COUNTY
926	1 104	44 081	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	149 487	209	1 398	8 345	55 823	COLUMBIA SMSA
456	630	21 237	3.0	0.3	4.5	1.7	3.0	66.4	60 391	87	1 446	2 941	48 706	LEXINGTON COUNTY
470	474	22 844	2.1	0.3	2.3	0.4	2.1	41.3	89 096	122	1 366	5 865	65 832	RICHLAND COUNTY
170	134	8 025	1.7	(X)	1.8	(X)	1.8	30.2	23 258	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	COLUMBIA
2 038	2 079	117 570	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	253 477	237	935	13 409	52 901	GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG SMSA
1 056	1 408	65 647	2.1	0.5	2.6	0.4	2.0	66.8	122 420	125	1 024	5 857	47 844	GREENVILLE COUNTY
564	575	25 294	2.3	(X)	2.8	(X)	2.0	70.9	21 348	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GREENVILLE
286	164	22 955	0.7	0.8	2.0	0.6	1.6	68.6	31 581	30	941	4 210	133 299	PICKENS COUNTY
696	507	28 968	1.8	0.4	2.1	0.3	2.0	46.3	99 476	82	824	4 675	47 001	SPARTANBURG COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
348	158	9 202	1.7	0.6	3.1	1.4	1.3	(3)	55 851	46	815	2 659	47 617	ANDERSON COUNTY
1 116	1 748	61 632	2.8	0.9	3.1	0.5	3.1	32.4	35 918	91	2 528	3 202	89 135	BEAUFORT COUNTY
270	129	10 215	1.3	0.2	1.7	0.4	1.5	50.7	46 201	36	777	2 810	60 822	FLORENCE COUNTY
800	581	31 054	1.9	0.7	2.4	0.4	2.0	62.9	51 739	79	1 535	4 245	82 049	HORRY COUNTY
220	116	4 804	2.4	0.3	2.9	0.5	2.4	54.0	25 629	24	943	999	38 991	LAURENS COUNTY
312	192	9 611	2.0	0.5	2.2	0.4	2.1	50.1	36 589	38	1 036	1 901	51 946	ORANGEBURG COUNTY
264	413	9 765	4.2	1.2	4.0	0.6	3.5	38.4	34 112	46	1 355	1 095	32 112	SUMTER COUNTY
310	195	7 544	2.6	0.5	3.2	0.6	2.9	49.3	52 472	43	819	1 671	31 843	YORK COUNTY
														SOUTH DAKOTA
804	11 748	44 098	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	78 706	1 139	14 472	4 275	54 322	SMSA PORTION
381	4 735	18 277	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	37 555	458	12 205	1 769	47 110	RAPID CITY SMSA
54	490	2 247	21.8	2.7	24.5	3.5	22.5	41.1	6 658	89	13 388	409	61 412	MEADE COUNTY
327	4 245	16 030	26.5	1.2	26.9	1.7	27.0	23.9	30 897	369	11 950	1 394	45 106	PENNINGTON COUNTY
228	3 316	11 802	28.1	(X)	29.2	(X)	28.1	21.4	16 336	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	RAPID CITY
423	7 013	25 821	27.2	1.7	27.7	1.4	26.6	22.2	41 151	681	16 541	2 505	60 881	SIOUX FALLS SMSA
423	7 013	25 821	27.2	1.7	27.7	1.4	26.6	22.2	41 151	681	16 541	2 505	60 881	MINNEHAHA COUNTY
345	6 031	21 737	27.8	(X)	28.2	(X)	26.7	19.7	27 067	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SIOUX FALLS (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
PITTSBURGH SMSA	2 568	29 792	127 024	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	562 977	4 059 215	7 210	17 307	30 742
ALLEGHENY COUNTY	2 025	23 474	108 206	21.7	0.9	24.0	2.1	21.5	28.2	334 913	3 088 456	9 222	14 237	42 509
PENN HILLS TWP.	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PITTSBURGH	450	3 250	15 591	20.8	(X)	25.7	(X)	20.8	44.6	88 480	589 295	6 660	2 826	31 944
BEAVER COUNTY	156	2 287	5 210	43.9	5.0	46.8	6.0	44.5	19.5	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
WASHINGTON COUNTY	132	391	4 831	8.1	1.1	10.2	4.1	7.7	52.1	60 480	220 213	3 641	2 716	44 914
WESTMORELAND COUNTY	255	3 640	8 777	41.5	8.4	45.2	10.2	42.1	41.8	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
READING SMSA	340	1 128	11 032	10.2	1.4	12.4	3.5	10.4	42.1	77 632	334 430	4 308	3 271	42 130
BERKS COUNTY	340	1 128	11 032	10.2	1.4	12.4	3.5	10.4	42.1	77 632	334 430	4 308	3 271	42 130
READING	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WILLIAMSPORT SMSA	60	288	2 150	13.4	2.6	14.3	2.5	14.3	21.9	30 400	161 637	5 317	1 205	39 638
LYCOMING COUNTY	60	288	2 150	13.4	2.6	14.3	2.5	14.3	21.9	30 400	161 637	5 317	1 205	39 638
YORK SMSA	972	3 519	47 065	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	106 176	366 336	3 450	4 900	46 146
ADAMS COUNTY	36	183	1 522	12.0	1.7	13.9	5.0	11.2	41.2	19 008	87 314	4 594	727	38 264
YORK COUNTY	936	3 336	45 543	7.3	0.7	8.2	1.1	7.1	35.0	87 168	279 416	3 205	3 814	43 758
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BUTLER COUNTY	120	572	4 469	12.8	5.6	22.0	21.9	10.0	(3)	45 280	177 651	3 923	1 389	30 669
CENTRE COUNTY	112	249	2 538	9.8	2.1	9.5	3.7	9.1	35.9	28 416	102 988	3 624	1 049	36 913
COLUMBIA COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
FAYETTE COUNTY	135	352	3 790	9.3	3.1	8.7	2.2	9.5	29.7	41 472	121 883	2 939	1 311	31 613
FRANKLIN COUNTY	160	1 137	9 252	12.3	0.8	12.2	2.0	12.6	20.7	33 680	163 258	4 847	1 330	39 477
LAWRENCE COUNTY	70	298	890	33.5	15.0	55.9	24.2	50.4	50.7	31 712	229 353	7 239	684	21 578
LEBANON COUNTY	110	741	4 319	17.1	2.4	17.2	2.8	15.4	26.3	28 160	222 464	7 900	1 298	46 077
MCKEAN COUNTY	88	403	2 283	17.7	3.1	20.2	4.2	15.1	51.3	16 334	71 466	4 375	405	24 770
MERCER COUNTY	84	294	2 061	14.2	2.9	22.8	13.5	15.8	72.9	38 464	184 521	4 797	1 295	33 666
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY	96	226	1 864	12.1	1.6	17.6	5.7	12.0	63.3	30 752	81 244	2 649	672	21 848
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY	135	321	2 288	14.0	3.1	15.0	3.1	12.8	33.7	45 760	263 461	5 757	1 880	41 086
RHODE ISLAND**														
NECMA PORTION	906	20 695	48 418	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	166 928	3 874 744	23 219	9 065	54 307
PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET NECMA:														
KENT COUNTY:														
WARWICK CITY	135	3 825	6 200	61.7	2.9	62.5	3.2	62.8	14.0	23 810	775 604	32 575	1 258	52 831
PROVIDENCE COUNTY:														
CRANSTON CITY	69	821	3 582	22.9	1.6	23.3	1.9	22.7	18.7	13 504	166 011	12 293	725	53 654
PAWTUCKET CITY	39	399	1 623	24.6	2.5	25.1	2.1	24.1	12.9	10 304	96 453	9 361	392	38 010
PROVIDENCE CITY	76	1 582	4 418	35.8	2.6	46.9	5.7	41.2	37.8	14 368	300 167	20 891	837	58 285
SOUTH CAROLINA[27]														
SMSA PORTION	2 569	3 104	131 728	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	378 154	432 884	1 145	18 371	48 580
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA*	168	176	6 452	2.7	0.6	3.6	1.8	2.5	74.2	30 241	22 868	756	839	27 754
AIKEN COUNTY	168	176	6 452	2.7	0.6	3.6	1.8	2.5	74.2	30 241	22 868	756	839	27 754
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON SMSA	676	1 091	42 628	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	99 973	168 990	1 690	6 603	66 046
BERKELEY COUNTY	120	80	5 103	1.6	0.5	1.6	0.5	1.4	81.2	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
CHARLESTON COUNTY	364	732	25 362	2.9	0.2	3.1	0.2	3.1	19.5	71 365	114 789	1 608	3 974	55 686
CHARLESTON	104	224	7 938	2.8	(X)	3.1	(X)	3.0	24.4	12 641	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NORTH CHARLESTON	36	47	1 529	3.1	(X)	3.1	(X)	3.1	11.5	9 344	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DORCHESTER COUNTY	192	279	12 163	2.3	0.5	2.4	0.5	2.6	30.8	13 376	10 892	814	475	35 512
COLUMBIA SMSA	674	821	34 878	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	95 428	104 069	1 091	4 421	46 329
LEXINGTON COUNTY	324	461	16 875	2.7	0.2	3.1	0.5	2.9	24.7	32 128	40 414	1 258	1 479	46 046
RICHLAND COUNTY	350	360	18 003	2.0	0.3	2.1	0.3	2.0	33.4	63 300	64 329	1 016	3 213	50 765
COLUMBIA	120	90	5 470	1.6	(X)	1.7	(X)	1.5	32.4	15 040	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG SMSA	1 051	1 016	47 770	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	152 512	137 731	903	6 476	42 461
GREENVILLE COUNTY	672	654	29 929	2.2	0.2	2.6	0.4	2.1	52.2	69 568	72 810	1 047	3 334	47 918
GREENVILLE	396	297	14 186	2.1	(X)	2.7	(X)	2.0	59.8	15 616	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PICKENS COUNTY	91	59	3 759	1.6	0.5	1.6	0.4	1.4	29.3	20 288	16 239	800	1 039	51 204
SPARTANBURG COUNTY	288	303	14 082	2.2	0.3	2.3	0.4	2.0	32.5	62 656	48 696	777	2 259	36 049
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
ANDERSON COUNTY	168	82	5 751	1.4	0.4	1.4	0.4	1.2	43.3	38 592	19 653	509	1 383	35 834
BEAUFORT COUNTY	360	895	27 590	3.2	0.6	3.0	0.5	3.2	20.0	17 002	48 198	2 835	1 485	87 366
FLORENCE COUNTY	140	87	5 962	1.5	0.2	1.5	0.4	1.4	38.9	29 888	14 212	476	969	32 434
HORRY COUNTY	256	320	17 640	1.8	1.0	2.6	0.7	2.7	47.0	17 248	21 783	1 263	1 203	69 724
LAURENS COUNTY	60	36	1 666	2.2	0.4	2.2	0.5	2.1	40.7	13 296	9 234	694	421	31 638
ORANGEBURG COUNTY	104	58	3 565	1.6	0.5	1.6	0.3	1.4	36.8	15 400	11 257	731	694	45 062
SUMTER COUNTY	144	223	6 309	3.5	0.4	3.8	0.6	3.5	28.4	21 390	25 045	1 171	709	33 140
YORK COUNTY	110	96	4 014	2.4	0.7	2.3	0.8	2.5	47.8	29 632	19 169	647	806	27 216
SOUTH DAKOTA														
SMSA PORTION	635	9 125	34 007	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	48 848	614 098	12 572	2 289	46 852
RAPID CITY SMSA	302	4 187	15 685	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	19 792	252 988	12 780	948	47 884
MEADE COUNTY	38	420	1 688	24.9	2.4	27.1	3.7	23.6	32.2	4 096	36 612	8 938	147	35 941
PENNINGTON COUNTY	264	3 767	13 997	26.9	1.0	27.4	1.6	27.4	18.7	15 696	218 159	13 899	811	51 654
RAPID CITY	204	3 012	10 786	27.9	(X)	28.5	(X)	28.1	17.9	11 408	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SIOUX FALLS SMSA	333	4 938	18 322	27.0	0.7	28.4	1.4	26.7	17.4	29 056	361 321	12 438	1 341	46 135
MINNEHAHA COUNTY	333	4 938	18 322	27.0	0.7	28.4	1.4	26.7	17.4	29 056	361 321	12 438	1 341	46 135
SIOUX FALLS (PART)	285	4 179	15 406	27.1	(X)	28.3	(X)	26.6	17.6	22 464	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
255	569	3 312	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	108 246	105 945	979	617	5 697	PITTSBURGH SMSA
120	336	2 669	12.5	10.2	19.0	10.0	14.9	74.7	49 798	85 123	1 709	679	13 637	ALLEGHENY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PENN HILLS TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PITTSBURGH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BEAVER COUNTY
60	24	251	9.5	2.3	20.1	13.2	15.1	70.7	19 200	8 023	418	85	4 409	WASHINGTON COUNTY
75	209	392	53.4	15.2	66.8	32.2	62.3	41.9	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	WESTMORELAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	READING SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BERKS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	READING
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILLIAMSPORT SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LYCOMING COUNTY
87	182	2 610	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 880	18 211	677	261	9 716	YORK SMSA
15	14	256	5.2	2.6	11.2	6.9	5.5	(3)	8 736	8 874	1 016	169	19 396	ADAMS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YORK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
144	173	2 494	6.9	4.0	13.9	15.9	5.4	(3)	1 536	507	330	7	4 761	BUTLER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CENTRE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBIA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAYETTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRANKLIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAWRENCE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEBANON COUNTY
36	41	198	20.8	5.5	25.6	7.5	20.0	56.0	3 540	1 366	386	7	1 859	MCKEAN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MERCER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY
90	155	711	21.8	16.4	59.5	32.9	60.6	68.0	8 160	9 771	1 197	45	5 484	SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
														RHODE ISLAND**
198	1 511	3 956	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	80 982	282 061	3 483	738	9 119	NECMA PORTION
														PROVIDENCE-WARWICK-PAWTUCKET NECMA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENT COUNTY:
														WARWICK CITY
15	46	212	21.4	7.6	22.6	6.6	18.4	41.5	7 872	11 241	1 428	52	6 669	PROVIDENCE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CRANSTON CITY
10	24	45	53.0	11.6	69.0	17.2	66.4	36.6	9 024	31 171	3 454	59	6 522	PAWTUCKET CITY
														PROVIDENCE CITY
														SOUTH CAROLINA[27]
890	498	17 202	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	134 726	34 346	255	1 186	8 806	SMSA PORTION
84	16	389	4.1	2.2	5.4	2.7	3.3	(3)	8 448	580	69	14	1 665	AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C. SMSA*
84	16	389	4.1	2.2	5.4	2.7	3.3	(3)	8 448	580	69	14	1 665	AIKEN COUNTY
238	297	8 689	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	42 917	19 412	452	568	13 233	CHARLESTN-NRTH CHARLESTN SMSA
42	19	374	5.1	1.0	4.2	1.2	4.9	29.3	16 512	7 572	459	148	8 990	BERKELEY COUNTY
160	275	8 033	3.4	0.4	3.7	0.4	3.7	26.5	13 733	11 437	833	332	24 189	CHARLESTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHARLESTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTH CHARLESTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DORCHESTER COUNTY
144	114	3 827	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	20 192	4 681	232	157	7 782	COLUMBIA SMSA
84	90	2 193	4.1	0.3	5.2	2.7	4.1	48.9	7 904	2 048	259	50	6 339	LEXINGTON COUNTY
60	24	1 634	1.5	0.7	2.1	0.5	2.4	21.6	12 288	2 613	213	179	14 595	RICHLAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBIA
424	71	4 297	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	63 169	10 494	166	635	10 054	GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG SMSA
156	26	2 070	1.2	0.9	3.6	1.9	2.5	(3)	35 072	5 601	160	461	13 151	GREENVILLE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREENVILLE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PICKENS COUNTY
216	33	1 854	1.8	1.5	2.4	0.7	2.0	70.7	22 145	3 897	176	221	9 987	SPARTANBURG COUNTY
108	27	749	3.6	1.3	4.2	2.7	2.0	(3)	8 832	711	81	20	2 229	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
576	538	26 698	2.0	1.1	2.8	0.6	2.8	35.0	15 661	16 781	1 072	833	53 171	ANDERSON COUNTY
80	10	954	1.1	0.6	2.1	1.2	1.6	82.7	7 296	500	69	46	6 335	BEAUFORT COUNTY
400	129	7 022	1.8	0.6	2.3	0.5	2.0	56.5	10 432	4 587	440	249	23 840	FLORENCE COUNTY
65	12	343	3.4	0.7	3.8	0.8	4.1	32.2	5 196	575	111	17	3 253	HORRY COUNTY
128	50	1 518	3.3	1.2	2.6	0.7	2.4	48.5	5 660	1 055	186	32	5 628	LAURENS COUNTY
64	24	432	5.4	1.4	4.7	1.5	3.7	54.7	7 560	1 104	146	20	2 710	ORANGEBURG COUNTY
130	58	1 559	3.7	0.9	4.2	0.8	4.5	26.9	14 976	2 762	184	75	4 979	SUMTER COUNTY
														YORK COUNTY
														SOUTH DAKOTA
61	181	1 042	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	10 496	29 873	2 846	172	16 385	SMSA PORTION
43	103	440	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	6 848	13 581	1 983	58	8 472	RAPID CITY SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MEADE COUNTY
39	95	421	22.7	4.8	20.9	4.5	16.4	48.4	6 464	12 700	1 965	56	8 660	PENNINGTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RAPID CITY
18	78	602	12.9	9.3	16.9	8.8	13.9	76.0	3 648	16 348	4 481	127	34 728	SIOUX FALLS SMSA
18	78	602	12.9	9.3	16.9	8.8	13.9	76.0	3 648	16 348	4 481	127	34 728	MINNEHAHA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SIOUX FALLS (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Nonfarm residential property: Total	Nonfarm residential property: Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
TENNESSEE										
SMSA PORTION	2 884 026	1 047 818	9 835 474	100.0	52.9	49.1	5.8	3.6	37.6	0.1
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA*	320 761	127 632	1 470 907	100.0	56.8	49.1	0.8	4.5	37.8	(Z)
HAMILTON COUNTY	287 740	111 502	1 428 610	100.0	57.2	49.3	(Z)	4.6	38.2	-
CHATTANOOGA	169 565	58 841	900 106	100.0	44.2	36.5	(Z)	2.8	52.9	-
MARION COUNTY	24 416	10 971	28 212	100.0	44.0	44.0	24.8	3.0	28.2	-
SEQUATCHIE COUNTY	8 605	3 159	14 085	100.0	41.4	41.4	35.2	6.5	16.5	0.4
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*	83 342	30 215	194 529	100.0	56.9	55.3	10.2	3.2	29.4	0.3
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	83 342	30 215	194 529	100.0	56.9	55.3	10.2	3.2	29.4	0.3
CLARKSVILLE	54 777	17 213	120 276	100.0	60.7	58.5	2.0	4.1	32.7	0.5
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA:*										
CARTER COUNTY	50 205	21 858	112 844	100.0	57.9	57.9	11.2	3.1	27.7	-
SULLIVAN COUNTY	143 968	61 217	478 931	100.0	51.1	50.5	9.1	3.7	35.9	0.1
KNOXVILLE SMSA:										
BLOUNT COUNTY	77 770	39 367	220 758	100.0	68.9	65.0	2.6	2.2	26.3	-
KNOX COUNTY'	319 694	115 313	769 686	100.0	61.3	55.8	-	1.8	36.9	-
KNOXVILLE	183 139	59 252	408 300	100.0	43.8	36.8	-	1.9	54.3	-
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA:*										
SHELBY COUNTY	777 113	235 213	3 091 031	100.0	53.8	49.8	2.4	3.4	40.3	0.1
MEMPHIS	646 356	186 807	2 447 109	100.0	50.4	46.4	0.2	3.7	45.7	0.1
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON SMSA:										
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON''	455 651	149 300	1 542 716	100.0	41.0	38.1	1.4	4.6	53.0	(Z)
DICKSON COUNTY	30 037	14 915	96 173	100.0	39.0	39.0	35.9	4.4	20.7	-
ROBERTSON COUNTY'	37 021	14 859	145 736	100.0	41.3	41.3	40.5	2.8	15.5	-
SUMNER COUNTY	85 790	34 428	228 241	100.0	57.1	54.7	15.6	2.2	25.2	-
WILSON COUNTY	56 064	25 235	138 613	100.0	56.6	54.2	18.5	4.9	19.0	1.0
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
BRADLEY COUNTY'	67 547	30 269	164 071	100.0	43.5	43.5	13.5	4.0	39.1	-
GREENE COUNTY'	54 406	24 758	117 351	100.0	35.5	35.5	40.2	2.6	21.7	-
MAURY COUNTY'	51 095	21 607	98 322	100.0	39.6	38.9	23.9	2.0	34.4	0.1
TEXAS										
SMSA PORTION	11 320 577	5 356 789	169 329 021	100.0	46.5	41.4	7.6	4.0	31.8	10.1
ABILENE SMSA:										
TAYLOR COUNTY	110 932	49 926	1 207 206	100.0	54.5	49.9	14.5	2.7	19.6	8.7
ABILENE (PART)	97 812	31 865	(NA)	100.0	69.0	62.7	3.9	2.0	25.1	-
AMARILLO SMSA	173 699	85 200	2 914 444	100.0	57.6	52.5	5.4	2.0	23.4	11.6
POTTER COUNTY	98 637	44 946	1 925 099	100.0	41.3	35.9	3.6	1.0	31.7	22.3
AMARILLO (PART)	93 019	39 417	(NA)	100.0	56.8	49.0	1.4	1.2	37.9	2.6
RANDALL COUNTY	75 062	40 254	989 345	100.0	75.3	70.7	7.4	3.1	14.2	-
AMARILLO (PART)	56 211	22 508	(NA)	100.0	89.8	84.4	-	2.6	7.6	-
AUSTIN SMSA:										
TRAVIS COUNTY	419 335	187 215	5 407 864	100.0	64.3	53.2	4.6	6.5	24.6	-
AUSTIN (PART)	345 109	119 130	(NA)	100.0	63.8	50.8	3.0	4.1	29.1	-
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA:										
JEFFERSON COUNTY	250 938	115 725	4 433 042	100.0	24.6	23.3	3.8	1.0	64.4	6.3
BEAUMONT	118 102	50 831	(NA)	100.0	66.7	63.0	3.2	1.6	28.5	-
PORT ARTHUR	61 195	35 389	(NA)	100.0	54.5	52.3	5.5	1.3	38.6	0.1
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA	209 680	118 732	1 394 153	100.0	48.2	44.6	14.5	6.9	27.3	3.1
CAMERON COUNTY	209 680	118 732	1 394 153	100.0	48.2	44.6	14.5	6.9	27.3	3.1
BROWNSVILLE	84 997	27 387	(NA)	100.0	65.1	57.3	2.8	4.7	27.4	-
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION SMSA	93 588	32 318	972 797	100.0	74.3	65.1	8.2	2.8	14.7	-
BRAZOS COUNTY	93 588	32 318	972 797	100.0	74.3	65.1	8.2	2.8	14.7	-
CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA:										
NUECES COUNTY	268 215	114 615	5 584 834	100.0	37.5	33.0	3.7	2.6	43.4	12.7
CORPUS CHRISTI (PART)	231 999	76 012	(NA)	100.0	68.0	59.8	2.5	3.5	21.9	4.1
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA:										
COLLIN COUNTY	144 490	76 409	2 234 271	100.0	64.9	62.9	18.2	5.6	11.4	-
DALLAS (PART)	1 357	4 322	(NA)	100.0	58.5	58.5	-	34.2	7.3	-
GARLAND (PART)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLANO (PART)	72 329	27 073	(NA)	100.0	88.7	86.9	0.3	5.4	5.5	-
RICHARDSON (PART)	6 780	1 634	(NA)	100.0	90.2	90.2	1.5	2.0	6.3	-
DALLAS COUNTY	1 556 549	501 843	17 490 739	100.0	53.3	44.9	1.0	2.9	42.7	(Z)
DALLAS (PART)	902 619	271 427	(NA)	100.0	48.4	39.0	(Z)	3.2	48.4	(Z)
GARLAND (PART)	138 857	47 519	(NA)	100.0	73.1	68.9	0.3	1.2	25.4	-
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)	65 726	21 362	(NA)	100.0	81.6	80.7	-	4.0	14.3	-
IRVING	109 943	25 961	(NA)	100.0	59.7	46.8	-	3.9	36.4	-
MESQUITE	67 053	24 125	(NA)	100.0	70.3	64.2	4.4	1.8	23.5	-
RICHARDSON (PART)	65 716	19 318	(NA)	100.0	67.9	61.4	0.1	1.3	30.7	-
DENTON COUNTY	143 126	68 114	2 258 379	100.0	65.4	63.2	15.3	4.4	14.7	0.2
DALLAS (PART)	101	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLANO (PART)	2	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ELLIS COUNTY	59 743	28 437	542 489	100.0	41.6	41.0	27.3	2.5	28.7	-
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)	5	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOOD COUNTY	17 714	34 341	428 127	100.0	41.6	41.1	38.6	13.4	2.9	3.6
JOHNSON COUNTY	67 649	35 725	590 552	100.0	63.8	61.1	23.4	4.2	8.6	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														TENNESSEE
8 570	75 604	473 255	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 047 818	9 835	9 387	61 567	58 757	SMSA PORTION
988	10 184	39 844	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	127 632	1 471	11 525	5 755	45 089	CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA*
920	10 112	38 884	26.0	3.0	26.1	2.0	24.2	32.7	111 502	1 429	12 812	5 493	49 266	HAMILTON COUNTY
544	5 883	21 380	27.5	(X)	26.6	(X)	24.3	31.5	58 841	900	15 297	3 271	55 592	CHATTANOOGA
48	36	597	6.0	3.0	9.2	3.9	9.1	57.9	10 971	28	2 572	469	42 772	MARION COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SEQUATCHIE COUNTY
														CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE,
410	3 174	15 634	20.3	1.2	20.7	2.1	20.1	23.0	30 215	195	6 438	959	31 727	TENN.-KY. SMSA*
410	3 174	15 634	20.3	1.2	20.7	2.1	20.1	23.0	30 215	195	6 438	959	31 727	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
210	1 674	8 257	20.3	(X)	21.5	(X)	20.7	21.9	17 213	120	6 988	594	34 492	CLARKSVILLE
														JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-
110	430	2 746	15.6	1.2	19.1	7.0	15.9	40.2	21 858	113	5 163	723	33 060	BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA:*
259	1 329	8 875	15.0	1.5	16.6	2.3	14.8	32.2	61 217	479	7 823	3 192	52 145	CARTER COUNTY
														SULLIVAN COUNTY
270	1 350	6 841	19.7	8.7	22.7	4.8	18.0	65.1	39 367	221	5 608	1 119	28 422	KNOXVILLE SMSA:
1 200	6 994	91 853	7.6	2.4	10.9	1.1	10.0	37.0	115 313	770	6 675	10 107	87 649	BLOUNT COUNTY
744	4 383	63 639	6.9	(X)	10.7	(X)	9.8	41.6	59 252	408	6 891	5 930	100 073	KNOX COUNTY*
														KNOXVILLE
2 034	30 218	149 522	20.2	4.9	21.3	2.0	20.5	30.8	235 213	3 091	13 141	15 295	65 028	MPHS TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA:*
1 350	20 839	96 341	21.6	(X)	22.6	(X)	20.7	35.6	186 807	2 447	13 100	11 314	60 564	SHELBY COUNTY
														MEMPHIS
1 125	9 277	72 832	12.7	3.4	11.9	1.0	10.5	33.2	149 300	1 543	10 333	12 109	81 106	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON SMSA:
78	376	2 104	17.9	1.3	17.6	1.3	17.2	24.8	14 915	96	6 448	538	36 093	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DICKSON COUNTY
400	2 034	15 675	13.0	1.5	14.4	1.8	13.2	29.3	34 428	228	6 630	1 758	51 076	ROBERTSON COUNTY*
310	2 269	17 099	13.3	3.7	11.5	1.8	10.7	35.4	25 235	139	5 493	1 045	41 401	SUMNER COUNTY
														WILSON COUNTY
124	641	4 531	14.1	1.5	14.3	1.0	12.4	33.9	30 269	164	5 420	1 160	38 310	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
50	235	2 011	11.7	-	12.0	-	11.6	26.2	24 758	117	4 740	1 007	40 669	BRADLEY COUNTY*
176	625	6 000	10.4	1.0	10.7	1.3	10.0	27.4	21 607	98	4 550	942	43 618	GREENE COUNTY*
														MAURY COUNTY*
														TEXAS
44 833	996 972	2 793 561	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	5 356 789	169 329	31 610	474 468	88 573	SMSA PORTION
220	2 705	6 085	44.5	3.8	52.1	13.8	46.3	22.5	49 926	1 207	24 180	2 716	54 397	ABILENE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TAYLOR COUNTY
														ABILENE (PART)
775	10 629	25 004	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	85 200	2 914	34 207	6 856	80 470	AMARILLO SMSA
375	3 864	9 700	39.8	11.6	46.2	16.6	48.8	48.1	44 946	1 925	42 831	4 834	107 346	POTTER COUNTY
375	3 864	9 700	39.8	(X)	46.2	(X)	48.8	48.1	39 417	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	AMARILLO (PART)
400	6 765	15 304	44.2	13.1	49.7	9.9	54.2	31.0	40 254	989	24 578	2 238	55 602	RANDALL COUNTY
240	5 224	10 228	51.1	(X)	53.1	(X)	57.5	20.7	22 508	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	AMARILLO (PART)
1 380	36 315	108 360	33.5	9.9	47.5	7.9	46.4	45.1	187 215	5 408	28 886	16 136	86 191	AUSTIN SMSA:
990	28 026	92 121	30.4	(X)	42.1	(X)	41.4	50.9	119 130	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	TRAVIS COUNTY
														AUSTIN (PART)
480	7 396	18 989	38.9	6.6	37.2	6.4	37.0	26.0	115 725	4 433	38 307	11 383	98 366	BEAUMNT-PRT ARTHR-ORNGE SMSA:
300	4 182	10 532	39.7	(X)	36.7	(X)	38.7	20.7	50 831	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BEAUMONT
														PORT ARTHUR
864	7 786	26 400	29.5	8.6	28.9	8.4	25.4	71.5	118 732	1 394	11 742	4 727	39 814	BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN
864	7 786	26 400	29.5	8.6	28.9	8.4	25.4	71.5	118 732	1 394	11 742	4 727	39 814	BENITO SMSA
216	1 684	5 513	30.5	(X)	17.2	(X)	13.3	(3)	27 387	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	CAMERON COUNTY
														BROWNSVILLE
152	1 585	4 262	37.2	11.8	38.7	8.6	40.6	42.6	32 318	973	30 101	2 615	80 925	BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION SMSA
152	1 585	4 262	37.2	11.8	38.7	8.6	40.6	42.6	32 318	973	30 101	2 615	80 925	BRAZOS COUNTY
289	9 257	19 236	48.1	11.0	79.5	33.8	69.7	57.5	114 615	5 585	48 727	11 605	101 249	CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA:
255	8 612	18 080	47.6	(X)	81.3	(X)	69.7	62.9	76 012	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	NUECES COUNTY
														CORPUS CHRISTI (PART)
780	21 859	50 737	43.1	3.8	43.2	6.3	44.2	25.1	76 409	2 234	29 241	5 186	67 872	DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLLIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALLAS (PART)
300	9 729	21 919	44.4	(X)	43.7	(X)	44.5	6.2	27 073	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GARLAND (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PLANO (PART)
5 670	122 637	552 938	22.2	5.0	75.8	25.2	27.6	(3)	501 843	17 491	34 853	78 862	157 144	RICHARDSON (PART)
2 670	50 621	264 537	19.1	(X)	26.1	(X)	24.3	44.3	271 427	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	DALLAS COUNTY
960	14 523	35 671	40.7	(X)	(10)	(X)	38.4	(3)	47 519	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	DALLAS (PART)
390	5 316	14 998	35.4	(X)	52.2	(X)	36.2	69.1	21 362	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GARLAND (PART)
180	9 498	20 565	46.2	(X)	42.7	(X)	41.1	45.4	25 961	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)
360	4 257	14 705	28.9	(X)	24.1	(X)	26.8	35.6	24 125	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	IRVING
270	7 621	23 799	32.0	(X)	31.6	(X)	31.9	15.3	19 318	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MESQUITE
344	13 049	35 787	36.5	33.9	54.4	23.5	62.9	41.6	68 114	2 258	33 156	6 193	90 928	RICHARDSON (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DENTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALLAS (PART)
252	2 886	15 909	18.1	10.9	58.1	42.8	23.8	(3)	28 437	542	19 077	2 991	105 185	PLANO (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ELLIS COUNTY
630	3 636	4 697	77.4	8.8	101.6	29.9	86.4	38.7	34 341	428	12 467	553	16 100	GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)
649	10 587	22 828	46.4	6.7	47.4	8.0	43.6	44.0	35 725	591	16 530	1 274	35 648	HOOD COUNTY
														JOHNSON COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
TENNESSEE														
SMSA PORTION	5 860	47 141	304 839	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	686 850	4 828 575	7 030	31 224	45 460
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA. SMSA*	616	6 003	26 599	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	85 564	722 608	8 445	3 202	37 420
HAMILTON COUNTY	576	5 964	26 154	22.8	2.2	24.2	1.9	23.0	26.3	77 220	704 357	9 121	3 088	39 996
CHATTANOOGA	320	2 708	11 766	23.0	(X)	25.2	(X)	23.7	26.9	41 474	328 117	7 911	1 426	34 375
MARION COUNTY	30	23	327	7.0	2.7	8.0	3.8	7.1	57.7	5 880	12 415	2 111	179	30 370
SEQUATCHIE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*	270	2 500	12 265	20.4	0.8	20.2	1.1	20.4	12.0	16 975	107 645	6 341	528	31 120
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	270	2 500	12 265	20.4	0.8	20.2	1.1	20.4	12.0	16 975	107 645	6 341	528	31 120
CLARKSVILLE	140	1 146	5 620	20.4	(X)	20.3	(X)	20.9	13.5	11 215	70 378	6 275	345	30 786
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA:*														
CARTER COUNTY	80	413	2 621	15.7	1.2	16.8	2.2	16.2	14.2	13 789	65 365	4 740	416	30 179
SULLIVAN COUNTY	168	1 190	7 945	15.0	1.6	15.2	1.7	14.7	21.8	38 192	241 924	6 334	1 613	42 239
KNOXVILLE SMSA:														
BLOUNT COUNTY	100	340	2 803	12.1	2.0	17.1	7.2	11.9	62.0	29 664	143 399	4 834	1 182	39 860
KNOX COUNTY[1]	768	3 776	36 062	10.5	0.6	10.7	1.0	10.2	24.4	86 976	429 640	4 940	4 101	47 153
KNOXVILLE	504	1 993	19 209	10.4	(X)	10.7	(X)	10.0	30.5	39 936	150 056	3 757	1 446	36 200
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA:*														
SHELBY COUNTY	1 710	19 325	104 627	18.5	1.2	20.0	1.4	20.5	21.4	157 731	1 538 032	9 751	8 327	52 793
MEMPHIS	1 080	10 358	54 051	19.2	(X)	21.0	(X)	20.7	23.0	123 489	1 135 998	9 199	5 928	48 006
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON SMSA:														
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON[11]	900	5 744	54 824	10.5	0.5	10.9	0.6	10.5	22.9	105 937	587 917	5 550	5 610	52 958
DICKSON COUNTY	44	280	1 636	17.1	1.4	16.6	1.5	16.8	23.4	6 804	37 471	5 507	219	32 227
ROBERTSON COUNTY[1]	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SUMNER COUNTY	240	1 537	12 687	12.1	1.2	13.0	1.5	12.9	17.3	19 818	124 781	6 296	1 030	51 963
WILSON COUNTY	170	1 000	8 815	11.3	2.6	10.7	2.4	10.3	35.4	13 716	75 142	5 478	662	48 281
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
BRADLEY COUNTY[1]	86	441	3 534	12.5	0.8	12.8	0.8	12.3	21.8	15 008	71 314	4 752	571	38 079
GREENE COUNTY[1]	35	188	1 658	11.3	-	11.3	-	11.5	12.7	10 504	41 680	3 968	369	35 145
MAURY COUNTY[1]	112	476	4 715	10.1	0.7	9.9	0.7	10.1	15.4	12 425	38 235	3 077	378	30 461
TEXAS														
SMSA PORTION	26 738	729 512	1 724 594	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	2 968 943	70 041 298	23 591	165 580	55 771
ABILENE SMSA:														
TAYLOR COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ABILENE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
AMARILLO SMSA	505	8 441	15 840	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	52 896	1 531 171	28 947	2 873	54 320
POTTER COUNTY	225	2 584	5 294	48.8	11.9	58.3	21.4	53.4	39.3	27 072	690 434	25 504	1 415	52 251
AMARILLO (PART)	225	2 584	5 294	48.8	(X)	58.3	(X)	53.4	39.3	26 304	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RANDALL COUNTY	280	5 857	10 546	55.5	6.5	53.5	7.8	54.9	18.4	25 824	699 927	27 104	1 260	48 801
AMARILLO (PART)	180	4 663	7 770	60.0	(X)	59.9	(X)	60.1	9.4	18 064	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
AUSTIN SMSA:														
TRAVIS COUNTY	600	20 442	56 273	36.3	15.8	48.5	11.0	44.1	46.4	103 372	2 877 100	27 832	7 920	76 617
AUSTIN (PART)	510	15 339	46 943	32.7	(X)	45.7	(X)	41.4	48.9	83 673	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR-ORANGE SMSA:														
JEFFERSON COUNTY	360	6 331	16 095	39.3	7.1	39.8	6.6	38.7	21.6	76 208	1 031 642	13 537	2 623	34 424
BEAUMONT	180	3 117	7 638	40.8	(X)	41.5	(X)	39.7	9.9	38 928	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PORT ARTHUR	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA	324	6 176	16 124	38.3	6.5	38.3	6.4	38.4	26.4	44 160	621 856	14 082	1 623	36 763
CAMERON COUNTY	324	6 176	16 124	38.3	6.5	38.3	6.4	38.4	26.4	44 160	621 856	14 082	1 623	36 763
BROWNSVILLE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION SMSA	64	1 345	3 528	38.1	13.8	32.2	15.0	38.4	49.8	21 520	633 134	29 421	1 660	77 156
BRAZOS COUNTY	64	1 345	3 528	38.1	13.8	32.2	15.0	38.4	49.8	21 520	633 134	29 421	1 660	77 156
CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA:														
NUECES COUNTY	119	6 271	10 717	58.5	9.0	66.0	11.9	56.8	21.7	65 760	1 845 122	28 058	3 153	47 953
CORPUS CHRISTI (PART)	102	5 718	9 680	59.1	(X)	68.1	(X)	64.5	21.4	54 720	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA:														
COLLIN COUNTY	630	19 782	45 446	43.5	4.2	44.3	5.3	44.6	18.7	41 280	1 404 372	34 021	3 226	78 156
DALLAS (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
GARLAND (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PLANO (PART)	270	9 536	21 379	44.6	(X)	44.6	(X)	44.6	4.6	19 616	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RICHARDSON (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
DALLAS COUNTY	3 870	70 072	256 969	27.3	2.7	95.0	36.2	28.4	(3)	415 702	7 859 559	18 907	28 824	69 337
DALLAS (PART)	1 620	27 776	113 190	24.5	(X)	25.1	(X)	24.1	28.3	230 549	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GARLAND (PART)	930	12 629	30 974	40.8	(X)	(10)	(X)	37.6	(3)	40 576	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)	240	4 535	13 654	33.2	(X)	33.5	(X)	33.3	11.7	17 152	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
IRVING	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MESQUITE	270	4 185	13 415	31.2	(X)	30.3	(X)	32.4	15.5	18 624	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
RICHARDSON (PART)	270	7 621	23 799	32.0	(X)	31.6	(X)	31.9	15.3	17 888	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
DENTON COUNTY	301	12 404	18 974	65.4	15.5	61.6	22.1	68.0	30.4	38 368	1 428 060	37 220	2 184	56 933
DALLAS (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PLANO (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ELLIS COUNTY	84	984	2 551	38.6	7.7	50.2	25.5	39.6	55.6	15 058	222 633	14 785	577	38 326
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HOOD COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
JOHNSON COUNTY	484	9 512	20 351	46.7	7.3	48.9	10.3	41.8	51.3	19 759	360 782	18 259	772	39 066

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														TENNESSEE
1 392	9 849	49 641	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	199 669	352 162	1 764	1 775	8 890	SMSA PORTION
190	489	2 173	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	27 447	66 873	2 436	297	10 827	CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA, SMSA*
184	488	2 170	22.5	1.9	26.8	5.9	24.2	37.3	24 169	65 136	2 695	290	11 982	HAMILTON COUNTY
112	366	1 662	22.1	(X)	22.5	(X)	21.0	29.0	9 318	25 251	2 710	114	12 283	CHATTANOOGA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MARION COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SEQUATCHIE COUNTY
														CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY. SMSA*
50	189	672	28.1	16.6	27.0	11.7	19.2	60.0	6 444	6 171	958	22	3 412	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
50	189	672	28.1	16.6	27.0	11.7	19.2	60.0	6 444	6 171	958	22	3 412	CLARKSVILLE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	
														JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA:*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CARTER COUNTY
63	78	501	15.6	6.2	21.7	7.2	18.8	48.4	13 584	17 939	1 321	115	8 453	SULLIVAN COUNTY
														KNOXVILLE SMSA:
130	156	792	19.7	7.5	28.6	6.5	29.9	32.2	6 768	4 890	723	25	3 674	BLOUNT COUNTY
204	273	2 837	9.7	3.3	10.0	3.3	8.3	52.9	19 203	13 995	729	145	7 552	KNOX COUNTY*
84	84	925	9.2	(X)	9.0	(X)	8.3	67.2	12 289	7 791	634	85	6 920	KNOXVILLE
														MPHS TENN.-ARK.-MISS. SMSA:*
126	7 590	34 122	22.2	20.0	16.6	10.8	10.1	(3)	47 938	104 899	2 188	472	9 837	SHELBY COUNTY
90	7 538	33 138	22.7	(X)	15.6	(X)	10.1	97.9	39 296	89 346	2 274	393	9 995	MEMPHIS
														NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON SMSA:
99	226	2 258	10.0	3.3	13.3	3.7	12.9	35.8	18 414	70 544	3 831	706	38 321	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON[11]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DICKSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROBERTSON COUNTY*
110	125	1 126	11.1	4.0	15.0	4.6	12.2	51.1	6 408	4 995	779	45	7 045	SUMNER COUNTY
60	156	1 510	10.3	4.5	12.9	3.0	14.1	21.6	5 868	6 806	1 160	66	11 208	WILSON COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
14	9	72	12.8	3.1	16.0	3.7	17.7	35.6	9 696	6 495	670	51	5 218	BRADLEY COUNTY*
6	7	64	11.5	-	16.7	-	11.7	69.1	4 608	3 039	660	26	5 750	GREENE COUNTY*
20	15	86	17.6	9.7	18.4	8.4	12.8	66.8	3 281	1 951	595	11	3 377	MAURY COUNTY*
														TEXAS
11 534	75 998	362 145	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 285 027	6 750 193	5 253	32 166	25 031	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ABILENE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TAYLOR COUNTY
														ABILENE (PART)
150	870	3 171	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	18 720	58 813	3 142	214	11 451	AMARILLO SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POTTER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AMARILLO (PART)
100	764	2 708	28.2	18.3	47.6	28.0	40.7	64.9	12 096	30 453	2 518	108	8 933	RANDALL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AMARILLO (PART)
														AUSTIN SMSA:
390	3 375	8 005	42.2	17.3	58.8	16.1	50.6	42.1	56 590	349 611	6 178	829	14 652	TRAVIS COUNTY
150	951	3 403	28.0	(X)	54.3	(X)	52.7	55.9	19 469	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	AUSTIN (PART)
														BEAUMNT-PRT ARTHR-ORNGE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BEAUMONT
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORT ARTHUR
														BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO SMSA
513	1 596	10 141	15.7	9.4	23.9	12.8	14.7	(3)	57 040	96 759	1 696	615	10 780	CAMERON COUNTY
513	1 596	10 141	15.7	9.4	23.9	12.8	14.7	(3)	57 040	96 759	1 696	615	10 780	BROWNSVILLE
135	89	1 198	7.4	(X)	7.4	(X)	2.7	(3)	9 840	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	
80	196	514	38.1	10.7	45.7	9.1	56.6	21.8	6 680	26 985	4 040	71	10 594	BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION SMSA
80	196	514	38.1	10.7	45.7	9.1	56.6	21.8	6 680	26 985	4 040	71	10 594	BRAZOS COUNTY
														CORPUS CHRISTI SMSA:
102	594	849	70.1	42.8	122.9	82.2	86.0	83.2	23 713	147 469	6 219	210	8 872	NUECES COUNTY
85	502	730	68.8	(X)	131.9	(X)	94.2	87.6	8 993	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	CORPUS CHRISTI (PART)
														DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLLIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALLAS (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARLAND (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PLANO (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHARDSON (PART)
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	DALLAS COUNTY
420	3 232	24 252	13.3	(X)	32.2	(X)	31.1	58.2	29 678	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	DALLAS (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARLAND (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	IRVING
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MESQUITE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHARDSON (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DENTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALLAS (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PLANO (PART)
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	ELLIS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)
414	1 858	2 317	80.2	15.1	109.1	44.8	90.0	46.2	20 432	57 205	2 800	71	3 491	HOOD COUNTY
110	416	1 118	37.1	11.1	40.9	9.1	44.8	27.3	4 525	24 847	5 491	67	14 781	JOHNSON COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
TEXAS--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA--CONTINUED										
ROCKWALL COUNTY	14 528	10 871	316 550	100.0	55.9	54.5	17.7	9.5	16.3	0.5
DALLAS (PART)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GARLAND (PART)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TARRANT COUNTY	860 880	348 068	7 203 972	100.0	60.3	52.9	2.7	2.5	33.8	0.6
ARLINGTON	160 123	62 685	(NA)	100.0	71.6	62.3	1.4	2.3	24.6	0.1
FORT WORTH	385 141	144 169	(NA)	100.0	48.0	39.7	1.3	2.2	47.8	0.7
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)	5 731	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WISE COUNTY	26 575	54 631	876 423	100.0	12.4	11.7	10.3	1.5	2.9	72.8
EL PASO SMSA	479 899	233 346	6 661 661	100.0	62.0	57.0	4.7	7.9	22.5	3.0
EL PASO COUNTY	479 899	233 346	6 661 661	100.0	62.0	57.0	4.7	7.9	22.5	3.0
EL PASO	425 259	113 594	(NA)	100.0	65.3	60.0	1.1	6.2	24.6	2.8
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA	195 940	133 681	6 336 335	100.0	49.4	46.1	1.8	7.1	41.4	0.3
GALVESTON COUNTY	195 940	133 681	6 336 335	100.0	49.4	46.1	1.8	7.1	41.4	0.3
GALVESTON	61 902	24 754	(NA)	100.0	65.4	56.9	1.2	4.5	27.8	1.1
HOUSTON SMSA:										
BRAZORIA COUNTY	169 587	121 332	5 555 235	100.0	20.6	18.5	11.6	2.2	34.6	31.0
FORT BEND COUNTY	130 846	73 931	4 164 143	100.0	50.8	48.3	6.7	5.0	13.1	24.4
HOUSTON (PART)	16 270	4 033	(NA)	100.0	99.2	77.5	-	0.8	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY	2 409 544	886 360	46 781 334	100.0	44.8	37.6	7.1	3.7	42.1	2.3
BAYTOWN (PART)	56 917	26 683	(NA)	100.0	18.5	15.9	5.6	1.5	73.3	1.1
HOUSTON (PART)	1 577 797	349 684	(NA)	100.0	48.8	38.7	6.3	2.3	42.3	0.2
PASADENA	112 560	52 866	(NA)	100.0	48.6	38.8	2.9	3.5	43.6	1.4
LIBERTY COUNTY	47 088	69 933	1 133 532	100.0	31.7	31.2	40.2	5.9	7.1	15.1
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	128 487	137 099	3 251 152	100.0	33.8	32.8	11.2	9.6	8.5	36.8
HOUSTON (PART)	19	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KILLEEN-TEMPLE SMSA:										
BELL COUNTY	157 889	67 773	1 483 108	100.0	51.8	43.5	22.5	4.5	21.2	-
KILLEEN	46 296	10 516	(NA)	100.0	67.9	49.3	3.1	6.5	22.5	-
LAREDO SMSA	99 258	34 564	2 214 841	100.0	29.6	28.4	12.1	3.7	21.2	33.4
WEBB COUNTY	99 258	34 564	2 214 841	100.0	29.6	28.4	12.1	3.7	21.2	33.4
LAREDO	91 449	22 451	(NA)	100.0	61.9	59.7	2.8	7.5	27.7	-
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL SMSA	151 752	164 449	4 092 176	100.0	18.2	16.3	1.0	1.0	15.3	64.6
GREGG COUNTY	99 487	98 979	3 101 298	100.0	17.5	15.4	0.7	1.1	9.0	71.8
LONGVIEW (PART)	61 085	30 651	(NA)	100.0	62.7	52.6	0.4	4.2	31.9	0.8
HARRISON COUNTY	52 265	65 470	990 878	100.0	20.9	20.0	2.4	0.5	40.6	35.5
LONGVIEW (PART)	1 677	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LUBBOCK SMSA	211 651	78 517	1 364 527	100.0	59.0	52.2	9.6	2.8	20.1	8.6
LUBBOCK COUNTY	211 651	78 517	1 364 527	100.0	59.0	52.2	9.6	2.8	20.1	8.6
LUBBOCK	173 979	61 469	(NA)	100.0	72.6	63.7	0.2	2.8	24.4	0.1
MCALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA	283 229	139 392	2 826 360	100.0	43.2	39.6	10.4	5.4	14.8	26.2
HIDALGO COUNTY	283 229	139 392	2 826 360	100.0	43.2	39.6	10.4	5.4	14.8	26.2
MCALLEN	67 042	24 543	(NA)	100.0	61.3	53.4	3.6	7.3	23.4	4.4
MIDLAND SMSA	82 636	73 406	1 333 315	100.0	28.7	26.7	5.6	0.1	19.4	46.1
MIDLAND COUNTY	82 636	73 406	1 333 315	100.0	28.7	26.7	5.6	0.1	19.4	46.1
MIDLAND	70 525	31 191	(NA)	100.0	57.9	53.7	5.9	0.2	36.0	-
ODESSA SMSA	115 374	50 573	4 020 933	100.0	16.1	14.0	0.9	0.9	6.8	75.3
ECTOR COUNTY	115 374	50 573	4 020 933	100.0	16.1	14.0	0.9	0.9	6.8	75.3
ODESSA	90 027	24 833	(NA)	100.0	72.2	60.7	-	0.9	26.8	0.1
SAN ANGELO SMSA	84 784	47 687	783 782	100.0	49.3	43.4	7.0	1.7	24.9	17.1
TOM GREEN COUNTY	84 784	47 687	783 782	100.0	49.3	43.4	7.0	1.7	24.9	17.1
SAN ANGELO	73 240	35 101	(NA)	100.0	63.7	55.1	-	1.7	34.6	-
SAN ANTONIO SMSA:										
BEXAR COUNTY	988 800	333 452	8 058 780	100.0	60.0	58.9	2.9	6.7	28.8	1.6
SAN ANTONIO	785 410	129 551	(NA)	100.0	55.5	55.3	0.7	7.3	35.0	1.5
GUADALUPE COUNTY	46 708	31 992	625 567	100.0	41.8	41.4	30.8	5.5	5.1	16.8
SHERMAN-DENNISON SMSA	89 796	65 902	1 571 949	100.0	50.4	48.1	19.8	1.4	15.5	12.9
GRAYSON COUNTY	89 796	65 902	1 571 949	100.0	50.4	48.1	19.8	1.4	15.5	12.9
TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA	75 301	37 493	556 629	100.0	50.6	46.7	14.5	2.8	30.7	1.2
BOWIE COUNTY	75 301	37 493	556 629	100.0	50.6	46.7	14.5	2.8	30.7	1.2
TYLER SMSA	128 366	84 623	1 681 645	100.0	54.7	49.0	9.6	4.5	18.0	13.2
SMITH COUNTY	128 366	84 623	1 681 645	100.0	54.7	49.0	9.6	4.5	18.0	13.2
TYLER	70 508	27 164	(NA)	100.0	63.2	55.4	0.9	4.0	31.8	-
WACO SMSA	170 755	71 200	1 299 210	100.0	56.7	49.7	8.6	2.0	32.7	-
MCLENNAN COUNTY	170 755	71 200	1 299 210	100.0	56.7	49.7	8.6	2.0	32.7	-
WACO	101 261	32 728	(NA)	100.0	51.3	40.6	0.8	2.1	45.8	-
WICHITA FALLS SMSA:										
WICHITA COUNTY	121 082	47 319	1 433 964	100.0	61.8	57.0	5.2	1.5	23.8	7.7
WICHITA FALLS (PART)	94 201	24 032	(NA)	100.0	71.1	62.7	-	2.0	26.9	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)			Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														TEXAS--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA--CON.
60	1 558	3 236	48.2	11.4	40.9	8.0	35.7	20.1	10 871	317	29 119	657	60 447	ROCKWALL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALLAS (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARLAND (PART)
2 910	55 470	187 826	29.5	2.8	32.4	5.1	28.0	49.8	348 068	7 204	20 697	24 393	70 081	TARRANT COUNTY
510	14 567	45 701	31.9	(X)	34.3	(X)	37.8	22.8	62 685	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ARLINGTON
1 110	16 086	62 130	25.9	(X)	35.4	(X)	27.8	58.9	144 169	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT WORTH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)
196	1 739	5 485	31.7	10.9	38.6	18.6	27.5	90.4	54 631	876	16 043	2 763	50 577	WISE COUNTY
1 320	53 460	65 867	81.2	4.6	70.6	10.6	79.9	27.9	233 346	6 662	28 548	8 208	35 175	EL PASO SMSA
1 320	53 460	65 867	81.2	4.6	70.6	10.6	79.9	27.9	233 346	6 662	28 548	8 208	35 175	EL PASO COUNTY
1 050	52 834	63 499	83.2	(X)	78.6	(X)	82.3	11.3	113 594	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	EL PASO
1 260	43 540	52 056	83.6	6.8	96.2	17.4	84.6	34.7	133 681	6 336	47 399	7 576	56 672	GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA
1 260	43 540	52 056	83.6	6.8	96.2	17.4	84.6	34.7	133 681	6 336	47 399	7 576	56 672	GALVESTON COUNTY
360	20 074	25 140	79.8	(X)	76.5	(X)	82.2	29.4	24 754	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	GALVESTON
1 280	18 541	59 850	31.0	7.4	30.5	7.5	24.5	69.9	121 332	5 555	45 785	17 932	147 791	HOUSTON SMSA:
736	24 788	44 422	55.8	8.0	52.7	8.9	56.7	31.1	73 931	4 164	56 325	7 462	100 933	BRAZORIA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FORT BEND COUNTY
11 346	375 147	1 025 352	36.6	3.9	41.2	2.8	40.6	38.6	886 360	46 781	52 779	127 863	144 256	HOUSTON (PART)
427	9 680	19 795	48.9	(X)	48.2	(X)	50.8	33.5	26 683	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	HARRIS COUNTY
6 161	197 715	592 152	33.4	(X)	38.6	(X)	37.0	43.4	349 684	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BAYTOWN (PART)
549	12 333	33 756	36.5	(X)	35.1	(X)	31.1	27.2	52 866	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	HOUSTON (PART)
234	2 534	3 004	84.4	24.1	128.5	85.6	73.3	95.6	69 933	1 134	16 209	1 344	19 213	PASADENA
540	9 239	25 727	35.9	10.9	47.5	21.8	34.1	80.6	137 099	3 251	23 714	9 053	66 030	LIBERTY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
														HOUSTON (PART)
750	16 834	34 207	49.2	14.4	53.0	8.7	57.6	31.7	67 773	1 483	21 883	3 014	44 466	KILLEEN-TEMPLE SMSA:
180	5 098	7 087	71.9	(X)	73.5	(X)	72.3	12.3	10 516	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BELL COUNTY
														KILLEEN
105	3 047	6 608	46.1	10.4	41.9	7.2	40.1	19.1	34 564	2 215	64 079	4 800	138 871	LAREDO SMSA
105	3 047	6 608	46.1	10.4	41.9	7.2	40.1	19.1	34 564	2 215	64 079	4 800	138 871	WEBB COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAREDO
649	4 593	17 154	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	164 449	4 092	24 884	15 284	92 938	LONGVIEW-MARSHALL SMSA
425	3 420	11 796	29.0	4.7	35.2	6.5	31.8	34.3	98 979	3 101	31 333	10 694	108 042	GREGG COUNTY
275	1 978	5 720	34.6	(X)	41.5	(X)	40.6	26.8	30 651	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LONGVIEW (PART)
224	1 173	5 358	21.9	9.1	56.5	42.9	31.8	(3)	65 470	991	15 135	4 520	69 042	HARRISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LONGVIEW (PART)
1 470	16 615	47 638	34.9	5.4	37.9	6.5	30.8	41.0	78 517	1 365	17 379	3 913	49 830	LUBBOCK SMSA
1 470	16 615	47 638	34.9	5.4	37.9	6.5	30.8	41.0	78 517	1 365	17 379	3 913	49 830	LUBBOCK COUNTY
1 350	15 776	44 953	35.1	(X)	36.8	(X)	30.8	38.2	61 469	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LUBBOCK
580	8 275	35 086	23.6	14.4	43.4	10.2	43.5	56.9	139 392	2 826	20 276	11 980	85 946	MCALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA
580	8 275	35 086	23.6	14.4	43.4	10.2	43.5	56.9	139 392	2 826	20 276	11 980	85 946	HIDALGO COUNTY
100	873	3 619	24.1	(X)	31.2	(X)	28.4	44.7	24 543	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MCALLEN
195	1 072	13 207	8.1	6.9	12.8	5.5	10.0	78.1	73 406	1 333	18 164	16 428	223 792	MIDLAND SMSA
195	1 072	13 207	8.1	6.9	12.8	5.5	10.0	78.1	73 406	1 333	18 164	16 428	223 792	MIDLAND COUNTY
180	1 065	8 168	13.0	(X)	13.9	(X)	10.6	72.1	31 191	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MIDLAND
266	1 063	3 239	32.9	7.2	39.0	14.2	32.1	61.8	50 573	4 021	79 508	12 240	242 027	ODESSA SMSA
266	1 063	3 239	32.9	7.2	39.0	14.2	32.1	61.8	50 573	4 021	79 508	12 240	242 027	ECTOR COUNTY
154	526	2 117	29.6	(X)	32.7	(X)	18.8	92.7	24 833	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ODESSA
108	1 306	3 229	40.4	15.7	30.7	11.2	26.9	59.1	47 687	784	16 436	1 938	40 645	SAN ANGELO SMSA
108	1 306	3 229	40.4	15.7	30.7	11.2	26.9	59.1	47 687	784	16 436	1 938	40 645	TOM GREEN COUNTY
90	1 294	3 033	42.7	(X)	34.9	(X)	33.8	43.2	35 101	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SAN ANGELO
1 860	30 147	85 414	35.3	3.2	38.3	2.6	41.0	23.3	333 452	8 059	24 168	22 833	68 476	SAN ANTONIO SMSA:
1 260	26 192	72 945	35.9	(X)	38.7	(X)	40.8	23.6	129 551	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BEXAR COUNTY[7]
168	1 305	6 658	19.6	6.0	31.9	10.8	29.0	58.2	31 992	626	19 554	3 190	99 704	SAN ANTONIO
360	5 863	10 030	58.5	17.0	58.4	14.6	57.2	44.0	65 902	1 572	23 853	2 689	40 800	GUADALUPE COUNTY
360	5 863	10 030	58.5	17.0	58.4	14.6	57.2	44.0	65 902	1 572	23 853	2 689	40 800	SHERMAN-DENNISON SMSA
														GRAYSON COUNTY
168	3 023	6 239	48.4	8.4	49.3	12.7	44.2	35.8	37 493	557	14 846	1 149	30 644	TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA*
168	3 023	6 239	48.4	8.4	49.3	12.7	44.2	35.8	37 493	557	14 846	1 149	30 644	BOWIE COUNTY
870	6 981	14 230	49.1	7.6	45.1	10.5	30.8	46.5	84 623	1 682	19 872	3 428	40 513	TYLER SMSA
870	6 981	14 230	49.1	7.6	45.1	10.5	30.8	46.5	84 623	1 682	19 872	3 428	40 513	SMITH COUNTY
150	4 680	8 016	58.4	(X)	56.3	(X)	54.5	7.5	27 164	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	TYLER
390	4 965	11 061	44.9	12.5	40.0	12.7	38.4	55.4	71 200	1 299	18 247	2 894	40 652	WACO SMSA
390	4 965	11 061	44.9	12.5	40.0	12.7	38.4	55.4	71 200	1 299	18 247	2 894	40 652	MCLENNAN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WACO
675	12 994	25 066	51.8	5.3	51.6	6.0	53.1	23.6	47 319	1 434	30 304	2 766	58 461	WICHITA FALLS SMSA:
550	12 115	23 212	52.2	(X)	51.3	(X)	54.4	21.7	24 032	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	WICHITA COUNTY
														WICHITA FALLS (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
TEXAS--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA--CONTINUED														
ROCKWALL COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
DALLAS (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
GARLAND (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TARRANT COUNTY	2 190	42 587	138 273	30.8	3.2	33.5	5.8	29.0	43.8	247 523	3 813 353	15 404	12 381	50 020
ARLINGTON	480	11 432	32 051	35.7	(X)	35.0	(X)	38.7	21.2	47 009	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
FORT WORTH	900	14 287	56 347	25.4	(X)	32.5	(X)	25.9	55.9	106 050	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WISE COUNTY	70	566	1 981	28.6	19.3	42.0	31.9	27.2	(3)	8 400	102 229	12 170	358	42 573
EL PASO SMSA	840	40 037	49 037	81.6	2.7	80.3	3.0	82.3	7.8	92 424	3 798 110	41 094	4 652	50 333
EL PASO COUNTY	840	40 037	49 037	81.6	2.7	80.3	3.0	82.3	7.8	92 424	3 798 110	41 094	4 652	50 333
EL PASO	840	40 037	49 037	81.6	(X)	80.3	(X)	82.3	7.8	84 900	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA	660	37 766	43 941	85.9	6.3	83.2	7.5	83.0	15.9	59 217	2 922 014	49 344	3 400	57 413
GALVESTON COUNTY	660	37 766	43 941	85.9	6.3	83.2	7.5	83.0	15.9	59 217	2 922 014	49 344	3 400	57 413
GALVESTON	240	18 591	22 017	84.4	(X)	84.4	(X)	82.2	15.0	14 864	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOUSTON SMSA:														
BRAZORIA COUNTY	360	6 606	13 623	48.5	7.6	41.7	10.7	40.8	35.5	42 272	1 028 778	24 337	2 122	50 191
FORT BEND COUNTY	480	21 300	34 403	61.9	6.9	63.0	8.0	59.1	21.3	32 912	2 009 520	61 057	3 245	98 611
HOUSTON (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HARRIS COUNTY	8 479	294 269	692 392	42.5	3.4	44.0	3.1	44.2	34.2	632 777	17 572 643	27 771	41 347	65 343
BAYTOWN (PART)	305	8 553	17 629	48.5	(X)	47.0	(X)	50.8	39.4	18 304	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HOUSTON (PART)	4 819	154 150	395 311	39.0	(X)	42.1	(X)	38.9	41.2	285 934	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PASADENA	488	8 929	24 606	36.3	(X)	34.8	(X)	30.2	29.0	44 449	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LIBERTY COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HOUSTON (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
KILLEEN-TEMPLE SMSA:														
BELL COUNTY	510	14 764	30 295	48.7	15.9	55.3	9.7	54.3	31.1	29 984	645 407	21 525	1 324	44 169
KILLEEN	150	4 857	6 727	72.2	(X)	74.8	(X)	77.7	11.0	7 136	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
LAREDO SMSA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WEBB COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LAREDO	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL SMSA	373	3 722	12 038	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 254	669 056	14 465	2 164	46 783
GREGG COUNTY	275	2 930	9 838	29.8	3.5	32.3	6.3	30.4	23.4	28 320	479 115	16 918	1 608	56 790
LONGVIEW (PART)	175	1 664	5 112	32.6	(X)	35.6	(X)	33.3	24.2	15 552	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
HARRISON COUNTY	98	792	2 200	36.1	4.5	84.6	86.4	36.3	(3)	17 934	197 785	11 028	549	30 586
LONGVIEW (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LUBBOCK SMSA	990	13 526	41 643	32.5	3.8	34.5	5.6	31.8	28.2	51 232	711 670	13 891	2 191	42 768
LUBBOCK COUNTY	990	13 526	41 643	32.5	3.8	34.5	5.6	31.8	28.2	51 232	711 670	13 891	2 191	42 768
LUBBOCK	930	12 893	39 303	32.8	(X)	34.9	(X)	32.6	28.4	45 536	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MCALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA	200	4 189	8 614	48.6	15.7	51.7	14.6	55.3	35.2	66 515	1 120 565	16 847	2 304	34 635
HIDALGO COUNTY	200	4 189	8 614	48.6	15.7	51.7	14.6	55.3	35.2	66 515	1 120 565	16 847	2 304	34 635
MCALLEN	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MIDLAND SMSA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MIDLAND COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MIDLAND	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ODESSA SMSA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ECTOR COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
ODESSA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SAN ANGELO SMSA	45	623	1 620	38.5	11.4	32.1	11.5	35.7	31.8	27 440	340 526	12 410	884	32 233
TOM GREEN COUNTY	45	623	1 620	38.5	11.4	32.1	11.5	35.7	31.8	27 440	340 526	12 410	884	32 233
SAN ANGELO	45	623	1 620	38.5	(X)	32.1	(X)	35.7	31.8	24 000	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SAN ANTONIO SMSA:														
BEXAR COUNTY	1 040	24 593	63 435	38.8	2.8	40.9	3.2	41.6	21.1	240 688	4 743 006	19 706	12 234	50 831
SAN ANTONIO	940	22 709	58 515	38.8	(X)	41.2	(X)	41.7	20.1	98 916	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
GUADALUPE COUNTY	60	216	1 229	17.6	8.9	28.8	16.4	24.7	58.5	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
SHERMAN-DENNISON SMSA	220	4 568	6 370	71.7	12.1	74.3	14.5	72.8	28.5	30 032	756 796	25 200	1 055	35 138
GRAYSON COUNTY	220	4 568	6 370	71.7	12.1	74.3	14.5	72.8	28.5	30 032	756 796	25 200	1 055	35 138
TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA	126	2 849	5 686	50.1	8.9	56.2	13.5	48.7	31.1	22 087	259 925	11 768	519	23 487
BOWIE COUNTY	126	2 849	5 686	50.1	8.9	56.2	13.5	48.7	31.1	22 087	259 925	11 768	519	23 487
TYLER SMSA	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SMITH COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TYLER	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WACO SMSA	210	4 600	8 526	54.0	10.0	54.1	10.0	61.4	18.0	45 120	645 262	14 301	1 196	26 506
MCLENNAN COUNTY	210	4 600	8 526	54.0	10.0	54.1	10.0	61.4	18.0	45 120	645 262	14 301	1 196	26 506
WACO	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WICHITA FALLS SMSA:														
WICHITA COUNTY	475	11 188	21 470	52.1	5.1	51.6	5.6	53.1	19.0	32 281	816 923	25 307	1 568	48 564
WICHITA FALLS (PART)	425	10 590	20 032	52.9	(X)	53.1	(X)	55.7	16.9	20 821	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														TEXAS--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA--CON.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCKWALL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DALLAS (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GARLAND (PART)
420	1 366	5 479	24.9	11.1	29.0	15.9	15.9	(3)	77 370	182 772	2 362	733	9 475	TARRANT COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARLINGTON
150	936	1 980	47.3	(X)	57.5	(X)	43.3	48.2	27 779	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT WORTH
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND PRAIRIE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WISE COUNTY
360	3 232	5 002	64.6	23.0	45.3	33.1	23.4	(3)	101 936	523 696	5 137	811	7 952	EL PASO SMSA
360	3 232	5 002	64.6	23.0	45.3	33.1	23.4	(3)	101 936	523 696	5 137	811	7 952	EL PASO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EL PASO
540	4 567	6 129	74.5	20.7	115.0	36.9	88.8	52.5	42 625	450 487	10 569	605	14 183	GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY SMSA
540	4 567	6 129	74.5	20.7	115.0	36.9	88.8	52.5	42 625	450 487	10 569	605	14 183	GALVESTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GALVESTON
440	1 350	4 664	28.9	22.4	27.6	14.7	20.8	84.1	40 768	122 255	2 999	422	10 360	HOUSTON SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BRAZORIA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FORT BEND COUNTY
1 647	17 370	90 926	19.1	12.1	37.3	7.8	41.7	40.7	166 294	1 710 448	10 286	8 954	53 844	HARRIS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BAYTOWN (PART)
305	1 107	3 081	35.9	(X)	33.8	(X)	39.2	44.2	36 836	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	HOUSTON (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PASADENA
156	896	1 132	79.2	47.5	153.7	122.9	71.5	(3)	30 800	66 519	2 160	84	2 726	LIBERTY COUNTY
450	3 897	11 745	33.2	7.8	49.6	25.7	29.2	99.3	81 089	312 416	3 853	942	11 611	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HOUSTON (PART)
150	595	1 836	32.4	18.3	36.8	21.7	31.0	70.7	20 993	67 163	3 199	207	9 865	KILLEEN-TEMPLE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BELL COUNTY
														KILLEEN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAREDO SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEBB COUNTY
														LAREDO
178	508	2 094	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	22 212	39 349	1 772	162	7 302	LONGVIEW-MARSHALL SMSA
150	490	1 958	25.0	18.4	40.6	13.4	45.9	30.5	17 088	33 297	1 949	133	7 784	GREGG COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LONGVIEW (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HARRISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LONGVIEW (PART)
360	717	2 260	31.7	11.8	43.6	20.1	29.8	65.6	16 000	38 226	2 389	121	7 531	LUBBOCK SMSA
360	717	2 260	31.7	11.8	43.6	20.1	29.8	65.6	16 000	38 226	2 389	121	7 531	LUBBOCK COUNTY
330	627	2 170	28.9	(X)	38.4	(X)	29.8	50.0	12 096	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	LUBBOCK
280	959	2 212	43.4	13.1	45.0	14.7	40.4	59.4	33 778	152 428	4 513	351	10 405	MCALLEN-PHARR-EDINBURG SMSA
280	959	2 212	43.4	13.1	45.0	14.7	40.4	59.4	33 778	152 428	4 513	351	10 405	HIDALGO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCALLEN
135	365	2 768	13.2	5.3	14.4	7.3	10.0	91.1	3 072	1 421	463	11	3 512	MIDLAND SMSA
135	365	2 768	13.2	5.3	14.4	7.3	10.0	91.1	3 072	1 421	463	11	3 512	MIDLAND COUNTY
135	365	2 768	13.2	(X)	14.4	(X)	10.0	91.1	2 688	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	MIDLAND
238	943	2 910	32.4	7.5	39.9	15.5	32.1	62.0	14 080	36 876	2 619	114	8 075	ODESSA SMSA
238	943	2 910	32.4	7.5	39.9	15.5	32.1	62.0	14 080	36 876	2 619	114	8 075	ECTOR COUNTY
126	506	1 788	28.3	(X)	33.0	(X)	18.8	90.2	2 400	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	ODESSA
54	614	1 294	47.4	32.2	30.9	20.1	23.7	84.7	11 200	13 327	1 190	28	2 512	SAN ANGELO SMSA
54	614	1 294	47.4	32.2	30.9	20.1	23.7	84.7	11 200	13 327	1 190	28	2 512	TOM GREEN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN ANGELO
660	2 194	10 469	21.0	7.7	34.9	4.6	42.1	24.4	66 626	543 418	8 156	2 593	38 924	SAN ANTONIO SMSA:
180	656	4 750	13.8	(X)	28.1	(X)	31.3	31.6	25 009	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BEXAR COUNTY* *
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SAN ANTONIO
														GUADALUPE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SHERMAN-DENNISON SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAYSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOWIE COUNTY
690	1 579	4 315	36.6	5.4	43.2	12.9	30.8	40.5	18 560	75 793	4 084	207	11 161	TYLER SMSA
690	1 579	4 315	36.6	5.4	43.2	12.9	30.8	40.5	18 560	75 793	4 084	207	11 161	SMITH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TYLER
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WACO SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MCLENNAN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WACO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WICHITA FALLS SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WICHITA COUNTY
														WICHITA FALLS (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
UTAH										
SMSA PORTION	1 154 361	412 866	3 132 244	100.0	70.1	62.9	2.5	4.6	19.3	3.4
PROVO-OREM SMSA	218 106	76 512	464 550	100.0	81.2	75.5	2.1	5.5	11.3	-
UTAH COUNTY	218 106	76 512	464 550	100.0	81.2	75.5	2.1	5.5	11.3	-
PROVO	73 907	16 428	136 054	100.0	79.3	66.3	-	4.4	16.3	-
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN SMSA	936 255	336 354	2 667 694	100.0	68.2	60.8	2.6	4.5	20.6	4.0
DAVIS COUNTY	146 540	51 901	329 264	100.0	71.6	67.8	5.5	4.2	18.8	-
SALT LAKE COUNTY	619 066	214 844	1 902 466	100.0	67.3	58.9	0.8	4.8	21.4	5.7
SALT LAKE CITY	163 033	57 490	601 135	100.0	51.8	39.4	-	3.1	34.3	10.7
TOOELE COUNTY	26 033	11 104	39 984	100.0	78.3	78.3	12.8	1.8	7.1	-
WEBER COUNTY	144 616	58 505	395 980	100.0	68.8	62.0	8.0	3.4	19.8	(Z)
OGDEN	64 407	28 570	181 836	100.0	65.3	55.5	2.2	3.5	29.0	(Z)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
CACHE COUNTY	57 176	29 207	125 399	100.0	59.6	55.4	18.1	1.3	21.0	-
VIRGINIA										
SMSA PORTION	3 495 481	1 232 443	76 513 470	100.0	72.9	65.7	6.0	4.6	16.4	0.1
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*										
ARLINGTON COUNTY	152 599	42 010	6 471 691	100.0	72.7	58.5	-	3.0	24.4	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY	596 901	206 213	20 025 948	100.0	80.2	74.7	(Z)	7.3	12.2	0.3
LOUDOUN COUNTY	57 427	23 538	1 943 623	100.0	52.8	52.8	30.8	3.5	12.8	-
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	144 703	59 128	3 106 379	100.0	78.5	74.2	2.9	10.8	7.7	-
ALEXANDRIA CITY	103 217	27 557	3 738 435	100.0	80.4	63.6	0.8	3.7	15.0	0.1
FAIRFAX CITY	19 390	6 340	721 391	100.0	73.1	63.6	-	1.7	25.3	-
MANASSAS CITY	15 438	6 386	427 461	100.0	72.7	68.2	0.6	6.4	20.3	-
MANASSAS PARK CITY	6 524	2 068	73 487	100.0	93.8	85.8	0.6	3.3	2.3	-
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA*										
BRISTOL CITY	19 042	8 918	290 195	100.0	65.5	63.5	-	3.1	31.3	-
LYNCHBURG SMSA:										
CAMPBELL COUNTY	45 424	21 991	764 444	100.0	67.8	66.1	20.6	5.2	6.4	-
LYNCHBURG CITY	66 743	27 303	1 120 239	100.0	71.0	62.1	1.3	1.8	25.9	-
NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON SMSA:										
JAMES CITY COUNTY	22 763	9 454	678 750	100.0	48.2	45.2	9.2	5.5	37.1	-
YORK COUNTY	35 463	11 314	572 815	100.0	72.7	71.4	3.9	4.5	18.9	-
HAMPTON CITY	122 617	33 306	1 695 875	100.0	74.6	69.3	0.8	2.3	22.3	-
NEWPORT NEWS CITY	144 903	36 736	2 257 131	100.0	69.5	52.1	0.1	3.2	27.2	-
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*	795 602	247 524	12 935 544	100.0	73.1	66.2	6.7	3.1	17.1	0.1
CHESAPEAKE CITY	114 226	41 359	2 102 790	100.0	66.1	61.8	15.9	2.9	15.1	-
NORFOLK CITY	266 979	67 808	3 054 030	100.0	73.5	63.2	-	2.8	23.5	0.2
PORTSMOUTH CITY	104 577	30 505	1 325 853	100.0	77.3	69.6	2.1	2.3	18.3	-
SUFFOLK CITY	47 621	21 189	647 524	100.0	53.5	51.0	31.1	3.6	11.8	-
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	262 199	66 663	5 805 347	100.0	76.6	70.2	5.1	3.4	14.9	-
PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS-HOPEWELL SMSA:										
DINWIDDIE COUNTY	22 602	10 898	304 756	100.0	44.0	43.4	48.5	2.8	4.8	-
COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY	16 509	6 776	290 269	100.0	85.5	75.2	0.3	2.4	11.9	-
HOPEWELL CITY	23 397	10 846	355 920	100.0	72.1	64.1	0.6	1.6	25.6	-
PETERSBURG CITY	41 055	14 073	497 500	100.0	65.5	54.9	4.9	3.0	26.7	-
RICHMOND SMSA:										
CHARLES CITY COUNTY	6 692	3 761	105 058	100.0	38.3	38.3	60.7	1.0	-	-
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY	141 372	58 215	2 996 065	100.0	73.5	70.2	9.6	4.2	12.7	-
GOOCHLAND COUNTY	11 761	8 792	296 323	100.0	38.9	38.9	50.4	5.3	5.4	-
HANOVER COUNTY	50 398	22 616	1 044 151	100.0	56.7	56.0	29.4	0.2	13.7	-
HENRICO COUNTY	180 735	66 264	3 576 227	100.0	73.5	65.7	7.3	2.8	16.4	-
NEW KENT COUNTY	8 781	7 217	164 862	100.0	38.8	38.8	43.1	10.7	7.4	-
RICHMOND CITY	219 214	69 495	3 568 314	100.0	68.9	55.4	-	2.5	28.7	-
ROANOKE SMSA:										
BOTETOURT COUNTY	23 270	12 843	364 421	100.0	56.1	54.8	30.4	3.4	10.0	-
ROANOKE CITY	100 427	42 053	1 377 015	100.0	80.8	73.5	1.4	2.5	15.3	-
SALEM CITY	23 958	8 223	399 511	100.0	65.7	59.9	1.5	2.6	30.2	-
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
ALBEMARLE COUNTY	50 689	23 159	1 299 621	100.0	57.4	50.6	28.1	3.4	11.1	-
WASHINGTON										
SMSA PORTION	2 943 447	1 256 992	73 779 936	100.0	70.5	63.1	4.5	5.3	19.6	0.1
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*	192 227	82 717	4 511 330	100.0	72.8	66.8	7.8	3.9	15.4	0.1
CLARK COUNTY	192 227	82 717	4 511 330	100.0	72.8	66.8	7.8	3.9	15.4	0.1
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO SMSA	144 469	60 447	3 542 084	100.0	56.8	48.1	19.3	4.8	19.0	-
BENTON COUNTY	109 444	44 893	2 638 707	100.0	64.6	54.0	11.7	4.8	18.9	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY	35 025	15 554	903 377	100.0	33.8	30.7	41.9	4.9	19.4	-
SEATTLE-EVERETT SMSA	1 606 765	682 770	49 150 969	100.0	72.6	64.6	2.0	5.4	20.0	0.1
KING COUNTY	1 269 749	510 938	40 054 842	100.0	72.9	64.6	0.1	6.0	20.9	(Z)
BELLEVUE	73 903	26 287	(NA)	100.0	70.5	63.7	0.3	4.7	24.4	-
SEATTLE	493 846	179 261	(NA)	100.0	69.7	56.9	0.1	2.3	27.9	0.1
SNOHOMISH COUNTY	337 016	171 832	9 096 127	100.0	70.6	65.0	12.4	1.6	14.8	0.7
EVERETT	54 413	22 256	(NA)	100.0	48.8	37.3	4.4	2.4	43.0	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														UTAH
3 427	24 537	230 207	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	412 866	3 132	7 587	29 387	71 178	SMSA PORTION
648	3 729	43 700	8.5	1.6	11.7	3.2	9.8	44.1	76 512	465	6 072	5 443	71 145	PROVO-OREM SMSA
648	3 729	43 700	8.5	1.6	11.7	3.2	9.8	44.1	76 512	465	6 072	5 443	71 145	UTAH COUNTY
192	1 084	12 671	8.6	(X)	9.7	(X)	9.9	19.5	16 428	136	8 282	1 587	96 626	PROVO
2 779	20 808	186 507	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	336 354	2 668	7 931	23 911	71 089	SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN SMSA
483	2 943	29 256	10.1	2.0	13.2	2.3	10.2	55.0	51 901	329	6 344	3 275	63 106	DAVIS COUNTY
1 870	15 365	133 548	11.5	0.8	14.0	1.7	11.5	39.3	214 844	1 902	8 855	16 530	76 940	SALT LAKE COUNTY
440	3 080	27 161	11.3	(X)	13.8	(X)	10.6	50.5	57 490	601	10 456	5 298	92 161	SALT LAKE CITY
90	442	4 236	10.4	1.5	10.2	1.9	10.9	27.5	11 104	40	3 601	383	34 485	TOOELE COUNTY
336	2 058	19 467	10.6	1.0	32.1	34.7	11.2	(3)	58 505	396	6 768	3 749	64 080	WEBER COUNTY
132	829	8 682	9.5	(X)	12.0	(X)	10.7	31.9	28 570	182	6 365	1 906	66 709	OGDEN
208	833	8 283	10.1	1.3	10.9	2.5	9.7	43.5	29 207	125	4 293	1 248	42 719	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: CACHE COUNTY
														VIRGINIA
13 929	880 624	1 105 593	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 232 443	76 513	62 083	96 060	77 943	SMSA PORTION
510	67 719	84 278	80.4	8.1	87.2	3.4	87.9	9.8	42 010	6 472	154 051	8 054	191 723	WASHNGTN, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA* ARLINGTON COUNTY
3 366	291 017	379 125	76.8	5.8	79.3	1.7	80.0	9.8	206 213	20 026	97 113	26 089	126 514	FAIRFAX COUNTY
312	23 156	28 128	82.3	6.6	86.9	4.4	89.2	12.7	23 538	1 944	82 574	2 361	100 307	LOUDOUN COUNTY
900	49 156	64 307	76.4	4.4	76.9	5.5	78.8	13.9	59 128	3 106	52 537	4 064	68 730	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
300	36 096	47 362	76.2	10.4	85.8	4.2	88.7	9.2	27 557	3 738	135 662	4 905	178 003	ALEXANDRIA CITY
92	8 575	8 726	98.3	2.2	99.8	2.2	97.6	5.2	6 340	721	113 784	734	115 783	FAIRFAX CITY
102	8 632	9 277	93.0	2.7	91.0	3.1	92.2	6.0	6 386	427	66 937	459	71 942	MANASSAS CITY
28	1 000	1 295	77.2	2.6	77.4	2.7	77.4	7.4	2 068	73	35 535	95	46 011	MANASSAS PARK CITY
44	1 440	1 965	73.3	14.9	77.8	9.6	80.2	15.3	8 918	290	32 540	396	44 395	JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA:* BRISTOL CITY
228	8 743	9 891	88.4	5.6	85.1	6.1	86.8	15.9	21 991	764	34 762	865	39 334	LYNCHBURG SMSA: CAMPBELL COUNTY
246	10 890	12 454	87.4	3.4	88.8	5.5	89.6	12.9	27 303	1 120	41 030	1 281	46 928	LYNCHBURG CITY
132	4 416	5 282	83.6	8.5	84.7	8.0	93.2	13.6	9 454	679	71 795	812	85 877	NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON SMSA: JAMES CITY COUNTY
168	7 998	11 052	72.4	11.0	74.3	7.0	73.7	18.1	11 314	573	50 629	792	69 970	YORK COUNTY
816	38 926	46 607	83.5	3.0	86.3	4.3	82.5	10.6	33 306	1 696	50 918	2 030	60 965	HAMPTON CITY
340	16 687	20 419	81.7	5.6	85.1	4.0	85.9	10.6	36 736	2 257	61 442	2 762	75 183	NEWPORT NEWS CITY
2 507	135 750	167 830	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	247 524	12 936	52 260	15 992	64 610	NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*
266	15 394	19 062	80.8	13.7	91.4	6.4	93.9	10.8	41 359	2 103	50 842	2 604	62 957	CHESAPEAKE CITY
705	36 618	50 166	73.0	12.3	108.6	48.4	83.8	45.1	67 808	3 054	45 039	4 184	61 702	NORFOLK CITY
252	9 632	11 111	86.7	6.4	86.3	6.3	87.4	13.0	30 505	1 326	43 463	1 529	50 138	PORTSMOUTH CITY
192	6 241	7 941	78.6	7.1	103.7	40.3	80.6	42.0	21 189	648	30 559	824	38 886	SUFFOLK CITY
1 092	67 865	79 550	85.3	5.5	84.6	4.1	82.0	10.2	86 663	5 805	66 988	6 805	78 520	VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
40	1 372	1 462	93.8	14.4	87.4	19.6	83.8	36.8	10 898	305	27 964	325	29 810	PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS-HOPEWELL SMSA: DINWIDDIE COUNTY
54	1 948	2 139	91.1	4.8	92.7	6.8	90.1	13.9	6 776	290	42 838	319	47 047	COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY
55	1 364	1 565	87.2	8.9	116.5	38.2	92.2	42.4	10 846	356	32 816	408	37 618	HOPEWELL CITY
84	2 222	2 467	90.1	6.3	115.9	45.5	91.7	42.1	14 073	498	35 351	552	39 242	PETERSBURG CITY
10	573	676	84.7	1.7	127.2	21.6	120.0	24.8	3 761	105	27 934	124	32 961	RICHMOND SMSA: CHARLES CITY COUNTY
722	43 944	50 397	87.2	4.1	91.1	9.3	88.6	12.9	58 215	2 996	51 466	3 436	59 024	CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
48	1 226	1 796	68.3	8.7	66.0	8.0	68.3	16.2	8 792	296	33 704	434	49 332	GOOCHLAND COUNTY
128	3 746	4 856	77.1	7.7	81.4	7.2	79.0	22.5	22 616	1 044	46 169	1 354	59 868	HANOVER COUNTY
624	28 836	35 823	80.5	2.9	82.5	5.5	82.3	13.3	66 264	3 576	53 969	4 442	67 041	HENRICO COUNTY
32	573	806	71.1	11.3	67.8	14.4	66.0	29.6	7 217	165	22 844	232	32 129	NEW KENT COUNTY
660	34 165	42 567	80.3	5.7	82.9	5.4	85.3	18.1	69 495	3 568	51 346	4 446	63 971	RICHMOND CITY
80	2 814	3 428	82.1	9.8	95.9	30.2	79.7	45.4	12 843	364	28 375	444	34 551	ROANOKE SMSA: BOTETOURT COUNTY
324	9 530	14 309	66.6	11.1	71.3	10.0	74.8	31.9	42 053	1 377	32 745	2 067	49 161	ROANOKE CITY
88	2 976	4 004	74.3	5.0	87.6	25.1	76.8	35.0	8 223	400	48 585	537	65 361	SALEM CITY
200	10 877	12 371	87.9	4.2	88.7	4.4	87.5	9.8	23 159	1 300	56 117	1 478	63 823	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: ALBEMARLE COUNTY
														WASHINGTON
7 018	366 217	510 268	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 256 992	73 780	58 696	102 801	81 783	SMSA PORTION
215	8 869	13 809	64.2	23.6	79.6	6.4	87.5	19.2	82 717	4 511	54 539	7 025	84 931	PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*
215	8 869	13 809	64.2	23.6	79.6	6.4	87.5	19.2	82 717	4 511	54 539	7 025	84 931	CLARK COUNTY
447	21 774	31 944	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	60 447	3 542	58 598	5 196	85 968	RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO SMSA
384	19 369	28 423	68.1	5.5	67.7	5.4	63.2	19.4	44 893	2 639	58 778	3 872	86 258	BENTON COUNTY
63	2 405	3 521	68.3	13.0	49.5	22.2	59.2	56.7	15 554	903	58 080	1 322	85 010	FRANKLIN COUNTY
3 437	232 877	299 943	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	682 770	49 151	71 988	63 306	92 719	SEATTLE-EVERETT SMSA
2 865	213 592	271 626	78.6	4.6	81.1	2.4	82.6	15.4	510 938	40 055	78 395	50 939	99 696	KING COUNTY
270	26 282	32 146	81.8	(X)	83.2	(X)	78.7	12.8	26 287	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	BELLEVUE
1 200	94 481	125 525	75.3	(X)	80.8	(X)	83.5	16.0	179 261	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SEATTLE
572	19 285	28 317	68.1	8.9	86.9	17.6	75.7	41.7	171 832	9 096	52 936	13 357	77 730	SNOHOMISH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EVERETT

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)			Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
UTAH														
SMSA PORTION	2 559	18 614	163 654	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	283 256	1 970 715	6 957	17 326	61 169
PROVO-OREM SMSA	400	2 400	23 990	10.0	0.7	12.5	5.0	9.8	43.2	50 048	350 649	7 006	3 506	70 056
UTAH COUNTY	400	2 400	23 990	10.0	0.7	12.5	5.0	9.8	43.2	50 048	350 649	7 006	3 506	70 056
PROVO	120	793	7 719	10.3	(X)	10.2	(X)	9.9	13.5	12 736	90 147	7 078	877	68 854
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN SMSA	2 159	16 214	139 664	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	233 208	1 621 276	6 952	13 965	59 884
DAVIS COUNTY	322	2 246	19 546	11.5	1.1	12.3	1.6	10.5	30.7	33 856	223 344	6 597	1 945	57 437
SALT LAKE COUNTY	1 507	11 899	101 214	11.8	0.6	13.5	1.3	11.5	29.9	154 616	1 120 278	7 244	9 528	61 622
SALT LAKE CITY	352	2 262	19 730	11.5	(X)	14.5	(X)	10.5	53.6	37 828	236 731	6 258	2 064	54 557
TOOELE COUNTY	78	429	4 136	10.4	1.5	10.1	1.6	10.9	21.9	6 592	31 314	4 750	302	45 781
WEBER COUNTY	252	1 640	14 768	11.1	0.8	38.0	46.2	11.3	(3)	38 144	245 677	6 441	2 214	58 035
OGDEN	104	588	5 739	10.2	(X)	10.3	(X)	10.6	15.6	20 864	100 926	4 837	986	47 240
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
CACHE COUNTY	104	666	6 366	10.5	1.0	10.2	0.9	10.2	13.7	13 088	69 436	5 305	664	50 728
VIRGINIA														
SMSA PORTION	11 134	705 442	857 881	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	853 109	50 273 799	58 930	61 137	71 664
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*														
ARLINGTON COUNTY	460	48 138	53 549	89.9	3.9	89.3	2.8	88.4	7.7	37 268	3784 091	101 537	4 210	112 952
FAIRFAX COUNTY	3 042	267 085	332 315	80.4	1.2	80.0	1.2	79.9	8.0	165 713	14 963 052	90 295	18 617	112 348
LOUDOUN COUNTY	228	15 801	18 115	87.2	5.0	89.5	3.8	88.6	9.6	15 720	1 027 117	65 338	1 178	74 908
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	760	44 826	58 108	77.1	4.3	78.1	5.3	77.5	11.8	36 965	2 304 247	62 336	2 987	80 806
ALEXANDRIA CITY	250	22 505	26 002	86.6	4.4	87.2	2.9	88.4	6.6	24 075	2 377 216	98 742	2 747	114 085
FAIRFAX CITY	88	7 083	7 126	99.4	1.9	100.1	2.2	99.0	5.2	5 027	459 030	91 317	462	91 858
MANASSAS CITY	96	6 573	7 171	91.7	2.5	90.6	3.2	90.9	6.1	4 707	291 634	61 958	318	67 600
MANASSAS PARK CITY	26	934	1 212	77.0	2.8	77.2	2.9	77.0	7.8	1 716	63 045	36 740	82	47 684
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA:*														
BRISTOL CITY	32	1 249	1 726	72.4	16.7	81.6	10.3	83.4	14.3	6 544	184 229	28 152	255	38 891
LYNCHBURG SMSA:														
CAMPBELL COUNTY	114	4 845	5 801	83.5	5.9	82.8	8.1	84.7	14.0	10 976	505 289	46 036	605	55 134
LYNCHBURG CITY	210	10 104	11 410	88.5	3.5	88.2	2.6	89.6	7.7	19 744	696 022	35 252	786	39 814
NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON SMSA:														
JAMES CITY COUNTY	48	2 406	2 894	83.2	6.4	80.7	8.2	85.2	10.6	5 281	306 677	58 072	369	69 837
YORK COUNTY	136	7 600	10 529	72.2	11.5	73.1	8.1	73.7	18.5	7 760	408 932	52 697	567	73 019
HAMPTON CITY	744	36 757	44 365	82.9	3.0	84.3	3.8	81.9	8.8	27 456	1 175 158	42 802	1 418	51 661
NEWPORT NEWS CITY	310	14 370	17 094	84.1	5.0	85.5	4.1	88.3	9.6	22 307	1 176 347	52 734	1 399	62 730
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*	1 998	94 470	113 648	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	177 828	8 566 756	48 174	10 306	57 954
CHESAPEAKE CITY	238	11 461	12 595	91.0	4.6	93.7	6.0	94.4	9.3	27 360	1 298 877	47 474	1 427	52 168
NORFOLK CITY	540	21 743	26 153	83.1	5.4	117.2	62.9	86.0	52.2	48 756	1 930 193	39 580	2 322	47 616
PORTSMOUTH CITY	192	7 933	9 016	88.0	4.7	86.2	5.3	84.3	10.4	25 664	922 943	35 963	1 049	40 869
SUFFOLK CITY	144	5 771	7 448	77.5	7.5	79.4	9.3	79.7	16.1	9 856	330 064	33 489	426	43 221
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	884	47 562	58 436	81.4	3.0	82.3	2.9	81.5	6.8	66 192	4 076 818	61 591	5 009	75 671
PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS-HOPEWELL SMSA:														
DINWIDDIE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY	42	1 768	1 947	90.8	4.9	94.3	7.3	91.2	12.0	4 896	218 349	44 597	241	49 122
HOPEWELL CITY	40	1 281	1 489	86.1	8.8	89.2	9.8	91.5	12.9	6 752	228 127	33 787	265	39 262
PETERSBURG CITY	60	1 489	1 623	91.8	7.7	127.7	62.3	92.9	54.5	8 704	272 891	31 352	297	34 170
RICHMOND SMSA:														
CHARLES CITY COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY	570	31 697	36 692	86.4	2.0	86.5	1.9	87.0	5.0	39 876	2 103 189	52 743	2 435	61 055
GOOCHLAND COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HANOVER COUNTY	72	2 551	3 435	74.3	10.1	76.8	7.0	74.4	17.9	13 905	584 271	42 019	787	56 566
HENRICO COUNTY	504	26 120	32 134	81.3	2.9	82.0	3.2	82.6	9.8	46 850	2 350 793	50 177	2 892	61 726
NEW KENT COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
RICHMOND CITY	432	20 203	24 931	81.0	6.5	83.5	4.6	85.8	12.9	49 443	1 978 206	40 010	2 441	49 374
ROANOKE SMSA:														
BOTETOURT COUNTY	40	1 550	1 788	86.7	13.7	85.3	14.4	85.0	23.2	7 408	199 597	26 947	230	31 069
ROANOKE CITY	252	7 842	10 419	75.3	8.7	75.4	10.0	80.5	25.0	27 696	1 011 734	36 530	1 344	48 533
SALEM CITY	60	2 572	3 479	73.9	5.3	75.3	6.5	78.2	13.7	6 272	239 395	38 169	324	51 623
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
ALBEMARLE COUNTY	144	8 831	10 116	87.3	4.6	86.7	4.4	85.9	8.1	12 065	657 829	54 524	754	62 462
WASHINGTON														
SMSA PORTION	5 151	288 254	383 355	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	809 372	46 562 845	57 530	61 925	76 510
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*	125	6 298	7 587	83.0	6.0	83.5	5.3	84.5	14.5	53 462	3 013 661	56 370	3 631	67 914
CLARK COUNTY	125	6 298	7 587	83.0	6.0	83.5	5.3	84.5	14.5	53 462	3 013 661	56 370	3 631	67 914
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO SMSA	328	17 101	24 411	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	32 436	1 705 001	52 565	2 434	75 035
BENTON COUNTY	300	15 254	21 871	69.7	5.9	67.7	6.2	64.6	19.2	26 580	1 424 560	53 595	2 043	76 844
FRANKLIN COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
SEATTLE-EVERETT SMSA	2 716	190 372	236 745	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	470 683	31 762 400	67 489	39 499	83 919
KING COUNTY	2 430	176 667	217 146	81.4	2.4	82.8	2.3	83.9	13.6	372 025	25 857 323	69 504	31 782	85 430
BELLEVUE	255	23 960	29 752	80.5	(X)	82.4	(X)	77.9	12.3	22 483	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SEATTLE	990	67 203	83 634	80.4	(X)	82.2	(X)	85.3	14.6	137 927	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SNOHOMISH COUNTY	286	13 705	19 599	69.9	8.8	88.2	20.7	75.5	33.8	98 658	5 912 769	59 932	8 455	85 705
EVERETT	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Ratio (percent)	Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships: Ratio (percent)	Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Approximate market value: Total amount (million dollars)	Approximate market value: Average per property (dollars)	
														UTAH
396	1 342	11 102	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	67 586	145 537	2 153	1 204	17 814	SMSA PORTION
96	197	1 747	11.3	3.5	11.3	3.4	10.1	35.0	18 048	25 343	1 404	224	12 408	PROVO-OREM SMSA
96	197	1 747	11.3	3.5	11.3	3.4	10.1	35.0	18 048	25 343	1 404	224	12 408	UTAH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PROVO
300	1 145	9 355	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	49 538	120 272	2 428	983	19 836	SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN SMSA
112	382	4 917	7.8	7.6	18.6	8.1	11.6	(3)	10 112	13 945	1 379	180	17 779	DAVIS COUNTY
132	616	3 484	17.7	7.1	26.3	16.5	12.3	(3)	29 874	92 124	3 084	520	17 416	SALT LAKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SALT LAKE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TOOELE COUNTY
44	134	854	15.6	2.2	16.3	2.9	15.5	31.2	8 208	13 312	1 622	85	10 388	WEBER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OGDEN
64	72	1 237	5.8	4.4	7.1	3.4	6.3	68.1	1 920	1 690	880	29	15 163	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: CACHE COUNTY
														VIRGINIA
1 174	49 287	68 967	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	220 049	3 492 047	15 869	4 886	22 206	SMSA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WASHNGTN, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA*
108	7 213	12 854	56.1	27.8	58.0	24.3	55.6	50.4	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	ARLINGTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFAX COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOUDOUN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALEXANDRIA CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFAX CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANASSAS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANASSAS PARK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA. SMSA* BRISTOL CITY
42	242	305	79.3	11.6	79.7	20.7	86.8	26.1	6 768	39 840	5 887	50	7 428	LYNCHBURG SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMPBELL COUNTY
														LYNCHBURG CITY
84	2 010	2 388	84.1	17.1	87.0	11.5	97.2	12.4	2 098	37 085	17 676	44	21 007	NEWPORT NEWS-HAMPTON SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JAMES CITY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YORK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAMPTON CITY
														NEWPORT NEWS CITY
184	3 850	4 451	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	45 623	399 058	8 747	461	10 112	NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C. SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHESAPEAKE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORFOLK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTSMOUTH CITY
40	422	485	86.9	4.5	92.1	12.3	90.0	11.3	5 056	23 254	4 599	27	5 290	SUFFOLK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PETERSBURG-COLONIAL HEIGHTS-HOPEWELL SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DINWIDDIE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLONIAL HEIGHTS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HOPEWELL CITY
														PETERSBURG CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHMOND SMSA:
95	11 049	11 710	94.4	1.6	99.6	5.1	100.0	4.4	12 064	124 947	10 357	132	10 977	CHARLES CITY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
28	377	418	90.1	13.8	86.4	17.1	93.3	20.8	480	2 311	4 815	3	5 346	GOOCHLAND COUNTY
84	1 227	1 851	66.3	20.4	88.9	35.2	82.3	35.4	12 224	99 380	8 130	150	12 264	HANOVER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENRICO COUNTY
132	4 315	5 696	75.8	19.1	87.9	19.9	88.9	29.8	9 799	88 040	8 985	116	11 856	NEW KENT COUNTY
														RICHMOND CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROANOKE SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOTETOURT COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROANOKE CITY
														SALEM CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS: ALBEMARLE COUNTY
														WASHINGTON
709	8 311	14 189	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	237 773	3 898 594	16 396	6 656	27 993	SMSA PORTION
40	864	1 083	79.8	15.0	80.6	16.5	97.5	19.3	16 960	176 390	10 400	221	13 038	PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH. SMSA*
40	864	1 083	79.8	15.0	80.6	16.5	97.5	19.3	16 960	176 390	10 400	221	13 038	CLARK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BENTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRANKLIN COUNTY
341	5 469	8 544	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	107 053	2 633 697	24 602	4 115	38 434	SEATTLE-EVERETT SMSA
165	2 598	4 397	59.1	15.1	59.7	12.6	54.2	32.0	100 471	2 411 592	24 003	4 084	40 646	KING COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BELLEVUE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SEATTLE
176	2 871	4 147	69.2	18.5	77.4	26.9	76.6	37.3	6 582	146 430	22 247	212	32 144	SNOHOMISH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EVERETT

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
WASHINGTON--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
SPOKANE SMSA	341 835	153 520	5 570 559	100.0	70.0	64.4	1.7	10.4	17.5	0.4
SPOKANE COUNTY	341 835	153 520	5 570 569	100.0	70.0	64.4	1.7	10.4	17.5	0.4
SPOKANE	171 300	79 424	(NA)	100.0	69.2	62.2	0.1	7.5	23.0	0.2
TACOMA SMSA	485 643	203 421	7 973 890	100.0	68.9	61.6	6.9	3.6	20.5	(Z)
PIERCE COUNTY	485 643	203 421	7 973 890	100.0	68.9	61.6	6.9	3.6	20.5	(Z)
TACOMA	158 501	64 125	(NA)	100.0	63.4	56.2	1.0	2.4	33.1	0.1
YAKIMA SMSA	172 508	74 117	3 031 094	100.0	58.7	53.4	24.3	0.8	20.1	0.1
YAKIMA COUNTY	172 508	74 117	3 031 094	100.0	58.7	53.4	24.3	0.8	20.1	0.1
YAKIMA	49 826	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
CLALLAM COUNTY	51 648	32 523	1 256 703	100.0	56.3	53.8	19.7	7.1	17.0	-
COWLITZ COUNTY	79 548	35 083	2 520 372	100.0	37.5	35.4	15.4	2.3	44.8	-
GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY	66 314	49 521	1 502 438	100.0	57.3	54.5	11.1	7.1	24.5	-
KITSAP COUNTY	146 609	86 029	3 431 445	100.0	71.5	64.9	16.1	1.2	11.2	-
THURSTON COUNTY	124 264	73 011	2 970 953	100.0	67.5	60.5	15.0	0.4	16.9	0.1
WHATCOM COUNTY	106 701	71 130	2 991 791	100.0	53.3	49.4	15.1	9.4	22.2	-
WEST VIRGINIA										
SMSA PORTION	695 553	327 214	2 600 680	100.0	63.0	58.0	5.5	2.4	28.3	0.8
STEUBENVILLE-#EIRTON, OHIO-W.VA. SMSA*	71 535	26 985	240 506	100.0	55.4	52.9	2.3	0.4	41.9	-
BROOKE COUNTY	31 117	10 743	91 359	100.0	71.9	67.2	-	1.1	27.0	-
HANCOCK COUNTY	40 418	16 242	149 147	100.0	46.0	44.8	3.6	-	50.4	-
CHARLESTON SMSA	269 595	136 690	1 178 518	100.0	61.9	55.8	6.0	3.6	27.9	0.5
KANAWHA COUNTY	231 414	116 361	934 450	100.0	62.4	55.1	3.9	3.7	29.3	0.7
CHARLESTON	63 968	29 926	360 105	100.0	56.4	46.5	1.4	5.4	36.8	-
PUTNAM COUNTY	38 181	20 329	244 068	100.0	59.6	59.4	16.7	3.2	20.5	-
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*	152 856	69 035	445 538	100.0	68.0	63.2	0.7	1.6	27.6	2.0
CABELL COUNTY	106 835	42 077	366 417	100.0	66.4	60.7	0.2	1.8	31.6	-
HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]	58 685	21 667	224 191	100.0	59.1	53.2	-	1.5	39.4	-
WAYNE COUNTY	46 021	26 958	79 121	100.0	74.6	73.2	3.0	0.7	11.8	9.9
HUNTINGTON (PART)	4 999	1 793	(NA)	100.0	93.9	93.9	-	2.6	3.4	-
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	98 570	47 719	367 165	100.0	73.0	69.0	4.2	2.2	20.5	0.1
WIRT COUNTY	4 922	8 896	19 536	100.0	42.5	42.5	38.1	2.3	14.6	2.4
WOOD COUNTY	93 648	38 823	347 629	100.0	74.8	70.6	2.1	2.2	20.8	-
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	102 997	46 785	368 953	100.0	56.8	52.9	12.6	0.6	28.7	1.3
MARSHALL COUNTY	41 608	25 619	184 305	100.0	45.1	41.2	23.3	1.2	29.6	0.8
OHIO COUNTY	61 389	21 166	184 648	100.0	67.5	63.5	2.8	(Z)	27.9	1.8
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
FAYETTE COUNTY	57 863	34 027	143 502	100.0	42.2	42.2	15.6	1.5	28.3	12.5
HARRISON COUNTY	77 710	49 103	258 248	100.0	66.0	62.6	5.6	3.2	19.5	5.7
MARION COUNTY	65 789	37 988	282 939	100.0	75.7	75.0	9.4	1.7	12.1	1.0
MERCER COUNTY[8]	73 942	33 560	215 000	100.0	76.1	72.4	0.5	7.6	15.6	0.2
RALEIGH COUNTY	86 821	47 186	256 416	100.0	61.4	61.0	8.0	4.8	18.5	7.3
WISCONSIN[27]										
SMSA PORTION	2 932 838	1 069 288	41 236 697	100.0	68.8	59.7	10.4	2.1	18.7	(Z)
APPLETON-OSHKOSH SMSA:										
CALUMET COUNTY:										
APPLETON CITY (PART)	5 484	2 354	86 381	100.0	94.1	87.4	-	1.3	4.5	-
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY:										
APPLETON CITY (PART)	53 531	17 812	981 168	100.0	76.6	71.1	-	2.3	21.1	-
WINNEBAGO COUNTY:										
APPLETON CITY (PART)	17	(10)	1 025	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
GREEN BAY SMSA:										
BROWN COUNTY:										
GREEN BAY CITY	87 899	32 474	1 282 353	100.0	68.3	61.2	0.3	1.6	29.8	-
JANESVILLE-BELOIT SMSA:										
ROCK COUNTY:										
JANESVILLE CITY	51 071	17 535	846 204	100.0	73.4	62.5	-	3.3	23.3	-
KENOSHA SMSA:										
KENOSHA COUNTY:										
KENOSHA CITY	77 685	22 891	1 446 017	100.0	78.3	63.4	-	3.6	18.2	-
MADISON SMSA:										
DANE COUNTY:										
MADISON CITY	170 616	46 072	3 822 382	100.0	76.9	57.6	0.1	2.0	21.0	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)			Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														WASHINGTON--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
1 620	48 876	82 032	59.6	4.8	56.0	5.0	61.0	36.4	153 520	5 571	36 286	9 350	60 901	SPOKANE SMSA
1 620	48 876	82 032	59.6	4.8	56.0	5.0	61.0	36.4	153 520	5 571	36 286	9 350	60 901	SPOKANE COUNTY
585	18 261	30 323	60.2	(X)	63.4	(X)	64.1	30.1	79 424	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	SPOKANE
970	42 076	65 638	64.1	3.2	61.4	3.8	63.4	24.8	203 421	7 974	39 199	12 439	61 150	TACOMA SMSA
970	42 076	65 638	64.1	3.2	61.4	3.8	63.4	24.8	203 421	7 974	39 199	12 439	61 150	PIERCE COUNTY
410	17 912	28 298	63.3	(X)	62.1	(X)	63.2	24.2	64 125	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	TACOMA
329	11 745	16 902	69.5	6.0	70.0	7.5	74.8	27.9	74 117	3 031	40 896	4 362	58 851	YAKIMA SMSA
329	11 745	16 902	69.5	6.0	70.0	7.5	74.8	27.9	74 117	3 031	40 896	4 362	58 851	YAKIMA COUNTY
84	4 097	5 473	74.9	(X)	73.7	(X)	76.5	18.1	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	YAKIMA
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
192	6 154	8 768	70.2	7.8	75.5	14.3	75.3	30.3	32 523	1 257	38 640	1 790	55 049	CLALLAM COUNTY
161	7 248	8 947	81.0	9.3	79.1	9.1	86.7	19.1	35 083	2 520	71 840	3 111	88 683	COWLITZ COUNTY
164	7 680	10 305	74.5	5.0	87.0	16.4	82.7	33.4	49 521	1 502	30 339	2 016	40 707	GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY
705	26 352	41 928	62.9	6.4	60.9	6.0	56.3	29.0	86 029	3 431	39 887	5 460	63 461	KITSAP COUNTY
480	36 204	38 205	94.8	12.9	80.7	8.8	82.8	21.0	73 011	2 971	40 692	3 135	42 941	THURSTON COUNTY
615	17 105	31 120	55.0	7.3	58.5	7.1	53.0	36.8	71 130	2 992	42 061	5 442	76 513	WHATCOM COUNTY
														WEST VIRGINIA
1 776	15 815	76 863	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	327 214	2 601	7 948	12 640	38 628	SMSA PORTION
124	603	2 892	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 985	241	8 913	1 153	42 745	STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W. VA. SMSA*
54	296	1 775	16.7	2.2	21.8	6.7	17.4	55.8	10 743	91	8 504	548	51 011	BROOKE COUNTY
70	307	1 117	27.2	7.9	45.9	29.3	32.2	92.0	16 242	149	9 183	547	33 703	HANCOCK COUNTY
582	7 287	32 039	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	136 690	1 179	8 622	5 182	37 908	CHARLESTON SMSA
480	6 381	29 107	21.9	3.0	28.8	12.6	21.5	67.3	116 361	934	8 031	4 262	36 631	KANAWHA COUNTY
132	2 893	10 351	28.0	(X)	27.2	(X)	30.4	15.4	29 926	360	12 033	1 288	43 049	CHARLESTON
102	906	2 932	30.9	5.1	33.4	10.4	32.9	46.6	20 329	244	12 006	789	38 793	PUTNAM COUNTY
448	2 812	17 905	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	69 035	446	6 454	2 837	41 094	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*
312	2 328	14 184	16.4	1.3	19.9	5.9	15.9	51.1	42 077	366	8 708	2 233	53 074	CABELL COUNTY
168	1 215	7 504	16.2	(X)	23.4	(X)	16.2	65.0	21 667	224	10 347	1 383	63 853	HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]
136	484	3 721	13.1	3.1	16.0	7.2	10.6	(3)	26 958	79	2 935	606	22 485	WAYNE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON (PART)
395	3 728	15 762	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	47 719	367	7 694	1 552	32 532	PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	WIRT COUNTY
385	3 699	15 699	23.6	2.7	26.7	7.3	21.0	53.8	38 823	348	8 954	1 476	38 008	WOOD COUNTY
227	1 385	8 265	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 785	369	7 886	2 202	47 061	WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*
75	332	2 030	16.4	2.2	19.6	3.6	16.8	49.1	25 619	184	7 194	1 124	43 881	MARSHALL COUNTY
152	1 053	6 235	16.9	1.7	23.7	8.0	18.7	52.0	21 166	185	8 724	1 094	51 675	OHIO COUNTY
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
99	537	2 894	18.5	6.5	20.3	6.2	20.7	35.3	34 027	144	4 217	774	22 759	FAYETTE COUNTY
234	1 634	7 302	22.3	3.1	31.2	10.8	25.0	43.7	49 103	258	5 259	1 156	23 536	HARRISON COUNTY
112	390	1 718	22.7	5.9	27.5	9.3	20.5	71.5	37 988	283	7 448	1 246	32 791	MARION COUNTY
198	2 488	5 537	44.9	3.6	43.8	2.0	45.0	7.9	33 560	215	6 406	478	14 254	MERCER COUNTY[8]
609	3 954	20 588	19.2	2.4	20.6	4.9	20.5	40.7	47 186	256	5 434	1 335	28 292	RALEIGH COUNTY
														WISCONSIN[27]
6 142	250 070	379 236	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 069 288	41 237	38 565	62 536	58 484	SMSA PORTION
														APPLETON-OSHKOSH SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CALUMET COUNTY:
														APPLETON CITY (PART)
156	18 529	17 896	103.5	9.7	87.1	7.8	90.7	14.0	17 812	981	55 085	948	53 200	OUTAGAMIE COUNTY:
														APPLETON CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WINNEBAGO COUNTY:
														APPLETON CITY (PART)
														GREEN BAY SMSA:
238	13 176	14 511	90.8	27.0	73.3	5.2	69.7	14.5	32 474	1 282	39 489	1 412	43 494	BROWN COUNTY:
														GREEN BAY CITY
														JANESVILLE-BELOIT SMSA:
64	2 108	2 659	79.3	4.5	77.3	6.8	78.4	10.6	17 535	846	48 258	1 067	60 877	ROCK COUNTY:
														JANESVILLE CITY
														KENOSHA SMSA:
78	3 380	3 270	103.4	10.3	104.1	9.5	104.7	13.8	22 891	1 446	63 170	1 399	61 113	KENOSHA COUNTY:
														KENOSHA CITY
														MADISON SMSA:
222	13 489	15 497	87.0	9.3	90.5	5.5	94.8	10.7	46 072	3 822	82 965	4 391	95 316	DANE COUNTY:
														MADISON CITY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
WASHINGTON--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
SPOKANE SMSA	1 095	36 339	56 315	64.5	5.0	65.1	5.0	66.5	25.3	90 182	3 585 580	39 759	5 557	61 617
SPOKANE COUNTY	1 095	36 339	56 315	64.5	5.0	65.1	5.0	66.5	25.3	90 182	3 585 580	39 759	5 557	61 617
SPOKANE	510	14 493	23 656	61.3	(X)	64.1	(X)	65.2	30.7	56 019	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
TACOMA SMSA	670	28 946	45 327	63.9	3.8	63.0	3.6	63.2	19.9	124 561	4 909 654	39 416	7 688	61 722
PIERCE COUNTY	670	28 946	45 327	63.9	3.8	63.0	3.6	63.2	19.9	124 561	4 909 654	39 416	7 688	61 722
TACOMA	280	10 208	16 896	60.4	(X)	59.8	(X)	57.4	22.7	45 921	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
YAKIMA SMSA	217	9 198	12 970	70.9	6.7	72.4	5.7	75.6	17.5	38 048	1 617 105	42 509	2 280	59 937
YAKIMA COUNTY	217	9 198	12 970	70.9	6.7	72.4	5.7	75.6	17.5	38 048	1 617 105	42 509	2 280	59 937
YAKIMA	56	2 807	3 891	72.1	(X)	74.8	(X)	73.2	14.9	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
CLALLAM COUNTY	104	5 455	7 442	73.3	8.5	75.7	9.6	75.6	17.6	13 748	675 694	49 149	922	67 051
COWLITZ COUNTY	119	5 419	6 403	84.6	7.4	83.2	8.1	87.3	15.1	20 512	893 412	43 556	1 056	51 463
GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY	68	3 399	4 343	78.3	7.9	80.0	7.2	83.3	16.6	20 463	818 943	40 021	1 046	51 124
KITSAP COUNTY	480	20 667	32 034	64.5	7.5	61.9	6.2	58.6	24.7	46 448	2 227 885	47 965	3 453	74 343
THURSTON COUNTY	240	19 004	22 085	86.0	6.4	83.2	6.7	84.4	10.6	36 865	1 796 590	48 734	2 088	56 635
WHATCOM COUNTY	315	9 898	16 936	58.5	8.8	57.2	8.6	56.9	30.6	29 969	1 478 690	49 341	2 530	84 412
WEST VIRGINIA														
SMSA PORTION	1 052	10 472	51 608	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	200 647	1 508 537	7 518	7 434	37 052
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA. SMSA*	52	371	2 143	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	20 224	127 341	6 297	736	36 371
BROOKE COUNTY	27	219	1 441	15.2	1.8	15.2	1.5	14.6	14.5	8 704	61 404	7 055	404	46 467
HANCOCK COUNTY	25	152	702	21.6	5.9	20.5	9.2	25.8	32.5	11 520	66 881	5 806	310	26 882
CHARLESTON SMSA	288	3 603	15 879	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	76 166	657 849	8 637	2 899	38 065
KANAWHA COUNTY	252	2 916	13 561	21.5	3.2	21.7	3.1	21.2	29.6	66 837	515 042	7 706	2 395	35 838
CHARLESTON	60	1 036	4 128	25.1	(X)	25.0	(X)	29.8	22.1	16 288	167 452	10 281	667	40 962
PUTNAM COUNTY	36	687	2 318	29.7	5.5	29.6	5.9	32.8	13.9	9 329	144 939	15 536	489	52 373
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*	280	2 113	13 041	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	47 200	281 436	5 963	1 737	36 800
CABELL COUNTY	216	1 769	10 369	17.1	1.5	17.9	3.1	16.4	29.2	32 032	222 252	6 938	1 303	40 675
HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]	120	767	4 580	16.8	(X)	19.0	(X)	16.2	34.6	16 672	119 311	7 156	712	42 710
WAYNE COUNTY	64	344	2 672	12.9	3.1	12.8	4.7	12.5	48.9	15 168	57 895	3 817	448	29 558
HUNTINGTON (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	275	3 165	13 313	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	28 464	253 477	8 905	1 066	37 458
WIRT COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WOOD COUNTY	275	3 165	13 313	23.8	3.2	23.2	3.8	21.0	31.6	26 928	245 593	9 120	1 033	38 374
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*	157	1 220	7 232	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	28 593	195 137	6 825	1 157	40 456
MARSHALL COUNTY	45	291	1 705	17.1	2.6	21.1	4.3	18.3	42.7	11 776	75 970	6 451	445	37 771
OHIO COUNTY	112	929	5 527	16.8	1.8	19.1	3.5	18.5	28.6	16 817	117 335	6 977	698	41 519
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
FAYETTE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
HARRISON COUNTY	126	1 225	5 019	24.4	0.9	26.5	3.6	25.0	10.6	23 690	161 581	6 821	662	27 958
MARION COUNTY	63	310	1 348	23.0	6.9	24.8	7.9	21.0	45.5	18 913	212 079	11 214	922	48 726
MERCER COUNTY[8]	102	1 811	3 980	45.5	4.7	43.2	3.7	45.0	12.5	19 938	155 633	7 806	342	17 153
RALEIGH COUNTY	357	3 020	14 858	20.3	2.6	19.0	3.1	20.8	25.9	29 473	156 347	5 305	769	26 100
WISCONSIN[27]														
SMSA PORTION	4 285	173 866	268 464	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	685 505	24632 039	35 934	38 034	55 483
APPLETON-OSHKOSH SMSA:														
CALUMET COUNTY:														
APPLETON CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY:														
APPLETON CITY (PART)	120	5 731	6 292	91.1	7.7	88.3	7.6	91.8	11.2	15 680	697 508	44 484	766	48 832
WINNEBAGO COUNTY:														
APPLETON CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
GREEN BAY SMSA:														
BROWN COUNTY:														
GREEN BAY CITY	189	5 904	8 497	69.5	3.0	69.7	3.6	69.2	10.7	24 064	784 330	32 594	1 129	46 911
JANESVILLE-BELOIT SMSA:														
ROCK COUNTY:														
JANESVILLE CITY	56	2 046	2 552	80.2	4.4	80.2	5.3	80.1	7.8	12 705	528 677	41 619	659	51 893
KENOSHA SMSA:														
KENOSHA COUNTY:														
KENOSHA CITY	54	2 405	2 243	107.2	5.6	108.2	6.0	110.8	7.9	16 432	916 201	55 757	854	52 002
MADISON SMSA:														
DANE COUNTY:														
MADISON CITY	210	13 288	15 219	87.3	9.5	91.1	5.4	94.8	9.6	34 900	2 203 007	63 123	2 523	72 298

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														WASHINGTON--CONTINUED
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SPOKANE SMSA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SPOKANE COUNTY
														SPOKANE
170	1 276	2 797	45.6	15.1	54.0	12.8	50.0	51.3	46 820	290 528	6 205	637	13 608	TACOMA SMSA
170	1 276	2 797	45.6	15.1	54.0	12.8	50.0	51.3	46 820	290 528	6 205	637	13 608	PIERCE COUNTY
100	746	1 172	63.6	(X)	64.5	(X)	73.1	25.0	11 040	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	TACOMA
70	288	588	49.0	22.7	62.3	28.8	39.3	(3)	8 640	23 441	2 713	48	5 538	YAKIMA SMSA
70	288	588	49.0	22.7	62.3	28.8	39.3	(3)	8 640	23 441	2 713	48	5 538	YAKIMA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YAKIMA
80	600	1 086	55.3	14.4	78.5	31.4	69.2	49.3	7 153	89 001	12 442	161	22 514	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLALLAM COUNTY
76	417	506	82.4	11.9	97.4	34.0	85.7	49.2	18 169	107 099	5 895	130	7 155	COWLITZ COUNTY
120	3 222	4 928	65.4	16.4	71.4	18.8	75.0	30.1	8 833	42 372	4 797	65	7 338	GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY
200	16 214	14 020	115.6	7.8	84.6	16.9	77.8	30.5	2 368	12 083	5 103	10	4 412	KITSAP COUNTY
150	3 075	5 034	61.1	15.1	64.1	12.3	62.4	29.1	21 890	280 740	12 825	459	20 986	THURSTON COUNTY
														WHATCOM COUNTY
														WEST VIRGINIA
286	811	3 517	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	56 326	63 542	1 128	276	4 892	SMSA PORTION
38	89	223	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 536	973	633	2	1 587	STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W. VA. SMSA*
18	43	134	32.0	11.8	35.1	16.3	26.8	67.6	1 536	1 020	664	3	2 074	BROOKE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HANCOCK COUNTY
120	553	2 393	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	34 374	42 886	1 248	186	5 399	CHARLESTON SMSA
60	351	1 806	19.4	3.9	21.9	17.8	10.0	(3)	31 334	34 893	1 114	179	5 726	KANAWHA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHARLESTON
60	202	587	34.6	12.2	32.8	16.5	30.7	66.4	3 040	7 706	2 535	22	7 330	PUTNAM COUNTY
46	73	505	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	8 000	7 143	893	49	6 177	HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO SMSA*
30	42	377	11.1	4.1	41.4	53.3	9.3	(3)	5 696	6 677	1 172	60	10 569	CABELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WAYNE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON (PART)
59	80	329	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	8 512	8 125	955	33	3 926	PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WIRT COUNTY
55	65	317	20.5	18.2	28.9	30.9	16.0	(3)	7 744	7 667	990	37	4 825	WOOD COUNTY
23	16	67	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	3 904	2 144	549	9	2 300	WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO SMSA*
15	9	43	20.8	15.1	21.6	11.3	24.0	52.3	3 520	2 195	624	11	3 002	MARSHALL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OHIO COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
36	81	370	22.0	10.2	63.8	62.2	28.5	(3)	10 481	8 242	786	38	3 581	FAYETTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HARRISON COUNTY
42	94	210	44.8	0.8	44.7	2.2	45.0	3.9	12 411	16 279	1 312	36	2 926	MARION COUNTY
168	518	2 819	18.4	7.4	28.2	14.9	22.7	62.5	12 704	12 219	962	67	5 238	MERCER COUNTY*
														RALEIGH COUNTY
														WISCONSIN[27]
744	13 301	21 300	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	129 919	880 856	6 780	1 411	10 857	SMSA PORTION
														APPLETON-OSHKOSH SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CALUMET COUNTY:
														APPLETON CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OUTAGAMIE COUNTY:
														APPLETON CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WINNEBAGO COUNTY:
														APPLETON CITY (PART)
														GREEN BAY SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROWN COUNTY:
														GREEN BAY CITY
														JANESVILLE-BELOIT SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCK COUNTY:
														JANESVILLE CITY
														KENOSHA SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENOSHA COUNTY:
														KENOSHA CITY
														MADISON SMSA:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DANE COUNTY:
														MADISON CITY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
					Nonfarm residential property				
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Total	Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
WISCONSIN--CONTINUED										
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED										
MILWAUKEE SMSA:										
MILWAUKEE COUNTY:										
WAUWATOSA CITY	51 308	15 541	641 018	100.0	74.8	53.6	-	0.6	24.6	-
WEST ALLIS CITY	63 982	20 281	406 613	100.0	70.6	48.9	-	1.5	27.9	-
MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)	636 210	160 268	6 991 800	100.0	74.4	63.1	-	0.8	24.7	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY:										
MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)	2	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WAUKESHA COUNTY:										
WAUKESHA CITY	50 319	13 968	185 608	100.0	67.4	58.7	-	4.3	28.2	-
RACINE SMSA:										
RACINE COUNTY:										
RACINE CITY	85 725	25 128	544 245	100.0	79.9	60.6	-	0.6	19.5	-
WYOMING										
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:										
LARAMIE COUNTY	68 649	24 874	124 215	100.0	63.4	58.7	14.6	4.0	18.0	-
NATRONA COUNTY	71 856	29 226	135 250	100.0	71.9	71.4	0.6	6.5	21.0	-
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S										
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA.	203 269	112 051	242 571	100.0	63.2	55.4	12.2	2.5	22.1	(Z)
ARKANSAS PORTION	131 822	65 841	188 213	100.0	66.2	56.6	7.3	2.6	23.9	-
CRAWFORD COUNTY	36 892	20 158	31 065	100.0	57.6	56.0	20.8	1.0	20.6	-
SEBASTIAN COUNTY	94 930	45 683	157 148	100.0	67.7	56.7	5.0	2.9	24.4	-
FORT SMITH	71 384	31 009	(NA)	100.0	69.6	57.5	0.4	3.1	26.9	-
OKLAHOMA PORTION	71 447	46 210	54 358	100.0	52.3	51.2	29.9	2.1	15.6	0.1
LE FLORE COUNTY	40 698	23 091	31 056	100.0	55.6	55.0	31.0	1.3	12.0	0.1
SEQUOYAH COUNTY	30 749	23 119	23 302	100.0	47.7	46.0	28.4	3.3	20.6	-
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD.:										
DELAWARE PORTION	399 002	127 410	2 907 441	100.0	62.2	55.6	5.8	1.3	30.7	-
NEW CASTLE COUNTY	399 002	127 410	2 907 441	100.0	62.2	55.6	5.8	1.3	30.7	-
WILMINGTON	70 195	22 911	434 090	100.0	43.1	38.6	-	0.1	56.8	-
MARYLAND PORTION[22]	60 430	27 440	382 079	100.0	58.9	58.9	11.7	6.9	22.6	-
CECIL COUNTY	60 430	27 440	382 079	100.0	58.9	58.9	11.7	6.9	22.6	-
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA.:										
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PORTION[13]	637 651	149 168	17 866 485	100.0	65.3	48.9	-	2.5	31.5	0.7
MARYLAND PORTION[22]	1 316 875	411 903	14 093 837	100.0	75.3	67.8	6.3	2.8	15.4	0.3
CHARLES COUNTY	72 751	28 503	609 672	100.0	72.4	70.2	3.9	7.8	15.9	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY[8]	579 053	197 403	8 290 056	100.0	78.5	72.3	7.2	0.8	13.0	0.5
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	665 071	185 997	5 194 109	100.0	70.6	60.6	5.2	5.2	19.0	-
VIRGINIA PORTION:										
ARLINGTON COUNTY	152 599	42 010	6 471 691	100.0	72.7	58.5	-	3.0	24.4	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY	596 901	206 213	20 025 948	100.0	80.2	74.7	(Z)	7.3	12.2	0.3
LOUDOUN COUNTY	57 427	23 538	1 943 623	100.0	52.8	52.8	30.8	3.5	12.8	-
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	144 703	59 128	3 106 379	100.0	78.5	74.2	2.9	10.8	7.7	-
ALEXANDRIA CITY	103 217	27 557	3 738 435	100.0	80.4	63.6	0.8	3.7	15.0	0.1
FAIRFAX CITY	19 390	6 340	721 391	100.0	73.1	63.6	-	1.7	25.3	-
MANASSAS CITY	15 438	6 386	427 461	100.0	72.7	68.2	0.6	6.4	20.3	-
MANASSAS PARK CITY	6 524	2 068	73 487	100.0	93.8	85.8	0.6	3.3	2.3	-
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C.:										
GEORGIA PORTION:										
RICHMOND COUNTY	181 629	53 832	771 965	100.0	74.2	70.6	4.9	2.2	18.8	-
AUGUSTA	47 532	14 699	183 076	100.0	66.8	63.1	-	3.9	29.3	-
SOUTH CAROLINA PORTION[27]	105 625	46 496	47 783	100.0	49.2	47.9	12.5	1.2	37.1	-
AIKEN COUNTY	105 625	46 496	47 783	100.0	49.2	47.9	12.5	1.2	37.1	-
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA.	238 529	81 893	793 775	100.0	57.1	44.5	6.9	0.8	35.2	-
ALABAMA PORTION[14]	47 356	21 093	44 035	100.0	46.8	35.8	23.3	3.2	26.7	-
RUSSELL COUNTY	47 356	21 093	44 035	100.0	46.8	35.8	23.3	3.2	26.7	-
GEORGIA PORTION	191 173	60 800	749 740	100.0	58.0	45.3	5.4	0.6	36.0	-
CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]	21 732	1 794	6 761	100.0	47.0	47.0	39.2	1.0	12.8	-
COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	169 441	59 006	742 979	100.0	58.4	45.3	4.3	0.6	36.7	-
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY.:										
INDIANA PORTION:										
VANDERBURGH COUNTY	167 515	70 930	501 869	100.0	76.2	73.5	3.1	2.5	18.1	-
EVANSVILLE	130 496	51 818	351 929	100.0	76.2	73.9	0.3	2.7	20.9	-
KENTUCKY PORTION	40 849	13 948	652 225	100.0	41.3	39.8	15.4	-	42.8	0.5
HENDERSON COUNTY	40 849	13 948	652 225	100.0	41.3	39.8	15.4	-	42.8	0.5
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL.	383 958	134 604	4 294 976	100.0	60.0	53.2	11.7	0.8	27.4	(Z)
ILLINOIS PORTION	223 936	72 693	1 613 453	100.0	58.3	57.0	16.9	1.4	23.2	0.1
HENRY COUNTY	57 968	25 219	440 843	100.0	48.9	48.0	40.7	0.2	10.1	-
ROCK ISLAND COUNTY	165 968	47 474	1 172 610	100.0	62.5	61.1	6.1	1.9	29.2	0.2
IOWA PORTION[20]	160 022	61 911	2 681 523	100.0	60.8	51.3	9.1	0.5	29.6	-
SCOTT COUNTY	160 022	61 911	2 681 523	100.0	60.8	51.3	9.1	0.5	29.6	-
DAVENPORT	103 264	34 713	1 606 592	100.0	63.1	50.1	1.1	0.3	35.5	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														WISCONSIN--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														MILWAUKEE SMSA:
54	1 513	4 170	36.3	2.0	36.4	2.2	38.1	7.6	15 541	641	41 247	1 766	113 621	MILWAUKEE COUNTY:
88	1 288	4 996	25.8	1.7	26.2	1.6	26.4	10.8	20 261	407	20 049	1 577	77 743	WAUWATOSA CITY
608	20 914	31 577	66.2	2.6	66.1	2.8	65.9	15.5	160 268	6 992	43 626	10 557	65 871	WEST ALLIS CITY
														MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WASHINGTON COUNTY:
														MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)
84	916	6 089	15.0	2.1	13.2	2.1	15.0	19.7	13 968	186	13 288	1 234	88 317	WAUKESHA COUNTY:
														WAUKESHA CITY
														RACINE SMSA:
180	4 728	10 733	44.1	13.1	39.0	8.6	35.0	27.2	25 128	544	21 659	1 235	49 167	RACINE COUNTY:
														RACINE CITY
														WYOMING
255	841	17 507	4.8	1.4	6.9	1.5	5.4	70.0	24 874	124	4 994	2 583	103 830	NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
436	1 621	32 677	5.0	0.8	5.8	0.5	5.8	31.1	29 226	135	4 628	2 726	93 264	LARAMIE COUNTY
														NATRONA COUNTY
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S
916	2 007	28 749	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	112 051	243	2 165	3 475	31 010	FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA.
672	1 655	23 617	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	65 841	188	2 859	2 686	40 792	ARKANSAS PORTION
182	236	5 161	4.6	1.8	6.6	2.2	6.0	72.9	20 158	31	1 541	679	33 693	CRAWFORD COUNTY
490	1 419	18 456	7.7	1.8	9.8	2.0	7.9	65.9	45 683	157	3 440	2 047	44 799	SEBASTIAN COUNTY
350	1 142	14 254	8.0	(X)	11.5	(X)	10.1	58.6	31 009	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT SMITH
244	352	5 132	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 210	54	1 176	793	17 150	OKLAHOMA PORTION
152	225	3 407	6.6	2.5	13.5	5.6	6.0	(3)	23 091	31	1 345	468	20 259	LE FLORE COUNTY
92	127	1 725	7.4	3.3	7.8	1.8	7.8	52.0	23 119	23	1 008	317	13 708	SEQUOYAH COUNTY
950	20 305	80 062	25.4	2.8	30.8	4.9	26.8	30.7	127 410	2 907	22 820	11 464	89 977	WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD.:
950	20 305	80 062	25.4	2.8	30.8	4.9	26.8	30.7	127 410	2 907	22 820	11 464	89 977	DELAWARE PORTION
190	2 504	10 324	24.3	(X)	29.4	(X)	26.9	37.8	22 911	434	18 947	1 790	78 114	NEW CASTLE COUNTY
290	3 369	12 341	27.3	4.2	31.7	6.7	32.0	40.6	27 440	382	13 924	1 400	51 019	WILMINGTON
290	3 369	12 341	27.3	4.2	31.7	6.7	32.0	40.6	27 440	382	13 924	1 400	51 019	MARYLAND PORTION[22]
														CECIL COUNTY
1 673	143 598	211 513	67.9	8.5	79.2	2.7	79.6	20.0	145 168	17 866	123 075	26 316	181 283	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA.:
3 724	122 061	385 799	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	411 903	14 094	34 216	44 546	108 148	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PORTION[13]
312	8 313	23 728	35.0	8.0	37.7	6.2	33.0	28.0	28 503	610	21 390	1 740	61 048	MARYLAND PORTION[22]
2 100	81 326	268 085	30.3	2.9	32.0	1.7	33.4	17.7	197 403	8 290	41 996	27 328	138 436	CHARLES COUNTY
1 312	32 422	93 986	34.5	1.3	34.7	1.8	35.1	13.4	185 997	5 194	27 926	15 056	80 948	MONTGOMERY COUNTY[8]
														PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
510	67 719	84 278	80.4	8.1	87.2	3.4	87.9	9.8	42 010	6 472	154 051	8 054	191 723	VIRGINIA PORTION:
3 366	291 017	379 125	76.8	5.8	79.3	1.7	80.0	9.8	206 213	20 026	97 113	26 089	126 514	ARLINGTON COUNTY
312	23 156	28 128	82.3	6.6	86.9	4.4	89.2	12.7	23 538	1 944	82 574	2 361	100 307	FAIRFAX COUNTY
900	49 156	64 307	76.4	4.4	76.9	5.5	78.8	13.9	59 128	3 106	52 537	4 064	68 730	LOUDOUN COUNTY
300	36 096	47 362	76.2	10.4	85.8	4.2	88.7	9.2	27 557	3 738	135 662	4 905	178 003	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
92	8 575	8 726	98.3	2.2	99.8	2.2	97.6	5.2	6 340	721	113 784	734	115 783	ALEXANDRIA CITY
102	8 632	9 277	93.0	2.7	91.0	3.1	92.2	6.0	6 386	427	66 937	459	71 942	FAIRFAX CITY
28	1 000	1 295	77.2	2.6	77.4	2.7	77.4	7.4	2 068	73	35 535	95	46 011	MANASSAS CITY
														MANASSAS PARK CITY
320	4 928	14 156	34.8	2.3	36.1	3.0	34.8	20.6	53 832	772	14 340	2 218	41 202	AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C.:
75	845	2 801	30.2	(X)	34.3	(X)	29.3	34.2	14 699	183	12 455	606	41 254	GEORGIA PORTION:
312	210	7 734	2.7	0.6	4.0	1.3	2.6	86.4	46 496	48	1 028	1 759	37 841	RICHMOND COUNTY
312	210	7 734	2.7	0.6	4.0	1.3	2.6	86.4	46 496	48	1 028	1 759	37 841	AUGUSTA
														SOUTH CAROLINA PORTION[27]
														AIKEN COUNTY
454	5 380	21 378	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	81 893	794	9 693	3 154	38 515	COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA.
144	327	2 995	10.9	3.6	14.0	3.3	11.2	65.5	21 093	44	2 088	402	19 076	ALABAMA PORTION[14]
144	327	2 995	10.9	3.6	14.0	3.3	11.2	65.5	21 093	44	2 088	402	19 076	RUSSELL COUNTY
310	5 053	18 383	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	60 800	750	12 331	2 728	44 862	GEORGIA PORTION
10	128	417	30.7	-	38.2	-	37.9	17.0	1 794	7	3 769	22	12 259	CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]
300	4 925	17 966	27.4	4.7	30.9	3.4	32.7	21.0	59 006	743	12 592	2 710	45 928	COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE
														EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY.:
345	2 145	13 980	15.3	1.9	21.7	7.0	15.6	64.6	70 930	502	7 076	3 271	46 112	INDIANA PORTION:
330	1 987	12 975	15.3	(X)	22.0	(X)	15.3	69.0	51 818	352	6 792	2 298	44 338	VANDERBURGH COUNTY
112	3 350	4 879	68.6	15.4	74.2	15.7	71.9	37.6	13 948	652	46 761	950	68 135	EVANSVILLE
112	3 350	4 879	68.6	15.4	74.2	15.7	71.9	37.6	13 948	652	46 761	950	68 135	KENTUCKY PORTION
														HENDERSON COUNTY
630	17 853	45 375	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	134 604	4 295	31 908	10 916	81 098	DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL.
280	7 457	26 206	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	72 693	1 613	22 195	5 670	78 001	ILLINOIS PORTION
70	747	2 526	29.6	4.7	28.3	4.6	28.7	25.5	25 219	441	17 481	1 490	59 092	HENRY COUNTY
210	6 710	23 680	28.3	3.6	33.7	3.7	30.5	19.8	47 474	1 173	24 700	4 138	87 171	ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
350	10 396	19 169	54.2	5.1	54.3	5.8	60.2	28.1	61 911	2 682	43 313	4 944	79 863	IOWA PORTION[20]
350	10 396	19 169	54.2	5.1	54.3	5.8	60.2	28.1	61 911	2 682	43 313	4 944	79 863	SCOTT COUNTY
160	5 390	8 706	61.9	(X)	63.0	(X)	61.1	13.5	34 713	1 607	46 282	2 595	74 757	DAVENPORT

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Ratio (percent)	Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships: Ratio (percent)	Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Approximate market value: Total amount (million dollars)	Approximate market value: Average per property (dollars)
WISCONSIN--CONTINUED														
SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED														
MILWAUKEE SMSA:														
MILWAUKEE COUNTY:														
WAUWATOSA CITY	42	1 226	3 270	37.5	1.7	37.7	2.0	38.3	5.0	11 456	343 494	29 984	915	79 908
WEST ALLIS CITY	68	995	3 750	26.5	2.0	26.8	2.0	26.4	10.6	14 528	198 929	13 693	749	51 575
MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)	408	13 066	19 735	66.2	2.8	67.0	3.1	66.5	13.6	139 712	4 411 217	31 574	6 663	47 692
WASHINGTON COUNTY:														
MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WAUKESHA COUNTY:														
WAUKESHA CITY	48	492	3 414	14.4	1.1	14.1	1.6	15.0	8.2	11 136	109 011	9 789	756	67 844
RACINE SMSA:														
RACINE COUNTY:														
RACINE CITY	96	1 535	4 288	35.8	6.0	40.7	14.0	35.3	29.9	18 752	330 016	17 590	922	49 164
WYOMING														
NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:														
LARAMIE COUNTY	186	642	12 038	5.3	0.5	6.4	1.6	5.4	50.8	15 616	72 932	4 670	1 368	87 595
NATRONA COUNTY	316	1 367	24 163	5.7	0.3	5.9	0.4	5.8	20.1	18 112	96 559	5 331	1 706	94 207
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S														
FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA.	351	1 029	11 800	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	54 482	134 407	2 467	1 541	28 290
ARKANSAS PORTION	271	880	9 615	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	37 616	106 458	2 830	1 163	30 922
CRAWFORD COUNTY	91	149	1 900	7.9	2.1	9.5	3.5	7.2	64.4	8 576	17 395	2 028	222	25 835
SEBASTIAN COUNTY	180	731	7 715	9.5	1.5	9.4	1.4	9.5	26.4	29 040	89 044	3 066	940	32 360
FORT SMITH	160	693	7 270	9.5	(X)	9.6	(X)	9.6	27.3	23 840	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
OKLAHOMA PORTION	80	149	2 185	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	16 866	27 850	1 651	408	24 215
LE FLORE COUNTY	48	92	1 529	6.0	1.6	9.8	5.6	5.5	93.2	10 602	17 076	1 611	283	26 699
SEQUOYAH COUNTY	32	57	656	8.6	0.8	9.5	1.6	8.4	20.8	6 264	10 728	1 713	125	19 920
WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD.:														
DELAWARE PORTION	790	15 470	57 015	27.1	1.2	28.5	1.8	26.9	17.9	107 534	1 616 255	15 030	5 957	55 394
NEW CASTLE COUNTY	790	15 470	57 015	27.1	1.2	28.5	1.8	26.9	17.9	107 534	1 616 255	15 030	5 957	55 394
WILMINGTON	140	2 014	8 104	24.9	(X)	28.6	(X)	26.5	32.0	18 658	167 675	8 987	675	36 159
MARYLAND PORTION[22]	180	2 526	8 083	31.2	3.9	32.5	4.1	34.3	20.7	16 320	225 223	13 800	721	44 173
CECIL COUNTY	180	2 526	8 083	31.2	3.9	32.5	4.1	34.3	20.7	16 320	225 223	13 800	721	44 173
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA.:														
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PORTION[13]	1 232	105 040	131 852	79.7	3.9	81.1	2.5	81.3	15.9	103 523	8 734 426	84 372	10 964	105 908
MARYLAND PORTION[22]	3 250	100 760	297 910	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	305 559	9 559 369	31 285	28 264	92 498
CHARLES COUNTY	260	7 278	20 828	34.9	9.0	38.1	7.3	33.6	30.0	17 507	427 919	24 443	1 224	69 942
MONTGOMERY COUNTY[8]	1 806	63 477	192 409	33.0	1.3	33.1	1.3	33.6	13.5	158 658	5 997 448	37 801	18 179	114 582
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	1 184	30 005	84 673	35.4	0.9	35.1	1.0	35.2	9.2	129 394	3 150 130	24 345	8 889	68 698
VIRGINIA PORTION:														
ARLINGTON COUNTY	460	48 138	53 549	89.9	3.9	89.3	2.8	88.4	7.7	37 268	3 784 091	101 537	4 210	112 952
FAIRFAX COUNTY	3 042	267 085	332 315	80.4	1.2	80.0	1.2	79.9	8.0	165 713	14 963 052	90 295	18 617	112 348
LOUDOUN COUNTY	228	15 801	18 115	87.2	5.0	89.5	3.8	88.6	9.6	15 720	1 027 117	65 338	1 178	74 908
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	760	44 826	58 108	77.1	4.3	78.1	5.3	77.5	11.8	36 965	2 304 247	62 336	2 987	80 806
ALEXANDRIA CITY	250	22 505	26 002	86.6	4.4	87.2	2.9	88.4	6.6	24 075	2 377 216	98 742	2 747	114 085
FAIRFAX CITY	88	7 083	7 126	99.4	1.9	100.1	2.2	99.0	5.2	5 027	459 030	91 313	462	91 858
MANASSAS CITY	96	6 573	7 171	91.7	2.5	90.6	3.2	90.9	6.1	4 707	291 634	61 958	318	67 600
MANASSAS PARK CITY	26	934	1 212	77.0	2.8	77.2	2.9	77.0	7.8	1 716	63 045	36 740	82	47 684
AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C.:														
GEORGIA PORTION:														
RICHMOND COUNTY	240	3 863	10 875	35.5	1.5	36.1	2.3	35.7	13.0	42 130	545 148	12 940	1 535	36 432
AUGUSTA	35	493	1 246	39.6	(X)	40.6	(X)	30.6	39.7	11 456	115 486	10 081	292	25 476
SOUTH CAROLINA PORTION[27]	168	176	6 452	2.7	0.6	3.6	1.8	2.5	74.2	30 241	22 868	756	839	27 754
AIKEN COUNTY	168	176	6 452	2.7	0.6	3.6	1.8	2.5	74.2	30 241	22 868	756	839	27 754
COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA.	363	4 372	15 611	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	56 326	353 357	6 273	1 262	22 400
ALABAMA PORTION[14]	78	176	1 781	9.9	4.3	12.0	4.6	7.5	92.6	11 270	15 748	1 397	159	14 137
RUSSELL COUNTY	78	176	1 781	9.9	4.3	12.0	4.6	7.5	92.6	11 270	15 748	1 397	159	14 137
GEORGIA PORTION	285	4 196	13 830	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	45 056	339 710	7 540	1 120	24 851
CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]	5	27	69	39.0	-	42.3	-	41.0	15.1	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	280	4 169	13 761	30.3	3.7	31.9	3.4	32.8	18.9	43 984	336 250	7 645	1 110	25 229
EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY.:														
INDIANA PORTION:														
VANDERBURGH COUNTY	300	1 889	11 850	15.9	2.0	23.2	7.8	15.6	69.8	53 057	368 807	6 951	2 313	43 604
EVANSVILLE	285	1 731	10 845	16.0	(X)	23.6	(X)	15.6	73.7	40 353	260 098	6 448	1 629	40 372
KENTUCKY PORTION	56	2 312	3 179	72.7	22.4	74.2	23.8	93.7	28.7	11 861	259 533	21 881	357	30 089
HENDERSON COUNTY	56	2 312	3 179	72.7	22.4	74.2	23.8	93.7	28.7	11 861	259 533	21 881	357	30 089
DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL.	510	13 720	27 764	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	92 336	2 285 609	24 753	4 625	50 091
ILLINOIS PORTION	240	3 744	11 691	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	50 000	920 190	18 404	2 873	57 468
HENRY COUNTY	60	700	2 246	31.2	4.4	30.4	4.6	29.6	21.4	16 016	211 678	13 217	679	42 402
ROCK ISLAND COUNTY	180	3 044	9 445	32.2	3.2	33.2	3.6	30.7	17.6	33 984	716 845	21 094	2 225	65 458
IOWA PORTION[20]	270	9 976	16 073	62.1	1.8	65.0	4.5	61.4	15.1	42 336	1 375 237	32 484	2 216	52 335
SCOTT COUNTY	270	9 976	16 073	62.1	1.8	65.0	4.5	61.4	15.1	42 336	1 375 237	32 484	2 216	52 335
DAVENPORT	155	5 367	8 668	61.9	(X)	63.0	(X)	61.1	13.9	26 880	804 149	29 916	1 299	48 317

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														WISCONSIN--CONTINUED
														SMSA PORTION--CONTINUED
														MILWAUKEE SMSA:
														MILWAUKEE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WAUWATOSA CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST ALLIS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)
														WASHINGTON COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)
														WAUKESHA COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WAUKESHA CITY
														RACINE SMSA:
														RACINE COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RACINE CITY
														WYOMING
														NON-SMSA SELECTED AREAS:
39	23	608	3.8	2.6	9.1	5.2	2.0	(3)	6 208	4 968	800	130	20 906	LARAMIE COUNTY
96	167	3 136	5.4	1.1	5.3	1.4	4.5	61.9	10 176	8 776	862	164	16 111	NATRONA COUNTY
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S
250	252	3 487	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	20 752	6 122	295	85	4 082	FORT SMITH, ARK.-OKLA.
178	203	3 224	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	9 952	4 963	499	79	7 920	ARKANSAS PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CRAWFORD COUNTY
150	196	3 044	6.4	3.4	12.7	5.3	5.5	(3)	7 456	4 593	616	72	9 602	SEBASTIAN COUNTY
120	184	2 709	6.8	(X)	14.9	(X)	17.3	58.2	4 000	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FORT SMITH
72	49	263	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	10 800	1 149	106	6	571	OKLAHOMA PORTION
40	45	170	26.8	9.8	27.4	13.9	25.0	54.6	3 024	389	129	1	480	LE FLORE COUNTY
32	4	93	4.5	2.9	6.3	2.5	6.8	59.2	7 776	771	99	17	2 196	SEQUOYAH COUNTY
														WILMINGTON, DEL.-N.J.-MD.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DELAWARE PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEW CASTLE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WILMINGTON
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MARYLAND PORTION[22]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CECIL COUNTY
														WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA.:
42	823	1 106	74.4	28.2	96.0	39.0	74.8	45.9	13 219	449 714	34 020	604	45 719	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PORTION[13]
182	14 667	51 978	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	48 264	390 907	8 099	1 385	28 703	MARYLAND PORTION[22]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHARLES COUNTY
105	14 162	48 883	29.0	1.5	24.3	10.6	28.5	36.8	4 341	64 491	14 856	223	51 281	MONTGOMERY COUNTY[8]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY
														VIRGINIA PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ARLINGTON COUNTY
108	7 213	12 854	56.1	27.8	58.0	24.3	55.6	50.4	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	FAIRFAX COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOUDOUN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALEXANDRIA CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FAIRFAX CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANASSAS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MANASSAS PARK CITY
														AUGUSTA, GA.-S.C.:
														GEORGIA PORTION:
35	259	646	40.0	12.7	43.6	20.5	35.0	58.7	5 568	16 679	2 996	42	7 495	RICHMOND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	AUGUSTA
84	16	389	4.1	2.2	5.4	2.7	3.3	(3)	8 448	580	69	14	1 665	SOUTH CAROLINA PORTION[27]
84	16	389	4.1	2.2	5.4	2.7	3.3	(3)	8 448	580	69	14	1 665	AIKEN COUNTY
42	712	3 888	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	7 686	6 578	856	36	4 673	COLUMBUS, GA.-ALA.
30	15	85	17.3	8.9	16.1	7.6	10.0	62.9	2 758	1 414	513	8	2 969	ALABAMA PORTION[14]
30	15	85	17.3	8.9	16.1	7.6	10.0	62.9	2 758	1 414	513	8	2 969	RUSSELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GEORGIA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHATTAHOOCHEE COUNTY[15]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE
														EVANSVILLE, IND.-KY.:
														INDIANA PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VANDERBURGH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EVANSVILLE
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENTUCKY PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENDERSON COUNTY
														DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE, IOWA-ILL.
85	329	2 688	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	10 626	34 626	3 259	283	26 624	ILLINOIS PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENRY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ROCK ISLAND COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	IOWA PORTION[20]
65	187	2 343	8.0	6.0	12.7	8.0	3.0	(3)	4 162	13 357	3 209	167	40 189	SCOTT COUNTY
65	187	2 343	8.0	6.0	12.7	8.0	3.0	(3)	4 162	13 357	3 209	167	40 189	DAVENPORT
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
				Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All types	Nonfarm residential property: Total	Nonfarm residential property: Single-family houses only	Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED										
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR.	117 457	63 991	1 656 607	100.0	48.0	44.5	25.7	2.0	24.3	-
IOWA PORTION[20]	100 884	56 313	1 397 163	100.0	49.2	45.4	23.6	2.3	24.9	-
WOODBURY COUNTY	100 884	56 313	1 397 163	100.0	49.2	45.4	23.6	2.3	24.9	-
SIOUX CITY	82 003	36 541	927 297	100.0	65.4	60.5	0.3	2.8	31.6	-
NEBRASKA PORTION[23]	16 573	7 678	259 444	100.0	41.7	39.5	37.1	0.6	20.6	-
DAKOTA COUNTY	16 573	7 678	259 444	100.0	41.7	39.5	37.1	0.6	20.6	-
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND.:										
INDIANA PORTION:										
CLARK COUNTY	88 838	31 580	216 297	100.0	72.3	66.8	7.0	1.4	19.2	0.1
KENTUCKY PORTION:										
JEFFERSON COUNTY	684 793	232 455	10 102 206	100.0	78.9	68.9	1.0	2.9	17.2	-
LOUISVILLE	298 451	100 525	3 527 832	100.0	75.6	63.0	-	1.6	22.8	-
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H.:										
MASSACHUSETTS PORTION:										
ESSEX COUNTY:										
LAWRENCE CITY	63 175	11 877	657 462	100.0	50.5	31.4	-	0.1	49.4	-
LYNN CITY	78 471	17 934	244 093	100.0	65.1	39.3	1.5	1.9	31.6	-
MIDDLESEX COUNTY:										
CAMBRIDGE CITY	95 322	15 208	282 030	100.0	52.1	22.9	-	2.4	45.5	-
LOWELL CITY	92 418	19 591	165 284	100.0	61.6	39.6	1.6	0.8	36.0	-
MALDEN CITY	53 386	11 540	109 626	100.0	75.1	31.7	-	3.6	21.4	-
MEDFORD CITY	58 076	16 280	852 169	100.0	84.6	46.6	0.5	1.4	13.5	-
NEWTON CITY	83 622	23 715	3 043 732	100.0	85.0	69.1	-	0.7	14.3	-
SOMERVILLE CITY	77 372	13 078	115 463	100.0	75.0	18.2	-	0.7	24.3	-
WALTHAM CITY	58 200	13 647	543 312	100.0	54.6	36.2	-	0.8	44.6	-
FRAMINGHAM TOWN	65 113	16 305	1 566 180	100.0	73.7	58.2	-	1.8	24.5	-
NORFOLK COUNTY:										
QUINCY CITY	84 743	21 214	250 064	100.0	66.6	37.1	0.2	1.2	31.9	0.1
BROOKLINE TOWN	55 062	11 655	1 699 099	100.0	89.0	52.8	-	1.3	9.7	-
WEYMOUTH TOWN	55 601	15 736	924 381	100.0	88.1	78.5	0.4	1.3	10.2	-
PLYMOUTH COUNTY:										
BROCKTON CITY	95 172	24 720	721 373	100.0	72.7	53.8	2.9	1.4	22.4	0.5
SUFFOLK COUNTY:										
BOSTON CITY[21]	562 994	97 972	1 635 769	100.0	38.9	13.8	-	2.9	58.2	-
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS.:										
MINNESOTA PORTION	222 229	133 859	673 563	100.0	64.8	57.9	3.7	2.6	28.6	0.3
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	222 229	133 859	673 563	100.0	64.8	57.9	3.7	2.6	28.6	0.3
DULUTH	92 811	39 984	275 262	100.0	66.9	56.3	0.5	2.7	30.0	-
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS.:										
MINNESOTA PORTION:										
ANOKA COUNTY	195 998	67 183	919 800	100.0	72.6	67.8	0.6	2.2	24.6	-
CHISAGO COUNTY	25 717	14 112	97 304	100.0	56.4	53.2	27.8	0.9	14.9	-
DAKOTA COUNTY	194 111	75 194	1 036 635	100.0	65.1	58.6	8.6	3.5	22.8	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY	941 411	296 532	6 779 065	100.0	68.1	56.7	0.5	2.5	28.9	-
BLOOMINGTON	81 831	23 745	672 592	100.0	63.5	55.7	-	4.1	32.5	-
MINNEAPOLIS	370 951	105 032	2 323 180	100.0	67.2	49.6	-	0.5	32.4	-
RAMSEY COUNTY	459 784	131 758	2 735 795	100.0	66.3	55.9	0.8	1.4	31.5	-
ST. PAUL	270 230	76 827	1 456 200	100.0	66.1	54.9	0.2	1.3	32.4	-
SCOTT COUNTY	43 784	19 887	246 885	100.0	51.3	44.3	19.0	4.1	25.5	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY	113 571	53 598	576 255	100.0	74.1	72.1	6.5	5.9	13.6	-
WRIGHT COUNTY	58 962	29 434	244 075	100.0	53.0	49.6	35.9	3.4	7.6	-
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS.:										
KANSAS PORTION	442 604	164 599	883 379	100.0	73.3	65.3	5.9	2.2	18.4	0.2
JOHNSON COUNTY	270 269	107 014	647 958	100.0	72.3	62.7	7.0	1.9	18.5	0.2
OVERLAND PARK	81 784	28 298	209 299	100.0	71.3	59.5	4.7	1.5	22.3	0.2
WYANDOTTE COUNTY	172 335	57 585	235 421	100.0	76.6	74.0	2.3	3.2	17.9	-
KANSAS CITY	161 087	53 376	220 784	100.0	78.7	75.8	1.6	2.9	17.4	-
MISSOURI PORTION:										
CASS COUNTY	51 029	27 619	94 623	100.0	65.3	63.5	27.1	2.4	5.2	-
CLAY COUNTY	136 488	56 057	418 272	100.0	67.5	60.2	5.1	1.0	26.4	-
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	60 574	21 550	(NA)	100.0	74.9	68.0	3.5	1.0	20.6	-
JACKSON COUNTY	629 180	219 365	1 356 967	100.0	67.5	65.7	0.9	2.5	29.1	-
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	111 806	39 444	(NA)	100.0	81.3	79.7	0.1	1.5	17.1	-
KANSAS CITY (PART)	371 991	122 846	(NA)	100.0	62.6	60.2	1.0	1.4	35.0	-
PLATTE COUNTY	46 341	21 687	141 905	100.0	74.3	66.7	7.5	3.2	15.0	-
KANSAS CITY (PART)	15 594	6 487	(NA)	100.0	71.7	61.9	1.1	2.9	24.2	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
230	5 837	9 090	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	63 991	1 657	25 888	2 580	40 316	SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR.
185	4 374	7 118	61.5	6.9	68.4	7.9	62.4	24.6	56 313	1 397	24 811	2 272	40 354	IOWA PORTION[20]
185	4 374	7 118	61.5	6.9	68.4	7.9	62.4	24.6	56 313	1 397	24 811	2 272	40 354	WOODBURY COUNTY
140	3 758	5 710	65.9	(X)	66.9	(X)	63.3	16.8	36 541	927	25 377	1 408	38 527	SIOUX CITY
45	1 463	1 972	74.2	5.0	76.8	7.2	75.1	12.8	7 678	259	33 791	350	45 527	NEBRASKA PORTION[23]
45	1 463	1 972	74.2	5.0	76.8	7.2	75.1	12.8	7 678	259	33 791	350	45 527	DAKOTA COUNTY
														LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND.:
														INDIANA PORTION:
140	429	2 826	15.2	2.2	21.1	9.0	14.7	69.0	31 580	216	6 849	1 424	45 101	CLARK COUNTY
														KENTUCKY PORTION:
1 920	78 738	95 533	82.4	4.7	83.4	3.6	84.2	20.3	232 455	10 102	43 459	12 256	52 725	JEFFERSON COUNTY
720	24 570	32 507	75.6	(X)	77.5	(X)	77.5	26.3	100 525	3 528	35 094	4 667	46 427	LOUISVILLE
														BOSTON-LOWELL-BRCKTN-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H.:
														MASSACHUSETTS PORTION:
70	365	2 510	14.5	5.1	15.0	4.2	11.3	57.0	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	ESSEX COUNTY:
180	1 726	7 437	23.2	4.8	23.9	4.7	20.7	34.7	17 934	244	13 611	1 054	58 763	LAWRENCE CITY
														LYNN CITY
260	3 132	23 465	13.3	4.0	15.6	3.7	16.7	33.2	15 208	282	18 545	2 113	138 938	MIDDLESEX COUNTY:
120	657	4 967	13.2	2.0	14.4	3.0	12.5	40.7	19 591	165	8 437	1 253	63 934	CAMBRIDGE CITY
78	1 207	9 038	13.4	2.2	15.7	6.8	12.2	41.8	11 540	110	9 500	821	71 156	LOWELL CITY
70	3 508	4 856	72.2	6.3	72.9	6.1	77.0	8.5	16 280	852	52 345	1 180	72 459	MALDEN CITY
240	30 964	36 520	84.8	14.9	93.8	8.7	95.5	14.7	23 715	3 044	128 346	3 590	151 376	MEDFORD CITY
90	587	5 274	11.1	4.3	30.2	36.1	9.8	(3)	13 078	115	8 829	1 037	79 324	NEWTON CITY
110	4 339	16 945	25.6	2.0	29.1	3.2	28.4	13.9	13 647	543	39 812	2 123	155 530	SOMERVILLE CITY
100	7 295	8 089	90.2	3.3	89.7	3.9	89.5	5.4	16 305	1 566	96 055	1 737	106 510	WALTHAM CITY
														FRAMINGHAM TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORFOLK COUNTY:
200	23 514	24 870	94.5	14.8	92.7	14.1	87.7	18.3	11 655	1 699	145 783	1 797	154 190	QUINCY CITY
150	6 983	7 763	90.0	11.0	92.5	12.2	91.2	19.6	15 736	924	58 743	1 028	65 305	BROOKLINE TOWN
														WEYMOUTH TOWN
160	3 912	6 641	58.9	6.5	66.3	17.7	55.0	34.9	24 720	721	29 182	1 225	49 555	PLYMOUTH COUNTY:
														BROCKTON CITY
730	9 113	61 449	14.8	2.8	15.6	2.1	12.9	52.9	97 972	1 636	16 696	11 030	112 584	SUFFOLK COUNTY:
														BOSTON CITY[11]
														DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS.:
590	4 651	29 626	15.7	1.6	22.0	6.2	15.1	76.2	133 859	674	5 032	4 289	32 044	MINNESOTA PORTION
590	4 651	29 626	15.7	1.6	22.0	6.2	15.1	76.2	133 859	674	5 032	4 289	32 044	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
200	1 504	9 956	15.1	(X)	15.4	(X)	14.1	31.4	39 984	275	6 884	1 822	45 579	DULUTH
														MINNEAPLS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS.:
616	5 234	29 233	17.9	2.9	20.3	3.7	16.9	40.3	67 183	920	13 691	5 138	76 480	MINNESOTA PORTION:
160	1 003	7 043	14.2	4.7	16.0	6.6	16.6	57.3	14 112	97	6 695	683	48 403	ANOKA COUNTY
731	6 980	48 868	14.3	1.5	14.7	1.8	14.8	27.7	75 194	1 037	13 786	7 258	96 517	CHISAGO COUNTY
1 480	32 196	150 225	21.4	2.1	19.9	1.3	18.2	27.8	296 532	6 779	22 861	31 630	106 668	DAKOTA COUNTY
150	2 184	10 908	20.0	(X)	22.5	(X)	20.0	30.6	23 745	673	28 326	3 361	141 536	HENNEPIN COUNTY
300	7 971	36 360	21.9	(X)	19.2	(X)	16.5	28.1	105 032	2 323	22 119	10 598	100 904	BLOOMINGTON
830	10 968	57 510	19.1	1.6	19.9	2.4	18.1	34.0	131 758	2 736	20 764	14 343	108 855	MINNEAPOLIS
410	5 198	26 508	19.6	(X)	19.3	(X)	18.5	19.7	76 827	1 456	18 954	7 425	96 644	RAMSEY COUNTY
126	967	6 354	15.2	2.0	19.1	4.9	14.8	48.7	19 887	247	12 414	1 622	81 581	ST. PAUL
460	5 143	26 334	19.5	5.5	19.5	5.6	15.6	36.3	53 598	576	10 751	2 950	55 036	SCOTT COUNTY
210	1 266	8 798	14.4	2.1	15.4	2.0	14.7	31.9	29 434	244	8 292	1 695	57 590	WASHINGTON COUNTY
														WRIGHT COUNTY
														KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS.:
1 904	11 001	144 712	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	164 599	883	5 367	11 620	70 598	KANSAS PORTION
1 344	8 952	124 273	7.2	1.3	7.5	0.5	7.5	22.2	107 014	648	6 055	8 996	84 063	JOHNSON COUNTY
468	3 689	45 478	8.1	(X)	8.2	(X)	7.4	25.4	28 298	209	7 396	2 580	91 177	OVERLAND PARK
560	2 049	20 439	10.0	0.8	15.9	3.5	10.3	75.5	57 585	235	4 088	2 348	40 783	WYANDOTTE COUNTY
530	1 936	19 253	10.1	(X)	16.3	(X)	10.3	78.3	53 376	221	4 136	2 196	41 135	KANSAS CITY
														MISSOURI PORTION:
150	550	6 888	8.0	2.2	9.9	3.2	8.0	67.1	27 619	95	3 426	1 185	42 890	CASS COUNTY
435	2 659	18 236	14.6	3.0	16.0	6.6	11.6	77.0	56 057	418	7 462	2 869	51 177	CLAY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
180	1 358	8 765	15.5	(X)	13.7	(X)	11.0	53.2	21 550	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
1 800	14 343	102 339	14.0	2.6	15.1	2.8	10.6	70.5	219 365	1 357	6 186	9 682	44 138	JACKSON COUNTY
360	1 237	12 868	9.6	(X)	9.6	(X)	9.7	22.3	39 444	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
1 260	11 093	73 959	15.0	(X)	16.9	(X)	10.8	86.2	122 846	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
240	1 523	12 366	12.3	1.9	11.0	1.9	13.0	41.3	21 687	142	6 543	1 151	53 080	PLATTE COUNTY
90	738	5 368	13.8	(X)	12.3	(X)	14.4	24.1	6 487	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600683602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED														
SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR.	187	5 148	7 719	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	30 192	737 832	24 438	1 106	36 643
IOWA PORTION[20]	145	3 709	5 794	64.0	3.3	65.8	5.1	61.9	17.7	26 960	634 963	23 552	991	36 774
WOODBURY COUNTY	145	3 709	5 794	64.0	3.3	65.8	5.1	61.9	17.7	26 960	634 963	23 552	991	36 774
SIOUX CITY	125	3 406	5 242	65.0	(X)	66.1	(X)	62.8	15.2	23 120	561 078	24 268	863	37 327
NEBRASKA PORTION[23]	42	1 439	1 925	74.8	5.1	78.7	7.0	75.4	11.4	3 232	102 466	31 704	137	42 383
DAKOTA COUNTY	42	1 439	1 925	74.8	5.1	78.7	7.0	75.4	11.4	3 232	102 466	31 704	137	42 383
LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND.:														
INDIANA PORTION:														
CLARK COUNTY	100	413	2 728	15.2	2.2	18.6	6.4	14.7	43.8	22 931	144 401	6 297	953	41 557
KENTUCKY PORTION:														
JEFFERSON COUNTY	1 584	60 831	72 617	83.8	3.6	84.8	3.7	84.6	18.6	190 102	6 958 359	36 603	8 306	43 693
LOUISVILLE	528	13 731	17 192	79.9	(X)	79.9	(X)	79.0	24.1	81 826	2 222 643	27 163	2 783	34 009
BOSTON-LOWELL-BROCKTON-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H.:														
MASSACHUSETTS PORTION:														
ESSEX COUNTY:														
LAWRENCE CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
LYNN CITY	100	928	4 202	22.0	6.6	23.5	7.0	19.2	40.4	9 664	95 850	9 918	435	45 007
MIDDLESEX COUNTY:														
CAMBRIDGE CITY	160	1 980	13 835	14.3	5.7	16.3	4.1	17.4	25.5	5 952	64 487	10 835	451	75 705
LOWELL CITY	85	447	3 724	12.0	1.5	12.5	1.7	11.8	25.0	11 136	65 370	5 870	546	49 001
MALDEN CITY	30	180	1 502	12.0	0.5	12.1	0.4	12.2	3.7	5 760	34 754	6 034	290	50 361
MEDFORD CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NEWTON CITY	180	22 321	23 190	96.3	6.7	99.1	6.0	97.7	10.0	18 081	2 101 804	116 244	2 184	120 769
SOMERVILLE CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WALTHAM CITY	80	1 466	5 445	26.9	2.3	27.4	1.9	27.5	8.5	8 832	196 627	22 263	731	82 717
FRAMINGHAM TOWN	100	7 295	8 089	90.2	3.3	89.7	3.9	89.5	5.4	13 296	911 458	68 551	1 011	76 013
NORFOLK COUNTY:														
QUINCY CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
BROOKLINE TOWN	120	9 872	11 720	84.2	19.3	86.5	19.0	81.7	18.6	7 892	897 503	113 723	1 066	135 012
WEYMOUTH TOWN	130	6 234	7 148	87.2	11.1	88.1	12.6	91.1	17.6	13 568	725 676	53 484	832	61 326
PLYMOUTH COUNTY:														
BROCKTON CITY	90	2 109	3 912	53.9	5.4	55.2	6.2	52.3	13.1	15 936	387 941	24 344	720	45 175
SUFFOLK COUNTY:														
BOSTON CITY[11]	400	2 788	26 670	10.5	1.9	12.7	2.0	10.6	46.2	31 568	225 904	7 156	2 161	68 456
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS.:														
MINNESOTA PORTION	410	2 288	16 480	13.9	2.0	15.4	2.3	14.5	35.1	70 176	389 911	5 556	2 808	40 008
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	410	2 288	16 480	13.9	2.0	15.4	2.3	14.5	35.1	70 176	389 911	5 556	2 808	40 008
DULUTH	180	1 155	8 421	13.7	(X)	14.3	(X)	13.9	26.7	24 352	154 939	6 362	1 130	46 393
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS.:														
MINNESOTA PORTION:														
ANOKA COUNTY	440	4 364	22 021	19.8	2.8	22.4	4.3	18.3	37.8	50 880	623 686	12 258	3 147	61 859
CHISAGO COUNTY	90	696	4 704	14.8	4.6	14.7	4.6	17.5	31.8	7 120	51 807	7 276	350	49 170
DAKOTA COUNTY	595	6 499	44 195	14.7	1.5	15.1	1.6	14.8	21.0	49 603	607 370	12 195	4 130	82 928
HENNEPIN COUNTY	1 170	16 729	91 543	18.3	0.7	18.6	1.1	17.8	18.9	251 032	3 846 933	15 324	21 052	83 862
BLOOMINGTON	110	1 756	9 677	18.1	(X)	17.8	(X)	17.9	13.3	22 082	374 472	16 958	2 065	93 511
MINNEAPOLIS	230	2 755	15 785	17.4	(X)	18.0	(X)	16.5	18.5	90 772	1 151 296	12 683	6 598	72 690
RAMSEY COUNTY	580	7 905	40 416	19.6	1.6	20.1	2.7	17.9	28.5	110 688	1 528 903	13 813	7 817	70 620
ST. PAUL	300	3 602	19 147	18.8	(X)	18.3	(X)	17.6	15.3	65 120	799 614	12 270	4 250	65 269
SCOTT COUNTY	90	839	5 532	15.2	2.1	17.6	5.6	14.7	40.1	9 920	109 280	11 016	721	72 679
WASHINGTON COUNTY	400	4 024	24 156	16.7	1.5	16.8	1.9	15.6	15.0	33 232	415 351	12 499	2 493	75 012
WRIGHT COUNTY	126	1 006	6 544	15.4	2.5	16.0	2.0	15.1	24.1	13 097	121 018	9 240	787	60 075
KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS.:														
KANSAS PORTION	1 524	7 577	94 129	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	111 172	577 020	5 190	7 168	64 479
JOHNSON COUNTY	1 104	6 481	83 047	7.8	0.2	8.0	0.5	7.8	16.8	66 084	406 412	6 150	5 207	78 800
OVERLAND PARK	408	2 133	27 550	7.7	(X)	8.4	(X)	7.4	21.4	20 195	124 476	6 164	1 607	79 580
WYANDOTTE COUNTY	420	1 096	11 082	9.9	1.3	16.9	4.4	10.6	85.7	45 088	174 254	3 865	1 763	39 112
KANSAS CITY	390	983	9 896	9.9	(X)	17.5	(X)	10.9	88.0	42 592	167 331	3 929	1 686	39 583
MISSOURI PORTION:														
CASS COUNTY	66	326	2 758	11.8	2.0	11.5	2.2	11.5	30.7	12 312	60 071	4 879	507	41 186
CLAY COUNTY	315	2 280	15 649	14.6	3.3	13.9	2.6	14.1	37.2	36 160	251 700	6 961	1 727	47 753
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(0)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	165	1 356	8 737	15.5	(X)	14.4	(X)	11.6	50.4	16 480	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
JACKSON COUNTY	1 380	6 149	56 025	11.0	1.1	14.4	3.3	10.2	67.8	174 269	890 977	5 117	8 117	46 577
INDEPENDENCE (PART)	340	1 018	11 068	9.2	(X)	9.4	(X)	9.7	22.3	33 708	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS CITY (PART)	860	3 118	29 445	10.6	(X)	16.6	(X)	10.4	88.4	100 863	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
PLATTE COUNTY	138	1 188	8 437	14.1	1.2	13.7	1.4	14.4	19.3	12 303	94 622	7 691	671	54 580
KANSAS CITY (PART)	72	691	4 820	14.4	(X)	13.9	(X)	14.5	14.9	4 518	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
23	103	148	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	11 264	33 325	2 959	48	4 251	SIOUX CITY, IOWA-NEBR.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	IOWA PORTION[20]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WOODBURY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SIOUX CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEBRASKA PORTION[23]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DAKOTA COUNTY
														LOUISVILLE, KY.-IND.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDIANA PORTION:
														CLARK COUNTY
														KENTUCKY PORTION:
120	1 493	2 268	65.9	33.4	73.4	18.1	82.9	27.7	26 929	289 649	10 756	440	16 332	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOUISVILLE
														BOSTON-LOWELL-BRCKTN-LAWRENCE-HAVERHILL, MASS.-N.H.:
														MASSACHUSETTS PORTION:
														ESSEX COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LAWRENCE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LYNN CITY
														MIDDLESEX COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMBRIDGE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOWELL CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MALDEN CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MEDFORD CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEWTON CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SOMERVILLE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WALTHAM CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FRAMINGHAM TOWN
														NORFOLK COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	QUINCY CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROOKLINE TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEYMOUTH TOWN
														PLYMOUTH COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROCKTON CITY
														SUFFOLK COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOSTON CITY[21]
														DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MINN.-WIS.:
80	156	788	19.8	13.9	44.8	34.9	29.7	(3)	29 568	17 646	597	89	3 022	MINNESOTA PORTION
80	156	788	19.8	13.9	44.8	34.9	29.7	(3)	29 568	17 646	597	89	3 022	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DULUTH
														MINNEAPLS-ST. PAUL, MINN.-WIS.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MINNESOTA PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ANOKA COUNTY
102	180	2 466	7.3	6.8	12.5	8.7	10.7	(3)	15 002	36 035	2 402	495	33 007	CHISAGO COUNTY
160	1 606	5 329	30.2	5.0	27.5	6.8	29.6	38.7	22 038	168 657	7 653	559	25 372	DAKOTA COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HENNEPIN COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BLOOMINGTON
90	805	5 887	13.7	3.0	15.5	9.2	12.9	75.5	13 504	38 766	2 871	283	20 972	MINNEAPOLIS
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RAMSEY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. PAUL
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SCOTT COUNTY
54	115	886	12.9	4.5	15.8	5.1	14.4	48.0	5 760	8 359	1 451	65	11 223	WASHINGTON COUNTY
														WRIGHT COUNTY
														KANSAS CITY, MO.-KANS.:
88	318	7 627	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)	34 049	19 552	574	469	13 773	KANSAS PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JOHNSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OVERLAND PARK
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WYANDOTTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANSAS CITY
														MISSOURI PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CASS COUNTY
75	99	442	22.2	36.9	23.7	35.3	2.4	(3)	12 400	4 153	335	19	1 510	CLAY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
120	138	1 507	9.1	4.7	15.0	9.2	10.2	88.3	25 892	33 743	1 303	370	14 290	JACKSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	INDEPENDENCE (PART)
120	138	1 507	9.1	(X)	15.0	(X)	10.2	88.3	11 233	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	KANSAS CITY (PART)
60	23	684	3.3	1.6	4.3	2.1	3.0	72.9	6 877	4 490	653	134	19 548	PLATTE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KANSAS CITY (PART)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED										
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL.:										
ILLINOIS PORTION:										
MADISON COUNTY	247 671	100 762	1 494 033	100.0	55.1	52.0	8.8	2.2	33.8	0.1
MONROE COUNTY	20 117	10 442	142 826	100.0	37.6	37.6	50.1	1.4	11.0	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY	265 469	93 153	1 153 774	100.0	60.8	57.7	11.7	2.5	25.0	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	55 200	19 612	71 625	100.0	35.2	33.1	-	3.3	61.5	-
MISSOURI PORTION:										
JEFFERSON COUNTY	146 814	61 415	223 512	100.0	70.3	68.6	0.3	8.8	20.6	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	143 455	55 565	448 145	100.0	84.9	82.6	0.6	1.8	12.7	-
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	974 815	326 819	3 339 997	100.0	69.0	62.1	0.3	2.0	28.7	(Z)
FLORISSANT	55 372	17 011	(NA)	100.0	79.4	74.4	0.6	1.1	18.9	-
ST. LOUIS CITY	453 085	122 774	1 025 814	100.0	56.8	35.0	0.8	1.6	40.8	-
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA	570 399	222 469	7 815 827	100.0	69.7	62.2	6.7	2.6	21.1	-
IOWA PORTION''	86 500	48 734	1 095 411	100.0	54.9	53.6	32.9	1.5	10.6	-
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY	86 500	48 734	1 095 411	100.0	54.9	53.6	32.9	1.5	10.6	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	56 449	23 882	518 248	100.0	79.2	76.5	-	2.5	18.3	-
NEBRASKA PORTION''	483 899	173 735	6 720 416	100.0	72.3	63.7	2.1	2.7	22.9	-
DOUGLAS COUNTY	397 884	140 604	5 736 272	100.0	70.9	61.5	1.7	2.3	25.2	-
OMAHA	311 681	109 540	(NA)	100.0	69.4	59.4	-	1.1	29.4	-
SARPY COUNTY	86 015	33 131	984 144	100.0	80.5	76.8	4.6	5.1	9.8	-
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA.:										
NEW YORK PORTION:'										
BROOME COUNTY:										
BINGHAMTON CITY	55 860	15 805	137 109	100.0	56.3	32.8	-	0.4	41.7	1.6
UNION TOWN	61 179	21 114	122 328	100.0	59.5	46.9	0.4	1.0	38.9	0.2
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION	37 876	21 938	98 469	100.0	78.4	75.1	14.0	2.3	5.4	-
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY	37 876	21 938	98 469	100.0	78.4	75.1	14.0	2.3	5.4	-
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J.:										
NEW YORK PORTION:'										
NEW YORK CITY	7 071 030	803 785	43 829 810	100.0	43.1	8.3	-	1.5	55.3	0.1
ROCKLAND COUNTY:										
CLARKSTOWN TOWN	77 091	22 348	1 322 006	100.0	78.6	71.9	0.6	4.8	16.1	-
RAMAPO TOWN	89 060	22 947	1 380 924	100.0	73.8	65.4	0.2	4.0	21.9	-
WESTCHESTER COUNTY:										
NEW ROCHELLE CITY	70 794	14 341	363 380	100.0	73.8	54.3	-	2.1	23.8	0.3
YONKERS CITY	195 351	32 427	673 157	100.0	70.0	32.2	-	2.9	27.0	0.1
GREENBURGH TOWN	82 881	24 842	575 067	100.0	64.8	55.6	1.6	2.7	30.9	-
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N.DAK.-MINN.:										
NORTH DAKOTA PORTION	88 247	36 599	103 075	100.0	35.0	34.4	34.8	1.6	28.6	-
CASS COUNTY	88 247	36 599	103 075	100.0	35.0	34.4	34.8	1.6	28.6	-
FARGO	61 308	17 395	62 593	100.0	58.3	57.4	-	1.7	40.0	-
GRAND FORKS, N. DAK.-MINN.	100 944	48 110	279 230	100.0	26.2	22.9	58.6	0.3	14.8	-
MINNESOTA PORTION	34 844	21 831	218 642	100.0	21.3	19.6	67.3	0.1	11.2	-
POLK COUNTY	34 844	21 831	218 642	100.0	21.3	19.6	67.3	0.1	11.2	-
NORTH DAKOTA PORTION	66 100	26 279	60 588	100.0	42.3	33.8	30.1	1.0	26.6	-
GRAND FORKS COUNTY	66 100	26 279	60 588	100.0	42.3	33.8	30.1	1.0	26.6	-
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND.:										
KENTUCKY PORTION	266 217	87 936	3 273 945	100.0	72.6	68.7	10.8	0.8	15.7	0.2
BOONE COUNTY	45 842	15 333	804 783	100.0	51.4	47.6	23.4	1.2	24.0	-
CAMPBELL COUNTY	83 317	26 886	902 078	100.0	77.0	72.6	9.7	0.7	12.7	-
KENTON COUNTY	137 058	45 717	1 567 084	100.0	82.0	78.3	4.4	0.5	12.7	0.4
OHIO PORTION	1 100 895	365 268	6 509 794	100.0	72.9	64.4	6.0	1.9	19.1	-
CLERMONT COUNTY	128 483	52 533	744 714	100.0	72.0	70.4	10.7	3.1	14.3	-
HAMILTON COUNTY	873 136	273 772	5 197 162	100.0	73.1	63.0	4.6	1.8	20.5	-
CINCINNATI	385 457	103 351	1 767 952	100.0	67.3	47.6	2.0	2.0	28.6	-
WARREN COUNTY	99 276	38 963	567 918	100.0	72.9	68.9	12.5	1.6	13.1	-
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA.	163 099	79 506	554 412	100.0	62.7	59.9	4.7	2.6	28.8	1.1
OHIO PORTION	91 564	52 521	313 906	100.0	68.6	65.5	6.6	4.5	18.3	2.0
JEFFERSON COUNTY	91 564	52 521	313 906	100.0	68.6	65.5	6.6	4.5	18.3	2.0
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	71 535	26 985	240 506	100.0	55.4	52.9	2.3	0.4	41.9	-
BROOKE COUNTY	31 117	10 783	91 359	100.0	71.9	67.2	-	1.1	27.0	-
HANCOCK COUNTY	40 418	16 242	149 147	100.0	46.0	44.8	3.6	-	50.4	-
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH.:										
OHIO PORTION:										
LUCAS COUNTY	471 741	187 630	2 508 332	100.0	67.6	57.6	4.0	3.8	24.6	-
TOLEDO	354 635	128 103	1 696 903	100.0	68.3	56.3	0.3	2.6	28.8	-
WOOD COUNTY	107 372	51 594	792 325	100.0	51.0	46.9	30.9	3.7	14.3	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships		Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio				Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)			Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
														ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL.:
														ILLINOIS PORTION:
285	3 619	11 999	30.2	10.9	31.8	10.2	26.8	53.5	100 762	1 494	14 827	4 955	49 177	MADISON COUNTY
60	506	1 478	34.2	7.3	39.2	9.4	34.3	41.9	10 442	143	13 678	417	39 961	MONROE COUNTY
450	6 332	20 003	31.7	3.9	33.7	6.4	33.5	37.8	93 153	1 154	12 386	3 645	39 131	ST. CLAIR COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EAST ST. LOUIS
														MISSOURI PORTION:
450	881	13 768	6.4	3.2	13.5	5.4	10.0	92.8	61 415	224	3 639	3 498	56 961	JEFFERSON COUNTY
620	5 938	39 455	15.0	4.5	18.8	5.8	15.2	57.5	55 565	448	8 065	2 978	53 590	ST. CHARLES COUNTY
2 385	30 586	205 150	14.9	2.0	14.3	1.2	12.4	38.1	326 819	3 340	10 220	22 404	68 551	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
360	3 861	29 122	13.3	(X)	13.1	(X)	13.3	19.8	17 011	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	FLORISSANT
790	4 535	27 725	16.3	2.2	26.0	11.4	14.7	96.3	122 774	1 026	8 355	6 279	51 142	ST. LOUIS CITY
1 992	75 039	108 252	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	222 469	7 816	35 132	11 275	50 682	OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA
190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	48 734	1 095	22 477	1 728	35 453	IOWA PORTION[10]
190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	48 734	1 095	22 477	1 728	35 453	POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
130	3 903	5 893	66.2	(X)	65.3	(X)	66.4	15.7	23 882	518	21 700	783	32 768	COUNCIL BLUFFS
1 802	70 080	100 430	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	173 735	6 720	38 682	9 631	55 434	NEBRASKA PORTION[11]
1 378	50 719	71 728	70.7	4.1	68.8	3.8	72.0	21.2	140 604	5 736	40 797	8 113	57 698	DOUGLAS COUNTY
1 040	35 602	51 458	69.2	(X)	69.1	(X)	71.7	22.1	105 540	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	OMAHA
424	19 361	28 702	67.5	2.6	66.6	3.0	65.6	10.7	33 131	984	29 705	1 459	44 038	SARPY COUNTY
														BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA.:
														NEW YORK PORTION[9]
120	750	3 860	19.4	3.7	19.5	3.1	17.8	16.8	15 805	137	8 675	706	44 648	BROOME COUNTY:
140	989	6 881	14.4	7.2	21.3	12.5	10.1	(3)	21 114	122	5 794	852	40 337	BINGHAMTON CITY
282	784	3 822	20.5	1.9	24.8	3.3	20.0	37.1	21 938	98	4 489	479	21 851	UNION TOWN
282	784	3 822	20.5	1.9	24.8	3.3	20.0	37.1	21 938	98	4 489	479	21 851	PENNSYLVANIA PORTION
														SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
														NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J.:
														NEW YORK PORTION[9]
4 640	176 711	728 577	24.3	4.4	29.2	2.6	20.2	78.4	803 785	43 830	54 529	180 710	224 824	NEW YORK CITY
180	7 906	15 186	52.1	12.2	50.5	10.7	48.6	24.4	22 348	1 322	59 155	2 539	113 627	ROCKLAND COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLARKSTOWN TOWN
														RAMAPO TOWN
220	3 807	19 207	19.8	9.0	29.6	18.9	15.6	(3)	14 341	363	25 339	1 833	127 839	WESTCHESTER COUNTY:
204	5 763	23 189	24.9	8.0	24.7	7.8	18.6	60.7	32 427	673	20 759	2 708	83 521	NEW ROCHELLE CITY
140	8 789	31 595	27.8	15.1	16.6	4.4	14.1	32.7	24 842	575	23 149	2 067	83 225	YONKERS CITY
														GREENBURGH TOWN
														FARGO-MOORHEAD, N.DAK.-MINN.:
224	527	14 200	3.7	0.2	4.3	0.4	4.0	33.9	36 599	103	2 816	2 775	75 834	NORTH DAKOTA PORTION
224	527	14 200	3.7	0.2	4.3	0.4	4.0	33.9	36 599	103	2 816	2 775	75 834	CASS COUNTY
144	380	8 848	4.3	(X)	4.9	(X)	4.2	30.4	17 395	63	3 598	1 457	83 788	FARGO
(10)	(10)	(10)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	GRAND FORKS, N.DAK.-MINN.
78	507	3 699	13.7	3.8	15.6	2.7	15.2	36.7	21 831	219	10 015	1 600	73 267	MINNESOTA PORTION
78	507	3 699	13.7	3.8	15.6	2.7	15.2	36.7	21 831	219	10 015	1 600	73 267	POLK COUNTY
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	NORTH DAKOTA PORTION
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	GRAND FORKS COUNTY
														CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND.:
462	16 088	19 359	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	87 936	3 274	37 231	3 940	44 801	KENTUCKY PORTION
56	2 814	3 371	83.5	4.7	83.8	5.6	81.2	8.5	15 333	805	52 487	964	62 871	BOONE COUNTY
176	5 458	6 304	86.5	17.4	110.2	31.3	92.6	59.2	26 886	902	33 552	1 042	38 769	CAMPBELL COUNTY
230	7 816	9 684	80.7	8.5	80.5	7.5	83.3	19.0	45 717	1 567	34 278	1 942	42 470	KENTON COUNTY
720	10 345	47 670	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	365 268	6 510	17 822	29 997	82 124	OHIO PORTION
220	2 818	9 537	29.5	1.9	31.0	4.7	31.0	25.2	52 533	745	14 176	2 521	47 984	CLERMONT COUNTY
224	3 995	22 236	18.0	7.0	23.5	3.6	24.0	35.0	273 772	5 197	18 984	28 885	105 509	HAMILTON COUNTY
105	1 816	7 549	24.1	(X)	26.0	(X)	27.6	23.8	103 351	1 768	17 106	7 350	71 114	CINCINNATI
276	3 532	15 897	22.2	5.9	26.2	4.3	26.7	28.9	38 963	568	14 576	2 555	65 578	WARREN COUNTY
235	1 508	6 785	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	79 506	554	6 973	2 494	31 375	STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA.
111	905	3 893	23.3	2.7	27.8	6.1	24.2	46.8	52 521	314	5 977	1 349	25 694	OHIO PORTION
111	905	3 893	23.3	2.7	27.8	6.1	24.2	46.8	52 521	314	5 977	1 349	25 694	JEFFERSON COUNTY
124	603	2 892	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 985	241	8 913	1 153	42 745	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
54	296	1 775	16.7	2.2	21.8	6.7	17.4	55.8	10 743	91	8 504	548	51 011	BROOKE COUNTY
70	307	1 117	27.2	7.9	45.9	29.3	32.2	92.0	16 242	149	9 183	547	33 703	HANCOCK COUNTY
														TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH.:
														OHIO PORTION:
630	9 198	37 243	24.7	3.4	30.4	6.1	27.8	30.6	187 630	2 508	13 369	10 158	54 138	LUCAS COUNTY
430	6 031	24 346	24.8	(X)	32.9	(X)	28.8	35.5	128 103	1 697	13 246	6 850	53 475	TOLEDO
192	3 326	11 182	29.7	2.3	28.4	2.7	30.0	22.3	51 594	792	15 357	2 664	51 632	WOOD COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property
		(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED														
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL.:														
ILLINOIS PORTION:														
MADISON COUNTY	195	1 971	7 248	27.2	5.7	30.7	9.2	27.1	34.4	63 616	777 262	12 218	2 860	44 957
MONROE COUNTY	30	336	1 048	32.1	8.0	36.0	12.0	30.7	34.7	4 960	53 664	10 819	167	33 730
ST. CLAIR COUNTY	405	6 195	19 494	31.8	4.0	33.9	7.1	34.2	39.4	48 961	666 167	13 606	2 096	42 817
EAST ST. LOUIS	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MISSOURI PORTION:														
JEFFERSON COUNTY	240	506	6 238	8.1	2.7	11.5	3.3	10.9	53.6	33 600	153 312	4 563	1 892	56 306
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	460	4 068	22 240	18.3	3.8	20.4	7.5	18.1	48.9	44 960	370 334	8 237	2 025	45 033
ST. LOUIS COUNTY	1 965	16 339	133 608	12.2	1.1	13.6	1.0	12.3	29.4	275 544	2 073 501	7 525	16 957	61 539
FLORISSANT	345	2 599	22 102	11.8	(X)	12.8	(X)	13.2	19.3	16 209	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
ST. LOUIS CITY	420	1 960	13 505	14.5	1.9	28.1	20.4	14.9	(3)	72 449	359 280	4 959	2 478	34 204
OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA	1 625	64 708	91 274	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	147 441	4 861 705	32 974	6 858	46 511
IOWA PORTION[11]	190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	25 504	587 059	23 018	926	36 307
POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY	190	4 959	7 822	63.4	5.3	70.5	15.9	65.7	28.1	25 504	587 059	23 018	926	36 307
COUNCIL BLUFFS	130	3 903	5 893	66.2	(X)	65.3	(X)	66.4	15.7	18 400	396 286	21 537	598	32 522
NEBRASKA PORTION[12]	1 435	59 749	83 452	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	121 937	4 282 081	35 117	5 981	49 048
DOUGLAS COUNTY	1 027	40 483	55 007	73.6	3.7	72.6	3.5	75.0	16.2	102 225	3 526 220	34 495	4 791	46 871
OMAHA	767	25 604	35 650	71.8	(X)	71.5	(X)	75.0	18.8	84 048	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
SARPY COUNTY	408	19 266	28 445	67.7	2.5	67.7	2.7	65.9	9.4	19 712	756 070	38 356	1 116	56 633
BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA.:														
NEW YORK PORTION:														
BROOME COUNTY:														
BINGHAMTON CITY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
UNION TOWN	112	690	4 607	15.0	9.2	22.5	15.2	10.1	(3)	14 760	57 361	3 886	383	25 942
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION	72	304	1 575	19.3	2.8	23.5	5.0	19.4	33.3	12 096	73 990	6 117	383	31 622
SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY	72	304	1 575	19.3	2.8	23.5	5.0	19.4	33.3	12 096	73 990	6 117	383	31 622
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J.:														
NEW YORK PORTION:[8]														
NEW YORK CITY	1 580	19 774	161 473	12.2	2.3	16.9	1.5	14.6	40.2	318 568	3 626 442	11 384	29 614	92 959
ROCKLAND COUNTY:														
CLARKSTOWN TOWN	180	7 906	15 186	52.1	12.2	50.5	10.7	48.6	24.4	18 672	949 974	50 877	1 825	97 725
RAMAPO TOWN	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WESTCHESTER COUNTY:														
NEW ROCHELLE CITY	120	2 405	10 440	23.0	8.4	36.7	30.7	19.0	(3)	9 728	197 231	20 275	856	88 011
YONKERS CITY	120	1 206	7 620	15.8	3.3	19.1	6.4	14.1	48.7	16 705	216 600	12 964	1 369	81 926
GREENBURGH TOWN	110	2 307	16 255	14.2	1.3	14.4	1.3	14.0	13.1	16 960	319 843	18 859	2 254	132 930
FARGO-MOORHEAD, N.DAK.-MINN.:														
NORTH DAKOTA PORTION	154	417	9 872	4.2	0.2	4.7	0.4	4.1	26.4	12 896	35 503	2 753	839	65 077
CASS COUNTY	154	417	9 872	4.2	0.2	4.7	0.4	4.1	26.4	12 896	35 503	2 753	839	65 077
FARGO	114	323	7 614	4.2	(X)	4.9	(X)	4.2	27.6	12 768	35 957	2 816	846	66 269
GRAND FORKS, N. DAK.-MINN.	(10)	(10)	(10)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
MINNESOTA PORTION	51	262	1 837	14.3	1.5	14.5	1.6	14.7	23.5	8 000	42 750	5 344	300	37 471
POLK COUNTY	51	262	1 837	14.3	1.5	14.5	1.6	14.7	23.5	8 000	42 750	5 344	300	37 471
NORTH DAKOTA PORTION	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
GRAND FORKS COUNTY	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND.:														
KENTUCKY PORTION	314	12 156	14 256	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	70 324	2 249 890	31 997	2 639	37 520
BOONE COUNTY	48	2 612	3 091	84.5	4.8	85.7	5.3	85.1	7.7	10 881	382 901	35 190	453	41 646
CAMPBELL COUNTY	96	3 103	3 508	88.4	14.6	94.9	29.6	91.7	37.3	21 363	655 307	30 674	741	34 694
KENTON COUNTY	170	6 441	7 657	84.1	9.4	84.0	8.2	86.1	15.4	38 080	1 227 751	32 241	1 460	38 328
OHIO PORTION	370	6 324	21 870	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	241 668	4 190 000	17 338	14 490	59 959
CLERMONT COUNTY	170	2 729	8 986	30.4	1.6	32.4	3.9	31.5	16.6	29 440	524 446	17 814	1 727	58 666
HAMILTON COUNTY	56	1 225	4 252	28.8	3.5	31.4	6.4	29.5	21.9	186 116	3 276 325	17 604	11 364	61 059
CINCINNATI	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WARREN COUNTY	144	2 370	8 632	27.5	2.7	27.3	2.2	27.9	11.7	26 112	391 557	14 995	1 426	54 608
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA.	127	1 076	5 077	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	44 886	332 166	7 400	1 567	34 917
OHIO PORTION	75	705	2 934	24.0	3.2	25.1	2.7	24.2	28.9	24 662	205 688	8 340	856	34 714
JEFFERSON COUNTY	75	705	2 934	24.0	3.2	25.1	2.7	24.2	28.9	24 662	205 688	8 340	856	34 714
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	52	371	2 143	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	20 224	127 341	6 297	736	36 371
BROOKE COUNTY	27	219	1 441	15.2	1.8	15.2	1.5	14.6	14.5	8 704	61 404	7 055	404	46 467
HANCOCK COUNTY	25	152	702	21.6	5.9	26.5	9.2	25.8	32.5	11 520	66 881	5 806	310	26 882
TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH.:														
OHIO PORTION:														
LUCAS COUNTY	500	7 180	26 671	26.9	1.3	32.0	7.5	28.1	30.2	119 096	1 443 632	12 129	5 363	45 032
TOLEDO	360	4 471	16 192	27.6	(X)	34.2	(X)	29.1	35.4	86 855	956 056	11 007	3 463	39 869
WOOD COUNTY	90	1 380	4 225	32.7	2.8	32.4	3.3	32.2	15.2	26 691	371 272	13 910	1 137	42 593

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
														ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL.:
														ILLINOIS PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MADISON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONROE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. CLAIR COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	EAST ST. LOUIS
														MISSOURI PORTION:
105	102	3 596	2.8	5.2	21.0	19.8	6.1	(3)	26 400	19 756	748	696	26 379	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. CHARLES COUNTY
120	207	1 812	11.4	6.7	13.7	11.0	7.7	(3)	33 608	68 265	2 031	598	17 780	ST. LOUIS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	FLORISSANT
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ST. LOUIS CITY
211	1 427	3 141	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	44 008	199 714	4 538	440	9 989	OMAHA, NEBR.-IOWA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	IOWA PORTION''
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	COUNCIL BLUFFS
211	1 427	3 141	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	35 912	183 876	5 120	405	11 270	NEBRASKA PORTION''
195	1 332	2 884	46.2	11.0	54.2	14.4	55.8	41.1	24 456	133 357	5 453	289	11 814	DOUGLAS COUNTY
117	1 094	1 971	55.5	(X)	64.1	(X)	57.5	32.4	10 772	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	OMAHA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SARPY COUNTY
														BINGHAMTON, N.Y.-PA.:
														NEW YORK PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BROOME COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BINGHAMTON CITY
30	51	213	23.9	8.4	30.8	10.1	25.0	40.7	3 648	2 237	613	9	2 561	UNION TOWN
30	51	213	23.9	8.4	30.8	10.1	25.0	40.7	3 648	2 237	613	9	2 561	PENNSYLVANIA PORTION
														SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY
														NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J.:
														NEW YORK PORTION[8]
280	1 940	10 418	18.6	11.9	49.4	29.2	19.0	(3)	64 388	670 310	10 410	3 600	55 905	NEW YORK CITY
														ROCKLAND COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLARKSTOWN TOWN
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	RAMAPO TOWN
														WESTCHESTER COUNTY:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NEW ROCHELLE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	YONKERS CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GREENBURGH TOWN
														FARGO-MOORHEAD, N.DAK.-MINN.:
28	12	297	3.9	1.3	4.1	1.2	3.7	68.0	6 528	1 701	261	44	6 732	NORTH DAKOTA PORTION
28	12	297	3.9	1.3	4.1	1.2	3.7	68.0	6 528	1 701	261	44	6 732	CASS COUNTY
10	9	151	5.7	(X)	6.3	(X)	6.1	50.0	2 304	1 057	459	18	8 017	FARGO
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND FORKS, N.DAK.-MINN.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MINNESOTA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	POLK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTH DAKOTA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GRAND FORKS COUNTY
														CINCINNATI, OHIO-KY.-IND.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENTUCKY PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOONE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMPBELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	KENTON COUNTY
160	551	3 013	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	49 399	125 456	2 540	686	13 887	OHIO PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLERMONT COUNTY
70	208	1 277	16.4	4.0	18.8	7.0	16.5	52.1	35 351	94 262	2 666	574	16 232	HAMILTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CINCINNATI
60	300	1 409	21.3	11.8	23.5	11.9	23.5	48.7	7 680	8 860	1 154	42	5 415	WARREN COUNTY
														STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OHIO-W.VA.
50	103	342	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	21 129	14 691	695	49	2 309	OHIO PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	JEFFERSON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
38	89	223	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1 536	973	633	2	1 587	BROOKE COUNTY
18	43	134	32.0	11.8	35.1	16.3	26.8	67.6	1 536	1 020	664	3	2 074	HANCOCK COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	
														TOLEDO, OHIO-MICH.:
														OHIO PORTION:
50	292	1 318	22.1	7.2	29.3	9.2	28.8	28.6	36 565	95 049	2 599	430	11 753	LUCAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TOLEDO
30	136	505	27.0	3.0	29.2	3.9	27.2	14.9	13 344	29 583	2 217	110	8 211	WOOD COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property							
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value					
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED										
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH	1 242 187	491 151	31 104 930	100.0	62.4	52.0	8.2	3.6	25.8	(Z)
OREGON PORTION[26]	1 049 960	408 434	26 593 600	100.0	61.2	50.3	8.3	3.5	27.0	-
CLACKAMAS COUNTY	241 919	104 290	6 343 010	100.0	58.5	54.9	23.1	2.4	16.0	-
PORTLAND (PART)	686	(NA)	22 917	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MULTNOMAH COUNTY	562 640	209 104	13 667 952	100.0	65.0	53.3	2.3	3.6	29.1	-
PORTLAND (PART)	365 051	191 053	9 296 864	100.0	64.1	52.7	1.8	3.6	30.5	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY	245 401	95 040	6 582 638	100.0	57.6	42.6	6.2	4.2	31.9	-
PORTLAND (PART)	646	(NA)	17 328	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WASHINGTON PORTION	192 227	82 717	4 511 330	100.0	72.8	66.8	7.8	3.9	15.4	0.1
CLARK COUNTY	192 227	82 717	4 511 330	100.0	72.8	66.8	7.8	3.9	15.4	0.1
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J.:										
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION:										
LEHIGH COUNTY	273 582	98 738	1 348 763	100.0	71.4	62.4	3.4	0.8	24.5	-
ALLENTOWN	103 758	32 593	410 466	100.0	69.0	52.7	1.0	0.5	29.4	-
BETHLEHEM (PART)	19 865	6 704	108 721	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	225 418	83 902	803 882	100.0	74.3	70.4	4.1	0.9	20.6	0.1
BETHLEHEM (PART)	50 554	14 701	184 897	100.0	61.8	54.3	1.0	1.2	36.0	-
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J.:										
NEW JERSEY PORTION:										
CAMDEN COUNTY:										
CHERRY HILL TWP	68 785	21 452	1 157 684	100.0	70.0	66.4	0.5	2.5	27.0	-
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION	3 682 709	1 178 476	10 602 056	100.0	67.0	57.5	2.4	2.0	28.4	0.3
BUCKS COUNTY	479 211	150 556	1 135 154	100.0	70.1	61.2	3.9	4.4	21.3	0.3
BRISTOL TWP	58 733	17 239	96 166	100.0	75.4	73.4	-	5.0	19.6	-
CHESTER COUNTY	316 660	107 958	1 011 729	100.0	61.2	58.3	15.1	4.1	18.5	1.1
DELAWARE COUNTY	555 007	170 625	726 155	100.0	77.4	68.8	0.5	0.8	21.3	-
HAVERFORD TWP	52 349	16 994	68 927	100.0	82.2	81.0	-	2.1	15.7	-
UPPER DARBY TWP	84 054	21 751	97 703	100.0	81.1	69.8	-	-	18.9	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	643 621	211 937	1 873 654	100.0	76.0	68.8	1.7	1.5	20.5	0.3
ABINGTON TWP	59 084	19 085	163 793	100.0	82.2	78.6	3.0	0.6	14.2	-
LOWER MERION TWP	59 651	19 442	272 705	100.0	79.0	73.6	-	2.2	18.2	0.7
PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]	1 688 210	537 400	5 855 364	100.0	63.2	51.5	-	1.3	35.3	0.1
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA.:										
GEORGIA PORTION:										
WALKER COUNTY	56 470	23 907	263 215	100.0	59.7	58.2	22.6	1.8	15.9	-
TENNESSEE PORTION	320 761	127 632	1 470 907	100.0	56.8	49.1	0.8	4.5	37.8	(Z)
HAMILTON COUNTY	287 740	111 502	1 428 610	100.0	57.2	49.3	(Z)	4.6	38.2	-
CHATTANOOGA	169 565	58 841	900 106	100.0	44.2	36.5	(Z)	2.8	52.9	-
MARION COUNTY	24 416	10 971	28 212	100.0	44.0	44.0	24.8	3.0	28.2	-
SEQUATCHIE COUNTY	8 605	5 159	14 085	100.0	41.4	41.4	35.2	6.5	16.5	0.4
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY.	150 220	50 035	691 612	100.0	52.0	50.8	23.2	1.4	23.2	0.1
KENTUCKY PORTION	66 878	19 820	497 083	100.0	50.1	49.0	28.4	0.6	20.8	(Z)
CHRISTIAN COUNTY	66 878	19 820	497 083	100.0	50.1	49.0	28.4	0.6	20.8	(Z)
TENNESSEE PORTION	83 342	30 215	194 529	100.0	56.9	55.3	10.2	3.2	29.4	0.3
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	83 342	30 215	194 529	100.0	56.9	55.3	10.2	3.2	29.4	0.3
CLARKSVILLE	54 777	17 213	120 276	100.0	60.7	58.5	2.0	4.1	32.7	0.5
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA.:										
TENNESSEE PORTION:										
CARTER COUNTY	50 205	21 858	112 844	100.0	57.9	57.9	11.2	3.1	27.7	-
SULLIVAN COUNTY	143 968	61 217	478 931	100.0	51.1	50.5	9.1	3.7	35.9	0.1
VIRGINIA PORTION:										
BRISTOL CITY	19 042	8 918	290 195	100.0	65.5	63.5	-	3.1	31.3	-
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS.:										
ARKANSAS PORTION	49 097	26 333	49 057	100.0	44.2	42.8	33.6	2.1	20.1	-
CRITTENDEN COUNTY	49 097	26 333	49 057	100.0	44.2	42.8	33.6	2.1	20.1	-
MISSISSIPPI PORTION	53 930	24 848	65 585	100.0	77.0	75.4	5.2	4.7	13.1	-
DE SOTO COUNTY	53 930	24 848	65 585	100.0	77.0	75.4	5.2	4.7	13.1	-
TENNESSEE PORTION:										
SHELBY COUNTY	777 113	235 213	3 091 031	100.0	53.8	49.8	2.4	3.4	40.3	0.1
MEMPHIS	646 356	186 807	2 447 109	100.0	50.4	46.4	0.2	3.7	45.7	0.1
TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK.	127 019	64 334	637 158	100.0	51.9	48.0	14.8	2.9	29.2	1.2
ARKANSAS PORTION	51 718	26 841	80 529	100.0	68.3	63.9	18.1	3.7	9.8	-
LITTLE RIVER COUNTY	13 952	11 622	36 711	100.0	61.8	60.8	23.6	6.2	8.3	-
MILLER COUNTY	37 766	15 219	43 818	100.0	75.2	67.1	12.3	1.0	11.5	-
TEXAS PORTION	75 301	37 493	556 629	100.0	50.6	46.7	14.5	2.8	30.7	1.2
BOWIE COUNTY	75 301	37 493	556 629	100.0	50.6	46.7	14.5	2.8	30.7	1.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)		(percent)		(percent)	(percent)		(million dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
3 113	171 507	239 656	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	491 151	31 105	63 331	43 465	88 495	PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH.
2 898	162 638	225 847	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	408 434	26 594	65 111	36 929	90 416	OREGON PORTION[26]
490	29 176	42 659	68.4	10.5	76.5	6.5	79.2	18.6	104 290	6 343	60 821	9 274	88 925	CLACKAMAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTLAND (PART)
1 368	74 890	107 928	69.4	5.0	74.4	3.3	78.4	15.7	209 104	13 668	65 364	19 697	94 197	MULTNOMAH COUNTY
1 080	60 724	89 520	67.8	(X)	73.2	(X)	77.2	16.2	191 053	9 297	48 661	13 705	71 734	PORTLAND (PART)
1 040	58 572	75 260	77.8	4.6	77.5	5.4	80.9	16.2	95 040	6 583	69 262	8 458	88 994	WASHINGTON COUNTY
220	17 216	21 669	79.4	(X)	80.6	(X)	80.6	6.6	(NA)	17	(NA)	2	(NA)	PORTLAND (PART)
215	8 869	13 809	64.2	23.6	79.6	6.4	87.5	19.2	82 717	4 511	54 539	7 025	84 931	WASHINGTON PORTION
215	8 869	13 809	64.2	23.6	79.6	6.4	87.5	19.2	82 717	4 511	54 539	7 025	84 931	CLARK COUNTY
														ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J.:
660	6 807	31 422	21.7	5.2	24.4	2.7	23.7	28.5	98 738	1 349	13 660	6 225	63 046	PENNSYLVANIA PORTION:
255	2 886	15 747	18.3	(X)	22.8	(X)	21.0	32.3	32 593	410	12 594	2 240	68 734	LEHIGH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALLENTOWN
430	3 553	19 756	18.0	1.9	18.5	2.2	17.6	28.8	83 902	804	9 581	4 470	53 273	BETHLEHEM (PART)
70	679	3 150	21.6	(X)	21.8	(X)	20.0	40.2	14 701	185	12 577	858	58 348	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
														BETHLEHEM (PART)
														PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J.:
192	6 808	13 587	50.1	5.8	47.2	8.5	53.0	20.6	21 452	1 158	53 966	2 311	107 714	NEW JERSEY PORTION:
7 189	61 482	446 677	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1178 476	10 602	8 996	77 026	65 360	CAMDEN COUNTY:
1 121	9 167	96 366	9.5	2.3	12.7	3.5	10.0	51.1	150 556	1 135	7 540	11 934	79 265	CHERRY HILL TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PENNSYLVANIA PORTION
1 176	9 537	76 928	12.4	1.0	12.7	0.9	12.8	23.1	107 958	1 012	9 372	8 161	75 591	BUCKS COUNTY
1 020	4 525	64 853	7.0	2.0	7.1	1.4	6.0	36.2	170 625	726	4 256	10 406	60 986	BRISTOL TWP.
96	348	6 860	5.1	(X)	5.1	(X)	4.9	14.0	16 994	69	4 056	1 359	79 957	CHESTER COUNTY
144	575	7 209	8.0	(X)	7.2	(X)	6.1	25.6	21 751	98	4 492	1 225	56 339	DELAWARE COUNTY
1 452	11 839	106 062	11.2	1.0	11.7	1.3	10.5	27.6	211 937	1 874	8 841	16 785	79 199	HAVERFORD TWP.
108	1 646	11 345	14.5	(X)	19.1	(X)	11.5	77.6	19 085	164	8 582	1 129	59 138	UPPER DARBY TWP.
168	2 598	23 658	11.0	(X)	11.4	(X)	11.7	17.5	19 442	273	14 027	2 484	127 789	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
2 420	26 414	102 468	25.8	3.2	34.4	4.9	26.2	59.1	537 400	5 855	10 896	22 715	42 268	ABINGTON TWP.
														LOWER MERION TWP.
														PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]
														CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA.:
98	666	1 882	35.4	4.6	38.6	8.2	36.5	34.7	23 907	263	11 010	743	31 082	GEORGIA PORTION:
988	10 184	39 844	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	127 632	1 471	11 525	5 755	45 089	WALKER COUNTY
920	10 112	38 884	26.0	3.0	26.1	2.0	24.2	32.7	111 502	1 429	12 812	5 493	49 266	TENNESSEE PORTION
544	5 883	21 380	27.5	(X)	26.6	(X)	24.3	31.5	58 841	900	15 297	3 271	55 592	HAMILTON COUNTY
48	36	597	6.0	3.0	9.2	3.9	9.1	57.9	10 971	28	2 572	469	42 772	CHATTANOOGA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MARION COUNTY
														SEQUATCHIE COUNTY
690	14 925	29 365	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	50 035	692	13 823	1 361	27 196	CLARKSVLE-HOPKNSVLE, TENN.-KY.
280	11 751	13 731	85.6	14.7	76.3	12.7	81.6	29.5	19 820	497	25 080	581	29 311	KENTUCKY PORTION
280	11 751	13 731	85.6	14.7	76.3	12.7	81.6	29.5	19 820	497	25 080	581	29 311	CHRISTIAN COUNTY
410	3 174	15 634	20.3	1.2	20.7	2.1	20.1	23.0	30 215	195	6 438	959	31 727	TENNESSEE PORTION
410	3 174	15 634	20.3	1.2	20.7	2.1	20.1	23.0	30 215	195	6 438	959	31 727	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
210	1 674	8 257	20.3	(X)	21.5	(X)	20.7	21.9	17 213	120	6 988	594	34 492	CLARKSVILLE
														JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA.:
110	430	2 746	15.6	1.2	19.1	7.0	15.9	40.2	21 858	113	5 163	723	33 060	TENNESSEE PORTION:
259	1 329	8 875	15.0	1.5	16.6	2.3	14.8	32.2	61 217	479	7 823	3 192	52 145	CARTER COUNTY
44	1 440	1 965	73.3	14.9	77.8	9.6	80.2	15.3	8 918	290	32 540	396	44 395	SULLIVAN COUNTY
														VIRGINIA PORTION:
														BRISTOL CITY
119	231	3 611	6.4	1.2	5.9	1.6	5.5	47.7	26 333	49	1 863	765	29 065	MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS.:
119	231	3 611	6.4	1.2	5.9	1.6	5.5	47.7	26 333	49	1 863	765	29 065	ARKANSAS PORTION
152	392	4 853	8.1	1.6	11.7	6.9	9.1	73.2	24 848	66	2 639	814	32 758	CRITTENDEN COUNTY
152	392	4 853	8.1	1.6	11.7	6.9	9.1	73.2	24 848	66	2 639	814	32 758	MISSISSIPPI PORTION
														DE SOTO COUNTY
2 034	30 218	149 522	20.2	4.9	21.3	2.0	20.5	30.8	235 213	3 091	13 141	15 295	65 028	TENNESSEE PORTION:
1 350	20 839	96 341	21.6	(X)	22.6	(X)	20.7	35.6	186 807	2 447	13 100	11 314	60 564	SHELBY COUNTY
														MEMPHIS
245	3 115	7 596	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	64 334	637	9 904	1 554	24 151	TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK.
77	92	1 357	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 841	81	3 000	1 188	44 253	ARKANSAS PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
56	78	937	8.3	5.1	17.0	16.7	6.4	(3)	15 219	44	2 879	525	34 516	MILLER COUNTY
168	3 023	6 239	48.4	8.4	49.3	12.7	44.2	35.8	37 493	557	14 846	1 149	30 644	TEXAS PORTION
168	3 023	6 239	48.4	8.4	49.3	12.7	44.2	35.8	37 493	557	14 846	1 149	30 644	BOWIE COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 21. Statistics on Real Property Assessments and Measurable Sales

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses									All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED														
PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH	2 271	132 044	166 729	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	332 377	16 176 017	48 668	20 425	61 452
OREGON PORTION[26]	2 146	125 746	159 142	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	278 915	13 384 773	47 980	16 940	60 734
CLACKAMAS COUNTY	294	21 325	26 408	80.8	3.5	82.3	3.8	80.7	9.7	59 861	3 481 247	58 156	4 311	72 016
PORTLAND (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MULTNOMAH COUNTY	1 032	52 467	68 164	77.0	2.9	77.3	3.0	79.2	11.5	155 006	7 280 941	46 972	9 459	61 024
PORTLAND (PART)	804	40 352	52 648	76.6	(X)	76.9	(X)	78.4	11.2	142 231	4 895 056	34 416	6 387	44 903
WASHINGTON COUNTY	820	51 954	64 570	80.5	3.3	80.4	4.3	81.0	10.7	64 048	2 804 434	43 786	3 485	54 418
PORTLAND (PART)	200	16 286	20 569	79.2	(X)	80.2	(X)	79.6	6.9	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WASHINGTON PORTION	125	6 298	7 587	83.0	6.0	83.5	5.3	84.5	14.5	53 462	3 013 661	56 370	3 631	67 914
CLARK COUNTY	125	6 298	7 587	83.0	6.0	83.5	5.3	84.5	14.5	53 462	3 013 661	56 370	3 631	67 914
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J.:														
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION:														
LEHIGH COUNTY	465	5 004	21 685	23.1	2.5	24.1	2.9	21.6	29.0	74 464	841 054	11 295	3 645	48 945
ALLENTOWN	210	1 977	9 774	20.2	(X)	21.4	(X)	20.7	17.7	24 704	216 368	8 758	1 070	43 313
BETHLEHEM (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	290	2 169	12 737	17.0	1.5	17.3	2.0	17.5	23.5	63 104	565 913	8 968	3 323	52 660
BETHLEHEM (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J.:														
NEW JERSEY PORTION:														
CAMDEN COUNTY:														
CHERRY HILL TWP	168	6 373	12 466	51.1	4.7	48.1	7.6	53.0	15.4	18 817	769 235	40 880	1 505	79 966
PENNSYLVANIA PORTION	5 423	42 161	325 701	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	938 208	6 093 532	6 496	47 074	50 174
BUCKS COUNTY	779	5 204	54 626	9.5	0.7	10.5	1.5	10.1	20.9	113 264	694 693	6 133	7 292	64 381
BRISTOL TWP	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CHESTER COUNTY	792	7 121	55 836	12.8	0.8	13.3	0.6	13.3	15.1	76 064	590 337	7 761	4 628	60 848
DELAWARE COUNTY	864	3 156	54 185	5.8	0.3	6.4	0.5	5.9	22.8	144 128	499 245	3 464	8 570	59 460
HAVERFORD TWP	96	348	6 860	5.1	(X)	5.1	(X)	4.9	14.0	14 208	55 803	3 928	1 100	77 426
UPPER DARBY TWP	132	371	5 798	6.4	(X)	6.5	(X)	6.0	15.3	19 008	68 200	3 588	1 066	56 102
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	1 128	10 396	92 612	11.2	1.1	11.8	1.5	10.6	23.2	170 016	1 288 951	7 581	11 483	67 541
ABINGTON TWP	108	1 646	11 345	14.5	(X)	19.1	(X)	11.5	77.6	17 376	128 685	7 406	887	51 032
LOWER MERION TWP	168	2 598	23 658	11.0	(X)	11.4	(X)	11.7	17.5	16 512	200 736	12 157	1 829	110 756
PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]	1 860	16 284	68 442	23.8	2.1	34.6	5.9	25.2	62.8	434 736	3 012 901	6 930	12 663	29 129
CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA.:														
GEORGIA PORTION:														
WALKER COUNTY	63	514	1 475	34.8	4.0	35.7	7.0	33.8	24.3	15 306	153 076	10 001	439	28 712
TENNESSEE PORTION	616	6 003	26 599	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	85 564	722 608	8 445	3 202	37 420
HAMILTON COUNTY	576	5 964	26 154	22.8	2.2	24.2	1.9	23.0	26.3	77 220	704 357	9 121	3 088	39 996
CHATTANOOGA	320	2 708	11 766	23.0	(X)	25.2	(X)	23.7	26.9	41 474	328 117	7 911	1 426	34 375
MARION COUNTY	30	23	327	7.0	2.7	6.0	3.8	7.1	57.7	5 880	12 415	2 111	179	30 370
SEQUATCHIE COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TENN.-KY.	450	7 361	19 023	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	29 895	351 389	11 754	908	30 376
KENTUCKY PORTION	180	4 861	6 758	71.9	12.8	71.2	10.2	77.6	22.8	12 920	243 528	18 849	339	26 210
CHRISTIAN COUNTY	180	4 861	6 758	71.9	12.8	71.2	10.2	77.6	22.8	12 920	243 528	18 849	339	26 210
TENNESSEE PORTION	270	2 500	12 265	20.4	0.8	20.2	1.1	20.4	12.0	16 975	107 645	6 341	528	31 120
MONTGOMERY COUNTY	270	2 500	12 265	20.4	0.8	20.2	1.1	20.4	12.0	16 975	107 645	6 341	528	31 120
CLARKSVILLE	140	1 146	5 620	20.4	(X)	20.3	(X)	20.9	13.5	11 215	70 378	6 275	345	30 786
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA.:														
TENNESSEE PORTION:														
CARTER COUNTY	80	413	2 621	15.7	1.2	16.8	2.2	16.2	14.2	13 789	65 365	4 740	416	30 179
SULLIVAN COUNTY	168	1 190	7 945	15.0	1.6	15.2	1.7	14.7	21.8	38 192	241 924	6 334	1 613	42 239
VIRGINIA PORTION:														
BRISTOL CITY	32	1 249	1 726	72.4	16.7	81.6	10.3	83.4	14.3	6 544	184 229	28 152	255	38 891
MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS.:														
ARKANSAS PORTION	77	217	3 075	7.1	1.0	7.6	1.8	7.7	29.1	11 024	20 983	1 903	297	26 913
CRITTENDEN COUNTY	77	217	3 075	7.1	1.0	7.6	1.8	7.7	29.1	11 024	20 983	1 903	297	26 913
MISSISSIPPI PORTION	88	256	3 135	8.2	2.1	9.0	1.9	9.8	29.0	13 056	49 460	3 788	606	46 452
DE SOTO COUNTY	88	256	3 135	8.2	2.1	9.0	1.9	9.8	29.0	13 056	49 460	3 788	606	46 452
TENNESSEE PORTION:														
SHELBY COUNTY	1 710	19 325	104 627	18.5	1.2	20.0	1.4	20.5	21.4	157 731	1 538 032	9 751	8 327	52 793
MEMPHIS	1 080	10 358	54 051	19.2	(X)	21.0	(X)	20.7	23.0	123 489	1 135 998	9 199	5 928	48 006
TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK.	161	2 885	6 374	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	34 823	305 586	8 778	675	19 388
ARKANSAS PORTION	35	36	688	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	12 736	51 418	4 037	983	77 156
LITTLE RIVER COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
MILLER COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
TEXAS PORTION	126	2 849	5 686	50.1	8.9	56.2	13.5	48.7	31.1	22 087	259 925	11 768	519	23 487
BOWIE COUNTY	126	2 849	5 686	50.1	8.9	56.2	13.5	48.7	31.1	22 087	259 925	11 768	519	23 487

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of vacant platted lots									All vacant platted lots					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value	Aggregate sales price	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Ratio	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio	Median assessment-sales price ratio	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio	Number assessed	Gross assessed value	Average assessed value	Total amount	Average per property	
	(thousand dollars)	(thousand dollars)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percentage points)	(percent)	(percent)		(thousand dollars)	(dollars)	(million dollars)	(dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
392	11 106	18 248	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	62 348	1 108 121	17 773	1 821	29 203	PORTLAND, OREG.-WASH.
352	10 242	17 165	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	45 388	936 953	20 643	1 570	34 597	OREGON PORTION[26]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLACKAMAS COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTLAND (PART)
156	6 310	10 877	58.0	8.5	69.7	14.2	78.3	25.9	22 800	491 622	21 562	847	37 168	MULTNOMAH COUNTY
120	5 651	10 145	55.7	(X)	64.1	(X)	65.6	32.1	21 295	337 756	15 861	606	28 474	PORTLAND (PART)
140	3 176	5 320	59.7	17.7	75.3	20.1	64.3	37.8	12 424	278 341	22 403	466	37 525	WASHINGTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTLAND (PART)
40	864	1 083	79.8	15.0	80.6	16.5	97.5	19.3	16 960	176 390	10 400	221	13 038	WASHINGTON PORTION
40	864	1 083	79.8	15.0	80.6	16.5	97.5	19.3	16 960	176 390	10 400	221	13 038	CLARK COUNTY
														ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA.-N.J.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PENNSYLVANIA PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LEHIGH COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ALLENTOWN
70	252	1 279	19.7	5.8	18.7	6.1	17.0	34.3	7 872	7 107	903	36	4 581	BETHLEHEM (PART)
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY
														BETHLEHEM (PART)
														PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J.:
														NEW JERSEY PORTION:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CAMDEN COUNTY:
424	2 476	13 040	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	93 773	208 307	2 221	1 097	11 699	CHERRY HILL TWP.
152	1 182	3 895	30.3	27.8	29.6	20.6	10.3	(3)	20 450	50 080	2 449	165	8 070	PENNSYLVANIA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BUCKS COUNTY
132	435	5 897	7.4	2.9	10.1	4.6	8.9	52.1	20 449	41 259	2 018	559	27 328	BRISTOL TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHESTER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	DELAWARE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HAVERFORD TWP.
84	99	1 250	7.9	2.5	9.2	2.6	10.0	28.3	18 882	27 458	1 454	349	18 476	UPPER DARBY TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	ABINGTON TWP.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LOWER MERION TWP.
														PHILADELPHIA CITY[11]
														CHATTANOOGA, TENN.-GA.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	GEORGIA PORTION:
190	489	2 173	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	27 447	66 873	2 436	297	10 827	WALKER COUNTY
184	488	2 170	22.5	1.9	26.8	5.9	24.2	37.3	24 169	65 136	2 695	290	11 982	TENNESSEE PORTION
112	366	1 662	22.1	(X)	22.5	(X)	21.0	29.0	9 318	25 251	2 710	114	12 283	HAMILTON COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHATTANOOGA
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MARION COUNTY
														SEQUATCHIE COUNTY
110	376	1 037	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	7 644	9 455	1 237	26	3 411	CLARKSVLE-HOPKNSVLE, TENN.-KY.
60	187	365	51.2	28.6	79.2	48.0	67.2	72.7	1 200	3 198	2 665	6	5 210	KENTUCKY PORTION
60	187	365	51.2	28.6	79.2	48.0	67.2	72.7	1 200	3 198	2 665	6	5 210	CHRISTIAN COUNTY
50	189	672	28.1	16.6	27.0	11.7	19.2	60.0	6 444	6 171	958	22	3 412	TENNESSEE PORTION
50	189	672	28.1	16.6	27.0	11.7	19.2	60.0	6 444	6 171	958	22	3 412	MONTGOMERY COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CLARKSVILLE
														JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TENN.-VA.:
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TENNESSEE PORTION:
63	78	501	15.6	6.2	21.7	7.2	18.8	48.4	13 584	17 939	1 321	115	8 453	CARTER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	SULLIVAN COUNTY
														VIRGINIA PORTION:
														BRISTOL CITY
														MEMPHIS, TENN.-ARK.-MISS.:
42	14	536	2.6	1.2	2.7	1.3	2.2	64.7	7 808	1 020	131	39	5 005	ARKANSAS PORTION
42	14	536	2.6	1.2	2.7	1.3	2.2	64.7	7 808	1 020	131	39	5 005	CRITTENDEN COUNTY
48	56	926	6.0	3.5	6.2	3.3	6.4	63.8	8 768	3 102	354	52	5 909	MISSISSIPPI PORTION
48	56	926	6.0	3.5	6.2	3.3	6.4	63.8	8 768	3 102	354	52	5 909	DE SOTO COUNTY
														TENNESSEE PORTION:
126	7 590	34 122	22.2	20.0	16.6	10.8	10.1	(3)	47 938	104 899	2 188	472	9 837	SHELBY COUNTY
90	7 538	33 138	22.7	(X)	15.6	(X)	10.1	97.9	39 296	89 346	2 274	393	9 995	MEMPHIS
														TEXARKANA, TEX.-TEXARKANA, ARK.
49	155	581	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	11 146	18 545	1 664	70	6 237	ARKANSAS PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	LITTLE RIVER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	MILLER COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	TEXAS PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	BOWIE COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Area	Population, 1980	Locally assessed taxable real property								
		Number of properties	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Percent distribution of gross assessed value						
				All types	Nonfarm residential property		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	Other and unallocable
					Total	Single-family houses only				
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED										
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C.	806 691	260 991	13 238 284	100.0	71.9	65.3	7.7	3.5	16.8	0.1
NORTH CAROLINA PORTION	11 089	13 467	302 740	100.0	28.6	28.6	45.7	20.3	5.1	0.2
CURRITUCK COUNTY	11 089	13 467	302 740	100.0	28.6	28.6	45.7	20.3	5.1	0.2
VIRGINIA PORTION	795 602	247 524	12 935 544	100.0	73.1	66.2	6.7	3.1	17.1	0.1
CHESAPEAKE CITY	114 226	41 359	2 102 790	100.0	66.1	61.8	15.9	2.9	15.1	-
NORFOLK CITY	266 979	67 808	3 054 030	100.0	73.5	63.2	-	2.8	23.5	0.2
PORTSMOUTH CITY	104 577	30 505	1 325 853	100.0	77.3	69.6	2.1	2.3	18.3	-
SUFFOLK CITY	47 621	21 189	647 524	100.0	53.5	51.0	31.1	3.6	11.8	-
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	262 199	86 663	5 805 347	100.0	76.6	70.2	5.1	3.4	14.9	-
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO:										
KENTUCKY PORTION:										
GREENUP COUNTY	39 132	18 076	499 723	100.0	63.8	63.2	12.4	2.3	21.5	-
OHIO PORTION	63 849	43 553	235 314	100.0	65.8	65.1	17.0	5.5	11.5	0.3
LAWRENCE COUNTY	63 849	43 553	235 314	100.0	65.8	65.1	17.0	5.5	11.5	0.3
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	152 856	69 035	445 538	100.0	68.0	63.2	0.7	1.6	27.6	2.0
CABELL COUNTY	106 835	42 077	366 417	100.0	66.4	60.7	0.2	1.8	31.6	-
HUNTINGTON (PART)[19]	58 685	21 667	224 191	100.0	59.1	53.2	-	1.5	39.4	-
WAYNE COUNTY	46 021	26 958	79 121	100.0	74.6	73.2	3.0	0.7	11.8	9.9
HUNTINGTON (PART)	4 999	1 793	(NA)	100.0	93.9	93.9	-	2.6	3.4	-
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO	162 836	95 331	706 208	100.0	62.4	58.7	13.4	4.1	19.2	0.9
OHIO PORTION	64 266	47 612	339 043	100.0	51.4	48.1	22.9	6.1	17.9	1.7
WASHINGTON COUNTY	64 266	47 612	339 043	100.0	51.4	48.1	22.9	6.1	17.9	1.7
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	98 570	47 719	367 165	100.0	73.0	69.0	4.2	2.2	20.5	0.1
WIRT COUNTY	4 922	8 896	19 536	100.0	42.5	42.5	38.1	2.3	14.6	2.4
WOOD COUNTY	93 648	38 823	347 629	100.0	74.8	70.6	2.1	2.2	20.8	-
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO:										
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	102 997	46 785	368 953	100.0	56.8	52.9	12.6	0.6	28.7	1.3
MARSHALL COUNTY	41 608	25 619	184 305	100.0	45.1	41.2	23.3	1.2	29.6	0.8
OHIO COUNTY	61 389	21 166	184 648	100.0	67.5	63.5	2.8	(Z)	27.9	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

symbols, see text)

Measurable sales of all use categories of real property									All parcels, all use categories of real property					Area
			Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships							Approximate market value		
Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (million dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)	
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
2 611	138 100	171 070	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	260 991	13 238	50 723	16 399	62 833	NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C.
104	2 350	3 240	72.5	17.4	79.1	25.3	78.2	38.5	13 467	303	22 480	417	30 987	NORTH CAROLINA PORTION
104	2 350	3 240	72.5	17.4	79.1	25.3	78.2	38.5	13 467	303	22 480	417	30 987	CURRITUCK COUNTY
2 507	135 750	167 830	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	247 524	12 936	52 260	15 992	64 610	VIRGINIA PORTION
266	15 394	19 062	80.8	13.7	91.4	6.4	93.9	10.8	41 359	2 103	50 842	2 604	62 957	CHESAPEAKE CITY
705	36 618	50 166	73.0	12.3	108.6	48.4	83.8	45.1	67 808	3 054	45 039	4 184	61 702	NORFOLK CITY
252	9 632	11 111	86.7	6.4	86.3	6.3	87.4	13.0	30 505	1 326	43 463	1 529	50 138	PORTSMOUTH CITY
192	6 241	7 941	78.6	7.1	103.7	40.3	80.6	42.0	21 189	648	30 559	824	38 886	SUFFOLK CITY
1 092	67 865	79 550	85.3	5.5	84.6	4.1	82.0	10.2	86 663	5 805	66 988	6 805	78 520	VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
														HUNTNGTN-ASHLND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO:
100	1 936	2 346	82.6	13.1	77.1	15.7	63.8	50.3	18 076	500	27 646	605	33 480	KENTUCKY PORTION:
128	641	2 657	24.1	10.2	26.3	7.5	27.7	47.3	43 553	235	5 403	977	22 433	OHIO PORTION
128	641	2 657	24.1	10.2	26.3	7.5	27.7	47.3	43 553	235	5 403	977	22 433	LAWRENCE COUNTY
448	2 812	17 905	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	69 035	446	6 454	2 837	41 094	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
312	2 328	14 184	16.4	1.3	19.9	5.9	15.9	51.1	42 077	366	8 708	2 233	53 074	CABELL COUNTY
168	1 215	7 504	16.2	(X)	23.4	(X)	16.2	65.0	21 667	224	10 347	1 383	63 853	HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]
136	484	3 721	13.1	3.1	16.0	7.2	10.6	(3)	26 958	79	2 935	606	22 485	WAYNE COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON (PART)
620	6 138	24 980	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	95 331	706	7 408	2 874	30 148	PRKERSBRG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO
225	2 410	9 218	26.1	3.5	25.3	3.1	26.7	33.5	47 612	339	7 121	1 297	27 245	OHIO PORTION
225	2 410	9 218	26.1	3.5	25.3	3.1	26.7	33.5	47 612	339	7 121	1 297	27 245	WASHINGTON COUNTY
395	3 728	15 762	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	47 719	367	7 694	1 552	32 532	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	WIRT COUNTY
385	3 699	15 699	23.6	2.7	26.7	7.3	21.0	53.8	38 823	348	8 954	1 476	38 008	WOOD COUNTY
														WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO:
227	1 385	8 265	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	46 785	369	7 886	2 202	47 061	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
75	332	2 030	16.4	2.2	19.6	3.6	16.8	49.1	25 619	184	7 194	1 124	43 881	MARSHALL COUNTY
152	1 053	6 235	16.9	1.7	23.7	8.0	18.7	52.0	21 166	185	8 724	1 094	51 675	OHIO COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For meaning of

Area	Measurable sales of single-family (nonfarm) houses								All single-family (nonfarm) houses				
				Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships		Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships						Approximate market value	
	Number[1]	Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Ratio (percent)	Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	Number assessed	Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Total amount (million dollars)	Average per property (dollars)
MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED														
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C.	2 037	95 743	115 104	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	181 128	8 640 777	47 705	10 388	57 352
NORTH CAROLINA PORTION	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
CURRITUCK COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
VIRGINIA PORTION	1 998	94 470	113 648	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	177 828	8 566 756	48 174	10 306	57 954
CHESAPEAKE CITY	238	11 461	12 595	91.0	4.6	93.7	6.0	94.4	9.3	27 360	1 298 877	47 474	1 427	52 168
NORFOLK CITY	540	21 743	26 153	83.1	5.4	1172	62.9	86.0	52.2	48 756	1 930 193	39 589	2 322	47 616
PORTSMOUTH CITY	192	7 933	9 016	88.0	4.7	86.2	5.3	84.3	10.4	25 664	922 943	35 963	1 049	40 869
SUFFOLK CITY	144	5 771	7 448	77.5	7.5	79.4	9.3	79.7	16.1	9 856	330 064	33 489	426	43 221
VIRGINIA BEACH CITY	884	47 562	58 436	81.4	3.0	82.3	2.9	81.5	6.8	66 192	4 076 818	61 591	5 009	75 671
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO:														
KENTUCKY PORTION:														
GREENUP COUNTY	35	1 736	1 962	88.5	12.3	81.1	20.0	99.2	19.4	11 298	316 033	27 972	357	31 617
OHIO PORTION	56	531	1 782	29.7	12.1	31.6	10.6	29.9	40.0	15 465	153 077	9 898	515	33 293
LAWRENCE COUNTY	56	531	1 782	29.7	12.1	31.6	10.6	29.9	40.0	15 465	153 077	9 898	515	33 293
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	280	2 113	13 041	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	47 200	281 436	5 963	1 737	36 800
CABELL COUNTY	216	1 769	10 369	17.1	1.5	17.9	3.1	16.4	29.2	32 032	222 252	6 938	1 303	40 675
HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]	120	767	4 580	16.8	(X)	19.0	(X)	16.2	34.6	16 672	119 311	7 156	712	42 710
WAYNE COUNTY	64	344	2 672	12.9	3.1	12.8	4.7	12.5	48.9	15 168	57 895	3 817	448	29 558
HUNTINGTON (PART)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO	375	4 556	17 748	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	40 554	414 833	10 229	1 616	39 848
OHIO PORTION	100	1 391	4 435	31.4	4.3	30.9	4.1	31.3	24.5	12 090	163 010	13 483	520	42 981
WASHINGTON COUNTY	100	1 391	4 435	31.4	4.3	30.9	4.1	31.3	24.5	12 090	163 010	13 483	520	42 981
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	275	3 165	13 313	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	28 464	253 477	8 905	1 066	37 458
WIRT COUNTY	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
WOOD COUNTY	275	3 165	13 313	23.8	3.2	23.2	3.8	21.0	31.6	26 928	245 593	9 120	1 033	38 374
WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO:														
WEST VIRGINIA PORTION	157	1 220	7 232	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	28 593	195 137	6 825	1 157	40 456
MARSHALL COUNTY	45	291	1 705	17.1	2.6	21.1	4.3	18.3	42.7	11 776	75 970	6 451	445	37 771
OHIO COUNTY	112	929	5 527	16.8	1.8	19.1	3.5	18.5	28.6	16 817	117 335	6 977	698	41 519

Note: Selected local jurisdictions consist of the following: All sampled primary assessing jurisdictions (generally counties) in selected SMSA's except in the States of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin; in these 9 States, all sampled primary assessing jurisdictions (generally municipalities and townships) with a 1978 population of at least 50,000, in selected SMSA's or NECMA's; sampled primary assessing jurisdictions with a 1978 population of at least 50,000, but not in an SMSA or NECMA; cities with a 1978 population of 50,000 or more. SMSA and NECMA configurations are as of 1979. Data contained in this table, except for area population and gross assessed value, are estimates subject to sampling variation. Absolute sampling errors shown for aggregate and unweighted mean ratios are computed at the 95 percent confidence level; see text. Year of valuation other than Jan. 1, 1981, in selected instances; see text table H. Because estimates of gross assessed value and approximate market value are independently derived for an SMSA and its component jurisdictions, the sum of estimates for the latter will usually not equal the respective SMSA total.

*Denotes multi-State SMSA or NECMA, separately identified following State-by-State display. **For Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, "NECMA's" as used in this report refer to New England County Metropolitan Areas. NECMA's are defined in the same manner as SMSA's in States outside of New England.

[1]These do not constitute estimates of gross turnover of realty because certain transfers were either nonrespondent to our mail canvass questionnaire or deemed not usable for ratio calculations and were necessarily excluded. [2]Data not presented because composition of SMSA's and SMSA portions precluded typical interpretation of the ratios and coefficients of intra-area dispersion. [3]Coefficient of intra-area dispersion 100 percent or more. [4]Gross assessed value totals for Arizona are not available. Amounts shown as such value totals constitute "full cash value" after application of percentages based on property classification and minus any applicable partial exemptions, and are therefore net assessed value. See appendix A for details of value standards. [5]Gross assessed value totals for municipalities in Arizona include unallocable amounts for locally assessed personal property. [6]Not computed because of insufficient sample representation. [7]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard for California valuations became 100 percent, instead of 25 percent, of "full cash value." [8]Estimates for "vacant platted lots" may include "acreage" parcels, and vice versa, at least in the following counties: California--Ventura; Florida--Leon, Okaloosa, Pasco; Maryland--Montgomery ("acreage" may also include "industrial"); New York--all; Tennessee--Knox; Texas--Bexar; West Virginia--Mercer. [9]Estimates for "single-family" residential may include all or some parcels in "multifamily" residential use, in at least the following counties: California--Riverside, San Mateo; Illinois--Jackson, Kane; Maryland--Anne Arundel; North Carolina--Buncombe, Mecklenburg; Ohio--Montgomery, Summit; Tennessee--Bradley, Greene, Maury, Robertson; Texas--Bexar. [10]Data not presented where, on the basis of final review procedures, it was concluded that significant enumeration or processing errors may have occurred. [11]County, or parish, governments are consolidated with city governments in the following instances: San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Duval County (Jacksonville), Fla.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Marion County (Indianapolis), Ind.; Lexington-Fayette Urban County, Ky.; East Baton Rouge Parish (Baton Rouge) and Orleans Parish (New Orleans), La.; Suffolk County (Boston), Mass.; Philadelphia, Pa.; and Davidson County (Nashville), Tenn. [12]Gross assessed value totals for Colorado municipalities (except Denver) may include State-assessed components and locally assessed personalty components. [13]In the District of Columbia, parcels locally classified as "false vacant lots" (improved as to part of the parcel only) are included in the "other and unallocable" category. [14]Estimates for "commercial" may include "multifamily" residential, at least in the following counties: Florida--Leon; Tennessee--Carter, Greene. [15]In Georgia, total gross assessed value includes other than 1981 data for the following counties: Chattahoochee (1980 values), Twiggs (1980 values). [16]Prior to July 1, 1981, assessment administration in Hawaii was a function of the State government. As of that date, each of the four counties assumed such responsibility. Assessment remains a State responsibility in the 5th county, Kalawao, which is used as a treatment center for those afflicted with Hansen's disease. [17]Idaho assessed values shown are "market value for assessment purposes" as of Jan. 1, 1981, which values are based on 104.04 percent of market value as of Dec. 31, 1978, in accordance with statutory change effective Jan. 1, 1980. [18]Illinois gross assessed values include State-supplied estimates for the following counties and their related municipalities: Champaign, Peoria, Sangamon, and Will. [19]In Indiana, total gross assessed value includes other than 1981 data for Vermillion County (Mar. 1, 1979). [20]Gross assessed values for Iowa reflect application of equalization adjustments and rollback factors, where applicable. [21]Allocation of Louisiana gross assessed values for manufacturing plants between realty and personalty was inconsistent in reported data. Such property was valued at $946.6 million, in total, for both building, and machinery and equipment components. [22]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but assessed values are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text. [23]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard in Nebraska for assessed values became "actual value," instead of 35 percent thereof. [24]Nevada total gross assessed values may be understated to the extent that such amounts have been reduced by the value of fully exempt property, which may include personalty. Additionally, such valuations do not reflect modifying adjustments required by legislation that changed the legal standard of assessment for the collection of 1981-82 taxes from 35 percent of "full cash value" to 35 percent of "adjusted cash value"; amounts based on 35 percent of "adjusted cash value" not available. [25]North Carolina total assessed value for Brunswick, Nash, and Robeson Counties, reported as gross, is actually net because such counties did not report the amounts of their partial exemptions. [26]Oregon assessed values reflect reductions mandated by the Tax Relief Act (chap. 241, laws 1979). [27]Total assessed value in South Carolina (except in Greenville and Spartanburg Counties) and Wisconsin includes "manufacturing property," assessed, in each instance, by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown in the percent distributions as "locally assessed." [28]The legal standard for property valuation in Texas changed to "appraised value" or "100 percent value," effective Jan. 1, 1981; formerly assessed values were set at locally determined percentages of market value. Values shown are net of any reductions for productivity values of agricultural lands and open-space lands. Real property values are overstated to the extent that intangible personal property of banks and tangible personal property of utilities are included in realty total gross assessed values; actual amounts for such items are not available separately. Gross assessed value totals for Smith County are market values as of Jan. 1, 1980. [29]Total gross assessed values shown for Huntington city, West Virginia, under Cabell County, include values for the portion of that city which is located in Wayne County.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(Symbols, see text)

Number[1]	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Total gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Aggregate sales price (thousand dollars)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Ratio (percent)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Aggregate assessment-sales price relationships: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships: Ratio (percent)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Unweighted mean assessment-sales price relationships: Sampling error (2σ) of ratio (percentage points)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Median assessment-sales price ratio (percent)	Measurable sales of vacant platted lots: Coefficient of intra-area dispersion from median ratio (percent)	All vacant platted lots: Number assessed	All vacant platted lots: Gross assessed value (thousand dollars)	All vacant platted lots: Average assessed value (dollars)	All vacant platted lots: Approximate market value: Total amount (million dollars)	All vacant platted lots: Approximate market value: Average per property (dollars)	Area
														MULTI-STATE SMSA'S--CONTINUED
236	4 693	5 981	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	52 143	466 472	8 946	594	11 401	NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-PORTSMOUTH, VA.-N.C.
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORTH CAROLINA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CURRITUCK COUNTY
184	3 850	4 451	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	45 623	399 058	8 747	461	10 112	VIRGINIA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CHESAPEAKE CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	NORFOLK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	PORTSMOUTH CITY
40	422	485	86.9	4.5	92.1	12.3	90.0	11.3	5 056	23 254	4 599	27	5 290	SUFFOLK CITY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
														HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, W.VA.-KY.-OHIO:
35	84	125	67.3	24.0	79.9	31.8	54.5	58.4	2 549	11 365	4 459	17	6 626	KENTUCKY PORTION:
48	92	559	7.5	5.4	24.6	12.6	28.6	48.3	15 161	12 895	851	172	11 367	GREENUP COUNTY
48	92	559	7.5	5.4	24.6	12.6	28.6	48.3	15 161	12 895	851	172	11 367	OHIO PORTION
46	73	505	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	8 000	7 143	893	49	6 177	LAWRENCE COUNTY
30	42	377	11.1	4.1	41.4	53.3	9.3	(3)	5 696	6 677	1 172	60	10 569	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	CABELL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	HUNTINGTON (PART)[29]
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WAYNE COUNTY
														HUNTINGTON (PART)
114	165	839	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26 033	29 020	1 115	148	5 668	PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, W.VA.-OHIO
55	85	510	16.7	5.9	20.2	7.2	21.0	49.6	17 521	20 590	1 175	123	7 041	OHIO PORTION
55	85	510	16.7	5.9	20.2	7.2	21.0	49.6	17 521	20 590	1 175	123	7 041	WASHINGTON COUNTY
59	80	329	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	8 512	8 125	955	33	3 926	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	WIRT COUNTY
55	65	317	20.5	18.2	28.9	30.9	16.0	(3)	7 744	7 667	990	37	4 825	WOOD COUNTY
														WHEELING, W.VA.-OHIO:
23	16	67	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	3 904	2 144	549	9	2 300	WEST VIRGINIA PORTION
15	9	43	20.8	15.1	21.6	11.3	24.0	52.3	3 520	2 195	624	11	3 002	MARSHALL COUNTY
(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	OHIO COUNTY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
ALABAMA				
JEFFERSON COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.19	5.26	5.19	6.90
EFFECTIVE RATE	.35	.33	.31	.72
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.50	6.24	6.16	13.32
BIRMINGHAM:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.90	6.08	6.01	6.90
EFFECTIVE RATE	.53	.37	.35	.90
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.42	6.62	6.24	15.43
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.46
EFFECTIVE RATE	.28	.26	.26	.51
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.27	6.03	6.03	10.66
MOBILE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.05	6.03	5.89	4.83
EFFECTIVE RATE	.19	.14	.14	.33
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.70	5.89	5.81	7.10
MOBILE:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.63	6.63	6.63	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.14	.14	.14	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.21	5.20	5.20	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.65	4.67	(1)	4.65
EFFECTIVE RATE	.35	.19	(1)	.31
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.67	11.68	(1)	6.67
MONTGOMERY COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70
EFFECTIVE RATE	.27	.27	.26	.59
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.48	7.45	7.42	15.81
MONTGOMERY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70
EFFECTIVE RATE	.28	.27	.27	.59
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.50	7.47	7.45	15.81
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.20	(1)	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.14	(1)	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.18	(1)	(1)	(1)
ALASKA				
ANCHORAGE CITY AND BOROUGH:				
NOMINAL RATE	.83	.83	.83	.65
EFFECTIVE RATE	.63	.64	.64	.42
ASSESSMENT RATIO	76.49	77.21	77.12	66.67
ARIZONA				
MARICOPA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.56	.57	.57	.28
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.46	5.55	5.54	2.52
GLENDALE:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.58	.59	.60	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.26	5.45	5.49	(1)
MESA:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.50	.51	.51	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.52	5.59	5.59	(1)
PHOENIX:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.62	.62	.62	.40
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.41	5.42	5.42	1.95
SCOTTSDALE:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.58	.58	.57	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.74	5.74	5.73	(1)
TEMPE:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.66	.66	.67	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.68	5.68	5.81	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.36	.37	.37	.27
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.16	5.78	5.73	2.54
ARIZONA--CON.				
PIMA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.58	.57	.57	.63
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.24	6.21	6.20	7.24
TUCSON:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.57	.57	.56	.55
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.24	6.23	6.21	6.29
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.59	.58	.57	.65
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.24	6.19	6.16	7.37
ARKANSAS				
PULASKI COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.87	7.87	7.87	7.30
EFFECTIVE RATE	.82	.82	.82	.99
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10.58	10.72	10.72	13.00
LITTLE ROCK:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.87	7.87	7.87	7.87
EFFECTIVE RATE	.89	.87	.86	1.33
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.34	11.04	10.98	16.85
NORTH LITTLE ROCK:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.90	7.90	7.90	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.65	.65	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.23	8.23	8.23	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.80	7.30	7.30	6.80
EFFECTIVE RATE	.79	.86	.90	.85
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10.85	11.74	12.30	12.40
CALIFORNIA				
ALAMEDA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.31	1.31	1.31	1.31
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.66	.66	.50
ASSESSMENT RATIO	54.42	54.69	56.67	41.67
ALAMEDA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.19	1.19	1.19	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.74	.75	.75	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	61.94	62.87	62.87	(1)
BERKELEY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.18	1.18	1.18	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.61	.61	.52	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	51.83	51.83	45.94	(1)
FREMONT:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.35	1.35	1.35	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.75	.74	.74	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	62.83	62.15	62.15	(1)
HAYWARD:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.31	1.31	1.31	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.69	.71	.71	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	61.95	71.07	71.07	(1)
OAKLAND:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.31	1.31	1.31	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.60	.60	.60	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	50.60	50.60	51.64	(1)
SAN LEANDRO:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.10	1.10	1.10	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.42	.42	.41	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	40.49	40.49	39.12	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.32	1.31	1.31	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.71	.73	.73	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	58.65	62.46	62.50	(1)
FRESNO COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
EFFECTIVE RATE	.63	.72	.73	.57
ASSESSMENT RATIO	62.36	73.60	76.21	57.14
FRESNO:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.00	1.00	1.00	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.59	.72	.73	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	58.73	73.60	76.21	(1)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
CALIFORNIA--CON.				
FRESNO COUNTY--CON.				
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.00	1.00	1.00	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.64	.71	.71	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	63.73	71.04	71.04	(1)
LOS ANGELES COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.20	1.19	1.19	1.34
EFFECTIVE RATE	.64	.67	.67	.57
ASSESSMENT RATIO	55.60	60.53	61.20	32.37
CERRITOS:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.31	1.31	1.31	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.77	.77	.77	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	63.94	63.94	63.94	(1)
EL MONTE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.37	1.37	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.13	1.13	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	80.67	80.67	(1)	(1)
GLENDALE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.11	1.12	1.10	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.43	.34	.91	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	41.06	35.45	82.62	(1)
LONG BEACH:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.13	1.12	1.13	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.60	.62	.59	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	56.76	61.50	55.71	(1)
LOS ANGELES:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.16	1.15	1.16	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.65	.66	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	56.57	59.19	61.24	(1)
PASADENA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.12	1.12	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.39	.31	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	38.86	28.11	(1)	(1)
POMONA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.15	1.16	1.16	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.55	.55	.55	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	56.31	56.31	56.31	(1)
SANTA MONICA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.09	1.09	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.82	.82	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	75.64	75.64	(1)	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	1.32	1.31	1.31	1.34
EFFECTIVE RATE	.66	.70	.71	.60
ASSESSMENT RATIO	54.02	58.89	61.36	34.21
ORANGE COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	1.20	1.19	1.19	1.22
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.67	.64	.31
ASSESSMENT RATIO	60.84	61.78	61.08	25.64
ANAHEIM:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.14	1.14	1.14	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.70	.70	.70	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	66.08	66.08	66.08	(1)
COSTA MESA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.22	1.22	1.26	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.72	.72	.61	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	62.25	62.25	50.63	(1)
FOUNTAIN VALLEY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.10	1.10	1.10	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.37	.37	.37	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	38.31	38.31	38.31	(1)
FULLERTON:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.06	1.06	1.06	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.71	.71	.71	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	70.56	70.56	70.56	(1)
GARDEN GROVE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.28	1.28	1.28	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.68	.59	.59	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	58.90	52.24	52.24	(1)
HUNTINGTON BEACH:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.20	1.20	1.19	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.59	.68	.57	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	51.43	61.78	48.36	(1)
CALIFORNIA--CON.				
ORANGE COUNTY--CON.				
NEWPORT BEACH:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.09	1.09	1.09	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.64	.64	.64	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	50.35	50.35	50.35	(1)
ORANGE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.07	1.07	1.07	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.58	.58	.58	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	60.59	60.59	57.40	(1)
SANTA ANA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.10	1.10	1.10	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.65	.69	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	59.73	66.62	68.73	(1)
WESTMINSTER:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.22	1.22	1.22	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.59	.59	.59	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	53.18	53.18	53.18	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	1.26	1.26	1.26	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.73	.76	.78	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	62.09	62.17	62.17	(1)
SACRAMENTO COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.21
EFFECTIVE RATE	.78	.78	.79	.83
ASSESSMENT RATIO	71.14	71.14	72.68	72.53
SACRAMENTO:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.15	1.15	1.15	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.83	.78	.83	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	72.53	72.01	74.25	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.21
EFFECTIVE RATE	.77	.78	.77	.75
ASSESSMENT RATIO	69.50	71.14	71.91	61.54
SAN DIEGO COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.16
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.70	.68	.65
ASSESSMENT RATIO	58.16	62.78	59.34	59.37
CHULA VISTA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.18	(1)	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.73	(1)	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	62.03	(1)	(1)	(1)
LA MESA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.15	1.15	1.15	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.53	.53	.53	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	53.56	53.56	53.56	(1)
OCEANSIDE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.08	1.08	1.08	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.82	.83	.81	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	78.11	84.33	71.88	(1)
SAN DIEGO:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09
EFFECTIVE RATE	.69	.72	.66	.65
ASSESSMENT RATIO	63.33	66.19	60.12	59.49
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.19
EFFECTIVE RATE	.60	.61	.64	.64
ASSESSMENT RATIO	53.72	56.77	56.10	53.34
SAN FRANCISCO:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.19	1.19	1.19	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.55	.57	.53	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	46.22	47.60	44.34	(1)
SANTA CLARA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.31	1.31	1.31	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.62	.63	.63	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	52.06	54.50	54.50	(1)
PALO ALTO:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.11	1.11	1.11	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.42	.36	.30	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	34.41	35.65	30.58	(1)
SAN JOSE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.42	1.42	1.43	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.81	.82	.82	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	62.67	62.89	63.18	(1)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
CALIFORNIA--CON.				
SANTA CLARA COUNTY--CON.				
SANTA CLARA:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.16	1.16	1.17	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.45	.45	.63	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	44.59	44.59	59.58	(1)
SUNNYVALE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.17	1.17	1.17	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.34	.34	.34	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.63	30.63	30.63	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	1.27	1.27	1.27	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.57	.61	.61	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	41.68	41.84	47.27	(1)
COLORADO				
DENVER:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.47	8.47	8.47	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.69	.66	.66	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.14	8.07	7.80	(1)
EL PASO COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.53	8.53	8.56	8.53
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.02	1.08	1.06	.71
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.67	12.07	12.01	8.26
COLORADO SPRINGS:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.53	8.53	8.53	8.53
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.00	1.04	1.02	.49
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.67	12.02	11.80	5.71
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.72	9.43	9.43	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.12	1.25	1.25	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.70	13.36	13.36	(1)
CONNECTICUT				
FAIRFIELD COUNTY:				
BRIDGEPORT:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.44	7.44	7.44	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.54	2.20	1.71	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	34.09	29.85	23.03	(1)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
WASHINGTON:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.54	1.54	1.54	1.84
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.14	1.14	1.13	1.28
ASSESSMENT RATIO	79.62	81.26	81.26	74.83
FLORIDA				
DADE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.29	1.30	1.29	1.15
ASSESSMENT RATIO	77.39	78.21	78.23	59.20
HIALEAH:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.27	1.27	1.27	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	77.46	77.46	77.46	(1)
MIAMI:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.63	1.67	1.67	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	73.71	74.46	75.42	(1)
MIAMI BEACH:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.61	1.61	1.61	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	73.63	73.63	73.63	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.22
ASSESSMENT RATIO	78.04	79.12	79.33	62.15
JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.19	1.01	1.02	1.97
ASSESSMENT RATIO	80.88	81.10	80.88	93.15
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.22	1.00	1.00	1.56
ASSESSMENT RATIO	68.28	68.28	69.01	69.69

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
FLORIDA--CON.				
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY--CON.				
TAMPA:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.40	1.33	1.00	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	67.48	67.29	68.42	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.11	.97	1.00	1.51
ASSESSMENT RATIO	68.68	69.01	69.34	67.58
PINELLAS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.20	1.09	1.03	1.65
ASSESSMENT RATIO	67.81	67.55	67.52	81.00
CLEARWATER:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.42	1.21	1.20	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	74.50	71.65	65.99	(1)
ST. PETERSBURG:				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.24	1.11	.87	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	67.17	67.17	67.17	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.05	1.03	1.01	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	68.06	83.20	68.82	(1)
GEORGIA				
FULTON COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.99	.99	.97	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	27.14	27.09	27.13	(1)
ATLANTA (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.06	1.06	1.06	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	24.65	24.65	24.38	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.91	.89	.89	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	28.19	28.12	28.12	(1)
HAWAII				
HONOLULU:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.52	1.52	1.52	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.53	.53	.53	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	41.26	41.52	42.09	(1)
IDAHO				
ADA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.26	1.26	1.26	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.92	.95	.92	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	86.79	87.26	86.79	(1)
BOISE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.28	1.28	1.28	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.07	1.07	1.03	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	88.00	88.00	86.79	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	.95	.95	.95	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.79	.80	.80	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	84.75	86.58	86.90	(1)
ILLINOIS				
COOK COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.54	9.54	9.32	9.19
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.42	1.41	1.40	3.47
ASSESSMENT RATIO	19.90	19.49	19.90	37.37
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	8.38	8.38	8.38	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.49	1.49	1.49	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	20.14	20.14	20.14	(1)
CHICAGO (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	9.54	9.54	9.54	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.45	1.42	1.40	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	18.67	18.59	18.52	(1)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
ILLINOIS--CON.				
COOK COUNTY--CON.				
EVANSTON:				
NOMINAL RATE	10.72	10.72	10.72	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.99	1.99	1.99	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	21.67	21.67	21.67	(1)
SCHAUMBURG (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	6.86	6.86	6.86	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.22	1.22	1.22	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	20.23	20.23	20.23	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	8.36	8.36	8.35	9.12
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.40	1.36	1.38	3.47
ASSESSMENT RATIO	20.27	20.07	20.11	37.81
DU PAGE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.95	6.95	6.95	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.88	1.88	1.88	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	31.31	31.58	31.61	(1)
IOWA				
POLK COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.87	2.88	2.88	2.89
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.77	1.93	1.92	.52
ASSESSMENT RATIO	63.96	65.28	64.89	19.69
DES MOINES:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.60	3.60	3.60	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.32	2.32	2.31	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	67.94	67.94	65.28	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.77	2.70	2.70	2.89
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.59	1.73	1.73	.52
ASSESSMENT RATIO	60.90	64.50	64.50	19.69
KANSAS				
SEDGWICK COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	11.83	11.83	11.83	11.82
EFFECTIVE RATE	.87	.90	.90	.10
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.63	8.00	7.87	.91
WICHITA:				
NOMINAL RATE	11.83	11.83	11.83	11.83
EFFECTIVE RATE	.93	.95	.94	.20
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.75	7.95	7.85	1.60
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.55	9.55	9.55	9.57
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.70	.69	.06
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.55	8.14	7.98	.67
KENTUCKY				
JEFFERSON COUNTY:				
LOUISVILLE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.37	1.37	1.37	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.00	1.00	1.02	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	77.51	78.33	78.98	(1)
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.10	1.10	1.10	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.87	.88	.87	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	90.25	90.38	90.05	(1)
LOUISIANA				
CADDO PARISH:				
SHREVEPORT (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.65	.65	.70	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.27	6.29	6.28	(1)
NEW ORLEANS:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.36	9.36	9.36	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.49	.51	.58	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.16	6.16	6.54	(1)
MAINE				
CUMBERLAND COUNTY:				
PORTLAND:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.06	4.06	4.06	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.98	1.93	1.98	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	48.77	47.50	48.77	(1)
MARYLAND				
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.67
EFFECTIVE RATE	.87	.93	.96	.52
ASSESSMENT RATIO	32.17	34.01	34.15	19.67
MARYLAND--CON.				
BALTIMORE CITY:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.18	6.18	6.18	6.18
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.97	1.96	1.97	1.41
ASSESSMENT RATIO	31.83	31.67	31.83	22.80
BALTIMORE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.15	1.16	1.15	.86
ASSESSMENT RATIO	35.99	36.33	36.31	27.11
MONTGOMERY COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.38	3.38	3.38	3.41
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.08	1.09	1.10	.99
ASSESSMENT RATIO	33.38	33.58	33.63	28.50
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.35	3.35	3.35	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.16	1.17	1.17	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	35.09	35.27	35.25	(1)
MICHIGAN				
WAYNE COUNTY:				
DETROIT:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.82	7.82	7.82	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	4.10	3.96	3.57	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	52.44	50.62	45.63	(1)
MINNESOTA[7]				
HENNEPIN COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.76	9.76	9.76	9.56
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.76	1.74	1.74	2.80
ASSESSMENT RATIO	18.18	17.74	17.76	29.64
BLOOMINGTON:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.76	9.76	9.76	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.95	1.74	1.74	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	20.03	17.86	17.86	(1)
MINNEAPOLIS:				
NOMINAL RATE	10.59	10.59	10.59	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.76	1.75	1.75	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	16.54	16.48	16.49	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.71	9.73	9.73	9.42
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.76	1.74	1.74	2.74
ASSESSMENT RATIO	18.48	18.31	18.40	29.09
RAMSEY COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	10.48	10.49	10.49	9.81
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.77	1.79	1.78	1.76
ASSESSMENT RATIO	18.06	18.08	17.93	12.88
ST. PAUL:				
NOMINAL RATE	10.49	10.49	10.49	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.94	1.90	1.85	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	18.49	18.06	17.58	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	9.52	9.52	9.52	9.48
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.64	1.70	1.72	1.26
ASSESSMENT RATIO	17.91	18.10	18.62	12.88
MISSISSIPPI				
HINDS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.72	8.74	7.03	8.30
EFFECTIVE RATE	.40	.40	.37	.39
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.61	6.59	6.59	7.11
JACKSON (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	8.74	8.74	8.74	8.74
EFFECTIVE RATE	.48	.46	.46	.70
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.06	5.65	5.56	8.24
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.37	2.96	2.96	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.20	.21	.21	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.62	8.03	8.03	(1)
MISSOURI				
CLAY COUNTY:				
KANSAS CITY (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	6.93	6.92	6.92	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.76	.81	.81	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10.99	11.63	11.63	(1)
JACKSON COUNTY:				
KANSAS CITY (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	7.15	7.15	7.16	7.38
EFFECTIVE RATE	.84	.79	.79	.78
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10.81	10.36	10.36	10.16

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
MISSOURI--CON.				
PLATTE COUNTY:				
KANSAS CITY (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	8.02	8.02	8.02	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.16	1.17	1.17	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	14.45	14.64	14.55	(1)
ST. LOUIS:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.25	6.25	6.25	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.92	.87	.93	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	14.74	13.89	14.87	(1)
MONTANA				
YELLOWSTONE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	27.45	27.45	27.45	21.63
EFFECTIVE RATE	.93	.93	.98	.42
ASSESSMENT RATIO	3.65	3.67	3.73	2.01
BILLINGS:				
NOMINAL RATE	27.45	27.45	27.45	27.45
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.01	1.00	1.01	1.19
ASSESSMENT RATIO	3.66	3.62	3.69	4.82
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	20.76	20.63	19.95	20.98
EFFECTIVE RATE	.75	.80	.81	.36
ASSESSMENT RATIO	3.49	3.75	3.83	1.87
NEBRASKA				
DOUGLAS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.63	2.63	2.63	2.63
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.94	2.01	2.02	1.38
ASSESSMENT RATIO	72.03	73.51	75.00	55.79
OMAHA:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.63	2.63	2.63	2.63
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.88	1.94	2.01	1.51
ASSESSMENT RATIO	71.67	72.18	75.00	57.47
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.81	2.85	2.85	2.74
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.97	2.06	2.06	.85
ASSESSMENT RATIO	73.51	74.77	74.77	30.72
NEVADA				
CLARK COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.76
EFFECTIVE RATE	.43	.44	.44	.24
ASSESSMENT RATIO	21.97	22.33	22.31	12.25
LAS VEGAS:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.20	2.20	2.20	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.43	.43	.45	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	21.94	21.94	21.96	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.76
EFFECTIVE RATE	.43	.44	.44	.24
ASSESSMENT RATIO	22.00	22.58	22.54	12.25
NEW HAMPSHIRE				
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY:				
MANCHESTER:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.04	7.04	7.04	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.36	2.52	2.20	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	35.48	36.39	36.13	(1)
NEW JERSEY				
ESSEX COUNTY:				
NEWARK:				
NOMINAL RATE	10.11	10.11	10.11	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.85	2.93	2.53	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	28.21	28.99	25.03	(1)
HUDSON COUNTY:				
JERSEY CITY:				
NOMINAL RATE	10.71	10.71	10.71	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	4.40	4.24	3.43	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	41.08	39.62	32.06	(1)
NEW MEXICO				
BERNALILLO COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.49
EFFECTIVE RATE	.78	.77	.78	.80
ASSESSMENT RATIO	14.71	14.50	14.47	14.63

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
NEW MEXICO--CON.				
BERNALILLO COUNTY--CON.				
ALBUQUERQUE:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.84
EFFECTIVE RATE	.80	.78	.79	.99
ASSESSMENT RATIO	14.93	14.50	14.47	17.76
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.55	3.92	3.92	4.56
EFFECTIVE RATE	.54	.55	.55	.49
ASSESSMENT RATIO	13.59	14.99	14.99	11.92
NEW YORK				
ERIE COUNTY:				
BUFFALO:				
NOMINAL RATE	12.12	12.12	12.12	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.79	2.71	2.33	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	24.73	23.03	20.30	(1)
MONROE COUNTY:				
ROCHESTER:				
NOMINAL RATE	18.01	18.01	18.01	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.66	2.64	2.57	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	14.73	14.64	14.29	(1)
NASSAU COUNTY:				
HEMPSTEAD TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	16.72	16.72	16.72	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.14	1.14	1.14	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.55	8.55	8.55	(1)
NORTH HEMPSTEAD TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	12.67	13.27	13.45	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.81	.75	.73	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.83	6.83	6.83	(1)
OYSTER BAY TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	16.68	17.52	17.52	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.27	1.40	1.40	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.04	8.36	8.36	(1)
NEW YORK CITY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.95	8.95	8.95	8.95
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.73	1.55	1.24	1.70
ASSESSMENT RATIO	20.17	18.12	14.59	18.97
SUFFOLK COUNTY:				
BABYLON TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	54.31	54.62	54.62	54.31
EFFECTIVE RATE	3.01	2.81	2.81	4.98
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.64	5.16	5.16	9.37
BROOKHAVEN TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	63.03	63.03	63.03	63.45
EFFECTIVE RATE	3.60	3.64	3.64	3.15
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.95	5.99	5.99	5.00
HUNTINGTON TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	63.41	62.14	62.01	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.33	2.33	2.53	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	4.27	4.28	4.29	(1)
ISLIP TOWN:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.64	5.58	5.58	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	4.63	4.40	4.29	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	78.97	78.43	76.88	(1)
NORTH CAROLINA				
MECKLENBURG COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.82	1.82	1.87	1.37
EFFECTIVE RATE	.85	.94	.94	.54
ASSESSMENT RATIO	50.85	50.22	50.64	50.77
CHARLOTTE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.82	1.91	1.94	1.82
EFFECTIVE RATE	.97	.97	.97	1.00
ASSESSMENT RATIO	49.43	49.43	50.02	62.91
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	.92	.92	.92	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.52	.56	.56	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	52.53	52.42	52.42	(1)
NORTH DAKOTA				
CASS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	29.48	29.48	29.48	26.08
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.15	1.19	1.17	1.02
ASSESSMENT RATIO	4.04	4.15	4.15	3.69

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
NORTH DAKOTA--CON.				
CASS COUNTY--CON.				
FARGO:				
NOMINAL RATE	29.48	29.48	29.48	29.48
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.80
ASSESSMENT RATIO	4.20	4.20	4.19	6.10
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	20.66	23.19	23.19	19.03
EFFECTIVE RATE	.73	.89	.89	.37
ASSESSMENT RATIO	3.25	4.02	4.02	1.99
OHIO				
CUYAHOGA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.47	4.47	4.38	3.90
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.27	1.27	1.26	1.01
ASSESSMENT RATIO	29.69	29.69	29.69	32.66
CLEVELAND:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.56	4.56	4.56	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.33	1.27	1.27	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	29.45	29.34	29.58	(1)
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.37	6.37	6.36	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.57	1.57	1.57	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	25.59	25.59	26.64	(1)
EUCLID:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.75	3.75	3.75	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.15	1.14	1.13	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.29	30.48	30.29	(1)
LAKEWOOD:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.30	5.30	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.51	1.51	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.76	30.76	(1)	(1)
PARMA:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.53	3.53	3.53	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.00	1.00	1.00	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	31.45	31.45	31.45	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.26	4.26	4.26	3.73
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.24	1.26	1.25	.94
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.00	29.93	29.83	32.59
FAIRFIELD COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.75	2.70	2.70	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.81	.81	.81	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.48	30.73	30.73	(1)
FRANKLIN COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.39	3.39	3.39	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.07	1.08	1.08	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.36	30.40	30.43	(1)
COLUMBUS (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	3.39	3.39	3.39	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.00	1.03	1.04	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.71	30.80	30.96	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.01	4.01	4.01	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.15	1.15	1.15	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.17	29.71	29.71	(1)
LUCAS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.53	4.51	4.52	4.80
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.16	1.16	1.17	1.45
ASSESSMENT RATIO	27.84	27.84	28.14	28.80
TOLEDO:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.64	4.58	4.58	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.30	1.29	1.34	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	28.83	28.83	29.13	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	4.38	4.21	4.29	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.08	1.08	1.08	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	25.24	25.78	25.90	(1)
MONTGOMERY COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.67	3.66	3.66	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.13	1.12	1.12	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.92	30.85	30.37	(1)
DAYTON (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	4.30	4.30	4.30	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.23	1.18	1.18	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.80	29.04	29.04	(1)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
OHIO--CON.				
MONTGOMERY COUNTY--CON.				
KETTERING:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.71	3.71	3.71	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.13	1.13	1.13	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.24	30.24	30.24	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.58	3.58	3.58	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.12	1.12	1.12	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	31.07	31.28	31.07	(1)
OKLAHOMA				
CLEVELAND COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.59	9.28	9.65	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.47	.79	.81	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.97	8.39	8.53	(1)
NORMAN:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.27	8.27	8.27	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.45	.47	.48	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.53	7.62	7.66	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:[3]				
NOMINAL RATE	11.58	11.58	11.58	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.90	.98	.98	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.57	8.61	8.61	(1)
OKLAHOMA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.82	9.10	9.15	8.57
EFFECTIVE RATE	.50	.51	.51	.45
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.69	6.87	6.91	5.17
MIDWEST CITY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.19	8.19	8.19	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.43	.43	.43	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.90	6.90	6.90	(1)
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	8.82	8.82	8.82	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.52	.52	.53	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	6.79	6.79	6.94	(1)
OSAGE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.75	(1)	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.37	(1)	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.66	(1)	(1)	(1)
ROGERS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.74	7.74	(1)	7.54
EFFECTIVE RATE	.56	.66	(1)	.68
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.57	8.57	(1)	9.87
TULSA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.54	7.54	7.54	9.91
EFFECTIVE RATE	.58	.58	.58	.68
ASSESSMENT RATIO	8.17	8.61	8.59	6.89
TULSA (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	7.54	7.54	7.54	9.91
EFFECTIVE RATE	.57	.51	.51	.68
ASSESSMENT RATIO	7.74	8.05	8.03	6.89
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.72	8.72	8.72	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	.72	.76	.76	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	9.42	9.62	9.62	(1)
OREGON				
CLACKAMAS COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.21	2.26	2.24	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.75	1.77	1.77	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	79.20	81.39	80.74	(1)
PORTLAND (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.21	2.24	2.23	(1)
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.75	1.77	1.77	(1)
ASSESSMENT RATIO	78.49	80.74	80.21	(1)
MULTNOMAH COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.28	2.28	2.28	2.28
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.80	1.81	1.82	1.79
ASSESSMENT RATIO	78.38	79.14	79.21	78.32

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 22. Median Property Tax Rates and Assessment Ratios for Ordinary Real Property Involved in Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Use category			
	All	Residential (nonfarm)		Vacant platted lots
		Total	Single-family	
OREGON--CON.				
MULTNOMAH COUNTY--CON.				
PORTLAND (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	2.28	2.28	2.28	2.28
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.76	1.78	1.79	1.39
ASSESSMENT RATIO	77.18	78.37	78.38	65.64
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.60	2.59	2.59	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.07	1.99	2.01	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	81.94	82.99	81.60	[1]
WASHINGTON COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.62	2.69	2.69	2.47
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.15	2.24	2.24	1.40
ASSESSMENT RATIO	80.92	81.04	81.04	64.32
PORTLAND (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	2.62	2.66	2.66	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.12	2.13	2.13	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	80.60	79.57	79.57	[1]
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.62	2.71	2.71	2.47
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.25	2.25	2.25	1.40
ASSESSMENT RATIO	81.66	82.45	82.45	64.32
PENNSYLVANIA				
ALLEGHENY COUNTY:				
PITTSBURGH:				
NOMINAL RATE	11.38	11.28	11.27	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.37	2.26	2.40	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	19.58	19.85	20.84	[1]
PHILADELPHIA:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.75	6.75	6.75	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.77	1.75	1.70	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	26.17	25.85	25.17	[1]
RHODE ISLAND				
PROVIDENCE COUNTY:				
PROVIDENCE:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.76	6.76	6.76	6.76
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.89	2.85	2.64	4.55
ASSESSMENT RATIO	44.33	43.95	41.18	66.40
SOUTH CAROLINA				
RICHLAND COUNTY:				
COLUMBIA:				
NOMINAL RATE	40.55	40.55	40.55	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	.74	.56	.63	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	1.83	1.38	1.55	[1]
SOUTH DAKOTA				
MINNEHAHA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.39	6.39	6.39	6.39
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.70	1.70	1.70	.80
ASSESSMENT RATIO	26.64	26.73	26.66	13.94
SIOUX FALLS (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	6.39	6.39	6.39	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.70	1.71	1.70	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	26.66	26.68	26.64	[1]
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	5.96	5.98	6.01	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.64	1.69	1.67	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	25.96	28.25	27.99	[1]
TENNESSEE				
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.83	6.83	6.83	6.83
EFFECTIVE RATE	.63	.62	.62	.79
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10.55	10.44	10.46	12.86
SHELBY COUNTY:				
MEMPHIS:				
NOMINAL RATE	6.85	6.85	6.85	6.85
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.42	1.42	1.42	.70
ASSESSMENT RATIO	20.74	20.75	20.75	10.15
UTAH				
SALT LAKE COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.98	7.98	7.98	8.22
EFFECTIVE RATE	.95	.95	.94	1.04
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.50	11.50	11.49	12.28
UTAH--CON.				
SALT LAKE COUNTY--CON.				
SALT LAKE CITY:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.90	7.90	7.90	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	.84	.84	.84	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10.63	10.52	10.52	[1]
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	8.11	8.11	8.12	8.22
EFFECTIVE RATE	.97	.97	.97	1.04
ASSESSMENT RATIO	11.68	11.68	11.65	12.28
VIRGINIA				
FAIRFAX COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.23	1.24	1.23	.85
ASSESSMENT RATIO	80.00	80.11	79.94	55.61
NORFOLK:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.30	1.30	1.30	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.09	1.09	1.12	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	83.84	83.84	86.01	[1]
RICHMOND:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.58	1.58	1.58	1.58
EFFECTIVE RATE	1.35	1.35	1.36	1.41
ASSESSMENT RATIO	85.29	85.29	85.83	88.93
VIRGINIA BEACH:				
NOMINAL RATE	.72	.72	.72	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	.59	.59	.59	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	81.99	81.56	81.55	[1]
WASHINGTON				
KING COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	.94	.94	.95	.99
EFFECTIVE RATE	.81	.82	.82	.51
ASSESSMENT RATIO	82.65	83.33	83.86	54.23
BELLEVUE:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.01	1.01	1.01	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	.80	.80	.79	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	78.70	78.70	77.87	[1]
SEATTLE:				
NOMINAL RATE	.94	.94	.94	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	.79	.79	.81	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	83.53	83.95	85.31	[1]
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	.99	.99	.99	.95
EFFECTIVE RATE	.82	.86	.86	.55
ASSESSMENT RATIO	82.65	83.33	83.84	56.98
WEST VIRGINIA				
KANAWHA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	2.82	1.79	1.79	2.82
EFFECTIVE RATE	.47	.41	.39	.34
ASSESSMENT RATIO	21.47	21.73	21.21	9.98
CHARLESTON:				
NOMINAL RATE	3.57	3.57	1.79	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	.73	.60	.54	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	30.44	27.20	29.76	[1]
BALANCE OF COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	1.79	1.79	1.79	2.82
EFFECTIVE RATE	.39	.40	.39	.34
ASSESSMENT RATIO	19.01	21.47	20.58	9.98
WISCONSIN				
MILWAUKEE COUNTY:				
MILWAUKEE (PART):				
NOMINAL RATE	4.01	4.01	4.01	[1]
EFFECTIVE RATE	2.64	2.64	2.67	[1]
ASSESSMENT RATIO	65.88	65.88	66.51	[1]
WYOMING				
NATRONA COUNTY:				
NOMINAL RATE	7.11	7.11	7.11	6.36
EFFECTIVE RATE	.33	.34	.34	.32
ASSESSMENT RATIO	5.78	5.88	5.85	4.48

Note: Nominal rate is total annual net tax billing expressed as percentage of net taxable assessed value. Effective rate is same total annual net tax billing expressed as percentage of sales price. All rates and ratios are medians based on samples of measurable sales.

[1] Data not presented where sample representation insufficient, cost limitations prevented collection of tax billing data, or final review established likelihood of enumeration or processing error. [2] Nominal rates not shown because survey procedures did not permit enumeration of assessed value on which tax billing was based. [3] Balance of county rates and ratios apply to area of county exclusive of territory within cities having 1978 population of 50,000 or more. [4] Nominal rates not shown because applicable homestead exemption was different for school districts and all other taxing districts, hence generally applicable net assessed value not calculable. [5] Nominal rates not shown because homestead exemption differed for assessed values used as basis for State and county tax billing. [6] Nominal rates not shown because certain assessed values are exempted from parish taxes but not from city taxes. [7] Bills used to compute tax rates exclude State paid agricultural and homestead tax credits.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 23. Gross and Net Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation in Selective Outlying Areas of the United States by Outlying Areas: 1981

(Amounts in millions of dollars. The value of totally exempt property is omitted from this table. Local municipios for Puerto Rico are shown in table 24. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Outlying area	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)			Tax-exempt		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions			Percent of assessed value subject to tax		
	Total	Real	Personal	Real	Personal	Total	Real	Personal	Total	Real	Personal
GUAM	711.4	711.4	-	32.9	-	678.5	678.5	-	100.0	100.0	-
AGANA	26.4	26.4	-	0.1	-	26.3	26.3	-	100.0	100.0	-
AGAT	59.6	59.6	-	12.5	-	47.1	47.1	-	100.0	100.0	-
ASAN	10.6	10.6	-	0.5	-	10.1	10.1	-	100.0	100.0	-
BARRIGADA	89.8	89.8	-	2.8	-	87.0	87.0	-	100.0	100.0	-
DEDEDO	285.1	285.1	-	7.4	-	277.7	277.7	-	100.0	100.0	-
INARAJAN	15.0	15.0	-	0.6	-	14.4	14.4	-	100.0	100.0	-
MACHANAO	10.1	10.1	-	0.1	-	10.0	10.0	-	100.0	100.0	-
MERIZO	9.4	9.4	-	0.5	-	8.9	8.9	-	100.0	100.0	-
PITI	17.0	17.0	-	0.8	-	16.2	16.2	-	100.0	100.0	-
SINAJANA	77.7	77.7	-	3.4	-	74.3	74.3	-	100.0	100.0	-
SUMAY	0.1	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	0.1	-	100.0	100.0	-
TALOFOFO	13.6	13.6	-	0.5	-	13.1	13.1	-	100.0	100.0	-
UMATAC	2.3	2.3	-	0.1	-	2.2	2.2	-	100.0	100.0	-
YIGO	44.2	44.2	-	1.8	-	42.4	42.4	-	100.0	100.0	-
YONA	50.4	50.4	-	1.6	-	48.8	48.8	-	100.0	100.0	-
VIRGIN ISLANDS	2 165.5	2 165.5	-	145.0	-	2 020.5	2 020.5	-	100.0	100.0	-
CHRISTIANSTED	1 294.2	1 294.2	-	30.7	-	1 263.5	1 263.5	-	100.0	100.0	-
FREDERIKSTED	211.6	211.6	-	82.3	-	129.3	129.3	-	100.0	100.0	-
ST. JOHN	69.6	69.6	-	2.4	-	67.2	67.2	-	100.0	100.0	-
ST. THOMAS	590.0	590.0	-	29.7	-	560.3	560.3	-	100.0	100.0	-
PUERTO RICO	7 705.8	5 118.9	2 586.9	2 642.6	68.9	4 994.3	2 476.3	2 518.0	100.0	49.6	50.4

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 24. Gross and Net Assessed Value of Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation in Puerto Rico by Municipios: 1981

(Amounts in millions of dollars. The value of totally exempt property is omitted from this table. For meaning of symbols, see text.)

Municipio	Gross assessed value (before partial exemptions)			Tax-exempt		Assessed value subject to tax after deduction of partial exemptions			Percent of assessed value subject to tax		
	Total	Real	Personal	Real	Personal	Total	Real	Personal	Total	Real	Personal
PUERTO RICO, TOTAL	7 705.8	5 118.9	2 586.9	2 642.6	68.9	4 994.3	2 476.3	2 518.0	100.0	49.6	50.4
ADJUNTAS	12.0	8.1	3.9	3.8	.9	7.3	4.3	3.0	100.0	58.9	41.1
AGUADA	33.4	26.2	7.2	13.9	1.1	18.4	12.3	6.1	100.0	66.8	33.2
AGUADILLA	87.6	61.4	26.2	34.0	2.0	51.6	27.4	24.2	100.0	53.1	46.9
AGUAS BUENAS	17.5	14.1	3.4	7.7	.7	9.1	6.4	2.7	100.0	70.3	29.7
AIBONITO	20.1	16.8	3.3	11.0	.6	8.5	5.8	2.7	100.0	68.2	31.8
AÑASCO	20.9	17.1	3.8	9.2	.7	11.0	7.9	3.1	100.0	71.8	28.2
ARECIBO	165.5	115.7	49.8	55.9	1.6	108.0	59.8	48.2	100.0	55.4	44.6
ARROYO	18.2	15.1	3.1	8.9	.3	9.0	6.2	2.8	100.0	68.9	31.1
BARCELONETA	18.9	13.7	5.2	7.0	.6	11.3	6.7	4.6	100.0	59.3	40.7
BARRANQUITAS	11.1	6.8	4.3	2.9	.4	7.8	3.9	3.9	100.0	50.0	50.0
BAYAMÓN	639.5	473.0	166.5	323.0	2.6	313.9	150.0	163.9	100.0	47.8	52.2
CABO ROJO	58.6	48.6	10.0	26.2	10.0	22.4	22.4	-	100.0	100.0	-
CAGUAS	320.5	233.8	86.7	156.9	1.7	161.9	76.9	85.0	100.0	47.5	52.5
CAMUY	21.5	15.6	5.9	8.1	.3	13.1	7.5	5.6	100.0	57.3	42.7
CANÓVANAS	58.0	35.0	23.0	15.0	.4	42.6	20.0	22.6	100.0	46.9	53.1
CAROLINA	725.4	469.2	256.2	276.2	.5	448.7	193.0	255.7	100.0	43.0	57.0
CATAÑO	115.7	43.6	72.1	12.6	.3	102.8	31.0	71.8	100.0	30.2	69.8
CAYEY	54.1	41.8	12.3	22.2	1.2	30.7	19.6	11.1	100.0	63.8	36.2
CEIBA	16.7	13.9	2.8	9.7	.1	6.9	4.2	2.7	100.0	60.9	39.1
CIALES	11.4	8.1	3.3	4.0	.6	6.8	4.1	2.7	100.0	60.3	39.7
CIDRA	25.5	18.9	6.6	11.0	.8	13.7	7.9	5.8	100.0	57.7	42.3
COAMO	23.5	16.9	6.6	8.7	1.1	13.7	8.2	5.5	100.0	59.9	40.1
COMERÍO	7.1	5.3	1.8	2.5	-	4.6	2.8	1.8	100.0	60.9	39.1
COROZAL	21.6	16.6	5.0	10.0	.7	10.9	6.6	4.3	100.0	60.6	39.4
CULEBRA	1.0	.9	.1	.2	-	.8	.7	.1	100.0	87.5	12.5
DORADO	52.6	45.8	6.8	14.0	.3	38.3	31.8	6.5	100.0	83.0	17.0
FAJARDO	99.3	76.0	23.3	41.1	-	58.2	34.9	23.3	100.0	60.0	40.0
FLORIDA	5.1	3.8	1.3	2.4	.3	2.4	1.4	1.0	100.0	58.3	41.7
GUANICA	22.3	17.0	5.3	4.2	.7	17.4	12.8	4.6	100.0	73.6	26.4
GUAYAMA	53.1	37.7	15.4	18.8	.9	33.4	18.9	14.5	100.0	56.6	43.4
GUAYANILLA	19.9	15.7	4.2	8.8	.5	10.6	6.9	3.7	100.0	65.1	34.9
GUAYNABO	414.9	240.6	174.3	116.7	.1	298.1	123.9	174.2	100.0	41.6	58.4
GURABO	27.4	15.7	11.7	9.0	.4	18.0	6.7	11.3	100.0	37.2	62.8
HATILLO	23.7	17.6	6.1	8.4	.5	14.8	9.2	5.6	100.0	62.2	37.8
HORMIGUEROS	29.9	24.2	5.7	13.0	.3	16.6	11.2	5.4	100.0	67.5	32.5
HUMACAO	92.6	67.2	25.4	34.7	.7	57.2	32.5	24.7	100.0	56.8	43.2
ISABELA	48.5	36.7	11.8	21.6	1.4	25.5	15.1	10.4	100.0	59.2	40.8
JAYUYA	15.6	8.3	7.3	3.9	.6	11.1	4.4	6.7	100.0	39.6	60.4
JUANA DÍAZ	28.6	21.9	6.7	9.7	.7	18.2	12.2	6.0	100.0	67.0	33.0
JUNCOS	28.6	20.0	8.6	10.0	.8	17.8	10.0	7.8	100.0	56.2	43.8
LAJAS	21.6	18.3	3.3	8.9	.6	12.1	9.4	2.7	100.0	77.7	22.3
LARES	20.3	16.2	4.1	9.1	.7	10.5	7.1	3.4	100.0	67.6	32.4
LAS MARÍAS	4.4	3.6	.8	1.5	.2	2.7	2.1	.6	100.0	77.8	22.2
LAS PIEDRAS	30.7	20.7	10.0	12.1	.2	18.4	8.6	9.8	100.0	46.7	53.3
LOÍZA	6.6	5.1	1.5	1.3	.9	4.4	3.8	.6	100.0	86.4	13.6
LUQUILLO	31.6	28.5	3.1	11.6	.7	19.3	16.9	2.4	100.0	87.6	12.4
MANATÍ	61.4	42.4	19.0	22.8	1.2	37.4	19.6	17.8	100.0	52.4	47.6
MARICAO	3.4	2.6	.8	1.0	.2	2.2	1.6	.6	100.0	72.7	27.3
MAUNABO	7.6	4.8	2.8	2.8	.3	4.5	2.0	2.5	100.0	44.4	55.6
MAYAGUEZ	290.0	178.3	111.7	77.7	3.2	209.1	100.6	108.5	100.0	48.1	51.9
MOCA	24.1	19.9	4.2	10.6	.5	13.0	9.3	3.7	100.0	71.5	28.5
MOROVIS	9.2	6.1	3.1	2.6	.6	6.0	3.5	2.5	100.0	58.3	41.7
NAGUABO	21.1	18.0	3.1	9.4	.2	11.5	8.6	2.9	100.0	74.8	25.2
NARANJITO	11.8	7.2	4.6	3.7	.6	7.5	3.5	4.0	100.0	46.7	53.3
OROCOVIS	8.2	4.6	3.6	2.1	.6	5.5	2.5	3.0	100.0	45.5	54.5
PATILLAS	10.4	7.6	2.8	3.9	.5	6.0	3.7	2.3	100.0	61.7	38.3
PEÑUELAS	67.4	45.7	21.7	3.7	.6	63.1	42.0	21.1	100.0	66.6	33.4
PONCE	493.4	328.2	165.2	180.9	3.8	308.7	147.3	161.4	100.0	47.7	52.3
QUEBRADILLAS	22.8	18.5	4.3	11.7	.8	10.3	6.8	3.5	100.0	66.0	34.0
RINCÓN	11.8	10.0	1.8	5.3	.6	5.9	4.7	1.2	100.0	79.7	20.3
RÍO GRANDE	53.6	42.1	11.5	26.4	.1	27.1	15.7	11.4	100.0	57.9	42.1
SABANA GRANDE	21.5	17.2	4.3	10.9	.7	9.9	6.3	3.6	100.0	63.6	36.4
SALINAS	28.8	24.0	4.8	7.5	.6	20.7	16.5	4.2	100.0	79.7	20.3
SAN GERMÁN	42.9	35.5	7.4	20.4	1.5	21.0	15.1	5.9	100.0	71.9	28.1
SAN JUAN	2 376.4	1 409.3	967.1	583.0	3.2	1 790.2	826.3	963.9	100.0	46.2	53.8
SAN LORENZO	27.2	21.7	5.5	13.9	.8	12.5	7.8	4.7	100.0	62.4	37.6
SAN SEBASTIÁN	46.1	35.4	10.7	19.0	1.4	25.7	16.4	9.3	100.0	63.8	36.2
SANTA ISABEL	23.6	16.6	7.0	7.1	.6	15.9	9.5	6.4	100.0	59.7	40.3
TOA ALTA	28.0	23.8	4.2	17.0	.4	10.6	6.8	3.8	100.0	64.2	35.8
TOA BAJA	166.2	128.4	37.8	90.1	.6	75.5	38.3	37.2	100.0	50.7	49.3
TRUJILLO ALTO	79.0	58.3	20.7	41.0	.5	37.5	17.3	20.2	100.0	46.1	53.9
UTUADO	23.9	18.2	5.7	3.8	.9	19.2	14.4	4.8	100.0	75.0	25.0
VEGA ALTA	25.4	16.2	9.2	7.9	.4	17.1	8.3	8.8	100.0	48.5	51.5
VEGA BAJA	54.2	43.4	10.8	26.4	.6	27.2	17.0	10.2	100.0	62.5	37.5
VIEQUES	5.3	2.9	2.4	1.1	.1	4.1	1.8	2.3	100.0	43.9	56.1
VILLALBA	10.5	5.0	5.5	2.8	.6	7.1	2.2	4.9	100.0	31.0	69.0
YABUCOA	26.3	19.8	6.5	8.9	.1	17.3	10.9	6.4	100.0	63.0	37.0
YAUCO	41.7	30.4	11.3	15.8	1.4	24.5	14.6	9.9	100.0	59.6	40.4

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	United States						Alabama					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	36 996	24 521	2 298	3 363	5 324	1 490	599	382	15	7a	102	21
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	34 725	23 068	2 161	3 143	5 004	1 349	547	351	14	6A	94	20
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	11 158	8 903	642	580	730	303	212	182	8	11	6	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	5 403	4 457	254	236	327	129	127	115	5	?	4	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	4 487	3 485	288	282	303	129	70	59	3	4	-	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	1 135	889	89	49	71	37	13	7	-	5	1	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	133	72	11	13	29	8	2	1	-	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	1 919	1 559	118	106	104	32	30	20	5	?	2	1
SELLER	1 012	889	53	28	30	12	20	18	-	1	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	1 507	685	101	242	397	82	20	7	-	A	4	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	5 405	4 220	395	285	311	194	89	78	3	3	2	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	4 246	3 998	146	53	22	27	103	97	5	-	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	19 599	13 601	1 491	1 625	1 952	900	244	164	6	3?	31	11
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	5 557	2 750	477	831	1 062	437	76	38	3	11	17	7
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	200	139	13	19	19	10	3	2	-	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1 761	1 073	99	218	302	69	46	27	1	A	8	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1 646	1 256	90	191	60	49	11	5	-	A	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7 917	5 085	613	826	965	428	119	86	3	1?	12	5
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2 179	1 726	177	77	101	98	13	11	-	-	1	1
WITH FIXED RATE	5 000	3 840	384	208	395	173	41	31	1	4	4	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	273	139	39	30	34	31	2	-	-	?	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	623	343	76	56	106	42	9	2	1	1	5	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	5 180	4 192	441	208	200	139	31	23	-	A	2	1
SELLER	2 491	2 160	158	63	95	15	34	30	1	-	3	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	5 061	2 646	313	653	1 157	292	65	24	2	14	22	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2 400	1 646	200	133	299	122	15	7	-	?	5	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	7 095	4 351	741	767	703	533	84	50	3	14	9	8
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1 311	885	164	88	70	104	16	12	-	?	-	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	7 443	6 804	437	205	122	75	95	90	1	4	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1 026	929	62	18	7	10	22	22	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	4 787	3 995	390	143	75	178	53	47	2	?	-	2
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	2 477	2 020	239	77	40	101	24	20	2	1	-	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	38	25	5	2	1	5	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	509	409	49	26	11	14	10	7	1	1	-	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	174	132	14	7	4	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2 481	2 055	218	80	43	85	21	20	1	-	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	112	85	12	4	2	9	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	941	819	62	19	7	34	14	12	-	1	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	40	34	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	492	436	34	5	5	12	3	3	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	397	349	29	4	5	10	3	3	-	-	-	-
SELLER	152	143	7	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	2 278	1 906	190	56	48	76	23	21	-	?	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1 082	922	91	13	16	40	11	11	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	2 140	1 748	189	80	27	96	29	26	2	-	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	384	321	36	11	1	15	3	3	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	369	341	17	5	-	6	1	-	-	-	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	63	60	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	459	372	53	9	4	21	3	2	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Alaska						Arizona					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	309	192	26	16	66	9	1 088	700	28	90	236	25
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	286	178	26	10	61	5	1 047	679	25	95	227	23
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	95	58	7	8	21	1	489	397	13	20	51	8
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	41	27	5	4	5	-	274	234	3	11	22	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	51	30	2	4	14	1	196	154	8	8	23	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	3	1	-	-	2	-	16	8	2	1	4	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	2	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	21	15	-	-	6	-	67	51	1	5	9	1
SELLER	5	4	-	-	1	-	59	50	2	1	5	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	10	2	-	-	8	-	60	25	2	7	24	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	41	16	4	8	12	1	245	200	3	12	26	4
26 YEARS AND OVER	44	40	3	-	1	-	184	172	8	1	1	2
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	194	129	19	7	33	6	446	270	12	50	91	14
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	34	8	-	7	18	1	196	82	4	30	60	11
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	3	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	28	21	1	1	4	1	82	51	2	13	15	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	3	1	1	1	-	19	13	-	2	3	1
WITH FIXED RATE	145	99	16	5	20	5	292	172	7	42	63	8
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	-	-	-	2	-	12	6	1	1	4	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	1	1	-	4	-	36	26	1	1	4	4
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	4	1	1	-	2	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	34	27	4	-	2	1	31	24	1	2	4	-
SELLER	8	8	-	-	-	-	49	35	2	6	6	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	22	4	-	2	16	-	111	45	1	15	46	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	3	-	1	3	-	38	21	-	4	12	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	51	21	5	4	16	5	180	77	9	43	41	10
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	8	3	2	1	2	-	20	13	1	3	2	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	121	104	14	1	1	1	155	148	2	1	4	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	23	21	2	-	-	-	17	17	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	15	7	7	-	1	-	293	270	6	4	7	6
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	7	3	3	-	1	-	166	150	4	3	5	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2	1	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	5	2	3	-	-	-	26	23	-	-	2	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	10	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	10	5	4	-	1	-	179	167	3	3	4	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	38	1	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	28	1	1	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	18	1	-	-	1
SELLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	2	-	2	-	-	-	126	116	3	-	4	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	53	1	-	2	3
6 TO 25 YEARS	12	6	5	-	1	-	154	142	2	4	3	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	1	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	1	1	-	-	-	-	13	12	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	-	2	-	-	-	48	44	1	1	-	2

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Arkansas						California					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	643	270	9	140	185	39	2 429	1 678	152	189	308	102
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	595	243	9	136	174	33	2 351	1 623	151	180	298	99
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	191	128	5	21	30	7	887	728	49	45	41	24
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	117	85	1	12	14	5	491	415	23	24	19	10
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	57	31	3	9	13	1	362	287	24	19	21	11
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	15	11	1	-	2	1	30	24	2	2	-	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER	2	1	-	-	1	-	4	2	-	-	1	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	21	13	1	2	4	1	119	104	7	4	4	-
SELLER	15	12	-	-	-	3	67	60	3	2	1	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	34	13	1	7	12	1	76	33	5	15	19	4
6 TO 25 YEARS	96	60	2	13	16	5	383	296	25	26	19	17
26 YEARS AND OVER	61	55	2	1	2	1	428	399	19	4	3	3
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	219	108	3	36	53	19	1 394	915	100	126	179	74
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	108	41	2	22	37	6	516	238	32	81	118	47
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	17	11	2	2	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	47	21	1	7	15	3	160	88	10	19	40	3
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	12	8	-	1	2	1	101	81	8	6	4	2
WITH FIXED RATE	117	54	1	22	32	8	801	490	63	90	107	51
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	16	9	1	-	-	6	39	34	2	-	2	1
WITH FIXED RATE	20	13	-	3	3	1	246	189	12	8	24	13
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	4	1	-	2	1	-	5	2	-	1	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	2	1	-	1	-	-	25	20	3	-	2	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	30	23	-	2	5	-	206	161	19	8	11	7
SELLER	17	16	-	-	1	-	140	119	5	7	8	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	74	27	-	15	25	7	425	213	25	49	113	25
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	21	12	-	3	2	4	198	126	15	14	32	10
6 TO 25 YEARS	114	54	3	18	27	12	401	202	36	65	62	36
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	8	8	-	-	-	-	101	65	11	11	7	7
26 YEARS AND OVER	31	27	-	3	1	-	568	500	39	12	4	13
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	9	9	-	-	-	-	63	56	2	3	-	2
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	41	34	2	1	-	4	975	830	67	26	24	28
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	26	20	2	1	-	3	492	430	32	12	11	7
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	10	8	-	1	-	1	71	58	4	3	4	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	4	-	-	-	1	27	18	5	1	1	2
WITH FIXED RATE	23	19	2	-	-	2	497	413	33	19	18	14
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	14	9	3	1	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	248	227	14	2	1	4
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	111	101	7	-	-	3
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	1	1	-	-	-	-	119	109	8	-	1	1
SELLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	36	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	18	16	-	-	-	2	579	503	42	8	15	11
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	8	6	-	-	-	2	315	283	21	-	5	6
6 TO 25 YEARS	20	15	2	1	-	2	342	280	21	17	9	15
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	68	55	6	3	-	4
26 YEARS AND OVER	3	3	-	-	-	-	54	47	4	1	-	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	14	1	-	-	1
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	1	-	-	-	1	219	183	22	2	2	10

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Colorado						Connecticut					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	1 076	623	47	151	207	48	789	588	62	54	56	29
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	1 025	595	45	146	192	47	757	564	59	53	54	27
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	411	328	22	27	22	12	102	84	9	2	4	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	184	152	7	13	8	4	48	37	6	1	3	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	200	159	13	12	12	4	24	22	-	1	-	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	23	16	1	1	2	3	29	25	3	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	4	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	46	35	3	3	3	2	44	38	4	-	2	-
SELLER	28	25	-	2	-	1	7	6	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	44	15	5	11	11	2	10	7	-	1	2	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	175	130	11	15	11	8	42	34	3	1	1	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	192	183	6	1	-	2	50	43	6	-	1	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	542	269	23	102	118	30	609	471	51	36	28	23
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	227	66	5	61	77	18	101	52	11	13	13	12
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	3	-	1	1	-	8	7	1	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	55	30	1	9	13	2	32	24	2	4	2	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	29	17	2	7	2	1	67	58	3	4	1	1
WITH FIXED RATE	339	160	17	73	73	16	149	96	17	13	9	14
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	22	15	1	1	4	1	149	126	10	3	6	4
WITH FIXED RATE	60	37	1	3	12	7	191	155	16	12	6	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	1	-	2	2	2	7	3	-	-	3	1
WITH FIXED RATE	25	6	1	6	11	1	6	2	2	-	1	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	74	53	4	7	5	5	360	312	27	10	9	2
SELLER	62	49	5	5	3	-	37	33	3	-	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	177	59	6	34	65	13	97	50	9	15	14	9
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	73	42	3	7	15	6	57	37	6	2	6	6
6 TO 25 YEARS	184	52	8	59	52	13	161	103	18	18	11	11
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	26	11	3	5	4	3	26	15	4	3	2	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	181	158	9	9	1	4	351	318	24	3	3	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	18	16	1	-	-	1	37	35	1	-	-	1
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	258	216	14	13	6	9	51	36	7	1	1	6
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	137	113	8	6	3	7	25	16	4	1	1	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	20	13	3	2	2	-	4	3	1	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	15	11	-	1	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	142	114	11	10	3	4	25	16	4	1	1	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	35	33	-	-	-	2	10	8	1	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	38	37	-	-	1	-	5	3	1	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	15	14	1	-	-	-	8	6	2	-	-	-
SELLER	23	23	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	155	129	8	7	4	7	24	16	3	1	1	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	92	81	3	2	1	5	14	10	2	-	-	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	85	71	4	6	2	2	21	16	2	-	-	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	21	20	-	-	-	1	5	5	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	18	16	2	-	-	-	6	4	2	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	5	5	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	20	16	3	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Delaware						District of Columbia					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	308	181	11	25	83	8	174	134	28	-	4	8
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	290	168	11	24	80	7	166	128	26	-	4	8
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	59	39	3	7	8	2	39	32	4	-	1	2
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	25	20	-	1	3	1	13	7	3	-	1	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	25	16	3	3	2	1	16	15	1	-	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	7	3	-	2	2	-	10	10	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	8	6	-	2	-	-	18	15	3	-	-	-
SELLER	6	6	-	-	-	-	10	9	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	13	4	1	3	5	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	22	12	2	3	3	2	17	11	3	-	1	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	24	23	-	1	-	-	21	20	1	-	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	191	125	8	7	47	4	129	98	23	-	2	6
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	75	33	3	3	33	3	53	35	12	-	1	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	17	3	1	-	11	2	3	1	2	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	14	14	-	-	-	-	5	3	1	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	77	43	5	2	25	2	63	45	14	-	-	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	28	23	2	2	1	-	11	8	2	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	46	35	-	2	9	-	42	36	4	-	2	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7	6	-	-	1	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	44	35	3	-	6	-	41	37	3	-	-	1
SELLER	26	23	-	1	2	-	40	35	4	-	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	57	30	3	3	19	2	33	22	6	-	2	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	18	15	1	1	1	-	24	16	5	-	1	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	75	37	4	4	28	2	32	23	7	-	-	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	8	7	-	-	1	-	18	14	4	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	59	58	1	-	-	-	64	53	10	-	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	7	-	-	-	-	7	5	2	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	14	12	1	-	-	1	32	23	5	-	-	4
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	6	6	-	-	-	-	26	19	4	-	-	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	5	-	-	-	1	2	1	1	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	18	13	3	-	-	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	5	1	-	-	-	9	7	1	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	7	6	1	-	-	-	26	19	4	-	-	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	14	12	-	-	-	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	5	4	-	-	-	1	6	4	1	-	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Florida						Georgia					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	1 423	877	65	83	361	37	835	551	47	63	146	28
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	1 370	843	64	81	350	32	780	518	47	57	133	25
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	577	408	29	22	105	13	342	287	23	14	16	2
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	317	226	12	10	63	6	171	151	9	6	4	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	225	157	15	11	37	5	132	109	11	5	6	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	34	24	2	1	5	2	35	26	3	3	3	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	1	-	-	3	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	77	63	2	1	8	3	38	33	2	2	1	-
SELLER	48	36	1	3	8	-	29	27	1	1	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	115	39	2	11	58	5	28	9	2	6	11	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	341	254	22	10	47	8	174	140	19	8	5	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	121	115	5	1	-	-	140	138	2	-	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	586	392	32	40	104	18	361	236	22	28	56	19
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	204	94	14	28	60	8	120	55	16	14	27	8
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	78	43	4	6	24	1	33	24	1	4	3	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	40	32	1	3	2	2	13	10	-	2	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	271	174	16	27	45	9	189	122	14	13	27	13
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	40	31	3	1	2	3	18	16	1	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	131	94	6	2	27	2	87	55	5	5	20	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	3	1	-	2	1	2	1	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	19	15	1	1	2	-	17	7	-	4	4	2
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	131	102	6	3	14	6	44	34	-	4	5	1
SELLER	73	63	1	1	8	-	43	36	2	3	2	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	169	73	9	17	62	8	90	35	9	8	36	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	62	40	4	1	15	2	30	16	7	1	5	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	211	129	15	22	37	8	146	89	11	14	16	16
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	42	33	1	3	3	2	21	16	1	1	2	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	206	190	8	1	5	2	125	112	2	6	4	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	37	35	2	-	-	-	29	28	1	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	238	215	17	1	2	3	119	100	10	3	2	4
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	144	131	10	1	1	1	59	46	9	-	1	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	33	30	3	-	-	-	14	13	-	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	10	8	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	129	113	13	1	1	1	54	43	6	1	1	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	5	-	-	-	-	7	5	-	-	1	1
WITH FIXED RATE	41	41	-	-	-	-	36	34	1	1	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	17	16	-	-	-	1	5	4	1	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	20	17	2	-	1	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
SELLER	7	7	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	99	92	4	1	1	1	44	36	3	2	1	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	45	39	4	-	1	1	10	10	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	123	107	13	-	1	2	68	57	7	1	1	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	27	22	4	-	-	1	19	13	3	1	1	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	16	16	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	7	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	15	12	1	-	1	1	5	5	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Hawaii						Idaho					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	208	127	9	20	47	5	379	251	18	51	43	16
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	204	125	9	19	46	5	355	233	18	46	43	15
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	74	51	1	7	14	1	205	156	10	18	12	9
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	21	17	-	-	4	-	104	82	4	8	6	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	49	31	1	7	9	1	95	71	5	10	5	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	3	3	-	-	-	-	5	2	1	-	1	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	8	6	-	1	1	-	36	32	-	2	2	-
SELLER	4	4	-	-	-	-	13	12	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	39	22	-	4	12	1	24	10	-	5	6	3
6 TO 25 YEARS	22	17	1	2	2	-	110	85	6	9	6	4
26 YEARS AND OVER	13	12	-	1	-	-	71	61	4	8	-	2
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	122	72	8	11	27	4	129	87	7	19	10	6
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	64	38	2	6	16	2	68	46	4	9	4	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	3	1	2	-	-	24	16	1	4	2	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	9	6	2	-	1	-	8	7	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	83	52	2	8	19	2	85	59	6	10	6	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	2	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	16	7	2	1	4	2	10	4	-	4	2	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	21	12	4	1	4	-	16	7	1	5	1	2
SELLER	10	6	2	-	2	-	10	8	-	1	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	81	44	4	8	21	4	24	12	2	5	3	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	48	29	3	4	9	3	12	8	1	2	1	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	16	10	2	1	3	-	72	50	3	10	5	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	4	4	-	-	-	-	11	8	-	1	1	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	25	18	2	2	3	-	33	25	2	4	2	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	4	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	26	23	-	2	-	1	81	67	7	2	2	3
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	18	16	-	1	-	1	54	46	5	-	-	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	12	10	-	1	1	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	6	4	1	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	19	18	-	1	-	-	55	45	6	1	1	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	1	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	1	-	1	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	1	-	-	1	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	16	15	-	1	-	-	38	32	4	1	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	9	8	-	1	-	-	12	10	2	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	8	6	-	1	-	1	33	26	3	1	2	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	5	3	1	1	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	2	2	-	-	-	-	10	9	-	-	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	1	-	1	-	-	5	4	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Illinois						Indiana					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	931	759	50	43	62	17	630	488	22	44	52	24
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	877	722	49	39	52	15	579	450	22	39	49	19
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	254	221	15	9	6	3	190	166	3	8	12	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	95	85	4	2	3	1	81	72	2	3	4	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	122	109	4	6	1	2	80	71	1	3	4	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	35	26	6	1	2	-	25	20	-	2	3	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	2	1	1	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	75	68	5	1	-	1	49	42	-	4	3	-
SELLER	28	25	1	-	2	-	20	19	-	1	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	40	28	3	6	3	-	19	11	1	1	6	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	113	98	8	2	2	3	102	91	2	3	6	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	101	95	4	1	1	-	69	64	-	4	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	552	467	32	17	24	12	299	240	11	24	8	16
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	57	45	5	2	5	-	30	22	2	2	1	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	19	15	-	-	3	1	19	13	-	3	2	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	110	92	4	10	2	2	30	24	1	5	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	162	144	9	2	7	-	71	55	4	4	2	6
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	81	70	3	3	2	3	48	42	2	-	-	4
WITH FIXED RATE	158	126	14	2	10	6	120	99	3	11	3	4
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	8	8	-	-	-	-	4	2	-	-	1	1
WITH FIXED RATE	12	10	2	-	-	-	6	5	1	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	263	232	15	5	3	7	99	89	2	4	2	2
SELLER	88	80	5	1	2	-	50	48	-	1	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	141	101	10	7	19	4	36	26	-	4	2	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	97	82	7	1	4	3	19	16	-	1	-	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	138	115	12	1	3	7	137	101	10	9	6	11
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	37	31	4	-	-	2	25	21	2	-	1	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	273	251	10	9	2	1	126	113	1	11	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	41	36	3	1	-	1	18	18	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	50	40	7	2	-	1	24	21	-	2	-	1
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	25	18	6	1	-	-	8	6	-	1	-	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	5	3	2	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	4	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	27	22	3	1	-	1	10	9	-	1	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	11	9	2	-	-	-	7	5	-	1	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	6	5	-	-	-	1	5	5	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	23	16	6	1	-	-	10	8	-	1	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	10	7	3	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	17	15	1	-	-	1	12	11	-	1	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	10	9	-	1	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Iowa						Kansas					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	735	595	30	82	90	38	743	523	35	57	82	46
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	670	553	27	80	79	31	679	479	32	49	80	39
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	230	189	9	13	15	4	218	193	6	7	6	6
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	91	74	4	4	6	3	83	73	3	2	4	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	109	91	5	8	4	1	109	96	2	5	2	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	29	23	-	1	5	-	25	23	1	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	46	37	-	7	1	1	46	42	1	1	2	-
SELLER	24	20	2	-	2	-	18	18	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	35	21	2	4	7	1	27	13	3	3	4	4
6 TO 25 YEARS	105	85	5	6	6	3	90	81	3	2	2	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	90	83	2	3	2	-	101	99	-	2	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	298	212	12	31	26	17	364	271	25	34	13	21
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	32	19	1	5	4	3	62	38	3	7	8	6
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	13	7	-	1	3	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	25	17	2	1	3	2	28	21	1	3	2	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	61	33	2	18	2	6	50	33	3	14	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	78	67	3	3	4	1	176	143	14	9	6	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	36	25	2	3	2	4	42	29	3	2	2	6
WITH FIXED RATE	75	59	3	4	7	2	46	31	3	4	1	7
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	1	-	1	1	-	6	3	1	1	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	7	3	-	-	4	-	14	9	-	1	2	2
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	79	57	4	8	4	6	95	69	9	7	3	7
SELLER	30	28	1	1	-	-	55	51	3	-	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	79	53	2	5	16	3	68	38	3	4	13	8
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	43	33	2	1	6	1	28	20	1	2	3	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	119	78	6	18	6	11	101	72	7	11	-	11
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	21	13	2	3	-	3	18	11	2	2	-	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	100	81	4	8	4	3	195	161	15	17	-	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	18	14	2	1	1	-	38	34	2	2	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	38	34	2	1	-	1	57	50	1	5	-	1
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	19	16	2	-	-	1	23	19	1	2	-	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	4	4	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	25	21	2	1	-	1	36	31	1	3	-	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	8	8	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	2	2	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	1
SELLER	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	23	22	1	-	-	-	23	20	1	1	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	7	-	-	-	-	13	10	1	1	-	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	11	8	1	1	-	1	26	23	-	3	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	1	1	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	4	4	-	-	-	-	8	7	-	1	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Kentucky						Louisiana					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	574	391	31	65	64	23	536	335	26	43	102	30
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	524	357	26	60	61	20	488	312	25	36	89	26
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	168	141	11	8	4	4	145	110	7	8	14	6
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	68	57	5	4	2	-	73	62	2	1	6	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	76	69	4	1	-	2	50	34	3	7	2	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	21	15	1	2	1	2	19	14	2	-	3	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	3	-	1	1	1	-	3	-	-	-	3	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	41	33	4	3	-	1	26	24	-	-	2	-
SELLER	13	10	2	1	-	-	16	14	-	1	-	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	23	14	1	3	3	2	22	7	2	1	10	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	91	76	7	5	1	2	66	51	4	4	4	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	54	51	3	-	-	-	57	52	1	3	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	273	196	15	38	11	13	287	193	16	19	46	13
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	78	47	5	13	4	9	57	33	5	6	9	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	18	12	2	3	-	1	22	17	1	1	3	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	31	21	2	7	-	1	18	14	-	1	2	1
WITH FIXED RATE	103	74	8	14	2	5	116	85	5	7	14	5
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	57	42	1	7	5	2	26	14	3	3	4	2
WITH FIXED RATE	49	35	2	5	4	3	76	48	5	6	14	3
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	5	-	1	-	-	8	3	1	1	3	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	4	-	1	-	1	20	11	1	-	6	2
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	53	40	3	9	1	-	89	60	9	9	13	5
SELLER	25	22	-	1	1	1	24	20	1	1	2	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	75	46	3	13	7	6	75	32	4	8	29	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	43	33	3	3	2	2	35	20	1	1	12	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	122	83	9	21	2	7	109	69	9	8	14	9
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	21	19	1	1	-	-	23	16	2	-	4	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	76	67	3	4	2	-	103	92	3	3	3	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	12	11	1	-	-	-	19	18	-	1	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	38	33	3	1	-	1	43	38	2	1	-	2
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	19	16	2	1	-	-	15	12	2	-	-	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	19	16	2	1	-	-	15	13	1	-	-	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	9	8	-	-	-	1	13	12	1	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	4	4	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	21	18	2	-	-	1	10	8	1	1	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	12	11	1	-	-	-	2	1	-	1	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	15	13	1	1	-	-	31	28	1	-	-	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Maine						Maryland					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	573	352	54	103	50	14	673	492	34	43	91	13
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	523	316	50	95	49	13	633	463	32	41	87	10
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	137	99	17	16	2	3	182	157	8	7	8	2
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	78	65	10	1	1	1	85	76	3	2	4	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	45	22	6	14	1	2	68	56	5	5	2	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	11	10	1	-	-	-	29	25	-	-	2	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER	3	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	14	7	5	2	-	-	49	42	5	1	1	-
SELLER	4	2	2	-	-	-	33	32	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	22	7	1	10	2	2	20	11	1	3	4	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	88	69	12	6	-	1	67	56	4	2	4	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	27	23	4	-	-	-	95	90	3	2	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	328	219	33	49	18	9	420	310	25	23	52	10
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	131	68	12	36	10	5	140	75	14	13	31	7
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	18	13	2	1	2	-	31	21	-	4	5	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	15	12	1	2	-	-	23	19	1	2	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	126	73	15	25	7	6	168	104	12	12	35	5
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	35	30	3	-	1	1	42	33	3	1	3	2
WITH FIXED RATE	109	75	10	15	7	2	143	125	7	4	6	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	21	15	1	5	-	-	12	7	2	-	3	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	90	68	10	7	3	2	141	121	9	4	6	1
SELLER	42	32	7	3	-	-	109	99	7	-	3	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	101	50	3	31	15	2	88	49	6	9	24	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	26	18	2	3	2	1	42	31	4	2	5	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	160	111	23	17	2	7	134	80	9	11	25	9
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	30	20	4	4	-	2	25	14	4	2	3	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	67	58	7	1	1	-	198	181	10	3	3	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	9	7	2	-	-	-	22	20	1	-	-	1
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	47	35	8	2	-	2	83	62	10	4	2	5
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	32	22	7	1	-	2	39	25	7	4	1	2
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	3	1	1	-	1	8	6	1	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	24	19	4	1	-	-	38	25	7	3	-	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	12	9	2	-	-	1	27	23	1	-	2	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	6	3	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	21	14	3	2	-	2	29	21	4	-	2	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	6	5	-	-	-	1	13	12	-	-	1	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	20	16	4	-	-	-	40	28	5	4	-	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	1	1	-	-	-	11	9	1	1	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	6	5	1	-	-	-	14	13	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Massachusetts						Michigan					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	1 108	755	168	63	66	56	783	619	26	29	92	24
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	1 066	732	158	59	65	52	734	579	25	21	86	23
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	156	106	25	6	9	10	301	276	11	2	8	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	55	37	8	1	4	5	153	143	5	1	4	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	44	30	9	1	2	2	115	103	5	1	4	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	42	28	6	3	2	3	30	28	1	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	15	11	2	1	1	-	3	2	-	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	50	36	10	2	-	2	32	29	2	-	1	-
SELLER	10	10	-	-	-	-	22	21	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	19	9	4	1	4	1	34	28	-	-	4	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	92	58	15	5	5	9	152	135	9	2	4	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	45	39	6	-	-	-	115	113	2	-	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	816	587	132	28	31	38	306	261	8	9	17	11
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	123	63	18	17	14	11	40	26	2	1	7	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	4	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	19	13	1	2	2	1	26	15	1	-	9	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	34	29	3	-	2	-	27	23	1	1	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	107	66	11	11	8	11	111	91	5	7	2	6
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	185	141	33	1	2	8	44	43	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	344	269	44	7	16	8	91	83	1	1	6	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	53	29	17	3	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	68	36	22	4	1	5	3	2	-	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	452	360	78	2	4	8	34	31	-	-	3	-
SELLER	47	37	10	-	-	-	55	55	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	135	82	14	16	18	5	104	77	4	6	12	5
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	67	44	7	5	7	4	69	58	3	1	5	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	350	223	78	7	12	30	60	49	2	2	3	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	59	38	10	1	-	10	20	19	-	-	1	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	331	282	40	5	1	3	142	135	2	1	2	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	31	26	4	1	-	-	17	16	-	-	1	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	88	53	23	1	1	10	38	34	2	1	-	1
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	34	17	11	1	-	5	18	16	-	1	-	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	5	5	-	-	-	-	17	17	-	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	1	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	23	11	8	-	-	4	11	10	1	-	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	4	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	35	21	9	1	-	4	7	6	1	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	14	10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	7	6	1	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	35	21	12	-	-	2	17	16	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	15	9	4	-	-	2	8	7	1	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	48	30	9	1	1	7	14	11	1	1	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	6	5	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	5	2	2	-	-	1	7	7	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	7	5	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600683602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Minnesota						Mississippi					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	646	455	29	56	86	20	459	281	14	48	90	26
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	599	423	27	50	79	20	406	246	11	45	81	23
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	238	201	10	14	8	5	160	138	4	5	8	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	123	102	4	11	4	2	87	77	1	2	3	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	97	82	6	3	3	3	60	51	2	2	4	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	18	17	-	-	1	-	11	9	1	1	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	31	29	1	1	-	-	25	21	-	-	3	1
SELLER	45	42	2	-	1	-	8	8	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	35	22	2	7	3	1	19	6	2	4	5	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	104	88	4	4	5	3	88	80	2	1	2	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	99	91	4	3	-	1	53	52	-	-	1	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	299	219	18	23	30	9	201	119	9	21	36	16
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	71	34	7	7	16	7	65	32	4	7	14	8
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	3	-	1	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	52	32	4	6	8	2	22	13	-	2	6	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	41	29	5	2	4	1	10	7	-	1	2	-
WITH FIXED RATE	100	71	7	9	11	2	95	60	4	10	14	7
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	23	18	-	1	3	1	19	11	-	-	6	2
WITH FIXED RATE	75	64	2	3	3	3	38	24	2	4	5	3
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	1	-	1	-	-	14	4	1	4	2	3
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	54	48	-	4	1	1	29	19	2	2	4	2
SELLER	88	81	5	2	-	-	22	16	1	1	4	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	86	39	5	12	24	6	60	26	3	7	20	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	42	23	3	3	10	3	26	9	2	4	9	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	71	52	6	6	4	3	95	55	5	11	13	11
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	16	13	-	1	2	-	14	7	1	1	1	4
26 YEARS AND OVER	142	128	7	5	2	-	46	38	1	3	3	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	22	20	2	-	-	-	7	6	1	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	65	56	6	3	-	-	54	50	2	1	1	-
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	27	22	4	1	-	-	26	24	2	-	-	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	9	8	1	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	35	30	3	2	-	-	30	29	1	-	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	17	16	1	-	-	-	5	4	-	1	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	5	5	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	7	7	-	-	-	-	5	4	-	-	1	-
SELLER	5	5	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	1	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	39	34	4	1	-	-	13	12	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	19	14	4	1	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	17	14	2	1	-	-	38	35	1	1	1	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	9	8	-	1	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	2	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	1	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Missouri						Montana					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	737	482	40	89	85	41	263	165	9	21	63	5
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	672	439	35	82	81	35	239	151	6	19	58	5
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	176	136	7	15	11	7	119	91	5	8	15	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	70	55	2	5	4	4	71	53	3	7	8	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	79	65	1	7	4	2	41	33	2	1	5	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	23	14	4	2	2	1	6	5	-	-	1	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	4	2	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	47	35	4	3	4	1	18	15	-	2	1	-
SELLER	12	10	-	2	-	-	6	4	1	-	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	44	22	3	8	9	2	14	7	-	1	6	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	92	75	3	7	2	5	62	43	4	6	9	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	40	39	1	-	-	-	43	41	1	1	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	408	284	32	50	22	20	82	53	4	6	17	2
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	148	85	20	23	9	11	23	12	-	4	6	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	2	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	38	24	3	5	6	-	20	12	-	-	8	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	46	34	2	9	-	1	7	3	2	2	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	154	91	19	22	10	12	29	20	-	3	5	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	66	50	5	7	-	4	3	2	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	82	70	-	6	5	1	18	13	2	-	3	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	4	2	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	12	9	1	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	110	89	6	7	3	5	15	13	-	1	1	-
SELLER	57	51	2	1	-	3	13	9	-	-	4	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	152	100	10	21	14	7	19	7	2	2	7	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	89	65	9	7	5	3	10	4	2	1	3	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	157	94	16	28	8	11	29	22	1	2	3	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	33	22	4	7	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	99	90	6	1	-	2	34	24	1	2	7	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	10	8	2	-	-	-	7	5	-	1	1	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	58	46	5	5	-	2	24	23	1	-	-	-
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	31	28	2	1	-	-	10	10	-	-	-	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	9	5	2	2	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	25	21	1	2	-	1	16	16	-	-	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	9	7	-	1	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	8	7	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	4	3	-	1	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
SELLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	27	23	2	1	-	1	13	12	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	11	10	1	-	-	-	6	5	1	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	25	17	3	4	-	1	8	8	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	4	2	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	6	6	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Nebraska						Nevada					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	562	434	21	26	58	23	281	170	16	34	51	10
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	510	394	19	25	53	19	269	166	14	31	48	10
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	180	164	5	3	6	2	106	84	4	7	6	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	81	77	1	1	2	-	55	45	2	2	4	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	77	69	4	1	3	-	49	37	2	4	2	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	19	15	-	1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	36	33	2	-	1	-	13	9	1	2	-	1
SELLER	23	21	2	-	-	-	18	13	1	1	1	2
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	24	16	-	1	5	2	8	4	1	1	2	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	67	63	2	1	1	-	48	34	2	5	3	4
26 YEARS AND OVER	89	85	3	1	-	-	50	46	1	1	1	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	302	237	13	18	20	14	150	80	12	18	35	5
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	48	27	2	6	5	8	53	16	2	13	21	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	10	7	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	26	19	1	2	3	1	35	19	4	2	9	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	67	58	1	6	2	-	5	4	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	73	54	5	4	5	5	85	42	7	13	20	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	46	38	2	1	2	3	3	1	-	1	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	52	42	2	3	2	3	18	13	1	-	3	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	11	8	1	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
WITH FIXED RATE	17	11	1	-	3	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	55	48	5	2	-	-	23	13	3	2	5	-
SELLER	50	44	2	1	2	1	19	16	1	-	2	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	74	43	4	7	13	7	42	13	-	9	17	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	38	22	4	4	5	3	17	6	-	3	8	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	98	73	6	8	4	7	52	20	9	7	14	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	26	22	1	1	1	1	7	2	2	1	1	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	130	121	3	3	3	-	56	47	3	2	4	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	23	21	1	1	-	-	11	11	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	67	63	1	2	-	1	74	55	10	2	3	4
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	26	23	1	1	-	1	50	40	4	1	2	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	5	4	-	1	-	-	14	8	4	-	-	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	6	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	30	29	-	1	-	-	50	39	5	2	2	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	11	10	1	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7	7	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	8	7	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
SELLER	2	2	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	32	28	1	2	-	1	35	27	5	1	2	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	16	15	1	-	-	-	18	13	4	1	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	22	22	-	-	-	-	33	22	5	1	1	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	6	6	-	-	-	-	10	7	1	-	-	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	13	13	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	3	3	-	-	-	-	7	2	4	-	-	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	New Hampshire						New Jersey					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	536	337	57	79	43	20	1 093	834	122	17	63	57
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	489	308	49	76	42	14	1 057	803	119	17	63	55
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	93	69	10	6	5	3	181	140	26	1	4	10
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	28	24	1	1	-	2	91	74	11	-	2	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	38	26	5	3	4	-	62	42	12	-	2	6
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	23	17	3	1	1	1	27	23	3	1	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	4	2	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	19	16	2	1	-	-	25	18	5	-	-	2
SELLER	7	7	-	-	-	-	20	15	5	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	13	5	2	5	-	1	28	19	2	1	4	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	49	34	7	1	5	2	83	58	17	-	-	8
26 YEARS AND OVER	31	30	1	-	-	-	70	63	7	-	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	371	246	42	49	20	14	798	640	89	9	18	42
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	90	47	13	21	6	3	159	90	33	4	11	20
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	4	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	14	9	1	2	1	1	19	12	3	1	2	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	26	20	3	3	-	-	37	29	3	2	1	2
WITH FIXED RATE	79	49	7	19	3	1	254	181	36	2	8	27
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	89	62	10	10	2	5	100	92	6	-	1	1
WITH FIXED RATE	116	82	15	8	7	4	376	320	40	2	6	8
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	21	7	4	5	4	1	3	1	-	-	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	21	13	2	2	3	1	7	3	1	2	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	123	90	15	9	3	6	326	293	28	-	2	3
SELLER	34	29	4	-	1	-	129	113	15	-	-	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	96	48	3	27	15	3	208	150	19	7	15	17
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	44	32	2	7	2	1	137	104	16	1	7	9
6 TO 25 YEARS	159	105	23	20	5	6	183	120	36	2	2	23
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	27	13	6	4	1	3	40	24	9	-	-	7
26 YEARS AND OVER	116	93	16	2	-	5	407	370	34	-	1	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	19	16	2	-	-	1	44	42	2	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	57	35	8	4	1	9	48	34	7	-	-	7
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	32	16	7	3	1	5	25	17	3	-	-	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	1	-	2	-	-	7	6	1	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	23	15	5	1	-	2	23	16	3	-	-	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	4	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	14	6	3	-	1	4	7	5	1	-	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	8	6	-	1	-	1	6	3	2	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	4	2	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	20	12	1	3	1	3	25	16	3	-	-	6
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	3	1	1	-	2	7	5	-	-	-	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	30	17	6	1	-	6	17	13	3	-	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	4	2	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	7	6	1	-	-	-	6	5	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	New Mexico						New York					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	457	242	12	61	131	11	1 515	982	256	79	73	132
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	446	237	11	59	128	11	1 462	949	246	68	73	126
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	173	120	8	8	35	2	353	250	62	6	9	26
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	114	77	3	3	29	2	163	120	29	2	1	11
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	56	41	5	5	5	-	108	69	20	4	4	11
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	3	2	-	-	1	-	75	58	12	-	2	3
18 PERCENT AND OVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	3	1	-	2	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	20	10	1	2	7	-	59	44	9	2	2	2
SELLER	12	10	-	1	1	-	29	26	3	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	24	10	-	2	12	-	48	19	15	2	6	6
6 TO 25 YEARS	91	56	6	5	23	1	247	177	44	4	3	19
26 YEARS AND OVER	58	54	2	1	-	1	58	54	3	-	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	152	96	4	24	25	5	963	649	174	33	22	85
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	50	19	3	8	15	5	294	142	57	26	16	53
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	8	6	1	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	27	11	-	8	7	1	67	31	16	10	1	9
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	11	9	1	1	-	-	41	32	3	2	-	4
WITH FIXED RATE	74	49	3	8	11	3	315	173	68	17	12	45
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	5	-	1	1	-	142	116	22	-	-	4
WITH FIXED RATE	27	19	-	4	3	1	358	273	55	4	6	20
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	1	-	-	8	4	2	-	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	4	-	-	1	3	-	24	14	7	-	2	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	28	17	-	3	7	1	369	297	57	3	-	12
SELLER	23	22	-	1	-	-	88	72	14	1	-	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	37	14	1	12	9	1	196	110	32	10	19	25
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	9	4	1	2	2	-	80	51	13	1	3	12
6 TO 25 YEARS	50	21	1	12	12	4	505	317	110	19	3	56
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	-	-	1	-	-	91	56	24	-	-	11
26 YEARS AND OVER	65	59	2	-	4	-	262	222	32	4	-	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	16	16	-	-	-	-	37	33	4	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	44	40	4	-	-	-	135	78	41	-	2	14
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	21	18	3	-	-	-	68	38	19	-	2	9
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	7	7	-	-	-	-	25	14	11	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	22	18	4	-	-	-	62	37	15	-	2	8
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	23	16	5	-	-	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	11	11	-	-	-	-	17	7	9	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	3	3	-	-	-	-	12	7	3	-	-	2
SELLER	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	16	15	1	-	-	-	51	28	17	-	1	5
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	4	3	1	-	-	-	31	17	11	-	-	3
6 TO 25 YEARS	24	21	3	-	-	-	74	41	23	-	1	9
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	3	3	-	-	-	-	11	7	2	-	-	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	4	4	-	-	-	-	10	9	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	2	-	-	-	-	8	2	6	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	North Carolina						North Dakota					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	890	437	33	148	253	19	431	268	22	49	70	22
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	853	420	31	143	242	17	378	240	21	31	67	19
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	260	186	8	26	35	3	129	106	9	4	3	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	115	90	3	9	11	2	60	49	5	2	-	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	104	64	4	16	17	1	63	53	4	4	1	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	37	29	1	1	6	-	5	3	-	-	2	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	4	3	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	31	21	1	5	4	-	22	17	3	-	1	1
SELLER	23	20	-	3	-	-	14	13	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	55	12	3	16	24	-	25	13	3	2	3	4
6 TO 25 YEARS	132	102	4	12	11	3	51	45	4	2	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	73	72	1	-	-	-	53	48	2	2	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	386	212	25	52	82	15	172	119	12	23	11	7
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	155	61	12	35	38	9	24	11	5	2	5	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	4	-	1	1	-	5	3	-	2	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	25	13	-	3	7	2	13	7	1	4	1	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	22	12	1	4	4	1	40	31	1	7	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	195	90	18	34	42	11	53	36	3	7	6	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	24	20	1	2	1	-	25	19	2	-	2	2
WITH FIXED RATE	97	66	4	5	21	1	28	19	5	1	1	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	17	7	1	3	6	-	7	4	-	2	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	42	29	4	3	4	2	39	30	1	5	1	2
SELLER	37	30	2	2	3	-	20	18	-	-	2	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	134	42	6	30	50	6	43	24	4	5	7	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	33	19	3	2	8	1	22	16	2	-	3	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	147	80	12	19	27	9	55	35	7	8	2	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	27	20	2	2	1	2	14	10	2	-	1	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	105	90	7	3	5	-	74	60	1	10	2	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	20	19	1	-	-	-	13	11	-	2	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	77	61	8	3	4	1	33	27	5	1	-	-
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	30	20	8	2	-	-	23	19	3	1	-	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	9	7	-	1	1	-	9	7	1	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	4	3	-	-	1	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	33	23	7	2	1	-	18	14	4	-	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	7	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	16	15	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	5	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	3	3	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
SELLER	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	25	16	4	1	3	1	16	15	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	9	6	1	-	1	1	10	9	1	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	43	36	4	2	1	-	16	11	4	1	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	5	5	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	9	9	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	5	3	1	1	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Ohio						Oklahoma					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	1 251	944	75	62	108	62	612	401	23	89	82	24
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	1 175	891	67	58	101	58	563	369	22	79	75	18
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	279	238	20	10	3	8	197	165	7	11	9	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	96	78	8	4	3	3	100	86	3	6	3	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	123	109	6	4	-	4	67	55	1	8	3	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	56	49	5	1	-	1	28	22	3	-	3	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	4	2	1	1	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	68	60	3	4	-	1	28	24	1	1	2	-
SELLER	32	25	6	-	-	1	15	14	-	1	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	23	14	2	4	2	1	21	5	1	9	4	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	122	96	13	6	1	6	86	72	5	2	4	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	134	128	5	-	-	1	90	88	1	-	1	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	757	618	47	27	25	40	284	209	14	25	20	16
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	126	83	6	11	8	18	60	30	7	10	9	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	1	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	26	18	1	3	-	4	27	20	1	2	4	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	108	95	6	4	1	2	27	21	1	3	-	2
WITH FIXED RATE	179	132	10	10	10	17	93	68	9	11	2	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	184	164	7	3	5	5	24	19	-	1	3	1
WITH FIXED RATE	233	191	21	5	6	10	88	70	2	5	5	6
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	9	7	-	-	1	1	5	2	-	2	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	15	10	2	2	-	1	19	8	1	1	6	3
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	244	216	15	5	3	5	72	61	2	7	2	-
SELLER	125	111	9	1	2	2	33	33	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	145	103	9	10	10	13	66	25	5	12	15	9
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	89	71	6	1	4	7	28	17	3	1	5	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	219	155	18	13	8	25	81	55	6	9	5	6
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	31	23	3	-	2	3	18	13	2	1	-	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	393	360	20	4	7	2	137	129	3	4	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	47	41	4	-	2	-	25	23	1	1	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	69	54	6	1	-	8	73	61	7	4	-	1
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	33	26	4	-	-	3	21	15	3	3	-	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	11	10	1	-	-	-	7	5	1	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	-	-	-	1	6	3	1	1	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	34	29	3	-	-	2	23	18	3	2	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	3	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	9	6	-	1	-	2	14	13	1	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7	5	1	-	-	1	20	19	1	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	6	4	-	-	-	2	4	4	-	-	-	-
SELLER	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	33	24	4	-	-	5	33	27	3	2	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	15	11	1	-	-	3	14	11	2	-	-	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	29	24	2	1	-	2	37	31	4	2	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	5	5	-	-	-	-	6	5	-	1	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	7	6	-	-	-	1	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	3	3	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.3210600683602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. **Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981**—Con.

[1] Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text.

Item	Oregon						Pennsylvania					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	497	335	13	72	70	7	1 264	820	104	138	132	70
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	485	328	13	70	67	7	1 177	772	93	126	121	65
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	188	158	7	9	11	3	206	144	20	18	15	9
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	75	60	2	6	4	3	57	40	6	4	5	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	102	87	5	3	7	-	79	50	8	9	8	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	9	9	-	-	-	-	66	52	6	4	1	3
18 PERCENT AND OVER	2	2	-	-	-	-	4	2	-	1	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	27	24	-	1	1	1	64	47	6	6	2	3
SELLER	9	8	1	-	-	-	22	13	5	3	-	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	20	8	2	3	6	1	44	20	3	9	8	4
6 TO 25 YEARS	101	85	5	4	5	2	111	77	14	9	7	4
26 YEARS AND OVER	67	65	-	2	-	-	51	47	3	-	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	231	152	6	38	31	4	796	576	69	63	39	49
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	87	40	2	25	19	1	194	107	17	29	18	23
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	25	21	2	2	-	-	4	3	-	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	43	27	-	13	3	-	41	24	4	4	5	4
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	9	8	-	1	-	-	39	24	4	7	-	4
WITH FIXED RATE	122	80	2	18	20	2	213	137	16	27	10	23
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	13	9	1	1	2	-	97	74	10	4	3	6
WITH FIXED RATE	12	4	1	2	4	1	355	286	27	14	19	9
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	-	-	1	-	11	7	2	1	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	5	2	-	1	1	1	36	21	6	6	1	2
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	34	23	1	5	4	1	230	183	22	8	5	12
SELLER	8	5	2	1	-	-	95	84	9	1	-	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	60	24	-	16	19	1	191	107	17	34	18	14
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	18	13	-	-	4	1	92	70	11	4	4	3
6 TO 25 YEARS	83	51	3	15	11	3	335	223	41	23	17	31
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	14	7	-	2	3	2	41	23	14	1	1	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	88	77	3	7	1	-	270	246	11	5	4	4
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	11	8	2	1	-	-	25	19	3	1	1	1
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	101	86	3	6	2	4	57	39	10	3	1	4
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	61	51	2	4	2	2	33	20	7	2	-	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	11	7	1	1	1	1	7	5	1	-	-	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	72	66	1	3	-	2	25	16	5	2	-	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	3	-	2	-	1	19	14	2	1	1	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	5	-	-	1	-	5	4	1	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	4	4	-	-	-	-	4	3	1	-	-	-
SELLER	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	64	55	1	5	2	1	22	17	3	1	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	25	21	1	1	1	1	9	5	2	1	-	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	32	26	2	1	-	3	33	20	7	2	1	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	10	7	1	1	-	1	3	2	1	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	5	5	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	5	4	-	-	-	1	4	2	-	1	-	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Rhode Island						South Carolina					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	485	285	107	25	40	28	762	396	21	105	217	23
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	459	272	103	24	40	20	703	363	21	96	203	20
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	66	41	18	3	-	4	161	134	2	10	11	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	10	2	5	-	-	3	78	67	-	5	3	3
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	38	25	10	3	-	-	58	48	1	2	6	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	16	13	3	-	-	-	21	17	1	2	1	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	2	1	-	-	-	1	4	2	-	1	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	22	22	-	-	-	-	22	17	-	1	3	1
SELLER	6	5	-	1	-	-	25	24	-	1	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	6	1	3	1	-	1	19	7	-	4	8	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	46	27	14	2	-	3	74	61	2	5	3	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	14	13	1	-	-	-	68	66	-	1	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	357	217	77	18	21	24	416	222	17	59	108	17
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	90	44	16	11	8	11	153	54	9	20	54	7
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	11	5	1	2	1	2	66	39	1	7	17	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	20	14	3	1	1	1	25	17	-	4	4	-
WITH FIXED RATE	139	90	24	10	9	6	194	91	11	39	51	9
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	69	43	19	2	3	2	20	16	-	-	4	-
WITH FIXED RATE	74	45	18	2	3	6	90	49	4	7	25	5
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	18	6	5	1	1	5	1	-	-	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	25	13	7	-	3	2	19	10	1	1	6	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	162	115	35	3	4	5	44	33	-	2	7	2
SELLER	20	14	3	1	-	2	42	30	-	4	8	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	60	23	10	8	12	7	135	36	5	24	65	5
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	30	16	6	1	1	6	30	17	3	-	9	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	197	120	43	8	9	17	165	84	10	29	37	12
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	30	23	5	-	1	1	31	13	1	-	14	3
26 YEARS AND OVER	100	74	24	2	-	-	116	102	2	6	6	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	10	6	4	-	-	-	15	14	-	-	1	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	32	21	7	2	-	2	73	64	4	1	1	3
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	20	13	5	1	-	1	37	31	3	-	1	2
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	5	2	-	1	-	2	6	6	-	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	15	10	4	1	-	-	44	38	3	-	1	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	10	7	3	-	-	-	15	12	1	1	-	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	3	2	-	1	-	-	5	4	-	-	-	1
SELLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	20	15	4	-	-	1	25	21	2	-	1	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	7	6	1	-	-	-	8	7	1	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	12	6	3	2	-	1	44	39	2	1	-	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	10	10	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	2	-	2	-	-	-	5	4	-	-	-	1

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	South Dakota						Tennessee					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	508	344	18	69	54	23	836	553	38	86	126	33
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	474	317	16	68	51	22	761	511	35	80	107	28
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	211	162	8	24	12	5	305	238	18	14	23	12
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	136	113	4	10	8	1	154	129	8	6	6	5
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	67	46	3	12	3	3	110	80	5	7	12	6
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	7	3	1	2	-	1	35	26	4	1	3	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	1	-	-	-	1	-	6	3	1	-	2	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	42	31	2	8	1	-	40	27	4	2	6	1
SELLER	16	14	1	1	-	-	23	21	-	-	1	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	40	23	1	6	7	3	45	15	3	8	17	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	84	57	6	15	5	1	160	125	15	6	5	9
26 YEARS AND OVER	87	82	1	3	-	1	100	98	-	-	1	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	221	150	10	38	13	10	371	261	19	38	37	16
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	88	46	4	19	10	9	119	63	12	17	21	6
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	9	8	-	1	-	-	5	3	-	-	2	-
WITH FIXED RATE	34	15	6	11	1	1	40	26	2	3	7	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	34	28	-	6	-	-	40	26	3	10	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	119	78	4	19	10	8	162	116	13	15	12	6
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	5	-	1	-	-	45	35	1	4	1	4
WITH FIXED RATE	15	12	-	-	2	1	70	52	-	4	10	4
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	8	3	-	2	3	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	41	31	1	7	-	2	86	65	1	8	9	3
SELLER	29	24	-	2	2	1	39	35	-	-	3	1
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	76	52	1	12	8	3	103	47	5	17	27	7
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	44	38	-	4	-	2	44	32	2	5	4	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	93	55	9	19	4	6	144	106	9	16	5	8
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	26	19	3	2	-	2	34	24	2	6	-	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	52	43	-	7	1	1	124	108	5	5	5	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	12	12	-	-	-	-	18	18	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	36	32	2	2	-	-	88	76	3	6	-	3
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	20	18	1	1	-	-	52	43	2	4	-	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	9	8	1	-	-	-	10	8	1	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	20	17	1	2	-	-	53	46	2	2	-	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	5	4	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	10	-	1	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	6	5	-	1	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	3	2	1	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
SELLER	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	22	20	1	1	-	-	31	25	1	3	-	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	10	10	-	-	-	-	9	6	1	1	-	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	10	8	1	1	-	-	50	44	2	3	-	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	2	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	4	4	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Texas						Utah					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	1 258	744	35	105	337	37	468	317	15	43	79	14
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	1 168	696	34	101	302	35	439	295	15	40	76	13
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	380	306	12	17	36	9	208	174	9	7	14	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	194	159	3	10	19	3	128	110	5	5	6	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	149	112	9	7	15	6	77	61	4	2	8	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	31	29	-	-	2	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	65	53	-	5	6	1	26	22	1	2	1	-
SELLER	43	40	-	-	3	-	21	19	1	-	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	47	18	1	7	18	3	20	7	1	3	8	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	195	157	7	9	17	5	84	72	3	4	3	2
26 YEARS AND OVER	138	131	4	1	1	1	104	95	5	-	3	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	615	380	21	51	144	19	188	128	6	29	24	8
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	263	109	13	41	93	7	75	41	4	15	10	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	2	2	-	1	1	3	2	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	70	32	3	10	24	1	35	26	-	6	1	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	28	23	1	-	4	-	17	13	-	3	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	305	171	11	36	78	9	96	68	4	11	9	4
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	43	33	1	1	6	2	5	5	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	139	107	2	3	23	4	21	12	2	1	6	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	7	3	-	1	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	17	9	1	-	5	2	10	2	-	-	7	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	101	90	2	1	7	1	25	17	-	4	2	2
SELLER	114	107	1	2	4	-	23	19	1	2	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	141	59	6	14	55	7	51	20	1	9	18	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	51	40	2	1	8	-	20	10	-	2	6	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	271	132	13	34	81	11	57	40	2	9	3	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	32	25	1	1	2	3	17	13	1	1	1	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	203	189	2	3	8	1	80	68	3	4	3	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	32	32	-	-	-	-	10	8	1	-	-	1
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	137	120	7	4	3	3	98	90	2	-	3	3
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	71	61	6	2	1	1	40	33	1	-	3	3
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	12	12	-	-	-	-	9	9	-	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	3	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	75	64	6	3	1	1	46	41	1	-	2	2
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	19	18	-	-	-	1	18	15	1	-	1	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	23	22	-	-	1	-	22	22	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	7	6	-	-	1	-	8	8	-	-	-	-
SELLER	5	5	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	42	36	2	1	3	-	30	26	1	-	1	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	16	15	-	-	1	-	12	12	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	83	73	5	2	-	3	54	50	1	-	2	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	14	11	1	-	-	2	9	8	1	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	12	11	-	1	-	-	14	14	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	6	6	-	-	-	-	16	16	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Vermont						Virginia					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	319	200	40	41	15	23	1 199	902	55	97	113	32
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	295	187	34	39	15	20	1 129	846	54	91	106	32
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	42	28	6	4	1	3	446	393	19	11	9	14
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	14	9	1	2	1	1	244	225	7	2	4	6
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	17	11	4	1	-	1	150	125	9	6	5	5
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	10	7	1	1	-	1	49	42	2	3	-	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	10	6	2	1	-	1	87	78	4	2	2	1
SELLER	3	2	1	-	-	-	47	43	2	1	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	13	8	1	2	1	1	35	19	2	5	5	4
6 TO 25 YEARS	22	14	5	1	-	2	207	177	12	5	4	9
26 YEARS AND OVER	7	6	-	1	-	-	204	197	5	1	-	1
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	228	145	33	25	7	18	646	476	34	58	63	15
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	78	40	14	13	4	7	206	110	13	31	43	9
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	4	1	-	-	1	3	2	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	12	8	1	2	1	-	80	61	4	9	4	2
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	22	14	2	3	1	2	41	32	1	7	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	75	40	16	9	2	8	296	202	16	30	39	9
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	21	16	4	1	-	-	37	29	1	3	2	2
WITH FIXED RATE	66	50	6	6	1	3	178	143	11	7	16	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	8	4	1	-	2	1	6	5	-	1	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	18	9	2	4	-	3	5	2	1	-	2	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	74	59	7	4	1	3	125	109	3	6	4	3
SELLER	8	6	1	-	1	-	131	111	12	3	5	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	61	28	11	11	5	6	160	83	4	25	43	5
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	33	15	11	1	1	5	79	56	3	7	12	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	122	80	17	12	2	11	209	140	14	29	17	9
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	25	12	6	2	-	5	50	38	6	3	2	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	45	37	5	2	-	1	277	253	16	4	3	1
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	6	4	2	-	-	-	38	36	-	1	-	1
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	24	13	8	1	-	2	306	278	12	8	2	6
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	14	10	3	1	-	-	164	141	11	6	1	5
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	1
WITH FIXED RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	22	19	1	2	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	10	5	4	-	-	1	151	134	8	3	1	5
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	4	3	1	-	-	-	101	94	3	3	1	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	3	1	2	-	-	-	20	20	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	2	-	2	-	-	-	45	43	1	1	-	-
SELLER	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	18	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	10	6	4	-	-	-	141	124	6	6	2	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	6	5	1	-	-	-	72	66	3	2	1	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	14	7	4	1	-	2	141	130	6	2	-	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	2	2	-	-	-	-	22	20	2	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	24	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	1	-	1	-	-	-	15	13	1	1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Washington						West Virginia					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	725	489	36	75	102	23	399	223	19	70	73	14
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	696	467	33	74	100	22	352	197	16	61	65	13
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	289	231	18	20	10	10	101	65	4	16	10	6
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	110	96	5	6	1	2	52	39	1	7	2	3
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	167	126	13	13	8	7	30	17	2	5	5	1
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	10	9	-	-	1	-	16	9	1	3	2	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER	2	-	-	1	-	1	3	-	-	1	1	1
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	32	26	3	3	-	-	23	12	1	6	3	1
SELLER	8	8	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	38	20	5	5	5	3	26	7	2	9	7	1
6 TO 25 YEARS	139	106	9	14	4	6	48	34	2	4	3	5
26 YEARS AND OVER	112	105	4	1	1	1	27	24	-	3	-	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	323	239	16	31	27	10	177	127	12	10	13	6
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	131	81	11	18	13	8	34	18	5	3	4	4
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	1	1
WITH FIXED RATE	21	15	1	2	2	1	20	15	1	3	1	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	28	23	2	-	1	2	19	13	1	5	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	220	154	11	28	21	6	89	70	5	4	7	3
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	8	7	-	-	1	-	12	8	-	2	2	-
WITH FIXED RATE	42	36	2	1	2	1	31	19	5	4	1	2
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	1	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	30	28	1	-	-	1	61	50	2	8	1	-
SELLER	35	33	2	-	-	-	26	22	-	4	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	87	60	4	5	17	1	24	8	-	5	8	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	57	47	2	1	7	-	6	2	-	1	1	2
6 TO 25 YEARS	128	82	7	23	9	7	77	50	11	9	4	3
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	26	17	3	4	-	2	13	6	3	3	-	1
26 YEARS AND OVER	108	97	5	3	1	2	76	69	1	5	1	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	12	10	2	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	135	114	13	5	-	3	13	11	-	2	-	-
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	74	63	6	3	-	2	3	2	-	1	-	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7	7	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	1	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	8	6	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	99	85	9	2	-	3	5	4	-	1	-	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	10	8	1	1	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	10	7	2	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	6	6	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
SELLER	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	64	57	6	1	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	29	24	5	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	62	49	6	4	-	3	7	5	-	2	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	15	12	2	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	9	8	1	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	11	9	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 25. Financing Characteristics of Measurable Sales During a 6-Month Period by Use Category and States: 1981—Con.

(Numbers shown represent the actual number of respondents to the financing characteristics questions and do not represent the sales universe for the period. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Item	Wisconsin						Wyoming					
	Use category[1]						Use category[1]					
	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial	All	Residential		Acreage	Vacant platted lots	Commercial and industrial
		Single-family[2]	Multi-family					Single-family[2]	Multi-family			
NUMBER REPORTING FINANCING DATA[3]	698	504	65	38	66	25	299	214	10	20	49	6
DOWNPAYMENTS, TOTAL[4]	650	475	57	34	62	22	273	195	9	18	45	6
ASSUMPTIONS, TOTAL	133	104	17	7	3	2	114	101	4	6	3	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT	38	31	5	1	-	1	64	58	2	4	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT	56	43	6	4	2	1	43	36	2	2	3	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT	36	29	5	1	1	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER	3	1	1	1	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	40	37	2	1	-	-	16	14	-	-	2	-
SELLER	16	14	2	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	33	20	5	6	1	1	5	2	-	1	2	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	44	32	9	1	1	1	45	40	2	3	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	56	52	3	-	1	-	64	59	2	2	1	-
FIRST MORTGAGES, TOTAL	461	356	47	13	27	18	135	101	6	6	20	2
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	50	30	7	3	5	5	31	16	4	3	7	1
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	6	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	16	11	2	1	2	-	25	20	-	2	2	1
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	95	74	7	4	7	3	8	6	1	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	95	65	14	4	5	7	66	53	3	2	8	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	92	74	9	1	4	4	6	4	-	1	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	134	111	12	2	7	2	24	15	2	1	5	1
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	11	8	-	1	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	-
WITH FIXED RATE	12	8	2	-	2	-	5	3	-	-	2	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	158	131	15	3	8	1	22	18	1	1	2	-
SELLER	92	77	12	1	2	-	17	15	1	-	1	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	204	156	21	8	15	4	23	7	-	3	13	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	151	118	19	3	10	1	9	2	-	2	5	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	106	73	12	4	7	10	50	33	5	3	7	2
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	24	17	5	1	-	1	10	7	-	-	3	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	151	127	14	1	5	4	62	61	1	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	24	23	1	-	-	-	9	8	1	-	-	-
SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	54	40	9	-	3	2	53	48	2	2	1	-
INVOLVING SELLER FINANCING	30	19	6	-	3	2	19	16	2	1	-	-
WITH INTEREST RATES OF:												
UNDER 10 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	6	4	2	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-
10 TO 13.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	5	5	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	23	16	4	-	2	1	18	15	1	1	1	-
14 TO 17.99 PERCENT:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	4	2	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7	6	1	-	-	-	12	10	1	1	-	-
18 PERCENT AND OVER:												
WITH ADJUSTABLE RATE	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
WITH FIXED RATE	7	6	-	-	-	1	12	12	-	-	-	-
POINTS--NUMBER REPORTING POINTS PAID BY:												
BUYER	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	1	-
SELLER	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERM--NUMBER REPORTING TERMS OF:												
5 YEARS AND UNDER	34	26	5	-	3	-	22	20	1	-	1	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	19	14	3	-	2	-	8	7	1	-	-	-
6 TO 25 YEARS	17	11	4	-	-	2	28	25	1	2	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	1	-	1	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	-
26 YEARS AND OVER	3	3	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
WITH REFINANCING REQUIRED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORTGAGES JUNIOR TO SECOND MORTGAGES, TOTAL	4	4	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-

Note: Caution should generally attend use of data presented in this table. Totals are based on actual responses to our mail canvass questionnaire. No attempt was made to expand these data to construct State and nationwide estimates. Data presented may be affected by various interpretations of questions on mail canvass questionnaire (form GP-31, appendix F).

[1] Data presented in this table do not include financing data for transfers of any newly constructed properties.

[2] Totals shown for single-family (nonfarm) residences include data for residential condominiums.

[3] The sum of number reporting assumptions, first mortgages, second mortgages, and other mortgages, in most instances, will not equal the total number reporting financing data due to inclusion of cash sales in total.

[4] Total number reporting downpayments may be influenced by inclusion of those reporting cash sales in total.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 26. Statistics on Locally Assessed Taxable Residential Condominiums by States: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Measurable sales of ordinary residential condominiums during a 6-month period					
	Estimated number of properties[1]	Aggregate assessed value[2] (thousands)	Average assessed value (dollars)	Aggregate sales price[3] (thousands)	Average sales price (dollars)	Aggregate assessment-sales price ratio (percent)
UNITED STATES	39 647	1 593 157	40 184	3 165 692	79 847	50
ALABAMA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
ALASKA	153	8 365	54 673	10 500	68 627	80
ARIZONA	1 169	4 243	3 630	70 295	60 133	6
ARKANSAS	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
CALIFORNIA	10 391	784 703	75 518	1 186 707	114 205	66
COLORADO	2 784	19 285	6 927	213 427	76 662	9
CONNECTICUT	859	21 711	25 275	61 298	71 360	35
DELAWARE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	560	29 226	52 189	41 322	73 789	71
FLORIDA	5 935	274 740	46 291	402 356	67 794	68
GEORGIA	526	9 880	18 783	28 108	53 437	35
HAWAII	626	35 489	56 692	77 607	123 973	46
IDAHO	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
ILLINOIS	803	14 149	17 620	53 751	66 938	26
INDIANA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
IOWA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
KANSAS	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
KENTUCKY	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
LOUISIANA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
MAINE	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
MARYLAND	1 135	23 221	20 459	70 221	61 869	33
MASSACHUSETTS	837	22 045	26 338	51 899	62 006	42
MICHIGAN	430	9 380	21 814	24 370	56 674	38
MINNESOTA	713	6 877	9 645	40 929	57 404	17
MISSISSIPPI	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
MISSOURI	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
MONTANA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
NEBRASKA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
NEVADA	440	8 972	20 391	43 829	99 611	20
NEW HAMPSHIRE	110	3 936	35 782	5 971	54 282	66
NEW JERSEY	930	37 571	40 399	64 138	68 966	59
NEW MEXICO	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
NEW YORK	717	11 710	16 332	50 680	70 683	23
NORTH CAROLINA	674	23 743	35 227	36 943	54 812	64
NORTH DAKOTA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
OHIO	747	12 005	16 071	39 425	52 778	30
OKLAHOMA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
OREGON	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
PENNSYLVANIA	1 223	12 244	10 011	70 418	57 578	17
RHODE ISLAND	77	1 249	16 221	4 768	61 922	26
SOUTH CAROLINA	662	1 715	2 591	51 967	78 500	3
SOUTH DAKOTA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
TENNESSEE	321	3 122	9 726	19 767	61 579	16
TEXAS	2 294	80 391	35 044	157 936	68 847	51
UTAH	320	2 307	7 209	21 350	66 719	11
VERMONT	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
VIRGINIA	1 103	64 806	58 754	77 073	69 876	84
WASHINGTON	382	18 394	48 152	24 490	64 110	75
WEST VIRGINIA	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
WISCONSIN	232	9 760	42 069	16 294	70 233	60
WYOMING	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)

Note: Sales of newly constructed condominium units are not presented in these data.

[1]These data do not constitute estimates of gross turnover of realty because certain transfers were either nonrespondent to our mail canvass questionnaire or deemed otherwise not to be "arm's length" transactions.

[2]Total amounts shown here are estimates obtained by summing assessed values of sampled "ordinary properties," and then expanding results to reflect statewide totals. Consequently, these aggregates will not compare exactly with data presented in other tables.

[3]Total amounts shown here are estimates obtained by summing sales prices of sampled "ordinary properties," and then expanding results to reflect statewide totals. Consequently, these aggregates will not compare exactly with data presented in other tables.

[X]Not computed because of insufficient sample representation.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 27. Statistics on Locally Assessed Taxable Residential Condominiums for Selected Local Areas: 1981

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Estimated number of properties	Aggregate assessed value ($1,000)	Aggregate sales price ($1,000)	Aggregate assessment-sales price ratio (percent)
ALABAMA--JEFFERSON COUNTY.	126	391	6 473	6.0
ALASKA:				
ANCHORAGE CITY AND BOROUGH	99	5 806	7 054	82.3
JUNEAU CITY AND BOROUGH. .	21	1 128	1 667	67.7
KETCHIKAN-GATEWAY BOROUGH.	21	804	1 050	76.6
ARIZONA:				
MARICOPA COUNTY.	781	3 020	50 847	5.9
MESA	121	424	6 389	6.6
PHOENIX.	209	701	13 099	5.4
SCOTTSDALE	165	791	13 369	5.9
PIMA COUNTY.	315	898	15 825	5.7
TUCSON	90	261	4 794	5.4
BALANCE OF COUNTY. . . .	225	637	11 031	5.8
CALIFORNIA:				
ALAMEDA COUNTY	475	31 781	47 458	67.0
FREMONT.	95	4 579	7 849	58.3
OAKLAND.	95	7 033	10 536	66.8
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY. . . .	540	38 563	58 473	66.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	1 240	129 671	177 474	73.1
LOS ANGELES CITY	680	81 566	119 740	68.1
MARIN COUNTY	210	12 332	30 660	40.2
MONTEREY COUNTY.	140	11 693	12 570	93.0
ORANGE COUNTY.	2 000	153 255	244 646	62.6
FULLERTON.	200	14 317	19 180	74.7
SANTA ANA.	240	16 774	23 120	72.6
PLACER COUNTY.	180	17 004	22 170	76.7
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	720	55 007	70 128	78.4
SACRAMENTO COUNTY.	560	38 818	51 281	75.7
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY. . .	110	5 719	6 556	87.2
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	1 480	112 067	165 914	67.6
SAN DIEGO CITY	880	54 944	82 344	66.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY . .	480	20 973	25 540	82.1
SAN MATEO COUNTY	243	24 177	35 575	68.0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY	560	40 857	62 838	65.0
SONOMA COUNTY.	140	6 860	10 640	41.8
VENTURA COUNTY	440	27 252	38 757	70.3
SAN FRANCISCO CITY-COUNTY.	207	24 621	51 693	47.6
COLORADO:				
ADAMS COUNTY	264	1 303	11 678	11.2
ARAPAHOE COUNTY.	312	2 023	18 619	10.9
AURORA (PART).	182	1 218	11 075	11.0
BALANCE OF COUNTY. . . .	130	805	7 544	10.7
BOULDER COUNTY	342	2 079	24 668	8.4
BOULDER CITY	228	1 722	19 542	8.8
JEFFERSON COUNTY	324	2 109	20 050	10.5
LAKEWOOD	126	767	7 386	10.4
BALANCE OF COUNTY. . . .	198	1 342	12 664	10.5
LARIMER COUNTY--FORT COLLINS	180	1 253	9 712	12.9
PITKIN COUNTY.	48	667	11 429	5.8
SUMMIT COUNTY.	147	1 190	17 093	7.0
DENVER CITY-COUNTY	420	2 845	27 616	10.3
CONNECTICUT:				
FAIRFIELD COUNTY--				
STAMFORD CITY	120	2 361	9 811	24.1
NEW HAVEN COUNTY:				
MILFORD CITY	60	1 210	3 526	34.3
HAMDEN TOWN.	30	576	1 882	30.6
SOUTHBURY TOWN	32	1 120	2 459	45.6
HARTFORD COUNTY:				
FARMINGTON TOWN.	15	398	1 301	30.6
WEST HARTFORD TOWN . . .	50	1 310	3 924	33.4
DELAWARE:				
NEW CASTLE COUNTY.	110	2 024	6 278	32.2
SUSSEX COUNTY.	42	894	3 201	27.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA-- WASHINGTON.	560	29 226	41 322	70.7
FLORIDA:				
BAY COUNTY	40	1 712	2 325	73.6
BREVARD COUNTY	240	9 542	13 748	69.4
BROWARD COUNTY	760	28 059	49 217	57.0
COLLIER COUNTY	100	8 575	14 470	59.3
DADE COUNTY.	700	38 742	48 921	79.2
MIAMI BEACH.	140	8 549	10 870	78.7
MANATEE COUNTY	220	9 894	13 554	73.0
MARTIN COUNTY.	169	8 427	12 463	67.6
ORANGE COUNTY.	300	8 690	12 817	67.8
PALM BEACH COUNTY.	1 200	61 132	92 918	65.8
PASCO COUNTY	210	5 426	8 017	67.7
PINELLAS COUNTY.	400	14 933	20 552	72.7
SARASOTA COUNTY.	260	14 262	18 678	76.4
SEMINOLE COUNTY.	100	2 270	3 950	57.5
VOLUSIA COUNTY	180	6 402	9 552	67.0
GEORGIA:				
CHATHAM COUNTY	50	1 192	3 290	36.2
FULTON COUNTY.	285	5 914	16 697	35.4
ATLANTA (PART)	270	5 697	15 987	35.6
HAWAII:				
MAUI COUNTY.	90	9 719	16 145	60.2
HONOLULU CITY.	504	23 937	58 033	41.3
ILLINOIS:				
COOK COUNTY.	420	7 101	29 278	24.3
CHICAGO (PART)	240	3 767	18 836	20.0
BALANCE OF COUNTY. . . .	180	3 334	10 442	31.9
DU PAGE COUNTY	150	3 237	10 946	29.6
KANSAS:				
JOHNSON COUNTY	96	444	6 173	7.2
OVERLAND PARK.	60	302	4 325	7.0
SEDGWICK COUNTY.	60	598	6 162	9.7
KENTUCKY--JEFFERSON COUNTY .	72	2 395	4 134	57.9
MARYLAND:				
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY. . . .	84	1 767	5 187	34.1
HOWARD COUNTY.	80	1 698	4 998	34.0
MONTGOMERY COUNTY.	483	10 561	31 292	33.8
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY. . .	240	3 995	11 863	33.7
WORCESTER COUNTY	132	2 879	8 330	34.6
MASSACHUSETTS:				
MIDDLESEX COUNTY-- CAMBRIDGE CITY.	100	1 072	7 825	13.7
NORFOLK COUNTY--DEDHAM TOWN.	20	360	1 042	34.6
SUFFOLK COUNTY--BOSTON . .	160	1 527	10 477	14.6
MICHIGAN:				
MACOMB COUNTY--HARRISON TOWNSHIP.	10	194	448	43.3
MINNESOTA:				
HENNEPIN COUNTY.	360	4 069	23 353	17.4
BLOOMINGTON.	50	818	4 400	18.6
MINNEAPOLIS.	50	622	3 851	16.2
BALANCE OF COUNTY. . . .	260	2 629	15 102	17.4
RAMSEY COUNTY.	100	985	6 515	15.1
WASHINGTON COUNTY.	100	363	2 408	15.1
MISSOURI--ST. LOUIS COUNTY .	105	987	5 880	16.8
NEBRASKA:				
LANCASTER COUNTY--LINCOLN.	28	972	1626	59.8
NEVADA:				
CLARK COUNTY	210	3 986	17 613	22.6
DOUGLAS COUNTY	54	1 823	8 235	22.1
WASHOE COUNTY.	169	3 081	17 610	17.5
RENO	65	1 162	5 455	21.3
BALANCE OF COUNTY. . . .	104	1 919	12 155	15.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY-- NASHUA CITY	30	1 546	1 758	87.9
NEW JERSEY:				
CAPE MAY COUNTY--SEA ISLE CITY.	25	1 491	2 175	68.6
MIDDLESEX COUNTY--MILLTOWN BOROUGH	30	928	1 824	50.9
OCEAN COUNTY:				
DOVER TOWNSHIP	72	1 554	2 459	63.2
LONG BEACH TOWNSHIP. . .	18	526	1 050	50.1
NEW MEXICO--SANTA FE COUNTY.	40	556	4 512	12.3
NEW YORK:				
NEW YORK CITY.	110	2 358	17 290	13.6
SUFFOLK COUNTY--BROOKHAVEN TOWN.	120	294	4 505	6.5
NORTH CAROLINA:				
GUILFORD COUNTY.	66	2 830	3 798	74.5
WAKE COUNTY--RALEIGH . . .	120	3 119	6 442	48.4
NORTH DAKOTA--BURLEIGH COUNTY.	20	83	998	8.3
OHIO:				
CUYAHOGA COUNTY.	209	4 062	13 219	30.7
FRANKLIN COUNTY.	105	1 127	3 602	31.3
COLUMBUS (PART).	90	994	3 152	31.5
LUCAS COUNTY	50	564	2 020	27.9
MONTGOMERY COUNTY.	120	1 952	6 173	31.6
OKLAHOMA:				
OKLAHOMA COUNTY.	138	724	7 630	9.5
OKLAHOMA CITY (PART) . .	115	551	6 008	9.2
TULSA COUNTY	208	1 674	13 528	12.4
TULSA CITY (PART). . . .	160	1 332	12 073	11.0
OREGON:				
MULTNOMAH COUNTY	72	3 134	4 032	77.7
WASHINGTON COUNTY.	120	4 954	6 356	77.9
PENNSYLVANIA:				
ALLEGHENY COUNTY	150	1 692	8 562	19.8
BUCKS COUNTY	114	456	3 904	11.7
CHESTER COUNTY	300	2 508	17 252	14.5
DELAWARE COUNTY.	120	422	6 937	6.1
MONTGOMERY COUNTY.	144	1 362	8 364	16.3
PHILADELPHIA CITY-COUNTY .	260	5 124	20 889	24.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 27. Statistics on Locally Assessed Taxable Residential Condominiums for Selected Local Areas: 1981—Con.

(For meaning of symbols, see text)

Area	Estimated number of properties	Aggregate assessed value ($1,000)	Aggregate sales price ($1,000)	Aggregate assessment-sales price ratio (percent)
RHODE ISLAND:				
NEWPORT COUNTY--NEWPORT CITY	24	630	2 502	25.2
PROVIDENCE COUNTY--EAST PROVIDENCE CITY	20	198	950	20.8
KENT COUNTY--WEST WARWICK TOWN	10	87	378	23.0
SOUTH CAROLINA:				
BEAUFORT COUNTY	216	741	19 994	3.7
CHARLESTON COUNTY	56	159	5 986	2.7
CHARLESTON CITY	20	54	1 523	3.6
TENNESSEE:				
SHELBY COUNTY--MEMPHIS CITY	108	1 407	6 621	21.3
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	171	1 371	10 190	13.5
TEXAS:				
DALLAS COUNTY--DALLAS CITY	450	8 644	32 196	26.9
GALVESTON COUNTY	150	8 082	8 670	93.2
HARRIS COUNTY	1 220	49 916	85 544	58.4
HOUSTON CITY	1 037	43 612	76 115	57.3
TEXAS--CONTINUED				
TARRANT COUNTY	180	3 914	12 847	30.5
UTAH:				
SALT LAKE COUNTY	187	1 485	13 213	11.2
UTAH COUNTY	40	306	2 820	10.9
WEBER COUNTY	20	144	1 279	11.3
VERMONT--BURLINGTON CITY	28	5	1 561	0.3
VIRGINIA:				
ARLINGTON COUNTY	140	13 298	14 925	89.1
FAIRFAX COUNTY	432	24 933	31 848	78.3
HENRICO COUNTY	96	3 803	4 880	77.9
LOUDOUN COUNTY	42	1 904	2 060	92.4
ALEXANDRIA CITY	130	9 025	10 108	89.3
FAIRFAX CITY	28	1 248	1 237	100.9
WASHINGTON:				
KING COUNTY	225	10 579	13 597	77.8
BELLEVUE CITY	75	3 831	4 793	79.9
WISCONSIN:				
MILWAUKEE COUNTY--MILWAUKEE CITY (PART)	64	2 553	3 984	64.1

Note: Data presented in this table should be used with caution. They are based on actual responses to the mail canvass questionnaire (see form GP-31, appendix F), regarding sales of residential condominiums during a 6-month period of 1981. Data refer generally to ordinary properties but may, in relatively few instances, reflect the inclusion of extraordinary properties as well. No attempt was made to expand any of the data presented in this table to construct any State or nationwide estimates.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Table 28. Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Personal Property Subject to Local General Property Taxation by Major Classes and States: 1981

(Thousands of dollars. For meaning of symbols, see text)

State	Total	Tangible personal property						Intangible personal property
		All classes	Commercial and industrial	Agricultural	Household	Motor vehicles	Other and unallocable	
ALABAMA[1]	2 127 023	2 127 023	(NA)	(NA)	-	810 433	1 316 590	(NA)
ALASKA	1 650 794	1 650 794	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	1 650 794	-
ARIZONA[2]	726 304	726 304	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	726 304	-
ARKANSAS	1 338 463	1 338 463	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	1 338 463	-
CALIFORNIA[3]	38 403 986	38 403 986	32 201 742	3 437 157	-	-	2 765 087	-
COLORADO	1 364 402	1 364 402	1 278 803	85 599	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT[1]	7 083 292	7 083 292	(NA)	(NA)	-	(NA)	7 083 292	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA[1]	826 142	826 142	826 142	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA	37 102 312	37 102 312	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	37 102 312	-
GEORGIA[4]	10 753 384	10 753 384	(NA)	(NA)	-	3 203 917	7 549 467	(NA)
IDAHO[5]	3 284 260	2 205 730	2 508 195	612 745	-	-	163 320	-
INDIANA[1]	6 351 622	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)
IOWA[6]	2 746 877	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)
KANSAS	3 909 659	3 743 329	(NA)	635 942	-	130 225	2 977 162	166 330
KENTUCKY	10 523 081	10 523 081	4 820 590	(NA)	-	5 020 275	682 216	-
LOUISIANA	2 836 708	2 581 781	1 926 657	65	-	943	654 116	254 927
MAINE[1]	2 510 730	2 510 730	2 337 539	(NA)	-	-	173 191	-
MARYLAND[7]	235 227	235 227	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	235 227	-
MASSACHUSETTS	3 044 928	3 044 928	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	3 044 928	-
MICHIGAN[1]	10 566 786	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	(NA)	-
MINNESOTA[1,8]	54 009	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	-	(NA)	-
MISSISSIPPI	1 649 837	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
MISSOURI	5 020 509	5 020 509	2 025 638	335 060	-	1 514 832	1 144 979	-
MONTANA[7]	507 587	507 587	206 507	115 085	-	140 675	45 320	(NA)
NEBRASKA[9]	4 937 527	4 937 527	1 551 039	3 919	-	2 312 864	1 069 705	-
NEVADA[1]	807 593	807 593	541 504	55 176	-	-	210 913	(NA)
NEW JERSEY[1]	1 815 018	1 815 018	1 815 018	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	341 622	341 622	(NA)	41 949	-	-	299 673	-
NORTH CAROLINA[10]	33 887 619	33 887 619	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	33 887 619	(NA)
OHIO[1]	5 053 224	5 053 224	5 053 224	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	1 501 269	1 501 269	961 287	211 684	240 327	-	87 971	-
OREGON[11]	3 468 419	3 468 419	2 452 522	-	-	-	1 015 897	-
RHODE ISLAND[1]	1 976 838	1 976 838	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	1 064 567	912 271	-
SOUTH CAROLINA[1,12]	819 644	819 644	(NA)	(NA)	-	359 451	460 193	-
TENNESSEE	1 610 128	1 600 877	1 600 180	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	697	9 251
TEXAS[13]	37 296 996	37 296 996	30 557 646	1 840 389	-	2 324 850	2 574 111	(NA)
UTAH[1,14]	737 688	737 688	213 575	41 820	-	304 618	177 675	-
VERMONT[15]	5 564	5 564	(NA)	-	-	-	5 564	-
VIRGINIA	9 522 273	9 522 273	2 209 402	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	7 312 871	-
WASHINGTON	16 676 683	16 676 683	13 021 351	1 063 646	-	-	2 591 686	-
WEST VIRGINIA[1]	5 814 585	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
WISCONSIN[16]	2 731 957	2 731 957	(NA)	(NA)	-	-	2 731 957	-
WYOMING[1]	539 624	539 624	373 413	93 751	-	-	72 460	-

Note: Because of rounding, detail may not add to totals.

[1]Year of valuation other than Jan. 1, 1981; see text table H.

[2]Gross assessed value for Arizona is not available. Each amount shown constitutes "full cash value" after application of percentages based on property classification and minus any applicable partial exemptions, and is therefore net assessed value. Taxes levied against such value are "secondary taxes," those used for debt retirement, budget overrides, and maintenance and operation of special service districts. In contrast, "primary taxes," those used for maintenance and operation of governmental units involved, are levied against "limited property value" after application of percentages based on property classification. This latter value is identical with full cash value for personalty (other than mobile homes), and for centrally assessed mines and utility property (other than railroads).

[3]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard for California valuations became 100 percent, instead of 25 percent, of "full cash value" as that term is defined in article XIII A, section 2, of the California Constitution. When the 100 percent level went into effect, tax rates were adjusted to prevent generation of additional revenues from this change. In addition, business inventories became totally exempt from taxation, effective Mar. 1, 1980.

[4]Georgia assessed values include 1978 data for Monroe County, 1979 data for Spalding County, and 1980 data for Chattahoochee, Gordon, Jeff Davis, and Twiggs Counties. Data for Long and Webster Counties are preliminary for 1981.

[5]Idaho values shown are "market value for assessment purposes" as of Jan. 1, 1981, which values are based on 104.04 percent of market value as of Dec. 31, 1978, in accordance with statutory change effective Jan. 1, 1980.

[6]Iowa personal property assessed values reflect reductions required by title XVI, chapter 427A of the Code of Iowa.

[7]All assessment in Maryland and Montana is performed by a State agency, but assessed values are shown here as "locally assessed" for comparability with data for other States; see text.

[8]Minnesota personal property assessed values shown incorporate application, to assessors' market value estimates, of the statutory percentages and adjustments mandated as part of the Minnesota classification system.

[9]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, the legal standard in Nebraska for assessed values became "actual value," instead of 35 percent thereof.

[10]North Carolina assessed values reported as gross are actually net values for those counties which did not report the amounts of their partial exemptions.

[11]Business inventory and agricultural personal property in Oregon became totally exempt from taxation, effective Jan. 1, 1980.

[12]"Manufacturing property" in South Carolina and Wisconsin is assessed by a State agency. However, to assure comparability with data for other States, the values for such property are shown here as "locally assessed." Additionally, South Carolina motor vehicle valuations, included in personal property, are as of Dec. 31, 1979, and, in Wisconsin, business inventories, manufacturers' materials, and livestock became tax-exempt, effective Jan. 1, 1981.

[13]Effective Jan. 1, 1981, all property in Texas is assessed on the basis of 100 percent of its appraised value. Previously, individual jurisdictions used percentages of appraised value. Assessed values shown include 1980 data for Bastrop, Polk, Presidio, and Smith Counties. Personal property values understated to the extent that intangible personal property of banks and tangible personal property of utilities are included in realty values rather than in personal property values; actual amounts for such items were not available.

[14]Vermont assessed values shown are the "grand list" values, which are based on one percent of fair market value. Fair market value of personal property as of Apr. 1, 1981, was $556,423,000. The tax-exempt portion of gross assessed value was not available.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix A. Legal Basis for Assessed Value of Realty by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods

State	Basis
Alabama	Fair and reasonable market value. The following percentages thereof apply for the types of realty indicated: Class 1--Utilities used in business--30 percent. Class 2--Property not otherwise classified--20 percent. Class 3--Agricultural, forest, historic, and owner-occupied, single-family residential--10 percent. Class 3 property may, at the request of the owner, be valued on the basis of current use.
Alaska	Full and true value. Unimproved, unpatented mining claims and nonproducing patented mining claims upon which the improvements have become valueless are assessed at $200 for each 20 acres or fraction thereof.
Arizona	Property tax reform legislation approved by the voters on May 6, 1980, created two distinct valuation bases for levying ad valorem property taxes in the State of Arizona. They are referred to as "limited" valuation and "full cash" valuation. LIMITED PROPERTY VALUE The 1981 limited property valuations were derived on an individual parcel basis by using one of the following methods: (A) For parcels in existence in both 1980 and 1981 that did not undergo modification through construction, destruction, split, assemblage or change in use, limited values were established at the lesser of 1980 limited value increased by 10 percent or the 1981 full cash value. (B) For parcels that were subject to modification through construction, destruction or change in use, and for new parcels including those that were the result of split or assemblage, limited values were established by applying the ratio of full cash to limited value for existing properties of the same use or legal classification. The limited and full cash value of personal property other than mobile homes and for centrally valued property (utilities and mines) other than railroads, are synonymous. Taxes levied against the net assessed amount of limited property valuation are referred to as primary taxes, and the dollars generated are used for the maintenance and operation of counties, cities, school districts, community college districts, and the State. FULL CASH VALUE Full cash value is synonymous with market value. Taxes levied against the net assessed amount of full cash value are referred to as secondary taxes, and the dollars generated are used for debt retirement, voter-approved budget overrides, and the maintenance and operation of special service districts such as sanitary, fire, and road improvement districts. All property, both real and personal, is assigned a classification to determine assessed valuation for taxation purposes. Each legal class is defined by property use and is associated with a statutory percentage. The applicable percentage is multiplied by full cash and limited value of the property to obtain applicable assessed valuations. Legal classes are defined as follows: Class One--Producing mine and mining claim property, standing timber, and airline flight property--52 percent of its limited and full cash property value (38 percent effective 1983; after 1983, 2 percent reduction annually until 1990; 1990 and thereafter, 25 percent). Class Two--Telephone and telegraph operating property, gas, water and electric utility company property, and pipeline company property--44 percent of its limited and full cash property value (38 percent effective 1983; after 1983, 2 percent reduction annually until 1990; 1990 and thereafter, 25 percent). Class Three--Commercial and industrial property not included in other classes--25 percent of its limited and full cash property value. Class Four--Agricultural properties and vacant land--16 percent of its limited and full cash property value. Class Five--Residential property not used for profit--10 percent of its limited and full cash property value. Class Six--Leased or rented residential property--18 percent of its limited and full cash property value. Class Seven--Railroad operating property, and private car company property--36 percent of limited property value and 35 percent of full cash property value (effective 1982, 34 percent of limited property value and 33 percent of full cash value). Class Eight--Historic property as defined in Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-139--8 percent of its limited and full cash property value (5 percent, effective 1982). Class C--Producing oil and gas company property--100 percent of its limited and full cash property value.
Arkansas	20 percent of true and full market or actual value.
California	100 percent of: "Full cash value" or "fair market value," defined in terms of base year or when change of ownership occurs, as the amount of cash or its equivalent which property would bring if exposed for sale in the open market under conditions in which neither buyer nor seller could take advantage of the exigencies of the other and both with knowledge of all the uses and purposes to which the property is adapted and for which it is capable of being used and of the enforceable restrictions upon those uses and purposes.[1] Prior to January 1, 1981, 25 percent of "full cash value" was the statutory assessment level.
Colorado	30 percent of actual value[2] (effective January 1, 1985, residential realty, including mobile home parks but not including motels and hotels, to be assessed at 21 percent and all other realty to be assessed at 29 percent). For tax years 1982 through 1986, rail transportation property separately classified; such property to be valued based on the ratio of all commercial and industrial property in Colorado in the previous year. Such ratio to be determined by sales assessment ratio study (to be conducted by rail transportation companies) which sets forth the ratio that the valuation for assessment of all commercial and industrial property in Colorado has to the true market value thereof. Effective January 1, 1987, rail transportation property ratio to be 30 percent.
Connecticut	Not exceeding 100 percent of true and actual or fair market value. All municipalities and towns are required to adopt a uniform rate of 70 percent of true and actual value beginning with each jurisdiction's next revaluation. As of September 1981, 138 towns and cities were at the 70 percent level.
Delaware	True value in money.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Basis
District of Columbia	Estimated market value. Property is classified into the following categories for application of differential rates: Class 1--Owner occupied, improved residential real property of not more than five dwelling units or single unit condominiums, or residential realty of a cooperative housing association if at least 50 percent of the units are occupied by members or shareholders. Class 2--Not owner occupied property that would otherwise qualify as class 1, including any cooperative housing association residential realty where less than 50 percent of units are owned by members or shareholders, and improved residential real property of more than five dwelling units. Class 3--Real property not in classes 1 and 2.
Florida	Full cash value.
Georgia	40 percent of fair market value.
Hawaii	60 percent of fair market value (effective January 1, 1983, 100 percent of fair market value).
Idaho	The initiative-based statutory change which limited property taxes to 1 percent of actual market value effective November 7, 1978, also required that all property be reappraised or indexed by June 1, 1980, with estimated market values as of December 31, 1978. This valuation began with the January 1, 1980, tax year; valuation adjusted to compensate for the rate of inflation not to exceed 2 percent per year. Chapter 224, Laws 1981, amended to the 1978 changes by deleting references to 1978 valuations and the 2 percent annual adjustment factor, effective retroactively to January 1, 1981; subsequent valuations based on market value.
Illinois	33-1/3 percent of fair cash value, except in counties of 200,000 or more which classify property. Intercounty equalization of values to the statutory level is achieved by application of multiplier factors. A factor is set annually for each county by the Department of Revenue and is applicable to each property with the exception of State-assessed and farm property. Cook County classifies property into seven categories for assessment purposes: Class 1--Unimproved land--22 percent of fair cash value. Class 2--Single-family homes, condominiums, cooperatives, apartment buildings of six units or less--16 percent of fair cash value. Class 3--Improved residential real estate not in class 2--33 percent of fair cash value. Class 4--Real estate of nonprofit organizations other than residential real estate--30 percent of fair cash value. Class 5--All real estate not included in classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, or 7--40 percent of fair cash value. Class 6--New construction in areas in need of industrial development--16 percent of fair cash value (limited to 13 years). Class 7--New construction in areas in need of commercial development--16 percent of fair cash value (limited to 13 years).
Indiana	Just valuation, defined by State as 33-1/3 percent of true cash value. Land classified as wildlife habitat is assessed at $1 per acre.
Iowa	100 percent of actual value; beginning in 1977 and biennially thereafter, such values subject to equalizing adjustments by the Department of Revenue on the basis of property category (agricultural, urban residential, rural residential, and commercial) and jurisdiction. For 1981 equalization adjustments ranged from plus 40 percent to minus 49 percent although most were positive. Beginning in fiscal 1978, rollback adjustments by property class statewide were instituted. Rollback percentages for 1981 were as follows: Agricultural, 95.7039; residential, 64.7793; commercial and railroad, 87.8423; industrial, 96.9619.
Kansas	30 percent of fair market value in money.
Kentucky	Fair cash value.
Louisiana	Assessment at the following percentages of fair market value or use value as indicated, for following classifications of property: Land--10 percent of fair market value. Improvements for residential purposes--10 percent of fair market value. Qualifying agricultural, horticultural, marsh and timber land--10 percent of use value. Public service property except land and property of electric cooperatives--25 percent of fair market value. Other property including property of electric cooperatives (except land)--15 percent of fair market value.
Maine	Just value.
Maryland	Full cash value multiplied by a growth factor established annually by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation. For 1981 assessed values, this adjustment factor was set at .468252 (for 1982 at .456343; for 1983 at .442617). Agricultural property valued at full cash value less an inflation allowance of 50 percent of current value; no growth factor applicable.
Massachusetts	Full and fair cash valuation. Real property is grouped into the following four classes for application of differential tax rates: Class 1--Residential. Class 2--Open space. Class 3--Commercial. Class 4--Industrial. Beginning with fiscal 1982, total property taxes (on realty and personalty) may not exceed 2-1/2 percent of the full and fair cash valuation, except for any overrides created in accordance with specific statutes.
Michigan	50 percent of true cash value. Section 211.34c, Michigan Compiled Laws, mandated the classification of real property into six categories (Agricultural, Commercial, Industrial, Residential, Timber-Cutover, and Developmental) for assessment purposes; separate equalization of the totals of the six classes is required beginning with the 1981 equalization.
Minnesota	Percentages of market value, as shown: Class 1--Unmined iron ore--50 percent. Class 1a--Low recovery iron ore--30 to 48.5 percent. Class 1a--Blast furnace products--15 percent.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Basis
Minnesota--Con.	Percentages of market value, as shown--Con. Class 3--Agricultural nonhomestead--19 percent. Class 3--Seasonal residential for recreational purposes. This class also includes certain commercial properties of up to 2 acres used exclusively for recreational purposes in connection with seasonal residential recreational property. The commercial property must be located within 2 miles of the seasonal residential recreational property with which it is used--21 percent. Class 3--Tools, implements, and machinery affixed to public utility realty--33-1/3 percent. Class 3a--Commercial seasonal recreational residential not used more than 200 days per year which include a homestead--12 percent. Class 3b--Agricultural homesteads: first $54,000 market value (for 1984 valuations, the first $62,000 of market value)--14 percent; excess of market value over $54,000 (over $62,000 for 1984 valuations)--19 percent. Class 3c--Residential homesteads: first $27,000 market value--16 percent; second $27,000--22 percent; excess of market value over $54,000--28 percent. For 1984 valuations: first $31,000--17 percent; second $31,000--19 percent; excess over $62,000--30 percent. Class 3cc--Homesteads of blind persons, paraplegic veterans, and permanently and totally disabled: first $35,000 market value--5 percent; second $19,000--22 percent (14 percent if agricultural); excess of market value over $54,000--28 percent (19 percent if agricultural). For 1984 valuations: first $31,000--5 percent; second $31,000--19 percent (14 percent if agricultural); excess over $62,000--30 percent (19 percent if agricultural).[3] Class 3d--Residential nonhomestead, 4 or more units--36 percent (34 percent for 1982 valuations). Class 3dd--Residential nonhomestead, 3 or fewer units--28 percent. Class 3e--Timberland--19 percent. Class 4a--All other realty--43 percent. Class 4b--Realty that is unimproved, noncommercial and not included in any other class--40 percent. Class 4c--Commercial and industrial, and public utility: first $50,000 market value--40 percent; excess of market value over $50,000--43 percent. Unclassified--Type I and II apartments (structures): four or less stories--33-1/3 percent; five or more stories--25 percent. Unclassified--Title II National Housing: in cities under 10,000 population--5 percent; in cities of 10,000 or greater population--20 percent. Unclassified--Parking ramp and refinery property: first $50,000 market value--40 percent; excess of market value over $50,000--43 percent.
Mississippi	True value ("... in proportion to its value..." per State constitution). An amendment to the Mississippi Constitution, approved by the electorate November 2, 1982, provides for the classification of property as follows: Class I--Real property except realty in Class IV. Class II--Personal property except for motor vehicles and personalty included in Class IV. Class III--Motor vehicles. Class IV--Public utility property excluding airlines, railroads, and motor vehicles. Assessment ratios (percentages of true value) to be established for each class by subsequent legislative action. The ratio of any one class of property limited to not more than double the ratio for any other class.
Missouri	33-1/3 percent of true value in money. For tax purposes, property is divided into the following classes: Class 1--Real property. Subclass 1--Residential. Subclass 2--Agricultural and horticultural (valuation based on use). Subclass 3--Industrial, commercial, railroad, and all other not in subclasses 1 and 2. Class 2--Tangible personal property. Class 3--Intangible personal property. Although all property is subject to the same assessment standard, differential rates may be applicable.
Montana	By classes, as follows, percentages of market value unless otherwise indicated: Class 3--Agricultural land--30 percent of productive capacity. Class 4--Land and improvements (unless otherwise classified) and trailers and mobile homes used as permanent dwellings--8.55 percent. The first $35,000 of improvements to realty with appurtenant land owned by qualified individuals--4.275 percent (effective October 1, 1981--8.55 percent multiplied by a percentage figure (0 percent to 90 percent) based on income of qualified individual(s)). Golf courses meeting specified criteria--4.275 percent. Class 5--Property of cooperative rural electrical and telephone associations (except that in class 7); property used primarily in the production of gasohol during construction and the first three years of its operation; new industrial property used by new industries during the first 3 years of their operation--3 percent. Class 7--Property used and owned by persons, firms, corporations, or other organizations that are engaged in the business of furnishing telephone communications exclusively to rural areas or to rural areas and cities and towns of 800 persons or less; all property owned by cooperative rural electrical and cooperative rural telephone associations that serve less than 95 percent of the electricity consumers or telephone users within the incorporated limits of a city or town--8 percent. Class 10--Cable television systems; centrally assessed utility allocations after deduction of locally assessed properties except those in classes 5 and 7 such property deleted from class 10 (effective October 1, 1981)--16 percent. Class 11--Centrally assessed electric power companies' allocations and natural gas companies' allocations--12 percent; other specified centrally assessed utility allocations--15 percent.
Nebraska	Actual value (effective January 1, 1981); previously 35 percent of actual value.
Nevada	35 percent of taxable value. For fiscal year 1981-1982, all property (with specified exclusions) assessed on the basis of 35 percent of "adjusted cash value." Such value is computed by multiplying full cash value by a factor from the following table:

Year of appraisal	Factor for residential improvements	Factor for other property
1976-1977 or earlier	1.416	1.438
1977-1978	1.190	1.313
1978-1979	1.000	1.199
1979-1980	0.840	1.095
1980-1981	0.706	1.000

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Basis
Nevada--Con.	The intent of this procedure was to adjust values for properties appraised during fiscal year 1980-81 to the same level as those appraised in earlier years. Patented mines assessed at not less than $500. Effective July 1, 1983, all property subject to taxation to be assessed at 35 percent of taxable value. Such taxable value shall be obtained by appraising full cash value of vacant land (considering likely legal uses plus improved land in its present use); plus the improvements on the land to be valued by subtracting from the cost of replacement all applicable depreciation.
New Hampshire	Full and true value in money.
New Jersey	Taxable value, that percentage of "true value," not lower than 20 percent or higher than 100 percent (the particular level being a multiple of 10) as is established by each county board of taxation on or before April 1, of the year preceding the tax year. Such level is then applied uniformly for the calendar year next succeeding the year of establishment. In the event a county board of taxation fails initially to establish any level, then the level is 50 percent of true value until the county acts.
New Mexico	Taxable value, set at 33-1/3 percent of market value. Specified valuation procedures. Effective January 1, 1982, property classified as either residential or nonresidential.
New York	Full value. "Full value" standard found in Section 306 of Real Property Tax Law repealed effective December 3, 1981. New York State Constitution (article XVI, section 2) mandates that realty may not be assessed in excess of full value; this standard now governs realty assessments. Effective December 3, 1981, property in New York City and Nassau County classified as follows: Class 1--One, two, and three family residential real property. Class 2--Residential property not in class 1. Class 3--Utility real property. Class 4--Real property not in classes 1, 2, or 3. Assessing units other than New York City and Nassau County may classify property into two classes, one being "homestead class," consisting of one, two, or three family residential real property.
North Carolina	True value in money. Historic property at 50 percent of true value upon application.
North Dakota	Effective March 30, 1981, and applicable to assessments made on or after January 1, 1981, property was classified into the following categories subject to taxation at indicated percentages of "assessed value" (assessed value being 50 percent of true and full value): Residential--9 percent. Agricultural--10 percent. Commercial and railroad--10 percent. Centrally assessed property except railroads--14 percent for the 1981 tax year; 13 percent for the 1982 tax year; 12 percent for the 1983 tax year; 11 percent for the 1984 tax year; 10 percent for the 1985 tax year and thereafter.
Ohio	Taxable value, which may not exceed 35 percent of true value in money. Property is classified into two classes, residential/agricultural and nonresidential/nonagricultural, solely for the purpose of calculating tax credit amounts.
Oklahoma	Not greater than 35 percent of fair cash value for the highest and best use for which such property was actually used, or was previously classified for use, during the calendar year next preceding the first day of January on which the assessment is made. For the tax year 1982 and subsequently, the Oklahoma Supreme Court has ordered that all taxable property be assessed at 12 percent of taxable value with permissible intercounty deviations of not more than 3 percent above or below mean ratio (OKL., 646 P. 2d 1269).
Oregon	100 percent of true cash value; i.e., market value as of assessment date. For assessment years beginning January 1, 1980, values are classified by counties into homestead and nonhomestead categories and are subject to equalizing adjustments by the Department of Revenue if statewide increases exceed specified limits. 1981 adjustments have been set at 81.6 percent of true cash value for homestead and 84.4 percent of true cash value for all other property.
Pennsylvania	Actual value; but in fourth to eighth class counties, not to exceed 75 percent of actual value. Legislative changes effective December 13, 1982, provided that property assessment in first through third class counties be at an established predetermined ratio not exceeding 100 percent of actual value; in fourth through eighth class counties at an established predetermined ratio not exceeding 75 percent of actual value. Actual value defined as either current market value or an adopted base year market value.
Rhode Island	Full and fair cash value, or a uniform percentage not to exceed 100 percent.
South Carolina	The following percentages of fair market value: Realty owned by or leased to manufacturers and utilities--10.5 percent. Residences and up to five contiguous acres--4 percent. Agricultural realty (subject to specified exceptions)--4 percent. Realty owned by or leased to transportation companies--9.5 percent. All other realty--6 percent.
South Dakota	Taxable value, which cannot exceed 60 percent of true and full value in money. All property is classified into two classes: Agricultural and nonagricultural. All improvements on realty devoted to nationally chartered fraternal organizations are assessed at 65 percent of taxable value.
Tennessee	Percentages of actual value, as follows: Public utilities--55 percent. Industrial and commercial--40 percent. Farm and residential--25 percent.
Texas	True and full value in money (100 percent of its appraised value, effective January 1, 1981).
Utah	20 percent of reasonable fair cash value (effective January 1, 1983, residential property is assessed at 15 percent of fair cash value). Effective May 12, 1981, values for locally assessed realty rolled back to January 1, 1978, levels. All metalliferous mines and mining claims, both placer and rock in place, are assessed at $10 per acre plus two times the average net annual proceeds for the three preceding calendar years.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

APPENDIX A—Continued

State	Basis
Vermont	Listed value, which is 100 percent of appraisal value (the latter is fair market value). One percent of the listed value is entered in grand list. That grand list value (1 percent of listed value) is the value against which the tax rate is applied.
Virginia	Fair market value.
Washington	100 percent of true and fair value in money.
West Virginia	True and actual value, but four classes of property, each subject to a specified rate limit (other than for debt service) as follows, amounts per $100 of assessed value: I--personalty--50 cents. II--owner-occupied residential property, including farms--$1.00. III--all property outside municipalities, other than I and II--$1.50. IV--all property inside municipalities, other than I and II--$2.00. A change in article X of the West Virginia Constitution was approved by the electorate on November 2, 1982, to provide as the legal standard for property valuation 60 percent of value. Full value standard retained until completion of statewide reappraisal; subsequent phase-in of new values over a 10-year period.
Wisconsin	Full value which could ordinarily be obtained for the property at private sale.
Wyoming	Fair value in conformity with values and procedures prescribed by State Board of Equalization.
Puerto Rico	Actual and effective value, obtained by utilizing any of the methods and factors recognized with respect to property valuation and assessment, so that assessments for each of the different types of property may be uniform.
Virgin Islands	60 percent of estimated actual values.

[1]In accordance with article XIII of the constitution, approved June 8, 1978, the base year value is the above full cash value as of the lien date in 1975, or the subsequent date when the property is purchased, is newly constructed, or is the subject of a change in ownership (as defined according to law). For each lien date after date conditioning establishment of base year, value shall reflect percentage change in cost of living, except that such value shall not reflect an increase in excess of 2 percent of full cash value on preceding lien date. With respect to changes in ownership occurring, and new construction completed on and after July 1, 1983, reappraisal takes place immediately and assessment on the "Supplemental Roll" apply, commencing with 1983-84 assessment year and each assessment year thereafter. Under 1983 legislation, if change in ownership occurs or new construction is completed on or after Mar. 1 but on or before May 31, two supplemental assessments go on the supplemental roll. The first such assessment is the difference between new base year value and taxable value on roll being prepared. If change in ownership occurs or new construction is completed on or after June 1 but before succeeding Mar. 1, then the supplemental assessment placed on supplemental roll is the difference between new base year value and taxable value on current roll.

[2]Effective Jan. 1, 1979, property may not be assigned a value exceeding 85 percent of average sale price of comparable properties within the same class or subclass.

[3]The special class 3cc assessment provisions are used to determine the reduced assessment credit only. The appropriate class 3b or 3c assessment provisions are used to determine the taxable value for such properties.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix B. Legal Basis for Assessed Value of Tangible Personal Property by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods

State	Basis
Alabama	Percentages of fair and reasonable value in three classes, same as for realty. A fourth class of property composed of passenger automobiles and noncommercial pickup trucks is assessed at 15 percent.
Alaska	Full and true value same as realty. Business inventories may be assessed on basis of average monthly value or value as of January 1.
Arizona	Eight classes of personal property, same as realty classes 1 to 8, with levels at 8 percent to 52 percent of limited or full cash value (see appendix A).
Arkansas	20 percent of usual selling price or average value (equivalent as to specified types of personalty, to true and full or actual value). Inventories assessed on average value.
California	100 percent of "full cash value" or "fair market value." Prior to January 1, 1981, 25 percent of "full cash value" was the statutory assessment level.
Colorado	Unless otherwise specified, 30 percent of actual value. Stocks of merchandise and unprocessed farm products at 5 percent of actual value. Livestock at 5 percent of actual value. Agricultural equipment, 5 percent. For tax years 1982 through 1986, rail transportation property separately classified; such property to be valued based on the ratio of all commercial and industrial property in Colorado in the previous year. Effective January 1, 1987, rail transportation property ratio to be 30 percent.
Connecticut	Not to exceed 100 percent of true and actual or fair market value, but unless otherwise provided at 70 percent of same.
Delaware	Not applicable.
District of Columbia	Full and true value in money.
Florida	Full cash value, same as realty (effective January 1, 1982, inventories exempt from ad valorem taxation).
Georgia	40 percent of fair market value, same as realty.
Hawaii	Not applicable.
Idaho	Market value (see appendix A for legislative history). Personalty coming into State April 1 and after, at fractions of full assessed value.
Illinois	Not applicable (effective January 1, 1979, personal property exempt).
Indiana	33-1/3 percent of true cash value, same as realty. Inventory may be assessed at average value.
Iowa	100 percent of actual value, same as realty; inventory is assessed at average value. Personal property not subject to equalization adjustments and application of rollback factors. Chapter 427A of the code of Iowa requires the reduction of personal property values by an assessor when the total assessed value for his or her jurisdiction exceeds the total assessed value of personal property as of January 1, 1973, excluding livestock. The assessor must reduce each personal property assessment by the same percentage so that the total assessed value of all personal property in his or her jurisdiction does not exceed the total assessed value of all personal property in the jurisdiction as of January 1, 1973, excluding livestock.
Kansas	30 percent of fair market value in money, same as realty.
Kentucky	Fair cash value, same as realty.
Louisiana	Percentages of fair market value, same as realty. Stocks of merchandise at average inventory value.
Maine	Just value, same as realty.
Maryland	Personal property assessed at full cash value, without growth factor adjustment (unlike realty). Stock in trade assessed on basis of lower of cost or market. Exemption of personal property either in part or in full is permitted at the option of the localities; currently four counties have exempted all personal property from ad valorem taxation.
Massachusetts	Fair cash valuation, same as realty.
Michigan	50 percent of true cash value, same as realty.
Minnesota	Percentages of market value, as specified: Class 2a--Mobile homes--28 percent; mobile homes meeting specified criteria may be assessed based upon appropriate realty classification. Class 3--Structures on leased public lands in rural areas, leased agricultural real estate on exempt land--21 percent. Class 3--Tools, implements, and machinery affixed to public utility personalty--33-1/3 percent. Class 4--Structures on leased public lands in urban areas or on railroad operating right of way; all other leased realty on exempt land: first $50,000 market value--40 percent; excess of market value over $50,000--43 percent.
Mississippi	True value, same as realty. For recent changes involving classification see appendix A.
Missouri	33-1/3 percent of true value in money, same as realty. Grain and other agricultural crops in an unmanufactured condition assessed at 1/2 of 1 percent of true value in money. For classification of property, see appendix A.
Montana	By classes, as follows, percentages of market value unless otherwise indicated: Class 1--Certain mineral exploration interests--100 percent; certain annual net proceeds of mines and mining claims--100 percent of net proceeds less specified expenses.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Basis
Montana--Con.	By classes, as follows, percentages of market value unless otherwise indicated--Con. Class 2--Annual gross proceeds of metal mines--3 percent of annual gross proceeds; annual gross proceeds of underground coal mines--33-1/3 percent of annual gross proceeds; annual gross proceeds of coal mines using the strip-mining method--45 percent of annual gross proceeds. Class 5--Property of cooperative rural electrical and telephone associations except property specifically enumerated in class 7; truck campers, motor homes, and camping and travel trailers, including fifth-wheel trailers, owned by and actually used primarily by a qualifying person 60 years of age or older (such property deleted from class 5 effective October 1, 1981); new industrial property used by new industries during the first 3 years of their operations; property used primarily in the production of gasohol during construction and the first 3 years of its operation; air and water pollution control equipment--3 percent. Class 6--Business inventories (exempt effective January 1, 1983); livestock and poultry and the unprocessed products of both (formerly in class 7); specified unprocessed agricultural products--4 percent. Class 7--All property used and owned by persons, firms, corporations, or other organizations that are engaged in the business of furnishing telephone communications exclusively to rural areas or to rural areas and cities and towns of 800 persons or less; all property owned by cooperative rural electrical and cooperative rural telephone associations that serve less than 95 percent of the electricity consumers or telephone users within the incorporated limits of a city or town; electric transformers and meters; electric light and power substation machinery; natural gas measuring and regulating station equipment, meters, and compressor station machinery owned by noncentrally assessed public utilities; and tools used in the repair and maintenance of this property; and tools, implements, and machinery used to repair and maintain machinery not used for manufacturing and mining purposes--8 percent. Class 8--All agricultural implements and equipment; all mining machinery, fixtures, equipment, tools, and supplies except those included in class 5, and coal and ore haulers; all manufacturing machinery, fixtures, equipment, tools, and supplies except those included in class 5; motorcycles; watercraft; light utility and boat trailers; aircraft; all-terrain vehicles; snowmobiles (such property deleted from class 8 effective October 1, 1981); harness, saddlery, and other tack equipment; and all other machinery except that specifically included in another class--11 percent. Class 9--Autos, buses, trucks, camping and travel trailers, truck campers and motor homes (effective October 1, 1981, aforementioned categories deleted from class 9 except for buses and trucks with rated capacity of between 3/4 to 1-1/2 tons); stock trailers; truck toppers weighing more than 300 pounds; X-ray and medical and dental equipment; citizens band radios and mobile telephones; furniture and fixtures used in commercial establishments--13 percent. Class 10--Radio and television equipment; cable television systems; trucks having a rated capacity of more than 1-1/2 tons, including those prorated under 15-24-102; trailers, except those included in classes 8 and 9, including those prorated under 15-24-102; theater projectors and sound equipment; centrally assessed utility allocations after deductions of locally assessed properties except those in classes 5 and 7 (such property deleted from class 10 effective October 1, 1981); coal and ore haulers; all other property not specifically classified--16 percent.
Nebraska	Actual value, same as realty (effective January 1, 1981); previously 35 percent of actual value.
Nevada	35 percent of taxable value, same as realty. Unlike realty, no application of factors to fiscal year 1981-1982 personalty values. Business inventories, manufacturers inventories, and livestock are assessed at 14 percent of taxable value for 1981-82; 7 percent for 1982-83; exempt subsequent to 1982-83.
New Hampshire	Not applicable (effective April 1, 1981, personal property exempt).
New Jersey	Taxable value, that percentage of "true value" corresponding to the average ratio of assessed to true value of real property. "True value" of depreciable business personal property calculated at not less than 20 percent of original cost.
New Mexico	Taxable value, set at 33-1/3 percent of market value, same as realty. Specified valuation procedures.
New York	Not applicable.
North Carolina	True value in money, same as realty.
North Dakota	Not applicable.
Ohio	The following percentages of true value in money: Merchants' and manufacturers' inventories--35 percent. Other personalty used in business--40 percent for 1981; 38 percent for 1982; 36 percent for 1983; 35 percent for 1984 and subsequent years. Rural electric company property except motor vehicles--50 percent. Machinery of electric power plants--100 percent.
Oklahoma	35 percent of fair cash value, except stocks of goods assessed on basis of certain average values. For the tax year 1982 and subsequently the Oklahoma Supreme Court has ordered that all taxable property be assessed at 12 percent of taxable value with permissible intercounty deviations of not more than 3 percent above or below mean ratio (OKL., 646 P. 2nd 1269).
Oregon	100 percent of true cash value, same as realty, except that taxable ships and vessels with Oregon as home port registry are assessed at 40 percent of true cash value; those in intercoastal or foreign trade are assessed at 4 percent of true cash value. Beginning January 1, 1980, values classified and subject to possible equalization adjustments (see appendix A).
Pennsylvania	Not applicable.
Rhode Island	Full and fair cash value, or uniform percentage not to exceed 100 percent.
South Carolina	The following percentages of fair market value: Business inventories--6 percent. Personal property owned by or leased to manufacturers and utilities--10-1/2 percent. Personal property owned by or leased to transportation companies--9-1/2 percent. All other personalty--10-1/2 percent; except commercial fishing boats and power driven farm machinery--5 percent.
South Dakota	Not applicable.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

APPENDIX B—Continued

State	Basis
Tennessee	Tangible personalty, percentages of actual value, as follows: Public utilities--55 percent. Commercial and industrial--30 percent. Other--5 percent.
Texas	True and full value in money.
Utah	20 percent of reasonable fair cash value, same as realty.
Vermont	Listed value which is 100 percent of appraisal value (the latter is fair market value). One percent of the listed value of personalty is entered in the grand list. That grand list value (1 percent of listed value) is the value against which the tax rate is applied. Business personalty is appraised, at the taxpayer's option, at either 50 percent of cost (10 percent if fully depreciated) or net book value (10 percent if fully depreciated).
Virginia	Fair market value.
Washington	100 percent of true and fair value in money, same as realty, except as follows: Animals, birds, insects, crops at percentages of true and fair value declining from 20 percent for January 1, 1981, values to zero for 1983 values. Equivalent phasing out applicable to business inventories via tax credit, exemption 1983.
West Virginia	True and actual value, but four classes of property, same as realty, each subject to a specified rate limit. A change in article X of the West Virginia Constitution was approved by the electorate on November 2, 1982, to provide as the legal standard for property valuation 60 percent of value. Full value standard retained until completion of statewide reappraisal; subsequent phase-in of new values over a 10-year period.
Wisconsin	True cash value.
Wyoming	Full value, same as realty.
Puerto Rico	Actual and effective value by utilizing any of the methods and factors recognized with respect to property valuation and assessment so that the assessments for each of the different types of property may be uniform.
Virgin Islands	Not applicable.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/ucl.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix C. Provisions for Assessment of Property for Agricultural, Open Space, and Associated Benefited Uses by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods

State	Provision affecting assessed value applicable to explicitly benefited uses	Remarks
Alabama	Deferred taxation	Applies to class III property only (agricultural, forest, historic, and single-family, owner-occupied properties). Owner must request benefited use assessment. Deferred tax activates if conversion to nonqualifying use occurs within 2 years of property sale. Such tax is based on greater of sales price, or appraised market value as of October 1 in each of 3 years succeeding conversion date.
Alaska	Deferred taxation	Upon application, farmlands may be assessed at full and true value for farm use. Deferred tax is an amount equal to the additional tax at the current mill levy together with 8 percent interest for the preceding 7 years.
Arizona	Use value assessment only	Land used for agricultural purposes valued via the income approach (capitalized average annual net cash rental) without allowance for urban or other nonagricultural market influences. Such rental (excluding real property and sales taxes) determined through typical arm's length rental agreements for the preceding 5 years for comparable agricultural land.
Arkansas	Use value assessment only	Current use provision applies to agricultural, farm, or timber use land; application required. Effective March 28, 1981, such lands will be valued on the basis of productivity of soil.
California	Use value assessment only	Numerous provisions including: Land zoned for single-family homes or agricultural purposes on which is situated owner-occupied single-family dwelling valued no greater than value of its use as a site for such dwelling. Nonprofit golf courses of 10 or more acres valued for that use, plus any mines or minerals. Timberland valued on basis of "approximate grade values" plus value attributable to any compatible uses of land.
	Contracts and agreements	Historical property under agreement of at least 20 years valued using capitalization of income method. Open space land dedicated to various uses (e.g., agricultural, wetlands, recreation, wild life habitats, timberland preserves, historical, or cultural purposes) under an enforceable restriction valued using specified methods; restriction generally for minimum 10-year period. Cancellation of contract or rezoning of timberland preserve may result in deferred taxes or tax recoupment fee.
Colorado	Use value assessment only	Agricultural land (exclusive of building improvements) valued on basis of earning or productive capacity during reasonable period, capitalized at 11.5 percent.
Connecticut	Use value assessment only (sometimes classified as deferred taxation, because of conveyance tax cited).	Application required for classification as farm, forest, or open space land. Additional conveyance tax imposed if land sold or use changed within 10 years of (1) classification in case of open space or (2) initial acquisition or classification in case of farm and forest lands. Tax ranges, on sale or change of use, from 10 percent of sales price in first year, to 1 percent in 10th year; exemptions provided.
Delaware	Deferred taxation	Land of not less than 5 acres, used for agricultural, horticultural, or forest purposes for 2 previous years, valued on the basis of such use; $500 minimum sales and application required. Agricultural use land changed to nonagricultural uses subject to "rollback taxes" equal to deferred taxes for up to 5 preceding years. Effective July 11, 1983, eligibility changed to 10 acres minimum and/or annual agricultural income of $10,000.
District of Columbia	Contracts and agreements	Current use assessment is available for designated historic buildings if such assessment is less than market value. Owners may enter into agreements of at least 20 years for continued maintenance in return for tax relief. Provides for recovery of back taxes with interest if conditions not fulfilled.
Florida	Use value assessment only	Upon application, land may be classified as agricultural land (including forestry) and assessed solely on the basis of its agricultural use. Contiguous urban development or a sales price three or more times an agricultural use assessed value creates presumption that land is not used primarily for bona fide agricultural purposes.
	Contracts and agreements	Owners of environmentally endangered lands, or lands used for outdoor recreational or parks purposes may convey their development rights to the county or an internal improvement trust fund in return for assessments incorporating such use and lack of development rights. Deferred taxes include tax differential plus 6 percent interest per year.
Georgia	Contracts and agreements	Effective April 8, 1983, property devoted to bona fide agricultural purposes (excluding residence value) is eligible for preferential assessment. Covenant for agricultural use must be for minimum of 10 years. Graduated penalties, plus interest, are specified for premature termination of agreement.
Hawaii	Deferred taxation	Applies to land classified and used for agriculture. If owner requests certain zoning changes, or subdivides to parcels of 5 acres or less, owner becomes liable for deferred tax on difference between taxes paid and taxes due on higher use assessed value, plus annual 10 percent penalty. Tax due within 60 days of conversion, unless owner dedicates land within 1 year of conversion.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Provision affecting assessed value applicable to explicitly benefited uses	Remarks
Hawaii--Con.	Contracts and agreements.....	Applies to land dedicated to agricultural or ranching use in agricultural, rural, conservation, or urban districts, for a minimum of 10 or 20 years. Assessment is on basis of such use, or 50 percent thereof if land is within agricultural district. Failure to observe restrictions means tax liability for tax differential plus penalty of 10 percent per year. Other provisions relate to land dedicated to golf courses, single-family, owner-occupied residential use, and to land classified as "wasteland," all assessed on basis of such uses.
Idaho.....	Use value assessment only.....	Land devoted to agriculture per specified criteria may be classified as agricultural property; this excludes land used for pleasure or available as part of a platted subdivision. Upon application, lands having no commercial timber but suitable for reforestation assessed at $1 per acre. Owner-occupied residential property in area zoned for other uses is assessed on basis of residential use only.
Illinois.....	Use value assessment only.....	Upon application, land used for single-family residence, meeting specified conditions, and located in National Historic District or municipal landmark area assessed at 1979 valuation, effective January 1, 1981; local option to participate. Upon application, historic property with certificate of rehabilitation assessed no more than at prerenovation value for an 8-year period; local option.
	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, real property used for farming or agricultural purposes and at least 10 acres in size, valued on basis of such use but no more than value as single-family residential real property. Two standards are available for qualification as agricultural for benefited use assessment. If use changes to something not qualifying, there is deferred tax on difference between benefited use value and conventional assessed value, for 3 preceding years, plus 5 percent interest. Upon application, land used for open space or for airports in 3 previous years is assessed on basis of such use. Same deferred tax as above when use changes.
	Special note.....	At least for 1981 assessed values, the homestead part of a farm, namely the home and its surrounding site, was not subject to benefited use assessment but was subject to the applicable county multiplier. Remaining parts of the property involved were eligible for benefited use assessment. See section 20-g and 20-e of the Illinois Revenue Act, as amended.
Indiana.....	Use value assessment only.....	Land is assessed as agricultural land as long as it is devoted to such use.
	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, forest land of at least 10 acres with no dwelling is assessed at $1 per acre. Deferred tax provision applies to the lesser of (1) tax differential or (2) "withdrawal" assessment minus initial assessment augmented by any increase due to construction of ditch or levee. Upon application, land used as wildlife habitats of at least 15 acres with no dwelling assessed at $1 per acre; similar deferred tax provision.
Iowa.....	Use value assessment only.....	Productivity and net earning capacity constitute the valuation basis for agricultural lands, except that dwellings on agricultural realty are assessed on a market value basis, effective with 1981 assessments. Forest reservations of at least 2 acres are assessed at $14.82 per acre; fruit tree reservations of 1 to 10 acres assessed at same rate but for an 8-year period.
Kansas.....	Deferred taxation.....	Agricultural land may be assessed on the basis of actual or potential agricultural income or productivity. Recoupment of tax differential is possible if qualifying use ends.
Kentucky.....	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, agricultural or horticultural lands (excluding residences) meeting minimum acreage and specified gross income levels in 3 of 5 preceding years, may be assessed according to the land's value for agricultural or horticultural use. Upon change in use, deferred taxes for current year and preceding 2 tax years become due.
Louisiana.....	Contracts and agreements.....	Agricultural, horticultural, marsh, or timber lands meeting specified conditions may be assessed on basis of use value. Sales price four times land use value assessment creates presumption that land no longer is used for such purposes. Buildings of historical architectural importance may be similarly valued on a use basis.
Maine.....	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, cropland, farmland (any tract or tracts of at least 10 contiguous acres), farm woodland, open space land, orchard land, and pastureland may be valued on current use value for agricultural or open space purposes. A change to nonqualifying use activates a deferred tax, in the year of disqualification, on the difference between benefited use and conventional valuation, plus a penalty. In addition, forest products assessed on basis of potential productivity ("tree growth tax"). Deferred tax is based on which of 2 specified methods produces the greater liability (5-year period involved).
Maryland.....	Deferred taxation.....	Land actively devoted to farm or agricultural uses, and marshland are assessed on basis of such uses. Subdivided parcels under certain conditions are not disqualified from agricultural use assessment. Conversion to nonqualifying use subjects land to a development tax equal to 10 percent of the difference between the agricultural and nonagricultural use assessments. (Development tax on farmland rezoned for nonfarm uses was replaced by an agricultural transfer tax, effective July 1, 1981.) Upon application, land designated for development in accordance with governmentally approved plans is assessed as agricultural use land. If rezoned to other uses, deferred tax activates on difference between benefited use assessment and full cash value assessment, but will not exceed 10 percent of "full cash value" assessment.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Provision affecting assessed value applicable to explicitly benefited uses	Remarks
Maryland--Con.	Contracts and agreements.....	Easements to a government or to the Nature Conservancy under agreement to preserve its natural open character are valued on basis which includes such limitations. Lands of at least 50 acres actively devoted to use as country club, subject to other specified qualifying conditions, for period not less than 10 years, are assessed on basis of such use. Deferred tax activates on difference between benefited use and full value assessment (for up to 10 years) upon sale or failure to meet conditions.
Massachusetts.....	Deferred taxation.....	Local option permits assessment of agricultural or horticultural lands based upon such use. Land must be at least 5 acres and have been in benefited use category for 2 immediately preceding years, application required. Conveyance tax is levied if land sold for other than benefited use within 10 years; if land is disqualified from benefited use assessment, rollback taxes are levied. Under specified conditions, city or town has limited right of first refusal when such property is offered for sale. Upon application, recreational land of 5 or more acres is assessed on basis of such use up to 25 percent of its fair cash value. Similar conveyance and rollback taxes and first refusal rights are provided.
	Contracts and agreements.....	Specified provisions apply to land under conservation restrictions.
Michigan.....	Use value assessment only.....	Upon application, private forest reservations are assessed at no more than $1 per acre.
	Contracts and agreements.....	Specified farmland or open space development rights agreements are available with minimum 10-year term; law prescribes attachment of lien when agreements terminate.
Minnesota.....	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, qualifying agricultural realty of more than 10 acres and qualifying realty devoted to recreational uses of more than 5 acres may be assessed on a use basis. Effective July 1, 1983, tillable agricultural land is assessed at lesser of market value or capitalization of free market gross rental rate at 5.6 percent. Deferred taxes, without interest, are payable for prior 3 years in case of agricultural land and for prior 7 years for recreational land.
Mississippi.....	Use value assessment only.....	Effective July 1, 1983, land used for agricultural purposes is appraised according to its current use.
Missouri.....	Use value assessment only.....	Available to agricultural or horticultural land in such use for 5 preceding years, with average annual gross sales of $2,500; application required.
	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, forest croplands of at least 40 acres, with value not exceeding $125 per acre, are assessed at $3 per acre. Deferred tax provision includes interest penalty.
Montana.....	Use value assessment only.....	Specified conditions must be met regarding use, size, and income; application is required. Rollback tax provision was repealed as of March 31, 1981. It provided for deferred taxes for up to the 4 preceding years of use value assessment.
Nebraska.....	Deferred taxation.....	Land zoned for agricultural use and used exclusively for agricultural purposes may be assessed on basis of such use; application is required. If eligibility ends, deferred tax applies to any difference, between use and market-oriented values, for up to 5 years, plus applicable interest at 6 percent.
Nevada.....	Deferred taxation.....	Applies to agricultural or open space land meeting specified conditions; application required. Deferred tax provision (including penalty) may relate to back years, up to 84 months prior to change in use.
New Hampshire.....	Use value assessment only.....	Upon application, owner-occupied residences in industrial or commercial zones are assessed on basis of current use.
	Deferred taxation.....	Qualifying open space (farmland, forest land, wetland, recreation land, flood plain land, or wild land) may be assessed based on current use values established by current use advisory board; application is required. A land use change tax is levied at the rate of 10 percent of the full and true value of the land changed to other than open space use.
	Contracts and agreements.....	Benefited assessment may also be obtained for qualifying land if owner grants discretionary easement to city or town for minimum 10-year term. Release occurs only for cases of extreme personal hardship with penalties specified.
New Jersey.....	Use value assessment only.....	Owner-occupied residences in area previously zoned for such use but rezoned to commercial or industrial uses are assessed as residential property.
	Deferred taxation.....	Agricultural or horticultural land of 5 or more acres meeting minimum sales criteria and in such use for 2 preceding years may be assessed based on such use; application is required. Rollback provision may include up to 2 years of deferred taxes.
New Mexico.....	Use value assessment only.....	Land primarily for agricultural purposes may be assessed on basis of productive capacity; application is required.
New York.....	Use value assessment only.....	Assessment of forest and reforested lands of 15 or more acres limited to value of similar lands without substantial forest growth; application is required. Six percent tax on stumpage value is levied upon withdrawal of land from benefited use.
	Deferred taxation.....	Land of 10 or more acres in an agricultural district and generating $10,000 or more from agricultural products may be entitled to an agricultural assessment. Change to nonqualifying use activates deferred taxes for 5 preceding years.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

APPENDIX C—Continued

State	Provision affecting assessed value applicable to explicitly benefited uses	Remarks
North Carolina.....	Deferred taxation.....	Applies to agricultural or horticultural parcels of 10 acres or more; gross income from products grown therein must average $1,000 or more annually for 3 preceding years. Qualifying forest land must be at least 20 acres in size; application is required. Deferred taxes are payable upon change in use and may relate back to 3 preceding years, plus interest.
North Dakota.....	Use value assessment only.....	Land classified as agricultural prior to annexation is retained in that classification until use changes. Value must be uniform with that of adjoining agricultural land not annexed. Effective for tax years beginning January 1, 1983, land platted and assessed as agricultural land before March 30, 1981, is valued on basis of such use (until changed), whether or not within corporate limits.
Ohio.....	Deferred taxation.....	Requirements for agricultural use assessment include: specified minimum sizes; agricultural use for 3 preceding years; and application. Deferred taxation may relate back to 4 preceding years of use assessment.
Oklahoma.....	See remarks.....	Unique situation, applies to more than agricultural land: State Constitution (article X) states that "no real property shall be assessed . . . at a value greater than . . . its fair cash value for the highest and best use for which such property was actually used, or was previously classified for use." (Emphasis added.)
Oregon.....	Deferred taxation.....	Agricultural land may be assessed on basis of use; specific provisions, requirements, and deferred taxes vary according to whether land is located in "farm use zone" or outside such zones but exclusively devoted to agricultural use. Application may be required. Deferred taxation does not apply when use changes to forest use or when unzoned farmland is subsequently included in a farm use zone. Upon application, open space is assessed on basis of benefited open space land use. Deferred tax provisions activate on a change to nonqualifying use, plus interest. Single-family residence used for such purpose for 5 preceding years in area zoned for industrial, commercial, or multifamily residential uses is assessed on basis of residential only. Disqualification results in additional tax of up to 10 times what deferred taxes would be for the immediately preceding year. Application is required.
	Contracts and agreements.....	For 15-year period, historic property is assessed at "true cash value" at time of application. Change in classification results in additional taxes equal to up to 15 times the amount of deferred taxes for the immediately preceding year.
Pennsylvania.....	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, qualifying agricultural land, agricultural reserve, and/or forest reserve may be given preferential use assessments. Requirements include 10-acre minimum size for agricultural land, an anticipated annual gross income of $2,000, and 3 preceding years of benefited use. Rollback taxes may extend for up to 7 previous tax years, and include 6 percent interest.
	Contracts and agreements.....	Counties may covenant with owners of land in farm, forest, water supply, or open space use. Assessment reflects fair market value of land so restricted. Such agreements may be negotiated to conform with more recent provisions of preferential use assessment described above.
Rhode Island.....	Deferred taxation.....	Applies to farm, forest, or open space land; application is required. Effective May 15, 1980, change in use results in "land use change tax" ranging from 10 to 0 percent of fair market value, depending on length of classification.
South Carolina.....	Deferred taxation.....	Qualifying agricultural real property used for agricultural purposes or for growing timber is assessed at specified percentages of fair market value for such purposes; application is required. Rollback provision may include deferred taxes for current year and immediately preceding 5 years.
South Dakota.....	Use value assessment only.....	Land devoted exclusively to agricultural use for 5 preceding years and generating minimum sales of $2,500 in 3 of those years shall be classified and taxed as agricultural land without regard to its zoning classification.
Tennessee.....	Deferred taxation.....	Qualifying lands include agricultural (at least 15 acres), forest (at least 15 acres), and open space (at least 3 acres); application is required. Rollback taxes extend for up to 3 years for agricultural and forest lands and up to 5 years for open space lands. Special provision is made for assessment of lands with open space easements.
Texas.....	Deferred taxation.....	Upon application, land owned by natural persons and used for agricultural purposes for the 3 years immediately preceding may be assessed based on such use. Qualified "open space" land (including timberland and land devoted principally to agricultural use for 5 of preceding 7 years) is valued on basis of productive capacity; application is required. Rollback provision for agricultural land may relate back to 3 preceding years, plus interest; for open space land, 5 preceding years, plus 7 percent interest.
	Contracts and agreements.....	Lands restricted to recreation, park, open space, or airport may be assessed based upon such use; land must be at least 5 acres in size and restriction must be for a minimum of 10 years. If there is a change to nonqualifying use, a deferred tax activates on difference between benefited use assessed value and market value, for 5 years, plus interest at 7 percent annually.
Utah.....	Deferred taxation.....	Land actively devoted to agricultural use (25 acres or more in size, or if less, providing annual gross income from farm crops of $2,000 in 1 of 2, or 3 of 5 preceding years) may be assessed based upon such use if of at least 5 contiguous acres, generating requisite income from such use for required time; application is required. Waivers of acreage and income limitations are possible. Rollback taxes may extend up to 5 years of benefited use.
Vermont.....	Use value assessment only.....	Orchard lands are assessed on basis of similar land used for general agricultural purposes.

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	Provision affecting assessed value applicable to explicitly benefited uses	Remarks
Vermont--Continued	Deferred taxation	Agricultural land and managed forest land meeting specified criteria are eligible for use value appraisal. Upon development, land use change tax is levied in the amount of 10 percent of the full fair market value of the changed land determined without regard to the use value appraisal. Land may be withdrawn from use value assessment and payment of land use change tax deferred until development occurs.
	Contracts and agreements	A municipal corporation may enter into contracts with owners of agricultural, forest land, industrial or commercial real and personal property for up to a 10-year period for the purpose of fixing and maintaining the valuation of such property on the grand list; contracts may also be made for fixed rates, fixed annual amounts, or fixed percentages of the annual tax. Municipality may also negotiate "tax stabilization contracts" with owners of farmland or forest land of at least 25 acres. Deferred taxes covering prior 3 years are due upon conversion to noncontractual uses.
Virginia	Deferred taxation	Any county, city, or town which has adopted a land use plan may by ordinance provide for the use value assessment of real estate used for agricultural, horticultural, forest, or open space purposes. Minimum size is 5 acres (except for forest land which must be at least 20 acres), and application is required. Real estate in agricultural or forest districts, with or without land use plan, is also eligible. Rollback tax provision includes current year and up to 5 immediately preceding years, plus interest.
Washington	Contracts and agreements	Open space land, farm and agricultural land, and timberland (excluding timber value) may qualify for current use assessment. Land classified on a current use basis must continue to be so classified for a period of 10 years. If the owner, after 8 years, requests withdrawal from current use assessment, rollback taxes for 7 years, plus interest at the statutory rate, are payable at the end of 2 additional years. If a change in use occurs before the end of the 10-year period, the aforementioned rollback taxes and interest are due, plus penalty of 20 percent of the rollback amount.
West Virginia	Use value assessment only	True and actual value of all farms used, occupied, and cultivated by their owners or bona fide tenants is value of property according to actual use. For the statewide reappraisal to be completed by March 1, 1985, farm property is to be appraised at "fair and reasonable value for farming purposes."
Wisconsin	See remarks	Constitutional amendment, approved April 2, 1974: Taxation of agricultural and undeveloped land need not be uniform with that of each other or with that of other realty. State legislature has elected to provide owners of farmland subject to agricultural use restrictions with income tax credits and refunds rather than use-based assessments.
Wyoming	Use value assessment only	Agricultural and horticultural land so employed for minimum of 2 previous years is assessed on basis of current use and capacity of land to produce agricultural products. Land must not be zoned for other uses.

Note: This table, though carefully compiled, is not intended as a substitute for any necessary reference to specified statutory requirements in any given circumstance. This is especially relevant to any situation where post-1981 provisions are sought.

Terms (based on review of applicable legal provisions):

Deferred taxation--This refers to the additional tax, activated by a change from benefited use to a nonqualifying use, on the difference between benefited use assessment and conventional assessment, for specified time periods and at interest rates specified by law.

Contracts and agreements--These are specific agreements authorized by law, providing for limitations on use over stated time periods, in exchange for benefited use value assessment. Such agreements generally include deferred taxation recovery provisions.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix D. Real Property Assessment Cycles by States: 1981 and Subsequent Periods

State	State requirements for periodic valuation of real property
Alabama	Tax assessor has right and authority to assess real estate annually. (See section 40-7-1, Code of Alabama.)
Alaska	Production of annual assessment roll required. (See section 29.53.100 (a), Alaska Statutes.)
Arizona	Annual valuation and listing of each property required. (See section 42-221, Arizona Revised Statutes.)
Arkansas	Statewide reappraisal and equalization ordered by Arkansas Supreme Court starting on January 1, 1980, in 15 counties per year until completed. Arkansas Public Service Commission, et al, v. Pulaski County Board of Equalization, et al, 266 Ark 64, 582 SW 2nd 942 (1979). Reappraisal actually started on January 1, 1981; counties remaining will be completed by 1985.
	Assessor required to appraise and assess all real property between the first Monday in January and the first day of July. (See section 84-415, Arkansas Statutes.)
California	Assessment rolls produced annually but valuation changes limited to 2 percent annually except for change in ownership or new construction. (See article XIII, California Constitution.)
Colorado	Between 1979 and 1982, revaluation required on basis of 1977 value levels and 1977 procedures; implementation in 1983. Between 1983 and 1985, revaluation required on basis of 1981 value levels and 1984 procedures; implementation in 1986. Between 1986 and 1987, revaluation required on basis of 1984 value levels, for implementation in 1988. Thereafter, 2-year cycle governs. (See section 39-1-104, Colorado Revised Statutes.)
	Annual listing, appraisal, and valuation specified. (See section 39-1-105, Colorado Revised Statutes.)
Connecticut	Commencing October 1, 1978, municipalities required to revalue all real property no later than 10 years following the last preceding revaluation and every 10th year after each such revaluation. (See section 12-62, Connecticut Statutes.)
	Annual compilation of assessment lists required. (See section 12-55, Connecticut Statutes.)
Delaware	Preparation of annual assessment roll specified in chapter 13, section 1308 (for New Castle County); chapter 70, section 7004 (for Sussex County); and chapter 83, section 8301 (for Kent County), Delaware Code, Revised.
District of Columbia	Assessment of all realty is required on annual basis. (See section 47-641, District of Columbia Code.)
Florida	Real property required to be assessed at just value on January 1 each year, but physical inspection of each parcel may be less frequent. (See section 192.042, Florida Statutes.)
Georgia	Provision is made for opening of books for return of taxes each year. (See section 48-5-18, The Code of Georgia of 1981.)
Hawaii	Annual preparation of assessment roll is mandated. (See section 246-44, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and county ordinances.)
Idaho	Twenty percent of property in each specified category must be included in each year's appraisal. This results in complete appraisal of all taxable property every 5 years. Results of annual appraisal are used to index property not actually appraised each year. (See section 63-221, Idaho Code.)
Illinois	A quadrennial reassessment cycle, countywide, is mandated for all counties except Cook, Lake, and St. Clair. These latter counties are divided into four assessment districts, one of which is assessed each year on a rotating basis. Of counties assessed on a countywide basis, those with commission form of government were last assessed in 1982, and those with township form in 1983. (See section 43, Revenue Act of 1939.)
Indiana	A general reassessment beginning July 1, 1987, and each eighth year thereafter is required. Each reassessment is to be completed by March 1 of the following year, and will be the basis for taxes payable in the year following the year of completion of the general reassessment. (See title 6, article 11, section 4-4, Indiana Code.)
Iowa	Real estate was listed and assessed in 1981. The same action occurs every 2 years thereafter, but assessors may revalue realty whenever a change in value occurs. (See section 428.4, Code of Iowa.)
Kansas	Annual appraisal of realty is specified. (See section 1 of chapter 391, Laws, 1982.)
Kentucky	Annual valuation of each parcel of taxable realty is specified with physical examination no less than once every 2 years. (See section 132.690, 1-(a), Kentucky Revised Statutes.)
Louisiana	Article VII, section 18 of Louisiana constitution provides that all taxable property be reappraised at intervals of not more than 4 years.
Maine	Physical inspection and inventory of each realty parcel is required at least every 4 years. (See title 36, chapter 102, section 328, Maine Revised Statutes, Annotated.)
Maryland	In each county and in Baltimore city, one-third of realty parcels are reviewed each year, so all are reassessed during 3-year cycle. Any resulting increase in value is phased in over remaining years of cycle. (See article 81, section 232, 8-(1), Annotated Code of Maryland.
Massachusetts	Fair cash valuation each year is specified. (See section 24, chapter 59, General Laws of Commonwealth of Massachusetts.)
Michigan	Completion of assessment roll is required annually on or before first Monday in March. (See section 211.24, Michigan Compiled Laws.)
Minnesota	In 1976 and thereafter, assessor shall actually view and determine market value of each real property at maximum intervals of 4 years. (See section 273.08, Minnesota Statutes.)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

State	State requirements for periodic valuation of real property
Mississippi	Land must be assessed for year 1950 and annually thereafter. Board of supervisors of any county may use current land assessment roll for one additional year. (See section 27-35-47, Mississippi Code.)
Missouri	Real estate shall be assessed annually. (See section 137.080, Revised Statutes of Missouri.)
Montana	Revaluation of all taxable property at least every 5 years is required. A rotation plan is to assure that all property in each county is revalued at least once every 5 years (20 percent of property may be revalued each year). (See title 15, chapter 7, section III, Montana Code Annotated.) Chapter 350 of Laws of 1981, extended current 5-year cycle for an additional 2 years from December 31, 1983, with next 5-year cycle commencing January 1, 1986.
Nebraska	Statutes require valuation of all taxable real and personal property as of January 1 at 12:01 a.m. of 1981 and every odd-numbered year thereafter. New improvements, changes in use, and new acquisitions during odd-numbered years are valued in even-numbered years at the same level of value as that of last preceding valuation. (See section 77-1301, Revised Statutes of Nebraska.)
Nevada	County assessor must physically reappraise all property at least once every 5 years. (See section 362.260, Nevada Revised Statutes.)
New Hampshire	In April of each year, all realty is examined, and reappraised if change in value has occurred. (See section 75:8, New Hampshire Revised Statutes Annotated.)
New Jersey	Annual determination of full and fair cash value of each realty parcel is required. (See section 54: 4-23, Revised Statutes of New Jersey.)
New Mexico	County assessors must update values to maintain current and correct values of property. (See section 7-36-16, New Mexico Statutes, Annotated.)
New York	Section 500 of New York Real Property Law prescribes that on or before May 1 annually the assessor in each city and town shall ascertain by diligent inquiry all realty located therein.
North Carolina	Counties are required to revalue realty every 8 years. To implement such revaluation sequence, counties are divided into eight groups, and each year a group of counties must revalue. In the fourth year following a reappraisal, review of the appraised values is required to make any changes necessary to bring those values into line with the then current true value. If necessary, real property value is converted to market value by horizontal adjustments (uniform percentage increases or decreases of appraised values within defined categories or geographic areas). (See section 105-286, General Statutes of North Carolina.)
North Dakota	All taxable real property shall be listed and assessed every year with reference to its value on February 1. (See section 57-02-011, North Dakota, Century Code.)
Ohio	Sexennial reappraisal of all realty is required in each county. In the third calendar year following such reappraisal, the commissioner of tax equalization may order a reassessment of the real property, or any class thereof. (See section 5715.33, Ohio Revised Code.)
Oklahoma	Subsequent to an initial mandatory revaluation to have been completed before January 1, 1972, each assessor is required continuously to maintain an active program to revalue all taxable property within the county at least once each 5 years. (See section 2481.1, Oklahoma Statutes.)
Oregon	Real property shall be appraised at least once every 6 years to insure equality of taxation. (See section 308.234, Oregon Revised Statutes.)
Pennsylvania	Statutes specify annual assessment in counties of the first class and triennial assessments in second through eighth class counties. However, general practice varies from annual assessment to no periodic assessment. (See section 401, General County Assessment Law.)
Rhode Island	Revaluation is required within 3 years after December 31, 1980, unless a town or city shall have completed such revaluation within 7 years prior to December 31, 1980. Subsequent revaluation is required every 10th year. City of Woonsocket and town of Cumberland have until December 31, 1984, to complete revaluation. (See section 44-5-11, Rhode Island General Laws.)
South Carolina	The South Carolina Tax Commission has power to order reassessment of real and personal property, or any class or classes of either, in any assessment district, when in its judgment such reassessment is advisable. (See section 12-3-140 (15), Code of Laws of South Carolina.)
South Dakota	All real and personal property subject to taxation shall be listed and assessed annually during the first 6 months of each year, but value of such property is determined according to value on January 1 preceding assessment. (See section 10-6-2, South Dakota Codified Laws.)
Tennessee	Beginning January 1, 1981, and continuing over the next 7 years, there shall be a reappraisal of all real property and an equalization of assessments of every county. Thereafter, reappraisal and equalization is required every 5 years. State Board of Equalization may exempt a county from mandatory provisions, based on its assessment quality. (See section 67-680, Tennessee Code.)
Texas	Each appraisal office must implement a plan for periodic review of property to update appraisal values. Prior to January 1, 1984, such plans shall provide for reappraisal of all real property at least once every 4 years. (See section 25.18, Tax Code.)
Utah	Assessors are required to visit each separate district or precinct either in person or by deputy, annually, including inspection where necessary. (See section 59-5-4, Utah Code Annotated.)
Vermont	On April 1, listers shall take property inventories and personally examine individual properties as necessary to appraise all at fair market value. (See title 32, section 4041, Vermont Statutes Annotated.)

See footnotes at end of table.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

APPENDIX D—Continued

State	State requirements for periodic valuation of real property
Virginia	Counties with a population of 14,000 or less must perform a reassessment of real property in 1977; those with populations of over 14,000 but not more than 24,000, in 1978; those with populations of over 24,000 but not more than 39,000, in 1980. Subsequent reassessments are to occur every 4 years from the date of initial reassessment. (See section 58-778, Code of Virginia.) Cities with a population of 12,000 or less must perform a reassessment of real property in 1977; those with populations of over 12,000 in 1978. Subsequent reassessments are to occur every 2 years from the date of initial reassessment. (See section 58-776, Code of Virginia.) Provisions allow cities or counties to conduct more frequent reassessments.
Washington	An active revaluation program is required, to include revaluing all taxable real property within the county at least once every 4 years, with physical inspection of all such realty at least once every 6 years. (See section 84.41.030, 1979 Revised Code of Washington.)
West Virginia	All property shall be assessed annually as of the first day of July at its true and actual value. (See section 1, article 3, chapter 11, West Virginia Code.)
Wisconsin	Each taxation district is required to assess property at full value at least once in every 5-year period. The Department of Revenue monitors assessment levels and may order special supervision of the succeeding year's assessment if specified standards are not met. (See section 70.05, Wisconsin Statutes.)
Wyoming	All taxable property shall be annually listed, valued, and assessed for taxation in the county in which located and in the name of the owner of the property on February 1. (See section 39-2-101, Wyoming Statutes.)

Note: Summaries of applicable law and regulations shown omit some detail and may not necessarily represent prevailing practice. For example, mandate for annual production of assessment roll may be interpreted locally as requiring no more than alteration of the roll to reflect additions and deletions; conversely, jurisdictions may conduct complete reappraisals annually even though not legally required to do so. References are provided in order to facilitate further inquiry. Materials presented summarize applicable law but are not intended necessarily as substitutes for the substance of entire statutes. For available information about Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands, see text.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix E. Definitions of Selected Terms

Definitions that follow condition use of the data produced by the survey. The objective throughout is to define the concept in terms of use and implications in the survey and in this report.

Appraisal--An opinion, usually in writing and from a specialist, of the amount of money constituting the value of property (market, assessed, or other, depending on the purpose of the appraisal). The appraisal incorporates attention to all factors and approaches held to be relevant in the circumstances, including what the property cost, what loss in value (depreciation) it has sustained, if any, what income it will earn, and what sales prices similar properties have commanded.

Arm's Length--Transaction such as the sale of real property is termed "arm's length" if it involves unrelated parties, each seeking the best deal possible, and each being the equal of other transacting parties in good faith and advantage.

Assessment--Assessment has several meanings. As used in this report, "assessment" usually means the value of property officially determined for taxation purposes. In this sense, "assessment" is synonymous with "assessed value." In some instances, apparent from the context, the word "assessment" also means the official act or function of determining the assessed value.

Assessment Roll--This is the listing of taxable property located within a governmental jurisdiction (often the county), together with the assessed value officially determined for each as of the legally prescribed valuation date by the official charged with this function, often called the assessor.

Assumption of Mortgage--This circumstance arises when the buyer of real estate takes over the seller's existing mortgage, the latter likely to be at an interest rate substantially below that of the current market.

Balloon Mortgage--This is a mortgage which provides for a series of equal monthly payments, sometimes interest only, by the mortgagor to mortgagee, for a short period, usually 3 to 5 years, with the entire unpaid balance becoming due and payable at the end of the period, and therefore with refinancing or forced sale as likely alternatives at that time. See appendix F, form GP-31, question 2-c-(5).

Cadastre--This is a parcel-based division of a total land information system with the following components: (1) A spatial reference framework made up of geodetic control points; (2) an integrated series of large scale, up-to-date, accurate base maps; (3) a property ownership overlay of requisite accuracy that distinctly identifies each parcel, usually by unique number; and (4) a series of compatible registers of interests in the land parcels keyed to the distinctive parcel numbers. A cadastre is multipurpose if its parcel identification and other components make possible linkages with data in other files.

Cash Equivalent--In property sales transactions, the cash equivalent is the sales price adjusted as necessary so as to express what the property would sell for for if the entire consideration consisted of cash.

Chattel--This is tangible personal property (see Property).

Circuit Breaker--Though its specifics depend on statutory provisions prescribing it in the particular State, a "circuit breaker" generally is a law that activates, for qualifying property owners (or renters), a State financed credit or rebate of specified amounts of property taxes incurred (or rent equivalents) whenever such taxes (or rent equivalents) exceed specified amounts of household income.

Common Element--In a property containing condominium units, a common element is that portion of the property jointly used

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

and owned in appropriate undivided interests by all the owners of condominium units in the property.

Condominium (also known as "condominium unit")--A condominium is a unit of real property within a property consisting of other such units, which is owned in fee simple by the same party or parties holding an undivided interest in the common elements of the property. These common elements usually include things like the lobby, swimming pool, and grounds. Though the term "condominium" does not necessarily refer exclusively to a unit used as a single-family (nonfarm) residence, it is that use to which the term "condominium" is restricted, in this report.

Consideration--This is something of value given in return for performance by another, the purpose being to form a contract. There must be performance or a return promise which has been bargained for by the parties.

Cooperative--This is a business entity, usually a corporation, which holds title to the realty involved and which grants rights of occupancy to its shareholders by means of "proprietary leases" or similar devices. A cooperatively owned apartment building is legally different from a building consisting of condominium units.

Cost--This is the amount spent to construct, manufacture, or otherwise acquire a property (land as well as buildings). It is rarely the same as market value, except for new property, and except where it can be shown that the amount that would need to be spent now has not changed since acquisition.

Covenant--This is basically a written contract in which one party promises to perform specified acts in exchange for specified performance by the other party. The seller in a warranty deed, for example, covenants five things sought by the buyer (see warranty deed).

Creative Financing--This is the term applied to payment aspects of a realty sales transaction that provide for use of things other than, or in addition to, long-term fixed-rate mortgages. Examples are mortgage assumptions, buy-downs (also called "interest buy-downs"), balloon, flexible rate, graduated payment, shared appreciation, seller take-back, wraparound, and growing equity mortgages (see definitions, elsewhere this appendix). Creative financing alternatives have been used for nonresidential sales for some time. They became common for the sales of single-family houses during the past 5 years when prevailing high interest rates often prevented the use of new long-term fixed-rate mortgages.

Deed (or Conveyance)--This is a written instrument signed (by the seller), sealed, and delivered (to the buyer), used to transfer (convey) the title to real property from the seller to the buyer. The deed is recorded in the office of the duly constituted recording official (for the jurisdiction in which the property involved is situated) to give public notice of the transfer.

Bargain and sale--This is a deed which grants, bargains, and sells the property in question, but does not warrant the validity of the title. The consensus is that it is slightly superior to the quitclaim deed, however, because it implies that the seller has title and possession.

Grant deed--State statutes may contain particular provisions regarding prescribed conveyances. In California, for example, the word "grant" in a deed conveying title in fee simple or estate of inheritance implies: (1) That seller has not previously conveyed said title; and (2) that at time of conveyance property in question is free from any encumbrance by or through seller.

Quitclaim deed--This is a deed that conveys the interest that the seller has in the property, and nothing else. If in fact the seller has a legally enforceable title without defects, the buyer acquires as good a title as what a warranty deed would convey. If the seller is shown to have had no interest, the buyer acquires no interest at all.

Special warranty deed--This is the same as a warranty deed except that the seller specifically restricts liability to defects in title which took place after seller acquired title.

Warranty deed--This conveyance is the "best" in the sense that the seller makes all the written promises (covenants) possible to the buyer. They are: (1) Seizin (this means the seller possessed the property and had the right to transfer it); (2) quiet enjoyment (the property is free of any claims to title by others); (3)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

against encumbrances (this protects buyer against any lien or debt against the property); (4) further assurances (seller will get for buyer any more documents found necessary for good title); and (5) warranty (this means seller will defend title against claims by anyone).

Deed of Trust--A written instrument through which the legal title to realty is transferred to a trustee, who holds same as security for payment of a debt. The trustee is someone other than the creditor. The deed of trust is similar to a mortgage.

Depreciation--This is loss in value from any cause. Major causes include physical deterioration (ordinary wear and tear, negligent care or "deferred maintenance," and damage); functional obsolescence (poor design, inadequate facilities); and ecomomic obsolescence (influences external to elements of the property itself, for example, supply and demand factors).

Loss in value already sustained is often called accrued depreciation, either curable (correctable at reasonable cost) or incurable (correctable, if at all, only at excessive cost).

Loss in value expected in the future is estimated by incorporating a percentage component for depreciation in a capitalization rate.

Dispersion--The scatter of values in a frequency distribution around an average of the values.

Coefficient of intra-area dispersion--This is based on an array of assessment-sales price ratios applicable to individual realty sales within a local assessing jurisdiction. This mean of deviations (disregarding sign) from the median of the ratios is divided by the median ratio, and the result, expressed as a percentage, is the coefficient of intra-area dispersion.

Coefficient of interarea dispersion--This is based on an array of the median assessment-sales price ratios for specified local assessing jurisdictions. The mean of deviations (disregarding sign) from the median among the ratios is divided by the median ratio, and the result, expressed as a percentage, is the coefficient of interarea dispersion.

Composite coefficient of intra-area dispersion--This term refers to a total derived by summing products for all sampled jurisdictions in an area, each product being the coefficient of intra-area dispersion for the component jurisdiction multiplied by the following fraction: Numerator is the number of assessed single-family (nonfarm) houses in an area, and denominator is the number of assessed single-family (nonfarm) houses in all sampled areas of the State involved. Note that this definition is the same as that used in 1977, but differs from that used in 1972. In 1972 the weighting factor consisted of corresponding 1970 populations.

Price-related differential--This is the quotient, expressed as a percentage, which results from dividing the mean of assessment-sales price ratios for a particular group of measurable sales by the aggregate assessment-sales price ratio for the same group of sales.

"Due on Sale" Clause--This is a provision in many (but not all) mortgages which gives the lender the option to "declare all sums secured by this mortage to be immediately due and payable." Many lenders now enforce the clause when a buyer tries to assume a seller's existing low rate mortgage, though individual circumstances can preclude enforceability. If the clause is enforced, the assumption may be invalid. Mortgages guaranteed by Veterans Administration or Federal Housing Administration are assumable, and do not contain a "due on sale" clause.

Effective Property Tax Rate--This rate is the amount of all net property taxes billed against an individual sold property expressed as a percentage of the sales price.

Encumbrance--An encumbrance is a liability, such as a lien, claim, or judgment, which is attached to real property and therefore diminishes its value even though it does not prohibit the passing of title.

Equalization--The process by which amounts of assessed value within a jurisdiction, individually or in the aggregate, are restated at a single level of assessment. The purpose is to overcome differences in levels of assessment among individual assessed values, often so that assessment-based aid from the equalizing jurisdiction can be equitably allocated.

Exempt--Free from a requirement or status to which others of the affected class are subject; specifically in taxation, the characteristic of not being subject

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

in any way to the tax. Usually synonymous, in this report, with "total exemption" and "excluded property," and thus in contrast with "partial exemptions." "Exemption" may refer to the act of exemption or to the property or other object not subject to the tax.

Fee Simple--This is the highest and most comprehensive estate possible in realty. It is a freehold estate of absolute inheritance, not subject to any conditions. The owner in fee simple has absolute power of disposition.

Fixed-Rate Mortgage--A mortgage which has a fixed interest rate. Monthly payments of principal and interest remain constant over the life of the loan secured by the mortgage.

Fixture--This is property that can be real or personal. If it is attached to the realty in such manner that its removal would damage the realty, the fixture is itself realty. If the fixture is removable without the occurrence of such damage, it is generally considered personalty. Trade fixtures are a specific type of personalty, removable by the lessee who attached or installed them, but only in accordance with terms of the lease.

Flexible-Rate, or Variable-Rate Mortgage--This mortgage is one that is subject to changes in interest rate, loan term, and/or principal, possibly within specified limits, and with changes usually based on a relationship to a specified financial index. See appendix F, form GP-31, question 2-c-(3).

Frequency Distribution--A frequency distribution, with respect to each value in a set of data, is a listing of the number of times each value occurs.

Goodwill--The established good relationship between two or more parties, generally that of a business enterprise and its customers. The dollar value of this relationship, established prior to a sale, is added to what the property would otherwise be worth.

Graduated Payment Mortgage--This mortgage is one whose initial monthly payments increase gradually, often over 5 to 10 years, and then generally remain steady for the remainder of term.

Grantee--In a sale of realty, the grantee is the buyer.

Grantor--In a sale of realty, the grantor is the seller.

Grantor-Grantee Index--This is the recording official's public record of transfers of realty, usually arranged in alphabetical sections for successive sellers (grantors) and buyers (grantees), respectively.

Growing Equity Mortgage--This is the type of mortgage which has a fixed interest rate (usually below prevailing market rate), but provides also for increases in total monthly payments, conditioned by changes in a specified index or schedule. Such increases are applied to reduction of outstanding principal, with the effect that full repayment may occur sooner than the maximum time stipulated.

Homestead--Usually, this is a parcel of land which husband and wife, or other head(s) of household, own in fee simple and on which they reside. Specific State statutes should be consulted in each situation for meaning in a particular State, especially with reference to entitlement to homestead exemption from property taxes.

Junior Mortgage--A mortgage that carries with it a lien that is subordinate to the lien associated with a mortgage higher in priority because of prior occurrence or similar reason.

Land Contract--This is a contract for sale of real property which calls for payment of the price by the purchaser in installments over a specified period, during which time title remains in the seller even though the purchaser is in possession. It may also be called a contract for deed.

Legal Description--This is a delineation of dimensions, boundaries, and relevant attributes of a real property parcel that is specific enough to identify with absolute certainty the particular parcel in question. For a subdivided lot, the legal description would probably include lot and block numbers, and subdivision name.

Locally Assessed Property--This is property for which the assessed value is set by the assessing official of the local jurisdiction within which the property is located, either for local or State taxation.

Measure of Central Tendency--This term refers to a value which indicates the extent to which values characterizing a

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

distribution fall in the central part of that distribution.

Mean--The result of dividing the sum of individual values in an array by the number of items in the array.

Median--The value in an array above and below which lie an equal number of values. If the array contains an odd number of values, the median is the middle value. If the array contains an even number of values, the median is the mean of the two middle values.

Mode--That value in a frequency distribution which occurs most often.

Metes and Bounds--This is a legal description of a realty parcel that begins at a known or easily discoverable point and then specifies directions and distances around the parcel back to the point of beginning.

Mortgage--A conveyance by which conditional fee to the property is transferred from debtor (mortgagor) to creditor (mortgagee) as security for repayment of a loan, often in amount of purchase price of said property, with transfer voided by repayment and release of mortgage.

Nominal Property Tax Rate--This is the amount of all net property taxes billed expressed as a percentage of net assessed value of the property.

Parcel--In this report, a parcel of realty is whatever the local assessor defines to be that separate piece of land requiring separate assessment. Often this essentially resembles the following "consensus" definition: A parcel is a contiguous area of land described in a single description in a deed or on a plat. It is separately owned and may be separately conveyed. Parcel also may include a segment of street, highway, railroad right-of-way, or utility easement. (Adapted from Land Parcel Identifiers for Information Systems, by D. David Moyer & Kenneth Paul Fisher, American Bar Foundation, Chicago, 1973, p. 3.)

Parcel Identification Number--This is a numeric or alphanumeric description of a parcel which identifies it uniquely. Assessors use various systems, many with common features and a growing number incorporating geocoding. In the 30 States where it exists, the Public Land Survey System authorized by the U.S. Government in 1785 is often a basis for parcel identification.

Partial Exemption--The amount of otherwise taxable assessed value removed from liability for the tax by constitutional and/or statutory action. Thus, the entire assessed value of the property in question would be taxable, but for the constitutional or statutory action removing part of such value. Examples of partial exemption are those for qualifying property homestead owner-occupiers, veterans, and senior citizens.

Points--This describes a fee usually charged by the lender to augment loan yield. One point equals 1 percent of the mortgage principal amount.

Property--That which belongs to the owner and is thus subject to specific rights in the owner of use, possession, and alienation. Property is sometimes called a "bundle of rights." Property may be described as the sum of all legal interests in a parcel or thing. Property can be tangible or intangible, real or personal.

Real property--Consists of land plus anything permanently attached to the land or so intended, and anything appurtenant to the land, or immovable by law. It generally includes rights emanating from or adhering to the land, in the absence of contrary specification. To the extent that "real estate" also commonly includes land and any improvements permanently attached, as well as all rights and benefits from ownership of any lifetime or greater interests therein, the two terms "real property" and "real estate" can be understood to have the same meaning, for purposes of this report.

Personal property--Consists of every kind of property which is not real.

Tangible personal property--This refers to that property which is capable of perception through its substance, with its value related to that substance. A chattel is tangible personalty.

Intangible personal property--This refers to property without physical existence in any significant sense other than representational. It is valuable, but chiefly for what it represents. Examples include corporate stock, bonds, money on deposit, goodwill, patents, and franchises.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Property Use Category, Realty--This is a classification based on actual utilization of the realty. Individual categories follow:

Residential (nonfarm) single-family--This category includes each house, not on a farm, that is a residence for one family only. The residence may be detached or semidetached. It may be a one-family part of row or town houses if separately assessed. It includes each one-family rural or suburban estate if not primarily used for farming. It includes each condominium unit in a multiunit dwelling structure consisting of many such units, plus in each instance, each respective condominium owner's share of the common area, unless the entire latter area is separately assessed. It also includes a mobile home that is assessed as real property.

Residential (nonfarm) multifamily--This category includes each residential property that contains two or more living units, including duplexes and apartment houses. The latter may have street level stores and doctors' offices. This category does not include motels or hotels. It does include cooperatives.

Acreage (or "acreage and farms")--This category includes each farm, as well as timber land, recreational acreage, idle land and waste land. It does not include separately assessed timber rights. Major criteria are rural location and description in terms of acreage.

Vacant platted lots--This means each unimproved parcel described in terms other than acreage, usually by means of lot and block numbers plus subdivision name. Each vacant parcel is located either within a municipality or in an adjacent or otherwise proximate territory.

Commercial property--This is realty used as any of the following: store, store with living quarters, office building, hotel, motel, gasoline service station, commercial garage, parking lot, warehouse, theater building, bank, clinic and nursing home, and generally any nonindustrial nonresidential realty of a commercial enterprise.

Industrial property--This is realty used as any of the following: factory, bakery, dairy plant, other food processing plant, mill, mine, quarry, all locally assessed utility property, and generally any property used in manufacturing activity.

Other and unallocable--This category includes any property not classified within any of the preceding groups. Examples are mineral rights, timber rights, and oil rights, which are locally assessed separately as real property.

Ratio--This is the relationship of one quantity divided by another, with the quotient expressed as a percentage.

Assessment-sales price ratio--Also called "assessment ratio," this is the quotient of an individual assessed value, particular average or aggregate assessed value, divided by the respective sales price, or respective average or aggregate sales price. The result in each instance is expressed as a percentage.

Aggregate assessment-sales price ratio--This is quotient resulting when the estimated total of assessed values, for all the sold properties involved, is divided by the estimated value of sales prices, for all the respective properties. The result is expressed as a percentage.

Unweighted mean assessment-sales price ratio--This is the quotient produced when the sum of the individual assessment-sales price ratios in an array is divided by the number of such ratios. The result is expressed as a percentage.

Rent With Option to Buy--This is a contract providing for payment by the renter of a specified rental plus an option price which gives the renter the right to purchase the property at a specified price within a specified time. The contract may also provide that the rental paid will be applied to the sales price.

Rollback--Term applicable to a limitation on annual assessed value increase (as in Iowa, where separate rollback factors apply to residential, commercial, industrial, and agricultural property) or reduction in amount of property taxes paid (as affects taxes on homesteads in Ohio).

Rollover (or Renegotiable Rate) Mortgage--This consists of a series of short-term loans, each with a term of 3 to 5 years, but with amortization over 25 to

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

30 years. There is a "rollover" or renewal of the loan every 3 to 5 years, always reflecting prevailing interests.

Sales Price--Sales price is the amount of money actually paid and/or obligated by the buyer to the seller to effect transfer of ownership in accordance with the contract between both. It may or may not be the same as market value.

Second Mortgage--This is a junior mortgage next in priority after the first mortgage.

Seller Take-Back--This is usually a second mortgage (also called second trust), with seller as mortgagee and buyer as mortgagor, by means of which buyer secures a loan from seller in the amount buyer needs to complete the purchase, after already assuming seller's existing mortgage on the sold property.

A seller take-back may also occur if the seller personally finances all or a substantial part of whatever the buyer cannot provide in cash to purchase the property. In such circumstance, the seller take-back would be a first mortgage.

Shared Appreciation Mortgage--This is a mortgage which lender makes possible at a substantially lower than market fixed interest rate, in exchange for the right to share in appreciation of the value of the property.

State-Assessed Property--That property for which the assessed value is set by a State agency, either for taxation by the local jurisdiction affected, or for State taxation.

Taxes--These are compulsory payments to a government for public purposes. These payments are not necessarily related to the cost of or beneficiaries of particular services rendered by the government.

Property taxes--These are levies on property, whether real or personal, the latter tangible or intangible, conditioned on ownership of the property and measured by its value.

General property taxes--These are property taxes which relate to all taxable property in the affected jurisdiction, real or personal, whether taxed at a single rate or at classified rates.

Special property taxes--These are property taxes which relate to selected categories of property, for example, motor vehicles, all or specified intangibles.

Torrens System--Named after Sir Robert Torrens, who originated it in 1858, this is a system under which a realty owner has a duplicate certificate of title from the judicial officer issuing the original (retained at the public registry) after a proceeding adjudicating claims. The owner can sell the property only by surrendering a valid deed and the duplicate certificate, which is cancelled prior to issuance of a new one to the buyer.

Value--This is the characteristic of worth of a property, as measured by the amount of money which it commands in an exchange, or which the owner associates with its use.

Assessed value--This is a determination of property value by the public official responsible, for purposes of taxation.

Market value--This is the amount of money which a willing, knowledgeable buyer would, in practical circumstances, pay to a willing seller to acquire the property, in a transaction free of duress for either party.

Wraparound Mortgage--Seller as mortgagor retains an existing low rate mortgage, from original lender, on property being sold. Buyer gets from lender (who may be seller) a mortgage at a rate higher than that on seller's existing mortgage but lower than market, which "wraps around" the existing one, and with both, provides buyer with needed funds at an effective rate lower than market. Buyer makes all payments to seller or other lender, who transmits the portion due to original lender on seller's existing mortgage.

Zero Rate and Low Rate Mortgage--Here buyer makes a substantial down payment (for example, one-third of total price) and borrows remainder on a zero rate (or very low interest rate) mortgage, agreeing to pay a substantial finance charge (10 percent or more of amount borrowed) immediately, and to repay the loan over a short period (5 years, for example). Sales price may be increased to reflect costs of loan.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix F. Survey Forms

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-30 (10-1 81)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

PROPERTY TRANSFER RECORD

PROPERTY VALUES SURVEY

Real Property Sales Phase

OFFICE USE ONLY	1a.	b.	c. City code

2. Assessing area and state

a. County

b. State

c. Other 01

3a. Date of recording — Month 02 Day 03 Year 04

b. Date of sale — Month 30 Day 31 Year 32

4. Source reference and document
a. Document reference number
b. Type of conveyance

5a. Parcel identification or account number

b. Other source parcel number

6a. Name of buyer (Grantee) 05

b. Mail address of buyer (Grantee) — *Include ZIP code* 06 07 08

7a. Name of seller (Grantor) 09

b. Mail address of seller (Grantor) — *Include ZIP code* 10 11 12

8a. Name of buyer's taxpaying agent

b. Mail address of buyer's taxpaying agent — *Include ZIP code*

9. Property location and description

a. Place
☐ City ☐ Borough ☐ Unincorporated area
☐ Village ☐ Township ☐ Other

b. Address of property — *Number and street* 13

City, State, ZIP code 14

c. Size (if available)
(1) Acres 15 /100 (2) Square feet 16 (3) Dimensions ft. X ft.

d. Property location
(1) Lot 17 (2) Block 18 (3) Section 19
(4) Township 20 (5) Range 21
(6) Subdivision or other local area 22
(7) Other description 23 24 25 26

e. ☐ As described in item 17 and/or on reverse side

10. Amount of state or local transfer tax		
	a. State	$
	b. County	$
	c. Other	$
	d. TOTAL →	$

11. Sales price or consideration (if stated and $500 or more)		$
12a. Gross assessed value of transferred parcel (land and improvements only)		$ 27
b. Property use (if available)		
13. Net assessed value of transferred parcel (item 12a minus any partial exemptions)		$
14. Value against which tax bill (item 16) was applied		$ 28
15. If item 13 is different from item 14, enter amount(s) in appropriate space. If not, proceed to item 16.	a. Other real property	$
	b. Personal property	$
	c. Other	$

16. Annual tax bill

Tax billing jurisdiction	Tax rate (if available)	Amount of bill
a.		$
b.		$
c.		$
d. TOTAL tax bill — Sum of a, b, and c →		$ 29

17. Notes or added details (with item references)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

APPENDIX F—Continued

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

O.M.B. No. 0607-0398: Approval Expires June 30, 1983

FORM GP-31 (2-12-82) U.S. DEPT. OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

PROPERTY VALUES SURVEY

REAL PROPERTY SALES PHASE

In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the Census File Number above your address.

Please complete this form and RETURN TO: BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, 1201 East Tenth Street, Jeffersonville, Indiana 47130

(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY TRANSFERRED

1. Assessing area	2. State	3. Date of recording	4. Date of sale

5. Street address of property	6. City, State, ZIP code	7. M–P(X)

8. Description details

Lot	Block	Section	Township	Range	Size of parcel

Subdivision or other local area

Other description

OFFICE USE ONLY	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.

FROM THE DIRECTOR
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

In compliance with title 13 (section 161) of the United States Code, we conduct a census of governments every 5 years in order to assemble useful statistics on a variety of subjects, including information on administration of property taxation throughout the country. Work on the 1982 Census of Governments is now underway. In that connection, we obtained from public records a listing of real properties which recently have been sold. The listing includes the property described above and shows you as one of the parties to the sale.

We ask that you answer the questions beginning below and continuing on the reverse side relating to this property. The information you provide will be used to calculate ratios of assessed values to sales prices, and related coefficients of intra-area dispersion. These statistics show, respectively, what sales prices indicate about assessment level and assessment uniformity. Your answers are confidential by law. Only Census Bureau employees sworn to preserve the confidentiality of your reply may see these answers and only for statistical purposes.

Please return the completed questionnaire within 2 weeks, if possible. For your convenience in replying, we have enclosed a preaddressed return envelope.

We will appreciate your cooperation and prompt response in this voluntary survey.

Sincerely,

Bruce Chapman

BRUCE CHAPMAN

Enclosure

SIZE OF PARCEL VERIFICATION – *Mark (X) where appropriate*

a. ☐ The size of parcel displayed above in item 8 is correct as shown.

b. ☐ If no parcel size is shown in item 8 or if the size shown is incorrect, please enter the correct size data below.

Acres	/100	OR	Square feet	OR	Dimensions
					_____ feet x _____ feet

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

REAL PROPERTY SALES PHASE

1. Which one of the following best describes the use made of this property at the time of this sale? *Mark (X) one box only.*

a. Nonfarm single-family (separate house, single unit of a duplex, townhouse, or condominium)
- (1) Newly constructed, not previously occupied prior to sale (including new condominiums) . . . ☐ 0
- (2) Previously occupied
  - (a) Single-family, other than condominium or mobile home . . . ☐ 120
  - (b) Condominium unit . . . ☐ 700
  - (c) Mobile home if taxed as real property . . . ☐ 150

b. Multifamily dwelling (duplexes up to and including apartment houses)
- (1) Newly constructed, not previously occupied by anyone prior to this sale . . . ☐ 210
- (2) Previously occupied . . . ☐ 220

c. Acreage
- (1) Farm . . . ☐ 300
- (2) Ranch . . . ☐ 351
- (3) Recreation land . . . ☐ 352
- (4) Forest land . . . ☐ 353
- (5) Other (e.g., orchard, idle, etc.) . . . ☐ 354

d. Vacant platted lots
- (1) Located in a city . . . ☐ 400
- (2) Not located in a city . . . ☐ 450

e. Commercial (e.g., store, office building, hotel, motel, parking lot, warehouse)
- (1) Newly constructed, not previously occupied prior to the sale of this property . . . ☐ 510
- (2) Previously occupied . . . ☐ 500

f. Industrial (e.g., factory, dairy, other food processing, quarry, mine)
- (1) Newly constructed, not previously occupied prior to the sale of this property . . . ☐ 610
- (2) Previously occupied . . . ☐ 600

g. Other – *Specify* ☐ 900

_____

_____

2. Data on prices have most utility when information on financing is also available. Thus, your responses below make possible the maximum in meaningful, useful statistics. Your privacy is protected, since, as stated earlier, RESPONSES ARE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. We appreciate your cooperation.

a. Enter **TOTAL PRICE** paid for this property (TOTAL PRICE equals sum of: cash downpayment, mortgages, value of any property traded, unpaid taxes, and special assessments assumed by purchaser) . . . $ _____

b. If total price includes the value of any **PERSONAL PROPERTY** (e.g., furniture, fixtures, inventory, machinery, equipment) briefly describe such property below and enter amount here . . . $ _____

c. With respect to **FINANCING**, please mark (X) where applicable.

(1) Components of sales price	Downpayment (a)	Mortgages or deeds of trust: Assumption of existing mortgage or deed of trust (b)	First (c)	Second (d)	Other (e)
None	☐ 1	☐ 1	☐ 1	☐ 1	☐ 1
Under $10,000	☐ 2	☐ 2	☐ 2	☐ 2	☐ 2
$10,000 to $19,999	☐ 3	☐ 3	☐ 3	☐ 3	☐ 3
$20,000 to $29,999	☐ 4	☐ 4	☐ 4	☐ 4	☐ 4
$30,000 to $49,999	☐ 5	☐ 5	☐ 5	☐ 5	☐ 5
$50,000 to $74,999	☐ 6	☐ 6	☐ 6	☐ 6	☐ 6
$75,000 to $99,999	☐ 7	☐ 7	☐ 7	☐ 7	☐ 7
$100,000 to $149,999	☐ 8	☐ 8	☐ 8	☐ 8	☐ 8
$150,000 and over	☐ 9	☐ 9	☐ 9	☐ 9	☐ 9
(2) If seller provided any part of financing, please mark (X)			☐ 1	☐ 2	☐ 3
(3) Interest rate (if adjustable, report initial rate)			Adjustable rate / Fixed rate	Adjustable rate / Fixed rate	Adjustable rate / Fixed rate
Under 10 percent		☐ 1	☐ 1 / ☐ 5	☐ 1 / ☐ 5	☐ 1 / ☐ 5
10 to 13.99 percent		☐ 2	☐ 2 / ☐ 6	☐ 2 / ☐ 6	☐ 2 / ☐ 6
14 to 17.99 percent		☐ 3	☐ 3 / ☐ 7	☐ 3 / ☐ 7	☐ 3 / ☐ 7
18 percent and over		☐ 4	☐ 4 / ☐ 8	☐ 4 / ☐ 8	☐ 4 / ☐ 8
(4) Points (payments in adjustment of interest rates, paid by party indicated)		Seller ☐ 1 / Buyer ☐ 2	Seller ☐ 3 / Buyer ☐ 4	Seller ☐ 5 / Buyer ☐ 6	Seller ☐ 7 / Buyer ☐ 8
(5) Term (years)			Refinancing required / Refinancing not required	Refinancing required / Refinancing not required	Refinancing required / Refinancing not required
5 and under		☐ 1	☐ 1 / ☐ 4	☐ 1 / ☐ 4	☐ 1 / ☐ 4
6 to 25		☐ 2	☐ 2 / ☐ 5	☐ 2 / ☐ 5	☐ 2 / ☐ 5
26 and over		☐ 3	☐ 3 / ☐ 6	☐ 3 / ☐ 6	☐ 3 / ☐ 6

3. If property was involved in a land contract, indicate year INITIATED . . . 19____

4. Among the following, mark the box beside the statement which best describes this sale:
- a. An ordinary sale or transfer, between unrelated parties . . . ☐ 1
- b. Sale or transfer between units essentially part of a single association, venture, or partnership (e.g., between corporate affiliates, or two corporations with the same ownership) . . . ☐ 2
- c. Transfer of convenience (to correct defects in title, create joint tenancy, or reconvey property) . . . ☐ 3
- d. Sale or transfer of property in connection with foreclosure or other such legal action . . . ☐ 4
- e. Sale or transfer of property in which a unit of government is buyer or seller . . . ☐ 5
- f. Sale or transfer between relatives . . . ☐ 6

INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY

Name

Address *(Number and street)*

City, State, ZIP code | Telephone: Area code | Number | Extension

FORM GP-31 (2-12-82)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-22 (7-8-81) — U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Certainty Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Certainty level $ _____	

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property: Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
	501					
	502					
	503					
	504					
	505					
	506					
	507					
	508					
	509					
	510					
	511					
	512					
	513					
	514					
	515					
	516					
	517					
	518					
	519					
	520					
	521					
	522					
	523					
	524					
	525					

Codes for column (f) below

120	Houses, single family	400	Vacant platted lots
150	Mobile homes	500	Commercial
220	Houses, apartments (two or more units)	600	Industrial property
300	Farm	700	Condominiums
350	Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage	800	Fully exempt property
		900	Other

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-23-1 (7-6-81) — U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Sample Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Start with _____ Property	6. Take every _____ Property

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property, Codes for column (f) below: 120 Houses, single-family; 150 Mobile homes; 220 Houses, apartments (two or more units); 300 Farm; 350 Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage; 400 Vacant platted lots; 500 Commercial; 600 Industrial property; 700 Condominiums; 800 Fully exempt property; 900 Other — Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
$ 0	01					
$300,000	02					
$ 25,000	03					
$300,000	04					
$ 50,000	05					
$300,000	06					
$120,000	07					
$300,000	08					
$120,000	09					
$300,000	10					
$120,000	11					
$300,000	12					
$ 12,000	13					
$300,000	14					
$ 50,000	15					
$300,000	16					
$120,000	17					
$300,000	18					
$120,000	19					
$300,000	20					
$120,000	21					
$300,000	22					
$ 50,000	23					
$300,000	24					

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-23-2 (7-6-81) — U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Sample Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Start with _____ Property	6. Take every _____ Property

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property: Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
$ 0	01					
$200,000	02					
$ 16,000	03					
$200,000	04					
$ 35,000	05					
$200,000	06					
$ 80,000	07					
$200,000	08					
$ 80,000	09					
$200,000	10					
$ 80,000	11					
$200,000	12					
$ 8,000	13					
$200,000	14					
$ 35,000	15					
$200,000	16					
$ 80,000	17					
$200,000	18					
$ 80,000	19					
$200,000	20					
$ 80,000	21					
$200,000	22					
$ 35,000	23					
$200,000	24					

Use of property — Codes for column (f) below

120	Houses, single-family	400	Vacant platted lots
150	Mobile homes	500	Commercial
220	Houses, apartments (two or more units)	600	Industrial property
300	Farm	700	Condominiums
350	Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage	800	Fully exempt property
		900	Other

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-23-3 (7 8 81) — U S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Sample Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Start with _____ Property	6. Take every _____ Property

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property: Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
$ 0	01					
$120,000	02					
$ 10,000	03					
$120,000	04					
$ 20,000	05					
$120,000	06					
$ 50,000	07					
$120,000	08					
$ 50,000	09					
$120,000	10					
$ 50,000	11					
$120,000	12					
$ 5,000	13					
$120,000	14					
$ 20,000	15					
$120,000	16					
$ 50,000	17					
$120,000	18					
$ 50,000	19					
$120,000	20					
$ 50,000	21					
$120,000	22					
$ 20,000	23					
$120,000	24					

Use of property

Codes for column (f) below

120	Houses, single-family	400	Vacant platted lots
150	Mobile homes	500	Commercial
220	Houses, apartments (two or more units)	600	Industrial property
300	Farm	700	Condominiums
350	Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage	800	Fully exempt property
		900	Other

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-23-4 (7-8-81) — U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Sample Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Start with _____ Property	6. Take every _____ Property

Use of property

Codes for column (f) below

120	Houses, single-family	400	Vacant platted lots
150	Mobile homes	500	Commercial
220	Houses, apartments (two or more units)	600	Industrial property
300	Farm	700	Condominiums
350	Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage	800	Fully exempt property
		900	Other

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property: Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
$ 0	01					
$80,000	02					
$ 5,000	03					
$80,000	04					
$10,000	05					
$80,000	06					
$30,000	07					
$80,000	08					
$30,000	09					
$80,000	10					
$30,000	11					
$80,000	12					
$ 2,500	13					
$80,000	14					
$10,000	15					
$80,000	16					
$30,000	17					
$80,000	18					
$30,000	19					
$80,000	20					
$30,000	21					
$80,000	22					
$10,000	23					
$80,000	24					

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-23-S (7-6-81) — U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Sample Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Start with _____ Property	6. Take every _____ Property

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land. (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property: Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
$ 0	01					
$50,000	02					
$ 2,500	03					
$50,000	04					
$ 5,000	05					
$50,000	06					
$15,000	07					
$50,000	08					
$15,000	09					
$50,000	10					
$15,000	11					
$50,000	12					
$ 1,000	13					
$50,000	14					
$ 5,000	15					
$50,000	16					
$15,000	17					
$50,000	18					
$15,000	19					
$50,000	20					
$15,000	21					
$50,000	22					
$ 5,000	23					
$50,000	24					

Use of property

Codes for column (f) below

- 120 Houses, single-family
- 150 Mobile homes
- 220 Houses, apartments (two or more units)
- 300 Farm
- 350 Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage
- 400 Vacant platted lots
- 500 Commercial
- 600 Industrial property
- 700 Condominiums
- 800 Fully exempt property
- 900 Other

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(For explanation of use of this form, see text)

FORM GP-23-6 (7-8-81) — U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS

LISTING SHEET (Sample Properties)

ASSESSED VALUES SURVEY

1. Name of assessing area	2. Jurisdiction No.	3. Sheet No.
4. State	5. Start with _____ Property	6. Take every _____ Property

Assessment roll identification (a)	Line No. (b)	Assessed value of property: Land (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (c)	Improvements (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (d)	TOTAL Use ONLY when separate "land" and "improvements" amounts are not readily available (In whole dollars, omitting cents) (e)	Use of property: Code (f)	Description of properties coded 500, 600, 800, or 900 in column (f) (g)
$ 0	01					
$10,000	02					
$ 1,500	03					
$10,000	04					
$ 3,000	05					
$10,000	06					
$ 3,000	07					
$10,000	08					
$ 700	09					
$10,000	10					
$ 1,500	11					
$10,000	12					
$ 3,000	13					
$10,000	14					
$ 3,000	15					
$10,000	16					
$ 0	17					
$10,000	18					
$ 1,500	19					
$10,000	20					
$ 3,000	21					
$10,000	22					
$ 3,000	23					
$10,000	24					

Use of property

Codes for column (f) below

- 120 Houses, single-family
- 150 Mobile homes
- 220 Houses, apartments (two or more units)
- 300 Farm
- 350 Ranch, recreation land, forest land, other acreage
- 400 Vacant platted lots
- 500 Commercial
- 600 Industrial property
- 700 Condominiums
- 800 Fully exempt property
- 900 Other

Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)	Volume number or file reference (See instructions) (h)	Beginning at line number (i)

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1984-421-997

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

LIBRARY USE ONLY

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

UC-SANTA CRUZ
3 2106 00683 6602

PUBLICATION PROGRAM

The 1982 Census of Governments, similar to those taken every 5 years since 1957, covers four major subject fields relating to State and local governments– governmental organization, taxable property values, public employment, and governmental finances.

The results are being issued in one preliminary report and eight volumes, which are described below. Publications order forms for specific reports may be obtained by writing to the Data User Services Division, Customer Services Branch (Publications), Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233.

PRELIMINARY REPORT

This report presents preliminary data from the Directory Survey of Local Governments on the number of governments by type.

Number 1. Governmental Units in 1982

VOLUME 1. GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION–1 report (GC82(1))

Data are presented at the national level, by States, and for SMSA's on county, municipal, and township governments by size classes; on public school systems by size of enrollment, by grades provided, and by number of schools operated; and on special district governments by function performed. The report also includes a summary description of governmental structure in each State.

VOLUME 2. TAXABLE PROPERTY VALUES AND ASSESSMENT/SALES PRICE RATIOS–1 report (GC82(2))

This volume presents data on amounts of assessed value (gross and net) officially determined in 1981 for local general property taxation, for counties, and for each city having a 1980 population of 50,000 or more, with totals for States. The data include estimates of locally assessed realty distributed among major use categories.

The report also provides statistics, based on a sampling within 2,000 local assessing jurisdictions throughout the United States, on real properties involved in measurable sales during a 6-month period of 1981. Statistics include assessment-sales ratios and dispersion coefficients applicable to single-family (nonfarm) houses and certain other realty.

VOLUME 3. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT–3 reports (GC82(3) 1 to 3)

Number 1. Employment of Major Local Governments–This report presents statistics on October 1982 employment and payrolls of individual major local governments. Data are presented individually for all county governments, municipalities (and townships in selected States) with 10,000 or more population, school systems with 5,000 or more enrollment, and special districts having 100 or more full-time employees.

Number 2. Compendium of Public Employment–This report is a comprehensive summary of public sector employment, payrolls, and employer costs for selected employee benefits as of October 1982. Employment and payroll data are shown by governmental function. Data are summarized nationally and by States. Local government statistics are also summarized for each county area; by population-size group for counties, municipalities, and townships; by enrollment-size group for school districts; and by employment-size group for special district governments.

Number 3. Labor-Management Relations in State and Local Governments–This report is a national and State-by-State summary of labor-management relations in State and local governments as of October 1982. Statistics include the number and percent of employees who belong to an employee organization; number of governments with a labor relations policy; number of contractual agreements and number of employees covered by contractual agreements; number of employee bargaining units; and work stoppages which occurred between October 16, 1981, and October 15, 1982.

VOLUME 4. GOVERNMENTAL FINANCES–5 reports (GC82(4) 1 to 5)

This volume presents statistics on revenue, expenditure, debt, and financial assets of State and local governments.

Number 1. Finances of School Districts–Selected financial items are shown for enrollment-size groups of public school systems and individual school systems enrolling 5,000 or more pupils.

Number 2. Finances of Special Districts–Data are shown for selected large districts.

Number 3. Finances of County Governments–Selected financial items are shown for groups of counties, classified by population-size groups, and for individual county governments.

Number 4. Finances of Municipal and Township Governments–Selected financial items are reported for population-size groups of these governments and for individual municipalities and townships having 10,000 or more inhabitants.

Number 5. Compendium of Government Finances–This report is a comprehensive summary showing data for the Federal Government, individual States, and local governments by type of government, and data for State and local governments by States, including a breakdown by type of government. Data are given for county areas, per capita amounts, percentage distributions, and State rankings.

VOLUME 5. LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN METROPOLITAN AREAS–1 report (GC82(5))

This volume presents the census findings for standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) in three major subject fields: Numbers of local governments by type and size, local government employment and payrolls, and local government finances. Data are shown in terms of nationwide aggregates for population-size groups of SMSA's and for SMSA portion of each State, as well as for individual SMSA's and their component counties.

VOLUME 6. TOPICAL STUDIES–5 reports (GC82(6) 1 to 5)

This volume includes the following reports.

Number 1. Employee-Retirement Systems of State and Local Governments

Number 2. Governmental Statistics for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and Northern Mariana Islands

Number 3. State Payments to Local Governments

Number 4. Historical Statistics on Governmental Finances and Employment

Number 5. Graphic Summary

VOLUME 7. GUIDE TO THE 1982 CENSUS OF GOVERNMENTS–1 report (GC82(7))

This report presents detailed samples of tables published in the 1982 Census of Governments report series.

VOLUME 8. PROCEDURAL HISTORY–1 report (GC82(81))

This report describes the procedures followed in planning and conducting the 1982 Census of Governments.

Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google
Digitized by Google
Original from UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use, $300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COM-202

Special Fourth-Class
Rate—Book



Generated at Rutgers University on 2023-08-24 01:59 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/uc1.32106006836602
Public Domain, Google-digitized / http://www.hathitrust.org/access_use#pd-google

Digitized by Google

Original from
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA