



Minimizing Total Cost of a Fund of Cash (or Anything Else)

By Dr. Mason Gaffney

Article citation:

Gaffney, Mason. "Minimizing Total Cost of a Fund of Cash (or Anything Else)." February 1992. Study guide.

Fair Use Disclaimer

The Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, a non profit private foundation, is publishing this article, at no cost, pursuant to the Fair Use provisions of Section 107 of the Copyright Act which states that under certain circumstances, the fair use of a copyrighted material for purposes of criticism, comment, teaching, scholarship and research is not an infringement of copyright. If you have any concerns about the publication of this article, please contact us at info@schalkenbach.org.

The Robert Schalkenbach Foundation's Digital Collections and Archives is an extension of the Robert Schalkenbach Foundation's Engel Georgist Library. It collects, organizes, preserves, and provides free online access to researchers and the general public of Georgist literature including books, newspapers, pamphlets, scholarly articles and archival materials.

RSF is particularly proud to offer free online access to the works of the late Georgist scholar Mason Gaffney, made possible through the generosity of the Danica Foundation and with the consent of the Mason and Letitia Gaffney Trust.

Robert Schalkenbach Foundation: <https://schalkenbach.org/>

Engel Georgist Library: <https://www.librarycat.org/lib/EngelGeorgistLibrary>

RSF Digital Collections and Archives: <https://schalkenbach.starter1ua.preservica.com/>

Minimizing total cost (TC) of a fund of cash (or anything else)

Notes by Mason Gaffney, 17 May 87, rev. 2/92

Notation taken from Hall and Taylor, pp. 296-98

W = monthly cash receipt (W is evidently from "wage")
M = mean amount of money held during month
x = number of transfers into cash holding during month (this is not explicit in H&T, but making it explicit makes things clearer)

k = cost per transfer

R = opportunity interest rate (I omit their subscript "o")

T = amount of money per deposit

$$T = W/x$$

$$M = T/2 = W/2x$$

$$\text{Deposit Cost (DC)} = kx = (kW)/(2M)$$

$$\text{Carrying Cost (CC)} = RM$$

$$\text{Total Cost (TC)} = DC + CC = (kW)/(2M) + RM \quad (1)^1$$

Rather than follow their algebraic footnote, I assume you understand something about calculus, and will differentiate (1), and set its derivative equal to zero.

$$d(TC)/dM = -kW/2M^2 + R = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$R = kW/(2M^2)$$

$$M = [kW/2R]^{.5} \quad (3)$$

(3) is ordinarily called the "square root rule." Let's not be ordinary: that is giving too much emphasis to the square root aspect, which is an artifact of the particular assumptions here. These are arbitrary and specific to the example. The important thing is the sensitivity of optimal M to k and R. M is an increasing function of k, and a decreasing function of R.

¹(NOTE TERRIBLE TYPO IN H&T, p. 298, where they use a "-" instead of a "+". They continue the error in the top line of the footnote; then correct it in the 2nd line, which however makes their algebra wrong. This gives you an idea of how carelessly some textbooks are edited. You have to be alert.)

on hand. That is an application of Baumol's inventory model to cash management. However, it is much more general.

SUPPLEMENTAL KNOWLEDGE

Having found optimal M , you can also find optimal x and T , just by substituting the value of optimal M for M . Do this as an exercise. (Where a square root sign covers several terms, just raise each one instead to the .5 power, then combine terms by adding exponents, watching your signs of course as you go.)

You'll find that optimal x is an increasing function of R and a decreasing function of k . This of course is because x , the frequency of trips to the bank, is inversely related to M , the mean stock of money on hand. Learn this well: it has much wider applications, as we will see.

$1/x$ is the period of time between trips. Does that ring a bell? We've seen an analogous principle before, when finding the amount of capital in a pipeline. The frequency and the period of anything are always reciprocals.

You'll find that optimal T rises as k rises, and falls as R rises. That is, when you want to economize on your time you do things in large slugs rather than small dribbles; and let the results sit for a long time ($1/x$) before acting again.

WIDER APPLICATIONS OF THIS MODEL

If we were only interested in determining an optimal stock of cash we wouldn't lean so heavily on this model. But, as H&T mention, this is also a way to determine an optimal inventory of real capital. Just let M stand for the mean stock of goods in the store; and k for the cost of ordering, delivering and receiving a batch of goods.

But it's more general than that: much more general. Let M stand for the mean life of an item of durable capital; and k stand for the cost of producing same. Now we are moving toward a model of the turnover of all the capital in the nation, not just the inventories.

For now, just note that the incentive to substitute capital (M) for labor (k , in this model) rises as labor costs more. But this does not take the form of a rise in the flow of investment, but the reverse, because x falls as k rises.

Figure 1 shows graphically how this works. M is the independent variable here. As M rises, CC rise directly with it, and DC fall. You add these together to get the top curve, TC , which first falls and then rises, giving us a minimum.




Figure 1: Minimizing TC by adding rising CC and falling DC

TC is minimized where and because the slopes of CC and DC are equal and opposite. It is of incidental interest that their ordinates are equal at this point -- i.e., it is where they cross. Let's see if M has its cost-minimizing value when $CC = DC$.

$$CC = DC$$

$$RM = kW/2M$$

$$M^2 = kW/2R$$

$$M = [kW/2R]^{.5} \quad \text{[as in (3)]}$$

That is handy to remember, but also dangerous because you could easily get careless and think that the curves' crossing is a cause of minimizing TC . Not so: beware of this mistake. Like calling it "the square root rule," it is a case of confusing the incidental with the essential. The crossing is the minimum TC in this particular example; not in general.

Now use Figure 1 to observe the effect of R on the optimal holding of cash. Higher R means the CC curve is steeper, moving the min TC to the left, reducing the optimal cash holding.

Eqn. (3) tells you the same thing algebraically: the stock of M that minimizes TC falls as R rises.

Use Fig. 1 to observe the effect of k on the optimal holding of cash. Higher k moves the entire DC curve farther away from the origin (up and to the right), moving the crossover to the right, increasing the optimal cash holding.

Eqn. (3) tells you the same thing algebraically: the stock of M which minimizes TC rises as k rises.

What have we shown? If your opportunity cost of money is low, and the value of your time is high, you keep a large cash balance