



Replacing California Sales Tax with Property Tax: Excerpt from Taxation of Interjurisdictional E-Commerce

By Dr. Mason Gaffney

Article citation:

Gaffney, Mason. "Replacing California Sales Tax with Property Tax: Excerpt from Taxation of Interjurisdictional E-Commerce." Meeting of Offshore Investment, 20 Oct. 1999, Ritz Carlton, San Francisco, CA.

Fair Use Disclaimer

The Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, a non profit private foundation, is publishing this article, at no cost, pursuant to the Fair Use provisions of Section 107 of the Copyright Act which states that under certain circumstances, the fair use of a copyrighted material for purposes of criticism, comment, teaching, scholarship and research is not an infringement of copyright. If you have any concerns about the publication of this article, please contact us at info@schalkenbach.org.

The Robert Schalkenbach Foundation's Digital Collections and Archives is an extension of the Robert Schalkenbach Foundation's Engel Georgist Library. It collects, organizes, preserves, and provides free online access to researchers and the general public of Georgist literature including books, newspapers, pamphlets, scholarly articles and archival materials.

RSF is particularly proud to offer free online access to the works of the late Georgist scholar Mason Gaffney, made possible through the generosity of the Danica Foundation and with the consent of the Mason and Letitia Gaffney Trust.

Robert Schalkenbach Foundation: <https://schalkenbach.org/>

Engel Georgist Library: <https://www.librarycat.org/lib/EngelGeorgistLibrary>

RSF Digital Collections and Archives: <https://schalkenbach.starter1ua.preservica.com/>

Replacing California Sales Tax with Property Tax

Mason Gaffney

(Excerpt from Mason Gaffney, "Taxation of Interjurisdictional E-commerce," 20 Oct 99, Meeting of Offshore Investment, Ritz-Carlton Hotel, San Francisco)

What would happen in California if we eliminated the sales tax and replaced it by raising the property tax?

A. No catastrophe

1. Five states and the Province of Alberta already get along nicely with no sales tax, so it must be possible.

2. No state at all had a retail sales tax before 1929 (GA). California opened its gates in 1933 with the Riley-Stewart Act, and so did several other states. It was sold as an "emergency measure," at a rate of 2%. As late as 1977 it was 4.75%. Now it is 7.25% statewide, with many cities, counties, and transportation districts adding their tolls to the total, but for most of our state's existence we got along nicely with either no sales tax or much lower rates than today.

3. The property tax rate would rise to a level lower than it was before Prop. 13. California sales tax revenues are currently 1.19% of the assessed value (A.V.) of taxable property.¹ Add that to the current 1%, and get 2.19%, compared to 2.7% before Prop. 13—except that the 2.7% was applied to actual value, while today's assessed valuations are far below that.

The A.V. value of land is probably about one-third or so of market value; buildings are closer to market.

B. Greater equity

The distribution of the tax burden would shift from poor counties to richer ones. Thus, in the poor inland counties of Fresno, Tulare, Imperial, and Stanislaus, sales tax revenues are about 1.5% of A.V.s. In the rich coastal and suburban counties of Santa Barbara and Marin, sales tax revenues are about .75% of A.V.s.² Thus, the state sales tax takes a lot more money from the poor counties than it would cost them to replace the services from local taxes; the rich counties, with the high property tax bases, are contributing less to the common pool than they are saving in property taxes.

Within counties, by extension and analogy, I am reasonably certain that a careful study will

¹Data are from 1993-1994. See \mtrls\salestax.-av. Sales tax revenues est. \$22b; A.V. is \$1.86t.

²See note 1.

show that the burden would shift from poorer cities and districts to richer ones. Again, among individuals, I believe we would find the same. One of these days, God willing, I will find the time and money to conduct or sponsor such a study.

The relevance here of this equity question is that most states and provinces have complex and expensive systems and formulae for "power equalization" and the like, designed to shift resources from rich counties to poorer ones. One reason such programs are needed is to offset the effects of the very same state sales taxes used to finance them. There are great savings to be realized by quashing this cross-hauling of public funds.